

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 01.

Published in final edited form as: JAssoc Nurses AIDS Care. 2016 ; 27(5): 727–730. doi:10.1016/j.jana.2016.06.004.

Development of an Intervention to Increase Occupational Postexposure Prophylaxis in Sub-Saharan Africa

Cari Courtenay-Quirk, PhD^{*}, Dejana Selenic, MD, MPH, Maria Lahuerta, PhD, MPH, Getachew Kassa, MSc, MPH, Marita Murrman, EdD, MS, and Naomi Bock, MD Cari Courtenay-Quirk, PhD, is a Behavioral Scientist in the HIV Prevention Branch, Division of Global HIV/AIDS and Tuberculosis, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, Georgia, USA. Dejana Selenic, MD, MPH, is a Medical Epidemiologist in the HIV Prevention Branch, Division of Global HIV/AIDS and Tuberculosis, CDC, Atlanta, Georgia, USA. Maria Lahuerta, PhD, MPH, is an Associate Research Scientist, ICAP-Columbia University, Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, New York, New York, USA. Getachew Kassa, MSc, MPH, is an Infection Prevention and Control Advisor, ICAP in Sierra Leone. Marita Murrman, EdD, MS, is the Senior Training and Education Specialist, ICAP-Columbia University, Mailman School of Public Health, New York, USA. Naomi Bock, MD, is the Science Deputy in the HIV Prevention Branch, Division of Global HIV/AIDS and Tuberculosis, CDC, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Keywords

HIV prevention; infection control; needlestick injuries; occupational injuries; postexposure prophylaxis

Bloodborne pathogen exposures (BPE) through sharps injuries or splashes are common, and result in considerable health risk to health care workers (HCW). Each year, approximately three million HCW worldwide experience injuries with a contaminated sharp object (Pruss-Ustun, Rapiti, & Hutin, 2005). Of those, approximately 90% occur in developing countries (World Health Organization [WHO], 2003). In 2000 alone, BPE resulted in an estimated 66,000 hepatitis B infections, 16,000 hepatitis C infections, and 1,000 HIV infections worldwide (Pruss-Ustun et al., 2005). In sub-Saharan Africa, more recent cross-sectional surveys of HCW have indicated high rates of BPE that vary widely by country and health cadre (Aynalem & Dejenie, 2014; Mashoto, Mubyazi, Makundi, Mohamed, & Malebo, 2013; Ogoina et al., 2014), and low rates of reporting exposures or otherwise following standardized prevention procedures (Adib-Hajbaghery & Lotfi, 2013).

Effective management of occupational exposures, including postexposure prophylaxis (PEP), is necessary to reduce risk to HCW (WHO, 2003). Components of effective PEP management include: (a) policies and reporting structures conducive to reporting all exposures; (b) appropriate stock of testing supplies and PEP medication to prevent infections

^{*(}Correspondence to: ccourtenayquirk@cdc.gov).

Disclosures The authors report no real or perceived vested interests that relate to this article that could be construed as a conflict of interest.

Courtenay-Quirk et al.

in exposed persons; and (c) supportive social norms, knowledge, and attitudes of staff to report occupational exposures in health facilities. A study in Kenya (Taegtmeyer et al., 2008) demonstrated that an intervention was able to increase knowledge of PEP and HIV testing in HCW, but stigma toward HIV testing and low risk perception remained significant barriers to PEP uptake. We conducted formative research in Zambia, Tanzania, and Botswana to identify key factors in BPE incidence, reporting, and PEP uptake to inform the development of a multi-component intervention strategy for health facilities.

Methods

In 2012, we conducted formative research in nine health facilities in Zambia, Tanzania, and Botswana. Large regional or district hospitals within driving distance of each country's capital were selected by convenience. A mix of qualitative and quantitative methods were used: key informant interviews of facility and ministry of health staff, reviews of facilities' PEP registers, and an audio computer-assisted self-interview (ACASI) of all HCW in each site were conducted (Table 1).

This project was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Columbia University Medical Center, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and the national ethics committees in each country. Participants provided informed consent to participate. No identifying information was collected during the ACASI survey, and no incentives were provided for participation.

Results

Key informant interviews identified facility and national-level factors that hindered effective PEP management, such as limited reporting systems, insufficient training materials, and insufficient staff assigned to monitor facility infection prevention/control practices. At the time of the assessment, most facilities (7/9) did not have a formal standard operating procedure for occupational BPE reporting. Of the facilities that recorded occupational BPE for 2011 with either a formal register (n = 2) or informal counter-book (i.e., notebooks containing handwritten columns for documenting exposure events; n = 3), recorded exposures ranged from 2 to 40 cases per facility.

The number of recorded BPE contrasted markedly with HCW self-reported experiences. Across the nine facilities, ACASI responses indicated that 62.9% (2073/3298) of individual HCW had ever experienced a BPE (defined in the survey as a needle prick, splash, or human bite); 39.3% (1295/3298) had experienced a BPE in the previous 6 months. Of those who had recently experienced a BPE, 35.6% (462/1295) indicated the exposure had been reported. Excluding those who could not remember whether the incident had been reported (n = 139) and others who did not list a reason, the main reasons HCW identified for not reporting their most recent exposures included not perceiving the injury to be serious in general (25.1%, 170/678), believing the instrument to have been unused (188/678, 27.7%), and not knowing where to report (10.6%, 72/678). More country-specific results of these ACASI interviews have been presented elsewhere (Kassa et al., 2016; Lahuerta et al., 2013; Selenic et al., 2013).

JAssoc Nurses AIDS Care. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 01.

From May 2013 to May 2014, a series of information sessions for health workers and management staff were delivered to reach the majority of staff in each of the health facilities where our formative research was conducted (Table 2). Pathways to PEP was a multicomponent intervention that operated on and addressed barriers at the policy, management, and individual staff levels. The intervention was comprised of (a) information sessions for health facility managers; (b) facility-wide operational plans for PEP management; (c) cadrespecific information sessions for all HCW in the facility (i.e., clinical staff and nonclinical staff such as janitors); and (d) distribution of reminder materials (e.g., posters, calendars, key chains). Information sessions were driven by evidence-based behavior change theories (Glanz, Lewis, & Rimer, 2002; Petty & Cacioppo, 1986; Witte & Allen, 2000) to increase HCW perceptions of their personal threats of acquiring HIV through BPE; enhance beliefs that effective treatment was available and accessible; address perceived barriers to action (i.e., identifying reasons, such as HIV stigma, for why some people did not report BPE) and ways to overcome those barriers; and enhance perceived benefits and cues to action (i.e., ongoing aids to recall the recommended action). Sessions were designed to support formation of strong attitudes in support of reporting BPE and taking PEP (Petty & Cacioppo 1986). By the conclusion of each series of information sessions, staff-led teams from each health facility had developed or updated their operational plans for PEP management. Reminder materials were also distributed throughout each of the facilities.

Discussion

From our formative research activities, we confirmed that BPE were common for HCW in sub-Saharan Africa, and that effective interventions at the facility, management, and staff levels were needed to increase routine reporting of BPE and PEP management. Consistent with our findings that some facilities lacked BPE reporting tools and systems, another cross-sectional survey of HCW in Tanzania identified this as a key limitation for characterizing the risk of exposure to HIV and other blood-borne pathogens in HCW and monitoring the extent to which interventions to reduce risk were effective (Mashoto et al., 2013).

A wide range of effective behavioral interventions to address sexual and injection risk for HIV at the individual, small group, and community levels have been identified (http:// www.effectiveinterventions.org). There have also been a variety of strategies reported to reduce the number and severity of occupational BPE (Hoffmann, Buchholz, & Schnitzler, 2013; Zafar et al., 2009). However, few effective interventions to increase reporting of occupational BPE by HCW and PEP management have been reported. This approach is an important accompaniment to other methods that can prevent or reduce HCW exposures, as complete elimination of risk in health care settings is unlikely. Identifying and addressing barriers to reporting at the policy, facility, and individual HCW levels are critical to an effective approach.

Informal feedback from health facility management and staff also supported the approach of designing interventions based on individual facility challenges, and tailoring messages for specific cadres of HCW. The pending evaluation will include measures of change in the number of documented BPE exposures at each health facility and the proportion of HCW who self-reported having experienced a BPE and initiated PEP. Findings will guide wider

JAssoc Nurses AIDS Care. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 01.

adoption of strategies to improve BPE reporting and PEP management. A standardized video, based on this intervention approach, will also be developed to support broader dissemination.

Acknowledgments

This project was funded through the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief through the CDC, through the Global Epidemiology and Strategic Information Support (GESIS; grant number CDC ESIS 200-2011-37935). The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the CDC. The authors acknowledge the following members of Pathways to PEP Study Team: Megan Affrunti, Gretchen Antelman, Batya Elul, Goodluck Mwakitosha, Padmaja Patnaik, and Morgan Sakala (ICAP-Columbia University, Mailman School of Public Health, New York, USA); Sridhar V. Basavaraju and Yang Liu (Division of Global HIV/AIDS and Tuberculosis, CDC, Atlanta, Georgia, USA), Tendani Gaolathe and Garegole Letang (Botswana Harvard AIDS Institute Partnership, Gaborone, Botswana), Joseph Hokororo (Ministry of Health and Social Welfare, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania), Esther Masebe (Ministry of Health Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia), Nelson Kiama Mwaniki (Ministry of Health Botswana, Gaborone, Botswana), and Fatma Soud (CDC Zambia, Lusaka), Koku Kazaura (CDC Tanzania, Dar es Salaam), and Sarah Gaolekwe (CDC Botswana, Gaborone).

References

- Adib-Hajbaghery M, Lotfi MS. Behavior of healthcare workers after injuries from sharp instruments. Trauma Monthly. 2013; 18(2):75–80. http://dx.doi.org/10.5812/traumamon.12779. [PubMed: 24350157]
- Aynalem, TF.; Dejenie, HT. Assessment of prevalence and determinants of occupational exposure to HIV infection among healthcare workers in selected health institutions in Debre Berhan Town, North Shoa Zone, Anhara Region, Ethiopia, 2014. AIDS Research and Treatment, 2014. 2014. 731848http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/731848
- Glanz, K.; Lewis, FM.; Rimer, BK. Health behavior and health education: Theory, research, and practice. 3rd ed.. Jossey-Bass; San Francisco, CA: 2002.
- Hoffmann C, Buchholz L, Schnitzler P. Reduction of needlestick injuries in healthcare personnel at a university hospital using safety devices. Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology. 2013; 8(1):20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1745-6673-8-20. [PubMed: 23895578]
- Kassa, G.; Selenic, D.; Lahuerta, M.; Gaolathe, T.; Liu, Y.; Letang, G.; Bock, N. Occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens among health care workers in Botswana: Reporting and utilization of post-exposure prophylaxis. American Journal of Infection Control. 2016. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2016.01.027
- Lahuerta, M.; Selenic, D.; Mwakitosha, D.; Hokororo, J.; Ngonyani, H.; Kassa, G.; Bock, N. Reporting and case management of bloodborne pathogen exposures among health care workers in Tanzania. Presented at the 2nd ICPIC Conference; Geneva. 2013. p. O29Switzerland. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Controlhttp://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2047-2994-2-S1-O29
- Mashoto KO, Mubyazi GM, Makundi E, Mohamed H, Malebo HM. Estimated risk of HIV acquisition and practice for preventing occupational exposure: A study of healthcare workers at Tumbi and Dodoma Hospitals, Tanzania. BMC Health Services Research. 2013; 13:369. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1186/1472-6963-13-369. [PubMed: 24079806]
- Ogoina D, Pondei K, Adetunji B, Chima G, Isichei C, Gidado S. Prevalence and determinants of occupational exposures to blood and body fluids among health workers in two tertiary hospitals in Nigeria. African Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2014; 8(2):50–54. http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajid.v8i2.7. [PubMed: 25729538]
- Petty, R.; Cacioppo, J. The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion. Academic Press; New York, NY: 1986. p. 123-162.
- Pruss-Ustun A, Rapiti E, Hutin Y. Estimation of the global burden of disease attributable to contaminated sharps injuries among health-care workers. American Journal of Industrial Medicine. 2005; 48(6):482–490. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.20230. [PubMed: 16299710]
- Selenic, D.; Lahuerta, M.; Basavaraju, S.; Courtenay-Quirk, C.; Liu, Y.; Soud, F.; Bock, N. Occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens among health care workers in Zambia: Reporting

JAssoc Nurses AIDS Care. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 01.

and utilization of post-exposure prophylaxis. 2013. Retrieved from http://pag.ias2013.org/ Abstracts.aspx?AID51649

- Taegtmeyer M, Suckling RM, Nguku PM, Meredith C, Kibaru J, Chakaya JM, Gilks CF. Working with risk: Occupational safety issues among healthcare workers in Kenya. AIDS Care. 2008; 20(3): 304–310. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09540120701583787. [PubMed: 18351477]
- Witte K, Allen M. A meta-analysis of fear appeals: Implications for effective public health campaigns. Health Education & Behavior. 2000; 27(5):591–615. [PubMed: 11009129]
- World Health Organization. Health care worker safety. 2003. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/ injection_safety/toolbox/docs/AM_HCW_Safety.pdf
- Zafar A, Habib F, Hadwani R, Ejaz M, Khowaja K, Khowaja R, Irfan S. Impact of infection control activities on the rate of needle stick injuries at a tertiary care hospital of Pakistan over a period of six years: An observational study. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2009; 9:78. [PubMed: 19480683]

Table 1

Formative Methods to Inform Pathways to PEP Intervention for Health Facility Management and Health Care Workers

Country	Health Facilities N	2011 PEP Register Entries N	Key Informants ^C N	ACASI Interviews N
Zambia	3	Incomplete ^a	10	1,331
Tanzania	3	15	4	973
Botswana	3	47 ^b	8	1,624

Note. ACASI = audio computer-assisted self-interview; PEP = postexposure prophylaxis.

 a All health facilities in Zambia had national reporting tools on site, but data for 2011 were not available.

^bOne health facility in Botswana did not use a register for recording occupational bloodborne pathogen exposures at the time of the assessment.

^COnly one response per country was recorded for Ministry of Health key informants, whereas within each country, more than one key informant interview was recorded for some facilities.

Table 2

Number of Participants in Pathways to PEP Management and HCW Information Sessions by Country

	a		HCW Session Participants N	
Country	Approximate Eligible Participants" N	Management Session Participants N	Clinical	Non-clinical
Zambia	1,386	96	937	239
Tanzania	1,172	90	806	577
Botswana	2,109 ^b	42	1,108	425

Note. HCW = health care worker; PEP = postexposure prophylaxis.

^{*a*}Eligible participants included all clinical and management staff (e.g., physicians, nurses, and nurse assistants) as well as nonclinical staff whose positions put them at potential risk for bloodborne pathogen exposures (e.g., janitors and mortuary staff). Some HCW may have participated in both a management session and an HCW session.

^bExcluding students.