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Abstract

Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) and other prion diseases are rapidly progressive spongiform encephalopathies that are invariably fatal. Clinical features and MRI, EEG, and CSF abnormalities may suggest prion disease, but a definitive diagnosis can only be made by means of neuropathological examination. Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) is not routinely used to evaluate patients with suspected prion disease. This study includes 11 cases of definite prion disease in which FDG-PET scans were obtained. There were 8 sporadic CJD cases, 2 genetic CJD cases, and 1 fatal familial insomnia case. Automated FDG-PET analysis revealed parietal region hypometabolism in all cases. Surprisingly, limbic and mesolimbic hypermetabolism were also present in the majority of cases. When FDG-PET hypometabolism was compared with neuropathological changes (neuronal loss, astrocytosis, spongiosis), hypometabolism was predictive of neuropathology in 80.6% of cortical regions versus 17.6% of subcortical regions. The odds of neuropathologic changes were 2.1 times higher in cortical regions than subcortical regions (p=0.0265). A similar discordance between cortical and subcortical regions was observed between FDG-PET hypometabolism and MRI DWI hyperintensity. This study shows that there may be a relationship between FDG-PET hypometabolism and neuropathology in cortical regions in prion disease but it is unlikely to be helpful for diagnosis.
Introduction

Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) is a rapidly progressive transmissible spongiform encephalopathy, also known as prion disease. Other prion diseases include fatal familial insomnia (FFI), Gerstmann-Sträussler-Scheinker disease, and kuru. Individuals afflicted by prionopathies have a short symptomatic period that rapidly progresses to death over several months. Definitive diagnosis requires neuropathological evaluation of brain tissue, usually in the form of an autopsy.\(^1\)

The clinical presentation of prionopathies is variable. In CJD, patients develop a rapidly progressive dementia along with cerebellar ataxia, vision deficits, myoclonus, and/or pyramidal or extrapyramidal signs and symptoms that often culminates in akinetic mutism.\(^2\) In contrast to CJD, individuals with FFI have prominent sleep disturbances, weight loss, autonomic instability, voice changes, cognitive deficits, ataxia, visual disturbances, and myoclonus.\(^3\)

CJD is the most common human prion disease. It exists in sporadic, genetic, and acquired forms. Sporadic CJD is the most common form with an annual incidence of about 1 case per 1 million persons.\(^4\) The etiology of sporadic CJD is unclear, but it has been suggested that a post-translational modification may play a role in prion protein misfolding or other conditions, such as aging, may allow abnormal prion proteins to stabilize and accumulate.\(^5\) Sporadic CJD is classified according to its molecular subtype. There are seven molecular subtypes, MM1, MM2-C, MM2-T, MV1, MV2, VV1, and VV2 that are based on the polymorphism at codon 129 of the prion protein gene (PRNP) and the prion protein type. Each molecular subtype is associated with a specific clinical phenotype and pattern of neuropathological changes.\(^6\) Genetic CJD is classified according to mutations in PRNP, the most common mutation of which is E200K.\(^7\) Like sporadic CJD, genetic CJD also shows phenotypic variability.\(^8\) Phenotypic heterogeneity also occurs in acquired CJD, a category which includes variant CJD, which is linked with bovine spongiform encephalopathy, and cases of iatrogenic transmission.\(^9\)

In spite of the variable clinical presentations in prionopathies, the diagnostic evaluation in any patient suspected of having a prionopathy includes brain MRI, EEG, and CSF analysis.\(^10\) MRI patterns suggestive of CJD are FLAIR or DWI hyperintensity in temporal, parietal, or occipital cortex and/or the caudate and putamen, but restricted diffusion on DWI sequence with correlation on apparent diffusion coefficient images is more specific for CJD than FLAIR hyperintensity.\(^2,11\) On EEG, periodic sharp wave complexes have been reported in 64% of CJD cases.\(^12\) In CSF, 14-3-3 and tau levels are positive in 88% to 91% and 81% to 90% of CJD cases, respectively, depending on timing of the lumbar puncture, but patients with other neurological disorders, such as stroke, other neurodegenerative diseases, and encephalitis, may have false positive 14-3-3 and tau levels.\(^13–15\) Recently, an ultra-sensitive
assay called real time quaking induced conversion (RT-QuIC) enables detection of abnormal prion protein seeding in cerebrospinal fluid of patients affected by prion disease. Other imaging modalities, such as positron emission tomography (PET) have been studied in CJD although there are few studies with autopsy-confirmed cases of prion disease. One study in 2002 with fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) as a tracer found hypometabolism primarily in the parietal region. Another study with FDG also found hypometabolism in the temporal and parietal regions, and the cerebellum. However, each of these studies involved only 5 definite CJD cases. Another study including one definite, seven probable, and three cases of possible sCJD demonstrated extensive cortical hypometabolism without involvement of the basal ganglia or thalamus. One paper was able to correlate FDG-PET findings with neuropathologic findings in a single case of CJD. Currently, PET imaging is not routinely used in the diagnostic evaluation of prion disease patients. In this retrospective study, we compare FDG-PET metabolic patterns with brain MRI findings and neuropathological changes in cases of definite prion disease in an effort to further understand neuroimaging correlates of neuropathological changes in prion diseases.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

The Cleveland Clinic electronic medical record system was queried for cases of prion disease from 2003 to 2013 using the International Classification of Disease (ICD-9) codes for prion diseases, 046. This search resulted in 23 cases fulfilling criteria for probable or possible CJD from Zerr et al. 11 of the 23 cases had brain FDG-PET and brain autopsy so these cases were included in this study. There were 8 definite neuropathology-confirmed sporadic CJD cases, 2 definite genetic CJD cases, and 1 definite case of FFI. The mean age at disease onset was 59.8 ± 3.3 years with an age range of 39 to 79 years. There were 5 males and 6 females. There was no family history of prion disease or rapidly progressive dementia in any patients, including patients with genetic CJD and FFI.

The PET scans were performed at Cleveland Clinic in 7 of the 11 subjects in a PET/CT scanner, Biograph mCT (Siemens, Munich, Germany). In the remaining 4 subjects, PET scans were performed at community facilities and images were obtained for review. Brain CT at the time of FDG-PET was used for attenuation correction. Blood glucose range at the time of FDG injection was 96 to 141 mg/dl. Images were acquired about one hour after FDG injection. None of the patients were under sedation or anesthesia. The patients did not have seizures around the time of the PET scan. One neuroradiologist and one nuclear medicine physician independently interpreted FDG-PET images visually.

Brain MRI was performed within one month of PET scanning. Two neuroradiologists reviewed DWI and FLAIR images independently.

The Institutional Review Boards at both Cleveland Clinic and University Hospitals Case Medical Center approved this study.
Automated PET Analysis

FDG-PET images were analyzed using MIM Neuro software (MIM, Cleveland, OH, USA). FDG-PET images were co-registered to brain MRI for anatomic segmentation based on a template. Then, FDG-PET was normalized to whole brain activity and compared against a normal database to determine presence of hypermetabolism and hypometabolism on the basis of standardized uptake values (SUV). The MIM normal database consists of brain FDG-PET scans from 43 male and female subjects with mean age 63 ± 10 years and age range of 41 to 80 years. Subjects did not have histories of primary brain neoplasms, brain metastases, head/neck radiation, chemotherapy, medications that could affect cerebral glucose metabolism, head trauma, stroke, substance abuse, leukemia, renal failure, severe heart failure, severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, uncontrolled diabetes, or immune suppression. All volunteers in the normal control group had Mini Mental Status Exam (MMSE) scores of 25 or higher and Memory Impairment Screen (MIS) scores of 6 or higher.

Regional SUV was converted to z-scores for defining FDG metabolism. FDG-PET hypometabolism was defined as a z-score of less than −1.65, and hypermetabolism was defined as z-score of greater than 1.65 for p <0.05.

Neuropathology

Brain autopsy was performed at the National Prion Disease Pathology Surveillance Center after consent for autopsy and research was obtained from patients’ next of kin. Postmortem interval was less than 24 hours. Hematoxylin and eosin staining on fixed sections of brain tissue from frontal, temporal, parietal, and occipital cortices, cingulate gyrus, basal ganglia, thalamus, midbrain, brainstem, and cerebellum of one hemisphere were obtained for analysis of neuronal loss, reactive astrocytosis, and spongiosis. Western blot of unfixed brain homogenate was performed after proteinase K digestion with 3F4 monoclonal antibody (from Dr. Richard Kascak, New York Institute for Basic Research), which binds to PrPSc. Immunohistochemistry was performed with monoclonal antibody 3F4 based on the method in Parchi et al.6 Immunohistochemistry for glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) was performed using an anti-GFAP monoclonal antibody (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Genetic Analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from unfixed frozen brain tissue. The PRNP gene was amplified with polymerase chain reaction and analyzed as previously described6.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) for descriptive statistics with means +/− SE and R version 3.1.1 (R Core Team) was used for mixed effects logistic regression model. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

In the mixed effects logistic regression model, neuropathological findings of neuronal loss, astrocytosis and spongiosis and FDG-PET hypometabolism from automated PET analysis of the same hemisphere were compared. Neuronal loss, astrocytosis, and spongiosis were
compared together, similar to Parchi et al. For each cortical and subcortical structure and region, we computed the proportion and percentage of cases where FDG-PET indicated absence of hypometabolism and when histopathology indicated absence of neuronal loss, astrocytosis, or spongiosis. Cortical regions include frontal, temporal, parietal, and occipital cortices. Subcortical regions include basal ganglia, hippocampus, thalamus, midbrain, brainstem, and cerebellum. Similarly, we computed the proportion and percentage for each area of FDG-PET hypometabolism when there was presence of neuronal loss, astrocytosis, and/or spongiosis. To determine whether hypometabolism was associated with neuronal loss, astrocytosis, and spongiosis on hematoxylin-eosin staining, we created a mixed-effects logistic regression model. The dependent variable was the presence or absence of neuronal loss, astrocytosis, and spongiosis on autopsy and the predictor was the presence or absence of hypometabolism on FDG-PET, treated as a fixed effect. We included brain region (cortical versus subcortical structures) as a covariate, also treated as a fixed effect. To account for correlated responses within a patient, we included a random effect for each patient. We computed the odds ratio and a 95% confidence interval for the effect of presence or absence of hypometabolism on presence or absence of neuronal loss/astrocytosis/spongiosis. Similarly, a mixed effects logistic regression model was used to determine the percentage of DWI hyperintensity in regions where there was hypometabolism on FDG-PET.

Results

Patient Characteristics

A total of 11 patients are included in this case series (see Table 1). All patients presented with dementia. Other signs and symptoms related to prion disease include visual deficits, pyramidal signs of weakness or hyperreflexia, extrapyramidal signs of cogwheel rigidity, decreased arm swing, and decreased stride length, or dystonia, cerebellar ataxia, myoclonus, insomnia, and behavior changes, including agitation and anxiety. Mean survival was 11.7 ± 2.6 months. Mean onset to PET scan was 5.9 ± 1.1 months. 6 patients had PET scans within the first half of their illness. CSF 14-3-3 protein was positive in 4 cases. CSF tau was positive in 5 cases. Both 14-3-3 and tau were positive in 4 cases. RT-QuIC results are not available as these cases were collected before RT-QuIC was routinely performed on CSF samples in the United States. Brain MRI showed cortical and/or caudate restricted diffusion in 9 cases. Among the sporadic CJD cases, there were 2 cases each of MM1, MM2-C, MV2, and VV2 molecular subtypes.

Automated PET Analysis

Attention was turned to automated PET analysis. FDG-PET hypometabolism was found in a few regions, most frequently in the posterior cingulate gyrus and precuneus, both of which are in the parietal lobe. Surprisingly, hypermetabolism was identified in the limbic and mesolimbic structures, including insula, medial temporal lobe, nucleus accumbens, olfactory cortex, and parahippocampal gyrus. The most frequently encountered hypometabolic and hypermetabolic regions, based on anatomic segmentation from a template, are listed in Table 2.
Comparison of FDG-PET and Neuropathology

The mixed effects logistic regression model was used to compare FDG-PET hypometabolism and the neuropathological findings of neuronal loss, astrocytosis, and spongiosis. Typical FDG-PET and neuropathological changes in CJD are highlighted in Figure 1.

Among all prion disease cases, there were a total of 36 cortical regions with neuronal loss, astrocytosis and/or spongiosis, and in FDG-PET, there were a total of 29 cortical regions (80.6%) with hypometabolism. Conversely, of the 34 subcortical regions where neuropathology revealed neuronal loss, astrocytosis and/or spongiosis, only 6 regions (17.6%) had hypometabolism on PET (see Figure 2 and Table 3). Table 3 lists the percentage of regions across patients with neuronal loss, astrocytosis, and/or spongiosis, in which there was hypometabolism on FDG-PET. Data on regions without neuronal loss, astrocytosis, or spongiosis are included in Table 1 in Supplementary Data.

The mixed-effects logistic regression model revealed a positive but non-significant relationship between autopsy-identified neuronal loss/astrocytosis/spongiosis and FDG-PET hypometabolism (odds ratio 3.9, p-value = 0.1268, 95% confidence interval = 0.1 to 24.0). The model also revealed that the odds of neuronal loss/astrocytosis/spongiosis occurring with FDG-PET hypometabolism were 2.1 times higher in the cortical regions than subcortical regions (95% confidence interval 1.4 to 61.9, p-value=0.0265). There was no difference between PET scans from the first half of illness and second half of illness since onset. FDG-PET metabolism could not be compared with specific neuropathological changes within brain regions (e.g., astrocytosis, spongiosis, etc.) given the neuropathologic rating scale used, heterogeneity of staining amongst cases, and the small sample size.

Comparison of FDG-PET and MRI

FDG-PET hypometabolism and DWI hyperintensity were compared. In a mixed effects logistic regression model, 51.5% of cortical regions that were hypometabolic on FDG-PET were hyperintense on DWI. For subcortical regions, 28.6% of regions that were hypometabolic on FDG-PET were hyperintense on DWI. Please refer to Table 2 in Supplementary Data for further details on regional MRI DWI hyperintensity and PET hypometabolism comparisons.

Discussion

A pattern of parietal region hypometabolism, and limbic and mesolimbic system hypermetabolism was identified in brain FDG-PET scans of patients affected by prion disease in this study. There was a correspondence between FDG-PET hypometabolism in cortical regions (frontal, temporal, parietal, and occipital) and neuropathological changes with neuronal loss, reactive astrocytosis, and spongiosis in cortical regions.

The high frequency of parietal region FDG hypometabolism, as exemplified by precuneus hypometabolism in all cases of prion disease and posterior cingulate gyrus hypometabolism in 10 out of 11 cases in this study, is similar to previous reports of parietal region hypometabolism\(^\text{17-20}\). In studies of other neurodegenerative disorders, such as Alzheimer...
disease and dementia with Lewy bodies, and in animal models of temporal lobe epilepsy, FDG-PET hypometabolism corresponds to neuronal loss\textsuperscript{21–23}. Hence, FDG-PET hypometabolism is consistent with neuropathologic lesion patterns observed in prion disease. Unfortunately, this pattern is also observed in other forms of dementia, most notably Alzheimer’s disease.

Nevertheless, hypermetabolism in limbic and mesolimbic structures in FDG-PET has never been reported in prion disease and the source of FDG hypermetabolism is unclear. Hypermetabolism could be related to microglial activation, as has been suggested in cases of FDG-PET hypermetabolism in another neurodegenerative disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and in an animal study of ischemic stroke using FDG-PET where hypermetabolism corresponds to an area with activated microglia\textsuperscript{24,25}. There is also evidence for microglial activation and neuroinflammation with increased expression of microglial markers in CJD\textsuperscript{26–28}. In addition, Llorens \textit{et al.} has found that there is regional variation in microglia activation depending on molecular subtype in sporadic CJD\textsuperscript{29}. An alternative explanation for limbic and mesolimbic hypermetabolism was considered because hypermetabolism has been reported in other neurological disorders, such as limbic encephalitis\textsuperscript{30}. Comorbid limbic encephalitis is unlikely in this study population because only one out of nine patients with testing for paraneoplastic antibodies was positive for a low titer anti-VGKC antibody in serum; this patient did not respond to immunomodulatory treatment. Furthermore, none of the patients had any neuropathologic evidence of encephalitis. This finding requires duplication and more detailed neuropathological examination in order to truly understand any potential significance.

This study provides support for a connection between FDG-PET hypometabolism and neuropathology in prion disease in cortical regions. When FDG-PET hypometabolism is compared with neuronal loss, astrocytosis, and spongiosis in histopathology, there is 80.6\% agreement between hypometabolism and neuronal loss/astrocytosis/spongiosis in cortical regions. However, there is less agreement between FDG-PET hypometabolism and neuropathology for subcortical structures, including basal ganglia, hippocampus, thalamus, midbrain, brainstem, and cerebellum. However, we were unable to assess whether the relationship between FDG-PET hypometabolism and neuronal loss/astrocytosis/spongiosis was dependent on cortical versus subcortical regions in our mixed-effects model due to small sample size. Similar findings were observed in the study by Kim \textit{et al.}, which postulated a lack of relationship between spongiosis or prion protein deposition and FDG-PET hypometabolism\textsuperscript{19}. Furthermore, in many subcortical regions, especially those in limbic and mesolimbic systems, there was FDG-PET hypermetabolism rather than hypometabolism. The presence of hypermetabolism could be related to microglial activation, and there is some evidence for microglial activation in CJD.

FDG-PET was also compared with MRI. Many regions with hypometabolism on PET did not show restricted diffusion on DWI. This discordance could be analogous to the situation in ischemic stroke imaging in which FDG-PET is more sensitive to ischemic changes than MRI\textsuperscript{31}. Similar to our comparisons of FDG-PET hypometabolism with neuropathological changes, there was also less of a correlation between FDG-PET hypometabolism with restricted diffusion on MRI in subcortical as opposed to cortical regions.
Since this study is a retrospective case-series, there are limitations. First, the study population is very small because prion disease is uncommon. Because this was a retrospective study, PET scans were acquired in multiple PET scanners, which could potentially contribute to variability in detecting small differences in hypermetabolism and hypometabolism. With regard to neuropathology, there could be variability in detection of neuronal loss, astrocytosis, and spongiosis due to sampling error, in that tissue sections may not exactly correspond to anatomic segmentation in automated PET analysis. This study adds to the literature that brain FDG-PET scans have limited diagnostic utility in prion disease, especially when compared to brain MRI and CSF analyses. Despite limitations, FDG-PET could be considered a research tool in exploring pathology in prion disease in living patients, but further study is necessary to clarify the role of FDG-PET in diagnostic evaluations. A prospective study with more detailed neuropathological analyses is necessary to understand the relationship between FDG-PET metabolism patterns and neuropathology and could be helpful in further understanding the pathophysiology of prion diseases.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1. Neuropathology and FDG-PET in CJD
A. Moderate neuronal loss, reactive astrocytosis and spongiosis on hematoxylin and eosin staining in the occipital cortex in a case of MM1 subtype of CJD (Case #11). B. FDG-PET hypometabolism in temporal, parietal, and occipital lobes and hypermetabolism in the left medial temporal region in a case of MM1 subtype of CJD (Case #11). C. Normal FDG-PET shown for comparison.
Figure 2. Regional FDG-PET Hypometabolism and Neuropathology
A. Cortical Regions. This chart shows number of cortical regions with neuronal loss, astrocytosis and/or spongiosis that showed hypometabolism on FDG-PET for all cases of definite prion disease together. B. Subcortical Regions. This chart displays subcortical regions with neuronal loss, astrocytosis, and/or spongiosis that showed hypometabolism on FDG-PET.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient #</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Survival Time (months)</th>
<th>Onset to PET scan (months)</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Clinical Presentation</th>
<th>CSF 14-3-3</th>
<th>CSF Tau (pg/mL)</th>
<th>EEG</th>
<th>MRI DWI Hyperintensities</th>
<th>Molecular Subtype or Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Definite (FFI)</td>
<td>Dementia, insomnia, myoclonus, pyramidal</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>Slowing</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>D178N-129M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Definite (sCJD)</td>
<td>Dementia, behavior</td>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>Slowing</td>
<td>Cortical</td>
<td>MV2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Definite (sCJD)</td>
<td>Dementia, ataxia, myoclonus, pyramidal, visual</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>11892</td>
<td>PSWCs</td>
<td>Cortical, caudate</td>
<td>MM1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Definite (sCJD)</td>
<td>Dementia, visual</td>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>No PSWCs</td>
<td>Cortical</td>
<td>MV2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Definite (sCJD)</td>
<td>Dementia, ataxia, behavior, extrapyramidal, myoclonus</td>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>Slowing</td>
<td>cortical</td>
<td>MM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Definite (sCJD)</td>
<td>Dementia, extrapyramidal, myoclonus</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Cortical, caudate</td>
<td>MM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Definite (gCJD)</td>
<td>Dementia, behavior, insomnia, myoclonus, pyramidal</td>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>Slowing</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>E200K-129M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Definite (gCJD)</td>
<td>Dementia, extrapyramidal, pyramidal</td>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
<td>3886</td>
<td>PSWCs</td>
<td>Cortical, caudate</td>
<td>E200K-129M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Definite (sCJD)</td>
<td>Dementia, ataxia, myoclonus</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>8029</td>
<td>Slowing</td>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td>VV2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>Definite (sCJD)</td>
<td>Dementia, ataxia, myoclonus</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>8975</td>
<td>Slowing</td>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td>VV2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Definite (sCJD)</td>
<td>Dementia, extrapyramidal, pyramidal, visual</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>5175</td>
<td>PSWCs</td>
<td>Cortical, caudate</td>
<td>MM1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CSF tau is defined as positive if greater than 1150 pg/mL (Hamlin et al., 2012)
gCJD, genetic CJD; PSWCs, periodic sharp wave complexes; sCJD, sporadic CJD
### Table 2

Hypometabolic and Hypermetabolic Regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypometabolic Regions</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precuneus</td>
<td>11 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior Cingulate Gyrus</td>
<td>10 (90.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuneus</td>
<td>8 (72.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypermetabolic Regions</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insula</td>
<td>11 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial Temporal Lobe</td>
<td>10 (90.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus Accumbens</td>
<td>10 (90.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olfactory Cortex</td>
<td>10 (90.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampal Gyrus</td>
<td>10 (90.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>9 (81.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>9 (81.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3
Percentage of Regions with FDG-PET Hypometabolism and Neuronal Loss/Astrocytosis/Spongiosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Percentage of Neuronal Loss/Astrocytosis/Spongiosis with FDG-PET Hypometabolism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cortical Regions</td>
<td>80.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical Regions</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal Ganglia</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midbrain</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brainstem</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>