



October 16, 1992 / Vol. 41 / No. 41

- 757 Alcohol-Related Hospitalizations Indian Health Service and Tribal Hospitals, United States, May 1992
- 760 Behaviors Related to Unintentional and Intentional Injuries Among High School Students — United States, 1991
- 772 National Coalition for Adult Immunization: Activities to Increase Influenza Vaccination Levels, 1989–1991
- 775 Poliomyelitis Netherlands, 1992

Topics in Minority Health

Alcohol-Related Hospitalizations — Indian Health Service and Tribal Hospitals, United States, May 1992

Alcohol use is directly responsible for hospitalizations resulting from chronic conditions, such as alcoholic liver disease and alcoholic psychoses; in addition, alcohol use can be a contributing factor in other conditions, such as infectious diseases and injuries, that require hospital admission. Based on discharge data from Indian Health Service (IHS) facilities and CDC's National Hospital Discharge Survey (1), the proportion of alcohol-related hospitalizations (ARHs) among American Indians/Alaskan Natives has been reported as 2.5 times that for the total U.S. population. However, these estimates rely on a limited set of alcohol-defined diagnoses that are primarily associated with chronic alcoholism. To characterize more accurately the relation of alcohol use to inpatient admissions to IHS and tribally operated hospitals in the United States, IHS conducted a 1-day survey of ARHs in these facilities on Monday, May 18, 1992. This report summarizes preliminary results from the survey.

A survey instrument was mailed to the clinical director (CD) of each of the 50 IHS and tribally operated hospitals, and each CD was contacted by phone during the week before the survey date to confirm receipt of the letter and to designate a person to respond to a telephone survey. The survey requested aggregate information about the number of adult and pediatric (aged ≤15 years) patients (by sex) who were hospital inpatients on that date and the number of patients (by age group and sex) whose hospitalization was related to alcohol use. A list of broad diagnostic categories of potentially alcohol-defined (e.g., alcoholic psychoses, alcohol dependence syndrome, nondependent alcohol abuse, and alcoholic liver disease) or alcohol-related (e.g., gastrointestinal bleeding or unintentional injury) diagnoses was included on the survey instrument (Table 1); ARHs also included persons whose reason for admission was, in the judgment of the attending physician, related to past or current alcohol use, even if the patient was not the person using alcohol (e.g., an injury sustained in a motor-vehicle crash caused by a drunk driver even if the injured patient was not drinking). ARHs were ascertained based on interviews with the attending physician and

Alcohol-Related Hospitalizations — Continued

review of medical records by the hospital's CD, quality assurance coordinator, or another physician designated by the CD.

Of the 50 hospitals, 49 completed the survey. Two hospitals (accounting for 13 adult and four pediatric patients) were deleted from the analyses because the surveys were completed incorrectly. Of 899 hospital inpatients (753 adults and 146 children) on the day of the survey, 161 (17.9%) had alcohol-related diagnoses. Among adult patients, 156 (20.7%) hospitalizations were alcohol-related. When women hospitalized for obstetric conditions were excluded, 155 (25.2%) of 616 hospitalizations were alcoholrelated. The proportion of ARHs for men (34.5%) was nearly three times that for women (11.7%) (prevalence ratio [PR]=3.0; 95% confidence interval [CI]=2.2–4.0) and almost twice that for women when obstetric patients were excluded (16.4%) (PR=2.1; 95% CI=1.6–2.8).

The proportion of ARHs was greatest in smaller hospitals: in facilities with 25 beds or fewer, 34.9% of hospitalizations were alcohol-related, compared with 11.2% of hospitalizations in facilities with more than 50 beds (PR=3.0; 95% Cl=2.2-4.1). Less than half (46.8%) of the ARHs were associated with alcohol-defined diagnoses (Table 1). Infectious diseases and injury (excluding suicide attempt) each accounted for approximately 15% of cases. Five pediatric hospitalizations were alcohol-related, including unintentional injury (two), child neglect (one), intoxication (one), and fetal alcohol syndrome (one).

The mean time required at each hospital for data collection was 40 minutes (range: 10–210 minutes). All responding hospitals received the study results within 3 weeks of the survey.

Reported by: JR Sugarman, MD, Epidemiology Program; EM Smith, MD, Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Program Br, Indian Health Svc.

Editorial Note: When surveillance of alcohol-related morbidity among hospital inpatients is restricted to a limited set of alcohol-defined diagnoses typically associated with chronic alcoholism, the contribution of alcohol use to overall morbidity may be substantially underestimated. A previous report indicated that a more comprehensive

		Male	F	emale	Total		
Diagnosis	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	
Alcoholism	20	(19.4)	14	(26.4)	34	(21.8)	
Alcoholic psychoses	3	(2.9)	1	(1.9)	4	(2.6)	
Alcohol withdrawal	9	(8.7)	3	(5.7)	12	(7.7)	
Acute alcohol intoxication	3	(2.9)	1	(1.9)	4	(2.6)	
Alcoholic cirrhosis	10	(9.7)	6	(11.3)	16	(10.3)	
Alcoholic hepatitis and liver		,,		(
failure	2	(1.9)	1	(1.9)	3	(1.9)	
Pancreatitis	1	(1.0)	0		1	(0.6)	
Gastrointestinal bleeding	9	(8.7)	5	(9.4)	14	(9.0)	
Infectious diseases	16	(15.5)	7	(13.2)	23	(14.6)	
Unintentional injury	13	(12.6)	3	(5.7)	16	(10.3)	
Injury inflicted by others	6	(5.8)	3	(5.7)	9	(5.8)	
Suicide attempt	4	(3.9)	1	(1.9)	5	(3.2)	
Other alcohol-related	7	(6.8)	8	(15.1)	15	(9.6)	
Total	103	(100.0)	53	(100.0)	156	(100.0)	

 TABLE 1. Distribution of diagnoses for alcohol-related hospitalizations among adults,* by sex, — Indian Health Service and tribally operated hospitals, May 18, 1992

*Persons aged >15 years.

Vol. 41 / No. 41

MMWR

Alcohol-Related Hospitalizations – Continued

assessment of alcohol-related mortality can be attained by assigning alcohol-attributable fractions (AAFs) to a spectrum of disease and injury diagnoses that are causally linked to alcohol use and applying these fractions to diagnoses on death certificates (2); however, estimated AAFs are imprecise and do not permit adequate morbidity analyses. The approach used by the IHS in this report not only relies on a defined set of alcohol-related diagnoses and statistical algorithms but also incorporates patientspecific clinical impressions of treating physicians to assess the role of alcohol use for each hospital admission.

The methods used in this survey are not comparable to those used in other studies (3,4), so the overall proportion of ARHs can not be directly compared to published data. Because the ascertainment of ARHs in the IHS study was based on the clinical impressions of health-care providers, it is possible that a higher proportion of alcohol-related problems would have been identified if all patients were screened for alcohol abuse.

After its reliability (reproducibility) and validity have been established, the 1-day "snapshot" survey method described in this report may be used as a rapid and efficient surveillance method to provide information on ARHs. However, this method has several potential limitations. First, seasonal and day-of-week variation in ARHs would be undetected; however, the proportion of ARHs in this survey was almost identical to that described in a similar IHS survey conducted in September 1987 (*5*). This survey was conducted on a Monday, while the survey in 1987 was conducted on a Thursday, by which time some patients with ARHs associated with the previous weekend should have been discharged. Second, in the absence of systematic screening of all patients for alcohol use, the proportion of ARHs is likely to have been underestimated—even though some admissions may have been considered to have been alcohol-related only on the basis of clinical impressions, in the absence of a true causal relation between alcohol use and the disease or injury.

Despite potential limitations, this survey underscores the impact of alcohol use on hospitalization among American Indian/Alaskan Natives. Because rates of alcohol use are high among American Indian/Alaskan Native youth, often beginning at early ages, primary prevention of alcohol abuse should be a high priority (6-8). In addition, secondary prevention efforts among established alcoholics by rehabilitation to establish sobriety are necessary. Although the specific infectious diseases resulting in hospitalization in this population were not determined, heavy alcohol use is a risk factor for pneumococcal infections and tuberculosis (9, 10). Prevention of alcohol-related infectious diseases by appropriate use of pneumococcal vaccine and chemoprophylaxis of alcohol abusers with positive tuberculosis skin tests should be a high priority among those providing health care for American Indian/Alaskan Native patients. The IHS Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Program Branch will use these survey results as part of a surveillance system to direct interventions to reduce ARHs in locations with a high proportion of ARHs and to evaluate those interventions.

References

- Indian Health Service. Alcohol-related discharges from Indian Health Service and contract general hospitals: fiscal year 1984. Rockville, Maryland: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Indian Health Service, 1985; report no. 2862K (0159K).
- CDC. Alcohol-related mortality and years of potential life lost—United States, 1987. MMWR 1990;39:173–8.

Alcohol-Related Hospitalizations --- Continued

- Umbricht-Schneiter A, Santora P, Moore RD. Alcohol abuse: comparison of two methods for assessing its prevalence and associated morbidity in hospitalized patients. Am J Med 1991;91:110–8.
- 4. Moore RD, Bone LR, Geller G, et al. Prevalence, detection, and treatment of alcoholism in hospitalized patients. JAMA 1989;261:403-7.
- Marfin AA, Helgerson SD. Surveillance of alcohol-related conditions in IHS direct and triballyoperated hospitals: a one-day snapshot, 1987. Tucson, Arizona: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Indian Health Service, Office of Health Program Development, 1987.
- Bachman JG, Wallace JM, O'Malley PM, et al. Racial/ethnic differences in smoking, drinking, and illicit drug use among American high school seniors. Am J Public Health 1991;81:372–7.
- 7. Beauvais F, Oetting ER, Wolf W, Edwards RW. American Indian youth and drugs, 1976–1987: a continuing problem. Am J Public Health 1989;79:634–6.
- 8. Beauvais F. An integrated model for prevention and treatment of drug abuse among American Indian youth. Journal of Addictive Diseases 1992;11:63–80.
- 9. CDC. Update on adult immunization: recommendations of the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP). MMWR 1991;40(no. RR-12).
- 10. CDC. Screening for tuberculosis and tuberculous infection in high-risk populations and the use of preventive therapy for tuberculous infection in the United States: recommendations of the Advisory Committee for the Elimination of Tuberculosis (ACET). MMWR 1990:39 (no. RR-8).

Health Objectives for the Nation

Behaviors Related to Unintentional and Intentional Injuries Among High School Students — United States, 1991

During 1988, injuries were the leading cause of death among persons aged 15–19 years in the United States (CDC, unpublished data, 1988). Of all deaths in this age group, 42% were motor-vehicle related, 13% were due to homicide, 13% to suicide, and 11% to other types of injuries and adverse effects. This report presents 1991 self-reported data on the prevalence of selected behaviors (i.e., attempted suicide, physical fighting, weapon-carrying, safety-belt use, motorcycle-helmet use, and bicycle-helmet use) associated with these causes of death among 9th–12th-grade students in the United States.

Data were collected from two school-based components of CDC's Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (1): 1) state and local Youth Risk Behavior Surveys (YRBSs) conducted by departments of education in 23 states* and 10 cities during the spring of 1991 and 2) the national YRBS conducted during the same period. The 33 state and local sites drew probability samples from defined sampling frames of schools and students in grades 9–12. Seventeen sites had adequate school- and student-response rates, which allowed computation of weighted results of known precision; 16 sites had overall response rates below 60% or unavailable documentation, which precluded making estimates of known precision. The national survey used a three-stage sample design to obtain a sample of 12,272 students representative of students in grades 9–12 in the 50 states and the District of Columbia.

For the state and local surveys, school-response rates ranged from 48% to 100%; student-response rates ranged from 44% to 96% (2); and state and local sample sizes

^{*}The District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands are categorized as states for funding purposes.

Vol. 41 / No. 41

MMWR

Unintentional and Intentional Injuries - Continued

ranged from 369 to 5834 students. Students in most samples were distributed evenly across grades and between sexes, and the racial/ethnic characteristics of the samples varied. The school-response rate for the national survey was 75%, and the student-response rate was 90%.

Suicide Attempts

Of students participating in the state and local surveys, 14%–35% (median: 27%; national prevalence: 29%) had thought seriously about attempting suicide, 9%–22% (median: 17%; national prevalence: 19%) had made a specific plan to attempt suicide, 5%–12% (median: 8%; national prevalence: 7%) actually attempted suicide, and 1%–5% (median: 2%; national prevalence: 2%) made a suicide attempt that resulted in an injury or poisoning that had to be treated by a doctor or nurse (Table 1). In all sites, female students were more likely than male students to report having thought seriously about attempting suicide, made a suicide plan, attempted suicide one or more times, and made a suicide attempt that required medical attention.

Physical Fighting and Weapon-Carrying

Of students participating in the state and local surveys, 34%–56% (median: 42%; national prevalence: 42%) had been in at least one physical fight during the 12 months preceding the survey (Table 2). In every site, male students were more likely than female students to report having been in a physical fight. The 12-month incidence rate[†] for physical fighting ranged from 102 incidents per 100 students to 202 incidents per 100 students.

Of participating students, 16%–39% (median: 26%; national prevalence: 26%) carried a weapon such as a gun, knife, or club at least 1 day during the 30 days preceding the survey; among students who carried a weapon, 5%–41% (median: 11%; national prevalence: 11%) most often carried a handgun (Table 2). In every site, male students were more likely than female students to have carried a weapon. The 30-day incidence rate[†] for weapon-carrying ranged from 62 to 164 incidents per 100 students (median: 110; national incidence: 107 per 100 students).

Safety-Belt and Helmet Use

Of students participating in the state and local surveys, 7%–54% (median: 22%; national prevalence: 28%) "always" used safety belts when riding in a car or truck driven by someone else (Table 3, page 771). Among students who rode motorcycles, 10%– 59% (median: 36%; national prevalence: 39%) "always" wore motorcycle helmets. Among students who rode bicycles, 0.2%–3% (median: 1%; national prevalence: 1%) "always" wore bicycle helmets. Rates of safety-belt, motorcycle-helmet, and bicyclehelmet use were similar for female and male students in most sites.

[†] The incidence rate was calculated by adding the number of times each student reported being in a physical fight during the 12 months preceding the survey or carrying a weapon during the 30 days preceding the survey and dividing this sum by the total number of students. The number of physical fighting or weapon-carrying episodes per student was then multiplied by 100 to determine the incidence rate per 100 students. Students who replied that they had fought two or three times were assigned a physical fighting frequency of 2.5; four or five times, 4.5; six or seven times, 6.5; eight or nine times, 8.5; 10 or 11 times, 10.5; and 12 or more times, 12. Students who replied that they carried a weapon-carrying frequency of 2.5; 4 or 5 days, 4.5; and 6 or more days, 6.

Unintentional and Intentional Injuries - Continued

Reported by: J Moore, EdD, Alabama State Dept of Education. J Campana, MA, San Diego Unified School District; M Lam, MSW, San Francisco Unified School District. D Sandau-Christopher, State of Colorado Dept of Education. J Sadler, MPH, District of Columbia Public Schools. D Scalise, MS. School Board of Broward County: N Gay, MSW. School Board of Dade County. Florida. R Stalvey, MS, Georgia Dept of Education, J Schroeder, Hawaii Dept of Education, J Pelton, PhD, Idaho Dept of Education. B Johnson Biehr, MS, Chicago Public Schools. J Harris, MEd, Iowa Dept of Education. N Strunk, MS, Boston Public Schools. R Chiotti, Montana Office of Public Instruction. J Owens-Nausler, PhD, Nebraska Dept of Education. B Grenert, MEd, New Hampshire State Dept of Education. D Chioda, MS, Jersey City Board of Education; D Cole, MEd, New Jersey State Dept of Education. K Meurer, MS, New Mexico State Dept of Education. G Abelson, CSW, New York City Board of Education; A Sheffield, MPH, New York State Education Dept. P Ruzicka, PhD, Oregon Dept of Education. C Balsley, EdD, School District of Philadelphia; M Sutter, PhD, Pennsylvania Dept of Education. M del Pilar Cherneco, MPH, Puerto Rico Dept of Education. J Fraser, EdD, South Carolina State Dept of Education. M Carr, MS, South Dakota Dept of Education and Cultural Affairs. E Word, MA, Tennessee State Dept of Education. P Simpson, PhD, Dallas Independent School District. L Lacy, MS, Utah State Office of Education. S Tye, PhD, Government of the Virgin Islands Dept of Education. B Nehls-Lowe, MPH, Wisconsin Dept of Public Instruction. B Anderson, Wyoming Dept of Education. National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Div of Adolescent and School Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.

Editorial Note: The findings in this report can be used to plan and evaluate broad national, state, and local interventions for injury prevention and to monitor progress toward achieving national health objectives for the year 2000 (objectives 6.2, 7.8, 7.9, 7.10, 9.12, and 9.13) (*3*). However, because the quality of the samples varied among the state and local surveys, data across sites may not be comparable.

Objectives 6.2 and 7.8 are to reduce by 15% the incidence of injurious suicide attempts that required medical attention among adolescents aged 14–17 years. Based on results from the 1991 national YRBS, the annual prevalence of injurious suicide attempts will need to be reduced from 2.0% to 1.4% by the year 2000 to meet the objectives. Only two sites have met these objectives. Objective 7.9 is to reduce by 20% the incidence of physical fighting among adolescents aged 14–17 years; based on the 1991 national YRBS, the 12-month incidence must decline from 137 to 110 or fewer episodes per 100 students by the year 2000. Only one site has met this objective.

Objective 7.10 is to reduce by 20% the incidence of weapon-carrying by adolescents aged 14–17 years. To meet this objective by the year 2000, the 30-day incidence rate must be reduced from 107 to 86 or fewer episodes per 100 students. Six sites have met this objective. Objective 9.12 is to increase to at least 85% the proportion of motor-vehicle occupants who use occupant-protection systems, and objective 9.13 is to increase use of helmets to at least 80% among motorcyclists and at least 50% among bicyclists. In all 33 sites, the prevalence of safety-belt, motorcycle-helmet, and bicycle-helmet use is substantially below these objectives.

Comprehensive health education programs in elementary, middle, and secondary schools may help meet the national health objectives. These programs should include information about the warning signs of suicide and suicide-prevention services, teach nonviolent conflict-resolution skills, discourage physical fighting and weapon carrying, and promote the use of safety belts and helmets to prevent motor-vehicle injuries (3). Other strategies that have been employed in the school setting to reduce weapon-carrying are random locker searches, walk-throughs with metal detectors, and policies requiring clear plastic or mesh book bags so that weapons cannot be concealed easily (4).

	Tho al	ught seriou bout suicid	ısiy İe	Mad	e suicide p	lans	Atte	mpted sui	cide	Suicide attempt required medical attention [†]		
Site	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total
WEIGHTED DATA							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
National survey	37	21	29	25	13	19	11	4	7	2	1	2
State surveys										-	-	-
Alabama	NA [§]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΔ
Georgia	33	17	25	21	11	16	8	4	6	2	1	2
Idaho	34	21	27	NA	NA	NA	NĂ	NÁ	NĂ	NĀ	NÁ	NÃ
Nebraska	36	21	28	21	13	17		4	7	2	12	12
New Mexico	40	22	31	30	15	22	16	Ġ	11	Ā	2	2
New York ¹	36	20	28	23	11	17	10	Ă		3	1	3
Puerto Rico**	24	15	20	16	8	12	10	Ā	ź	2	÷	
South Carolina	31	20	26	20	13	16	10	Ē	<i>'</i>	2		-
South Dakota	37	23	30	24	13	18	12	Ă	6	3	2	2
Utah	32	19	26	21	12	17	10	7	ž	3	-	2
	02		20		14	.,	10	-	,	3	•	2
Chicago	30	20	25	22	12	10	11	•	10		•	•
Dallas	32	17	25	10	13	14	"	0	10	4	3	4
Et Lauderdale Ela	30	22	27	19	12	15	9	4	/	4	2	3
lorsey City N I	30	20	25	24	12	10	15	4		4	0	1
Minmi	22	10	35	10	13	15	15	0	<u> </u>		4	5
Philadelphia	32	19	20	19	1	15	9	4	/	2	1	2
San Diogo	32	22	20	21	11	10	11	4	8	3	1	2
San Diego	35	~~~	20	22		17	10	3	6	3	2	2
UNWEIGHTED DATA												
State surveys												
Colorado	37	20	28	25	13	19	12	5	8	5	2	3
District of Columbia**	27	19	24	19	11	15	10	6	8	2	3	3
Hawaii	41	25	33	28	16	22	15	8	12	4	3	3
lowa	35	22	29	24	15	20	9	5	7	2	2	2
Montana	39	22	31	26	14	20	12	5	9	4	2	3
New Hampshire	38	23	31	24	16	20	12	6	9	3	2	3
New Jersey ¹	31	20	26	22	14	18	11	6	9	3	2	2
Oregon	36	19	28	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	5	3	4
Pennsylvania¶	35	20	28	23	13	18	9	5	7	2	2	2
Tennessee	34	23	29	23	16	19	11	6	8	2	2	- 2
Virgin Islands**	18	10	14	12	6	9	5	5	5	NĀ	NĀ	NĀ
Wisconsin	37	24	31	23	15	19	12	ē	9	3	2	2
Wyoming	37	22	30	25	15	20	12	6	9	4	2	3
Local surveys							•=	•	-	-	-	•
Boston	27	16	22	17	10	14	٩	4	7	2	2	2
New York City	36	21	29	24	12	18	12	4	, 9	2	2	2
San Francisco	34	20	27	20	10	10	14	7	0	4	2	2

TABLE 1. Percentage of high school students who reported having thought seriously about suicide and who reported suicidal behavior,* by sex — United States and selected U.S. sites, Youth Risk Behavior Surveys, 1991

* During the 12 months preceding the survey. [†] Resulted in an injury, poisoning, or overdose that had to be treated by a doctor or nurse. § Not available; survey did not include these questions.

¹ Survey did not include students from the largest city.

** Categorized as a state for funding purposes.

Vol. 41 / No. 41

MMWR

764

TABLE 2. Percentage of high school students who were in a physical fight,* carried a weapon,[†] or carried a handgun most often,[§] and the incidence of physical fighting¹ and weapon carrying** per 100 students, by sex — United States and selected U.S. sites, Youth Risk Behavior Surveys, 1991

	in a ph	nysical	fight	12-month incidence of physical fighting			Carried a weapon			Carried a handgun most often			30-day incidence of weapon carrying		
Site	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total
WEIGHTED DATA National survey	34	50	42	103	170	137	11	41	26	7	12	11	40	172	107
State surveys															
Alabama	28	47	38	80	150	115	9	57	33	8	10	10	36	266	151
Georgia	31	48	39	89	154	122	8	46	27	7	15	14	36	210	122
Idaho	34	52	44	118	188	157	9	52	32	Ż	.9	7	32	232	140
Nebraska	33	50	42	110	177	144	5	42	24	3	11	11	19	179	99
New Mexico	37	54	46	102	195	152	10	46	29	9	11	11	35	201	124
New York ^{††}	38	50	44	133	179	157	11	39	25	3	6	5	44	164	104
Puerto Rico ^{§§}	26	43	34	74	134	102	7	32	19	13	18	17	23	128	72
South Carolina	32	51	42	91	180	136	12	50	31	8	17	15	48	221	134
South Dakota	34	47	40	107	161	134	6	43	25	10	9	9	21	190	108
Utah	33	51	42	123	185	154	7	42	24	5	11	10	23	175	98
Local surveys															
Chicago	44	60	51	141	167	202	23	44	33	7	31	23	99	177	127
Dallas	41	53	47	133	196	164	16	45	30	28	46	23 A1	63	195	107
Ft. Lauderdale, Fla.	31	45	37	93	154	120	6	29	16	20	18	15	23	100	62
Jersey City, N.J.	46	51	48	128	215	169	26	52	39	Ă	26	18	103	228	164
Miami	35	48	41	104	150	128	14	35	24	20	25	23	48	134	04
Philadelphia	51	62	56	144	218	177	26	49	36	6	28	19	112	212	159
San Diego	32	44	39	98	152	126	12	34	23	5	13	11	44	124	84

UNWEIGHTED DATA State surveys																Vol. Unin
Colorado ^{††}	36	48	42	97	174	137	10	48	29	4	11	10	38	214	129	te 4
District of																ti 🖊
Columbia⁵⁵	40	49	44	112	162	137	28	39	34	8	46	29	122	173	148	9 Z
Hawaii	35	44	40	121	172	147	7	36	22	8	12	11	26	142	84	al D.
lowa	35	50	42	110	182	147	5	42	23	5	6	7	14	182	96	a 41
Montana	34	50	42	124	167	145	11	50	30	8	10	ġ	41	222	129	na
New Hampshire	25	42	34	88	146	118	7	41	25	7	8	8	30	172	102	-
New Jersey ^{††}	34	51	42	98	189	142	10	30	19	7	13	12	35	120	75	76
Oregon	28	42	35	89	149	121	7	44	26	8	.9	- Ā	28	187	110	ne
Pennsylvania [†]	34	46	40	115	162	140	8	45	27	5	7	ã	30	192	100	tic
Tennessee	31	45	38	96	150	122	10	51	30	5	11	10	44	232	103	ž
Virgin Islands ^{§§}	NA ^{¶¶}	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	ŇÁ	ŇĂ	NĂ	ΝÅ	ΝĂ	NA	NA	NIA	9
Wisconsin	35	51	43	110	179	144	7	37	22	7	12	12	20	147	07	5
Wyoming	36	52	44	118	188	155	10	50	31	5	8	12	20 45	224	144	Ē
Local surveys									•••	•	Ŭ	•		234	144	ies
Boston	30	45	36	86	168	122	17	20	27	3	10	12	70	174	447	1
New York City	38	46	42	122	166	153	21	42	31	7	16	10	72	1/4	124	
San Francisco	29	42	35	91	145	117	12	30	21	10	12	12	47	119	82	õ

*At least one fight during the 12 months preceding the survey.

At least 1 day during the 12 months preceding the survey. At least 1 day during the 30 days preceding the survey. Rather than any other weapon such as a rifle, shotgun, knife, razor, or club, among those who carried a weapon. Students who reported fighting two or three times were assigned a fighting frequency of 2.5; four or five times, 4.5; six or seven times, 6.5; eight or nine times, 8.5; 10 or 11 times, 10.5; and 12 or more times, 12.

** Students who replied that they carried a weapon 2 or 3 days were assigned a weapon-carrying frequency of 2.5; 4 or 5 days, 4.5; and 6 or more days, 6. Survey did not include students from the largest city. Categorized as a state for funding purposes. Not available; survey did not include these questions.



FIGURE I. Notifiable disease reports, comparison of 4-week totals ending October 10, 1992, with historical data — United States

*Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

TABLE I. Summary — cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending October 10, 1992 (41st Week)

	Cum. 1992		Cum. 1992
AIDS*	35,339	Measles: imported	118
Botulism: Foodborne	13	Plaque	1,974
Infant	40	Poliomyelitis, Paralytic [†]	-
Other	1	Psittacosis	67
Brucellosis	65	Rabies, human	-
Cholera	97	Syphilis, primary & secondary	26,578
Congenital rubella syndrome	8	Syphilis, congenital, age < 1 year ⁵	697
Diphtheria	4	Tetanus	21
Encephalitis, post-infectious	96	Toxic shock syndrome	188
Gonorrhea	382,489	Trichinosis	22
Haemophilus influenzae (invasive disease)	1.027	Tuberculosis	17,482
Hansen Disease	126	Tularemia	134
Leptospirosis	24	Typhoid fever	298
Lyme Disease	5,732	Typhus fever, tickborne (RMSF)	377

*Updated monthly; last update October 3, 1992.

Two cases of suspected poliomyelitis have been reported in 1992; 6 of the 9 suspected cases with onset in 1991 were confirmed, and 5 of the 8 suspected cases with onset in 1990 were confirmed; all were vaccine associated.

[§]Reports through first quarter 1992.

ì

1

MMWR

And De* Marrin- restricus Genombes A B NA.NB Unspective feetious Lum. 1992 Cum. 1992 Cum. 1993 Cum. 1992 Cum. 1993 Cum. 1992 Cum. 1993 Cum. 1992 Cum. 1993 Cum. 1993 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>Acentic</th> <th>Encept</th> <th>nalitis</th> <th colspan="2">T</th> <th colspan="4">Hepatitis (Viral), by type</th> <th></th> <th></th>			Acentic	Encept	nalitis	T		Hepatitis (Viral), by type					
Curr. Curr. <th< th=""><th>Reporting Area</th><th>AIDS*</th><th>Menin- gitis</th><th>Primary</th><th>Post-in- fectious</th><th>Gono</th><th>rrhea</th><th>A</th><th>В</th><th>NA,NB</th><th>Unspeci- fied</th><th>Legionel- Iosis</th><th>Lyme Disease</th></th<>	Reporting Area	AIDS*	Menin- gitis	Primary	Post-in- fectious	Gono	rrhea	A	В	NA,NB	Unspeci- fied	Legionel- Iosis	Lyme Disease
UNITED STATES 35,339 7,965 522 96 382,489 471,234 15,958 12,249 5,658 569 NEW ENGLAND 1,118 302 23 - 8,120 11,348 466 456 91 9 Minin 38 31 2 - 32 16 02 93 9 9 9 19 Minin 38 31 2 - 32 16 02 93 9 9 9 19 Minin 38 31 2 - 32 16 02 93 9 10 1 - Minin 38 31 2 - 32 16 29 10 21 22 23 24 24 7 18 RL, 74 100 3 - 551 993 117 18 6 - Conn. 399 44,449 5,597 3 1.197 1,505 273 18 Upstate NY, 5,421 114 4 1 14,520 21,514 557 289 4 - NJ, 1,160 3 557 99,112 127 264 389 175 8 NY.CitY 5,421 114 4 1 14,520 21,514 557 289 4 - NJ. ALLOY 5,421 114 4 1 14,520 21,514 557 289 4 - NJ. ALLOY 5,421 114 4 1 14,520 21,514 557 289 14 - NJ. 1,603 557 98,139 183 366 67 - Pa. 1,072 213 16 6 13,422 15,103 213 471 27 10 EN.CENTRAL 3,105 1,254 133 27 7,548 8,960 664 640 551 13 III. 1,481 311 56 6 2,4310 22,630 664 640 551 13 III. 1,481 311 26 2 - 3,066 6,147 63 3350 67 - W.N.CENTRAL 983 431 34 6 17,913 22,329 2,409 158 3350 67 - W.N.CENTRAL 983 431 34 6 17,913 22,329 2,079 519 221 30 Minn. 187 59 13 - 2,332 2,409 561 58 16 2 4 W.N.CENTRAL 89 3 31 34 6 17,913 22,329 2,079 519 221 30 Minn. 187 59 13 - 2,332 2,409 561 58 16 2 2 N.N.CENTRAL 89 3 41 34 6 17,913 22,329 2,079 519 221 30 Minn. 187 59 13 - 2,332 2,409 561 58 16 2 2 Minn. 187 59 13 - 2,332 2,409 561 58 16 2 2 Minn. 187 59 13 - 2,332 2,409 561 58 16 2 2 Minn. 189 84 5 - 3,322 3,279 19 221 30 Minn. 187 59 13 - 2,332 2,409 561 58 16 2 2 Minn. 189 84 5 - 3,322 3,279 19 21 3 Minn. 189 84 5 - 3,355 31,681 149 246 96 - 18,024 10 178 15 1 1 Misb. 258 13 12 - 4,787 7,267 13 3 42 11 14 Misb. 198 49 1 - Minn. 346 98 4 - 11539 16410 95 853 1,581 149 246 96 - Fa. CENTRAL 1,108 421 20 - 3,782 44,788 246 1,040 178 15 1 Misb. 199 - Minn. 354 98 4 2 - 13,345 174 14 2,045 11 Misb. 199 69 1 - 3,224 113,646 117 29 Minn. 107 280 28 5 - 6,359 101 67 7 13 42 227 Misb. 198 499 1 - 3,224 11,349 447 44 198 13 Minn. 107 280 28 5 8,724 2,735 1,141 1,116 21 119 Misb. 199 69 1 - 3,224 2,273 3,1141 1,116 21 119 Misb. 199 69 1 - 3,2		Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992
NEW ENGLAND 1,118 302 23 - 8,120 11,348 466 456 90 19 NH. 34 22 2 - 92 160 30 30 20 1 NH. 34 22 2 - 92 160 30 30 20 1 NH. 23 317 4 - 23 42 8 12 11 - Mass. 552 132 12 - 2,331 4,927 232 364 47 18 R1. 74 100 3 - 551 993 117 18 6 - Conn. 399 4,449 5,099 51 13 - NDL ATLANTC 9,276 675 20 7 42,342 55,73 1,197 1,505 273 18 NJ. 1,100 348 - 8,291 10,217 264 389 175 8 NJ. 1,100 348 - 8,291 10,217 264 389 175 8 NJ. 1,100 348 - 8,291 10,217 264 389 175 8 NJ. 1,100 348 - 8,291 10,217 264 389 175 8 NJ. 1,100 348 - 7,829 1,102 17 264 389 175 8 NJ. 1,100 348 - 7,150 9,139 183 366 67 - Pa. 1,072 213 16 6 13,422 15,103 213 471 27 10 EN. CENTRAL 3,105 1,254 133 27 73,668 87,329 2,219 1,873 1,128 34 Ind. 294 163 10 11 7,154 8,960 664 640 451 13 NI. 1,481 311 66 6 24,310 26,301 464 224 75 6 Mich. 582 410 22 8 17,045 19,420 119 473 361 11 Wis. 191 26 2 - 3,066 6,147 633 350 67 - W.N. CENTRAL 983 431 34 6 17,913 23,229 2,079 519 221 30 Minn. 187 59 13 - 2,332 2,309 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,232 1,574 39 29 5 4 Mo. 502 193 8 - 10,21 14,18 841 343 169 22 N. Dak. 8 1 3 - 52 67 97 1 3 1 S. Dak. 7 8 1 1 1 48 21 400 53 1,010 2,031 774 92 Iowa 74 63 - 3,232 2,270 9519 221 30 N. Dak. 8 1 3 - 52 67 97 1 3 1 S. ATLANTIC 7,993 1,250 132 42 116,328 140,053 1,010 2,031 774 92 Jowa 74 63 2 4 2 8 1,477 225 32 13 - Nabr. 46 23 4 2 8 1,477 225 32 13 - Nabr. 46 23 4 2 8 1,477 425 32 13 - Nabr. 46 23 4 2 - 3,352 3,168 149 246 96 - S. C. 258 13 2 - 8,855 11,511 184 318 51 - 0,00 162 13 - 2,4787 7,70 8 337 70 - S. C. 258 13 2 - 8,855 11,776 81 34 946 96 - Jou 40 1,020 146 2 - 3,799 4,877 43 105 15 - 1 1,034 421 20 - 3,799 4,877 43 105 15 - 1 1,04 421 20 - 3,799 4,877 43 105 15 - 1 1,054 8,99 14 2 - Nabr. 429 90 142 13 - 1,270 88 246 100 97 152 39 Nok. 219 108 3 - Nabr. 139 108 3 - 12,770 488 144 97 19 MUNTANN 1,017 280 28 5 - 13,224 14,801 97 148 641 19 MUNTANN 1,017 280 28 5 - 13,224 14,807 95 132 - 14,808 149 12 - 14,808 142 777 4,880 2,749 1,225 18 Mon. 12,904 13 2 - 14,806 132 19 1,250 18 14 19	UNITED STATES	35,339	7,965	522	96	382,489	471,234	15,953	12,249	5,658	568	1,030	5,732
Maine 36 31 2 - 74 127 28 19 19 1 VI 23 17 4 - 22 42 8 11 1 VI 23 17 4 - 23 42 8 11 1 Mass. 552 132 12 - 2,81 420 23 16 4 1 16 6 5093 1,197 1,506 273 16 6 7 - - 4,449 5,973 1,197 1,506 273 16 6 7 - 7 1,242 19,133 13 471 27 10 7 7 10 7 10 7 10 7 10 7 10 10 12 133 11 10 12 133 11 12 13 12 11 12 12 11 11 11 11	NEW ENGLAND	1,118	302	23	-	8,120	11,348	466	456	90	19	47	1,283
	Maine	36	31	2	-	74	127	28	19	6 20	1	2	4
Mass. 552 132 12 - 2,331 4,227 232 364 47 18 Conn. 399 - - - 4,449 5,099 51 13 - - Conn. 399 - - - 8,291 10,217 264 389 175 18 MD.ATLANTU 1,180 348 - - 5,709 9,139 183 356 67 - Pa. 1,072 213 16 6 13,422 15,103 213 471 127 10 E.M.CENTRAL 3,105 1,254 133 27 73,568 67,329 2,19 186 74 4 Intil 1,481 133 10 11 7,154 8,960 644 640 51 13 Ittil 1,481 133 10 12,4310 26,310 464 224 75 6 Minn.	√t.	23	17	4	-	23	42	8	12	ĩĭ	-	ž	5
n.t. 1/4 100 3 - 351 253 117 16 0 - MID. ATLANTIC 9,276 675 20 7 4,249 55,099 51 13 - - MID. ATLANTIC 9,276 675 20 7 4,242 55,099 51 13 150 7 18 NY. City 5,421 114 4 1 14,200 21,514 537 289 4 - - 6,291 10,217 264 389 17 7 8 N.J. 1,002 213 16 6 13,422 15,103 213 47 4 Ohio 558 344 43 2 21,932 26,422 339 18 7 4 Hid. 1,481 311 56 6 24,310 26,310 26,313 350 67 - Win. 191 26 2 3,046 6,147 633 350 67 13 14 Mich. <t< td=""><td>Mass.</td><td>552</td><td>132</td><td>12</td><td>-</td><td>2,931</td><td>4,927</td><td>232</td><td>364</td><td>47</td><td>18</td><td>28</td><td>191</td></t<>	Mass.	552	132	12	-	2,931	4,927	232	364	47	18	28	191
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K.I. Conn.	399	100	-	-	4,449	5.099	51	13	-	-	-	830
Upstate N.X. 1.180 348 - - 8.291 10.217 264 389 175 8 N.Y. Ciry 5.421 114 4 1 14200 21.514 577 289 4 - N.J. 1.603 - - - 5.709 9.139 183 356 67 - Pa. 1.072 213 16 6 13.422 15.103 213 471 27 10 E.N.CENTRAL 3.105 1.254 133 27 73.568 87.329 2.219 1.813 1.128 34 Hind. .481 341 34 6 1.715 322 2.09 519 221 30 Minn. 187 53 34 2 8 1.232 1.574 39 29 5 4 Mo. 502 193 8 - 1.222 1.574 49 29 5 1		9.276	675	20	7	42.342	55.973	1,197	1,505	273	18	272	3,225
NY.CIRY 5.421 114 4 1 14200 21.514 537 228 4 NJ. 1.603	Upstate N.Y.	1,180	348		-	8,291	10,217	264	389	175	8	104	1,999
n 1,072 213 16 6 13,422 15,103 213 471 27 10 E.N. CENTRAL 3,105 1,254 133 27 73,568 87,329 2,219 1,873 1,128 34 Ohio 558 344 43 2 21,93 26,492 339 166 74 4 Ind. 294 163 10 11 7,154 8,960 664 424 224 75 6 Mich. 582 410 22 8 17,045 19,420 119 473 361 11 Wis. 191 26 2 3,066 6,147 633 350 6 2 Non. 502 193 8 - 1322 1,574 39 16 2 10 1,84 841 343 16 2 10 1,3 1 1,4 1,4 225 15 1 343 1 1 1,5 1,3 1,2 1,3 1,1 1,3 1,3 <td>N.Y. City</td> <td>5,421</td> <td>114</td> <td>4</td> <td>1</td> <td>14,920</td> <td>21,514</td> <td>537 183</td> <td>289</td> <td>4 67</td> <td>-</td> <td>6 27</td> <td>15 450</td>	N.Y. City	5,421	114	4	1	14,920	21,514	537 183	289	4 67	-	6 27	15 450
E.N. CENTRAL 3,105 1,254 133 27 7,3668 87,229 2,219 1,873 1,128 34 Ohio 558 344 43 2 21,993 26,492 339 166 74 4 Ind. 294 163 10 11 7,154 8,960 644 224 75 6 Mich. 582 410 22 8 7,066 6,147 633 350 67 - W.N. CENTRAL 983 431 34 6 17,913 22,922 2,079 519 221 30 Minn. 187 59 13 - 2,332 2,409 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,232 2,409 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,232 2,409 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,232 2,409 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,232 2,409 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,232 2,409 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,232 2,409 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,232 2,409 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,232 2,409 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,232 2,409 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,232 2,409 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,232 1,574 39 29 5 4 Mo. 502 193 8 - 10,221 14,184 841 334 169 222 N. Dak. 7 8 1 1 146 2290 196 4 - Nebr. 46 23 4 2 8 1,474 225 32 15 1 Kans. 159 84 5 - 3,922 3,294 120 52 13 - S. ATLANTIC 7,993 1,250 132 42 116,328 140,053 1,010 2,031 774 92 Del. 102 46 6 - 1,400 2,270 40 178 163 1 Md. 990 162 13 - 12,582 15,511 184 318 31 5 D.C. 538 23 1 - 4,787 7,267 13 644 - Va. 472 206 31 12 13,082 14,300 95 152 30 32 V. Va. 472 206 31 12 13,082 14,300 95 152 30 32 V. Va. 472 206 31 12 30,82 14,300 95 152 30 32 V. Va. 402 29 55 - 682 991 7 452 24 N.C. 534 133 22 - 19,365 27,970 83 337 70 - S.C. 258 19 8,661 11,776 21 45 1 1 Ga. 1,036 164 2 - 3,791 4,777 75 78 3 - Tenn. 354 98 4 - 11,539 16,410 94 246 96 - Fla. 4,021 468 2 30 22,224 28,287 418 646 17 29 E.S. CENTRAL 1,08 421 20 - 3,7829 46,788 246 1,040 1,600 2 Y. V. 174 146 12 - 3,791 4,777 75 32 - Ak. 200 59 04 31 2 20,142 29,735 1,141 1,116 21 119 MOUNTAIN 1,017 280 28 5 9,728 9,721 2,288 854 255 1 Mas. 189 69 1 - 9,228 45,553 1,586 1,492 122 129 Mont. 17 9 1 1 88 77 78 32 27 - 104no 22 22 90 124 73 70 - 1040, 145 21 20 - 37,629 42,749 445 90 77 19 Ak. 200 73 6 1 3,402 3,5557 151 160 33 3 Tex. 2,305 904 31 2 20,142 29,735 1,141 1,116 21 119 MOUNTAIN 1,017 280 28 5 9,728 9,721 2,288 854 255 17 Mas. 189 69 11 3,463 2,749 42,749	Pa.	1,072	213	16	6	13,422	15,103	213	471	27	10	135	761
Ohio 558 344 43 2 21,993 26,492 339 186 74 4 Ind. 1,481 311 56 6 24,310 26,492 339 66 64,640 551 13 Win. 191 26 2 - 3,066 6,147 633 350 67 - Win. 191 26 2 - 3,066 6,147 633 56 58 16 2 Wawa 74 63 - 3 1,232 1,574 39 29 5 4 Mo. 502 193 8 - 1,232 1,574 39 2 15 1 Nak. 8 1 3 - 52 67 97 1 3 12 3,322 21 15 1 Nak. 7 8 1 1 16,328 140,053 1,010 2,031 </td <td>E.N. CENTRAL</td> <td>3,105</td> <td>1,254</td> <td>133</td> <td>27</td> <td>73,568</td> <td>87,329</td> <td>2,219</td> <td>1,873</td> <td>1,128</td> <td>34</td> <td>272</td> <td>117</td>	E.N. CENTRAL	3,105	1,254	133	27	73,568	87,329	2,219	1,873	1,128	34	272	117
	Ohio	558	344	43	2	21,993	26,492	339	186	74	4	122	48
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	nd. II	294	163	10 56	11 6	24 310	26 310	664 464	224	75	6	30 24	30 17
Wis. 191 26 2 - 3,066 6,147 633 350 67 - W.N. CENTRAL 983 431 34 6 17,913 23,292 2,079 519 221 30 Minn. 187 59 13 - 2,332 2,409 561 58 16 2 Iowa 74 63 - 3 1,252 14,184 841 343 169 222 N. Dak. 8 1 3 - 52 67 97 1 3 1 Nebr. 46 23 4 2 8 1,474 225 32 15 1 Kans. 102 46 6 - 1,400 2270 40 178 163 1 D.C. 538 23 1 - 4,767 7,267 13 64 24 - 3,3770 - 2 24 4 - 1,400 250 159 12 30 33 70	Mich.	582	410	22	8	17,045	19,420	119	473	361	11	61	22
W.N. CENTRAL 983 431 34 6 17,913 23,292 2,079 519 221 30 Minn. 187 59 13 - 2,332 2,409 561 56 16 2 Iowa 502 193 8 - 10,221 14,184 841 343 169 22 N. Dak. 8 1 1 146 290 97 1 3 1 S. Dak. 7 8 1 1 146 290 106 4 - - Kans. 159 84 5 - 3,224 100 2,10 17,492 20 13 - 1,4767 7,267 13 64 264 - - Va. 472 206 31 12 13,082 14,300 95 152 30 32 24 N.C. 534 133 22 - 19,365 11,10 1	Nis.	191	26	2	-	3,066	6,147	633	350	67	-	29	-
Minn. 187 59 13 - 2.322 2.409 501 503 105 4 Mo. 502 193 8 - 10.221 14.184 841 343 169 22 N. Dak. 7 8 1 1 146 290 196 4 - - Nebr. 46 23 4 2 8 1,474 225 32 15 1 Kans. 159 84 5 - 3,922 3,294 120 52 13 - S. ATLANTIC 7,993 1,250 132 42 116,328 140.053 1.010 2.031 774 92 Md. 990 162 13 - 12,582 15,511 184 318 13 15 Dc. 538 23 1 - 4,787 7267 13 64 24 - N.C. 534 </td <td>W.N. CENTRAL</td> <td>983</td> <td>431</td> <td>34</td> <td>6</td> <td>17,913</td> <td>23,292</td> <td>2,079</td> <td>519</td> <td>221</td> <td>30</td> <td>64</td> <td>265</td>	W.N. CENTRAL	983	431	34	6	17,913	23,292	2,079	519	221	30	64	265
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Vinn. owa	187	59 63	13	3	2,332	2,409	39	29	5	4	16	17
N. Dak. 8 1 3 - 52 67 97 1 3 1 Nebr. 46 23 4 2 8 1.474 225 32 15 Kans. 159 84 5 - 3.922 3.294 120 52 13 - S. ATLANTIC 7.993 1.250 132 42 116.328 140.053 1.010 2.031 774 92 Del. 102 46 6 - 1.400 2.270 40 178 163 1 Md. 990 162 13 - 4.787 7.267 13 64 264 - Va. 472 206 31 12 13.082 14.300 95 152 30 327 V.Va. 472 206 31 12 13.082 14.300 95 152 30 S.C. 258 19 - - 8651 11.776 21 45 1 1 6661 17 <td>Mo.</td> <td>502</td> <td>193</td> <td>8</td> <td>-</td> <td>10,221</td> <td>14,184</td> <td>841</td> <td>343</td> <td>169</td> <td>22</td> <td>23</td> <td>95</td>	Mo.	502	193	8	-	10,221	14,184	841	343	169	22	23	95
S. Dak. j i<	N. Dak.	8	1	3	-	52 146	67 200	97 196	1	3	1	2	
Kans.159845-3,9223,2941205213S. ATLANTIC7,9931,25013242116,328140,0531,0102,03177492Del.102466-1,4002,270401781631Md.99016213-12,58215,511184318315D.C.538231-4,7877,2671364264-Va.422955-682991745224N.C.53413322-19,36527,9708333770-S.C.258198,65111,776214511Ga.1,0361642-33,55531,881149246966-Fla.4,02146823022,22428,28741864611729E.S. CENTRAL1,10842120-37,82946,7882461,0401,6002Ky.17414612-3,7914,77775783-Ala.3911083-13,27114,230175141Wiss.189691-9,22813,36433411Wiss.2,30590431220,422<	S. Dak. Nebr.	46	23	4	2	8	1,474	225	32	15	1	15	9
S. ATLANTIC 7,993 1,250 132 42 116,328 140,053 1,010 2,031 774 92 Del. 102 46 6 - 1,400 2,270 40 178 163 1 Md. 990 162 13 - 12,582 15,511 184 318 31 5 D.C. 538 23 1 - 4,787 7,267 13 64 264 - Va. 42 29 55 - 682 991 7 45 2 24 N.C. 534 133 22 - 19,365 27,970 83 337 70 - Ga. 1,036 164 2 - 33,555 31,661 149 246 96 - 16 ES. CENTRAL 1,106 421 20 - 37,271 46,78 246 1,040 1,600 2 Ky. 174 146 12 - 37,237 46,788 246 <t< td=""><td>Kans.</td><td>159</td><td>84</td><td>5</td><td>-</td><td>3,922</td><td>3,294</td><td>120</td><td>52</td><td>13</td><td>-</td><td>3</td><td>15</td></t<>	Kans.	159	84	5	-	3,922	3,294	120	52	13	-	3	15
	S. ATLANTIC	7,993	1,250	132	42	116,328	140,053	1,010	2,031	774	92	151	490
	Del. Ma	102	46	6 13	:	1,400	2,270	40	1/8	31	1	22	127
Va. 472 206 31 12 13,082 14,300 95 152 30 32 W. Va. 42 29 55 $-$ 682 991 7 45 2 24 N.C. 534 133 22 $-$ 19,365 27,970 83 337 70 $-$ S.C. 258 19 $ -$ 8,651 11,776 21 45 1 1 Ga. 1,036 164 2 $-$ 33,555 31,681 149 246 96 $-$ Fla. 4,021 468 2 30 22,224 28,287 418 646 117 29 E.S. CENTRAL 1,108 421 20 $-$ 37,919 4,777 75 78 3 $-$ Ala. 391 108 3 $-$ 13,391 16,410 95 853 1,581 $-$ Miss. 189 69 1 $-$ 9,228 11,364 33 4	D.C.	538	23	1	-	4,787	7,267	13	64	264	-	9	2
W. Va.422955-06259743224N.C.53413322-19,36527,970633370-S.C.258198,65111,776214511Ga.1,0361642-33,55531,68114924696-Fla.4,02146823022,22428,28741864611729E.S. CENTRAL1,10842120-37,82946,7882461,0401,6002Ky.17414612-3,7914,77775783-Tenn.354984-11,53916,410958531,581-Ala.3911083-13,27114,23743105151Miss.189691-9,2281,36433411W.S. CENTRAL3,26496946541,89253,9531,5681,492122129Ark.200117-5,7536,3591016774La.568545111,67412,302175149613Okla.191-3220,14229,7351,1411,11621119Mout.1791<	/a.	472	206	31	12	13,082	14,300	95	152	30	32	18	94
S.C. 258 19 - - 8,651 11,776 21 45 1 1 Ga. 1,036 164 2 - 33,555 31,681 149 246 96 - Fla. 4,021 468 2 30 22,224 28,287 418 646 117 29 E.S. CENTRAL 1,108 421 20 - 37,829 46,788 246 1,040 1,600 2 Ky. 174 146 12 - 3,791 4,777 75 78 3 - Ala. 391 108 3 - 13,271 14,237 43 105 15 1 Miss. 189 69 1 - 9,288 1,384 33 4 1 1 Miss. 189 69 4 5 1 11,674 12,302 175 149 61 3 3 Mst. 2,305 904 31 2 20,142 29,735 1,141	W.Va. N.C.	42 534	133	55 22	-	19,365	27.970	83	337	70	- 24	31	53
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S.C.	258	19		-	8,651	11,776	21	45	1	1	16	2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ga.	1,036	164	2		33,555	31,681	149	246	96 117	29	21	21
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IG.	4,021	400	20	50	27 920	46 799	246	1 040	1 600	20	52	54
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	V.	174	146	12	-	3,791	4,777	75	78	3	-	24	19
Ala. 391 108 3 - 13,2/1 14,23 43 105 15 1 Miss. 189 69 1 - 9,228 11,364 33 4 1 1 Miss. 189 69 1 - 9,228 11,364 33 4 1 1 W.S. CENTRAL 3,264 969 46 5 41,892 53,953 1,568 1,492 122 129 Ark. 200 11 7 - 5,753 6,359 101 67 7 4 La. 568 54 5 1 11,674 12,302 175 149 61 3 Okla. 191 - 3 2 4,323 5,557 151 160 33 3 3 3 3 22 27 - Idaho 22 22 2 - 90 124 73 70 - 1 MOUNTAIN 1,017 280 28 4 1 746<	Tenn.	354	98	4	-	11,539	16,410	95	853	1,581		22	26
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ala. Mice	391	108	3	-	13,271	14,237	43	105	15	1	• -	9
W.S. CENTRAL 3,204 305 40 5 41,52 30,53 1,505 1,42 113 116 21 119 3 2 4,323 5,557 151 160 33 3 3 3 3 2 20,142 29,735 1,141 1,116 21 119 MOUNTAIN 1,017 280 28 5 9,728 9,721 2,288 584 225 46 Mont. 17 9 1 1 88 77 78 32 27 - 1 100 13 355 1,744 9 9 1 1 86 1 100 13 22 22 - - 90 12 7 10 - 1 100 13 31 23 1 27 100 <td>NE CENTRAL</td> <td>2 264</td> <td>060</td> <td>46</td> <td>5</td> <td>A1 992</td> <td>52 952</td> <td>1 569</td> <td>1 /192</td> <td>122</td> <td>129</td> <td>20</td> <td>97</td>	NE CENTRAL	2 264	060	46	5	A1 992	52 952	1 569	1 /192	122	129	20	97
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ark.	200	11	7	-	5,753	6,359	1,500	67	7	4	-	12
Okla. 191 - 3 2 4,323 5,55 151 160 33 3 Tex. 2,305 904 31 2 20,142 29,735 1,141 1,116 21 119 MOUNTAIN 1,017 280 28 5 9,724 2,288 584 225 46 Mont. 17 9 1 1 88 77 78 32 27 - Idaho 22 22 - - 90 124 73 70 - 1 Wyo. 2 4 2 - 46 81 9 8 42 - Colo. 322 90 10 1 3,453 2,749 645 90 77 19 N. Mex. 75 28 4 1 746 809 246 160 19 8 Ariz. 320 73 6	La.	568	54	5	1	11,674	12,302	175	149	61	3	4	5
	Ukla. Tex	191 2 305	904	3	2	4,323	5,557 29,735	151	1.116	33 21	3 119	7	23 57
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1 017	280	29	5	9 728	9 721	2 288	584	225	46	80	15
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mont.	17	9	1	ĭ	88	77	78	32	27	-	9	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	daho	22	22	-	-	90	124	73	70	-	1	4	2
N. Mex. 75 28 4 1 746 809 246 160 19 8 Ariz. 320 73 6 1 3,402 3,586 898 132 22 12 Utah 96 13 3 1 271 255 259 13 25 6 Nev. 163 41 2 - 1,632 2,040 80 79 13 - PACIFIC 7,474 2,383 86 4 34,769 42,777 4,880 2,749 1,225 198 Wash. 429 - 1 - 2,927 3,710 618 278 124 7 Oreg. 235 - - - 1,298 1,621 335 216 60 9 Gaiff. 6,676 2,306 79 3 29,611 36,100 3,728 2,228 851 172 Alaska	rvyo. Colo	322	4 90	10	1	3.453	2,749	645	90	77	19	17	-
Ariz. 320 73 6 1 3,402 3,586 698 132 22 12 Utah 96 13 3 1 271 255 259 13 25 6 Nev. 163 41 2 - 1,632 2,040 80 79 13 - PACIFIC 7,474 2,383 86 4 34,769 42,777 4,880 2,749 1,225 198 Wash. 429 - 1 - 2,927 3,710 618 278 124 7 Oreg. 235 - - - 1,298 1,621 335 216 60 9 Calif. 6,676 2,306 79 3 29,611 36,160 3,728 2,228 851 172 Alaska 13 13 6 - 531 688 54 13 3 1 Hawaii 121 64 - 1 402 598 145 14 187 9<	N. Mex.	75	28	4	i	746	809	246	160	19	8	2	2
Otan 96 13 3 1 2/1 235 239 13 25 60 Nev. 163 41 2 - 1,632 2,040 80 79 13 - PACIFIC 7,474 2,383 86 4 34,769 42,777 4,880 2,749 1,225 198 Wash. 429 - 1 - 2,927 3,710 618 278 124 7 Oreg. 235 - - - 1,298 1,621 335 216 60 9 Calif. 6,676 2,306 79 3 29,611 36,160 3,728 2,228 851 172 Alaska 13 13 6 - 531 688 54 13 3 1 Hawaii 121 64 - 1 402 598 145 14 187 9 Guam -<	Ariz.	320	73	6	1	3,402	3,586	898	132	22	12	26	-
PACIFIC 7,474 2,383 86 4 34,769 42,777 4,880 2,749 1,225 198 Wash. 429 - 1 - 2,927 3,710 618 278 124 7 Oreg. 235 - - - 1,298 1,621 335 216 60 9 Calif. 6,676 2,306 79 3 29,611 36,160 3,728 2,228 851 172 Alaska 13 13 6 - 531 688 54 13 3 1 Hawaii 121 64 - 1 402 598 145 14 187 9 Guam - 2 - - 50 12 5 1 - 6 R. 1,349 145 1 - 192 437 38 350 167	Vev.	163	41	2	-	1,632	255	259	79	13	-	20	-
Wash. 429 - 1 - 2,927 3,710 618 278 124 7 Oreg. 235 - - 1,298 1,621 335 216 60 9 Calif. 6,676 2,306 79 3 29,611 36,160 3,728 2,228 851 172 Alaska 13 13 6 - 531 688 54 13 3 1 Hawaii 121 64 - 1 402 598 145 14 187 9 Guam - 2 - - 50 12 5 1 - 6 R, 1,349 145 1 - 192 437 38 350 162 17	PACIFIC	7.474	2.383	86	4	34,769	42.777	4.880	2.749	1.225	198	72	186
Oreg. 235 - - 1,298 1,621 335 216 60 9 Calif. 6,676 2,306 79 3 29,611 36,160 3,728 2,228 851 172 Alaska 13 13 6 - 531 688 54 13 3 1 Hawaii 121 64 - 1 402 598 145 14 187 9 Guarn - 2 - - 50 12 5 1 6 R, 1.349 145 1 - 192 437 38 350 162 17	Nash.	429	-,	1	-	2,927	3,710	618	278	124	7	10	11
Comm. Comm. <th< td=""><td>Dreg. Calif</td><td>235</td><td>2 2000</td><td>- 70</td><td>-</td><td>1,298</td><td>1,621</td><td>335</td><td>216</td><td>60 851</td><td>9 172</td><td>1</td><td>174</td></th<>	Dreg. Calif	235	2 2000	- 70	-	1,298	1,621	335	216	60 851	9 172	1	174
Hawaii 121 64 - 1 402 598 145 14 187 9 Guam - 2 50 12 5 1 - 6 P.R. 1.349 145 1 - 192 437 38 350 162 17	Alaska	0,076	2,300	6	- -	531	688	3,728	2,228	3	1	-	
Guam - 2 50 12 5 1 - 6 P.R. 1.349 145 1 - 192 437 38 350 162 17	lawaii	121	64	-	1	402	598	145	14	187	9	1	1
P.R. 1.349 145 1 - 192 437 38 350 162 17	Guam	-	2	-	-	50	12	5	1		6	-	1
	P.R.	1,349	145	1	-	192	437	38	350	162	17	1	-
Amer, Samoa 37 44 1 1	Amer. Samoa		-	-	-	37	309	4	1 1	-	-	-	-
C.N.M.I 62 75 2	C.N.M.I.	-	-	•	-	62	75	2	<u> </u>	•	-	-	-

TABLE II. Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 10, 1992, and October 12, 1991 (41st Week)

*Updated monthly; last update October 3, 1992.

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands

		Measles (Rubeola)				Menin-						D h ll .				
Reporting Area	Malaria	Indig	enous	Impo	orted*	Total	gococcal Infections	Mu	mps	•	Pertussi	5	nubella			
	Cum. 1992	1992	Cum. 1992	1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1992	1992	Cum. 1992	1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1991	1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1991	
UNITED STATES	744	1	1,974	-	118	8,850	1,727	40	2,009	74	1,935	2,132	-	142	1,285	
NEW ENGLAND	41	-	56	-	13	80	105	-	15	-	182	245	-	6	4	
Maine	1	-	-	-	4	5	9	-	-	-	11	51	-	1	:	
N.H.	3	-	15	-	-	Ē	5	-	3	-	43	18	-	-	1	
Mass.	22		16	-	5	35	41		3	-	85	146	-	-	2	
R.I.	5	-	23	-	-	4	7	-	-	-	1	-	-	4	-	
Conn.	10	-	2	-	4	31	38	-	8	-	35	26	-	1	1	
MID. ATLANTIC	193	-	173	-	15	4,603	193	2	141	17	186	198	-	16	565	
Upstate N.Y.	30	-	81	-	5	401	96	-	57	3	79	114	-	11	539	
N.Y. City	25	-	42	-	8	1,710	18		12	-	16	14	-	2	2	
Pa.	25			-	i	1,460	54	2	63	14	82	50	-	3	22	
EN CENTRAL	49		38	-	14	86	266	1	263	2	256	369		8	320	
Ohio	9	-	-	-	6	3	65	-	93	-	63	80	-	-	283	
Ind.	11	-	20	-	-	6	41	-	9	-	31	69	-	-	3	
IN	14	-		-	4	26	69	2	81	-	25	69	-	8	8	
MICh. Wie	12	-		-	2	42	/2		12	2	128	3/	-	-	25	
WIS.					-		10			-	170	100		-	10	
W.N. CENTRAL Minn	30 16	-	5	-	8	59 27	82	:	19	3	32	180	-		6	
lowa	2	-	-	-	ž	17	8	-	10	-	5	20	-	3	ĕ	
Mo.	11	-	-	-	-	1	29	-	27	-	78	59	-	-	5	
N. Dak.	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	14	3	-	-	1	
S. Dak. Nebr	1	-	-	-	-	- 1	1	-	-	2	14	4	-	-	-	
Kans.	4	-	1	-	-	13	16	-	2	-	23	10	-	4	-	
S ATLANTIC	151	1	123	_	12	497	352	16	736	15	137	210		20	8	
Del.	5	-	3	-	12	21	2		, 30	-	7		-	-	-	
Md.	44	1	10	-	7	176	30	2	66	-	23	49	-	6	1	
D.C.	10	-		-	:		3	-	5	-	1	1	-	1	1	
Va. W.Va	33	-	11	-	4	30	49	-	49	-	10	20	-	-	-	
N.C.	10	-	25		-	44	104	12	192	14	36	34	-	-	2	
S.C.	1	-	29	-	-	13	22	2	51	-	10	12	-	7	-	
Ga.	5	-	2	-	1	15	46	-	70	-	14	42	-	2	-	
F1a.	41	-	43	-	-	198	80	-	2/2	1	29	43	-	5	4	
E.S. CENTRAL	18	-	446	-	18	6	110	2	57	3	27	83	-	1	100	
Ky. Tenn	12	-	445	-	2	2	32	1	15	2		25	-	-	100	
Ala.	4	-	-	-	-	2	35	i	13	1	15	44	-	:	-	
Miss.	1	-	1	-	16	-	11	-	29	-	3	4	-	-	-	
W.S. CENTRAL	25	-	1,007	-	5	198	125	8	339	-	53	115	-	-	7	
Ark.	3	-	-	-	-	5	12	-	6	· -	17	10	-	-	1	
La.	1	-		-	-	-	26	-	21	-	8	16	-	-	-	
Ukla. Tev	5 16	-	11		5	193	14	- 8	295	-	28	3/	-	-	ĥ	
MOUNTAIN	26		24			1 202	7.5	2	100	10	221	272				
Mont.	20	-	24	-		1,202	64 14	3	122	3	321	2/3	-		23	
Idaho	1	-	-	-	-	449	8	-	3	-	39	27	-	1	-	
Wyo.	:	-	1	-	-	3	2	-		-	-	3	-	-	-	
Colo.	6	-	20	-	7	7	17		19	-	38	114	-	1	3	
Ariz.	9	-	2	-		402	19		67		110	57	-	2	2	
Utah	4	-	-	-	-	224	4	-	20	3	35	33	-	2	11	
Nev.	2	-	-	-	-	19	12	2	11	-	2	2	-	2	5	
PACIFIC	205	-	101	-	25	2,119	410	8	272	22	594	459	-	76	240	
Wash.	15	-	-	-	10	61	66	1	12	13	188	126	-	6	8	
Oreg. Calif	11	-	3	-	1	1 029	57	N	N 220	1	39	58	-	3	210	
Alaska	''i	-	90 R	-	1	1,936	2/3		238	4	340	213	:	44	218	
Hawaii	7	-	34	-	10	27	ĕ	1	21	-	17	49	-	23	10	
Guam	2	υ	10	υ	-	-	1	υ	11	U	-	-	U	3	-	
P.R.	-	-	339	-	-	94	3	-	1	-	11	53	-	-	1	
V.I.	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	
C.N.M.I.	-	u.	1	Ū.	1	24		ū	-	n.	Ю 1	-	, ii	-	-	
		-	•	-	•	_							-	5	-	

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 10, 1992, and October 12, 1991 (41st Week)

*For measles only, imported cases include both out-of-state and international importations. N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable [†] International [§] Out-of-state ۱

-**

MMWR

Syph (Primary & S Reporting Area		philis Secondary)	Toxic- Shock Syndrome	Tuber	culosis	Tula- remia	Typhoid Fever	Typhus Fever (Tick-borne) (RMSF)	Rabies, Animal
	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1992
UNITED STATES	26,578	33,111	188	17,482	17,807	134	298	377	6,421
NEW ENGLAND	524	823	12	399	510	1	26	7	674
Maine	2	1	1	19	30	-	-	-	÷
N.n. Vt.	1	2	-	6	8	-	-	-	21
Mass.	269	387	4	213	261	1	16	3	22
R.I. Conn	24 190	44 377	1	34 112	75	-	9	2	624
	3 781	5 723	23	3 928	4 106		78	33	1 954
Upstate N.Y.	261	540	9	321	357	-	10	15	1,133
N.Y. City	2,032	2,887	-	2,419	2,513	-	34	5	16
N.J. Pa	445	9/9 1.317	14	702 486	680 556	-	13	4	560 245
EN CENTRAL	4 067	4 006	50	1 766	1 760	1	35	28	133
Ohio	645	525	15	257	270	-	6	15	13
Ind.	238	147	11	145	174	:	1	6	17
III.	1,884	1,850	5	912	913	1	24	2	33
Wis.	534	476	19	61	84	-	1	3	56
W.N. CENTRAL	1.093	620	32	403	410	55	6	28	926
Minn.	73	56	7	107	80	-	2	-	143
lowa	36	60	5	32	54	-	1	2	151
Mo. N. Dak	821	420	7	185	180	40	2	20	25
S. Dak.	-	i	-	19	28	11	-	1	113
Nebr.	1	12	4	16	15	2	1	-	12
Kans.	161	70	7	38	47	2	-	5	350
S. ATLANTIC	7,178	9,722	22	3,330	3,381	5	27	109	1,436
Del. Md	168	140	3	292	25	1	5	14	437
D.C.	305	595	-	89	148	-	ĩ	1	15
Va.	552	744	3	292	273	2	2	19	270
W.Va.	15	24	1	73	56	1	1	5	36
S.C.	965	1,212	1	319	333		2	-5	143
Ga.	1,433	2,400	5	686	687	1		7	291
Fla.	1,335	2,270	4	1,098	1,121	-	16	3	41
E.S. CENTRAL	3,389	3,660	3	1,113	1,152	8	3	60	158
Ky. Tenn	133	82 1 186		307	282	1	-	51	5/
Ala.	1,205	1,391	-	326	310	<i>.</i>	-	3 3	67
Miss.	1,177	1,001	-	196	237	-	3	-	1
W.S. CENTRAL	4,827	5,860	2	2,069	2,171	34	14	96	596
Ark.	661	478	-	163	185	23	1	15	39
Ca. Okla.	301	2,111	1	155	1/5	11		80	277
Tex.	1,878	3,112	i	1,627	1,674		12	1	272
MOUNTAIN	282	460	15	437	493	24	5	10	215
Mont.	7	6	1		6	12	-	3	20
idano Wyo	1	4	1	19	6		1	1	5 91
Colo.	45	68	6	30	69	4	2	-	22
N. Mex.	36	26	1	64	59	4	1	1	8
Ariz. Litab	142	293	2	204	251	-	-	-	61
Nev.	41	49	4	59	57	1	1	-	12
PACIFIC	1.437	2.237	29	4.037	3.824	6	104	6	329
Wash.	65	151	-	234	231	2	8	-	
Oreg. Calif	37	66	1	106	99	-		3	2
Alaska	1,322	2,009	28	3,460 43	3,283	2	90	3	314
Hawaii	8	7	-	194	156	-	6	-	-
Guam	3	1	-	58	6	-	3	-	-
P.R.	282	340	-	200	203	-	1	-	31
V.I. Amer Samon	54	87	-	3	2	-	-	-	-
C.N.M.I.	- 6	- 3	-	48	3 16	:	1	-	-
			-			-	1	•	-

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 10, 1992, and October 12, 1991 (41st Week)

U: Unavailable

	A	II Cau	ses, By	/ Age (Y	'ears)		P&d [†]		All Causes, By Age (Years)						DBJ
Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
NEW ENGLAND	611	433	104	49	15	10	45	S. ATLANTIC	986	564	214	130	43	35	42
Boston, Mass.	162	104	31	17	6	4	16	Atlanta, Ga.	162	77	40	27	4	14	6
Bridgeport, Conn.	35	25	1	2	1	-	3	Baltimore, Md.	158	89	37	29	3		13
Fall River Mass	23	10	2	2	-	-	-	Linariotte, N.L.	85	49	21		5	2	2
Hartford, Conn.	55	33	12	7	1	2	-	Miami, Fla.	125	63	30	22	3	-	4
Lowell, Mass.	21	19	1	1	-	-	1	Norfolk, Va.	47	28	7	3	ž	2	4
Lynn, Mass.	15	10	3	2	-	-	1	Richmond, Va.	73	40	20	6	6	1	1
New Bedford, Mass	. 28	25		2		1	1	Savannah, Ga.	38	24	5	2	3	4	1
New Haven, Conn.	60	42	13	3	2	•		St. Petersburg, Fla.	62	46		4	1	2	2
Somerville Mass	50	35			-		-	Washington DC	130	84	20	10	ů	- ú	
Springfield, Mass.	45	30	11	4	-	-	4	Wilmington, Del.	17	13	2	1	ĭ		
Waterbury, Conn.	32	24	4	3	1	-	2								
Worcester, Mass.	60	44	10	3	-	3	10	E.S. CENTRAL Birmingham Ala	712	442	173	55	25	17	25
MID ATLANTIC	2 323	1 458	444	291	52	77	79	Chattanooga Tenn	56	36	13	12	2	4	2
Albany, N.Y.	52	38	10	3	-	1	1	Knoxville, Tenn.	80	49	21	ĕ	ī	3	2
Allentown, Pa.	19	17	2	-	-	-	-	Lexington, Ky.	61	34	18	6	1	2	6
Buffalo, N.Y.	100		13	1	6	3	5	Memphis, Tenn.	161	101	43	14	1	2	4
Camden, N.J.	3/	26	5	4	-	2	2	Mobile, Ala.	72	48	12	7	4	1	3
Frie Pa §	20	28	10	-	2		-	Nashville Tenn	118	73	29	Å	8	4	3
Jersev City, N.J.	54	34		6	3	4	1	raanvine, ienn.	110	/3	23	-	0	-	3
New York City, N.Y.	1,247	727	257	195	26	42	32	W.S. CENTRAL	1,346	819	264	163	51	49	72
Newark, N.J.	46	21	11	10	-	4	1	Austin, lex.	4/	32	3	9	2	1	4
Paterson, N.J.	16		5	.3	1	:		Corpus Christi Tev	55	41	iii.	ាំ	- ii	- 11	- 1
Philadelphia, Pa.	295	185	56	40	5	9	16	Dailas, Tex.	234	141	45	28	ĕ	12	2
Reading Pa	16	50	10	1	-	3	1	El Paso, Tex.	87	55	16	12	ž	2	5
Rochester, N.Y.	97	68	18	6	3	2	6	Ft. Worth, Tex.	90	51	21	9	6	3	4
Schenectady, N.Y.	24	16	5	2	-	1	1	Houston, Tex.	351	183	79	61	20	8	33
Scranton, Pa.§	27	21	3	2	1	-	2	Little Hock, Ark.	61	38	18	5	2	3	4
Syracuse, N.Y.	79	50	16	7	2	4	3	San Antonio Tex	171	101	30	23	3	14	6
Intention, N.J.	30	20	3	2	-		4	Shreveport, La.	96	65	19	5	4	3	ž
Yonkers, N.Y.	28	25	1	-	2		1	Tulsa, Okla.	100	74	16	7	1	2	3
	0.000	4 050	070		-			MOUNTAIN	815	544	145	75	25	26	72
Akron Obio	2,008	1,250	3/8	200	107	/3	9/	Albuquerque, N.M.	97	67	14	11	3	2	1
Canton Ohio	41	28	8	4	1		3	Colo. Springs, Colo	. 48	37	8	2	1	-	3
Chicago, III.	384	151	8Ŏ	75	59	19	13	Denver, Colo.	108	67	18	15	5	3	12
Cincinnati, Ohio	136	88	33	8	1	6	12	Las Vegas, Nev.	157	97	39	13	5	3	18
Cleveland, Ohio	140	93	20	15	6	6	5	Phoenix Ariz	162	101	30	16	3	12	15
Columbus, Uhio	119	/1	33	12	4	2	3	Pueblo, Colo.	25	19	4	2			ž
Detroit Mich	255	157	51	23	11	13	Ř	Salt Lake City, Utah	91	63	13	7	5	3	12
Evansville, Ind.	50	39	7	2		2	ž	Tucson, Ariz.	99	70	15	8	3	3	5
Fort Wayne, Ind.	49	35	7	1	2	4	3	PACIFIC	1.362	872	247	147	42	51	89
Gary, Ind.	17	. 9	3	3	2	:	2	Berkeley, Calif.	29	18	-	6	1	4	2
Indianapolis Ind	144	53	39	14	L L	+	12	Fresno, Calif.	95	62	19	.4	5	.5	6
Madison Wis	42	31	30	2	5	1	13	Glendale, Calif.	U U	U	0	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	U U
Milwaukee, Wis.	125	92	24	8	-	i	3	Honolulu, Hawaii	53	30	10	11	2	1	19
Peoria, III.	54	42	7	2	2	1	2	Los Angeles, Calif.	Ű,	45	ü	Ü	ŭ	ບ່	Ŭ
Rockford, III.	60	39	8	6	4	3	6	Pasadena, Calif.	31	19	3	4	Ĩ	4	3
South Bend, Ind.	61	44	12	5	-	-	3	Portland, Oreg.	135	90	24	9	5	5	3
Youngstown, Ohio	ů		11		ŭ	- <u>u</u>	ů	Sacramento, Calif.	148	89	37	13	6	3	. 9
								San Diego, Calif.	154	93	25	21	9	2	20
W.N. CENTRAL	757	530	131	55	21	20	25	San Jose, Calif	158	109	22	19		7	14
Duluth Minn	34	23	21	2		0	4	Santa Cruz, Calif.	28	23	3	ĩ	1	-	2
Kansas City, Kans.	22	14	4	2	1	1		Seattle, Wash.	140	100	20	11	4	5	2
Kansas City, Mo.	89	65	15	7	i	i	5	Spokane, Wash.	57	41	11	3	1	1	5
Lincoln, Nebr.	32	25	4	2	1	-	1	Tacoma, Wash.	82	56	19	5	-	2	4
Minneapolis, Minn.	146	94	29	14	4	5	8	TOTAL	10,920	6,912	2,100	1,165	381	358	546
St Louis Mo	107	17	17	3	27	-	2			• -					
St. Paul, Minn.	81	04 56	16	14	3	2	2								
Wichita, Kans.	68	53	12	2	ĭ	-	3								
			_												

TABLE III. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending October 10, 1992 (41st Week)

*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not more. A cearn is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the more that are and the second of the current week. Complete preumonia and influenza. Preumonia and influenza. Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. Total includes unknown ages.

770

Unintentional and Intentional Injuries - Continued

Complementary educational and legal strategies are needed at the community level, including decreasing the cultural acceptance of violence (5); decreasing aggressive behavior between parents and children (6); reducing the exposure of children and adolescents to violence in the media (7); and improving the recognition of children and adolescents at high risk for assaults (5). Gatekeeper training and screening programs can help identify youth at risk for suicide and refer them to mental health services (8).

Legislation that requires safety-belt and helmet use among adolescents and adults is needed in every state (3). National health objective 9.14 calls for the enactment and

	Safe	ty-belt u	se	Motorc	ycle-hein	net use	Bicycle-helmet use			
Site	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total	
WEIGHTED DATA										
National survey	29.5	25.9	2 7.7	41.1	38.2	39.3	0.9	1.2	1.1	
State surveys										
Alabama	20.0	11.8	15.9	44.7	40.4	41.6	0.7	0.9	0.8	
Georgia	31.4	25.5	28.4	41.2	36.9	38.3	1.6	1.4	1.5	
Idaho	19.9	15.4	17.5	28.9	34.4	32.5	0.6	1.2	0.9	
Nebraska	10.2	7.6	8.9	41.7	29.2	33.1	0.4	0.8	0.6	
New Mexico	33.9	27.5	30.5	21.7	26.4	24.8	0.4	1.2	0.9	
New York ¹	31.1	28.4	29.7	64.6	54.3	57.5	0.6	1.0	0.8	
Puerto Rico**	25.5	23.1	24.3	25.3	20.5	22.4	0.4	1.0	0.7	
South Carolina	30.8	23.6	27.2	34.0	22.7	26.3	0.6	1.0	0.8	
South Dakota	6.2	7.0	6.6	20.6	27.0	24.2	0.1	0.5	0.3	
Utah	24.2	19.0	21.6	22.6	25.0	24.0	0.8	1.9	1.4	
Local surveys										
Chicago	12.6	9.4	11.0	17.4	12.1	14.4	0.8	0.8	0.8	
Dallas	37.5	35.8	36.6	30.5	28.0	28.8	1.3	1.3	1.3	
Ft. Lauderdale, Fla.	29.3	28.1	28.8	60.4	50.7	54.1	0.2	0.5	0.4	
Jersey City, N.J.	12.0	7.9	10.0	57.9	59.3	58.9	1.6	2.1	1.9	
Miami	22.8	19.9	21.3	43.9	39.6	40.8	0.3	0.8	0.6	
Philadelphia	12.7	8.6	10.8	38.9	39.5	39.2	0.5	0.9	0.7	
San Diego	44.7	39.7	42.2	35.2	32.4	33.6	1.5	2.4	2.0	
UNWEIGHTED DATA										
State surveys										
Colorado ⁱ	22.6	19.7	21.1	24.9	24.7	24.8	1.2	0.9	1.0	
District of Columbia**	20.1	15.6	18.0	53.7	38.7	41.8	0.6	1.7	1.3	
Hawaii	57.4	50.1	53.7	16.1	14.0	14.6	0.4	0.8	0.7	
lowa	17.1	12.7	15.0	10.3	10.0	10.1	0.1	0.7	0.4	
Montana	18.2	15.2	16.7	24.2	29.1	27.1	0.9	0.9	0.9	
New Hampshire	24.5	21.8	23.2	56.2	48.1	51.0	0.4	1.8	1.2	
New Jersey ¹	30.3	26.4	28.4	54.6	56.9	56.0	0.8	2.2	1.5	
Oregon	60.1	48.3	54.0	59.0	49.6	52.6	1.9	3.4	2.7	
Pennsylvania	35.8	27.5	31.4	55.0	39.1	43.6	0.8	1.6	1.3	
Tennessee	30.0	24.8	27.5	43.8	35.5	38.3	0.6	1.3	0.9	
Virgin Islands**	NA''	NA	NA	50.0	40.4	43.2	NA	NA	NA	
Wisconsin	21.7	19.7	20.7	40.6	29.2	33.8	0.0	0.3	0.2	
Wyoming	17.3	13.7	15.4	33.2	40.5	38.1	0.3	1.0	0.7	
Local surveys										
Boston	10.3	7.7	9.3	41.7	42.8	42.2	0.8	1.0	0.9	
New York City	15.9	13.7	14.8	36.8	38.1	37.6	0.7	0.8	0.7	
San Francisco	30.5	29.3	29.9	10.4	15.2	13.4	1.1	2.1	1.7	

TABLE 3. Percentage of high school students who reported "always" using safety belts,* motorcycle helmets,[†] or bicycle helmets,[§] by sex — United States and selected U.S. sites, Youth Risk Behavior Surveys, 1991

* When riding in a car or truck driven by someone else.

Among students who rode motorcycles.

Among students who rode bicycles.

^{*} Survey did not include students from the largest city.

** Categorized as a state for funding purposes.

¹¹ Not available; survey did not include these questions.

Unintentional and Intentional Injuries — Continued

enforcement of laws requiring safety-belt and helmet use for persons of all ages. Increasing the use of safety belts, the use of motorcycle and bicycle helmets, and the practice of other safety precautions among adolescents will require cooperative efforts by local and state health, traffic-safety, and education officials; families; medical practitioners; retailers; community agencies serving youth; and legislators.

References

- 1. Kolbe LJ. An epidemiological surveillance system to monitor the prevalence of youth behaviors that most affect health. Health Educ 1990;21:44–8.
- CDC. Participation in school physical education and selected dietary patterns among high school students—United States, 1991. MMWR 1992;41:597–601,607.
- Public Health Service. Healthy people 2000: national health promotion and disease prevention objectives—full report, with commentary. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1991; DHHS publication no. (PHS)91-50212.
- 4. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. Weapons in schools. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, 1989.
- National Committee for Injury Prevention and Control. Injury prevention: meeting the challenge. Am J Prev Med 1989;5(suppl):192–203.
- 6. Widom CS. The cycle of violence. Science 1989;244:160-6.
- National Institute of Mental Health. Television and behavior: ten years of scientific progress and implication for the eighties, summary report. Vol 1. Rockville, Maryland: National Institute of Mental Health, 1982.
- 8. CDC. Youth suicide prevention program: a resource guide. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, CDC (in press).

Effectiveness in Disease and Injury Prevention

National Coalition for Adult Immunization: Activities to Increase Influenza Vaccination Levels, 1989–1991

Older persons and persons with underlying health problems are at increased risk for complications of influenza infection; however, only 30% of persons aged ≥65 years are vaccinated against influenza each year (1). This report describes initial efforts by the National Coalition for Adult Immunization's (NCAI) Influenza and Pneumonia Action Group (IPAG) to increase influenza vaccination of adults in the United States during 1990–1993, and highlights National Adult Immunization Week, October 25–31, 1992.

Project Characteristics

In 1988, the NCAI was formed to increase the awareness of physicians, other health-care providers, and the general public about the need for and benefits of adult vaccination. In 1989, the NCAI convened the IPAG* to conduct disease-specific information and education activities. During 1990, the IPAG initiated a 3-year project in

772

^{*}Members of the NCAI's IPAG include the American Lung Association, the American College of Physicians, the Association of State and Territorial Health Officials, the American Health Care Association, the American Association of Retired Persons, the American Association of Homes for the Aging, the American Academy of Family Physicians, the United States Conference of Local Health Officers, the American Society of Hospital Pharmacists, the Canadian Lung Association, and CDC.

Vol. 41 / No. 41

Adult Immunization – Continued

eight geographically and demographically diverse project areas to increase use of influenza and pneumococcal vaccines; another site was added in 1992.[†]

In each pilot site, partnerships were established between the local American Lung Association (ALA) and a state or local health department; these groups invited key community leaders from health-care provider and consumer groups to participate in establishing a community vaccination coalition. Coalition members were selected based on their efforts in promoting adult vaccination, particularly influenza and pneumococcal vaccination.

The primary objective of the IPAG is to increase influenza vaccine coverage as measured by vaccine distribution. Additional objectives are to 1) collect influenza vaccine delivery data from public health sites for at least 1 year before project start-up and for each project year, 2) increase the number of health-care providers who recommended and provided influenza vaccine to their patients each year, and 3) increase activities by the local ALA and community-leader coalitions aimed at improving health-care providers' awareness of the need for influenza vaccination.

Project activities included combinations of 1) physician presentations at county and state medical society meetings and hospital grand rounds; 2) feature articles on vaccination in health-care provider newsletters; 3) increased media attention to influenza vaccination (including newspaper articles, radio interviews, advertisements in weekly newspapers, bus-advertising placards, milk-carton and grocery-bag art, and bill-boards); 4) distribution of approximately 100,000 physician newsletters and an estimated 400,000 vaccination pamphlets, posters, and promotional buttons and stickers encouraging influenza vaccination; and 5) distribution and completion of adult-vaccination cards.

Site-specific data on influenza vaccine distribution were provided by the four U.S. influenza vaccine manufacturers, and for six of nine sites, the number of doses of influenza vaccine distributed by local public health clinics were provided by the state or local health departments. Program activities continue in the nine pilot sites, and additional ALA and health department partners have formed in other areas in the United States.

Project Results

During the 1989–90 influenza season, influenza vaccination increased in each project site, compared with 1988–89 levels. In the first year of the project (i.e., the 1990–91 influenza season), distribution of vaccine doses increased from 2.3% to 23.9% in five of the eight sites compared with 1989–90 levels—Minnesota (23.9%), South Dakota (11.0%), Washington (10.4%), Mississippi (5.9%), and Delaware (2.3%). Although declines occurred in three sites (New York City [-17.7%]; Lee County, Florida [-6.9%]; and Oklahoma [-6.3%]), distribution in these sites remained above levels in 1988–89. In addition, in two of these sites, vaccine distribution increased in public health clinics (Lee County [15.0%] and Oklahoma [14.6%]). Increases (from 4.5% to 22.3%) in public clinic vaccine distribution also occurred in the six sites reporting data on health department (i.e., public) clinic vaccine distribution. The largest increase (23.9%) in overall public and private vaccine distribution was reported by Minnesota.

[†]The eight sites included the entire states of Delaware, Minnesota, Mississippi, Oklahoma, South Dakota, and Washington, and New York City and Lee County, Florida. Colorado was added in 1992.

Adult Immunization --- Continued

Reported by: M Murphy, SR Mostow, MD, American Lung Association of Colorado; J Conner, Colorado Dept of Health. B Yoncha, American Lung Association of Delaware, Wilmington; MA Hoyt, Bur of Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, Div of Public Health, Delaware Dept of Health and Social Svcs. S Welch, Gulf Coast Lung Association, St. Petersburg; M Allison, District 8, Florida Dept of Health and Rehabilitative Svcs. D Berg, American Lung Association of Minnesota, St. Paul; D Peterson, Minnesota Dept of Health. JM Allred, Jr, Mississippi Lung Association; P McKee, V Mays, Mississippi State Dept of Health. FL Ruben, MD, M Harris. American Lung Association (Headquarters); C Bordes, American Lung Association of Queens, Forest Hills; O Theus, New York Lung Association; AM Costello, S Friedman, MD, Div of Immunizable Diseases, New York City Health Dept. J Rogers, American Lung Association of Oklahoma; R Toth, Immunization Program, Oklahoma State Dept of Health. K Wiebers, South Dakota Lung Association, Sioux Falls; W Gallo, Communicable Disease Program, South Dakota State Dept of Health. S McAlexander, American Lung Association of Washington, Seattle; M Borges, Adult Immunization Section, Washington Dept of Health. Influenza Br, Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, Div of Immunization, National Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.

Editorial Note: Although vaccination programs have markedly reduced the incidence of vaccine-preventable diseases among children, vaccination programs for adults have been difficult to implement for at least four reasons: 1) comprehensive adult vaccine-delivery systems are not available in the public and private sectors; 2) although statutory requirements exist for vaccination of children, few such requirements exist for adults; 3) reimbursement mechanisms and coverage by third-party payors are limited in the public and private sectors; and 4) vaccination programs have not been established in most settings where adults congregate (e.g., the workplace and retirement communities).

Despite these barriers, strategies have been developed that are effective in enhancing influenza vaccination rates and reducing influenza-related morbidity and the associated need for health services (2–6). Most recently, the Medicare Influenza Vaccine Demonstration increased overall influenza vaccine coverage in 10 demonstration sites from an estimated baseline of 43% in 1988–89 to approximately 62% in 1991–92 (7) (Health Care Financing Administration, unpublished data, 1992). In addition, the California influenza vaccination program has documented steady increases in influenza vaccine delivery and in overall coverage (8) (Immunization Unit, California State Department of Health Services, unpublished data, 1992).

The findings in this report suggest that the collaboration of public and private organizations in the eight pilot sites was successful in raising total influenza vaccine doses distributed in five of the sites. Furthermore, doses distributed through public clinics increased for all sites that reported data, despite a drop in overall vaccine distribution in two of these sites, suggesting that a decrease in vaccine distribution occurred in private settings. However, other outreach public programs have stimulated vaccine delivery in private settings (7,9).

Efforts during the third year of the vaccination projects (i.e., the 1992–93 influenza season) will focus on strengthening influenza vaccination efforts and expanding to include pneumococcal vaccination activities. Health-care provider and patient-education materials about pneumococcal pneumonia will be distributed through local ALA offices. In addition, influenza and pneumococcal vaccine distribution during both the second and third years of the project will be assessed to measure the sustainability of the increases in vaccine coverage.

Vol. 41 / No. 41

MMWR

Adult Immunization – Continued

The national health objectives for the year 2000 include increasing to 60% the proportion of older and chronically ill noninstitutionalized persons who are vaccinated against pneumococcal and influenza infections (objective 20.11) (10). Attainment of this objective will require multifaceted strategies involving collaboration of public and private organizations to improve awareness regarding vaccine delivery and develop publicly supported delivery mechanisms that remove cost and accessibility constraints. National Adult Immunization Awareness Week draws attention to efforts that promote prevention and control of vaccine-preventable diseases among adults. Additional information is available from NCAI; telephone (301) 656-0003.

References

- 1. Rodgers DV, Strikas RA, Martinez B, et al. Influenza vaccination among adults: results of the 1989 National Health Interview Survey [Abstract]. In: Program and abstracts of the CDC Epidemic Intelligence Service 41st annual conference. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, CDC, 1992:33–4.
- 2. Buffington J, Bell K, LaForce FM, et al. A target-based model for increasing influenza immunizations in private practice. J Gen Intern Med 1991;6:204–9.
- 3. Fedson DS. Influenza prevention and control; past practices and future prospects: Am J Med 1987;82(suppl 6A):42–7.
- Barton MB, Schoenbaum SC. Improving influenza vaccination performance in an HMO setting: the use of computer-generated reminders and peer comparison feedback. Am J Public Health 1990;80:534–6.
- Nichol KL, Korn JE, Margolis KL, Poland GA, Petzel RA, Lofgren RP. Achieving the national health objective for influenza immunization: success of an institution-wide vaccination program. Am J Med 1990;89:156–60.
- Riddiough MA, Sisk JE, Bell JC. Influenza vaccination: cost-effectiveness and public policy. JAMA 1983;249:3189–95.
- CDC. Medicare Influenza Vaccine Demonstration—selected states, 1988–1992. MMWR 1992;41:152–5.
- 8. CDC. Successful strategies in adult immunization. MMWR 1991;40:700-3,709.
- 9. CDC. National Adult Immunization Awareness Week. MMWR 1989;38:708-10.
- Public Health Service. Healthy people 2000: national health promotion and disease prevention objectives. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1991:122; DHHS publication no. (PHS)91-50213.

International Notes

Poliomyelitis — Netherlands, 1992

From September 17 through October 9, 1992, five cases of poliomyelitis were reported in the Netherlands among members of religious groups that generally do not accept vaccination. This report summarizes investigations of these five cases by the Netherlands' Office of the Chief Medical Officer of Health, the Laboratory of Virology, National Institute for Public Health and Environmental Protection, and the Municipal Health Services in Rotterdam. The investigation indicated that none of the cases were epidemiologically linked; two of the cases (cases 1 and 2) had some history of receipt of polio vaccine, while three (cases 3–5) had no history of polio vaccination. This report summarizes the investigation of these cases.

Poliomyelitis --- Continued

Case Reports

Case 1. A 14-year-old boy from the province of South Holland had onset of paralysis on September 16. He reported travel to Germany and Belgium during the 3 weeks before onset of paralysis but no travel to known polio-endemic regions. Even though he is a member of a religious group that does not accept vaccination, he had received one dose of monovalent oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV) type 1 during the 1978 polio outbreak that affected his religious group (1,2). Laboratory diagnosis was made by detection of IgM antibodies to poliovirus type 3 in blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and confirmed by isolation of wild poliovirus type 3 from stools of the patient and three of his siblings. Partial genomic sequencing showed that these isolates are closely related (95% nucleotide homology) to a 1991 isolate from southern Asia. Based on detection of poliovirus type 3-specific IgM antibody, poliovirus type 3 infection was demonstrated in 38 (23%) of 163 children who were members of the same religious group and who attended the same school as the patient's younger siblings, but in three (2%) of 163 children who attended a neighboring school in the same village and who had received a primary series of enhanced-potency inactivated poliovirus vaccine (eIPV) as part of the infant vaccination schedule.

Case 2. A 23-year-old male student nurse from South Holland had onset of paralysis on September 26. He had no history of travel to known polio-endemic regions. He had received a first dose of eIPV 1 day before onset of paralysis as part of a vaccination program for health-care workers. Laboratory diagnosis was initially made by detection of poliovirus type 3-specific IgM antibody in blood and confirmed by isolation of poliovirus type 3.

Case 3. A 6-year-old boy from the province of Utrecht had onset of meningitis on October 6. Laboratory diagnosis was initially made by detection of poliovirus type 3-specific IgM antibody in blood and CSF and confirmed by virus isolation.

Case 4. A 39-year-old man from South Holland had onset of paralysis on October 6. Laboratory diagnosis was initially made by detection of poliovirus type 3-specific IgM antibody in blood and CSF and confirmed by virus isolation.

Case 5. A 33-year-old man from South Holland had onset of paralysis on October 7. Laboratory diagnosis was initially made by detection of poliovirus type 3-specific IgM antibody in blood and CSF and confirmed by virus isolation.

Investigation and Follow-up

Investigations of the extent of transmission are being conducted in the unvaccinated groups. Environmental sampling studies for poliovirus are in progress within the areas with persons considered to be at highest risk and are planned for areas elsewhere in the Netherlands.

Since the first case was identified, public health officials in the Netherlands have initiated intensive efforts to vaccinate members of the groups at risk, including one dose of trivalent OPV to persons aged <41 years who have never been vaccinated and one dose of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and poliovirus vaccine or eIPV to persons <41 years who were incompletely vaccinated.

Reported by: JK van Wijngaarden, MD, Div of Infectious Diseases, Office of the Chief Medical Officer of Health; AM van Loon, PhD, P Oostvogel, MD, MN Mulders, MSc, Laboratory of Virology, National Institute for Public Health and Environmental Protection; J Buitenwerf, PhD, Laboratory of Virology, CF Engelhard, MD, Dept of Infectious Diseases, Municipal Health Svcs, Rotterdam, the Netherlands. World Health Organization, Geneva. Div of Immunization, National

Poliomyelitis - Continued

Center for Prevention Svcs; Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note: In the United States, the last outbreak of wild poliovirus occurred in 1979, when 10 cases of paralytic poliomyelitis were reported in four states among unvaccinated Amish persons and members of other religious groups who did not accept vaccination (3). Epidemiologic and virologic studies suggested that the wild poliovirus type 1 involved in that outbreak was imported—through contacts in Canada (4,5)—from an unvaccinated religious group in the Netherlands, among whom 80 cases of acute paralytic poliomyelitis had occurred in 1978 (2).

Recent studies in the Netherlands indicate that more than 97% of infants receive the first three doses of eIPV, and more than 94% receive all five doses of eIPV by the age of 1 year. OPV is used only to control outbreaks. Since the last outbreak in 1978, no indigenous cases of poliomyelitis had been reported in the Netherlands until the five cases reported here (6).

Because the ratio between infection and paralytic disease for poliovirus type 3 exposure can be as high as 1000:1, the detection of five cases in the Netherlands suggests a much larger number of infections has occurred within the country (7). The high degree of homology of this virus and a recent isolate from southern Asia again demonstrates that wild virus can be spread to populations of susceptible persons living far from endemic regions, and reinforces the need to intensify efforts to achieve the World Health Assembly goal of global polio eradication by the year 2000 (8).

At present, the risk for acquiring poliomyelitis in the Netherlands is minimal because of the excellent sanitary conditions and the high nationwide coverage for polio vaccination. Therefore, CDC does not recommend special precautions for U.S. travelers who have received a primary series of poliovirus vaccine as part of the infant vaccination schedule.

The 1979 polio outbreak illustrated the risk for unvaccinated members of religious groups in the United States who have direct or indirect contact with members of religious groups elsewhere in North America or the Netherlands among whom poliovirus is circulating. Such persons should continue to be warned of the potential risk for poliowyelitis resulting from this outbreak and should be encouraged to accept polio vaccination according to recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (9,10). Recommendations include a primary series of three doses of OPV for unvaccinated persons aged <18 years, three doses of elPV for unvaccinated persons aged \geq 18 years, and either OPV or elPV for incompletely vaccinated persons aged \geq 18 years. Booster doses of either OPV or elPV may be considered for persons who have completed a primary series of polio vaccination.

References

1. CDC. Poliomyelitis—Netherlands. MMWR 1978;27:222.

- 2. Bijkerk H. Surveillance and control of poliomyelitis in the Netherlands. Rev Infect Dis 1984;6:451–6.
- 3. Schonberger LB, Kaplan J, Kim-Farley R, Moore M, Eddens DL, Hatch M. Control of paralytic poliomyelitis in the United States. Rev Infect Dis 1984;6:S424–6.
- Furesz J, Armstrong RE, Contreras G. Viral and epidemiological links between poliomyelitis outbreaks in unprotected communities in Canada and the Netherlands. Lancet 1978;2:1248.
- 5. Rico-Hesse R, Pallansch MA, Nottay BK, Kew OM. Geographic distribution of wild poliovirus type 1 genotypes. Virology 1987;160:311–22.
- Office of the Chief Medical Officer of Health. Annual reports, 1979–1991. Amsterdam: National Institute for Public Health and Environmental Protection, 1979–1991.

.

Poliomyelitis — Continued

- 7. Nathanson N, Martin JR. The epidemiology of poliomyelitis: enigmas surrounding its appearance, epidemicity, and disappearance. Am J Epidemiol 1979;110:672–92.
- 8. World Health Assembly. Global eradication of poliomyelitis by the year 2000. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1988. (Resolution WHA41.28).
- 9. CDC. Poliomyelitis prevention. MMWR 1982;31:22-6,31-4.
- CDC. Poliomyelitis prevention: enhanced-potency inactivated poliomyelitis vaccine—supplementary statement. MMWR 1987;36:795–8.



The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and is available on a paid subscription basis from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 783-3238.

The data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday. Inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, should be directed to: Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 332-4555.

Director, Centers for Disease Control	Editor, MMWR Series
William L. Roper, M.D., M.P.H.	Richard A. Goodman, M.D., M.P.H.
Deputy Director, Centers for Disease Control	Managing Editor, MMWR (weekly)
Walter R. Dowdle, Ph.D.	Karen L. Foster, M.A.
Director, Epidemiology Program Office	Writers-Editors, MMWR (weekly)
Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc.	David C. Johnson
	Barbara J. Reynolds, M.A.
	Caran R. Wilbanks
	Editorial Assistant, MMWR (weekly)
	Darlene D. Rumph

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1993-733-131/67036 Region IV

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control Atlanta, Georgia 30333 Official Business

Penalty for Private Use \$300

FIRST-CLASS MAIL POSTAGE & FEES PAID PHS/CDC Permit No. G-284