

- 125 Mercury Exposure from Interior Latex Paint – Michigan
- 127 Imported Dengue United States, 1988
- 133 State Tobacco-Use Prevention and Control Plans

# Mercury Exposure from Interior Latex Paint - Michigan

In August 1989, a previously healthy 4-year-old boy in Michigan was diagnosed with acrodynia, a rare manifestation of childhood mercury poisoning. Symptoms and signs included leg cramps; rash; itching; excessive perspiration; rapid heartbeat; intermittent low-grade fevers; irritability; marked personality change; insomnia; headaches; hypertension; swelling; redness and peeling of the hands, feet, and nose; weakness of the pectoral and pelvic girdles; and nerve dysfunction in the lower extremities. A urine mercury level of 65  $\mu$ g/L was measured on a 24-hour urine collection. Treatment with intensive chelation therapy increased his urine mercury levels greater than or approximately equal to his; his father had elevated, although lower, levels. Parents and siblings were asymptomatic, although electromyographic abnormalities were detected in one sibling.

The Michigan Department of Public Health (MDPH) identified inhalation of mercury-containing vapors from phenylmercuric acetate contained in latex paint as the probable route of mercury exposure for the family; 17 gallons of paint had been applied to the inside of the family's home during the first week of July. Samples of the paint contained 930–955 ppm mercury; the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) limit for mercury as a preservative in interior paint is 300 ppm. During July, the house was air-conditioned, and the windows were not opened.

Following 4 months of hospitalization with repeated courses of chelation therapy and intensive rehabilitation, the patient's symptoms abated except for residual lower extremity weakness. Although electroneuromyographic abnormalities persist, he is able to walk and continues to improve.

In October, the Michigan Department of Agriculture prohibited further sales of the inappropriately formulated paint,\* and the MDPH advised persons not to apply the paint, to thoroughly ventilate freshly painted areas, and to consult a physician if unexplained health problems occurred. In November, the MDPH and CDC began an ongoing investigation in selected communities in southeastern Michigan to assess mercury levels in the air of homes in which this paint has been applied and in urine samples from persons living in these homes.

Reported by: R Aronow, MD, Poison Control Center, Children's Hospital, Detroit; C Cubbage, PhD, Michigan Dept of Agriculture; R Wiener, State Health Director, B Johnson, MD, J Hesse, J Bedford, PhD, Michigan Dept of Public Health. Health Studies Br, Div of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects, Center for Environmental Health and Injury Control, CDC.

\*The manufacturer sold this paint only in Michigan.

# Mercury - Continued

**Editorial Note:** Phenylmercuric acetate is routinely added by some paint manufacturers to interior latex (water-based) paint as a fungicide and bactericide to prolong the paint's shelf-life. EPA permits interior latex paint to contain  $\leq$ 300 ppm elemental mercury and exterior latex paint to contain  $\leq$ 2000 ppm. However, neither the presence nor the concentration of mercury in the paint is required to be labeled on the paint can. Mercury may not lawfully be used in oil-based paint (1,2).

One case of acrodynia associated with the use of interior latex paint has been reported previously (3). Acrodynia may occur at urine mercury levels as low as 50  $\mu$ g/L (4). Because the Reinsch test, a urine screening for heavy metals, is not sufficiently sensitive to detect low mercury levels, urine should be tested for mercury content by cold vapor atomic absorption (5).

Little information is available about background urine mercury levels, especially in children. Data are largely limited to a 1961 World Health Organization multicountry survey of adults, which found that 95% of adults had urine mercury concentrations <20  $\mu$ g/L, and 89%, <10  $\mu$ g/L (6).

In adults, chronic exposure to mercury vapors can cause nerve-conduction delays, tremor, insomnia, loss of appetite, and irritability (4,7). In 1965, mercury vapor exposure from paint may have been the cause of a cluster of neuromyasthenia cases (with symptoms including headache, weakness, tremor, unsteady gait, and depression) in workers in an electronics factory (8). However, the long-term health effects in clinically asymptomatic persons with elevated urine mercury levels and the potential adverse health effects to children and fetuses have not been well established.

Because alternative paint preservatives are available, EPA is determining the distribution of mercury-containing paints and is reviewing the use of mercury as a paint preservative. To prevent mercury exposure from paint, proper ventilation should be assured both during and after painting. Cases of mercury poisoning considered to be associated with interior latex paint should be reported through state health departments to the Health Studies Branch, Division of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects, Center for Environmental Health and Injury Control, CDC; telephone (404) 488-4682.

# References

- 1. Train RE. Decision of the Administrator on the cancellation of pesticides containing mercury. Environmental Protection Agency, February 17, 1976: FIFRA dockets no. 246 et al. Fed. Reg. 41 76(1976).
- 2. Train RE. Decision of the Administrator on reconsideration. Environmental Protection Agency, May 27, 1976: FIFRA dockets no. 246 et al.
- 3. Hirschman SZ, Feingold M, Boylen G. Mercury in house paint as a cause of acrodynia: effect of therapy with N-acetyl-D,L-penicillamine. N Engl J Med 1963;269:889–93.
- 4. Clarkson TW, Friberg L, Nordberg GF, Sager PR, eds. Biological monitoring of toxic metals. New York: Plenum Press, 1988.
- 5. Foulds DM, Copeland KC, Franks RC. Mercury poisoning and acrodynia [Letter]. Am J Dis Child 1987;141:124–5.
- 6. Friberg L, Vostal J, eds. Mercury in the environment: an epidemiological and toxicological appraisal. Cleveland, Ohio: CRC Press, 1972.
- 7. Rosenman KD, Valciukas JA, Glickman L, Meyers BR, Cinotti A. Sensitive indicators of inorganic mercury toxicity. Arch Environ Health 1986;41:208–15.
- Miller G, Chamberlin R, McCormack WM. An outbreak of neuromyasthenia in a Kentucky factory-the possible role of a brief exposure to organic mercury. Am J Epidemiol 1967; 86:756-64.

# Imported Dengue – United States, 1988

In 1988, 124 cases of imported dengue-like illness (i.e., dengue-like illness following apparent exposure outside the United States) were reported to CDC from 36 states and the District of Columbia (Table 1). Twenty-seven cases (from 17 states) were serologically or virologically confirmed as dengue, 72 were serologically negative for dengue, and the etiology of 25 remains undetermined because of lack of a convalescent serum sample.

Area	Total	Confirmed	Travel history of persons with confirmed cases (serotype, if known)
Alabama	6	0	
California	1	1	Jamaica
Colorado	6	1	St. Lucia
Delaware	1	0	
District of Columbia	2	0	
Florida	1	0	
Georgia	3	0	
Hawaii	10	2	Philippines, Asia and Micronesia (DEN-2)*
Illinois	8	5	Kenya, Thailand, Asia, Virgin Islands, India
Indiana	1	0	
lowa	1	0	
Kansas	2	1	Puerto Rico
Kentucky	1	0	
Louisiana	1	0	
Maine	1	0	
Maryland	1	0	
Massachusetts	9	3	Virgin Islands, Haiti, Taiwan
Michigan	5	2	Virgin Islands (DEN-4), <sup>†</sup> India
Minnesota	4	1	Thailand
Mississippi	2	0	
Missouri	5	0	
Montana	1	0	
New Hampshire	1	1	Central America (DEN-2)*
New Jersey	3	1	Unknown
New Mexico	2	0	
New York	20	1	Nigeria
North Dakota	1	0	-
Ohio	4	2	India, Virgin Islands
Oregon	2	1	Asia (DEN-4)*
Pennsylvania	2	1	Caribbean
South Dakota	2	0	
Tennessee	2	0	
Texas	3	1	Unknown
Vermont	1	0	
Virginia	2	1	India (DEN-2) <sup>†</sup>
Washington	3	2	Philippines, Asia
Wisconsin	4	Ō	
Total	124	27	

#### TABLE 1. Suspected and confirmed cases of dengue, by area - United States, 1988

\*Infecting dengue serotype (DEN) identified by plaque reduction neutralization test. <sup>†</sup>Infecting dengue serotype identified by virus isolation.

# Dengue - Continued

Travel histories of the 27 persons with confirmed dengue indicated that 12 infections had been acquired in Asia, nine in the Caribbean, two in Africa, one in Central America, and one in Oceania; for two, travel histories were unknown (Table 1). Dengue serotypes were identified in five cases, two by virus isolation and three serologically by plaque reduction neutralization test.

Sixteen (59%) of the confirmed cases were in males. Age was reported for 25 persons and ranged from 3 to 66 years (mean: 33 years). Most patients had symptoms consistent with classic dengue fever (e.g., fever, muscle and joint pain, headache, and rash), although several patients had marked thrombocytopenia and/or severe symptoms. Four of the 27 patients were hospitalized: a 3-year-old male Asian immigrant with thrombocytopenia, epistaxis, oliguria, hypotension, and hyponatremia who required intravenous-fluid therapy and platelet transfusions; a 40-year-old black male with thrombocytopenia, weakness, and hemorrhagic rash; a 13-year-old male Asian immigrant with thrombocytopenia, with thrombocytopenia, swollen legs, microhematuria, and lethargy; and a 37-year-old Asian male with thrombocytopenia, *(Continued on page 133)* 

	8t	h Week Endi	ng	Cumulat	ive, 8th Wee	k Ending
Disease	Feb. 24, 1990	Feb. 25, 1989	Median 1985-1989	Feb. 25, 1990	Feb. 24, 1989	Median 1985-1989
Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Aseptic meningitis Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne & unspec)	664 70 9	U* 99 8	234 83 12	6,835 644 88	4,454 650 88	2,828 650 119
Post-infectious Gonorrhea: Civilian Military	2 11,304 236 450	2 12,361 136 664	16,045 342 513	18 100,644 1,627	10 102,041 1,681	10 126,061 2,383
Hepatitis: Type A Type B Non A, Non B Unspecified	354 38 49	350 50 87	411 55 68	3,875 2,598 277 260	4,973 2,844 360 373	3,540 3,421 418 522
Legionellosis Leprosy Malaria Measles: Total <sup>†</sup>	17 3 19 271	23 5 23 177	14 5 16 61	164 21 140 1,638	144 25 155 644	115 33 99 265
Indigenous Imported Meningococcal infections	256 15 70	177 88	56 5 80	1,391 247 451	609 35 486	248 33 486
Mumps Pertussis Rubella (German measles) Syphilis (Primary & Secondary): Civilian	84 40 12 1,198	97 32 6 849	102 41 5 675	731 398 53 6,764	823 312 36 5,844	655 272 35 5,091
Military Toxic Shock syndrome Tuberculosis Tularemia Typhoid Fever	5 6 280 - 9	6 6 373 5	3 6 373 1 6	83 60 2,599 6 53	48 43 2,517 9 55	32 43 2,506 11 39
Typhus fever, tick-borne (RMSF) Rabies, animal	40	1 86	1 86	13 419	17 573	8 573

TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States

## TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

	Cum. 1990		Cum. 1990
Anthrax Botulism: Foodborne Infant Other Brucellosis (Calif. 1) Cholera Congenital rubella syndrome Congenital syphilis, ages < 1 year Diphtheria	- 1 5 1 8 - - -	Leptospirosis Plague Poliomyelitis, Paralytic, <sup>5</sup> Psittacosis (Nebr. 1, N.C. 2) Rabies, human Tetanus (Calif. 1, La. 1, Fla. 1) Trichinosis	5 - - - 9 6

\*Because AIDS cases are not received weekly from all reporting areas, comparison of weekly figures may be misleading. \*Eleven of the 271 reported cases for this week were imported from a foreign country or can be directly traceable to a known internationally imported case within two generations.

<sup>5</sup>No cases of suspected poliomyelitis have been reported in 1990; none of 13 suspected cases in 1989 have been confirmed to date. Nine of 14 suspected cases in 1988 were confirmed and all were vaccine-associated.

	Aseptic Encephalitis Generates Hepatitis (Viral), by type						1					
	AIDS	S Menin-	Primary	Primany Post-in-		ilian)		в	NA,NB	Unspeci-	Legionel- Iosis	Leprosy
Reporting Area	Cum.	gitis Cum.	Cum.	fectious Cum.	Cum.	Cum	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	fied Cum.	Cum.	Cum.
	1990	1990	1990	1990	1990	1989	1990	1990	1990	1990	1990	1990
UNITED STATES	6,835	644	88	18	100,644	102,041	3,875	2,598	277	260	164	21
NEW ENGLAND Maine	299 16	41 1	5	-	3,018 36	2,919 42	65	154 10	6 1	14 1	7 1	-
N.H.	27	i	-	-	26	35	1	9	-	1		-
Vt. Mass.	164	3 15	- 1	-	11	11 1,236	1 46	6 106	2 3	12	1 3	-
R.I.	104	15	-	-	1,129 152	261	40	12	-	12	2	-
Conn.	85	4	4	-	1,664	1,334	9	11	-	-	-	-
MID. ATLANTIC	2,733	120	2	-	11,480	17,805	617	400	46	23	45	7
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	297 1,796	44 11	2	-	2,155 5,648	2,401 8,250	128 51	104 114	6 7	1 12	19 4	1 4
N.J.	427	-	-	•	2,042	2,187	63	54	14	-	7	2
Pa.	213	65	-	-	1,635	4,967	375	128	19	10	15	-
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	458 107	110 40	11 2	4	20,486 6,543	17,369 4,411	225 32	356 67	16 6	17 2	45 16	-
Ind.	39	21	ī	2	1,989	877	38	121	2	4	9	-
III. Adiah	199	6	4	•	5,887	5,262	48	9	1	3	-	-
Mich. Wis.	86 27	41 2	4	-	5,251 816	5,195 1,624	88 19	108 51	7	8	13 7	-
W.N. CENTRAL	206	29	6	-	5,778	4,407	201	105	10	4	7	
Minn.	32	-	3	-	654	417	21	7	2	-	-	-
lowa Mo.	8 124	2 14	1	-	456	369	49 100	14	1	1	1	-
N. Dak.	124	- 14	-	-	3,230 21	2,814 21	2	73	2	1	6	-
S. Dak.	1	1	1	-	37	39	5	1	1	-	-	-
Nebr. Kans.	16 25	8 4	1		235 1,145	300 447	12 12	6 4	2 2	1	-	-
S. ATLANTIC		-	31	4				-	44		-	-
Del.	1,076 22	133 3	1	4	28,932 379	27,243 406	426 23	512 8	44	41	23 2	-
Md.	191	33	4	-	3,384	2,048	219	92	7	2	8	-
D.C. Va.	51 220	1 34	12	-	484	1,974 2,435	6 17	5 33	2	31	-	-
W. Va.	15	34	12		2,714 192	2,435	4	33 19	5 1	31	2	-
N.C.	56	14	8	1	5,024	4,143	70	159	21	-	5	-
S.C. Ga.	54 210	2	- 3		2,697	2,671	10 34	96	3	3	3	-
Fla.	257	39	2	3	6,954 7,104	5,286 8,047	43	47 53	3	2 2	3	-
E.S. CENTRAL	129	46	6	-	8,857	8,412	57	223	20	2	15	
Ky.	23	13	-	-	854	781	16	61	8	2	3	-
Tenn. Ala.	28 22	6	4	-	2,514	2,840	17	119	8	-	6	-
Miss.	56	21 6	2	-	3,625 1,864	2,431 2,360	24	43	4	-	6	-
W.S. CENTRAL	537	20	1	1	9.117	10,784	272	135	15	23	9	6
Ark.	32	1	-	•	1,499	1,029	78	14	1	1	1	-
La. Okla.	123 42	3 4	1	1	1,906 845	1,922 1,066	14 78	32 26	- 3	2	2 6	-
Tex.	340	12	-	-	4,867	6,767	102	63	11	20	-	6
MOUNTAIN	193	28	3	-	1,889	2,042	638	191	19	29	10	-
Mont. Idaho	3	1	-	-	20	34	18	16	1	1	-	-
Wyo.	6	1	1	-	14 19	35 24	6 13	14	4	-	-	-
Colo.	63	7	-	-	411	337	37	27	4	15	-	-
N. Mex. Ariz.	12 67	3 8	2	-	161	208	58	21	-	-	-	-
Utah	22	3	2		826 64	768 85	425 22	51 8	9	6 2	6	
Nev.	20	5	-	-	374	551	59	50	1	5	4	-
PACIFIC	1,204	117	23	9	11,087	11,060	1,374	522	101	107	3	8
Wash. Oreo	81	-	1	1	951	1,001	223	69	19	4	-	ĩ
Oreg. Calif.	16 1,074	106	21	7	419 9,482	435 9,415	153 932	59 374	7 73	5 97	3	4
Alaska	7	2	-	-	190	161	932 33	3/4	2	3/	з -	-
Hawaii	26	9	1	1	45	48	33	13	-	1	-	3
Guam P.R.	1		-		22	27	2	1	-	3	-	
P.R. V.I.	312 3	19	4		- 59	166 85	7	9 1	•	-		
Amer. Samoa	-	-	-		-	85	-	-	-	-	-	-
C.N.M.I.	-	-	-	-	9	18	1	1	-	-	-	-

# TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending February 24, 1990 and February 25, 1989 (8th Week)

N: Not notifiable

		Measles (Rubeola)				Menin-						I			
Paparting Area	Malaria	India	enous	Impo		Total	gococcal Infections	Mu	mps	1	Pertussi	s		Rubella	I
Reporting Area	Cum. 1990	1990	Cum. 1990	1990	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1989	Cum. 1990	1990	Cum. 1990	1990	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1989	1990	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1989
UNITED STATES	140	256	1,391	15	247	644	451	84	731	40	398	312	12	53	36
NEW ENGLAND	21	8	13	2	8	8	34	3	8	7	65	12	-	1	-
Maine N.H.	2	:	-	-	6	:	4	2	3	-	1 6	4 5	-	-	-
Vt.	3	-	-	•	:	1	4	-	1	1	2	1	-	-	-
Mass. R.I.	10 2	8	8	2†	2	3 3	19	1	3 1	6	53	2	-	1	-
Conn.	4	-	5	-	-	1	7	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
MID. ATLANTIC	24 3	9 1	122 86	-	67 57	52	68 21	•	43	18	113	29	1	1	2
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	10	3	11	-	5/	15	5		17	16	100	9	1	1	1
N.J. Pa.	47	- 5	- 25	-	- 6	28 9	16 26	:	7 19	-	2	17	-	-	-
				-						2	11	3	-	-	-
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	8 2	93 51	675 96	-	116	46 45	56 19	5	58 12	4	75 19	35 1	-	5	2
Ind.	-	14	48	-	-	-	7	-	4	1	28	1	-	-	-
III. Mich.	2 3	28	248 65	-	116	-	14 11	5	9 25	3	4 13	12 4	-	5	1
Wis.	ĭ	-	218	-	-	1	5	-	8	-	11	17	-	-	1
W.N. CENTRAL Minn.	1	28 27	48 27	1 1†	1 1	198	21 3	-	33	-	4	9	-	-	1
lowa		1	21	-	-	-	1	-	4	-	1	6	-	-	-
Mo. N. Dak.	1	-	-	-	-	195	9	-	14	•	1	2	·	-	1
S. Dak.		-	-	-		-	2		-			-		-	-
Nebr.	-	-	-	•	-	-3	3 3	-	1	•	1	-	-	-	-
Kans.	-	-	-		-			-	14	-	1	1	-	-	-
S. ATLANTIC Del.	32	57	102 1	1	29	85	93	38	270	3	44	19		-	-
Md.	7	1	9	-	11	4	10	18	149	3	18	1	-	-	-
D.C. Va.	4 7	-	3	-	1	2	1 13	:	4	-	1	2	:	:	-
W. Va.	1	-	-	-	-		2	11	22		5	1	-	-	-
N.C. S.C.	3		3	2		79	16 7	3	25 9	:	5	10	:	:	-
Ga.	4		1	-		-	20	-	20	-	7	1	-	-	-
Fla.	6	56	85	1§	15	-	24	6	32	-	3	4	-	-	-
E.S. CENTRAL Ky.	3	2	17	:	:	2 1	24 9	2	26	-	14	17	-	•	-
Tenn.	2	2	12		-	-	9	1	8		3	11		-	-
Ala. Miss.	1	-	- 5	-	•	1	6	N	3 N	-	11	4	•	-	-
W.S. CENTRAL	1	46	83	2	7	80	36	22	170	-		2	-	-	-
Ark.		40		-		- 80	30	9	35	:	6	3 1	2	-	5
La. Okla.	1	-	- 3	•	-	1	8	1	32	-	1	-	-	-	-
Tex.	-	46	80	2†	7	79	7 18	12	61 42	-	5	2	:	-	- 5
MOUNTAIN	4	13	32	9	11	16	7	5	42	3	41	142			1
Mont. Idaho	-	-	-	-	-	13	3	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Wyo.	2		:	-	:	1	-	4	20 2	-	2	7	•	-	-
Colo.	-	1	2	1§	2	1	2	-	4	3	31	10		-	-
N. Mex. Ariz.	2	4	1 21	- 6†	7	1	- 1	N 1	N 13	•	-	1	-	-	-
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	6	120 3	-	-	-
Nev.	-	8	8	2§	2	-	1	-	1	-	2	1	-	-	1
PACIFIC Wash.	46 2	:	299	-	8 6	157	112	9	81	5	36	46	11	46	25
Oreg.	2	-	-	-	-	:	12 10	2 N	10 N	4	8 2	4	-	-	-
Calif. Alaska	41	-	291 8	-	2	153	87	7	70	1	23	40	11	42	25
Hawaii	1	-	-	-	2	4	3	2	1	-	3	2	1	- 4	-
Guam	1	U		υ	-	-		U	-	U		1	U	-	
P.R. V.I.	-	-	25	-	-	81	3	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	1
Amer. Samoa	:	U U	:	U U	-	:	-	U U	1	U U	-	:	U U		-
C.N.M.I.	-	ŭ		ŭ			_	ŭ	1	ŭ	-	-	Ŭ		-

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending February 24, 1990 and February 25, 1989 (8th Week)

\*For measles only, imported cases includes both out-of-state and international importations.

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable <sup>†</sup>International <sup>§</sup>Out-of-state

February 24, 1990 and February 25, 1989 (8th Week)										
Reporting Area	Syphilis (Civilian) (Primary & Secondary)		Toxic- shock Syndrome		culosis	Tula- remia	Typhoid Fever	Typhus Fever (Tick-borne) (RMSF)	Rabies, Animal	
	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1989	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1989	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1990	Cum. 1990	
UNITED STATES	6,764	5,844	60	2,599	2,517	6	53	13	419	
NEW ENGLAND	281	235	3	46	56	-	-		-	
Maine N.H.	1 23	-	-	- 1	1	-	-	-	-	
Vt.	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	
Mass. R.I.	97 1	88 6	2	18 12	24 9	-		-	-	
Conn.	159	141	1	13	17	-	-	•	-	
MID. ATLANTIC	1,212	1,123	8	645	586	1	15	2	121	
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	57 867	89 421	4 1	17 460	50 389	-	6 1	:	3	
N.J. Pa.	241 47	208 405	- 3	82 86	69 78	1	7 1	2	36	
E.N. CENTRAL	357	405 242	3 16	301	286	-	8	-	82	
Ohio	62	12	6	301	286	-	3	1	5	
Ind.	4	5	2	17	16	-	-	-	-	
III. Mich.	154 99	112 104	8	144 96	122 77	-	2 3	1	2	
Wis.	38	9	-	14	10	-	-	-	3	
W.N. CENTRAL	51	50	6	73	65	3		2	47	
Minn. Iowa	14 5	4 10	-	14 6	15 9	-		-	29	
Mo.	26	26	3	31	18	3	-	2	-	
N. Dak. S. Dak.	1	-	-	3 4	4 6	-	-	-	5 8	
Nebr.	2	10	2	7	2	-		-	-	
Kans.	3	-	1	8	11	-		-	5	
S. ATLANTIC	2,496	2,105	-	404	510	1	5	3	129	
Del. Md.	33 160	25 112	-	5 44	4 44	-	3	-	2 47	
D.C.	197	127	-	10	29	-	-	-	-	
Va. W. Va.	97 2	89 3	-	24 6	54 14	-	•	-	24 2	
N.C.	267	126	-	62	49	1	-	2	2	
S.C. Ga.	153 619	106 475	-	76 52	59 71	:	1	1	15 31	
Fla.	968	1,042	-	125	186	-	1	-	6	
E.S. CENTRAL	614	404	4	160	218	-		1	18	
Ky.	12	8	-	65	53	-	-	-	7	
Tenn. Ala.	216 223	151 154	2 2	28 59	56 81			1	11	
Miss.	163	91	-	8	28	-	-	-	-	
W.S. CENTRAL	961	775	3	315	224	-	1	3	52	
Ark. La.	59 318	58 149	-	36 43	28 32	-	:		4	
Okla.	29	11	3	22	10	-	-	3	11	
Tex.	555	557	-	214	154	-	1	-	37	
MOUNTAIN Mont.	135	149	5	48	82	1	2	-	12	
Idaho	1	-	1	-	3	-		-	5	
Wyo. Colo.	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	5	
N. Mex.	7 11	6 4	2	14	13	1	-	-	- 1	
Ariz. Utah	87	36	1	20	42	-	2	-	-	
Nev.	1 28	5 98	-	14	12 12	-	-	-	1	
PACIFIC	657	761	15	607	490		22	1	35	
Wash.	4	48	1	40	31	-	-	-		
Oreg. Calif.	17 630	38 671	13	17	16	:	21	- 1	25	
Alaska	2	-	13	524 4	418 5	-		-	25 10	
Hawaii	4	4	1	22	20	-	1	-	-	
Guam	-	3	-	6	9	-	-	-	-	
P.R. V.I.	-	64 1		1	37 1	-	-	-	12	
Amer. Samoa	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
C.N.M.I.	-	1	-	3		-	2	-	-	

# TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending February 24, 1990 and February 25, 1989 (8th Week)

U: Unavailable

1

.

Ages         Ages         Ages         Ages         Ages         Ages           NEW ENGLAND         662         473         120         38         17         14         63         S. ATLANTIC         227         828         274         151         36           Bridgeport, Conn.         45         31         13         1         -         -         3         Battimore, Md.         316         197         67         37         5           Fail River, Mass.         31         28         -         -         -         -         Jacksonville, Fla.         89         20         10         3           Hartford, Conn.         62         433         1         6         -         1         Norfolk, Va.         79         55         14         1         22         2         St. Petersburg, Fla.         72         56         35         12         6         3           New Baeron, Conn.         22         24         4         1         -         -         1         St. Petersburg, Fla.         72         56         9         6         1         7         3         3         3         3         3         1         -         1	P&I+
LEW ENGLAND         C         L <thl< th="">         L         <thl< th=""> <thl< th=""> <thl< th=""> <thl< t<="" th=""><th><math display="block">\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></th></thl<></thl<></thl<></thl<></thl<>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Boston, Mass.         169         104         32         14         14         5         20         Attanta, Ga         208         116         -43         31         7         5           Cambridge, Mass.         29         21         5         1         1         1         5         Charlotte, N.C.         74         46         15         7         2           Fall River, Mass.         29         21         6         1         1         9         Miami, Fla.         124         89         20         10         3           Lynn, Mass.         20         13         6         -         1         Norfolk, Va.         55         34         11         4         5           New Bedford, Mass.         29         21         4         2         2         2         St. Petersburg, Fla.         72         56         9         6         1         7         Savannah, Ga.         56         35         12         6         3         3         5         Mishington, DC.         154         86         39         23         4         2         5         11         15         3         13         13         1         2         12	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Bridgeport, Conn. 45 31 13 1 3 Battimore, Md. 316 197 67 37 5 2 Cambridge, Mass. 31 28 3 4 Jacksonville, Fla. 124 89 20 10 3 Jacksonville, Mass. 31 28 3 Jacksonville, Fla. 124 89 20 10 3 Jacksonville, Mass. 29 21 6 2 1 Norfolk, Va. 55 34 11 4 5 Lowell, Mass. 29 21 6 2 1 Norfolk, Va. 79 55 14 7 2 New Badron, Conn. 62 43 11 6 1 Savannah, Ga. 56 35 12 6 3 New Haven, Conn. Conn. 29 21 4 2 - 2 2 5 St. Petersburg, Fla. 72 56 9 6 1 Providence, R.I. 34 25 5 3 1 - 5 Tampa, Fla. 75 48 17 4 2 5 Somerville, Mass. 7 7 5 Tampa, Fla. 75 48 17 4 2 5 Somerville, Mass. 77 54 18 4 - 1 9 Somerville, Mass. 77 54 18 4 - 1 9 Somerville, Mass. 77 54 18 4 - 1 9 Libringhend, Nal. 125 81 28 8 2 Jacksonverter, Mass. 77 54 18 4 - 1 9 Libringham, Ala. 125 81 28 8 2 Jacksonverter, Mass. 77 54 18 4 - 1 9 Libringham, Ala. 125 81 28 8 2 Jacksonverter, Mass. 77 54 18 4 - 1 9 Libringham, Ala. 125 81 28 8 2 Jacksonverter, Mass. 77 54 18 4 - 1 9 Libringham, Ala. 125 81 28 8 2 Jacksonverter, Mass. 77 54 18 4 - 1 9 Libringham, Ala. 125 81 28 8 2 Jacksonverter, Mass. 77 54 18 4 - 1 9 Libringham, Ala. 125 81 28 8 2 Jacksonverter, Mass. 77 54 18 4 - 1 9 Libringham, Ala. 125 81 28 8 2 Jacksonverter, Mass. 77 54 18 4 2 Jacksonverter, Ala. 14 26 5 5 3 Jacksonverter, Mass. 77 54 18 4 2 Jacksonverter, Ala. 14 26 5 5 3 Jacksonverter, N.J. 45 29 8 1 4 3 - Jacksonverter, Ala. 56 64 7 6 2 Jacksonverter, Ala. 56 64 7 6 2 Jacksonverter, N.J. 44 28 10 6 1 Batorn Rouge, Laa. 80 41 8 8 Jacksonverter, N.J. 44 27 Jacksonverter, Ja	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	4 12 2 12 2 4 1 6 1 9 9 - 3 4 5 5 - 1 18 66 3 7 1 14 3 7 1 15 2 1 2 1 2 - 1 3 - 1 2
Fail River, Mass.       31       28       3       -       -       -       -       Jacksonville, Tal.       124       89       42       10       33         Lowell, Mass.       29       21       6       2       -       1       Norfolk, Va.       55       34       11       4       5         Lynn, Mass.       29       21       6       2       -       1       Norfolk, Va.       55       34       11       4       5         New Baven, Conn.       29       21       4       2       2       2       St. Petersburg, Fla.       76       63       1       7       2         New Haven, Conn.       29       21       4       2       2       2       5       St. Petersburg, Fla.       76       68       1       1       2       5       St. Petersburg, Fla.       76       74       2       2       5       St. Petersburg, Fla.       76       74       2       2       5       St. Petersburg, Fla.       76       74       2       2       74       2       23       4       1       2       10       13       14       13       14       11       13       12       14	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Lowell, Mass.         29         21         6         2         -         1         Norrolk, Va.         55         34         11         4         5           New Bedford, Mass.         29         21         4         1         -         -         1         Richmond, Va.         79         55         14         7         2           New Haven, Conn.         29         21         4         2         -         2         St. Petersburg, Fia.         72         56         9         6         1           Providence, R.I.         34         25         5         3         -         -         Washington, Del.         25         21         2         -         -           Warester, Mass.         7         7         -         -         -         3         Esc CENTRAL         711         503         129         48         13           Albary, N.Y.         58         40         28         3         30         16         57         217         Chatamooga, Tenn.         49         13         4         1           Burfaito, N.Y.         162         81         4         2         4         Noxitite, Ky.         136         11 </td <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
New Bedford, Mass.       29       24       4       1       -       -       1       Savannah, Ga       56       35       12       6       3         New Haven, Conn.       29       21       4.2       -       2       2       St. Petersburg, Fla.       72       56       9       6       1         Somerville, Mass.       7       -       -       -       -       Washington, Dcl.       154       86       17       4       2         Warester, Mass.       77       54       18       4       -       1       9       Winington, Dcl.       154       86       32       23       4         Worcester, Mass.       77       54       18       4       -       1       9       1111       503       129       48       13         Worcester, Mass.       77       54       18       4       -       1       9       13       13       13       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       156       16       15       13       14       14       15       166       16       16       16       166       16	- 9 - 3 4 5 1 5  18 66 3 3 7 1 14 3 7 1 15 2 1 5 2 3
New Haven, Conn.292142-2225517Providence, R.I.3425531-5Tampa, Fla.75481742Somervile, Mass.775Wilmington, Dcl.1548639234Materbury, Conn.433661355212Waterbury, Conn.2,7711,8045333017657217Chattanooga, Tenn.6652641Allentown, Pa.171331Louisville, Ky.70491341Burfalo, N.Y.16284028331Morgomery, Ala.55647621Parsey City, N.J.63161-351.246377186561N.Y. City, N.Y.1,5239772841894429102Vis.16, Tex.57051144-Philadelphia, Pa.7930131244037110Eirabeth, N.J.14428106-11Baton Rouge, La.383431-Paterson, N.J.44272124Dais, Tex.570	- 3 4 5 1 5  18 66 3 7 1 14 3 7 1 15 2 1 - 3
Providence, R.I.       34       25       5       3       1       -       5       Tampa, Fla.       75       48       17       4       2         Somerville, Mass.       58       45       7       3       5       5       7       3       5         Waterbury, Conn.       43       36       6       1       -       3       5       5       21       2       -       -         Waterbury, Conn.       43       36       6       1       -       3       5       S. CENTRAL       711       503       129       48       13         MUD. ATLANTIC       2,771       1,804       533       301       76       57       217       Chattanooga, Tenn.       66       52       6       4       1         Allentown, Pa.       17       13       3       1       -       -       10       Memphis, Tenn.       130       98       21       9       13       4       1         Lamden, N.J.       46       39       10       3       5       3       Montgomery, Ala.5       56       47       6       2       1         Vex city, N.Y.       1523       977       28	4 5 1 5  18 66 3 7 1 14 3 7 1 15 2 1 - 3
Springfield, Mass.       58       45       7       3       -       3       5       Wilmington, Del.       26       21       2       -         Waterbury, Conn.       43       36       6       1       -       -       3       5       5       1       1       50       129       48       13         MUD. ATLANTIC       2.771       1.804       533       301       76       57       217       7       Chattanooga, Tenn.       66       52       6       4       1         Albany, N.Y.       58       43       7       4       2       2       4       Knoxville, Tenn.       94       91       3       4       1         Camden, N.J.       45       29       8       1       4       2       9       10       Merphis, Tenn.       130       98       21       9       1         Jersey City, N.Y.       163       36       316       3       12       Austin, Tex.       60       41       8       2       2       1         Versey City, N.Y.       1523       977       284       189       42       217       2       Austin, Tex.       50       517       47	18 66 6 3 3 7 1 14 3 7 1 15 2 1 - 3
Waterbury, Conn.4336613Worcester, Mass.7754184-1953115031294813Wirdester, Mass.7754184-19531204813MID. ATLANTIC2.7711.80453330767277Chattanooga, Tenn.6652641Allentown, Pa.171331Louisville, Tenn.94592293Allentown, Pa.171331Louisville, Ky.70491341Camden, N.J.45298143-Louisville, Ky.70491341Lirzbeth, N.J.3628412991Mohtgomery, Ala.55647621N.Y. City, N.Y.1,5239772841894429102Ns.Nestrik, Tex.57051144-Phitsburgh, Pa.t7613437176217Corpus Christi, Tex.57051144-Phitsburgh, Pa.t7614272221New Orleans, La.51611043157Schenectady, N.Y.15211123918 <td< td=""><td>6 3 3 7 1 14 3 7 1 15 2 1 - 3</td></td<>	6 3 3 7 1 14 3 7 1 15 2 1 - 3
Worcester, Mass.7754184-19E.S. CENTRAL7115031294813MID. ATLANTIC2,7711,8045333017657217Chattanoga, Tenn.6652641Albany, N.Y.584374224Knoxville, Tenn.94592293Buffalo, N.Y.1628840283310Memphis, Tenn.130982191Camden, N.J.4529841219Mobile, Ala.412655Camden, N.J.4529841219Mobile, Ala.412655Jersey City, N.J.66361611-35547621N.Y. City, N.Y.1,5239772841894429102W.S. CENTRAL1,9251,2463718656N.Y. City, N.Y.1,5239772841894429102W.S. CENTRAL1,9251,24637185Philadelphia, Pa.19613437176217Corpus Christi, Tex.57051144-Philadelphia, Pa.7644272124Elaaon Rouge, La.38461554 <t< td=""><td>6 3 3 7 1 14 3 7 1 15 2 1 - 3</td></t<>	6 3 3 7 1 14 3 7 1 15 2 1 - 3
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 7 1 14 3 7 1 15 2 1 - 3
Albany, N.Y.       58       43       7       4       2       2       4       Knoxville, Tenn.       54       55       22       9       3         Allentown, Pa.       17       13       3       1       -       -       -       Louisville, Yen.       70       49       13       4       1         Buffalo, N.Y.       162       28       40       28       3       10       1       3       -       -       -       Louisville, Ky.       70       49       13       4       1         Camden, N.J.       45       29       8       1       4       3       -       Montgomery, Ala.§       56       47       6       2       1         Jersey City, N.J.       66       36       16       1       -       3       5       Montgomery, Ala.§       56       47       6       2       1         Paterson, N.J.       104       59       23       13       6       3       12       Alstrin, Tex.       60       41       8       8       2       Paterson, N.J.       43       7       16       2       17       Dallas, Tex.       25       157       47       31       10 <td>1 14 3 7 1 15 2 1 - 3</td>	1 14 3 7 1 15 2 1 - 3
Allentown, Pa.171331Louisville, Ky.70491341Buffalo, N.Y.1628840283310Memphis, Tenn.130982191Buffalo, N.J.45298143-Mobile, Ala.5647621Elizabeth, N.J.362841219Montgomery, Ala.5647621Jersey City, N.J.66361611-355124637718656N.Y. City, N.Y.1,5239772841894429102W.S. CENTRAL1,9251,24637718656Newark, N.J.10459231363122Baton Rouge, La.383431-Paterson, N.J.44272124Dalas, Tex.84601354Reading, Pa.393045-5557771110Reading, Pa.73184-1-355461354Schenectady, N.Y.15211123918255144-2Schenectady, N.Y.211631-15San Anto	3 7 1 15 2 1 - 3
Buffalo, N.Y.       162       88       40       28       3       3       10       Memphis, Tenn.       130       98       21       9       1         Camden, N.J.       45       29       8       1       4       3       -       Monbile, Ala.       41       26       5       3         Eirabeth, N.J.       36       28       4       1       2       1       9       Montgomery, Ala.5       64       7       6       2       1         Jersey City, N.J.       66       36       16       11       -       3       5       Nashville, Tenn.       129       91       28       7       1         Jersey City, N.J.       104       59       23       13       6       3       12       Austin, Tex.       60       41       8       2         Paterson, N.J.       44       21       0       6       -       1       Corpus Christi, Tex.5       70       51       14       4       -       -       2       Baton Rouge, La.       38       34       3       1       -       5       Fort Worth, Tex.5       70       51       14       4       -       -       5       Fort Worth,	1 15 2 1 - 3
Elizabeth, N.J.       36       28       4       1       2       1       9       Montgomery, Ala.5       56       47       6       2       1         Lerie, Pa.t       53       39       10       1       3       -5       Nashville, Tenn.       129       91       28       7       1         Jersey City, N.J.       66       36       16       11       -3       5       W.S. CENTRAL       1,925       1,246       377       186       56       1         Newark, N.J.       104       59       23       13       6       3       12       Austin, Tex.       60       41       8       8       2         Paterson, N.J.       44       28       10       6       -       1       2       Baton Rouge, La.       38       34       3       1       -       Corpus Christi, Tex.5       70       51       14       4       -       Dallas, Tex.       255       157       47       31       10         Reading, Pa.       39       30       4       5       -       5       Fort Worth, Tex.       73       436       169       89       24       Stranton, Tex.       734       436       169	- 3
Erie, Pa.t.       53       39       10       1       3       -5       Nashville, Tenn.       129       91       28       7       1         Jersey City, N.J.       66       36       16       11       -3       5       Wshville, Tenn.       129       91       28       7       1         N.Y. City, N.Y.       1,523       977       284       189       42       9102       44       8       2         Paterson, N.J.       104       59       23       13       6       3       12       Baton Rouge, La.       8       34       3       1       -         Philadelphia, Pa.       196       134       37       17       6       2       17       Dallas, Tex.       205       157       47       31       10         Reading, Pa.       39       30       4       5       -       5       Fort Worth, Tex.       97       18       7       2         Schenectady, N.Y.       152       111       23       9       1       8       25       Fort Worth, Tex.       97       57       18       7       2         Schenectady, N.Y.       152       111       23       1 <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	2 16
N.Y. čity, N.Y.       1,523       977       284       189       44       29       102       W.S. CENTRAL       1,925       1,246       377       186       56         Newark, N.J.       104       59       23       13       6       3       12       Austin, Tex.       60       41       8       8       2         Paterson, N.J.       44       28       10       6       -       1       Baton Rouge, La.       38       34       3       1       -         Phitsburgh, Pa.       166       134       37       17       6       2       17       Corpus Christi, Tex.5       70       51       14       4       -         Pittsburgh, Pa.1       76       44       27       2       1       2       4       60       13       5       4         Reading, Pa.       39       30       4       5       -       5       Fort Worth, Tex.       97       57       18       7       2         Schenectady, N.Y.       13       18       4       -       1       -3       Houston, Tex.5       734       436       169       89       24         Streeredady, N.Y.       21	
New Nr. J.1042313106-11Batron Rouge, La.383431-Phitsburgh, Pa.19613437176217Corpus Christi, Tex.57051144-Pritsburgh, Pa.3930455El Paso, Tex.84601354Reading, Pa.3930455El Paso, Tex.84601354Rochester, N.Y.1521112391825Fort Worth, Tex.97571872Schenectady, N.Y.23184-1-3Houston, Tex.57344361698924Schenectady, N.Y.2224622Little Rock, Ark.73482021Syracuse, N.Y.6244106115San Antonio, Tex.17611932163Urica, N.Y.2116311-1Tulsa, Okla.10992562E.N. CENTRAL2,4121,5905131656480157MOUNTAIN75151312263261Akron, Ohio534191113Alboureque, N. Mex.80451012	60 108
Philadelphia, Pa.       196       134       37       17       6       2       17       Corpus Christi, Tex.§       70       51       14       4       -         Philadelphia, Pa.       196       134       37       17       6       2       17       Dallas, Tex.       255       157       47       31       10         Peading, Pa.       39       30       4       5       -       5       El Paso, Tex.       84       60       13       5       4         Rochester, N.Y.       152       111       23       9       1       8       25       Fort Worth, Tex       97       57       18       7       2         Schenectady, N.Y.       132       24       6       2       -       -       3       Houston, Tex.       734       436       169       89       24       1       15       New Orleans, La.§       161       104       31       15       7         Stranton, Pa.t       32       24       6       2       -       4       Uites, Okla.       109       92       5       6       2       17       114       31       15       7         Yonkers, N.Y.       28	1 3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 8
Reading, Pa.393045-5EI Paso, Iex.84601354Rochester, N.Y.1521112391825Fort Worth, Tex.97571872Schenectady, N.Y.13184-1-3Houston, Tex.7344361698924Scranton, Pa.t322462-2Little Rock, Ark.73482021Syracuse, N.Y.6244106115New Orleans, La.56110431157Trenton, N.J.3422921-3Shreveport, La.68471721Yonkers, N.Y.2821524Tulsa, Okla.10992562E.N. CENTRAL2,4121,5905131656480157MOUNTAIN75151312263262Canton, Ohio534191113Las Vegas, Nev.935621010Chicago, Ill.§5643621254510221616Denver, Colo.1369717143Cleveland, Ohio1761104684820gden, Utah181332-Cleveland,	10 10
Rochester, N.Y.       152       111       23       9       1       8       25       Fort Worth, Iex       97       57       18       7       2         Schenectady, N.Y.       23       18       4       -       1       -       3       Houston, Tex.5       734       436       169       99       24         Scranton, Pa.t       32       24       6       2       -       -       3       Little Rock, Ark.       73       436       169       89       24         Syracuse, N.Y.       62       44       10       6       1       1       5       San Antonio, Tex.       176       119       32       16       3         Trenton, N.J.       34       22       9       1       -       1       Shreveport, La.       68       47       17       2       1         Yonkers, N.Y.       28       21       5       2       -       -       4       Nouverport, La.       68       47       17       2       1       10       33       Akron, Ohio       36       27       8       -       1       7       Akron, Ohio       36       27       8       -       1       10	25
Scranton, Pa.t       32       24       6       2       -       -       5       Little Rock, Ark.       73       48       20       2       1         Syratouse, N.Y.       62       44       10       6       1       1       5       New Orleans, La.5       161       104       31       15       7         Trenton, N.J.       34       22       9       2       1       -       3       Shar Antonio, Tex.       176       119       32       16       3         Utica, N.Y.       21       16       3       1       -       1       Shreveport, La.       68       47       17       2       1         Yonkers, N.Y.       28       21       5       2       -       4       Hulsa, Okla.       109       92       5       6       2         E.N. CENTRAL       2.412       1.590       513       165       64       80       157       Albuquerque, N. Mex.       80       45       10       12       10         Chicago, Ill.5       564       362       125       45       10       22       16       Derver, Colo.       136       97       17       14       3       2	13 7
Suracuse, N.Y.       52       24       10       6       1       1       5       7         Trenton, N.J.       34       22       9       2       1       -       3       San Antonio, Tex.       176       119       32       16       3         Utica, N.Y.       21       16       3       1       -       1       San Antonio, Tex.       176       119       32       16       3         Utica, N.Y.       21       16       3       1       -       1       Shreveport, La.       68       47       17       2       1         Yonkers, N.Y.       28       21       5       2       -       -       4       Tulsa, Okla.       109       92       5       6       2         E.N. CENTRAL       2.412       1.590       513       165       64       80       157       Albuquerque, N. Mex.       80       45       10       12       10         Canton, Ohio       36       27       8       -       1       7       Colo. Springs, Colo.       14       28       6       5       1         Cleumbus, Ohio       156       33       16       5       4       18 <td>16 18 2 4</td>	16 18 2 4
Trenton, N.J.       34       22       9       1       -       3       San Antonio, Tex.       176       119       32       16       3         Utica, N.Y.       21       16       3       1       -       1       Shantonio, Tex.       176       119       32       16       3         Vonkers, N.Y.       21       16       3       1       -       1       Tulsa, Okla.       109       92       5       6       2         E.N. CENTRAL       2,412       1,590       513       165       64       80       157       MOUNTAIN       751       513       122       63       26       2         Akron, Ohio       53       41       9       1       1       3       Colo. Springs, Colo.       41       28       6       5       1         Canton, Ohio       156       44       8       10       22       16       3       10       12       10         Cleveland, Ohio       156       43       16       5       4       18       13       3       2       -       16       10       110       43       3       2       -       Phoenin, Ariz.       197       140<	4 -
Utica, N.Y.       21       16       3       1       -       1       Shreveport, La.       68       47       17       2       1         Yonkers, N.Y.       28       21       5       2       -       4       Tulsa, Okla.       109       92       5       6       2         E.N. CENTRAL       2,412       1,590       513       165       64       80       157       Alkron, Ohio       36       27       8       -       1       1       3       Colo. Springs, Colo.       41       28       6       5       1         Chicago, Ill.§       564       362       125       45       10       22       16       Derver, Colo.       136       97       17       14       3         Cleveland, Ohio       156       33       16       5       4       18       13       3       2       -         Columbus, Ohio       176       110       46       8       4       2       0       Ogden, Utah       18       13       3       2       -         Potroit, Mich.       229       10       4       2       8       Pueblo, Colo.       18       13       3       2       <	6 18
Yonkers, N.Y.       28       21       5       2       -       4       Iulisa, Okia.       109       92       5       6       2         E.N. CENTRAL       2,412       1,590       513       165       64       80       157       MOUNTAIN       751       513       122       63       26       2         Akron, Ohio       53       41       9       1       1       3       Albuquerque, N. Mex.       80       45       10       12       10         Canton, Ohio       36       27       8       -       1       7       36       65       1         Chicago, III.5       564       362       125       45       10       22       16       Denver, Colo.       136       97       17       14       3         Cincinnati, Ohio       152       94       33       16       5       4       18       Las Vegas, Nev.       93       56       22       1       3         Cilumbus, Ohio       176       110       46       8       4       8       2       Ogden, Utah       18       13       3       2       -         Columbus, Ohio       120       83       21 </td <td>1 13</td>	1 13
Livit, Celvinal       2,412       1,530       513       103       64       60       137       Albuquerque, N. Mex.       80       45       10       12       10         Akron, Ohio       36       27       8       -       1       1       3       Colo. Springs, Colo.       41       28       6       5       1         Chicago, Ill.s       564       362       125       45       10       22       16       Denver, Colo.       136       97       17       14       3         Cincinnati, Ohio       152       94       33       16       5       4       18       13       3       2       -         Columbus, Ohio       176       110       46       8       4       2       Phoenix, Ariz.       197       140       28       13       5         Detroit, Mich.       229       10       4       2       8       Puebio, Colo.       18       12       6       -       -         Detroit, Mich.       229       10       4       2       8       Puebio, Colo.       18       12       6       -       -         Detroit, Mich.       229       6       3       1	4 18
Canton, Ohio       36       27       8       -       1       7       Colo. Springs, Colo.       41       28       6       5       1         Canton, Ohio       36       27       8       -       1       7       Colo. Springs, Colo.       41       28       6       5       1         Chicago, III.5       564       362       125       45       10       22       16       Denver, Colo.       136       97       17       14       3         Cincinnati, Ohio       152       94       33       16       5       4       18       Las Vegas, Nev.       93       56       22       11       3         Cieveland, Ohio       176       110       46       8       8       2       Ogden, Utah       18       13       3       2       -         Columbus, Ohio       178       110       47       8       7       6       10       Phoenix, Ariz.       197       140       28       13       5         Dayton, Ohio       120       83       21       13       5       Salt Lake City, Utah       45       27       10       3       1         Evansville, Ind.       42       <	27 49
Chicago, III.\$         564         362         125         45         10         22         16         Denver, Colo.         136         97         17         14         3           Cincinnati, Ohio         152         94         33         16         5         4         18         Las Vegas, Nev.         93         56         22         11         3           Cleveland, Ohio         152         94         33         16         5         4         18         Las Vegas, Nev.         93         56         22         11         3           Cleveland, Ohio         176         110         46         8         4         8         Ogden, Utah         18         13         3         2         -           Columbus, Ohio         178         110         47         8         7         6         10         Phoenix, Ariz.         197         140         28         13         5           Detroit, Mich.         229         13         5         23         12         13         5         Salt Lake City, Utah         45         27         10         3         1           Evansville, Ind.         42         29         6         3	3 5
Cincinnati, Ohio       152       94       33       16       5       4       18       Las Vegas, Nev.       93       56       22       11       3         Cleveland, Ohio       176       110       46       8       4       8       2       Ogden, Utah       18       13       3       2       -         Columbus, Ohio       176       110       46       8       4       8       2       Ogden, Utah       18       13       3       2       -         Columbus, Ohio       178       110       47       8       7       6       10       Phoenix, Ariz.       197       140       28       13       5         Dayton, Ohio       120       83       21       10       4       2       8       Pueblo, Colo.       18       12       6       -         Detroit, Mich.       229       123       58       23       12       13       5       Salt Lake City, Utah       45       27       10       3       1         Evansville, Ind.       42       29       6       3       1       3       5       Tucson, Ariz.       123       95       20       3       3	1 5 5 3
Cleveland, Ohio     176     110     46     8     4     8     2     Ogden, Utah     18     13     3     2     -       Columbus, Ohio     178     110     47     8     7     6     10     Phoenix, Ariz.     197     140     28     13     5       Dayton, Ohio     120     83     21     10     4     2     8     Phoenix, Ariz.     197     140     28     13     5       Dayton, Ohio     120     83     21     10     4     2     8     Salt Lake City, Utah     45     27     10     3     1       Evansville, Ind.     42     29     6     3     1     3     5     Tucson, Ariz.     123     95     20     3     3       Fort Wayne, Ind.     21     13     5     3     -     3     8     Berkeley, Calif.     10     6     3     1	1 14
Columbus, Ohio         178         110         47         8         7         6         10         Phoenix, Ariz.         197         140         28         13         5           Dayton, Ohio         120         83         21         10         4         2         8         Phoenix, Ariz.         197         140         28         13         5           Dayton, Ohio         120         83         21         10         4         2         8         Phoenix, Ariz.         197         140         28         13         5           Detroit, Mich.         229         123         58         23         12         13         5         Salt Lake City, Utah         45         27         10         3         1           Evansville, Ind.         42         29         6         3         1         3         5         Tucson, Ariz.         123         95         20         3         3           Fort Wayne, Ind.         79         55         14         7         1         2         7         PACIFIC         1,968         1,364         306         189         47           Gary, Ind.         21         1         5         -	- 4
Detroit, Mich.         229         123         58         23         12         13         5         Salt Lake City, Utah         45         27         10         3         1           Evansville, Ind.         42         29         6         3         1         3         5         Tucson, Ariz.         123         95         20         3         3           Fort Wayne, Ind.         79         55         14         7         1         2         7         PACIFIC         1,968         1,364         306         189         47         1         2         7         PACIFIC         1,068         1,364         306         189         47         1         2         7         PACIFIC         1,068         1,364         306         189         47         1         2         7         PACIFIC         1,068         1,364         306         189         47         1         2         7         PACIFIC         1,068         1,364         306         189         47         1         2         7         PACIFIC         1,068         1,364         306         1         -         3         Berkeley, Calif.         10         6         3         1         <	11 8
Evansville, Ind.         42         29         6         3         1         3         5         Tucson, Ariz.         123         95         20         3         3           Fort Wayne, Ind.         79         55         14         7         1         2         7         PACIFIC         1,968         1,364         306         189         47           Gary, Ind.         21         13         5         3         -         3         Berkeley, Calif.         10         6         3         1	4 2
Fort Wayne, Ind.         79         55         14         7         1         2         7         PACIFIC         1,968         1,364         306         189         47         18         18         18         19         19         19         10 <th10< th=""> <th10< th=""> <th10<< td=""><td>4 2 2 8</td></th10<<></th10<></th10<>	4 2 2 8
Gary, Ind. 21 13 5 3 - 3 Berkeley, Calif. 10 6 3 1 -	-
	54 178 - 2
Grand Rapids, Mich. 96 76 10 2 5 3 19 Frespo Calif 97 66 17 6 2	6 7
Indianapolis, Ind. 147 99 34 8 3 3 5 Glendale, Calif. 20 19 1	- 5
Madison, Wis. 33 24 5 3 - 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 75 46 16 10 3	- 13
Milwaukee, Wis. 161 108 35 8 3 7 7 Long Beach, Calif. 89 53 18 11 1 Peoria, III. 68 49 15 2 1 1 9 Los Angeles Calif. 541 371 91 56 12	6 15
Peoria, III. 68 49 15 2 1 1 9 Los Angeles Calif. 541 371 91 56 12 Rockford, III. 44 28 9 2 4 1 3 Oakland, Calif. 90 62 11 9 2	4 40 5 7
South Bend, Ind. 58 42 11 4 - 1 5 Pasadena, Calif. 29 20 3 2 -	5 / 4 6
Toledo, Ohio 103 78 14 9 1 1 11 Portland, Oreg. 143 112 17 7 2	5 13
Youngstown, Ohio 52 39 8 3 2 - 13 Sacramento, Čalif. 157 114 25 11 1	6 21
W.N. CENTRAL 802 573 132 51 20 26 47 San Diego, Calif. 136 94 20 15 7	- 19
Des Moines, Iowa 75 57 10 4 1 3 3 San Francisco, Calif. 133 82 19 25 4 Duluth. Minn 18 12 5 1 - San Jose, Calif. 195 133 27 22 8	3 2 5 16
	6 5
Kansas City, Kans. 35 25 6 2 1 1 2 Seattle, Wash. 145 104 20 11 4 Kansas City, Mo. 127 79 30 11 4 3 12 Spokane, Wash. 55 44 8 2	1 7
Lincoln, Nebr. 52 39 9 - 3 1 7 Tacoma, Wash. 53 38 10 1 1	3 -
Minneapolis, Minn. 125 97 14 10 3 1 15 TOTAL 13.329 <sup>++</sup> 8.894 2.506 1,192 355 3	71 988
Omaha, Nebr. 85 58 18 7 - 2 2	
St. Louis, Mo. 159 111 23 11 3 11 -	
St. Paul, Minn. 76 56 9 4 4 3 4 Wichita, Kans. 50 39 8 1 1 1 2	

# TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,\* week ending February 24, 1990 (8th Week)

\*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

\*\*Pneumonia and influenza.

Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.

††Total includes unknown ages.

§Data not available. Figures are estimates based on average of past available 4 weeks.

# Dengue – Continued

palatal petechiae, and severe myalgias. Gastrointestinal bleeding was reported in two additional patients.

Reported by: State and territorial health departments. Dengue Br, Div of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

**Editorial Note:** Illness associated with imported dengue cases in 1988 appeared to be more severe than illness reported in 1987, when only one of 18 confirmed cases was reported to have had hemorrhagic manifestations (1). Two of the four persons hospitalized in 1988 were immigrant Asian children, who are part of a high-risk group for dengue hemorrhagic fever. U.S. citizens traveling abroad rarely acquire this severe form of dengue fever even when traveling to high-risk areas (2).

Because Aedes aegypti (the principal mosquito vector of dengue) and Ae. albopictus (another potential mosquito vector of dengue) are present in the continental United States (3), the potential exists for indigenous transmission of dengue in most southeastern and central states. In 1988, Texas was the only state with Ae. aegypti to report a confirmed imported case of dengue; however, imported cases were reported from five states (Hawaii, Illinois, Ohio, Texas, and Virginia) where Ae. albopictus is found. Public health officials and clinicians should consider the diagnosis of dengue in any patient with an acute febrile illness and a history of recent travel to tropical areas. Suspected dengue should be reported and serum samples sent for confirmation to CDC through state and territorial health departments.

# References

- 1. CDC. Imported dengue-United States, 1987. MMWR 1989;38:463-5.
- 2. Halstead SB. Dengue haemorrhagic fever: a public health problem and field for research. Bull WHO 1980;58:1–21.
- 3. CDC. Update: *Aedes albopictus* infestation-United States, Mexico. MMWR 1989;38:440, 445-6.

# **State Tobacco-Use Prevention and Control Plans**

In October 1989, the Association of State and Territorial Health Officials (ASTHO) surveyed health agencies in all 50 states and the District of Columbia to assess activities related to control of tobacco use. The survey focused on the extent to which planning efforts met criteria listed in *Guide to Public Health Practice: State Health Agency Tobacco Prevention and Control Plans (1).*\* Respondents submitted copies of existing plans for tobacco-use prevention and control. This report summarizes the analysis of specific plans to control tobacco-use (free-standing plans) or plans that form a discrete section on tobacco-use–control in a more general health-planning document.

Plans were evaluated in terms of the following components: 1) involvement of a tobacco-and-health coalition or advisory group comprising representatives from both the private and public sectors; 2) inclusion of an analysis of state-specific tobacco-use behavior; 3) presentation of detailed objectives and specific strategies for reducing tobacco use in the state; 4) presence of an outline of a specific workplan identifying individuals and organizations responsible for implementing the plan; 5) description of outcome evaluation measures, including tobacco-use surveillance systems; 6) description of process evaluation measures of program/plan activities (e.g., integrity of

<sup>\*</sup>Copies are available from the National Cancer Institute, 9000 Rockville Pike, Building 31, Room 10A24, Bethesda, MD 20892; or the Technical Information Center, Office on Smoking and Health, Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC, 5600 Fishers Lane, Park Building, Room 1-16, Rockville, MD 20857.

# Tobacco – Continued

programs and models); and 7) presence of state funding for reducing tobacco use (Table 1).

As of December 31, 1989, 12 states (Colorado, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Jersey, North Dakota, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Utah, Vermont, and Virginia) had published plans for tobacco-use prevention and control (Table 1). Minnesota published the first plan in 1984, and five states (Colorado, Michigan, New Jersey, Vermont, and Virginia) published their plans during 1989. Alabama, Connecticut, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, and Rhode Island reported that smoking prevention was included in their general plans for health service. Colorado, North Dakota, and Utah have plans as part of the Rocky Mountain Tobacco-Free Challenge, an eight-state effort to reduce the prevalences of tobacco use and chronic diseases associated with tobacco use (2).

All the state plans addressed the seven critical components of planning as well as high-risk populations, health care, smoking cessation issues, worksite policies, public education activities, and school and adolescent program strategies. Nine of the 12 states with plans funded activities for tobacco-use prevention and cessation. Work-plans to implement listed objectives and process measures were the most frequently omitted critical elements.

Of the nine plans that included state-specific assessment of tobacco-use behavior, six assessed adolescent smoking prevalence, and eight assessed adult smoking prevalence (Table 2). Seven states included an economic analysis, including tax data or other economic issues. Four states included state legislation and policies in their plans, and three included using state/local resources for tobacco-use prevention and control.

# Reported by: KM Marconi, PhD, JW Colborn, MS, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health. Program Svcs Activity, Office on Smoking and Health, Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.

**Editorial Note:** Elements essential to the control of tobacco use include comprehensive planning, evaluation, funding, and community support. The ASTHO survey provides baseline information for measuring progress in these areas during the 1990s. This information will be particularly important in 1993, when the National Cancer Institute and the American Cancer Society will sponsor the American Stop Smoking Intervention Study (ASSIST) (*3*). This multistate effort will provide funding, coordination, training, and evaluation for tobacco-use prevention and control in 20 geographic areas (which could include entire states or large metropolitan areas) through 1998.

One indication of the growth in state-based tobacco-use-control activities is the number of states that reported developing plans to address this problem. Ten additional states (Arkansas, Delaware, Maine, Missouri, New Mexico, Ohio, Rhode Island, Texas, West Virginia, and Wisconsin) are expecting to publish plans.

Tobacco use is a public health problem that may be approached at the state level through community involvement. A conference on the Public Health Practice of Tobacco Prevention and Control on March 8 and 9, 1990, in Houston will address these issues. This conference will provide state-based tobacco-control specialists a forum for information exchange and technical assistance on a wide range of tobacco-control activities. These activities will direct the national efforts toward a smoke-free society by the year 2000. Further information on the conference is available from ASTHO at (703) 556-9222 or CDC at (301) 443-1575.

Tobacco – Continued

State	Free- standing tobacco- control plan	Year published	Involvement of tobacco coalition	Presence of analytical assessment	Specific objectives included	Specific workplan included	Outcome measure described	Process measure described	State funding for tobacco control
Colorado	Y*	1989	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y
Massachusetts	Y	1988	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N
Michigan	Y	1989	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Minnesota	Y	1984	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Nebraska	Y	1985	Y	Ν	Y	N	Y	N	Y
New Jersey	N	1989	Y	Y	Y	Ν	N	Y	Y
North Dakota	Y	1986	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Oregon	N	1988	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
Pennsylvania	Y	1986	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y
Utah	Y	1988	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Vermont	N	1989	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y
Virginia	N	1989	Y	N	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	N
Total	8Y,4N		12Y	9Y,3N	12Y	5Y,7N	9Y,3N	7Y,5N	9Y,3N

# TABLE 1. Analysis of 12 state tobacco plans – Association of State and Territorial Health Officials and CDC survey, 1989

\*Y = yes; N = no.

# Tobacco - Continued

# References

- Association of State and Territorial Health Officials/National Cancer Institute. Guide to public health practice: state health agency tobacco prevention and control plans. McLean, Virginia: Association of State and Territorial Health Officials, 1989.
- CDC. State-based chronic disease control: the Rocky Mountain Tobacco-Free Challenge. MMWR 1989;38:749–52.
- CDC. Trends in lung cancer incidence United States, 1973–1986. MMWR 1989;38:505–6, 511–3.

State	Disease impact estimate*	Adult smoking behavior surveillance	Adolescent smoking behavior surveillance	Economic analysis <sup>†</sup>	Legislation/ policy analysis	State/local resource assessment
Colorado	۲s	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ
Massachusetts	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Michigan	N	Y	N	N	N	Ν
Minnesota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
New Jersey	Y	N	. N	Y	N	N
North Dakota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ŷ	N
Oregon	Y	Y	N	Y	Ň	Ν
Pennsylvania	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Ν
Utah	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N
Total	8Y,1N	8Y,1N	6Y,3N	7Y,2N	4Y,5N	3Y,6N

# TABLE 2. Analysis of nine state-specific tobacco-use behavior assessment plans – Association of State and Territorial Health Officials and CDC survey, 1989

\*Smoking-attributable mortality, morbidity, and economic costs.

<sup>†</sup>Including state/local tax data and economic incentives, such as differential insurance rates for smokers and nonsmokers.

 ${}^{\$}Y = yes; N = no.$ 

# ☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1990-731-103/02058 Region IV

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control Atlanta, GA 30333

FIRST-CLASS MAIL POSTAGE & FEES PAID PHS/CDC Permit No. G-284

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

> Z4 \*HCRU9FISD22 8721 DANIEL B FISHBEIN, MD CID, VRL 7-844 G13