**Table 2 Supplement.** T-test for significant differences between cluster ratings among residents surrounding HOPE VI (T value Reported)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Revitalize business | Improve public service | Affordable housing | Drawbacks | Improve Aesthetics | Diversity  Change | Increase Safety | Improve neighborhood outlook | Reduce crime | Increase neighborhood pride | Increase leisure physical activity | Safe play | Generate health promoting resources |
| Revitalize business | ----- | -0.19 | 2.36\* | 5.20\*\*\* | 0.08 | 0.12 | -1.08 | -0.38 | 0.78 | -1.02 | 0.55 | -0.24 | 1.26 |
| Improve public service |  | ----- | 2.57\* | 5.60\*\*\* | 0.31 | 0.41 | -0.99 | -0.19 | 1.13 | -0.93 | 0.91 | -0.06 | 1.61 |
| Affordable housing |  |  | ----- | 1.80 | -2.43\* | -2.54\* | -3.21\*\* | -2.81\*\* | -2.09 | -3.20\*\* | -2.30\* | -2.55\* | -1.62 |
| Drawbacks |  |  |  | ----- | -5.51\*\*\* | -5.91\*\*\* | -6.74\*\*\* | -6.19\*\*\* | -5.24\*\*\* | -6.80\*\*\* | -5.62\*\*\* | -5.48\*\*\* | -4.53\*\*\* |
| Improve Aesthetics |  |  |  |  | ----- | 0.03 | -1.46 | -0.59 | 0.83 | -1.43 | 0.57 | -0.36 | 1.37 |
| Diversity  Change |  |  |  |  |  | ----- | -2.15\* | -0.86 | 1.06 | -2.27\* | 0.78 | -0.45 | 1.62 |
| Increase Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----- | 1.18 | 2.84\*\* | 0.18 | 2.96\*\* | 0.82 | 3.03\*\* |
| Improve neighborhood outlook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----- | 1.73 | -1.08 | 1.59 | 0.09 | 2.15\* |
| Reduce crime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----- | -2.96\*\* | -0.42 | -1.12 | 0.71 |
| Increase neighborhood pride |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----- | 3.21\*\*\* | 0.75 | 3.09 |
| Increase leisure physical activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----- | -0.91 | 1.12 |
| Safe play |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----- | 1.57 |
| Generate health promoting resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----- |