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## Effectiveness in Disease and Injury Prevention

## Accessibility of Cigarettes to Youths Aged 12-17 Years United States, 1989

Rates of tobacco-related diseases are higher for persons who initiate smoking at younger ages than for those who begin at older ages (1). Restricted access to tobacco products may delay or prevent the decision by adolescents to initiate tobacco use $(1,2)$. This report summarizes findings from the Teenage Attitudes and Practices Survey (TAPS) regarding minors' access to cigarettes during 1989.

TAPS obtained data from a national household sample of adolescents aged 12-18 years regarding knowledge, attitudes, and practices associated with tobacco use (3). Data were collected using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) during September-December 1989 and, for those who could not be reached by telephone, through a mailed questionnaire. Only CATI respondents were asked about their access to cigarettes. The data for this report were obtained from 9135 CATI respondents and weighted to provide national estimates. Confidence intervals (Cls) were calculated using the Software for Survey Data Analysis (SUDAAN) (4).

Because most states have established a minimum age of 18 years for the purchase of cigarettes ( 5 ), only the 7773 respondents aged $\leqslant 17$ years were included in this study. Respondents who were current smokers (i.e., those who had smoked cigarettes on one or more of the 30 days preceding the survey) were asked, "Do you usually buy your own cigarettes?" Those who answered "yes" were asked the frequency (i.e., often, sometimes, rarely, or never) with which they bought cigarettes from a vending machine, large store (e.g., supermarket), or small store (e.g., convenience store or gas station). If the response to the question "Have you ever smoked a cigarette?" was "no," respondents were asked, "Do you think it would be easy or hard for you to get cigarettes if you wanted some?"

Among the estimated 2.6 million current U.S. smokers aged 12-17 years in 1989, approximately 1.5 million ( $57.5 \%$ ) usually bought their own cigarettes (Table 1). Smokers aged 16-17 years were more likely to have bought their own cigarettes ( $66.6 \%$ ) than were smokers aged $12-15$ years ( $45.3 \%$ ). Those who had smoked during
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the week preceding the survey were also more likely to have bought their own cigarettes ( $72.7 \%$ ) than were those who had smoked sometime that month but not as recently as that week ( $27.1 \%$ ).

Among youths aged 12-17 years who usually bought their own cigarettes, an estimated 1.3 million ( $84.5 \%$ ) often or sometimes purchased their cigarettes from a small store, approximately 730,000 ( $49.5 \%$ ) purchased cigarettes often or sometimes from a large store, and about 210,000 (14.5\%) purchased cigarettes often or sometimes from a vending machine (Table 2). Of the estimated 13.9 million youths aged $12-17$ years who had not smoked a cigarette, an estimated 8.7 million ( $62.4 \%$ ), including $52.7 \%$ aged $12-15$ years and $88.3 \%$ aged $16-17$ years, believed it would be easy for them to obtain cigarettes.
Reported by: JP Pierce, PhD, Univ of California at San Diego. SL Mills, MD, DR Shopland, National Cancer Institute; SE Marcus, PhD, National Institute of Dental Research, National Institutes of Health. Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion; Div of Analysis, Office of Analysis and Epidemiology, Div of Health Interview Statistics, and Office of Vital and Health Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics, CDC.

TABLE 1. Number and percentage of smokers* aged 12-17 years ${ }^{\dagger}$ who usually bought their own cigarettes, by selected characteristics - United States, Teenage Attitudes and Practices Survey, 1989 ${ }^{5}$

| Characteristic | No. | (\%) | (95\% Cl') |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (yrs) |  |  |  |
| 12-15 | 439 | (45.3) | $( \pm 4.9)$ |
| 16-17 | 559 | (66.6) | ( $\pm 4.1$ ) |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 521 | (59.6) | $( \pm 4.5)$ |
| Female | 477 | (55.3) | $( \pm 4.8)$ |
| Race** |  |  |  |
| White | 914 | (58.7) | $( \pm 3.3)$ |
| Black | 64 | (43.5) | $( \pm 11.5)$ |
| Hispanic origin ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 68 | (41.6) | $( \pm 12.8)$ |
| Non-Hispanic | 924 | (58.9) | $( \pm 3.3)$ |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 218 | (58.6) | $( \pm 6.8)$ |
| Midwest | 275 | (55.0) | $( \pm 5.5)$ |
| South | 305 | (61.4) | $( \pm 5.9)$ |
| West | 200 | (53.6) | $( \pm 7.6)$ |
| Frequency of smoking |  |  |  |
| During preceding week | 668 | (72.7) | $( \pm 3.5)$ |
| Not during preceding week | 328 | (27.1) | $( \pm 5.8)$ |
| Total | 998 | (57.5) | $( \pm 3.2)$ |
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Editorial Note: After substantial declines in the 1970s, the prevalence of cigarette smoking among U.S. high school seniors has been stable since 1981 (1 ; L.D. Johnston, J.G. Bachman, P.M. O'Malley, University of Michigan, unpublished data, 1991). The findings in this report are consistent with results of local investigations documenting the widespread direct purchase of cigarettes by teenagers (6,7). Despite laws in 48 states and the District of Columbia prohibiting the sale of tobacco products to minors (CDC, unpublished data, June 1992), underaged youth have been successful in $70 \%-100 \%$ of attempts to purchase tobacco (7). Small stores and gas stations are the major source of cigarettes for underaged buyers; vending machines play a lesser role probably because of higher purchase prices and easy access to over-thecounter sales.

Educational interventions directed at vendors to decrease retail tobacco sales to minors have resulted in slight and temporary reductions ( 6,7 ). The greatest decrease in tobacco sales to underaged buyers has been documented in communities that have active surveillance of retailers and substantial penalties for noncompliance ( 7,8 ). In locations where tobacco sales to underaged persons have been curtailed, the prevalence of smoking by teenagers has decreased, particularly among the youngest age groups (8). Active and vigorous enforcement of minors' access laws in these communities has augmented health education and awareness programs aimed at students and parents (8).

In response to a 1990 report indicating limited effective enforcement of existing state laws prohibiting tobacco sales to minors (9), the Secretary of Health and Human

TABLE 2. Number and percentage of smokers* aged 12-17 years ${ }^{\dagger}$ who usually bought their own cigarettes and who often/sometimes purchased cigarettes from a vending machine, large store, or small store, by selected characteristics - United States, Teenage Attitudes and Practices Survey, 19895

| Characteristic | No. | Vending machine |  | Large store |  | Small store |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% | (95\% CI') | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) |
| Age (yrs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-15 | 196 | 19.9 | $( \pm 5.6)$ | 41.2 | $( \pm 7.5)$ | 79.3 | $( \pm 5.9)$ |
| 16-17 | 369 | 11.8 | $( \pm 3.3)$ | 53.7 | $( \pm 5.6)$ | 87.2 | $( \pm 3.5)$ |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 305 | 17.8 | $( \pm 4.4)$ | 50.4 | $( \pm 5.7)$ | 81.6 | $( \pm 4.6)$ |
| Female | 260 | 10.8 | $( \pm 3.7)$ | 48.4 | $( \pm 6.6)$ | 87.9 | $( \pm 3.7)$ |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 127 | 15.0 | $( \pm 7.4)$ | 50.1 | $( \pm 9.6)$ | 83.6 | $( \pm 6.1)$ |
| Midwest | 150 | 19.9 | $( \pm 5.3)$ | 50.7 | $( \pm 9.5)$ | 88.9 | $( \pm 5.1)$ |
| South | 183 | 12.5 | $( \pm 4.9)$ | 49.6 | $( \pm 7.3)$ | 84.7 | $( \pm 5.2)$ |
| West | 105 | 10.6 | $( \pm 6.0)$ | 46.8 | $( \pm 9.9)$ | 79.7 | $( \pm 8.3)$ |
| Frequency of smoking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| During preceding week | 481 | 14.9 | $( \pm 3.3)$ | 52.6 | $( \pm 4.7)$ | 85.4 | $( \pm 3.2)$ |
| Not during preceding week | 84 | 12.6 | $( \pm 6.7)$ | 32.6 | $( \pm 10.8)$ | 79.7 | $( \pm 8.5)$ |
| Total | 565 | 14.5 | $( \pm 2.9)$ | 49.5 | $( \pm 4.4)$ | 84.5 | $( \pm 3.0)$ |

*Youths who reported smoking a cigarette during the 30 days preceding the survey.
${ }^{\dagger}$ As of November 1, 1989.
${ }^{5}$ Estimates based on weighted data.
${ }^{\text {G }}$ Confidence interval.

Services (HHS) proposed to all states a "Model Sale of Tobacco Products to Minors Control Act" containing six major provisions. The proposed legislation includes 1) instituting 19 years as the minimum age for legal tobacco sales; 2) creating a tobacco sales licensing system similar to that used for alcoholic beverages; 3) establishing a graduated schedule of penalties for illegal sales, with separate penalties for failure to post a sign regarding legal age of purchase; 4) placing primary responsibility for enforcement with a designated state agency, with participation and input from local law enforcement and public health officials; 5) using civil penalties and local courts to assess fines; and 6) banning vending machines (10). The HHS proposal also contains provisions to minimize the economic and administrative burdens on retail outlets.

One of the national health objectives for the year 2000 sets a nationwide goal to enact and enforce state laws prohibiting the sale and distribution of tobacco products to youth aged $<19$ years (objective 3.13 ) (2). This national health objective and the findings from TAPS underscore the need for state and local public health agencies to consider mechanisms such as the model tobacco control act to deter minors from initiating and sustaining tobacco use. A commitment to active surveillance and enforcement of tobacco retail restrictions is essential to reduce the prevalence of smoking among teenagers and its detrimental impact on the health of teenagers and adults.
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Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Scalping Incidents Involving Hay Balers - New York

In August 1991, the Agricultural Health Nurse Program (AHNP) of New York received a report of a woman who was scalped (i.e., traumatic avulsing of the scalp) when her hair became entangled in a hay baler. Subsequent investigations by the AHNP identified three similar incidents. One was identified through a rehabilitation service and one by a machinery dealer; one of these women identified the third person. In all four cases, the injuries resulted from entanglements with rotating secondary drivelines, shielded from above by three-sided guards, on hay-baling equipment. This report summarizes the four incidents and discusses strategies for prevention of similar incidents related to operation of farm machinery.

Index case. In July 1991, a 47-year-old woman was baling hay on a windy day. She stopped and dismounted the tractor but left the tractor throttle on idle and did not disengage the power take-off (PTO) shaft that transmitted power to the baler. She then walked to the rear of the baler, past a secondary driveline shaft that powered a bale thrower attached to the rear of the baler. This secondary driveline, which was about 4 feet off the ground, was shielded by an inverted U-shaped guard (i.e., a tunnel guard) that left the bottom of the driveline unguarded. While at the rear of the baler, the operator's hair (which she reported was tied back in a bandanna and tucked inside her shirt), became entangled in this driveline. The rotating force of the driveline shaft avulsed her entire scalp, from the back of the neck to the facial brow line. These injuries required extensive skin grafting and left her permanently disfigured. She had no memory of her specific activities when the entanglement occurred.

Case 2. In July 1990, a 30 -year-old woman was baling hay with a recently purchased, used baler. She reportedly reduced the engine speed of the tractor powering the baler and dismounted the stopped tractor to adjust the tension levers on the baler. While she was bending over the rear of the baler, her hair, tied in a long ponytail, became entangled in the secondary driveline running to the bale thrower. All of her hair was pulled from her scalp. The secondary driveline was shielded with a tunnel guard.

Case 3. In July 1981, a 42-year-old woman operating a baler leaned against the rear of the baler to evaluate a problem with the machinery. Her shoulder-length hair became entangled in the bale thrower secondary driveline, which was shielded with a tunnel guard. Her right ear and the right side of her scalp were avulsed.

Case 4. In June 1976, a 42-year-old woman who was baling hay walked by the rear of the baler. Her hair, which was reportedly tied in a bun, became entangled in a secondary driveline, and her entire scalp was avulsed. In addition, she received serious facial injuries, which required extensive reconstructive surgery. As in the three other cases, the secondary driveline powered the bale thrower at the rear of the baler and was shielded by a tunnel guard.
Reported by: S Roerig, J Melius, MD, G Casey, New York State Dept of Health. Div of Safety Research, and Div of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluations, and Field Studies, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, CDC.
Editorial Note: Based on data from CDC's National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) National Traumatic Occupational Fatality surveillance system, during 1980-1988, an annual average of 16 U.S. workers aged $\geqslant 16$ years were killed by entanglement in PTOs or similar rotating drivelines on agricultural machinery (1).

## Scalping Incidents - Continued

In addition, nationwide during 1982-1986, an estimated 148 hospital emergency room admissions occurred annually for work-related, nonfatal injuries involving PTOs (2).

The scalping injuries described in this report represent only one form of entanglement, which can also result in amputations, other severe injuries, or death. These four incidents involved a secondary driveline on hay balers manufactured in the early 1970s. The bale throwers are no longer manufactured, but an unknown number remain in use.

The secondary drivelines associated with these incidents were shielded on top; however, the inverted U-shape design did not completely enclose the secondary driveline. In addition, the secondary driveline is approximately 4 feet above the ground, limiting visualization of the exposed bottom, but high enough to render a person vulnerable to entanglement if the PTO is engaged. This type of guard may provide the operator with an unintended false sense of protection and may contribute to the type of incidents described. Since the mid-1970s, the original manufacturer and subsequent corporate owners of the company have provided a plastic tube retrofit guard that, when properly installed, should reduce the hazard from these driveline systems (3). Bale-thrower models manufactured by a subsequent corporate owner in the early 1980s have the driveline completely enclosed by a metal shield. Nonetheless, as demonstrated by the two most recent incidents in this report, bale throwers not equipped with either improved guard system remain in use. In addition, farm machinery produced by other manufacturers may have similar configurations and may pose similar hazards to operators.

The operator's manual for these balers recommends shutting down machinery by disengaging the PTO as a safety practice; this is a general recommendation for the adjustment of any farm machinery (4-6). Warning labels on the baler recommend that operators disengage the PTO before making any adjustment to the machine. However, some operators may incorrectly believe that keeping the PTO engaged facilitates machinery adjustment. The presence of shields on the machinery may foster the belief that the operator is adequately protected when standing near or adjusting running equipment. Other factors such as wind speed and direction, height of the driveline, and workers' hair length may contribute to the risk for entanglement.

Approaches to reducing this type of hazardous exposure have been addressed in the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) standard for agriculture (7) and by voluntary standards maintained by the American Society of Agricultural Engineers (8). However, the bale throwers in the incidents described in this report were manufactured before the OSHA standard took effect. In addition, because all four events occurred on family farming operations with no full-time employees, the OSHA standard does not apply (7).

The use of improved shielding on drive shafts and other moving parts during recent years has reduced the risk for entanglement injury to farm machinery operators (9). However, since farm machinery may remain in service for 40 years or more, many farmers may be using equipment that is not adequately shielded. Operators should always follow the manufacturer's safety recommendations for machinery operation. Furthermore, machinery should not be modified to bypass or remove any of the safety guards or other safety equipment installed by the manufacturer or an authorized farm implement dealer. Farm operators and machinery operators should periodically examine machinery to determine whether unguarded
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areas on the machine pose a hazard and contact an authorized equipment dealer to determine if any of their machinery requires a retrofit shield or other safety modification recommended by the manufacturer.

The AHNP of New York, which investigated the incidents reported here, is funded by the Occupational Health Nurses in Agricultural Communities project that supports community-based surveillance and intervention efforts in 10 states*. This project is a component of the NIOSH Agriculture Health and Safety Initiative directed at farmers, farm families, and farm workers nationwide.

NIOSH continues to assess the possible hazards associated with agricultural equipment of any type and manufacture. All incidents reported in this article involved bale throwers manufactured by New Holland ${ }^{+}$before the company was acquired by Sperry Corporation (Sperry-New Holland), which redesigned the shields. A subsequent corporation, Ford-New Holland, did not manufacture these bale throwers. The models involved included 54A, 54B, 58 and 62 (3). NIOSH requests additional information concerning injuries associated with the specific balers reported here as well as about other entanglement injuries associated with inverted $U$-shaped guards on other farm equipment. Additional information and questions can be directed to Division of Safety Research, NIOSH, CDC, Mailstop 115, 944 Chestnut Ridge Road, Morgantown, WV 26505; telephone (304) 291-4710.
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[^1]FIGURE I. Notifiable disease reports, comparison of 4-week totals ending July 4, 1992, with historical data - United States

*Ratio of current 4-week total to the mean of 154 -week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4 -week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending July 4, 1992 (27th Week)

|  | Cum. 1992 |  | Cum. 1992 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIDS* | 23,872 | Measles: imported | 77 |
| Anthrax |  | indigenous | 1,168 |
| Botulism: Foodborne | 8 | Plague | 2 |
| Infant | 26 | Poliomyelitis, Paralytic ${ }^{\dagger}$ | - |
| Other | 1 | Psittacosis | 49 |
| Brucellosis | 32 | Rabies, human | 17, ${ }^{-}$ |
| Cholera | 36 | Syphilis, primary \& secondary | 17,525 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | 7 | Syphilis, congenital, age < 1 year ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 697 |
| Diphtheria | 3 | Tetanus | 8 |
| Encephalitis, post-infectious | 67 | Toxic shock syndrome | 131 |
| Gonorrhea | 245,881 | Trichinosis | 16 |
| Haemophilus influenzae (invasive disease) | 809 | Tuberculosis | 10,672 |
| Hansen Disease | 69 | Tularemia | 60 |
| Leptospirosis | 16 | Typhoid fever | 157 |
| Lyme Disease | 2,238 | Typhus fever, tickborne (RMSF) | 136 |

[^2]TABLE II. Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 4, 1992, and July 6, 1991 (27th Week)

| Reporting Area | AIDS* | Aseptic Meningitis | Encephalitis |  | Gonorrhea |  | Hepatitis (Viral), by type |  |  |  | Legionellosis | Lyme Disease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Primary | Post-infectious |  |  | A | B | NA,NB | Unspecified |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 23,872 | 2,824 | 255 | 67 | 245,881 | 299,963 | 10,028 | 8,019 | 3,839 | 352 | 644 | 2,238 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 755 | 135 | 16 | - | 5,189 | 7,447 | 306 | 298 | 33 | 18 | 36 | 302 |
| Maine | 27 | 14 | - | - | 40 | 85 | 29 | 17 | 4 | - | 1 | - |
| N.H. | 25 | 5 | 2 | - | 12 | 154 | 25 | 20 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 11 |
| Vt. | 11 | 6 | 2 | - | 14 | 26 | 4 | 8 | 4 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Mass. | 431 | 54 | 9 | - | 1,941 | 3,139 | 147 | 223 | 11 | 17 | 20 | 47 |
| R.I. | 61 | 56 | 3 | - | 393 | 605 | 68 | 17 | 3 | - | 10 | 56 |
| Conn. | 200 | - | - | - | 2,789 | 3,438 | 33 | 13 | - | - | - | 186 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 6,001 | 309 | 15 | 7 | 25,483 | 36,997 | 756 | 1,022 | 187 | 13 | 195 | 1,471 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 736 | 144 | - | - | 5,041 | 6,297 | 189 | 248 | 118 | 6 | 81 | 973 |
| N.Y. City | 3,309 | 59 | 4 | 1 | 8,400 | 14,658 | 263 | 172 | 3 | . | 3 | 1 |
| N.J. | 1,214 | - | - | - | 3,646 | 5,972 | 122 | 245 | 48 | - | 23 | 149 |
| Pa. | 742 | 106 | 11 | 6 | 8,396 | 10,070 | 182 | 357 | 18 | 7 | 88 | 348 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,192 | 377 | 68 | 12 | 46,468 | 54,926 | 1,430 | 1,197 | 651 | 20 | 140 | 54 |
| Ohio | 414 | 106 | 23 | 1 | 14,511 | 16,550 | 234 | 128 | 56 | 4 | 65 | 23 |
| Ind. | 222 | 57 | 8 | - | 4,298 | 5,471 | 421 | 418 | 317 | 5 | 16 | 18 |
| III. | 979 | 70 | 17 | 6 | 14,886 | 16,404 | 250 | 109 | 31 | 4 | 8 | 3 |
| Mich. | 457 | 137 | 19 | 5 | 10,947 | 12,701 | 73 | 321 | 213 | 7 | 34 | 10 |
| Wis. | 120 | 7 | 1 | . | 1,826 | 3,800 | 452 | 221 | 34 | . | 17 | 10 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 675 | 142 | 16 | 4 | 10,582 | 14,443 | 1,119 | 306 | 143 | 19 | 38 | 70 |
| Minn. | 120 | 13 | 1 | - | 1,472 | 1,417 | 351 | 34 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| lowa | 50 | 21 | - | 2 | 830 | 986 | 20 | 19 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 8 |
| Mo. | 347 | 54 | 8 | - | 5,464 | 9,084 | 337 | 202 | 110 | 14 | 13 | 44 |
| N. Dak. | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 33 | 29 | 66 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| S. Dak. | 6 | 5 | - | 1 | 90 | 172 | 169 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | 29 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 906 | 88 | 13 | 5 | - | 11 | 2 |
| Kans. | 122 | 38 | 4 | - | 2,685 | 1,849 | 88 | 33 | 9 | - | 1 | 6 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 5,678 | 561 | 49 | 32 | 78,584 | 89,589 | 625 | 1,345 | 532 | 52 | 99 | 144 |
| Del. | 64 | 20 | 5 | - | 836 | 1,297 | 22 | 133 | 101 | 1 | 16 | 70 |
| Md. | 669 | 71 | 10 | - | 7,491 | 9,381 | 122 | 208 | 20 | 5 | 16 | 24 |
| D.C. | 417 | 12 | 1 | - | 3,666 | 5,135 | 11 | 45 | 233 | - | 7 | - |
| Va . | 322 | 89 | 11 | 8 | 9,178 | 8,886 | 54 | 93 | 20 | 18 | 10 | 29 |
| W. Va. | 29 | 5 | 3 |  | 468 | 595 | 4 | 29 | 1 | 12 |  | 2 |
| N.C. | 370 | 67 | 15 | - | 12,689 | 17,238 | 49 | 216 | 49 | - | 16 | 6 |
| S.C. | 166 | 6 | - | - | 5,728 | 6,601 | 14 | 30 | - | - | 16 | - |
| Ga. | 759 | 67 | 2 | - | 24,569 | 22,248 | 83 | 165 | 48 | - | 5 | 2 |
| Fla. | 2,882 | 224 | 2 | 24 | 13,959 | 18,208 | 266 | 426 | 60 | 16 | 13 | 11 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 739 | 169 | 10 | - | 24,164 | 28,324 | 154 | 697 | 1,177 | 1 | 29 | 37 |
| Ky. | 105 | 59 | 7 | - | 2,545 | 2,984 | 40 | 43 | 1 | - | 15 | 11 |
| Tenn. | 227 | 48 | 1 | - | 7,425 | 10,321 | 70 | 580 | 1,167 | - | 10 | 22 |
| Ala. | 272 | 46 | 1 | $\bullet$ | 8,253 | 7,925 | 28 | 72 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Miss. | 135 | 16 | 1 | - | 5,941 | 7,094 | 16 | 2 | 1 | - | - |  |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 2,174 | 355 | 23 | 4 | 27,667 | 35,421 | 948 | 1,013 | 63 | 82 | 11 | 54 |
| Ark. | 112 | 4 | 7 |  | 4,091 | 3,765 | 50 | 40 | 5 | 3 | , | 8 |
| La. | 390 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 7,317 | 8,180 | 73 | 75 | 23 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Okla. | 147 | - | 1 | 2 | 2,658 | 3,396 | 109 | 102 | 22 | 3 | 5 | 13 |
| Tex. | 1,525 | 327 | 13 | 1 | 13,601 | 20,080 | 716 | 796 | 13 | 74 | 5 | 31 |
| MOUNTAIN | 686 | 93 | 11 | 4 | 5,531 | 6,348 | 1,467 | 373 | 151 | 33 | 48 | 3 |
| Mont. | 12 |  | 1 | 1 | 56 | 58 | 46 | 22 | 29 |  | 8 |  |
| Idaho | 15 | 14 | - | - | 61 | 76 | 32 | 50 | 3 | - | 3 | 1 |
| Wyo. | 2 | - | $\square$ | - | 32 | 53 | 3 | 2 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Colo. | 236 | 28 | 6 | 1 | 1,832 | 1,852 | 422 | 57 | 52 | 17 | 10 | , |
| N. Mex. | 58 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 468 | 591 | 143 | 102 | 15 | 7 | 2 | - |
| Ariz. | 203 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 2,109 | 2,361 | 613 | 75 | 16 | 4 | 13 | - |
| Utah | 54 | 1 | - | 1 | 141 | 167 | 168 | 9 | 19 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Nev. | 106 | 16 | - | - | 832 | 1,190 | 40 | 56 | 12 | - | 9 | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 4,972 | 683 | 47 | 4 | 22,213 | 26,468 | 3,223 | 1,768 | 902 | 114 | 48 | 103 |
| Wash. | 255 | - | - | - | 1,879 | 2,337 | 353 | 163 | 70 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| Oreg. | 146 | , | - | - | 812 | 1,065 | 183 | 156 | 43 | 7 |  | 2 |
| Calif. | 4,484 | 632 | 44 | 3 | 18,893 | 22,343 | 2,528 | 1,433 | 641 | 95 | 42 | 101 |
| Alaska | 8 | 3 | 3 | - | 378 | 384 | 28 | 8 | 2 | 1 | , | 10 |
| Hawaii | 79 | 48 | - | 1 | 251 | 339 | 131 | 8 | 146 | 5 | 1 | - |
| Guam | $87{ }^{\circ}$ | 2 | - | - | 45 | - | 5 | 1 | - | 6 | - | 1 |
| P.R. | 876 | 85 | 1 | - | 91 | 338 | 19 | 228 | 61 | 16 | 1 | 1 |
| V.I. | 2 | - | - | - | 55 | 251 | 2 | 5 |  |  | 1 | . |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | - | 21 | 24 | - | 1 | - | . | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | - | - | 25 | 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 4, 1992, and July 6, 1991 (27th Week)

| Reporting Area | Malaria | Measles (Rubeola) |  |  |  |  | Meningococcal Infections | Mumps |  | Pertussis |  |  | Rubella |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Indigenous |  | Imported* |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 409 | 52 | 1,168 | - | 77 | 7,522 | 1,268 | 31 | 1,560 | 47 | 773 | 1,134 | 3 | 112 | 1014 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 22 | 1 | 45 | - | 7 | 57 | 76 | - | 10 | 1 | 74 | 173 | - | 6 | 2 |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | 3 | 44 | - | 1 |  |
| N.H. | 3 | 1 | 15 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 2 | - | 20 | 12 | - | - | 1 |
| Vt. | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 10 | - | 11 | - | 3 | 27 | 29 | - | 2 | 1 | 36 | 98 | - | - | 1 |
| R.I. | 4 | - | 19 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - |
| Conn. | 5 | - | - | - | 4 | 23 | 33 | - | 6 | - | 14 | 16 | - | 1 | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 111 | - | 163 | - | 9 | 4,307 | 143 | - | 106 | - | 76 | 115 | - | 15 | 559 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 18 | - | 76 | - | 3 | 369 | 71 | - | 46 | - | 23 | 64 | - | 11 | 535 |
| N.Y. City | 60 | - | 38 | - | 5 | 1,500 | 12 | - | 18 | - | 11 | 14 | - | - | 2 |
| N.J. | 18 | - | 44 | - | 1 | 1,003 | 17 | - | 11 | - | 14 | 9 | - | 3 | 1 |
| Pa . | 15 | - | 5 | - | - | 1,435 | 43 | - | 31 | - | 28 | 28 | - | 1 | 21 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 25 | - | 24 | - | 9 | 74 | 194 | 10 | 209 | 3 | 59 | 217 | - | 7 | 164 |
| Ohio | 4 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 1 | 52 | 10 | 82 | 3 | 29 | 65 | - | - | 147 |
| Ind. | 8 | - | 20 | - | - | 1 | 29 | - | 7 | - | 12 | 44 | - | - | 1 |
| III. | 5 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 24 | 52 | - | 57 | - | 6 | 46 | - | 7 | 4 |
| Mich. | 7 | U | 1 | U | 1 | 39 | 46 | U | 55 | U | 3 | 23 | U | - | 11 |
| Wis. | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 9 | 15 | - | 8 | - | 9 | 39 | - | - | 1 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 25 | - | 5 | - | 4 | 39 | 60 | 3 | 53 | 7 | 59 | 77 | - | 4 | 15 |
| Minn. | 13 | - | 4 | - | 3 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 17 | 5 | 22 | 28 | - | - | 6 |
| lowa | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 15 | 7 | - | 9 | 2 | 3 | 8 | - | - | 5 |
| Mo. | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 1 | 19 | - | 19 | 27 | - | - | 4 |
| N. Dak. | - | U | - | U | - | - | - | U | 2 | U | 8 | 1 | U | - | . |
| S. Dak. | 1 | , | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 13 | . | 4 | - | 2 | 5 | - | $\cdot$ | - |
| Kans. | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 13 | 14 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 6 | - | 4 | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 77 | - | 113 | - | 10 | 418 | 232 | 5 | 600 | 1 | 67 | 98 | 2 | 13 | 6 |
| Del. | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | 21 | 2 | - | 4 | , | - | - | - | - | - |
| Md. | 22 | - | 9 | - | 7 | 165 | 26 | - | 55 | 1 | 15 | 15 | - | 7 | 1 |
| D.C. | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Va. | 17 | - | 8 | - | 3 | 25 | 35 | - | 33 | - | 4 | 11 | - | - | . |
| W. Va. | . | - |  | - | - | - | 14 | - | 22 | - | 2 | 6 | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 6 | - | 25 | - | - | 32 | 47 | - | 124 | - | 13 | 18 | - | - | 1 |
| S.C. | - | - | 29 | - | - | 12 | 18 | - | 46 | - | 9 | 9 | 2 | 2 | - |
| Ga. | 3 | - | - | - | - | 14 | 34 | - | 56 | - | 8 | 22 | - | - | - |
| Fla. | 19 | - | 39 | - | - | 149 | 56 | 3 | 255 | - | 16 | 17 | - | 3 | 3 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 13 | - | 437 | - | 18 | 2 | 88 | - | 39 | 1 | 16 | 31 | - | 1 | 100 |
| Ky. | 1 | - | 435 | - | 1 | 1 | 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | 8 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 28 | - | 13 | - | 5 | 14 | - | 1 | 100 |
| Ala. | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 27 | - | 7 | 1 | 9 | 16 | - | - | - |
| Miss. |  | - | 2 | - | 17 | - | 6 | - | 19 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 13 | 50 | 291 | - | - | 127 | 96 | 3 | 272 | 7 | 29 | 29 | - | - | 5 |
| Ark. | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 8 | - | 6 | - | 9 | 3 | - | - | 1 |
| La. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | - | 15 | - |  | 9 | - | . | - |
| Okla. | 3 | 5 | 11 | - | - | - | 12 | - | 15 | 7 | 20 | 11 | - | - | - |
| Tex. | 9 | 50 | 280 | - | - | 122 | 56 | 3 | 236 | - | - | 6 | - | - | 4 |
| MOUNTAIN | 11 | 1 | 3 | - | 6 | 843 | 64 | 4 | 89 | 13 | 147 | 124 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| Mont. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | , | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Idaho | - | - | 1 | - | - | 301 | 8 | 1 | 3 | - | 17 | 20 | . | 1 | - |
| Wyo. | - | - | 1 | - | $\square$ | - | 2 | - | - | - |  | 3 | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | 6 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 24 | 66 | - | . | 1 |
| N. Mex. | 1 | - | - | - | - | 94 | 5 | N | N | 3 | 33 | 11 | - | - | 1 |
| Ariz. | 4 | - | - | - | - | 312 | 14 | N | 49 | 8 | 56 | 8 | - | 2 | - |
| Utah | - | - | - | - | - | 115 | 4 | - | 16 | - | 15 | 14 | - | 1 | - |
| Nev. | 1 | - | - | - | - | 16 | 8 | 2 | 7 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| PACIFIC | 112 | - | 87 | - | 14 | 1,655 | 315 | 6 | 182 | 14 | 246 | 270 | - | 61 | 159 |
| Wash. | 7 | - | - | - | 10 | 4 | 41 | - | 8 | 3 | 61 | 67 | - | 6 |  |
| Oreg. | 10 | - | 4 | - | 1 | 59 | 45 | N | N | 11 | 14 | 37 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Calif. | 89 | - | 45 | - | 1 | 1,575 | 218 | 6 | 162 | 11 | 160 | 121 | - | 36 | 151 |
| Alaska | 1 | - | 8 | - | 1 | 1 | 6 |  | 1 | 11 | . | 11 | - | 3 | , |
| Hawaii | 5 | - | 30 | - | 2 | 16 | 5 | - | 11 | - | 11 | 34 | - | 17 | 6 |
| Guam | 1 | - | $10$ | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - |  | - | - | 1 | - |
| P.R. | , | - | 244 | - | - | 81 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 8 | 25 | - | 1 | 1 |
| V.I. |  | - |  | - | - | 2 |  | 1 | 16 | - | - | 25 | . | . | 1 |
| Amer. Samoa | - | , | - | - | - | 24 | - | 1 | 16 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | U | - | U | - |  | - | U | - | U | 1 | - | U | - | . |

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 4, 1992, and July 6, 1991 (27th Week)

| Reporting Area | Syphilis (Primary \& Secondary) |  | Toxicshock Syndrome | Tuberculosis |  | Tularemia <br> Cum. 1992 | Typhoid <br> Fever <br> Cum. <br> 1992 | Typhus Fever <br> (Tick-borne) <br> (RMSF) <br> Cum. <br> 1992 | Rabies, <br> Animal <br> Cum. <br> 1992 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| UNITED STATES | 17,525 | 22,241 | 131 | 10,672 | 11,174 | 60 | 157 | 136 | 4,084 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 301 | 586 | 10 | 223 | 309 | - | 18 | 5 | 384 |
| Maine | . | - | - | 49 | 27 | - | - | . |  |
| N.H. | - | 12 | 6 | - | . | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Vt. | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | $\cdot$ | 16 |
| Mass. | 157 | 276 | 3 | 74 | 152 | - | 11 | 3 | 3 |
| R.I. | 18 | 33 | 1 | 24 | 33 | - | - | 1 |  |
| Conn. | 125 | 264 | - | 73 | 94 | - | 6 | 1 | 364 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,581 | 3,972 | 17 | 2,479 | 2,611 | - | 45 | 6 | 1,162 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 171 | 358 | 7 | 162 | 271 | - | 6 | 1 | 638 |
| N.Y. City | 1,372 | 1,901 | - | 1,547 | 1,577 | - | 20 | 3 | - |
| N.J. | 342 | 704 | - | 453 | 430 | - | 12 | - | 372 |
| Pa . | 696 | 1,009 | 10 | 317 | 333 | - | 7 | 2 | 152 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,502 | 2,520 | 37 | 1,099 | 1,108 | 1 | 14 | 12 | 72 |
| Ohio | 404 | 338 | 12 | 165 | 165 | - | 3 | 8 | 6 |
| Ind. | 148 | 76 | 8 | 89 | 90 | - | - | 2 | 8 |
| III. | 1,112 | 1,188 | 5 | 551 | 576 | 1 | 10 | - | 11 |
| Mich. | 529 | 650 | 12 | 248 | 223 | - | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Wis. | 309 | 268 | - | 46 | 54 | - | - | 1 | 39 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 560 | 371 | 21 | 205 | 282 | 22 | 1 | 9 | 691 |
| Minn. | 41 | 42 | 4 | 62 | 56 | - | - | - | 102 |
| lowa | 24 | 33 | 4 | 20 | 37 | - | - | - | 118 |
| Mo. | 412 | 250 | 3 | 66 | 119 | 17 | 1 | 8 | 7 |
| N. Dak. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | 88 |
| S. Dak. | - | 1 | - | 15 | 23 | 4 |  | - | 60 |
| Nebr. | 1 | 9 | 3 | 13 | 11 | 1 | - | - | 6 |
| Kans. | 81 | 35 | 6 | 27 | 30 | - | - | 1 | 310 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 4,940 | 6,533 | 13 | 2,020 | 2,058 | 3 | 12 | 30 | 919 |
| Del. | 103 | 84 | 3 | 19 | 16 | - |  | 3 | 122 |
| Md. | 369 | 536 | 1 | 142 | 201 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 272 |
| D.C. | 236 | 405 | - | 67 | 109 | - | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| Va . | 365 | 515 | 1 | 136 | 173 | 2 | - | 1 | 157 |
| W. Va. | 7 | 17 | 1 | 37 | 40 | - | 1 | 1 | 22 |
| N.C. | 1,242 | 996 | 3 | 259 | 256 | - | - | 16 | 2 |
| S.C. | 662 | 810 | 1 | 217 | 218 | - | 1 | 2 | 73 |
| Ga. | 1,022 | 1,587 | 1 | 458 | 402 | - | ; | 3 | 191 |
| Fla. | 934 | 1,583 | 2 | 685 | 643 | - | 7 | 2 | 70 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 2,290 | 2,378 | 1 | 724 | 742 | 6 | 3 | 26 | 70 |
| Ky. | 75 | 41 | - | 194 | 171 | 1 | - | 2 | 38 |
| Tenn. | 628 | 810 | 1 | 161 | 203 | 5 | - | 23 |  |
| Ala. | 899 | 879 | - | 224 | 205 | . | - | 1 | 32 |
| Miss. | 688 | 648 | - | 145 | 163 | - | 3 | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 3,203 | 4,104 | 1 | 1,100 | 1,293 | 13 | 6 | 42 | 435 |
| Ark. | 426 | 339 | - | 92 | 97 | 7 | - | 6 | 19 |
| La. | 1,325 | 1,288 | - | 87 | 104 | - | - | - | - |
| Okla. | 133 | 99 | i | 70 | 85 | 6 | - | 36 | 213 |
| Tex. | 1,319 | 2,378 | 1 | 851 | 1,007 | - | 6 | . | 203 |
| MOUNTAIN | 201 | 306 | 10 | 267 | 312 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 84 |
| Mont. | 3 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 8 | - | 2 | 11 |
| Idaho | 1 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 4 | . | 1 | 1 | - |
| Wyo. | 1 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | . | 24 |
| Colo. | 24 | 51 | 4 | 29 | 33 | 2 | 1 | - | 5 |
| N. Mex. | 24 | 19 | 1 | 39 | 39 | 3 | - | 1 | 5 |
| Ariz. | 102 | 197 | 2 | 112 | 166 | - | - | - | 36 |
| Utah | 5 | 4 | 2 | 43 | 25 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Nev. | 41 | 27 | - | 31 | 39 | - | - | - | 2 |
| PACIFIC | 947 | 1,471 | 21 | 2,555 | 2,459 | - | 56 | 1 | 267 |
| Wash. | 49 | 99 | - | 160 | 154 | - | 4 | . | - |
| Oreg. | 25 | 42 | ${ }^{-}$ | 60 | 51 | - | - | - | 5 |
| Calif. | 867 | 1,323 | 21 | 2,185 | 2,108 | - | 49 | 1 | 255 |
| Alaska | 2 | 3 | . | 30 | 40 | - | - | . | 12 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 4 | - | 120 | 106 | - | 3 | - | . |
| Guam | 2 | - | $\bullet$ | 34 | $\bigcirc$ | - | 3 | - | - |
| P.R. | 169 | 252 | - | 120 | 99 | - | 1 | - | 30 |
| V.I. | 32 | 66 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | - |  |
| Amer. Samoa | 4 | - | - | 12 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | 4 | - | - | 12 | 6 | - | 1 | - | - |

TABLE III．Deaths in 121 U．S．cities，＊week ending July 4， 1992 （27th Week）

| Reporting Area | All Causes，By Age（Years） |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{P} \& 1^{\dagger}$ <br> Total | Reporting Area | All Causes，By Age（Years） |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { P\&1 }{ }^{\dagger} \\ \text { Total } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ages | $\geqslant 65$ | 45－64 | 25－44 | 1－24 | $<1$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | $\geqslant 65$ | 45－64 | 25－44 | 1－24 | $<1$ |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 523 | 375 | 90 | 43 | 3 | 12 | 35 | S．ATLANTIC | 1，054 | 632 | 216 | 120 | 47 | 38 | 45 |
| Boston，Mass． | 136 | 83 | 32 | 13 | 1 | 7 | 17 | Atlanta，Ga． | 113 | 65 | 24 | 19 | 5 | － | $6$ |
| Bridgeport，Conn． | 41 | 32 | 8 | 1 | － | － | 2 | Baltimore，Md． | 60 | 23 | 14 | 17 | 5 | 1 | $3$ |
| Cambridge，Mass． | 30 | 20 | 7 | 3 | － | － | 1 | Charlotte，N．C． | 74 | 50 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 4 | $2$ |
| Fall River，Mass． | 26 | 24 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | Jacksonville，Fla． | 86 | 54 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 2 | $4$ |
| Hartford，Conn． | 44 | 29 | 10 | 5 | － | － | 1 | Miami，Fla． | 96 | 52 | 26 | 8 | 8 | 2 |  |
| Lowell，Mass． | 28 | 24 | 4 | － | － | － | 3 | Norfolk，Va． | 39 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  |
| Lynn，Mass． | 8 | 7 |  | 1 | － | － | － | Richmond，Va． | 66 | 41 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| New Bedford，Mass． | 27 | 21 | 3 | 3 | － |  | － | Savannah，Ga． | 69 | 44 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 10 |
| New Haven，Conn． | 36 | 23 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | St．Petersburg，Fla． | 68 | 47 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| Providence，R．I． | 39 | 32 | 4 | 3 | － | － | － | Tampa，Fla． | 149 | 98 | 32 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 11 |
| Somerville，Mass． | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | Washington，D．C． | 219 | 126 | 43 | 28 | 12 | 10 | 5 |
| Springfield，Mass． | 43 | 30 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | Wilmington，Del． | 15 | 11 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Waterbury，Conn． | 13 | 12 | 5 | 1 |  |  | 1 | E．S．CENTRAL | 596 | 391 | 114 | 58 | 22 | 11 | 40 |
| Worcester，Mass． | 45 | 33 | 5 | 4 |  | 3 | 4 | Birmingham，Ala． | 147 | 96 | 24 | 18 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| MID．ATLANTIC | 2，483 | 1，625 | 468 | 280 | 56 | 54 | 94 | Chattanooga，Tenn． | 53 | 43 | 8 | 1 | 1 | － | 2 |
| Albany，N．Y． | 39 | 26 | 7 | 4 | － | 2 | 2 | Knoxville，Tenn． | 63 | 43 | 9 | 7 | 4 | － | 7 |
| Allentown，Pa． | 21 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | Louisville，Ky． | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| Buffalo，N．Y． | 100 | 77 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | Memphis，Tenn． | 155 | 99 | 32 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 21 |
| Camden，N．J． | 21 | 12 | 5 | 3 | － | 1 | 1 | Mobile，Ala． | 45 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 4 | － |  |
| Elizabeth，N．J． | 17 | 15 | 1 | 1 | $\square$ | － | － | Montgomery，Ala． | 28 | 21 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Erie，Pa．$¢$ | 35 | 29 | 5 | － | 1 | － | － | Nashville，Tenn． | 105 | 57 | 31 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 7 |
| Jersey City，N．J． | 477 | 28888 | 11 264 | $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 35 | 2 | 1 | W．S．CENTRAL | 1，279 | 770 | 241 | 154 | 64 | 49 | 69 |
| New York City，N．Y． | 1，350 | 838 | 264 | 187 | 35 | 26 | 41 | Austin，Tex． | 1，27 | 35 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Newark，N．J． | 36 | 19 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | Baton Rouge，La． | 27 | 23 | 1 | 2 | ． | 1 | 1 |
| Paterson，N．J． | 25 | 19 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 19 | Corpus Christi，Tex． | 36 | 22 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Philadelphia，Pa． | 395 | 247 | 97 | 31 | 9 | 11 | 19 | Dallas，Tex． | 222 | 136 | 54 | 23 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Pittsburgh，Pa． 5 | 45 | 29 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | El Paso，Tex． | 75 | 47 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Reading，Pa． | 18 | 13 | 17 | 3 | － | 1 | 2 | Ft．Worth，Tex． | 78 | 52 | 12 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Rochester，N．Y． | 125 | 97 | 17 | 10 | － | 1 | 9 | Houston，Tex． | 358 | 183 | 56 | 65 | 26 | 28 | 38 |
| Schenectady，N．Y． | 20 | 18 | 2 | 1 | － |  | 2 | Little Rock，Ark． | 51 | ＋34 | 9 | 2 | ＋ 3 | － 3 | 6 |
| Scranton，Pa．§ | 23 | 18 | 4 16 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | New Orleans，La． | 103 | 59 | 24 | 10 | 7 | 3 |  |
| Syracuse，N．Y． | 106 | 79 | 16 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 4 | San Antonio，Tex． | 182 | 117 | 34 | 16 | 8 | 6 | 8 |
| Trenton，N．J． | 39 | 26 | 7 | 6 | － | － | 4 | Shreveport，La． | 32 | 21 | 8 | 2 | 1 | ． |  |
| Utica，N．Y． <br> Yonkers，N．Y． | 21 $\cup$ | 19 $U$ | 1 | 1 | U | U | U | Tulsa，Okla． | 60 | 41 | 12 | 4 | 3 | － | 2 |
| E N CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MOUNTAIN | 652 | 424 | 121 | 59 | 20 | 26 | 43 |
| E．N．CENTRAL | 1,829 60 | 1,122 42 | 348 | 187 4 | 117 | 55 | 89 | Albuquerque，N．M． | 79 | 45 | 16 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Akron，Ohio | 31 | 42 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | Colo．Springs，Colo． | 36 | 22 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Canton，Ohio | 31 429 | $\underline{22}$ | 4 99 | 88 | 6 | 1 | 14 | Denver，Colo． | 77 | 49 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 10 |
| Chicago，III． | 429 | 170 | 99 | 88 | 66 | 6 | 14 | Las Vegas，Nev． | 85 | 58 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Cincinnati，Ohio | 130 | 81 | 25 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 9 | Ogden，Utah | 10 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Cleveland，Ohio | 104 | 63 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 1 | Phoenix，Ariz． | 123 | 74 | 27 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 10 |
|  | 15 | 111 56 | 26 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 12 | Pueblo，Colo． | 22 | 19 | 3 | 9 | － | － | 2 |
|  | S | 56 99 | 41 | 17 | 11 | 11 | 8 | Salt Lake City，Utah | 107 | 65 | 20 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 4 |
|  |  | 23 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 2 | Tucson，Ariz． | 113 | 86 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| 780 | \＄ | 37 | 4 | 1 | 1 | － | 2 | PACIFIC | 1，466 | 947 | 252 | 171 | 45 | 46 | 91 |
| 8 | T3 | 6 | 3 | 1 | － | － | 1 | Berkeley，Calif． | 20 | 18 | － | 2 | － | － | 1 |
|  | 1 | 31 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | Fresno，Calif． | 55 | 34 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 5 | ， |
|  | § | 161 | 40 | 16 | 6 | 7 | 13 | Glendale，Calif． | 12 | 11 | 1 | － | － | － | 3 |
| \％ | З | 27 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Honolulu，Hawaii | 45 | 31 | 6 | 6 | － | 2 | 1 |
|  | 3 | U | U | U | U | U | U | Long Beach，Calif． | 52 | 34 | 10 | 7 | 1 | － | 3 |
|  | §\％ | 23 | 7 | 2 | － | － | 1 | Los Angeles，Calif． | 293 | 173 | 53 | 42 | 19 | 2 | 16 |
| 昭 | §＇ | 33 | － | 3 | ， | 3 | 5 | Pasadena，Calif． | 24 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | － |
| S8uth Bendicliaj | 3 | 34 | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 3 | Portiand，Oreg． | 154 | 110 | 25 | 11 | 2 | 6 | 9 |
| Toledo，Ohio | 86 | 62 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | Sacramento，Calif． | 136 | 83 | 30 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 13 |
| Youngstown，Ohio | 54 | 41 | 6 | 5 | 2 | － | 3 | San Diego，Calif． | 80 | 57 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 8 |
| W．N．CENTRAL | 572 | 410 | 91 | 45 | 17 | 9 | 18 | San Francisco，Calif． | 129 | 65 | 26 | 30 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| Bes M8ines： 8 wa | Y | U | U | U | U | U | U | San Jose，Calif． | 188 | 120 | 36 | 20 | 3 | 9 |  |
|  | U | 12 | 8 | 2 | ． |  | 1 | Santa Cruz，Calif． | 35 120 | 28 | 5 | 2 | － | 6 | 3 |
|  | O | 15 | 4 | 2 | － | － | 1 | Seatte，Wash． | 59 | 42 | 10 | 11 | 1 | 6 |  |
|  | O | 76 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 3 | Spokane，Wash． | 59 | 48 | 10 | 4 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 璦 以 | ， | 17 | 6 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | Tacoma，Wash． |  | 48 | 9 | 5 | 1 |  |  |
|  | ， | 101 | 18 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 4 | TOTAL | 10，454 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6，696 | 1，941 | 1，117 | 391 | 300 | 524 |
| 建， | こ－碞 | 57 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| － | － | 69 | 15 | 12 | 6 | 3 | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ごった | 35 | 13 | 3 | 1 | － | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ごここち | 28 | 4 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 more．A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed．Fetal deaths are not included．
$\dagger$ Pneumonia and influenza．
§Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities，these numbers are partial counts for the current week．
Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks．
ITotal includes unknown aces．

## Current Trends

## Spina Bifida Incidence at Birth - United States, 1983-1990

Spina bifida, a birth defect of the spinal column that can cause varying degrees of paralysis, is a major contributor to serious developmental disabilities in the United States. To determine the incidence and descriptive epidemiology of spina bifida, CDC analyzed reports from 16 states with population-based birth defects surveillance systems (Table 1). This report summarizes findings from this analysis for 1983-1990.

Of the 16 state-based birth defects surveillance systems, 13 systems are statewide in coverage (exceptions: Arkansas, California, and Georgia). All but one (Georgia [1]) of the state-based birth defects surveillance systems are operated by the state health department or by a state university. These 16 states account for approximately $23.5 \%$ of the total U.S. population.

All live-born and stillborn infants with spina bifida* were included in the analysis; however, in three states data were not available on cases involving stillborn infants. Nine state surveillance systems (Colorado, Illinois, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, and Virginia) identified cases from reports submitted by physicians and staffs of hospitals, clinics, or other health-care facilities; seven states (Arizona, Arkansas, California, Georgia, Hawaii, Iowa, and Washington) used trained surveillance staff to identify cases by systematic review of medical and other records from hospitals, clinics, and other health-care facilities. Spina bifida incidence rates were determined for each state during the surveillance period; however, the specific surveillance periods during 1983-1990 varied by state (Table 1). The states were grouped into the four U.S. census regions: Northeast, North Central, South, and West.

From 1983 through 1990, the spina bifida incidence rate for these 16 states was 4.6 cases per 10,000 births; during this period, the annual rate declined from a peak of 5.9 cases per 10,000 births in 1984 to 3.2 cases per 10,000 births in 1990 (Figure 1). Although rates were similar by region, state-specific rates varied substantially (range: 3.0 [Washington] to 7.8 [Arkansas]). Rates also varied among racial/ethnic groups (Table 1) and were lowest for Asians/Pacific Islanders (2.3) and highest for Hispanics (6.0). The rate for Hispanics declined substantially from 1983 to 1990, and the rate for blacks was stable after 1984 (Figure 1). In 1990, spina bifida rates for whites, blacks, and Hispanics were nearly identical.
Reported by: T Flood, MD, Office of Chronic Disease Epidemiology, Arizona Dept of Health Svcs. M Brewster, PhD, Arkansas Reproductive Health Monitoring System, Arkansas Children's Hospital, Little Rock, Arkansas. J Harris, MD, California Birth Defects Monitoring Program, California Dept of Health Svcs. S Keefer, MS, Colorado Registry for Children with Special Needs, Colorado Dept of Health. R Merz, MS, Hawaii Birth Defects Monitoring Program, Hawaii Dept of Health. H Howe, PhD, Div of Epidemiologic Studies, Illinois Dept of Public Health. J Hanson, MD, State Health Registry of lowa, lowa City. S Panny, MD, Div of Hereditary Disorders, Maryland State Dept of Health and Mental Hygiene. J Bakewell, Bur of Health Data Analysis, Missouri Dept of Health. M Seeland, Health Data Support Div, Nebraska State Dept of Health. P Costa, MA, Birth Defects Registry, New Jersey State Dept of Health. C Olsen, PhD, Bur of Environmental Epidemiology and Occupational Health, New York State Dept of Health. A Murray, MA, State Center for Health and Environmental Statistics, North Carolina Dept of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources. M Marazita, PhD, Dept of Human Genetics, Medical College of Virginia, Virginia Commonwealth Univ, Richmond; Virginia Dept of Health. C Hill, Birth Defects Registry,

[^3]TABLE 1. Incidence of spina billth1thtteneted from state-based birth defen! 16 states, 1983-1990

| Program | Years covered | \||I|||||||| | White |  | Black |  |  |  | Asian |  | Total ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cases | Rate | Cases | Rate |  |  | Cases | Rate | Cases | Rate |
| Northeast 40.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Jersey | 1985-1990 | 688,991 | 179 | 4.1 | 38 | 3.0 | 40 | 4.6 | 3 | 1.7 | 295 | 4.3 |
| New York | 1983-1990 | 2,160,230 | 550 | 4.2 | 182 | 4.3 | 211 | 6.1 | - | - 7 | 983 | 4.6 |
| Total |  | 2,849,221 | 729 | 4.2 | 220 | 4.0 | 251 | 5.8 | 3 | 1.7 | 1,278 | 4.5 |
| North Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illinois | 1988-1989 | 374,955 | 79 | 2.8 | 19 | 2.3 | - | - | - | 0 | 118 | 3.1 |
| lowa | 1983-1990 | 319,714 | 159 | 5.2 | 2 | 2.2 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | 166 | 5.2 |
| Missouri | 1983-1986 | 303,647 | 147 | 5.8 | 8 | 1.8 | - | - | 2 | 7.8 | 157 | 5.2 |
| Nebraska | 1983-1990 | 198,601 | 95 | 5.3 | 6 | 5.9 | 3 | 5.9 | - | - | 104 | 5.2 |
| Total |  | 1,196,917 | 480 | 4.7 | 35 | 2.4 | 3 | 5.9 | 2 | 3.7 | 545 | 4.6 |
| South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas | 1983-1989 | 107,184 | 64 | 8.1 | 17 | 6.1 | - | - | - | - | 84 | 7.8 |
| Georgia | 1983-1990 | 269,472 | 106 | 6.5 | 50 | 4.9 | - | - | 2 | 3.5 | 163 | 6.0 |
| Maryland | 1984-1990 | 437,645 | 119 | 4.0 | 45 | 3.5 | - | - | - | - | 172 | 3.9 |
| North Carolina | 1984-1988 | 456,631 | 190 | 5.8 | 46 | 3.6 | - | - | 3 | 7.4 | 243 | 5.3 |
| Virginia | 1987-1989 | 264,593 | 78 | 4.0 | 21 | 3.3 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | 99 | 3.7 |
| Total |  | 1,535,525 | 557 | 5.3 | 179 | 4.0 | - | - | 5 | 3.0 | 761 | 5.0 |
| West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona | 1986-1988 | 189,686 | 43 | 4.0 | 5 | 6.5 | 32 | 6.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 91 | 4.8 |
| California | 1983-1988 | 1,029,765 | 245 | 4.4 | 21 | 2.7 | 171 | 6.8 | 26 | 2.2 | 486 | 4.7 |
| Colorado | 1989-1990 | 106,188 | 36 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 53 | 5.0 |
| Hawaii ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 1989-1990 | 39,773 | 6 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.0 | - | 5. | 7 | 2.5 | 13 | 3.3 |
| Washington | 1987-1990 | 297,305 | 77 | 3.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 3 | 1.4 | 5 | 3.1 | 88 | 3.0 |
| Total |  | 1,662,717 | 407 | 4.0 | 27 | 2.6 | 215 | 6.3 | 38 | 2.2 | 731 | 4.4 |
| Total 16 states |  | 7,244,380 | 2,173 | 4.5 | 461 | 3.7 | 469 | 6.0 | 48 | 2.3 | 3,315 | 4.6 |

*Rate per 10,000 live births.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Includes persons from all racial/ethnic groups for whom data are available, as well as persons for whom race is unknown.
${ }^{5}$ Hawaii rates are estimated from the proportion of births by race in 1988. For 1989 and 1990, data are available only for total state births and spina bifida cases by race.

## Spina Bifida - Continued

Washington Dept of Health. Birth Defects and Genetic Diseases Br, Div of Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities, National Center for Environmental Health and Injury Control, CDC.
Editorial Note: The public health impact of spina bifida in the United States is substantial: each year, approximately 1500 infants are born with spina bifida (2), and the annual medical and surgical costs (based on 1985 dollars) for persons with spina bifida exceed $\$ 200$ million (2). The findings in this report are the first to describe the use of multiple state systems for characterizing the birth incidence of a major preventable birth defect for these reporting states.

Public health and health-care providers require accurate determinations of spina bifida rates to evaluate the effectiveness of programs to reduce the incidence of this problem in the United States. Until recently, the only source of ongoing information about the national birth incidence of spina bifida was CDC's national Birth Defects Monitoring Program (BDMP), a hospital-based surveillance system that obtains information about birth defects in newborns from discharge abstracts in participating hospitals (1). The state-based birth defects surveillance systems described in this report are an additional source of information on the incidence of birth defects. Data from the state systems are population-based rather than hospital-based and provide more information on spina bifida cases in individual states than does the BDMP. Even though the findings in this report were computed for only 16 states, the combined state rate ( 4.6 per 10,000 live births) for 1983-1990 was nearly identical to the mean BDMP rate for 1983-1990 (4.4).

Potential explanations for the decline in spina bifida incidence rates, indicated by state surveillance, may be related to improved nutrition or other environmental factors (3). Prenatal diagnosis of affected pregnancies during the 1980s may have had an impact, but the relative impact on the decline is unknown. Differences in rates

FIGURE 1. Spina bifida rates,* by race/ethnicity and year of birth - United States, 1983-1990


[^4]${ }^{\dagger}$ Includes persons from all racial/ethnic groups for whom data are available, as well as persons for whom race is unknown.

## Spina Bifida - Continued

among racial/ethnic groups may be related to differences in genetic susceptibility to spina bifida, cultural dietary practices, or differences in other unidentified risk factors.

Findings from a recently published randomized controlled trial demonstrated that periconceptional supplementation with 4.0 mg of folic acid daily would prevent $70 \%$ of the recurrence of neural tube defects (NTDs) among women who had a prior NTD-affected pregnancy (4). In August 1991, CDC published interim recommendations for folic acid supplementation for women who have had an infant or fetus with spina bifida, anencephaly, or encephalocele (5).

State-based surveillance systems provide important information regarding the incidence of birth defects in individual states and may be used by states to estimate the number of seriously malformed infants that will be born each year and to plan for the provision of health services for these infants. CDC will collaborate with state and local health agencies in using these surveillance data to refine further epidemiologic characteristics of spina bifida. Further efforts to prevent spina bifida and other NTDs should also focus on pregnancies of women who have not had previous NTD-affected pregnancies.

On July 27, 1992, CDC will convene a meeting in Atlanta to consider a proposal that all women in the United States who are capable of becoming pregnant should consume 0.4 mg of folic acid per day to prevent spina bifida and other neural tube defects. Additional information about this meeting is available from the Chief, Birth Defects and Genetic Diseases Branch, Division of Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities, National Center for Environmental Health and Injury Control, CDC, Mailstop F-45, 1600 Clifton Road, NE, Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 488-7160.
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Notices to Readers

## Recommendations <br> Regarding Penicillin-Resistant Pneumococcal Disease - Spain

Because infections caused by drug-resistant strains of Streptococcus pneumoniae are common in Spain ( 1,2 ), CDC has received numerous inquiries about vaccination of travelers to the 1992 Summer Olympics in Barcelona and the 1992 World's Fair in Seville. Pneumococcal vaccination is recommended for all persons with risk factors for serious pneumococcal infection (3). However, CDC does not recommend vaccination of all travelers to Spain because the incidence of invasive pneumococcal disease among persons without risk factors is low (4,5). Vaccination does not appear to prevent nasopharyngeal carriage of vaccine-type strains ( 6,7 ), and there is no evidence that drug-resistant strains are more virulent than susceptible strains.

Notices to Readers - Continued
In recent years, most pneumococcal infections occurring in Spain have been caused by strains resistant to at least one commonly used antimicrobial agent including penicillin, chloramphenicol, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, or erythromycin. Rates of high-level penicillin resistance (i.e., minimal inhibitory concentration $\geqslant 2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) increased from $0 \%$ of strains isolated in 1979 to $13 \%-15 \%$ of strains isolated in 1989-90 (1,2). The level of resistance to other $\beta$-lactam agents generally parallels the level of resistance to penicillin (8). In contrast, only one ( $<0.02 \%$ ) of more than 5000 pneumococcal isolates submitted to CDC from the United States during 1979-1987 had a high level of penicillin resistance (9).

Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine should be administered to travelers with risk factors for serious pneumococcal infection, including those who have undergone splenectomy, those with chronic medical conditions (e.g., cardiovascular disease, pulmonary disease, diabetes mellitus, and chronic renal failure) or on immunosuppressive therapy, persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus, and all persons aged $\geqslant 65$ years (3). Physicians should be aware of the possibility of infections with drug-resistant strains of $S$. pneumoniae in travelers returning from Spain.
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## Surveillance for Occupationally Acquired Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection

To help determine the number of persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) resulting from occupational exposure and to characterize the incidents resulting in transmission, $C D C$ has initiated a nationwide surveillance system for HIV-infected persons who may have acquired their infection through occupational exposures. This information will be used to assist efforts to develop and evaluate additional recommendations to prevent these types of exposures.

Notices to Readers - Continued
Health-care providers are encouraged to report cases to CDC through HIV/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) case surveillance systems at the state/local health department. To protect confidentiality of reported workers, no names or other identifying information are sent to CDC. Questions regarding this surveillance system should be directed to the Division of HIV/AIDS, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC, Mailstop E-49, 1600 Clifton Road, NE, Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 639-2076.

Reports of information collected in this system, as well as AIDS case surveillance, will be included in CDC's quarterly HIVIAIDS Surveillance Report beginning in July 1992.
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[^0]:    *Youths who reported smoking a cigarette during the 30 days preceding the survey.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ As of November 1, 1989.
    ${ }^{5}$ Estimates based on weighted data.
    ${ }^{4}$ Confidence interval.
    **Excludes other races.
    ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Excludes unknown Hispanic origin.

[^1]:    *California, Georgia, lowa, Kentucky, Maine, Minnesota, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, and Ohio.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the Public Health Service or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

[^2]:    *Updated monthly; last update July 4, 1992.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Two cases of suspected poliomyelitis have been reported in 1992; six of the nine suspected cases with onset in 1991 were confirmed and 5 of the 8 suspected cases with onset in 1990 were confirmed, and all were vaccine associated.
    ${ }^{5}$ Updates for first quarter 1992.

[^3]:    *Defined as International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, code 741.

[^4]:    *Per 10,000 births.

