CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL



MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

February 8, 1991 / Vol. 40 / No. 5

- 77 Alternative Case-Finding Methods in a Crack-Related Syphilis Epidemic – Philadelphia
- 80 Rotavirus Surveillance United States, 1989–1990
- 88 Reye Syndrome Surveillance United States, 1989
- 90 Conference on Health Effects of Air Pollution
- 91 Changes to Tables I and II

Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

Alternative Case-Finding Methods in a Crack-Related Syphilis Epidemic – Philadelphia

Use of crack cocaine and exchange of drugs for sex have been identified as substantial contributors to the syphilis epidemic in Philadelphia and other locations in the United States (1-4). In Philadelphia, from 1985 through 1989, the number of reported cases of early syphilis (primary, secondary, and early latent stages) increased 551%, from 696 to 4528 cases per year. Among 2473 persons with early syphilis interviewed by the Philadelphia Department of Public Health (PDPH) from January through July 1990, 48% reported they or a sex partner* used crack cocaine, and 31% reported exchanging drugs or money for sex (not all of those interviewed answered both questions).

Traditional approaches to the control of syphilis that emphasize partner notification have not been effective in halting this epidemic. The partner notification approach requires public health workers to identify sex partners of a person with a sexually transmissible disease (e.g., syphilis) and then to contact these sex partners to provide examination and curative or preventive treatment. However, because persons who are involved in the exchange of drugs and/or money for sex often cannot or will not provide sufficient information about sex partners to enable public health authorities to locate those partners (2,4,5), alternative case-finding methods are needed. This report describes efforts by the PDPH to identify persons infected with *Treponema pallidum* by using serologic screening at locations where crack cocaine is used.

The alternative intervention used by PDPH included two components: 1) augmenting the traditional partner notification interview and cluster investigation[†] techniques (6,7) to identify locations (characterized by crack-use-related activities) where persons at high risk for syphilis may be found; and 2) establishing a Screening Activity Team (SAT) that offers serologic screening for syphilis to persons at these locations.

^{*}A person who had sexual contact with the index patient at a time when transmission between the two (in either direction) could have occurred.

[†]Cluster investigation techniques are designed to identify persons (other than sex partners) at high risk for syphilis.

Crack-Related Syphilis - Continued

The impact of this approach is illustrated by the relationships among 26 persons with early syphilis. The assessment of the effectiveness of the SAT component is based on the number of cases of untreated syphilis detected per person tested.

Relationships among 26 Persons with Early Syphilis

On June 25, 1990, an interview of the index patient, a bisexual female crack user with secondary syphilis, identified three sex partners, three high-risk associates[§], and the location of a crack house (i.e., a setting where crack cocaine is sold and/or used). Subsequent reinterviews of the index patient and visits during which she accompanied PDPH staff to different neighborhoods identified two additional sex partners, 11 additional high-risk associates, and a second crack house. Examinations and interviews of these sex partners and high-risk associates identified a chain of infection involving 14 cases of early syphilis (two primary, four secondary, and eight early latent).

In addition, a syphilis patient who was not initially known to be linked to the first chain of infection identified a third crack house. Serologic screening of 21 persons at that crack house detected eight new cases of early latent syphilis. Four of these persons were linked (as sex partners or high-risk associates) with the patient who had identified the crack house and/or with three other persons with previously detected cases of early syphilis. For the other four persons, the only identified link with this second chain of infection was crack use at a common location.

The two chains of infection were linked through a high-risk associate of the original index patient; the associate was lost to follow-up before PDPH determined her infection status. Investigation of these two chains of infection resulted in preventive treatment (for possible incubating syphilis) of 19 sexually exposed persons.

Screening Activity Team

In July 1990, the PDPH Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Control Program began to serologically screen persons at high-risk locations where crack is used or sold (including crack houses, drug-sale areas, brothels, prostitution strips, and shooting galleries [i.e., a setting where illegal drugs are injected]). Information about potential screening sites was provided by STD staff who elicited information about such sites during their field work and interviews with persons infected with syphilis.

From July 9 through October 9, 1990, the SAT worked an average of 3 hours each afternoon in the field. Blood was drawn from persons who voluntarily consented, and the serum samples were tested the following morning using the rapid plasma reagin (RPR) test. The SAT then returned to the field to offer examination and treatment (at the STD clinic) to persons with reactive serologic results and no history of treatment.

Of 372 persons screened, 100 (27%) tested reactive on the RPR card test (Figure 1). Of these, 44 were successfully treated for syphilis, 21 had been previously treated, and 33 were lost to follow-up; two had false-positive serologic results based on negative confirmatory testing using the microhemagglutination for *T. pallidum* test. Of the 44 newly treated persons, four (9%) had primary, six (14%) secondary, 32 (73%) early latent, and two (5%) late latent syphilis; one woman with secondary and one woman with early latent syphilis were brought to treatment in their eighth month of pregnancy.

[§]Persons (other than sex partners) identified by cluster investigation techniques as having high risk for syphilis.

Crack-Related Syphilis - Continued

Reported by: AK Mellinger, MD, M Goldberg, A Wade, MA, PY Brown, GA Hughes, JP Lutz, W Harrington-Lyon, Philadelphia Dept of Public Health. Div of STD/HIV Prevention, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.

Editorial Note: Partner notification is an important part of efforts to control the spread of syphilis. However, in the current epidemic, many infected persons are users of illegal drugs who often cannot or will not provide sufficient information to allow STD staff to locate and offer examination and treatment to sex partners (2,4,5). The alternative case-finding method used by PDPH was based on serologic screening at locations identified during interviews of patients with early syphilis and was successful in identifying infected patients.

Partner notification permits preventive treatment of exposed persons before the onset of disease and, therefore, infectivity. In contrast, persons infected with syphilis who are identified through serologic screening usually have latent disease; many of these are already past the period of maximum infectivity. Nonetheless, the SAT identified a substantial number of persons with primary and secondary syphilis (3% of those tested), possibly because information obtained from patients with early-stage syphilis was used to target the locations for serologic screening. Detection and treatment of patients in these highly infectious stages are likely to be more effective in reducing disease transmission than detection and treatment of patients with latent syphilis.

The SAT was accepted at most high-risk locations except for some crack houses. When access was initially denied, occupants were invited outside, often with success. When efforts to contact crack house occupants failed, the SAT attempted to schedule a visit at a time more agreeable to the owner. This approach usually resulted in cooperation and access to occupants.

The SAT approach appeared to be more effective than other efforts to identify high-prevalence populations for targeted screening. For example, among men tested

FIGURE 1. Results of syphilis testing at high-risk locations – Philadelphia, July 9– October 9, 1990



Crack-Related Syphilis -- Continued

in gay bathhouses in 1975–76 in Los Angeles and Denver, overall prevalences of RPR reactivity were 20% and 4%, and of untreated syphilis were 3% and 1% (all with latent syphilis), respectively (8).

PDPH staff successfully obtained the cooperation of persons who, because of the illegal nature of their activities, might be expected to resist these efforts. Outreach efforts such as this could also increase awareness of the epidemic in the affected community. Increased awareness of the epidemic could enhance control efforts by prompting early self-identification of infected persons and result in high-risk persons adopting safer sexual practices.

Despite the brief (24 hours) time required to obtain test results, a substantial proportion (33%) of seroreactive persons could not be located for examination/ treatment. Thus, targeted screening might be more effective if immediate RPR testing is performed at the time of phlebotomy, allowing treatment and partner notification interviews at that time for those persons who are seroreactive and considered likely to have untreated syphilis.

The high rate of infection among persons who had been targeted because of their proximity to sites of crack cocaine use reinforces evidence from other studies that suggest that crack and sites of crack use and sale play an important role in the syphilis epidemic. Efforts such as those of PDPH to use information about the dynamics of the epidemic as a basis for implementing interventions should be evaluated in other geographic areas. Evaluations of alternative case-finding methods should ideally assess their effectiveness in reducing syphilis transmission and their cost-effectiveness in identifying case-patients for curative or preventive treatment. *References*

- 1. Rolfs RT, Nakashima AK. Epidemiology of primary and secondary syphilis in the United States, 1981 through 1989. JAMA 1990;264:1432-7.
- Rolfs RT, Goldberg M, Sharrar RG. Risk factors for syphilis: cocaine use and prostitution. Am J Public Health 1990;80:853–7.
 CDC. Balational Statement of the system of the
- 3. CDC. Relationship of syphilis to drug use and prostitution Connecticut and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. MMWR 1988;37:755–8,764.
- Farley TA, Hadler JL, Gunn RA. The syphilis epidemic in Connecticut: relationship to drug use and prostitution. Sex Transm Dis 1990;17:163–8.
- 5. Andrus JK, Fleming DW, Harger DR, et al. Partner notification: can it control epidemic syphilis? Ann Intern Med 1990;112:539-43.
- Brown WJ, Donohue JF, Axnick NW, Blount JH, Jones OG, Ewen NH. Syphilis and other venereal diseases. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1970.
- CDC. Venereal disease epidemiology: report 12. Atlanta: US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, September 1973; DHEW publication no. (HSM)73-8232.
- Merino HI, Judson FN, Bennett D, Schaffnit TR. Screening for gonorrhea and syphilis in gay bathhouses in Denver and Los Angeles. Public Health Rep 1979;94:376–9.

Current Trends

Rotavirus Surveillance – United States, 1989–1990

Rotavirus infection is the most common cause of dehydrating diarrhea in children in the United States (1). In January 1989, CDC established a National Rotavirus Surveillance System (NRSS) to monitor national patterns in the epidemiology of rotavirus. This report summarizes findings from the NRSS from January 1989 through November 1990.

Vol. 40 / No. 5

Rotavirus – Continued

In January 1989, 99 laboratories began submitting monthly reports of positive detections, numbers of specimens tested, and laboratory methods used to detect rotavirus. Of those laboratories, 72 in 48 states also provided retrospective data for 1984–1988; these data indicate a temporal and geographic sequence of peaks in reported positive detections that begins in the southwest in November and ends in the northeast in March (2).

From January 1989 through November 1990, 56 laboratories submitted reports every month; they included 12 pediatric, 17 community, and 23 university hospital laboratories; two public health laboratories; and two commercial laboratories. To detect rotavirus, most (46 [82%]) of these laboratories used enzyme immunoassay techniques, four used a latex agglutination test, and six used electron microscopy.

For the 23-month period, 48,035 specimens were tested for rotavirus; 9639 (20%) were positive. The total number of specimens tested each month varied from 1410 in September 1990 to 3275 in January 1990. For all centers combined, the percentage of positive specimens was highest in February 1990 (1056 [36%] of 2925) and lowest in October 1990 (103 [6%] of 1817) (Figure 1).

October 1989 through May 1990 was the first full rotavirus season for prospective surveillance in the United States. During that period, peaks in the positive detection rate varied by region, beginning in December in the West (36% positive detections), January–February in the South (32%–33%), February in the North Central (49%), and March in the Northeast (47%). By June, no region had more than 16% positive detections, and three of the four regions had <10% positive detections. For the 1990–91 rotavirus season, an increase in positive detections was reported in the West during November 1990 (positive rate of 21%) when compared with August–October (1%–4%).

Reported by: National Rotavirus Surveillance System laboratories. Viral Gastroenteritis Section, Respiratory and Enteric Virus Br, Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

(Continued on page 87)





*Number of specimens tested each month ranged from 1410 (September 1990) to 3275 (January 1990).



FIGURE I. Notifiable disease reports, comparison of 4-week totals ending February 2, 1991, with historical data — United States

*Ratio of current 4-week total to the mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

TABLE I. Summary – cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending February 2, 1991 (5th Week)

	Cum. 1991		Cum. 1991
AIDS Anthrax Botulism: Foodborne Infant Other Brucellosis Cholera Congenital rubella syndrome Diphtheria Encephalitis, post-infectious Gonorrhea <i>H. influenzae</i> (invasive disease) Hansen disease Leptospirosis Lyme disease	3,366 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Measles: imported indigenous Plague Poliomyelitis, Paralytic* Psittacosis Rabies, human Syphilis, primary & secondary Syphilis, congenital, age < 1 year Tetanus Toxic shock syndrome Trichinosis Tuberculosis Tularemia Typhoid fever Typhoid fever	9 222 5 3,819 - 30 1,431 2 29 9
•			

*No cases of suspected poliomyelitis have been reported in 1991; none of the 6 suspected cases in 1990 have been confirmed to date. Five of 13 suspected cases in 1989 were confirmed and all were vaccine associated.

		Aseptic	Encephalitis				Hepatitis (Viral), by type						
Reporting Area	AIDS	Menin- gitis	Primary	Post-in- fectious	Gond	orrhea	A	В	NA,NB	Unspeci- fied	Legionel- losis	Lyme Disease	
	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	
UNITED STATES	3,366	416	39	1	48,472	64,599	1,797	1,085	255	96	85	23	
NEW ENGLAND	176	18	6		1,937	1,772	58	79	8	4	7	5	
Maine	15	:	2	-	7	20	3	1	Ĩ	-	-	-	
Vt.	5	1	-	-	28	22	2	4	1	-	1	•	
Mass.	70	7	2	-	649	597	35	68	6	3	6	-	
R.I.	9	10	-	-	100	97	7	5	-	ĭ	-	1	
Conn.	74	-	2	-	1,144	1,027	8	-	-	-	-	-	
MID. ATLANTIC	907	41	2	-	4,386	7,037	115	68	7	-	15	-	
NY City	110	17	1	-	928	1,171	85	37	4	-	3	-	
N.J.	283	-	-	-	1.067	3,328	10	4	1	-	-	-	
Pa.	133	24	1	-	2,391	1,010	20	27	ż	-	12	-	
E.N. CENTRAL	365	63	2	1	5.959	12.406	139	107	49	6	15	6	
Ohio	47	27	1	1	-	4,076	62	37	12	3 3	12	ž	
Ind.	24	4	-	-	1,118	1,070	21	10	-	-	-	-	
Mich.	54	4 27	1	-	2,606	3,219	- 21	-		-	- 2	-	
Wis.	28	1		-	236	853	25	13	30	-	-	4	
W.N. CENTRAL	137	30	6		2 860	3 883	258	23	21	1	٩	1	
Minn.	35	8	5	-	173	427	230	- 23		-	2		
lowa	14	9	-	-	198	308	9	1	1	-	-	1	
Mo. N Dak	77	4	-	-	1,828	2,113	49	15	20	1	4	-	
S. Dak.	-	3	1	-	35	29	159			-	1	-	
Nebr.	4	ē	-	-	200	180	31	6	-	-	2	-	
Kans.	7	-	-	-	426	798	3	1	-	-	-	-	
S. ATLANTIC	779	109	6	-	16,992	19,314	135	281	38	12	11	3	
Del.	5	3	-	-	171	225	4	9	1	-	-	1	
D.C.	41	16	2	-	1,726	2,127	39 10	36	12	1	3	:	
Va.	64	12	-	-	1,393	1,577	19	23	2	8	1	1	
W. Va.	5	2	-	-	124	138	2	5	-	1	-	-	
N.C.	41	42	2	-	3,457	3,800	28	75	20	-	4	1	
Ga.	102	-	1	-	4,197	4,441	16	40		-	1	-	
Fla.	404	22	1	-	3,308	4,322	11	16	2	1	-	-	
E.S. CENTRAL	98	42	2	-	4,475	5,177	22	110	39	2	9	3	
Ky.	18	14	-	-	506	575	5	24	1	2	5	1	
Ienn. Ala	34	13	2	-	1,295	1,344	10	75	38	-	2	2	
Miss.	17	14		-	1,630	2,123			-	-	2	-	
W.S. CENTRAL	224	21	-		5 600	6 100	100	60		•	2		
Ark.	13	23	5 1	-	5,632	901	33	- 00	4	-	-	-	
La.	32	2	-	-	1,025	1,172	12	29	1	-	1	-	
Ukla. Tex	294	1	3	-	605	523	45	23	3	4	2	-	
MOUNTAIN	204	5		-	3,423	3,504	48	16	-	4	-	-	
Mont	88	19	3	-	1,016	1,490	353	81	13	20	11	-	
Idaho	1		-	-	11	12	6	7	-	2	-	-	
Wyo.	2	-	-	-	4	16	1	-	-	-	-	-	
Colo.	45	3	-	-	252	483	11	12	4	4	1	-	
Ariz.	8	9	3		63 450	105	120	30	3	2 9	4	-	
Utah	3	2	-	-	35	37	48	4	3	3	4	-	
Nev.	17	4	-	-	196	283	14	10	3	-	2	-	
PACIFIC	482	63	7		5,215	7,420	579	268	76	43	5	5	
Wash. Oreg	33	-	•	-	388	743	62	42	9	1	-	-	
Calif.	413	55		-	200	283	36 ⊿70	21	9	1	-		
Alaska	2	2			4,401	137	4/0	200	3	1	-	-	
Hawaii	18	6	•	-	72	59	3	1	-	-	1	-	
Guam	-	-			-	29	-	-	-	-		-	
P.R. VI	181	-	-		15	134	-	-	-	-		•	
Amer. Samoa	-			-	40	47	-	1	-	-	-	-	
C.N.M.I.	-	-				9 20	-	-	-	-		-	
						_•							

TABLE II. Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending February 2, 1991, and February 3, 1990 (5th Week)

N: Not notifiable

84

1

Reporting Area Meal and Gamma Imported' 1991 Total 1990 Decore 1990 Mump Pertussiv Rubuliz UNITED STATES 66 55 222 2 9 1.029 1996 65 224 42 145 223 . 36 33 NUNTED STATES 66 55 222 2 9 1.029 136 65 224 42 145 223 . 36 33 Maine 1 . . . 1 . <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Meas</th><th>les (Rul</th><th>beola)</th><th></th><th>Menin-</th><th colspan="2"></th><th colspan="3"></th><th colspan="3"></th></t<>				Meas	les (Rul	beola)		Menin-								
Cum. Cum. Cum. Cum. Cum. Type Type Type Cum. Type Type <th< th=""><th>Reporting Area</th><th>Malaria</th><th colspan="5">Indigenous Imported* Total</th><th>gococcal Infections</th><th colspan="2">Mumps</th><th colspan="3">Pertussis</th><th colspan="3">Rubella</th></th<>	Reporting Area	Malaria	Indigenous Imported* Total					gococcal Infections	Mumps		Pertussis			Rubella		
UNITED STATES 66 55 222 2 9 1.029 136 65 224 42 145 223 . 36 33 NEW ENGLAND 7		Cum. 1991	1991	Cum. 1991	1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1991	1991	Cum. 1991	1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1990	1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1990
NEW ENGLAND New En	UNITED STATES	66	55	222	2	9	1 0 2 9	136	65	224	42	145	222		26	
Maine . <td>NEW ENGLAND</td> <td>7</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>1,020</td> <td>130</td> <td>05</td> <td>224</td> <td>42</td> <td>145</td> <td>223</td> <td>-</td> <td>30</td> <td>33</td>	NEW ENGLAND	7			•		1,020	130	05	224	42	145	223	-	30	33
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Maine	-	-	-	-	-	1			-	-	8	41	-	2	
Mass 1 .	N.H.	-	-	-	-	-	1	5	-	-	-	7		-	-	-
R.I. 2 .	Mass.	4	-	•	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1		-	-	
Conn	R.I.	2	-			-	-	10	:	1	-	-	38	-	-	1
MD. ALANTC 4 14 88	Conn.	-	-	-	-	-	8	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	
Ubstein NY. 3 - - 3 7 2 8 3 10 2 - <t< td=""><td>MID. ATLANTIC</td><td>4</td><td>14</td><td>88</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>37</td><td>12</td><td>6</td><td>20</td><td>3</td><td>25</td><td>20</td><td>_</td><td></td><td>-</td></t<>	MID. ATLANTIC	4	14	88	-	-	37	12	6	20	3	25	20	_		-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Upstate N.Y.	3	-	-	-	-	3	7	2	8	3	10	6	-	-	-
p_a 1 14 g_b	N.Y. City	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EN CENTRAL 3 1 1 1709 14 10 28 17 27 81 - 3 3 Ind. 1 2 1 17 27 81 - 3 3 Ind. 1 2 1 1 27 7 8 1 1 28 - 3 3 Mich. 2 - 1 2 27 7 8 1 16 - 22 - 3 3 Mich. 2 - 1 2 27 7 8 1 16 - 22 - 3 3 Mich. 2 - 1 282 1 - 1 3 22 - 3 3 Minn 39 1 3 7 1 14 7 - 1 - 1 Jowa 2 - 1 282 1 - 1 3 7 2 3 3 Minn 2 - 1 282 1 - 1 3 7 2 3 3 Jowa 2 - 1 282 1 - 1 3 7 1 14 7 - 1 - 1 Jowa 2 - 1 282 1 - 1 - 2 3 7 3 Jowa 2 - 1 2 2 - 3 4 7 N. Dak 2 - 7 1 - 1 1 1 1 N. Dak 2 1 1 2 2 1 N. Dak	Pa.	1	14	85	-	-	19	1	-	12	-	1	8	-	:	-
Ohio 1 1 1 100 14 10 28 17 27 81 - - 3 Ind. 1 - - 297 1 1 1 - 26 - - 3 Wis. - - 1 282 1 1 1 1 225 - - 3 Wis. - - 1 282 1 1 - 1 22 - - - - - - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - - - 1 1 1 - 1 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - - - 1 1 - -	E.N. CENTRAI	3		1			700	4		12	-	14	0	-		•
Ind. 1	Ohio	-	-		-	-	709	14	10	28	17	27	81	-	-	3
min 2 - - 297 - 8 16 - 25 - - 3 Wis. - - 1 282 1 - 1 22 - - - Minn. - - - 39 1 3 - 1 22 - - 1 Mon. 1 - - - 39 1 3 - 1 - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 1 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 - - - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""><td>Ind.</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td>- 23</td><td>26</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>	Ind.	1	-		-	-	3	1	1	1	-	- 23	26	-	-	-
max z <thz< th=""> z z z</thz<>	III. Mich	-	-	:	-	-	297	-	8	16	-	-	25	-	-	3
WN.CENTRAL 1 - - 39 1 3 7 1 14 7 - 1 Minn. - - - 19 - 2 2 7 1 -	Wis.	2	-	1	-	-	127	7	1	10	1	3	8	-	-	-
Minn. - - - 39 1 3 7 1 14 7 - 1 Moo 1 - - 19 - 1 3 7 1 - 1 Mo. 1 - - 19 - 1 3 7 1 - 1 Mo. - - - 20 2 2 - 1 - - - - - - 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 2 1 3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 3 - 1 1 1 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 3 - - - - - - - <td>WN CENTRAL</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>202</td> <td>'</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>'</td> <td>22</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>	WN CENTRAL	1				•	202	'	-		-	'	22	-		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Minn.	-			-	-	39	1	3	7	1	14	7	-	1	-
	lowa	-		-	-		19	-	1	2	-	2	1		- 1	
N. Dak. - </td <td>Mo. N. Dak</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>20</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	Mo. N. Dak	1	-	-	-	-	20	-	2	2	-	1	4	-	-	-
Nebritishing 1 <t< td=""><td>S. Dak</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>	S. Dak	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Kans. . <td>Nebr.</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Nebr.	-	-	-	-	-		1	-	-	-	1	-	-		
S. ATLANTIC 17 . 1	Kans.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S. ATLANTIC	17	-	1	-	_	37	30	27	00	2		22		4	1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Del.	-	-	-	-	-	1	-		- 90	- 2	• -	2	-	-	-
Value 3 - <td>Na. D.C</td> <td>4</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>16</td> <td>6</td> <td>13</td> <td>40</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>13</td> <td>-</td> <td>3</td> <td>-</td>	Na. D.C	4	-	-	-	-	16	6	13	40	-	-	13	-	3	-
	Va.	3	-		-	-	÷	-	:	3	-	-	1	-	-	
N.C. $ -$	W. Va.	1	-		-	-	5	3	1	6	1	2	1	-		-
Ga. 2 - - - - 3 - 4 -	N.C. S.C	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	11	31	-	5	5	-	1	-
Fla. 3 - 1 3 - - 3 - - 3 - - 3 - - 1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<>	Ga.	2		-	-	-	-	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	
E.S. CENTRAL 1 2 2 . 12 17 . 2 1 5 10 .	Fla.	3	-	1		-	14	3 5	-	-	-	-	3	-		1
Ky. . 2 2 . 12 17 . 2 1 5 10 . . Ala. 1 - - - 9 4 - - 1 3 1 - - - Ala. 1 -	E.S. CENTRAL	1	2	2			10	17		4			2			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ky.	-	2	2	-		12	17		2	1	5	10	-	-	
Miss. - <td>Ienn. Ala</td> <td>÷</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>9</td> <td>4</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>•</td>	Ienn. Ala	÷	-	-	-	-	9	4	-	-	1	3	1	-	-	•
W.S. CENTRAL 1 - - - 1 5 20 5 9 15 3 9 2 -	Miss.		-	:	-	-	-	8	-	1	-	2	9	-	-	
Ark. - - 1 5 20 5 9 15 3 9 2 - </td <td>W.S. CENTRAL</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	W.S. CENTRAL	1					3	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
La. 1 - - - 3 3 -	Ark.		-	:	1	5	20	5	9	15	3	9	2	-	-	:
Duta. - - - - - 1 3 1 - - - - 1 3 3 1 - - - - 1 3 3 1 - - - - - 1 3 3 1 - <td>La.</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>5</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>- 1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	La.	1	-	-	-	-	-	5	3	3	-	-	- 1	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN 1 7 17 1 2 6 8 1 13 9 19 6 - 1 - <td< td=""><td>Ukla. Tex</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>3</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></td<>	Ukla. Tex	-	-	-	-	-	3	-		1	3	3	1	-	-	-
MODINIAIN 1 7 17 1 2 6 8 1 13 9 19 6 - 1 - Idaho - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			-	-	-	-	17	-	4	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho - <td>MOUNTAIN Mont</td> <td>1</td> <td>7</td> <td>17</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>6</td> <td>8</td> <td>1</td> <td>13</td> <td>9</td> <td>19</td> <td>6</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td>	MOUNTAIN Mont	1	7	17	1	2	6	8	1	13	9	19	6	-	1	-
Wyo. -U <	Idaho	-	-	-	:	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colo. 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 3 1 <td>Wyo.</td> <td>-U</td> <td>-</td> <td>U</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>ů</td> <td>:</td> <td></td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>2</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	Wyo.	-U	-	U	-	-	-	ů	:		7	7	2	-	-	
Arriz. 1 1 2 - - N 1 3 - <td>Colo. N. Mex</td> <td>•</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	Colo. N. Mex	•	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2		3		-	-	-
Utah	Ariz.	1	1	2	11	2	-	-	N	N	1	3	-	-	-	-
Nev. - 2 7 - - - - - - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - - 1 - 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - - - 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 1 -	Utah		-	-	-		-	3	1	11	1	6	3	-		
PACIFIC 31 32 113 - 1 159 32 9 48 6 30 24 - 30 28 Wash. 4 - - - 9 2 - 3 3 2 - - - 28 Oreg. 1 - - 1 5 N N - 4 5 - - - - - - 1 5 - - - - - - 1 - 1	Nev.	-	2	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
wash. 4 - - 9 2 - 3 3 2 - <td>PACIFIC</td> <td>31</td> <td>32</td> <td>113</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>159</td> <td>32</td> <td>9</td> <td>49</td> <td>e</td> <td>20</td> <td>24</td> <td>_</td> <td>30</td> <td>28</td>	PACIFIC	31	32	113	-	1	159	32	9	49	e	20	24	_	30	28
Calif. 25 32 111 1 149 24 9 40 2 12 15 -30 25 Alaska - - 1 149 24 9 40 2 12 15 -30 25 Hawaii 1 - 2 - - 1 -3 2 -	orea.	4	-	-	-	•	9	2	-		3	30	24	-		-
Alaska 149 24 9 40 2 12 15 - 30 29 Hawaii 1 - 2 - - 1 - 3 2 - - 3 Guam - U - - - 2 1 9 2 - 3 P.R. - - - U - - U - - 0 - - 0 - 1 - 0 - - 0 - 0 - - 3 0 2 - 3 0 0 - 3 0 0 - 3 0 0 - 3 0 0 - 3 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - - 0 - 0 - 0 </td <td>Calif.</td> <td>25</td> <td>32</td> <td>111</td> <td>:</td> <td>1</td> <td>1/10</td> <td>5</td> <td>N</td> <td>N</td> <td>-</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>25</td>	Calif.	25	32	111	:	1	1/10	5	N	N	-	4	5	-	-	25
паман 1 - 2 2 1 9 2 3 Guam - U - U U - U - U U	Alaska	-		-		-	149	24	9	40	2	12	15	-	30	25
Guam - U -	nawaii	1	-	2	-	•	-	-	-	2	1	2	2	-	-	3
r.n	Guam	-	U	-	U	-	-		U.	_		Ũ	-	ш	_	
лин	г.н. V I	-	-	-	-	-	2	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C.N.M.I. · Ú · Ú · Ú · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Amer. Samoa	-	Ū	-	ū	-	-	•		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	C.N.M.I.	-	Ū	-	ŭ	-	-		U	-	U	-	-	U	-	-

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending February 2, 1991, and February 3, 1990 (5th Week)

*For measles only, imported cases includes both out-of-state and international importations. N: Not notifiable

U: Unavailable [†]International [§]Out-of-state

Reporting Area	Syr (Primary &	ohilis Secondary)	Toxic- shock Syndrome	Tuber	culosis	Tula- remia	Typhoid Fever	Typhus Fever (Tick-borne) (RMSF)	Rabies, Anima	
	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	Cum. 1991	
UNITED STATES	3,819	3,933	30	1,431	1,667	2	29	9	318	
NEW ENGLAND	91	177	3	36	18		4	2	-	
Maine	-	1	2	-	-	•	-	-	-	
N.H. Vt.	1	23	-	-	1		-		•	
Mass.	49	47	1	11	i	-	4	2	-	
R.I.	4	-	-	8	7	-	-	•	-	
	30	106	-	17	8	-	-	-	-	
MID. ATLANTIC	713	780	4	317	441	-	-	-	118	
N.Y. City	291	550	-	236	320	-	-			
N.J. Pa	116	152	-	51	33	-	-	-	46	
	268	31	2	12	43	-	-	-	39	
E.N. CENTRAL	401	222	4	156	154	-	2	-	3	
Ind.	10	1	-	4	12	-	-	-	-	
III. Minh	207	60	-	89	97	-	-	-	-	
Wis.	103	74	1	- 12	24		2	-	- 3	
WN CENTRAL		20	10	200	20	-		-		
Minn.	55	38 11	6	38	39 11	-	1		33 21	
lowa	7	4	3	9	3	-	-	-	3	
Mo. N. Dak	41	17	1	19	14	•	-	-	-	
S. Dak.	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	5	
Nebr.	-	2	-	1	6	-	-	-	2	
	-	3	-	5	-	-	-		2	
S. ATLANTIC	1,130	1,422	2	160	222	-	6	4	101	
Md.	108	127	-	3 19	26	-	2	-	45	
D.C.	68	42		17	2	-	-		-	
va. W.Va.	68	70	-	13	13	-	1	-	16	
N.C.	157	162	1	38	32	-	-	4	-	
S.C.	163	98	-	20	37	-	-	-	5	
Fla.	257	377		31	30 71		2	-	18	
E.S. CENTRAL	420	327		12	100			-	-	
Ky.	439	362	1	22	36		-	2	6	
Tenn.	231	135	-		28	-	-	-	-	
Miss.	99 102	120	1	41	29	-	-	1	5	
W.S. CENTRAL	EDC	50	_	20	3		-		-	
Ark.	19	426	1	133	215	1	-	1	29	
La.	155	186	-	46	64	-	-	-	2	
Tex.	20 342	25	1	2	8	-	-	1	11	
MOUNTAIN	542	187	-	12	118	-	-	•	13	
Mont.	69	/3		44	26	1	1	-	3	
Idaho	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Colo.	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
N. Mex.	3	7	-	-	- 8	-	-	-	-	
Ariz. Litab	48	46	-	27	6	-	1	-	1	
Nev.	- 7	1	-	10	- 11	-	-	-	-	
PACIFIC	205	11	-			-	-	-	-	
Wash.	365	433	5	458 14	450 28	-	15	-	25	
Ureg. Calif	6	7	-	7	12	-	-	-	-	
Alaska	378	365	5	416	382	-	14	•	25	
Hawaii	-	3 6	-	20	9 19	-	1	-		
Guam	-			20	, . 7					
P.R.	16	51	-	4	6	-	-	-	3	
Amer, Samoa	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
C.N.M.I.	-		-		1	:	-	-	•	
		-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending February 2, 1991, and February 3, 1990 (5th Week)

U: Unavailable

All Causes By Age (Years)							I	1	All Causes By Age (Years)						
Reporting Area	All	All					P&I**	Reporting Area	All	All		y Age (rears/		P&I**
	Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	lotal		Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	lotal
NEW ENGLAND	622	441	117	43	8	13	46	S. ATLANTIC	1,146	740	233	101	35	37	73
Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn	204	120	52	24	2	6	21	Atlanta, Ga.	181	90	52	25	10	4	9
Cambridge Mass	52 10	42	4 2	5	1	-	6	Baltimore, Md.	105	60	19	17	5	4	7
Fall River, Mass.	Ű	ü	ŭ				1 f	Charlotte, N.C.	105	67	22	12	1	3	12
Hartford, Conn.	72	49	13	5	2	3	<u> </u>	Jacksonville, Fla.	13/	83	28	11	2	10	12
Lowell, Mass.	21	19	2	-	-		1	Norfolk Va	51	26	10	2	4	9	6
Lynn, Mass.	18	17	1	-	-	-	2	Richmond, Va.	104	61	27	11	3	2	3
New Bedford, Mass.	36	33	3		-	:	3	Savannah, Ga.	53	42	10	-	-	1	7
Providence B I S	43	25	9	4	3	2	3	St. Petersburg, Fla.	106	88	10	5	1	2	4
Somerville, Mass.	6	4	2	0	U	U	U	Tampa, Fla.	172	130	31	8	2	1	20
Springfield, Mass.	51	41	9	1	-		1	Washington, D.C.	0	U	U U	0	U	U 1	0
Waterbury, Conn.	40	32	7	1	-	-	1	winnington, Del.	32	27	3	1	-		
Worcester, Mass.	60	44	12	2	-	2	6	E.S. CENTRAL	803	530	160	64	23	26	58
MID. ATLANTIC	2,819	1,842	538	307	67	64	196	Birmingham, Ala.	144	74	32	17	7	14	57
Albany, N.Y.	56	42	9	1	2	2	5	Knowville Tenn.	81	60	13	5	2	÷	ģ
Allentown, Pa.	18	14	3	-	1	-	1	l ouisville Kv	144	85	40	12	2	5	14
Buttalo, N.Y.	100	66	22	5	4	3	8	Memphis, Tenn.	185	127	33	17	6	2	14
Elizabeth N I	44	2/	13	4	:	-	5	Mobile, Ala.	41	33	3	3	-	2	2
Erie. Pa.t	50	21	14	4	1	-	6	Montgomery, Ala. ⁵	U	υ	υ	U	U	U	ų
Jersey City, N.J. [§]	Ũ	Ű	- ii	- ú				Nashville, Tenn.	115	82	23	4	5	1	/
N.Y. City, N.Y.	1,542	967	291	211	41	32	88	W.S. CENTRAL	1,508	929	339	142	60	38	79
Newark, N.J.	52	23	13	10	1	5	6	Austin, Tex.	68	37	15	10	3	3	4
Paterson, N.J.	33	16	10	6	-	1	2	Baton Rouge, La.	46	31	11	2	1	1	2
Pittsburgh Pa t	398	270	75	35	10	7	27	Corpus Christi, Tex.	51	36	8	6	12	a	8
Reading Pa	74	40	22	4	2	•	5	El Paso Tox	218	128	51	18	12	3	4
Rochester, N.Y.	140	108	20	35		-	17	Fort Worth Tex	92	42	13	10	5	2	5
Schenectady, N.Y.	31	27	2	2		4	1/	Houston, Tex.	269	119	82	52	9	7	25
Scranton, Pa.†	35	27	5	3			1	Little Rock, Ark.	73	46	20	2	4	1	3
Syracuse, N.Y.	92	60	16	7	2	7	6	New Orleans, La.	215	131	49	23	8	4	-
Irenton, N.J.	36	25	6	4	-	1	6	San Antonio, Tex.	211	150	39	10	6	6	97
Yonkers N V	30	25	4	1	-	-	-	Shreveport, La.	92	62	20	5	5	1	ģ
EN CENTRAL	22	10	3	1	•	-	3	Tulsa, Okla.	108	85	18		3		40
Akron, Ohio	2,283	1,5/3	417	140	66	87	138	MOUNTAIN	822	559	155	61	25	22	40
Canton, Ohio	29	23	19	4	1	3	-	Colo Springs Colo	X. 91	58	22	4	2	1	2
Chicago, III.	456	348	59	9	14	26	22	Denver, Colo.	128	89	20	ģ	4	6	10
Cincinnati, Ohio	158	119	24	10	1	20	22	Las Vegas, Nev.	143	90	32	16	5	-	2
Cleveland, Ohio	159	93	36	16	6	8	4	Ogden, Utah	23	18	4	-	-	1	2
Dayton Ohio	166	114	28	9	11	4	3	Phoenix, Ariz.	170	113	31	11	8	7	9
Detroit Mich	13/	9/	28	7	3	2	7	Pueblo, Colo.	36	24	9	3	-	-	6
Evansville, Ind.	55	134	40	20	12	13	5	Salt Lake City, Utan	104	32	- 9	4	2	2	5
Fort Wayne, Ind.	66	45	13	5	2	-	1	DA OUTIO	124		20				142
Gary, Ind.	16	9	.3	3		ł	2	PACIFIC Barkelau Calif	2,333	1,594	394	212	68	45	142
Grand Rapids, Mich.	54	33	10	4	2	5	2	Freeno, Calif.	2/	15	17		2	5	10
Madicon Wie	195	118	42	26	4	5	16	Glendale, Calif.	42	27	'7	4	1	2	5
Milwaukee Wis	121	29	2	2	1	2	5	Honolulu, Hawaii	109	79	17	8	i 1	4	5
Peoria, III.	64	30	19	8	1	1	14	Long Beach, Calif.	87	59	16	12	-	-	9
Rockford, III.	50	30	15	22	2		2	Los Angeles Calif.	768	519	115	78	29	.9	31
South Bend, Ind.	41	29	10	ĩ	1		2	Oakland, Calif. ³	U	U	Ū Ū	Ŭ	U	U U	1
Toledo, Ohio	102	75	16	6	1	4	13	Pasadena, Calif.	3/	20	10	10	ļ	2	10
Youngstown, Ohio	66	44	18	3	1	-	3	Sacramento Calif	180	122	40	10	5	3	23
W.N. CENTRAL	807	589	143	40	15	20	52	San Diego, Calif.	166	111	32	16	2	4	14
Des Moines, Iowa	70	57	13	-			10	San Francisco, Calif.	203	112	49	31	8	3	7
Duluth, Minn.	30	22	7	-	-	1	2	San Jose, Calif.	181	131	26	13	6	5	18
Kansas City, Kans.	25	18	2	1	1	3	2	Seattle, Wash.	160	122	21	11	4	2	-
Lincoln Nebr	102	/4	20	3	1	4	4	Spokane, Wash.	59	48	3	6	1	1	5
Minneapolis, Minn	153	29 116	10	3	1	1	4	vasn.	92	70	18	1	1	2	4
Omaha, Nebr.	92	68	17	10	3	3	10	TOTAL 13	3,143™	8,797	2,496	1,110	367	352	825
St. Louis, Mo.	174	127	30	12	2 2	2									
St. Paul, Minn.	71	44	16	4	3	4	5								
wichita, Kans.	46	34	7	3	1	i	5								

TABLE III. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending February 2, 1991 (5th Week)

*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included

**Pneumonia and influenza.

Theorem of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. tTTotal includes unknown ages.

§Report for this week is unavailable (U).

Rotavirus – Continued

Editorial Note: Rotavirus, the most important cause of pediatric gastroenteritis in the United States, is responsible for an estimated one third of all hospitalizations for diarrhea in children <5 years of age (3). These hospitalizations occur predominantly in the winter, and in one large children's hospital, rotavirus accounted for 3% of all hospital days (4). Rotavirus disease-associated hospitalization rates are highest for children <2 years of age (3,4).

From 1979 through 1985, an average of 500 children died annually from diarrheal disease in the United States (5); an estimated 20% of these deaths were caused by rotavirus infection (3). Death rates for diarrheal disease were highest in the South and among black children <6 months of age (5). Patterns of childhood mortality related to diarrheal disease reflect the winter seasonality of rotavirus (3).

Because national rotavirus surveillance data suggest an increase in the risk for rotavirus infections from October through May, health-care providers should consider rotavirus as a cause of diarrhea in groups at risk and be familiar with approaches for management of this disease. Many deaths and hospitalizations may be prevented by the aggressive use of oral rehydration therapy, which is underused (6-8). Vaccines for prevention or modification of rotavirus diarrhea are under development but are unlikely to be available for 3–5 years.

For most children hospitalized with rotavirus gastroenteritis, no laboratory diagnosis is made (4), and only a small number of deaths from rotavirus infection have been virologically confirmed (9). Because the ninth revision of the *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD) did not include a rubric for rotavirus enteritis, proxy codes (3–5) were used to reflect this cause of death; however, the 10th revision will introduce a specific rubric (National Center for Health Statistics, unpublished data). The wider use of rapid diagnostic tests for rotavirus, combined with the use of a specific ICD rubric, will permit improved surveillance of rotavirus hospitalizations and deaths.

References

- Kapikian AZ, Chanock RM. Rotaviruses. In: Fields BN, Knipe DM, Chanock RM, Hirsch MS, Melnick JL, Monath TP, eds. Virology. Vol 2. 2nd ed. New York: Raven Press, 1990:1353–404.
- LeBaron CW, Lew J, Glass RI, et al. Annual rotavirus epidemic patterns in North America: results of a 5-year retrospective survey of 88 centers in Canada, Mexico, and the United States. JAMA 1990;264:983–8.
- 3. Ho MS, Glass RI, Pinsky PF, Anderson LJ. Rotavirus as a cause of diarrheal morbidity and mortality in the United States. J Infect Dis 1988;158:1112–6.
- Matson DO, Estes MK. Impact of rotavirus infection at a large pediatric hospital. J Infect Dis 1990;162:598–604.
- Ho MS, Glass RI, Pinsky PF, et al. Diarrheal deaths in American children: are they preventable? JAMA 1988;260:3281–5.
- Santosham M, Daum RS, Dillman L, et al. Oral rehydration therapy of infantile diarrhea: a controlled study of well-nourished children hospitalized in the United States and Panama. N Engl J Med 1982;306:1070–6.
- 7. Avery ME, Snyder JD. Oral therapy for acute diarrhea: the underused simple solution. N Engl J Med 1990;323:891–4.
- Mauer AM, Dweck HS, Finberg L, et al. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Nutrition: use of oral fluid therapy and posttreatment feeding following enteritis in children in a developed country. Pediatrics 1985;75:358–61.
- 9. Carlson JAK, Middleton PJ, Szymanski MT, Huber J, Petric M. Fatal rotavirus gastroenteritis: an analysis of 21 cases. Am J Dis Child 1978;132:477–9.

Reye Syndrome Surveillance - United States, 1989

Reye syndrome (RS) is an acute illness that occurs almost exclusively in children; it is characterized clinically by profuse vomiting and neurologic dysfunction, sometimes progressing to delirium, coma, and death.* Continuous national surveillance for RS was established in December 1976 (1). This report summarizes RS cases for the 1989 surveillance year (December 1, 1988–November 20, 1989).

For the 1989 surveillance year – a period characterized by widespread influenza B activity – 25 cases of RS were reported by state health departments to CDC's National Reye Syndrome Surveillance System (NRSSS). This equals the lowest number of cases reported since continuous national surveillance began and is 25% of the lowest number previously reported during a year with extensive influenza B activity (Table 1).

	Predominant	RS									
Year⁺	influenza strains Jan–May	Total	Varicella- associated	Incidence [§]	Case- fatality rate (%)						
1974	В	379		0.6	41						
1977	В	454	73	0.7	42						
1978	A(H3N2)	236	69	0.4	29						
1979	A(H1N1)	389	113	0.6	32						
1980	В	555	103	0.9	23						
1981	A(H3N2)	297	77	0.5	30						
1982	В	213	45	0.3	35						
1983	A(H3N2)	198	28	0.3	31						
1984	A(H1N1) + B	204	26	0.3	26						
1985	A(H3N2)	93	15	0.2	31						
1986	В	101	5	0.2	27						
1987	A(H1N1)	36	7	0.1	29						
1988	A(H3N2)	25	4	0.0	45						
1989	A(H1N1) + B	25	3	0.0	42						

TABLE 1. Predominant influenza strains, reported cases of Reye syndrome (RS), and varicella-associated RS, RS incidence, and RS fatality rate – United States, 1974 and 1977–1989*

*Continuous RS surveillance began in December 1976.

[†]RS reporting year begins December 1 of previous year.

[§]Per 100,000 U.S. population <18 years of age (U.S. Bureau of the Census data).

^{*}According to CDC's case definition, the following conditions must be met for consideration as a RS case: 1) acute, noninflammatory encephalopathy documented a) by alteration in the level of consciousness and, if available, a record of cerebrospinal fluid containing ≤8 leukocytes per mm³ or b) by histologic specimen demonstrating cerebral edema without perivascular or meningeal inflammation; 2) hepatopathy documented either by a liver biopsy or autopsy considered to be diagnostic of RS or by a threefold or greater rise in the levels of either serum aspartate aminotransferase, serum alanine aminotransferase, or serum ammonia; and 3) no more reasonable explanation for the cerebral and hepatic abnormalities.

Reve Syndrome - Continued

Nineteen (76%) of the patients had a reported antecedent illness within 3 weeks before onset of vomiting or neurologic symptoms; 13 had respiratory illnesses; three had varicella, and three had diarrhea without respiratory symptoms. Eighteen (72%) cases occurred in January, February, and March-the peak months for respiratory viral infections, including influenza types B and A(H1N1).

Of the 25 reported RS patients, 14 (56%) were female; 23 (92%) were white, one was black, and for one, race was unknown. Nineteen patients were \geq 5 years of age, and six were <5 years of age, representing declines of 72% and 82%, respectively, since 1986, the most recent prior year in which influenza B was the predominant influenza strain.

Approximately 70% of patients were admitted to hospitals in precomatose stages of RS (stages 0, 1, or 2). The largest number (seven) of patients were identified with stage 2 on admission, followed by stage 1 (six) and stages 0, 3, 4, and 5 (three each). The most severe phases of illness after hospitalization were stage 1 (two), stage 2 (seven), stage 3 (two), stage 4 (three), and stage 5 (six). One patient received treatment that precluded classification (i.e., she had received anesthetic or paralyzing agents in her treatment); the most severe stage was not reported for four.

Of the 24 patients for whom short-term outcomes were reported, 10 died (case-fatality rate: 42%).

Reported by: Local and state health departments. Epidemiology Activity, Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note: The annual number of cases reported to the NRSSS has decreased sharply since 1980 (Table 1), coinciding with increased public awareness of the association between the ingestion of aspirin during antecedent varicella or influenza-like illness and subsequent development of RS (2–7). In addition, the use of aspirin-containing medication to treat children with these viral illnesses has decreased (1,8,9).

The total number of reported RS cases in 1989 is lower than would be expected in a year with substantial influenza B activity. Before recognition of the association between aspirin use and risk for RS, periods of increased influenza B activity were characterized by substantial increases in the number of RS cases (10). In 1989, the number of reported cases was the same as in 1988; however, when compared with 1986, the last year with predominant influenza B activity, RS cases markedly decreased.

For 1985, 1986, and 1987, the percentage of patients <5 years of age were 53%, 38%, and 53%, respectively. These percentages were higher than in past years (1978–1984) and raised concerns that, as the overall number of reported cases decreased, a greater proportion would occur in the <5-year age group – a group for whom the diagnosis of RS may be complicated by metabolic disorders with manifestations similar to RS (*11,12*). For 1988 and 1989, the percentage of cases reported in this age group decreased to previous levels, suggesting that physicians may be more likely to rule out these metabolic disorders before diagnosing RS.

Preliminary results from 1990 surveillance indicate a continuing decline in the number of RS cases in the United States. As RS becomes increasingly rare, interest in reporting may also wane. Health-care personnel and public health agencies are urged to continue reporting to the NRSSS to assure adequate monitoring of the changing epidemiology of this illness.

Reve Syndrome - Continued

References

- 1. Barrett MJ, Hurwitz ES, Schonberger LB, Rogers MF. Changing epidemiology of Reye syndrome in the United States. Pediatrics 1986;77:598–602.
- Hurwitz ES, Barrett MJ, Bregman D, et al. Public Health Service study on Reye's syndrome and medications: report of the pilot phase. N Engl J Med 1985;313:849–57.
- 3. Hurwitz ES, Barrett MJ, Bregman D, et al. Public Health Service study of Reye's syndrome and medications: report of the main study. JAMA 1987;257:1905–11.
- Pinsky PF, Hurwitz ES, Schonberger LB, Gunn WJ. Reye's syndrome and aspirin: evidence for a dose-response effect. JAMA 1988;260:657–61.
- Starko KM, Ray CG, Dominguez LB, Stromberg WL, Woodall DF. Reye's syndrome and salicylate use. Pediatrics 1980;66:859–64.
- 6. Waldman RJ, Hall WN, McGee H, Van Amburg G. Aspirin as a risk factor in Reye's syndrome. JAMA 1982;247:3089–94.
- Halpin TJ, Holtzhauer FJ, Campbell RJ, et al. Reye's syndrome and medication use. JAMA 1982;248:687–91.
- 8. Remington PL, Rowley D, McGee H, Hall WN, Monto AS. Decreasing trends in Reye syndrome and aspirin use in Michigan, 1979 to 1984. Pediatrics 1986;77:93–8.
- 9. Arrowsmith JB, Kennedy DL, Kuritsky JN, Faich GA. National patterns of aspirin use and Reye syndrome reporting, United States, 1980 to 1985. Pediatrics 1987;79:858–63.
- Corey L, Rubin RJ, Hattwick MAW, Noble GR, Cassidy E. A nationwide outbreak of Reye's syndrome: its epidemiologic relationship to influenza B. Am J Med 1976;61:615–25.
- Hurwitz ES. The changing epidemiology of Reye's syndrome in the United States: further evidence for a public health success [Editorial]. JAMA 1988;260:3178–80.
- 12. Rowe PC, Valle D, Brusilow SW. Inborn errors of metabolism in children referred with Reye's syndrome: a changing pattern. JAMA 1988;260:3167–70.

Notices to Readers

Conference on Health Effects of Air Pollution

A conference on the Health Effects of Air Pollution – Impact of Clean Air Legislation will be held March 25–27, 1991, in Crystal City, Virginia. This conference is the annual meeting of the Society for Occupational and Environmental Health (SOEH) and is cosponsored by the American Lung Association, American Thoracic Society, American Petroleum Institute, Association of State and Territorial Health Officials, Environmental Defense Fund, Health Effects Institute, International Society of Environmental Epidemiology, National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and CDC.

The conference will examine the relationship of scientific knowledge to the implementation of clean air legislation and will provide new information and a forum for discussion of government policy, public health strategies, and critical research on air pollution.

The deadline for preregistration is March 15, 1991. Registration forms are available from the SOEH National Office, 6728 Old McLean Village Drive, McLean, VA 22101; telephone (703) 556-9222. Additional information is available from the Division of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects, Center for Environmental Health and Injury Control, Mailstop F-28, CDC, Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 488-4682.

Changes to Tables I and II

Beginning with this issue, Tables I and II, Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, incorporate several changes that began with week 1 of 1991:

- Lyme disease and *Haemophilus influenzae* have been added to the list of nationally notifiable diseases effective January 1991. Cases of Lyme disease are reported in Table I and on the first page of Table II, and cases of *H. influenzae*, in Table I.
- Cases of gonorrhoea and syphilis (primary and secondary) are no longer tabulated in separate civilian and military categories; cases appear as a single total for each disease.
- 3. Leprosy has been moved from the first page of Table II to Table I and is now listed as Hansen disease.



Reported cases of measles, by state - United States, weeks 1-5, 1991

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia, and is available on a paid subscription basis from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, (202) 783-3238.

The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday. Accounts of interesting cases, outbreaks, environmental hazards, or other public health problems of current interest to health officials, as well as matters pertaining to editorial or other textual considerations should be addressed to: Editor, *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, Mailstop C-08, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; telephone (404) 332-4555.

Director, Centers for Disease Control William L. Roper, M.D., M.P.H. Director, Epidemiology Program Office Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc.



Editor, *MMWR* Series Richard A. Goodman, M.D., M.P.H. Managing Editor Karen L. Foster, M.A.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1991-531-130/22050 Region IV

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control Centers for Disease Control Atlanta, Georgia 30333

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

> FIRST-CLASS MAIL POSTAGE & FEES PAID PHS/CDC Permit No. G-284

×. D Am E m - < r mm Þ I. 20 3 ٥ð 20 G m α 0 ov≊, OHd

×