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#### Abstract

Summarized in this report is information received from State Health Departments, university investigators, virology laboratories and other pertinent sources, domestic and foreign. Much of the information is preliminary. It is intended primarily for the use of those with responsibility for disease control activities. Anyone desiring to quote this report should contact the original investigator for confirmation and interpretation.

Contributions to the Surveillance Report are most welcome. Please address to: Chief, Poliomyelitis Surveillance Unit, Communicable Disease Center, Atlanta 22, Georgia.
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## SUMMARY

Only 13 cases of poliomyelitis, 11 paralytic, were reported during the four-week period ending April 14. Texas with four paralytic cases accounted for the largest State total.

A narrative report from Texas is included in Section 2. Three paralytic cases have occurred during March in Webb County (Laredo).

A status report of 1962 poliomyelitis is presented in Section 3 along with a listing of poliovirus isolates from 1962 cases.

A summary of 1961 poliomyelitis by age group and vaccination history is discussed in Section 5. Paralytic cases were concentrated in the unvaccinated preschool age group.

Section 6 presents current enterovirus surveillance data, and Section 7 a report of the Israel poliomyelitis experience in 1961 and early 1962.

## 1. CURRENT MORBIDITY TRENDS

The number of cases reported during the four-week period ending April 14 remained at low levels with only 13 cases of poliomyelitis, 11 paralytic, reported. Figure 1 illustrates the low total of cases reported as compared with similar weekly reports of recent years.

The table below compares current cumulative poliomyelitis experience with those of past years. Of the 55 paralytic cases reported thus far in 1962, only 37 have had onset in 1962, the remainder represent delayed reports from late last year.

Polio (Cumulated Weekly) Through 15th Week for Past Five Years

|  | $\frac{1962}{}$ | $\underline{1961}$ |  | 1960 |  | 1959 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paralytic | 55 | 78 |  | 173 | 237 | 139 |
| Total | 81 | 123 |  | 239 | 334 | 250 |

The West South Central Region has accounted for the largest regional total during the past four weeks (See Table l). Texas has reported four paralytic cases including two from Webb County in South Texas; a third Webb County case, as yet unreported, has also been recognized (See Section 2). Otherwise national incidence has been scattered.

## 2. REPORT - TEXAS

The paralytic case reported this week by Texas is from Webb County. This represents the third case (one fatality) in Webb County during 1962, all three with onset in March. The patients are LatinAmerican children residing in Laredo (population 60,678), the major city and county seat. A line listing is presented below:

| Age | Sex | Onset <br> Date | Vaccination Status | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 mo . | M | March 15 | OV |  |
| 5 yr . | M | March 23 | 1V | Fatality March 25 |
| 8 mo . | F | Late March | OV |  |

Thus, hebb County is the first county in the United States with as many as three cases in 1962. No laboratory information is yet available, but suitable specimens for virologic study are being sought.
3. 1962 POLIOMYELITIS REPOPTED TO PSU

Of the 55 cases of paralytic poliomyelitis reported thus far in 1962, 37 had onset since January 1. Eighteen cases represent delayed reports with onset of illness in 1961. The map on page 3 depicts by county of crigin the 37 paralytic cases with onset in 1962 (reported through April 14, 1962).

The only geographic concentration has appeared in southern Texas where 9 paralytic cases have occurred in six counties. Seven of the 9 cases were unvaccinated. All 3 cases in Webb County (Laredo) have had onset in March. No isolation of poliovirus has been reported as yet (See Section 2 of this report).

Two of the 5 cases reported from Puerto Rico have occurred in the municipality of Ponce. In addition, two suspect cases have been reported from Aguadilla in the western part of the island. Specimens are being collected for viral identification.

Poliovirus isolations have been reported from 8 of the 37 cases shown on the map.

| COUNTY OF CRIGIN | ONSET | ISOLATION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maricopa Co., Arizona | February 17 | Type I |
| Maricopa Co., Arizona | February 17 | Type I |
| Jeff Davis Co., Louisiana | January 14 | Type I |
| Wyoming Co., New York | January 2 | Type I |
| Montgomery Co., New York | January 20 | Type I |
| Montgomery Co., New York | January 24 | Type I |
| Mahoning Co., Ohio | February 23 | Type III |
| San Juan Co., Utah | January 4 | Type I |


4. ROUTINE POLIOMYELITIS SURVEILLANCE - 1962
A. Cases With Onset Within 30 Days of Vaccination (Inactivated)

There have been no under-30-day cases (IPV) with onset in 1962 reported to the Poliomyelitis Surveillance Unit through April 14, 1962.
B. Cases With Onset Within 30 Days of Vaccination (Oral)

There has been one case of paralytic poliomyelitis with onset within 30 days of receiving oral poliovaccine reported to the Poliomyelitis Surveillance Unit through April 14, 1962.

This case is a $2 \frac{1}{2}$ year old girl from Mahoning County, Ohio, with disease onset on February 23. She had received two doses of inactivated poliovaccine during 1960, type I oral poliovaccine in October, 1961 and type II on February 15, 1962, eight days before disease onset.

Laboratory study has yielded type III poliovirus. No other cases have been reported from this area.

## 5. 1961 POLIOMYELITIS REPORTED TO PSU - FINAL TABULATION OF AGE AND VACCINATION HISTORY.

During 1961, a total of 1,356 cases of poliomyelitis were submitted to the Poliomyelitis Surveillance Unit on preliminary individual case forms. This number exceeds the provisional total of 1,327 reported on weekly telegrams. Sixty-day follow-up reports were received on 1,283 cases, a full 95 percent of the preliminary PSU forms. The excellent follow-up appraisal indicates the interest and persistence of all who gather these data at State and local levels. State Epidemiologists particularly are to be congratulated.

Analysis of the preliminary and 60-day follow-up classification of cases is presented in table 5A. The "Best Available Paralytic Case Count ${ }^{19}$ of 829 consists of the 778 cases with residual paralysis plus the 51 cases with the preliminary classification, "paralytic", but without follow-up. This figure is considered to represent the most accurate measure of paralytic damage caused by polioviruses in the United States in 1961

Similarly the "Best Available Nonparalytic Case Count" is made up of those cases reported as paralytic poliomyelitis without residual paralysis (136), the nonparalytic cases (172), those classified as aseptic meningitis (169) and the 16 cases originally reported as nonparalytic but for which no follow-up report was obtained. This total of 493 cases clearly includes a mixture of infections caused by polioviruses and by non-polioviruses as well. A more comprehensive analysis with available laboratory data will be presented in a forthcoming PSU report.

## Table 5A

## ANALYSIS OF PRELIMINARY AND

 FINAL 60-DAY CLASSIFICATION OF PSU FORMS - 1961
## FINAL 60-DAY CLASSIFICATION

## PRELIMINARY CLASSIFICATION

PARALYTIC NONPARALYTIC UNSPECIFIED TOTAL

| Paralytic: |  |  | 5 | 778 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ Residual Paralysis | 756 | 17 | - | 136 |
| No Residual Paralysis | 128 | 8 | 5 | 172 |
| Nonparalytic | - | 167 | 4 | 169 |
| Aseptic Meningitis | 33 | 132 | - | 28 |
| Not Polio | 22 | $\boxed{1}$ |  |  |
| Total Follow-ups | 939 | 329 | 15 | 1283 |
| No Follow-up | 51 | 16 | $\underline{6}$ | $\underline{73}$ |
| TOTAL PSU FORMS | 990 | 345 | 21 | 1356 |

The final case count is presented in Table 5B by age group and vaccination history. As in recent years, paralytic cases were concentrated in the preschool group whereas "nonparalytic" cases were distributed more evenly throughout childhood. Fifty-seven percent of paralytic cases were unvaccinated as opposed to 29 percent of "non paralycicin cases, and only 26 percent of paralytic cases had received three or more doses of vaccine as opposed to 53 percent of "nonparalytic" cases. Table 5 B is shown on page 6 .

Table 5B
POLIOMYELITIS CASES BY PARALYTIC STATUS, AGE GROUP AND VACCINATION HISTORY REPORTED* IN THE UNITED STATES - 1961 Percent Distribution by Age and Doses of Vaccine ${ }^{*} *$

| Age | Paralytic |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doses of Vaccine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ | Unk | TOTAL |  |
| 0-4 | 203 | 29 | 30 | 33 | 20 | 4 | 319 | 38.5 |
| 5-9 | 68 | 12 | 23 | 44 | 32 | 5 | 184 | 22.2 |
| 10-14 | 27 | 5 | 7 | 20 | 18 | 2 | 79 | 9.5 |
| 15-19 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 39 | 4.7 |
| 20-29 | 73 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 105 | 12.8 |
| 30-39 | 53 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 71 | 8.6 |
| 40+ | 24 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 30 | 3.6 |
| Unk | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| TOTAL | 454 | 59 | 77 | 126 | 86 | 17 | 829 | $\overline{100.0}$ |
| PERCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DOSES | 57.1 | 7.3 | 9.5 | 15.5 | 0.6 | - | 100.0 |  |


| Age Group | Doses of Vaccine |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ | Unk | TOTAL |  |
| 0-4 | 42 | 13 | 11 | 19 | 17 | 4 | 106 | 21.6 |
| 5-9 | 28 | 5 | 10 | 34 | 45 | 8 | 130 | 26.5 |
| 10-14 | 12 | 6 | 14 | 24 | 32 | 7 | 95 | 19.4 |
| 15-19 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 12 | 1 | 46 | 9.4 |
| 20-29 | 23 | 1 | 10 | 21 | 14 | 3 | 72 | 14.7 |
| 30-39 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 33 | 6.7 |
| 40+ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 1.6 |
| Unk | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - |
| TOTAL | 136 | 29 | 53 | 122 | 127 | 26 | 493 | 100.0 |
| PERCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DOSES | 29.1 | 6.2 | 11.3 | 26.1 | 27.2 | - | 100.0 |  |
| * Based upon cases reported to PSU corrected for 60-day follow-up; 6 cases unspecified as to paralytic status are excluded. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

** Of those cases specified.

$$
-7-
$$

6. ENTEROVIRUS SURVETLLANCE

A cotcl, of 51 enterovirus isolates from 1962 specimens has been reported to the Poliomyelitis Surveillance Unit thus far. Of the 20 Coxsackie isolates included, 11 Coxsackie A isolates are reported from Hawaii and four Coxsackie B-5 from New Jersey. Several ECHO subtypes have been reported from California. Poliovirus type I continues the predominant poliovirus encountered. A listing of isolates is presented below.

ENTEROVIRUS ISOLATES REPORTED DURING 1962

| State | Poliovirus |  |  | ECHO* | Coxsackie | TOTAL | Reported by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | P. Hotchkiss \& M. Goodwin |
| California | - | - | - | 8 | 1 | 9 | E. Lennette |
| Florida | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | J. Bond |
| Hawaii | - | - | - | - | 11 | 11 | K. Wilcox \& J. Enright |
| Louisiana | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | G. Hauser |
| Maryland | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | C. Perry \& C. Silverman |
| Massachusetts | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | R. MacCready and J. Daniels |
| Michigan | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | G. Agate |
| Missouri | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | I. C. Adams |
| New Jersey | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 6 | W. Dougherty |
| New York | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 | R. Albrecht |
| Ohio | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | C. Croft |
| Utah | 1 | $=$ | = | = | - | 1 | R. Fraser \& A. Jenkins |
| TOTAL | 12 | - | 2 | 17 | 20 | 51 |  |

* Specific types include two ECHO 4 from Florida, one from California, and one from Massachusetts; two ECHO 14 from Michigan; two ECHO 9 from Louisiana. Other scattered types include ECHO 2, 10, 11, 18, 22.
** Specific types include eleven Coxsackie A (various subtypes) from Hawaii, four Coxsackie B5 from New Jersey. Other scattered types are B2, B3, and B4.

7. FOREIGN REPORT - ISRAEL

A report on the incidence of paralytic poliomyelitis in Israel since the use of oral polivaccine in June, 1961, has been forwarded by Dr. Natan Goldblum of the Hebrew University - Hadassah Medical School in Jerusalem. The following data supplement the preliminary report of Israel's 1961 poliomyelitis epidemic which appeared in Poliomyelitis Surveillance Report No. 230 (July 21, 1961).

The monthly incidence of paralytic poliomyelitis in Israel since January, 1961 is as follows:

|  | Month of Onset | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961: | January | 6 |
|  | February | 13 |
|  | March | 26 |
|  | April | 35 |
|  | May | 68 |
| Oral Vaccine | June | 35 |
|  | July | 17 |
|  | August | 5 |
|  | September | 1 |
|  | October | 1 |
|  | November | 0 |
|  | December | 0 |
| 1962: | January | 0 |
|  | February | 0 |
|  | March (1-22) | 0 |

Type I oral Poliovaccine was fed during June to approximately 250,000 children from 4 days to $4 \frac{1}{2}$ years of age. Type II oral poliovaccine was fed to the same age group in October and November. The same age group plus infants born since June were refed in February, 1962.

Another mass feeding is planned in April with type I in May with type III. In addition, a feeding program has been injtiated in February to feed type $I$ to all newborns in the hospitals.

Figure 1 CURRENT U.S. POLIO INCIDENCE COMPARED WITH YEARS 1957, 1959, and 1961

DATA PROVIDED BY NATIONAL OFFICE OF VITAL STATISTICS AND COMMUNICABLE DISEASE CENTER


Table 1
TREND OF 1962 POLIOMYELITIS INCIDENCE

| State and | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cumula } \\ \text { tive } \\ 1962 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Cases Reported to CDC For Week Ending |  |  |  |  |  | Six <br> Week | Compa Weeks | rable Tota | $\begin{gathered} \text { Six } \\ 1 \mathrm{~s} \text { in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  | 3/10 | 3/17 | 3/24 | 3/31 |  | /7 4/14 | Total | 1961 | 1960 | 1.959 |
| UNITED STATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paralytic | 55 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 16 | 38 | 55 | 99 |
| Nonparalytic | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 27 |
| Unspecified | 15 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | 16 |
| Total | 81 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 18 | 48 | 70 | 142 |
| NEW ENGLAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paralytic | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Total | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| New Hampshire | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Vermont | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Massachusetts | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 |
| Rhode Island | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Connecticut | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| MIDDLE ATLANTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paralytic | 17 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Total | 30 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| New York | 30 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 |
| New Jersey | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - |
| Pennsylvania | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| EAST NORTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paralytic | 6 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Total | 8 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| Ohio | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| Indiana | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Illinois | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Michigan | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 2 |
| Wisconsin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| WEST NORTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paralytic | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| Total | 6 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | 5 | 17 |
| Minnesota | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 | - |
| Iowa | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Missouri | 2 | - | _ | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | 16 |
| North Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Nebraska | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Kansas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 1 (Continued)

|  |  | Cumula- | Cases Reported to CDC | Six | Comparable Six |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | tive | For Week Ending | Week | Weeks Totals in |  |
| and | 1962 | $3 / 10$ | $3 / 17$ | $3 / 24$ | $3 / 31$ |
| Region |  | $4 / 7$ | $4 / 14$ | Total | 1961 |


| SOUTH ATLANTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\quad$ Paralytic | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 8 | 24 |
| Total | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 31 |
| Delaware | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Maryland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| D. C. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Virginia | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| West Virginia | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| North Carolina | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| South Carolina | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| Ceorgia | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 |
| Florida | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 19 |

EAST SOUTH CENTRAL

| Paralytic | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | 4 | 4 | 11 |
| Kentucky | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Tennessee | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| Alabama | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Mississippi | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 4 |
| WEST SOUTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paralytic | 13 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 31 |
| Total | 15 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 5 |
| Arkansas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Louisiana | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| 0 kl ahoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{5}$ | 8 | 18 |
| Texas | 11 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 18 |


| Mountain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paralytic | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| Total | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |  |  |
| Montana | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | I | - | - |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Wyoming | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Colorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | 2 |
| New Mexico | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | 2 |
| Arizona | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | 2 |
| Utah | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| PACIFIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 13 | 19 | 7 |
| Paralytic | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 14 | 23 | 1 |
| Total | 7 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 14 | 2 | 1 |
| Washington | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Oregon | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 13 | 5 | 9 |
| California | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 13 | 16 | 9 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Hawaii | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
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## POLIOMYELITIS IMMUNIZATION SURVEY SYRACUSE, NEW YORK NOVEMBER 7-9, 1961

Conducted by:
Dr. D.E. Bigwood, Jr., M.P.H., Commissioner of Health, Syracuse Department of Health, Syracuse, New York
Dr. A.C. Silverman, Deputy Commissioner of Health, Syracuse Department of Health, Syracuse, New York

Consultation and Assistance by:
Dr. Robert E. Serfling, Chief, Statistics Section, Epidemiology Branch, Communicable Disease Center
Mrs. Ida L. Sherman, Assistant Chief, Statistics Section, Epidemiology Branch, Communicable Disease Center

A poliomyelitis immunization survey of Syracuse, New York was conducted during the period November 7-9, 1961. For this survey the city was classified into three socioeconomic areas (upper, middle, and lower) using, with some re-grouping, a classification of census tracts developed by Dr. Charles V. Willie of Syracuse University. The classification of census tracts is shown on the attached map. The survey was planned with an area-probability design of 56 blocks arranged in 28 block-pairs. Primary blocks of each block-pair were allocated to census tracts in proportion to the number of housing units reported in the 1960 census. A secondary block for each block-pair was randomly selected from blocks contiguous to the primary block. Within each block, a systematic random sample was taken of 1 dwelling unit in 4 using a random starting point on each block.

A total of 56 blocks (Table l) was included in the sample, approximately 1 in 28 of occupied blocks. Since the within-block sampling ratio was 1 housing unit in 4, an average of approximately 1 housing unit in 112 would be selected for interview.

A total of 576 household units was visited and of these units, 26 were vacant. Interviews were completed at 528 ( 96 percent) of the 550 occupied units. Sixty-eight percent were completed on first visit and an additional 28 percent by telephone and field callbacks. Approximately 2 percent refused to grant an interview and another 2 percent could not be reached during the survey.

The 528 completed interviews included a population of 1,742 persons. The composition of the sample population according to socioeconomic area by age is presented in Table 2.

The Appendix presents the Salk vaccination status of the sample population by socioeconomic area and age and shows corresponding sampling ratios. These ratios (based on sample populations of the sub-groups and the 1960 age distribution for the entire city) were used in calculating the population estimates presented in Table 3. Similarly Table 4 presents the sample distribution and population estimates of the number and percent of persons receiving Type I Sabin oral vaccine in late August. Table 5 shows Salk vaccination status of the sample population obtained in May 1959 during a previous survey. In order to facilitate comparison the percentages of Tables 3,4 , and 5 are summarized in Table 6 and Figure 1. The results presented show that between May 1959 and August 1961, small increases occurred in the population of children under 15 with three or more Salk vaccinations. Among older persons, the increase was somewhat greater, especially in the upper and lower socioeconomic areas.

Response to the Sabin Vaccine campaign was very good in all age and socioeconomic groups, with some 87 percent of all persons in the city under 40 years of age responding to the appeal to obtain the Sabin vaccine. A marked response was noted for the preschool children of the lower socioeconcmic group. Of these children in May 1959, only 47 percent had received three or more Salk inoculations. In August 1961
the corresponding proportion (50 percent) was essentially unchanged. However, during the Sabin campaign, over 88 percent obtained the vaccine. Among the middle and upper socioeconomic groups, the proportion of preschool children obtaining the oral vaccine was greater, but the percentage increase over the number having had three or more Salk vaccine doses was not as great as the lower socioeconomic group.

In the school age group, (5-14), which in general shows higher proportions with three or more Salk doses (reflecting the earlier campaigns among school children) the lower sociceconomic group with 63 percent having three or more Salk doses in 1959 increased slightly to 66 percent in 1961; the other two sociceconomic groups had higher proportions both in 1959 and 1961. During the oral vaccine campaign, however, the response in the lower socioeconomic group reached 96.5 percent. In the upper and middle socioeconomic groups, corresponding percentages were 94 and 93 percent respectively.

In the young adult age group (15-39), both the upper and lower socioeconcmic groups had shown an increase since 1959, in the proportion reporting three or more doses of Salk vaccine, but the middle socioeconcmic group remained at practically the same level in 1961 as in 1959. However, during the oral vaccine campaign, the response was similar in all three groups - upper, 85 percent, middle 84 percent, and lower 82 percent. The response of the older members of the community was likewise noteworthy. In older persons (ages 40 and over) of all three socioeconomic groups less than 10 percent had three or more Salk inoculations
as of August 1, 1961, but during the oral vaccine campaign, 40 percent of those in the lower socioeconcmic group, 35 percent of the middle and 31 percent of the upper socioeconomic group obtained the oral vaccine.

Table 7 shows the estimated number of doses of Salk vaccine obtained between August 1 and November 7-9. Almost 15,000 doses were utilized with the lower socioeconomic group obtaining relatively more than the middle and upper socioeconomic groups.

## SUMMARY

Estimates derived from the survey show that an estimated 143,440 doses of oral vaccine and 15,000 doses of Salk vaccine were obtained by residents of Syracuse during the period August to November 1961. Response to the oral vaccine was city-wide, with the lower socioeconomic group showing a slightly better response than the middle and upper socioeconomic groups: 68 percent responded as compared with 66 percent in the other two groups, yielding an average of 66.4 percent of persons in Syracuse responding to the appeals of the campaign.

This percentage is weighted by the large number of older citizens who did not obtain the vaccine. For ages under 15 , the response was 91. 3 percent city-wide, and for persons under 40 years of age, 87 percent.
Number of Blocks Scheduled ..... 56
Number with household units ..... 56
Number without household units ..... 0
Number of Blocks in Syracuse ..... 1990
Number of blocks with household units ..... 1585
(Block Sampiing ratio - - - (lí28)576Total Household Units Visited(Within-block sampling ratio -(1/4)Vacant units26
Occupied units ..... 550 (100.0\%)
Interviews completed ..... 528 (96.0\%)
On first visit ..... 372 (67.6\%)
By telephone ..... 140 (25.5\%)
By revisit ..... 16 ( $2.0 \%$ )(96.0\%)
Interviews not completed22 ( $4.0 \%$ )
Not at home during survey ..... 9 ( $1.6 \%$ )Refusals10 ( $1.8 \%$ )Other reasons$3 \frac{(0.6 \%)}{4.0 \%)}$

Table 2. Composition of the Survey Sample*

| Area | No. of <br> Househoids | Total <br> Persons | Under <br> 5 Yrs. | $5-14$ |  |  | 40 and <br> Over |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper | 103 | 336 | 32 | 62 | 95 | 147 |  |
| Middle | 277 | 912 | 107 | 162 | 278 | 365 |  |
| Lower | 148 | 494 | 61 | 113 | 149 | 171 |  |
| Total | 528 | 1742 | 200 | 337 | 522 | 683 |  |

*Appendix shows in detail Census Tract, 1960 population and sample population.

Table 3. Estimated Number of Persons in Syracuse by Age, by Number of Salk Injections, and Percent of Total, as of August 1, 1961


## Upper

Under 3 Mo. 231

| 3 mo.-4 Yrs. | 4039 | 252 | 884 | 757 | 2 | 146 | 6.2 | 21.9 | 71.9 | 100.0 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5-14$ | 6578 | - | - | 743 | 5 | 835 | - | - | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| $15-39$ | 13898 | 1463 | 1171 | 4828 | 6 | 436 | 10.5 | 8.4 | 81.0 | 99.9 | Middle

Under 3 Mo. 659
3 mo. -4 Yrs. $115471155 \quad 2194$ 3 $695 \quad 4503 \quad 10.0 \quad 19.0 \quad 71.0100 .0$

| $5-14$ | 18 | 804 | 1 | 161 | 812 | 6 | 500 | 10 | 331 | 6.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $4.3 \quad 89.5100 .0$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}15-39 & 39 & 733 & 11 & 577 & 5 & 431 & 10 & 576 & 12 & 149 & 29.1 & 13.7 & 57.2 & 100.0\end{array}$

 Lower

Under 3 Mo. 319

| 3 Mo. -4 Yrs. | 5598 | 933 | 1866 | 1586 | 1213 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5-14$ | 9115 | 1210 | 1935 | 2985 | 2985 | 13.3 | 21.2 | 65.5 | 100.0 |
| $15-39$ | 19260 | 8919 | 2198 | 3878 | 4265 | 46.3 | 11.4 | 42.3 | 100.0 |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}\text { City Total } & 216 & 038 & 103 & 382 & 19 & 990 & 38 & 487 & 52 & 970 & 48.1 & 9.3 & 42.6 & 100.0\end{array}$

Table 4. Estimated Number of Persons Receiving Oral Vaccine August 29-31, 1961

|  | Sample Survey |  |  | Estimated |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Socioeconomic | Number | Number | Estimated | Persons |

## Upper

| Under 3 Mo. | - | - |  | 231 |  | - | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \mathrm{mo}-.4 \mathrm{Yrs}$. | 32 | 32 | 4 | 039 | 4 | 039 | 100.0 |
| 5-14 | 62 | 58 | 6 | 578 | 6 | 153 | 93.5 |
| 15-39 | 95 | 81 | 13 | 898 | 11 | 850 | 85.3 |
| 40 and Over | 147 | 45 | 16 | 447 | 5 | 035 | 30.6 |
| Total | 336 | 216 | 41 | 193 | 27 | 077 | 65.7 |
| Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 3 Mo. | 7 | 1 |  | 659 |  | 94 | * |
| 3. Mo.-4 Yrs. | 100 | 91 | 11 | 547 | 10 | 508 | 91.0 |
| 5-14 | 162 | 150 | 18 | 804 | 17 | 411 | 92.6 |
| 15-39 | 278 | 232 | 39 | 733 | 33 | 158 | 83.5 |
| 40 and Over | 365 | 128 | 47 | 018 | 16 | 488 | 35.1 |
| Total | 912 | 602 | 117 | 761 | 77 | 659 | 65.9 |

Lower

| Under 3 Mo . | 1 | - |  | 319 |  | - | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 Mo.-4 Yrs. | 60 | 53 | 5 | 598 | 4 | 945 | 88.3 |
| 5-14 | 113 | 109 | 9 | 115 | 8 | 792 | 96.5 |
| 15-39 | 149 | 122 | 19 | 260 | 15 | 770 | 81.9 |
| 40 and Over | 171 | 69 | 22 | 792 | 9 | 197 | 40.4 |
| Total | 494 | 353 | 57 | 084 | 38 | 704 | 67.8 |

## All Areas

All Ages
1742
1171
216038
143440
66.4

Under 15 yrs.
56890
51942
91.3

Under 40 yrs.
129781
112720
86.9

## *Percentages not calculated.

Syracuse. N.Y. Survev. Nov. 7-9. 1961

Table 5. Proportion of Persons in Survey Sample of May 1959, by Salk Vaccination Status*

| Age | Socioeconomic Area | Number <br> Persons | Number of Salk Injections |  |  |  |  |  | Percent With $3+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Unknown |  |
| Under | Upper | 28 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 18 | 1 | - | 67.9 |
| 5 | Middle | 81 | 18 | 7 | 7 | 43 | 6 | - | 60.5 |
| Years | Lower | 45 | 14 | 2 | 8 | 20 | 1 | - | 46.7 |
| 5-14 | Upper | 54 | 1 | - | 1 | 51 | 1 | - | 96.3 |
| Years | Middle | 135 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 113 | 4 | 2 | 86.7 |
|  | Lower | 43 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 3 | 62.8 |
| 15-39 | Upper | 63 | 11 | - | 9 | 40 | 1 | 2 | 65.1 |
| Years | Hiddle | 179 | 51 | 2 | 15 | 99 | - |  | 55.3 |
|  | Lower | 84 | 32 | 5 | 11 | 25 | - | $11$ | 29.8 |
| 40 | Upper | 78 | 69 | 2 | 1 | 6 | - | - | 7.7 |
| and | Middle | 312 | 294 | 3 | 7 | 8 | - | - | 2.6 |
| Over | Lower | 59 | 58 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1.7 |

For the above table, Upper Socioeconomic Group includes Areas I and II, Middle, Areas III, IV and V, and Lower, Area VI, from Classification of Syracuse Areas by Dr. Charles V. Willie, Syracuse. University.

Table 6. Summary Table of Salk Vaccination Status, 1959 and 1961, and Proportion Obtaining Sabin Vaccine, Type III, August 1961

| Age | Sociceconomic Area | Proportion with 3 or More Salk Injections |  | Proportion Obtaining <br> Sabin VaccineAugust 29-31, 1961 <br> (Table 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1959 \\ \hline \text { May } 195 \\ \text { Table 5) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } 1,1961 \\ & \text { (Table 3) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | 67.9 | 71.9 | 100.0 |
| ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {Mo.- }}$ | Upper | 60.5 | 71.0 | 91.0 |
| Years | Lower | 46.7 | 50.0 | 88.3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5-14 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ |  | 96.3 | 100.0 | 93.5 |
|  | Middle | 86.7 | 89.5 | 92.6 |
|  | Lower | 62.8 | 65.5 | 96.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15-39 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ |  | 65.1 | 81.0 | 85.3 |
|  | Middle | 55.3 | 57.2 | 83.5 |
|  | Lower | 29.8 | 42.3 | 81.9 |
| 40 and Over |  | 7.7 | 7.5 | 30.6 |
|  | Middle | 2.6 | 7.1 | 35.1 40.4 |
|  | Lower | 1.7 | 6.4 | 40.4 |

Table 7. Estimated Number of Salk Inoculations Given Between August 1, 1961 and November 7, 1961

|  | Salk Vaccine since August 1 | Salk Vaccine |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socioeconcmic Area and Age | Number of Persons | Estimated No. |  |  |  |
|  | in Sample Reporting | of Persons in | Estimated | Estimated |  |
|  | that they received: | Pop. Receiving | Total | Total | Doses |
|  | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}$ | I 23 | Doses | Population | per |
|  | dose doses doses | dose doses doses | Received | 1960 | Perso |

## Upper



Middle

| Under 3 Mo. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 659 |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| mo.-4 Yrs. | 16 | 2 | 1 | 1848 | 231 | 115 | 2 | 655 | 11 | 547 | 0.230 |
| $5-14$ | 5 | - | - | 580 | - | - | 580 | 18 | 804 | 0.031 |  |
| $15-39$ | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1715 | 286 | 143 | 2 | 716 | 39733 | 0.068 |  |
| 40 and Over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1 | - | - | 129 | - | - | 129 | 47 | 018 | 0.003 |  |

Lower

| Under 3 Mo. | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  | 319 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \mathrm{mo}-.4 \mathrm{Yrs}$. | 22 | - | 1 | 2 | 053 | - | 93 | 2 | 332 | 5 | 598 | 0.417 |
| 5-14 | 17 | - | - | 1 | 371 | - | - | 1 | 371 | 9 | 115 | 0.150 |
| 15-39 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 | 034 | 129 | - | 1 | 292 | 19 | 260 | 0.067 |
| 40 and Over | 5 | 1 | - |  | 666 | 133 | - |  | 932 | 22 | 792 | 0.041 |
| Total | 52 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 927 | 57 | 084 | 0.104 |

TOTAL
14901216038

| Socioeconomic Area and Age | No. of Persons in Sample | Number of Salk Inoculations |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \quad 1960 \\ & \text { Population*** } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sampling } \\ \text { Ratio } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ |  | $\frac{\text { nown* }}{\text { Status }}$ |  |  |  |
| Upper |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 3 Mo. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 231 |  |
| $3 \mathrm{mo}-.4 \mathrm{Yrs}$. | 32 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 17 | (1) | - | 4 | 039 | 1/126.2 |
| 5-14 | 62 | - | - | - | 7 | 55 | - | - | 6 | 578 | 1/106.1 |
| 15-39 | 95 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 33 | 44 | - | - |  | 898 | 1/146.3 |
| 40 and Over | 147 | 128 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 8 | (2) | (5) |  | 447 | 1/111.9 |
| Total | 336 | 140 | 11 | 12 | 49 | 124 | - | - |  | 193 | 1/123 |
| Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 3 Mo. | 7 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 659 |  |
| $3 \mathrm{mo}-.4 \mathrm{Yrs}$. | 100 | 10 | 6 | 13 | 32 | 39 | - | - |  | 547 | 1/115.5 |
| 5-14 | 162 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 56 | 89 | (2) | (2) | 18 | 804 | 1/116.1 |
| 15-39 | 278 | 81 | 13 | 25 | 74 | 85 | (3) | (11) | 39 | 733 | 1/142.9 |
| 40 and Over | 365 | 325 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 12 | - | (11) |  | 018 | 1/128.8 |
| Total | 912 | 432 | 29 | 50 | 176 | 225 | - | - | 117 | 761 | 1/129 |
| Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 3 Mo. | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 319 |  |
| 3 mo.-4 Yrs. | 60 | 10 | 8 |  | 17 | 13 | (3) | (1) | 5 | 598 | 1/93.3 |
| 5-14 | 113 | 15 | 4 |  | 37 | 37 | - | (3) | 9 | 115 | 1/80.7 |
| 15-39 | 149 | 69 | 7 | 10 | 30 | 33 | (4) | (10) |  | 260 | 1/129.3 |
| 40 and Over | 171 | 154 | 6 | - | 6 | 5 | - | (7) |  | 792 | 1/133.3 |
| Total | 494 | 249 | 25 | 42 | 90 | 88 | - | - |  | 084 | 1/116 |
| City | 1742 | 821 | 65 | 104 | 315 | 437 | - | - | 216 | 038 | 1/124 |

*Persons with unknown vaccination status are included among persons with zero inoculations; persons with unknown number are included among persons with one inoculation.
**Estimated populations by age based on age Distribution of City of Syracuse as a whole.

SYRACUSE, N. Y.. AND ADJACENT AREAS BY CENSUS TRACTS
Part 1.-Tracts in Syracuse city


Figure 1. Syracuse, New York
Proportion of Persons With 3 or More Salk Inoculations as of llay 1959 and August, 196l; and Proportion Obtaining Sabin Type I Oral Vaccine

August 29-31, 1961





