Unusual Interferon Gamma Measurements with QuantiFERON-TB Gold and QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube Tests
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Abstract

Introduction: Interferon gamma (IFN-γ) release assays, such as QuantiFERON®-TB Gold test (QFT-G) and QuantiFERON®-TB Gold In-Tube test (QFT-GIT) are designed to detect M. tuberculosis (Mtb) infection. Recognition of unusual IFN-γ measurements may help indicate inaccurate results.

Methods: We examined QFT-G and QFT-GIT results from subjects who had two or more tests completed. We classified unusual IFN-γ measurements as: 1) High Nil Concentration (HNC) when IFN-γ concentration in plasma from unstimulated blood exceeded 0.7 IU/mL; 2) Low Mitogen Response (LMR) when Mitogen Response was <0.5 IU/mL; 3) Very Low Mitogen Response (VLMR) when Mitogen Response was ≤−0.5 IU/mL; and 4) Very Low Antigen Response (VLAR) when the response to a Mtb antigen was ≤−0.35 IU/mL and ≤−0.5 times the IFN-γ concentration in plasma from unstimulated blood.

Results: Among 5,309 results from 1,728 subjects, HNC occurred in 234 (4.4%) tests for 162 subjects, LMR in 108 (2.0%) tests for 85 subjects, VLMR in 22 (0.4%) tests for 21 subjects, and VLAR in 41 (0.8%) tests for 39 subjects. QFT-GIT had fewer HNC, VLMR, and VLAR (p = 0.042, 0.004, and 0.067 respectively); QFT-G had fewer LMR (p = 0.005). Twenty-four (51.6%) of 47 subjects with positive results and HNC were negative or indeterminate by all other tests. Thirteen (61.9%) of 21 subjects with positive results and LMR were negative or indeterminate by all other tests.

Conclusion: Unusual IFN-γ measurements including HNC, LMR, VLMR, and VLAR were encountered in small numbers, and in most instances were not seen on simultaneously or subsequently performed tests. To avoid erroneous diagnosis of Mtb infection, IGRAs with unusual IFN-γ measurements should be repeated with another blood sample and interpreted with caution if they recur.


Editor: Madhukar Pai, McGill University, Canada

Received January 3, 2011; Accepted April 19, 2011; Published June 8, 2011

This is an open-access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the Creative Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Funding: The authors have no support or funding to report.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: gym6@cdc.gov

Introduction

Interferon gamma (IFN-γ) release assays (IGRAs), such as the QuantiFERON-TB Gold test (QFT-G) and QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube test (QFT-GIT) are being used as substitutes for the tuberculin skin test (TST) to detect M. tuberculosis (Mtb) infection with increasing frequency [1–3]. These whole blood IGRAs depend on measurement of IFN-γ released from sensitized lymphocytes in whole blood incubated with specific Mtb antigens.

For QFT-G and QFT-GIT, aliquots of heparinized fresh whole blood are incubated with Mtb antigens, with mitogen, and with no antigen [4,5]. Plasma is harvested and the concentration of IFN-γ ([IFN-γ]) is determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The amount of IFN-γ released is determined by subtracting the [IFN-γ] in plasma from unstimulated blood ([Nil]) from the [IFN-γ] in the plasma from blood stimulated with Mtb antigen (“Antigen Response”), or mitogen (“Mitogen Response”). QFT-GIT measures response to a single mixture of peptides representing two whole Mtb proteins called early secretory antigenic target 6 (ESAT-6) and culture filtrate protein 10 (CFP10), and part of a third Mtb protein called TT7.7 [6]. For QFT-G, Mtb antigens consist of two separate mixtures of peptides that represent either ESAT-6 or CFP10 that are used to stimulate two separate aliquots of blood. Tests are considered positive when the response to a Mtb antigen exceeds a predefined amount (e.g. ≥0.35 IU/mL and ≥50% of [Nil]) although interpretation criteria have changed for QFT-G [4,6,7] and QFT-GIT [5,8–10] since their introduction. Mitogen-stimulated blood serves as a positive control for both tests. A low Mitogen Response (i.e. <0.5 IU/mL) may occur with insufficient lymphocytes, incorrect addition of the Mitogen, labeling errors, reduced lymphocyte activity due to prolonged specimen transport, improper specimen handling, or the presence of antibodies to IFN-γ [11]. The blood incubated without antigen serves as a negative control. Elevated levels of IFN-γ in the negative control (i.e. >0.7 IU/mL) may occur with incorrect addition of antigens, labeling errors, the
presence of heterophile antibodies, or non-specific IFN-γ secretion. Currently, these IGRA may be interpreted as positive despite a low Mitogen Response or high [Nil] if the measured response to a Mtb antigen exceeds the predefined cut point.

While these tests offer potential advantages over TST, technical errors may affect their accuracy. Recognition of unusual IFN-γ measurements occurred in multiple tests for a subject, and 4) to determine how often unusual IFN-γ measurements occurred in multiple tests for a subject, and 4) to determine which of the two test types had more unusual IFN-γ measurements.

**Methods**

**Ethics Statement**

The data included in this analysis were collected from multiple studies conducted by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) from June 2003 to December 2008, including three published reports [7,12,13]. Following CDC Human Subjects Institutional Review Board approval, people being screened for employment; people with symptoms, signs, or radiographic evidence suggestive of tuberculosis; and people with suspected exposure to Mtb were enrolled after providing written informed consent. After providing an initial blood sample for QFT-G and QFT-GIT, subjects were asked to return 2 to 12 weeks later to provide a second blood sample for repeat tests. Results from subjects with at least two tests were included in this analysis. The data were analyzed anonymously.

**IGRAs**

For QFT-G, test antigens consisted of two mixtures of overlapping peptides representing the entire ESAT-6 protein or CFP10 protein [7]. Antigens, saline (for nil control), or phytohemagglutinin A (PHA; for mitogen control) were added simultaneously to 1 mL aliquots of heparinized blood in Costar® 24-well microtiter plates. Plates were incubated within 12 hours of collection for 16 to 24 hours at 37°C and plasma was harvested.

For QFT-GIT, 1 mL of blood was collected into 3 tubes containing only heparin (nil control); heparin, dextrose, and PHA (mitogen control); or heparin, dextrose, and Mtb antigens. Mtb antigens for QFT-GIT consisted of a single mixture of peptides representing ESAT-6, CFP10, and part of TB7.7 (Rv 2654, peptide 4) in one tube [14–16]. Blood was incubated within 12 hours of collection for 16 to 24 hours at 37°C prior to harvesting plasma.

For QFT-G and QFT-GIT, the concentration of IFN-γ in 50 μL of each plasma sample was determined by ELISA as previously described for the QuantiFeron®-TB Gold test [7]. Plasmas for QFT-G and QFT-GIT from the same blood sample were assayed at the same time and on the same ELISA plate. The Mitogen Response was calculated by subtracting the IFN-γ concentration in plasma from unstimulated blood ([Nil]) from the IFN-γ concentration in plasma from mitogen-stimulated blood. For QFT-G, antigen responses were calculated by subtracting [Nil] from the IFN-γ concentration in plasma from blood stimulated by ESAT-6 (“ESAT-6 Response”) and CFP10 (“CFP10 Response”); the higher of the ESAT-6 Response or CFP10 Response was used as the TB Response to interpret QFT-G as described in Table 1. For QFT-GIT, the antigen response was calculated by subtracting [Nil] from the IFN-γ concentration in plasma from blood stimulated by the single cocktail of peptides representing ESAT-6, CFP10, and part of TB7.7, and this was used as the TB Response to interpret QFT-GIT as described in Table 1.

**Classification of Unusual IFN-γ Measurements**

We classified unusual IFN-γ measurements as: 1) High Nil Concentration (HNC) when IFN-γ concentration in plasma from unstimulated blood exceeded 0.7 IU/mL; 2) Low Mitogen Response (LMR) when Mitogen Response was <0.5 IU/mL; 3) Very low Mitogen Response (VLMR) when Mitogen Response was ≤0.5; and 4) Very Low Antigen Response (VLAR) when a response to a Mtb antigen was ≤0.35 IU/mL and ≤0.5 times the IFN-γ concentration in plasma from unstimulated blood. These categories were not mutually exclusive. The cut points were derived from cut point values used to interpret QFT-G and/or QFT-GIT. The frequencies of the unusual IFN-γ measurements were then determined.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS (v15.0.1.1, Chicago, Ill). Differences in the proportion of unusual IFN-γ measurements observed with QFT-G and QFT-GIT were assessed using unadjusted Mantel-Haenszel odds ratios and the Pearson Chi-Square test. P values ≤0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

Test results were available for more than one test for 1,728 subjects. Of these, 181 were suspected to have tuberculosis, 683

---

**Table 1. Interpretation Criteria used for QuantiFERON-TB Gold and QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube® Tests.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>[Nil]a</th>
<th>TB Responseb</th>
<th>Mitogen Responseb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>≥0.35 IU/mL and ≥50% of [Nil]</td>
<td>Any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>≤0.7 IU/mL</td>
<td>&lt;0.35 IU/mL</td>
<td>≥0.5 IU/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeterminate</td>
<td>≤0.7 IU/mL</td>
<td>&lt;0.35 IU/mL</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 IU/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;0.7 IU/mL</td>
<td>&lt;50% of [Nil]</td>
<td>Any</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aInterpretation criteria used for QFT-GIT differed from that approved by the FDA in Nov, 2008.

*b[Nil] is the IFN-γ concentration in plasma from unstimulated blood.

**b**TB Response for the QuantiFERON-TB Gold test is the higher IFN-γ concentration in plasma from blood stimulated by a cocktail of peptides representing ESAT-6 or CFP10, minus [Nil].

**b**TB Response for the QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube test is the IFN-γ concentration in plasma from blood stimulated by a single cocktail of peptides representing ESAT-6, CFP10, and part of TB7.7 minus [Nil].

**b**Mitogen Response is the IFN-γ concentration in plasma from mitogen stimulated blood minus [Nil].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020061.t001
were being tested after suspected exposure to tuberculosis, and 864 were being screened for employment. Results from 4 tests (initial QFT-G, repeat QFT-G, initial QFT-GIT, and repeat QFT-GIT) were available for 841 subjects; results from 3 tests were available for 171 subjects; and results from 2 tests were available for 716 subjects. Of the 5,309 test results examined, 711 (13.4%) results were positive for Mtb infection; 4,338 (81.7%) results were negative; and 260 (4.9%) were indeterminate. One or more tests were positive for 325 (18.8%) subjects including 106 (58.6%) subjects suspected to have TB, 198 (29.0%) subjects with suspected exposure to tuberculosis, and 21 (2.4%) subjects being screened for employment.

Frequency of Unusual IFN-γ Measurements

Of the 5,309 results (Table 2), HNC occurred in 234 (4.4%) tests for 162 subjects; LMR occurred in 108 (2.0%) tests for 85 subjects; VLMR occurred in 22 (0.4%) tests for 21 subjects, and VLAR occurred in 41 (0.8%) tests for 39 subjects. Fifty-four tests had more than one unusual IFN-γ measurement. Fewer LMR and VLMR were observed with QFT-GIT than QFT-G (p = 0.042 and 0.005, respectively). Fewer VLAR were observed with QFT-GIT than QFT-G, but this difference was not significant (p = 0.067). Conversely, significantly fewer LMR were observed with QFT-G than QFT-GIT (p = 0.004). As illustrated in Figure 1, HNC occurred more than once in 55 (34.0%) of 162 subjects with HNC; and LM occurred more than once in 18 (21.2%) of 85 subjects with LMR; VLMR occurred multiple times in only 1 (4.8%) of 21 subjects with VLMR; VLAR occurred multiple times in 2 (5.1%) of 39 subjects with VLAR. The number of subjects in each subject group with 1 or more HNC, LMR, VLMR, and VLAR differed significantly (Table 3).

**Table 2. Frequency of Unusual IFN-γ Measurements with QuantiFERON-TB Gold and QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube Testing.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>QFT-G</th>
<th>QFT-GIT</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of tests</td>
<td>2741</td>
<td>2568</td>
<td>5309</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of subjects</td>
<td>1696</td>
<td>1653</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># (%) of tests with HNC</td>
<td>136 (5.0)</td>
<td>98 (3.8)</td>
<td>234 (4.4)</td>
<td>1.32 (1.01–1.72)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># (%) of subjects with HNC</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># (%) of tests with LMR</td>
<td>41 (1.5)</td>
<td>67 (2.6)</td>
<td>108 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.38–0.84)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># (%) of subjects with LMR</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># (%) of tests with VLMR</td>
<td>18 (0.7)</td>
<td>4 (0.2)</td>
<td>22 (0.4)</td>
<td>4.24 (1.42–12.54)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># (%) of subjects with VLMR</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># (%) of tests with VLAR</td>
<td>27 (1.0)</td>
<td>14 (0.5)</td>
<td>41 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.82 (0.95–3.47)</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># (%) of subjects with VLAR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># (%) of tests with any 1 or more Unusual IFN-γ Measurements</td>
<td>164 (6.4)</td>
<td>335 (6.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># (%) of subjects with any 1 or more Unusual IFN-γ Measurements</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>236</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**QFT-G** is QuantiFERON-TB Gold test.

**QFT-GIT** is QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube test.

**Total # of subjects** with unusual measurement may be less than the sum of the “# of subjects” with unusual QFT-G and QFT-GIT measurements because subjects may have unusual measurements with both tests.

**OR (95% CI)** is odds ratio and 95% confidence interval for an unusual IFN-γ measurement in QFT-G compared to referent, QFT-GIT.

**HNC** is High Nil Concentration (i.e. Nil) over 0.7 IU/mL.

**LMR** is Low Mitogen Response (i.e. Mitogen Response under 0.5 IU/mL).

**VLMR** is Very Low Mitogen Response (i.e. Mitogen Response ≤ 0.5 IU/mL).

**VLAR** is Very Low Antigen Response (i.e. response to a Mtb antigen ≤ 0.35 IU/mL and ≤ -0.5 times [Nil]).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020061.t002
subjects with VLAR on simultaneously performed QFT-G or QFT-GIT.

Frequency of Positive Results with Unusual IFN-γ Measurements

HNC occurred in 58 positive tests for 47 subjects, 24 (51.6%) of whom had negative or indeterminate results by all other IGRAs examined. LMR occurred in 21 positive tests for 21 subjects, 13 (61.9%) of whom had negative or indeterminate results by all other tests examined. One QFT-G with VLMR was interpreted as positive (due to an ESAT-6 response of 17.9 IU/mL) while the TB response measured by QFT-GIT performed at the same time was 0.01 IU/mL. No QFT-GIT with VLMR was interpreted as positive. Three QFT-Gs with VLAR were interpreted as positive, and in each case at least one other IGRA without unusual IFN-γ measurement was also interpreted as positive.

Discussion

We found unusual IFN-γ measurements in 6.2% of QFT-G and 6.4% of QFT-GIT. Technical errors are a potential source for many of the unusual IFN-γ measurements we observed. Despite the potential advantages of using whole blood IGRAs to detect Mtb infection, these tests are more complex than the TST. While TST requires five measurements to complete one test (i.e. measurement of the volume of PPD to inject, the depth of injection, the time injected, the time delay until measuring induration, and measurement of induration size), QFT-G requires at least 136 measurements to complete one test (listed as supporting information in Table S1). The number of measurements required to complete one QFT-GIT is reduced to 126 (listed as supporting information in Table S2) by combining test antigens and including them in the tubes used to collect blood. With so many measurements and associated manipulations, it is easy to understand how technical errors could occur.

One scenario that could lead to VLMR for QFT-G or QFT-GIT is transposition of nil and mitogen IFN-γ measurements. Additional evidence of this possibility comes from examination of the Mitogen Response values. Of the 22 IGRAs with VLMR, 11 (50%) had a Mitogen Response < -10 IU/mL (data not shown). Similarly, VLAR could be due to transposition of measurements for nil and TB antigens. By eliminating the need to add antigens for QFT-GIT, the opportunity for transpositions is reduced, and
we observed significantly less VLMR and somewhat less VLAR for QFT-GIT as compared to QFT-G. Additional evidence that some VLMR and VLAR are the result of technical errors is the rarity of their recurrence with subsequent testing. VLMR recurred in only one person and VLAR did not recur. Also, for most subjects with VLMR or VLAR, such unusual IFN-γ measurements were not seen on a simultaneously performed IGRA.

While LMR has been associated with immune suppression [10,17], technical errors may produce indistinguishable results. If due to immune suppression, LMR would be expected on simultaneously performed tests, but only 13.5% of subjects who had LMR and a simultaneously performed IGRA had it on the simultaneously performed IGRA. Additionally, LMR occurred in more than one test for only 21.2% of the subjects who had LMR.

The same transpositions that cause LMR and VLMR could account for a portion of the unusual IFN-γ measurements classified as HNC. However, in most situations, HNC occurred where transpositions were not suspected (i.e. without LMR, VLMR or VLAR). Other technical factors may be involved as is suggested by our observations that HNC occurred less often with QFT-GIT as compared to QFT-G, that HNC was rarely seen on two simultaneously performed IGRA for the same person, and that HNC recurrence was uncommon. These findings suggest that tests with such unusual IFN-γ measurements should be repeated.

Sensitization to mouse antigens can generate heterophile antibodies in some people. These antibodies can bind to the capture and detection antibodies used in IGRA and generate results consistent with HNC. Elevated levels of IFN-γ are seen in various infectious diseases (e.g., tuberculosis, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, parasitic diseases), autoimmune diseases (e.g., rheumatoid arthritis, thyroiditis, systemic lupus), and in allograft rejection. HNC would be expected to occur more frequently in patients with these conditions. Day-to-day variation in disease activity or changes in the amount of heterophile antibody present may explain some difference in HNC observed with initial and repeat testing. However, day-to-day variation would not explain difference in HNC observed when two IGRA are performed simultaneously.

Technical errors appear to contribute to IGRA variability. However, data related to IGRA variability are scarce [18,19]. This is in part because of the complexity of these tests. For example, reproducible QFT-GIT results require accurate measurement of [IFN-γ] in 3 samples in the correct order, and the use of multiple criteria for test interpretation. While testing samples by ELISA are traditionally performed in duplicate or triplicate, the manufacturer of QFT-G and QFT-GIT recommends testing once. Our review of results of multiple tests from the same person performed simultaneously and serially provided an opportunity to recognize unusual IFN-γ measurements, most of which were aberrant and seen only in one of multiple tests examined. The observation that most unusual IFN-γ are aberrant supports the recommendation to repeat testing using a fresh sample when unusual IFN-γ are encountered [2]. Additional studies comparing IGRA results performed multiple times on the same sample, performed on multiple samples collected at the same time, and performed on multiple samples collected at different times are needed to more fully assess IGRA reproducibility. Recognition of unusual IFN-γ measurements and the potential for technical errors will facilitate assessment of IGRA reproducibility.
QFT-G and QFT-GIT are occasionally interpreted as positive despite unusual IFN-γ measurements. In our study 21.4% of positive results were associated with unusual IFN-γ measurements. The observation that 51.1% of subjects with positive results associated with HNC and 61.9% of positive results associated with LMR had negative or indeterminate results by all other tests examined raises doubt as to their validity. It would seem that tests with unusual IFN-γ measurements should be interpreted as indeterminate regardless of the measured response to TB antigens.

Criteria for interpreting tests with high [Nil] have evolved. Initial criteria did not include an indeterminate category for tests with HNC [6,9]. With FDA approval of QFT-G, indeterminate criteria were included for most tests with [Nil] over 0.7 IU/mL [4]. With FDA approval of QFT-GIT, indeterminate criteria were included for tests with [Nil] over 8.0 IU/mL [5]. Our observations suggest that raising the cutoff for identifying high [Nil] may result in an increased number of inaccurate interpretations.

While HNC, VLMR, and VLAR were more common with QFT-G than QFT-GIT, LMR was more common with QFT-GIT. One difference that may account for these observations is how blood is mixed with antigens. Including the antigens in the blood collection tubes reduces the complexity of QFT-GIT and reduces the opportunity for technical errors, but necessitates shaking the blood vigorously to dissolve the antigens and mix them with the blood. LMR may follow inadequate shaking due to incomplete integration of the mitogen with the blood, and excessive shaking may result in lysis of lymphocytes and reduced production of IFN-γ. Similar problems with tubes containing Mtb antigens could occur, but would be difficult to detect.

A limitation of this study is our inability to confirm the presence or absence of Mtb infection. We addressed this lack of an adequate diagnostic standard by comparing results of multiple tests from the same person performed simultaneously and serially.

In conclusion, unusual IFN-γ measurements such as HNC, LMR, VLMR, and VLAR were encountered in a small number of QFT-G and QFT-GIT, and in most cases, such measurements were not seen on simultaneously or subsequently performed tests. To avoid erroneous diagnosis of Mtb infection, QFT-G and QFT-GIT with unusual IFN-γ measurements should be repeated with another blood sample and interpreted with caution if they recur.

**Supporting Information**

**Table S1 Measurements required for one Quantiferon-TB Gold test.** The Quantiferon-TB Gold test (QFT-G) requires at least 136 measurements to complete one test. (DOC)

**Table S2 Measurements required for one Quantiferon-TB Gold In-Tube Test.** The Quantiferon-TB Gold In-Tube test (QFT-GIT) requires at least 126 measurements to complete one test. (DOC)
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