CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL

# MWR

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

September 25, 1987/Vol. 36/No. 37

617 Allegheny County 1986-87 Influenza Vaccination Program — Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

620 Progress Toward Achieving the 1990
Objectives in Occupational Safety and

628 Withdrawal of Approval for Subcutaneous Administration of Norden Rabies Vaccines for Dogs and Cats

## Perspectives in Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

# Allegheny County 1986-87 Influenza Vaccination Program — Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Over the last 10 years, the Allegheny County Health Department has promoted vaccination of all persons considered to be at high risk of complications and death following influenza infection (1). Vaccine coverage for institutionalized high-risk individuals has ranged from a low of 36% for the 1979-80 influenza season to 71% for the 1985-86 season. Until the 1986-87 season, coverage among noninstitutionalized individuals had not been determined.

For the 1986-87 season, the health department set a goal of 60% coverage for all high-risk groups. These groups include the 10,200 persons served by chronic-care facilities and the 210,000 persons who are over 65 years of age but do not require long-term care. The number of high-risk persons below 65 years of age in Allegheny County's general population of 1.4 million is unknown. To accomplish its goal, the health department offered free vaccine and technical support to all physicians, chronic-care facilities, and other medical providers who were willing to administer the vaccine free of charge.

All potential providers of influenza vaccine were sent copies of the recommendations of the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP) on prevention and control of influenza (1). Participants received vaccine requisition forms and a supply of information statements on influenza and influenza vaccine, which describe the benefits and risks of influenza vaccination. These information statements are signed by vaccinees or their guardians to acknowledge their having understood the information and their consent to receive vaccine. The statements also provide a telephone number so vaccinees can report any significant illnesses that develop within 28 days after vaccination. These steps help in monitoring adverse events that occur during the influenza season. All providers, community groups, and clinics using influenza vaccine supplied by the health department were required to report on a regular basis the number of doses administered by their organization.

Influenza - Continued

In July 1986, the health department sent promotional and educational materials to all physicians, hospitals, community health centers, senior citizen facilities, and other organizations dealing with high-risk and older persons. Local media were informed and encouraged to publicize the times and locations of the special health department and community clinics. Program staff kept the operating hours and locations of these clinics current so interested persons could be referred to a convenient clinic. The American Lung Association (ALA) of western Pennsylvania contributed significantly to the campaign by strongly recommending the vaccine to the individuals it serves who belong to high-risk groups and by distributing educational materials and information to professional organizations.

Well before the beginning of the 1986-87 influenza season, health department staff mailed letters to all nursing home directors and chronic-care facility administrators, recommending influenza vaccine for all residents. Consent was handled in several ways: competent patients signed the forms themselves, and legal guardians or their designees signed for patients unable to do so. Influenza vaccine provided to nursing homes was intended for residents only, and individual facilities were responsible for providing vaccine to their staff.

A limited number of doses of pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine was also available. Because of cost constraints, pneumococcal vaccine was not sent to other providers but was administered free to high-risk patients attending health department clinics.

The vaccination program was evaluated at the end of the 1986-87 influenza season. Data revealed that 52,455 (92%) of the 57,140 doses of influenza vaccine available for distribution were administered. Thirty-four percent were given in health department clinics, and 43% were given in hospital outpatient departments, community clinics, and senior citizen facilities. The remainder were administered in chronic-care facilities (16%) and by private providers (7%). A total of 4,624 doses of pneumococcal vaccine were administered in health department clinics.

Of the 10,200 persons residing in nursing homes and chronic-care facilities, 8,529 (84%) received vaccine provided by the health department. Health department staff and the ALA conducted a special survey to evaluate vaccine coverage among persons 65 years of age and above who were not residing in chronic-care facilities. Thirty-two percent of the 533 persons aged 65 and over identified in a random sample of 400 households reported obtaining influenza vaccine during the 1986-87 influenza season. Fifty-seven percent of those vaccinated identified private physicians as their source of medical care and vaccine administration.

Reported by: EJ Streiff, RN, MPH, J Prior, MPH, Allegheny County Health Dept, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; R David, Acting State Epidemiologist, Pennsylvania State Dept of Health. Div of Immunization, Center for Prevention Svcs; Influenza Br, Div of Viral Diseases, Respiratory Diseases Br, Div of Bacterial Diseases, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note: The 10-year effort to provide a high rate of influenza vaccine coverage to high-risk groups in Allegheny County illustrates several important points:

While influenza vaccination programs should begin in November, promotional
efforts should be initiated well before the influenza season. Motivational and
programmatic materials should be mailed early in the summer to allow ample
time for coordination. To anticipate resource needs, public health agencies
should estimate the size of the target population well in advance.

#### Influenza - Continued

- Program organizers should collaborate with volunteer organizations whose strong endorsements can influence both medical professionals and the public. This measure is especially important for reaching chronically ill persons under age 65, a large percentage of whom may be accessible only through private providers.
- After the campaign, the effectiveness of resource usage and vaccine coverage among specific risk groups should be evaluated.

Influenza vaccination is the single most important measure in preventing influenza infection. Consequently, the ACIP recommends influenza vaccine for all high-risk persons 6 months of age or older and for their medical-care providers or household contacts, for children and teenagers receiving long-term aspirin therapy, and for other persons wishing to reduce their chances of acquiring influenza (1). The ACIP recommends that infection-control programs in chronic-care facilities set a goal of 80% vaccination rates for the residents.

In Allegheny County, the flexibility in providing consent for vaccination of residents of chronic-care facilities probably helped to exceed the 80% goal recommended by the ACIP. However, a household survey revealed that the vaccine coverage rate achieved outside chronic-care facilities was much lower than desired. This problem should be addressed by developing systematic approaches to influenza vaccination in physician's offices, clinics, and hospitals. These approaches should include vaccination not only for high-risk patients but also for medical personnel who have the potential to introduce influenza virus into high-risk hospital settings.

November is the optimal time for organized vaccination campaigns in chronic-care facilities, worksites, and other places where high-risk persons are routinely accessible. In addition, high-risk adults and children who do not reside in chronic-care facilities should be vaccinated during regular medical follow-ups in the fall, and those not scheduled for visits should be notified to come in for vaccination. When hospitalized high-risk adults and children are discharged between September and the time influenza activity declines in their community, physicians should provide vaccine at the time of discharge (1).

Since there is considerable overlap between the groups targeted to receive influenza vaccine and pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine, public health authorities should consider offering both vaccines during an influenza campaign. Pneumococcal vaccine and influenza vaccine can be given at the same time at different sites without increased risk of side effects (1).

Because of cost considerations, Allegheny County was forced to restrict pneumo-coccal vaccination to high-risk patients attending health department clinics. However, since a single dose of pneumococcal vaccine confers lasting immunity for adults (2), even modest programs such as this should produce high vaccine coverage levels over time. Whenever resources permit, a candidate for influenza vaccine should be viewed as a candidate for pneumococcal vaccine, unless previously vaccinated (1). The cost of pneumococcal vaccine is reimbursable for eligible beneficiaries through Medicare. Part B.

#### References

- Immunization Practices Advisory Committee. Prevention and control of influenza. MMWR 1987;36:373-80,385-7.
- Immunization Practices Advisory Committee. Update: pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine usage – United States. MMWR 1984;33:273-6, 281.

## Perspectives in Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

# Progress Toward Achieving the 1990 Objectives in Occupational Safety and Health

In 1980, the Public Health Service published *Promoting Health/Preventing Disease: Objectives for the Nation* (1), which identified public health objectives in 15 areas and targeted them for accomplishment by or before the year 1990. Occupational safety and health was one of these 15 areas, and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), CDC, was given the responsibility of monitoring efforts to accomplish these objectives. On February 4, 1987, a panel of experts from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and the U.S. Department of Labor met to report on progress toward these goals.


In all, 20 objectives had been identified in occupational safety and health (see page 627). The panel grouped them under the broad, general categories of improving health status, reducing risk factors, increasing public and professional awareness, and improving services and protection as well as surveillance and evaluation. The major obstacle to measuring progress in occupational safety and health has been the lack of comprehensive and reliable methods for surveillance of work-related diseases and injuries. Currently available surveillance systems were designed for different purposes, and none of them adequately covers occupational safety and health. As a result, many gaps exist in the information received, and a regular comparison of disease trends, which would be essential for tracking the objectives, has not been possible.

Despite these limitations, there is enough information to indicate progress. Some reasonable tracking systems are now available for evaluating progress toward 16 of the 20 objectives. Four of these relate directly to improved health status or to a reduction in the incidence of disease or injury. For the latter, available data indicate reductions in two categories of work-related injuries: accidental deaths occurring in the workplace (Figure 1) and work-related disabling injuries (Figure 2).

Although documenting the precise status of the remaining objectives would be extremely difficult and costly, each participating agency described activities that indicate progress in the general categories defined by the panel. The ten strategies proposed by NIOSH for preventing the leading work-related diseases and injuries have been a major contribution. These strategies, which were developed over the past 2 years, were based on a list of ten leading work-related diseases and injuries that NIOSH first published in 1983 (2). A group of multidisciplinary experts reviewed the strategies at national symposia in 1985 and 1986, and the first five have been published (3). The second five are being prepared for publication.

NIOSH has now developed a program to implement these strategies and to encourage active participation by all relevant constituencies. All ten strategies call for epidemiologic surveillance of the target condition, and nine of the ten also call for environmental surveillance of the causative agents. The Sentinel Event Notification System for Occupational Risks (SENSOR) is being developed to assure the reporting of all significant occupational health problems. By 1986, NIOSH had acquired death certificates for all occupationally related deaths occurring during the period 1980-1984 and had begun preliminary analysis to provide occupation- and job-specific


FIGURE 1. Accidental deaths in the workplace,\* by year - United States, 1978-1985



<sup>\*</sup>Deaths in firms with 11 or more employees.

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

FIGURE 2. Incidence rate of work-related disabling injuries, by year — United States, 1978-1985



data. NIOSH, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and the National Center for Health Statistics signed a memorandum of understanding early in 1987 to assure broader and more consistent cooperation in the surveillance of occupational safety and health problems

Although the proposed prevention strategies embody concepts found in the 1990 Objectives for the Nation, they also include diseases and conditions not addressed in the objectives and add process objectives specifically aimed at implementing the strategies. Thus, implementing the strategies will mean not only meeting the objectives but also taking an additional step toward preventing the ten leading work-related diseases and injuries in the United States.

Reported by: Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Public Health Svcs, DHHS. Office of Program Planning and Evaluation, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, CDC.

(Continued on page 627)

TABLE I. Summary - cases specified notifiable diseases, United States

	371	th Week End	ing	Cumulative, 37th Week Ending					
Disease	Sept. 19, 1987	Sept. 13, 1986	Median 1982-1986	Sept. 19, 1987	Sept. 13, 1986	Median 1982-1986			
Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS)	301	274	N	13,115	8,785	N			
Aseptic meningitis Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne	445	413	413	7,692	6,618	6,020			
& unspec)	39	39	47	876	767	815			
Post-infectious	1	3	2	82	83	83			
Gonorrhea: Civilian	13,048	16,441	17,807	550,332	617,972	622,293			
Military	201	281	531	11,757	11,704	15,244			
Hepatitis: Type A	444	430	430	17,285	15,575	15,477			
Type B	425	385	430	18,174	18,319	17,963			
Non A, Non B	38	43	N	2,150	2,553	. N			
Unspecified	94	72	101	2,255	3,195	4,015			
Legionellosis	13	37	Ŋ	612	512	, N			
Leprosy	8	4 17	4 21	143 630	189 757	177 732			
Malaria Measles: Total*	19 52	65	9	3,313	5,419	2,315			
Indigenous	46	65 52 13	Ň	2,913	5,133	2,315 N			
Imported	6	13	Ň	400	280	Ň			
Meningococcal infections: Total	20	29	29	2.140	1,867	2.045			
Civilian	20	29	29	2,139	1,865	2,030			
Military	-			1	.,2	-,006			
Mumps	52	37	35	10,253	3,514	2,443			
Pertussis	46	93	86	1,741	2,239	1,668			
Rubella (German measles)	11	5	5	300	431	552			
Syphilis (Primary & Secondary): Civilian	614	545	545	24,819	18,360	19,619			
Military	1	1	4	106	123	231			
Toxic Shock syndrome	7	3	Ņ	231	253	N			
Tuberculosis	416	426	468	14,849	15,334	15,334			
Tularemia	3	5	.5	144	106	177			
Typhoid Fever	12	.9	11	221	213	253			
Typhus fever, tick-borne (RMSF)	30 89	23 107	27	512	579	681			
Rabies, animal	1 89	107	119	3,384	4,007	4,007			

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

	Cum. 1987		Cum. 1987
Anthrax Botulism: Foodborne Infant Other  Brucellosis (Mo. 1) Cholera Congenital rubella syndrome Congenital syphilis, ages < 1 year Diphtheria	1 9 40 - 81 4 5 -	Leptospirosis Plague Poliomyelitis, Paralytic Psittacosis Rabies, human Tetanus (Hawaii 1) Trichinosis Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine)	16 7 - 63 - 30 31 23

Frive of the 52 reported cases for this week were imported from a foreign country or can be directly traceable to a known internationally imported case within two generations.

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending September 19, 1987 and September 13, 1986 (37th Week)

		Aseptic	Encep	halitis			Н	epatitis	(Viral), b	y type		
Reporting Area	AIDS	Menin- gitis	Primary	Post-in- fectious	(Civ	orrhea ilian)	Α	В	NA,NB	Unspeci- fied	Legionel- losis	Leprosy
	Cum. 1987	1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1986	1987	1987	1987	1987	1987	Cum. 1987
UNITED STATES	13,115	445	876	82	550,332	617,972	444	425	38	94	13	143
NEW ENGLAND	528	22	34	2	16,824	15,077	5	31	-	2	2	12
Maine	16	3	2	-	501	640	-	2	-	-	-	-
N.H. Vt.	13 6	2 6	2 5	•	288	398	-	:	-	-	:	2
Mass.	330	6	15	1	150 6,111	184 6,320	4	1 15	-	2	1	9
R.I.	46	3	3	i	1,505	1,203	1	3	-	-	:	-
Conn.	117	2	7	-	8,269	6,332	-	10	-	-		1
MID. ATLANTIC	3,885	103	107	7	87,106	102,673	26	48	4	8	3	11
Upstate N.Y.	473	39	42	3	11,838	12,309	10	10	1	-	3	•
N.Y. City	2,364	10	7	•	45,379	58,673	7	19	-	8	-	11
N.J. Pa.	667 381	16 38	7 51	4	11,480 18,409	13,691 18,000	4 5	9 10	3	-	-	-
		-								-	-	•
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	879 176	98 70	262 114	12 5	82,440 18,275	85,366 20,168	15	23	3	-	2	7
Ind.	79	70	37	-	6,390	8,971	7	9	-	-	2	2
III.	410	-	25	7	25,348	21,796	1	1	2	-		1
Mich.	146	28	60	-	25,667	25,556	7	13	1	-	-	3
Wis.	68	-	26	-	6,760	8,875	-	-	-	-	-	1
W.N. CENTRAL	279	10	48	-	22,510	26,619	26	10	2	-	1	-
Minn.	75	1	28	-	3,452	3,809	-	-	-	-	-	
lowa	19	2	8	-	2,145	2,711		_	-	•	-	-
Mo. N. Dak.	135 1	5		•	11,830 197	13,365 238	14	5	1	-	-	-
S. Dak.	ż	1			417	557			-	-		-
Nebr.	16	1	10	-	1,412	2,076	-	4	-	-	1	
Kans.	31	-	2	•	3,057	3,863	12	1	1	-	-	-
S. ATLANTIC	2,127	103	115	27	144,183	160,612	44	120	9	29	3	5
Del.	15		4	1	2,397	2,614	-	1	-	-	-	
Md.	243	22	16	5	16,292	18,799	5	19	-	3	-	2
D.C. Va.	251 155	1 14	27	2	9,552 10,576	11,947	1 4	.2	-	-	-	-
W. Va.	16	2	34	-	1,068	13,034 1,611	2	18 1	2	23	-	•
N.C.	117	25	19	-	20,973	24,982	4	13	1	1	-	-
s.c.	53	3	-	-	11,855	13,915	1	13	1	-	-	1
Ga. Fla.	312	3	1	-	25,770	27,037	6	18	:	- :	1	:
	965	33	14	19	45,700	46,673	21	35	5	2	2	2
E.S. CENTRAL	156	25	48	7	41,556	49,960	12	33	2	1	1	-
Ky. Tenn.	25	17	22	1	4,218	5,465	7	4	-	1	-	-
Ala.	25 86	1 7	10 16	1	14,528 13,221	19,345 14,271	2 3	10 19	1	-	1	-
Miss.	20	<i>'</i> -		5	9,589	10,879		13		-	1	
W.S. CENTRAL	1,224	39	105	4								
Ark.	26	39 1	105	2	62,456 7,131	72,995 6,856	54	53 1	6	20	•	4
La.	164	3	20	•	11,075	13,058	8	11	1	3	-	-
Okla.	73	-	18	1	6,928	8,301	ž	7	-	-	-	-
Tex.	961	35	67	1	37,322	44,780	39	34	5	17	-	4
MOUNTAIN	339	17	34	4	14,399	18,258	73	38	5	12	-	2
Mont.	2	7	1	-	403	505	2	1		1	-	-
Idaho Wyo.	4		:	-	524	584	13	1	-	-	-	1
Colo.	3 147	2	1 10	•	317 3.031	406	7	2 7	•	:	-	•
N. Mex.	27	2	4		1,594	4,768 1,812	10	10	•	4 2	-	•
Ariz.	100	5	14	1	4,960	5,968	31	11	3	3	-	
Utah	20	-		3	453	788	8	1	2	2	-	-
Nev.	36	1	4	-	3,117	3,427	2	5	-	-	-	1
PACIFIC	3,698	28	123	19	78,858	86,412	189	69	7	22	1	102
Wash.	160	•	10	4	6,003	6,654	31	6	-	1		4
Oreg. Calif.	100 3.364	24	100	•	2,917	3,610	450		-	-	•	-
Alaska	3,364 12	24 2	108 2	15	68,077	73,242	150	63	7	21	1	78
Hawaii	62	2	3		1,253 608	1,961 945	8	-	-	-	-	1
Guam		-	•				-	•	-	•	•	19
P.R.	84	-	1	1	151 1,469	135 1,692	-	:	-	•	•	-
V.I.	-			- '	1,469	201	-	1	-		•	5
Pac. Trust Terr.	-	-	-	-	287	334	-	- :	:	-		44
Amer. Samoa		_		_	59	31	_					

N: Not notifiable

U: Unavailable

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending September 19, 1987 and September 13, 1986 (37th Week)

	T			les (Rui			Menin-						r		
Reporting Area	Malaria	Indig	Impo		Total	gococcal Infections	Mumps			Pertuss	is	Rubella			
	Cum. 1987	1987	Cum. 1987	1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1986	Cum. 1987	1987	Cum. 1987	1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1986	1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1986
UNITED STATES	630	46	2,913	6	400	5,419	2,140	52	10253	46	1,741	2,239	11	300	431
NEW ENGLAND	40	9	114	6	156	95	183	5	41	6	115	122	-	1	9
Maine N.H.	2	9	3 61	1 §	102	13 42	10 17	-	9	-	26 27	2 61	-	1	1
Vt. Mass.	15	-	11 22	5 t	15	-	14	-	3	-	4	3	-	-	1
R.I.	7	-	1	-	32 1	35 2	90 14	5	13 2	6	43 1	28 5	-	-	4 2
Conn.	16	•	16	-	6	3	38	-	14	•	14	23	-	-	1
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y.	73 27	1	520 26	-	57 14	1,690 98	264 90	6	184 84	3 1	206 120	151 98	-	11 9	31 23
N.Y. City N.J.	5 18	1	441	-	19	663	20	-	10	-	4	3	-	1	5
Pa.	23		32 21	-	7 17	905 24	49 105	5 1	47 43	1 1	11 71	14 36	-	1	3
E.N. CENTRAL	42	4	292	-	24	1,041	316	6	5,965	_	183	301	_	34	69
Ohio Ind.	12 4		1	•	4	10 25	105 34	-	84 915	-	55 13	117	-	-	1
III.	7	3	125	-	18	657	78	4	2,485	-	14	24 36	-	25	59
Mich. Wis.	15 4	1	29 137	:	2	58 286	81 18	2	878 1,603	-	41 60	27 97	-	9	8 1
W.N. CENTRAL	19		208		22	339	92	16	1.339	1	95	226	-	1	11
Minn. lowa	7	•	19	-	20	49	27	15	774	-	13	43	-	-	-
Mo.	4	-	188	•	1	134 31	3 26	1 -	398 22	1	32 24	18 18	-	1	1
N. Dak. S. Dak.	-	•	1	•	-	25	1 2	-	6 89	-	9	5	-	-	1
Nebr.	3	-	-		-	1	5	-	3	-	3 1	14 7	-		-
Kans.	1	•	-	•	1	99	28	-	47	-	13	121	-	-	8
S. ATLANTIC Del.	108 1	-	118 32	:	12	637 1	348 5	2	237	4	258 5	663 227	-	14 2	5
Md.	24	•	3	-	2	35	32	-	23	•	11	159	-	2	-
D.C. Va.	15 21	:	1	:	1	2 60	7 58	-	1 69	-	47	33	-	1	-
W. Va. N.C.	2 9	-	2	-	3	2	2	1	32	-	46	23	-	-	-
S.C.	4	-	2	-	-	301	46 34	1	17 13	2	105	58 13	-	1	-
Ga. Fla.	4 28	-	- 78		1 5	93 139	68 96	-	40 42	2	23 21	111 39	-	1	
E.S. CENTRAL	12	-	2	-	3	67	105	2	1,226	1	33	45	-	7 3	5 4
Ky. Tenn.	1	-	-	-		6	20	-	212	-	1	5	-	2	4
Ala.	1 5	-	-	-	3	56 2	41 36	1	954 60	1	9 18	17 23	-	1	-
Miss.	5	-	2	•	-	3	8	N	N	-	5	-	-	-	-
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	42 1	-	405	-	4	641 283	150 19	5	732 281	6	222	174	-	11	57
La.	-	-	:	-	-	4	17	2	219	2	10 42	12 13	-	2	-
Okla. Tex.	4 37		2 403	-	1	39 315	19 95	N 3	N 232	4	119 51	95 54	-	5 4	-
MOUNTAIN	29	-	476	-	19	324	72	4	196	10	149	216	-		57 23
Mont. Idaho	2	-	127	-	1	8	4	2	6	-	6	13	-	24 8	23
Wyo.	1	-	-	-	2	1 -	5	-	5	-	39 5	33 4	-	1 1	1
Colo. N. Mex.	7 2	-	5 312	-	4 9	7 37	21 5	N	28	4	53	59	-		i
Ariz.	14	-	30	•	1	258	24	2	N 145	-	9 29	20 50	-	4	2
Utah Nev.	1 2	:	2		1	12 1	9 4	-	9	6	8	33	-	10	14
PACIFIC	265	32	778	-	103	585	610	6	333	15	480	4 341		201	3
Wash. Oreg.	17 5	-	34 2	-	7 75	156 9	70	-	45	2	69	102	11	201 2	222 14
Calif.	239	32	742	-	/5 17	392	26 501	N 6	N 267	6	56 167	10 219	5	2 126	1 202
Alaska Hawaii	3 1	-	-	. :	4	28	4 9	-	7 14	7	10	2	-	2	-
Guam	•		2	_	-	5	4	-	5	,	178	8	6	69	5
P.R. V.I.	1		745	-	-	33	5	-	9	-	16	13		1 2	3 60
Pac. Trust Terr.	-	:	1	-	-	:	1	:	12 5		1	-	-	-	-
Amer. Samoa	-	-	-	•	•	2	•	-	3	-		-	-	1	2

<sup>\*</sup>For measles only, imported cases includes both out-of-state and international importations.

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable <sup>†</sup>International <sup>§</sup>Out-of-state

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending September 19, 1987 and September 16, 1986 (37th Week)

Reporting Area	Syphili (Primary 8	s (Civilian) & Secondary)	Toxic- shock Syndrome	Tuber	culosis	Tula- remia	Typhoid Fever	Typhus Fever (Tick-borne) (RMSF)	Rabies, Animal	
	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1986	1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1986	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987	
UNITED STATES	24,819	18,360	7	14,849	15,334	144	221	512	3,384	
NEW ENGLAND	436	335	-	451	496	1	24	7	6	
Maine	1	15	-	22	33	-	1	-	2	
N.H. Vt.	3 2	10 8	-	16 9	21 14	-	1	-	-	
Mass.	202	182	-	251	256	1	12	4		
R.I. Conn.	8 220	18 102	-	35 118	40 132	-	3 7	3	1	
MID. ATLANTIC	4,641	2,631	-	2,553	3,095	_	21	17	292	
Upstate N.Y.	159	134	-	367	458	-	8	7	45	
N.Y. City N.J.	3,405 482	1,481 484		1,223 479	1,591 535	-	1	5 1	-	
Pa.	595	532	-	484	511	:	12	4	13 234	
E.N. CENTRAL	652	690	2	1,727	1,831	3	26	48	128	
Ohio Ind.	77 45	95 86	-	324	326 195	1	7	34	10	
III.	350	351	-	153 763	792	-	4 8	6	14 36	
Mich.	130	125	2	411	429	-	4	5	26	
Wis.	50	33	-	76	89	2	3	3	42	
W.N. CENTRAL Minn.	138 14	157 27	2	445	457	50	9	49	741	
lowa	20	6	-	91 31	110 38	4	4 2	i	179 212	
Mo.	67	82	1	243	229	31	3	18	44	
N. Dak. S. Dak.	10	5 4	-	5 22	7 19	1	-	-	89	
Nebr.	7	12	-	18	19	8 2	-	1 3	166 16	
Kans.	20	21	1	35	46	4		26	35	
S. ATLANTIC	8,493	5,553	2	3,212	2,945	5	22	191	925	
Del.	58	39	-	31	33	1		2		
Md. D.C.	448 251	307 212	1	297 107	230 101	-	3 1	42	301 35	
Va.	216	263	-	315	243	2	4	17	262	
W. Va.	6 478	18		77	88	-	1	6	47	
N.C. S.C.	478 548	359 469	1	351 337	391 390	2	2	63 33	13 43	
Ga.	1,190	1,072	-	559	453	-	-	26	152	
Fla.	5,298	2,814	•	1,138	1,016	-	11	2	72	
E.S. CENTRAL Ky.	1,384 13	1,234	-	1,231	1,362	6	2	80	231	
Tenn.	544	56 451	-	296 302	321 398	1 1	1 1	9 50	113 57	
Ala.	356	397	-	377	428	i	-	17	61	
Miss.	471	330	-	256	215	3	-	4	-	
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	3,032 199	3,685 174	•	1,738	1,940	53	13	106	466	
La.	553	624	-	206 188	261 320	22 3	1	11	94 12	
Okla.	105	98	-	165	185	25	3	83	28	
Tex.	2,175	2,789	-	1,179	1,174	3	9	12	332	
MOUNTAIN Mont.	477 8	422 6	-	345 10	367	15	12	12	290	
Idaho	5	10	•	17	17 17	2 1	-	10	131 6	
Wyo. Colo.	2 78	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	62	
N. Mex.	78 40	103 51	-	40 70	42 69	4 1	9	-	6 2	
Ariz.	231	169	-	172	172	3	3	-	63	
Utah Nev.	21 92	12 70	-	16 20	28 22	2 2	-	1	7	
PACIFIC	5.566		•					-	13	
Wash.	5,566 77	3,653 114	1	3,147 185	2,841 134	11 4	92 8	2	305	
Oreg.	204	77	-	80	97	4	1	-	-	
Calif. Alaska	5,272 3	3,437	1	2,697	2,441	2	77	2	302	
Hawaii	10	25	-	50 135	37 132	1	6	-	3	
Guam	2	1	=	25	34			-	_	
P.R. V.I.	661 4	629 1	-	215	240	-	-	-	48	
Pac. Trust Terr.	126	1 200	-	2 122	1 52		16	-	-	
Amer. Samoa	2			122	5	•	10	-	-	

## TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,\* week ending September 19, 1987 (37th Week)

New ENGLAND   607   422   107   47   16   15   52   52   52   52   52   52   53   53	All Courses Bry Arm (Verra)							Τ .	1	All Causes, By Age (Years)						
NEW ENGLAND  607  1822  183  183  184  185  186  187  187  187  187  188  188  189  189	Panarting Area	AU T T T T				1	Reporting Area	All	1							
Boston, Mass. 183 110 42 19 7 5 24 Allaria, Ga. 182 79 50 37 7 9 3 Bidigeport, Conn. 45 34 7 4 4 2 Baltimore, Md. 226 131 50 30 7 9 9 6 5 Earlidge, Mass. 27 14 1 1 2 2 Charbridge, Mass. 27 16 1 1 2 2 Charbridge, Mass. 27 1 1 1 2 2 Charbridge, Mass. 28 23 4 1 3 Savannah, Ga. 40 31 7 1 1 1 1 Nortok, Va. 1 1 1 1 1 Nortok, Va. 1 1 1 1 1 Nortok, Va. 1 1 1 1 1 1 Nortok, Va. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Reporting Area		≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	rioporting Arou		≥65	45-84	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
Bridgeport, Conn. 45 934 7 4 2 Baltimore, Md. 226 131 50 30 9 6 6 5 Cambridge, Mass. 32 26 4 2 2 Jacksonville, Fla. 92 63 17 8 2 2 4 Fall River, Mass. 17 14 1 1 2 2 Jacksonville, Fla. 17 2 2 4 Marni, Fla. 18 Marni, Fla. 19																38
Cambridge, Mess. 17 14 1 2 2   Charlotte, N.C. 101 58 27 14 1 1 2   1 2   1 2   1 2   1 3   1 3   1 4 1 2   1 3   2 1   2   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3				42		7										3
Fall River, Mass. 17 14 1 2   Jacksonville, Fls. 92 63 17 8 1 2 2 4 4   Lawrith Mass. 19 13 2 - 1   Jacksonville, Fls. 92 63 17 8 1 2 2 2 4   Lawrith Mass. 19 13 2 - 1   Jacksonville, Fls. 174 97 43 11 4 2 2   Jacksonville, Mass. 19 13 2 - 1   Jacksonville, Mass. 19 13 2 - 1   Jacksonville, Fls. 174 97 43 11 4 2 2 -   Jacksonville, Mass. 19 13 3 - 1 - 2 1   Norfolk, Va. 12 2 3 4 10 4 2 2 -   Jacksonville, Mass. 19 13 3 - 1 - 2 1   Norfolk, Va. 12 2 3 4 10 4 2 2 2 -   Jacksonville, Mass. 19 13 3 - 2 4 5   Samenah, Ga. 74 14 7 7 1 1 - 1 1   Jacksonville, Mass. 19 13 3 - 2 4 5   Jacksonville, Mass. 19 14 2 1 1   Mashington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 Mathington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 Mathington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 Mathington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 Mathington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 Mathington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 Mathington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 Mathington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 4 Mathington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 4 Mathington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 4 Mathington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 4 Mathington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 4 Mathington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 14 14 8 4 4 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14						-										2
Löwell, Mass. 19 15 3 - 1 - 1 Norfolk, Wa. 52 24 10 4 2 2 1 - 1 Norfolk, Wa. 52 34 10 4 2 2 1 - 1 Norfolk, Wa. 52 34 10 4 2 2 1 - 1 Norfolk, Wa. 52 34 10 4 2 2 1 - 1 Norfolk, Wa. 52 34 10 4 2 2 1 - 1 Norfolk, Wa. 54 10 10 1 1 Norfolk, Wa. 54 10 Norfolk, Wa.	Fall River, Mass.	17	14		2	-		-							2	4
Lynn, Mass. 19 15 3 - 1 - 1   Richmond, Va. 78 41 27 6 3 1 6					4			2								
Naw Bedford, Mass. 28	Lowell, Mass.				:			1								
New Haven, Conn. 47 25 9 10 - 3 2 2 Si. Petersburg, Fla. 84 70 8 4 - 2 2 2 Somerville, Mass. 10 8 2 1 1 Washington, D.C. 172 95 41 14 18 8 4 Waterbury, Conn. 37 28 8 4 2 1 1 4 Waterbury, Conn. 37 28 8 2 4 1 Waterbury, Conn. 38 28 8 2 4 1 Waterbury, Conn. 48 2 1 1 - 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2							-									
Somerville, Mass.   10					10	-				84		8	4		2	2
Springfield, Mass.   50   35   8   4   2   1   4   Wilmington, Del.   33   23   7   3   7   3   3   7   4   3   3   4   4   9   1   1   2   4   ES. CENTRAL   714   437   7   3   5   7   2   3   3   4   4   3   5   1   3   3   5   1   3   3   4   4   3   5   1   3   3   4   4   3   5   1   3   4   4   5   1   1   3   5   7   2   4   4   3   5   1   3   4   4   5   1   1   3   5   7   2   4   4   3   5   7   3   4   4   3   5   7   3   4   4   3   5   7   5   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   5   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   5   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   5   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   5   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   7   5   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   7   5   1   1   1   3   5   7   7   5   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1					•	2										
Waterbury, Conn. 37 29 5 2 - 1 4 Winningson. 50. 37 14 437 171 55 27 24 38 Winningson. 50. 37 14 437 171 55 27 24 38 MID. ATLANTIC 2,505 1,818 523 229 61 74 123 Birmingham, Ala. 94 58 24 4 3 5 - 1 2 Midbary, NY. 46 82 8 1 - 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						,									-	
Worcester, Mass. 54									I -			-	_			
MID. ATLANTIC 2,505 1,618 523 229 61 74 123 Chattañooga, Tenn. 55 32 13 8 2 - 2 Albarn, N.Y. 46 32 8 2 - 4 1 Knoxyville, Tenn. 58 38 13 3 1 3 6 Allentown, Pa. 21 16 4 1 2 Louisville, Ky. 73 49 15 5 2 2 5 5 Muffalo, N.Y. 97 69 19 2 3 4 9 Memphis, Tenn. 169 109 27 17 5 11 13 Camden, N.J. 17 14 3 2 Mobile, Ala. 75 50 20 3 2 - 2 Elizabeth, N.J. 17 14 3 Montgomery, Ala. 45 29 10 4 2 - 1 1 Series, Parker, N.J. 17 14 3 Montgomery, Ala. 45 29 10 4 2 - 1 1 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 10 4 2 - 1 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 10 4 2 - 1 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 10 4 2 - 1 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 10 4 2 - 1 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 11 10 3 8 National Parker, N.J. 17 18 18 2 2 4 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 10 4 2 - 1 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 31 7 8 2 2 4 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 31 7 8 2 2 4 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 31 7 8 2 2 4 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 31 7 8 2 2 4 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 31 7 8 2 2 4 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 3 2 3 7 6 8 3 3 1 4 1 Blas, Texn. 52 23 17 8 8 2 2 4 Nashville, Tenn. 145 29 3 3 3 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Worcester, Mass.	54	44	9	-	1	•	2								
Albarny, N.Y.  48 32 8 2 - 4 1 Knoxville, Tenn. 58 38 13 3 1 3 6 8 1 1 3 6 1 3 6 8 1 1 3 3 1 3 6 8 1 1 3 3 1 3 6 8 1 1 3 6 1 1 3 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	MID. ATLANTIC	2,505		523	229	61		123							-	
Buffelo, N.Y. 97 69 19 2 3 4 9 Memphis, Tann, 169 109 27 17 5 11 13 Camden, N.J. 42 27 111 3 - 1 2 Mobile, Ale. 75 50 27 37 27 17 5 11 13 Camden, N.J. 42 27 111 3 - 1 2 Mobile, Ale. 75 50 27 37 2 2 11 3 5 3 2 2 - 1 Mobile, Ale. 75 50 27 37 2 2 1 13 5 3 2 2 - 1 Mobile, Ale. 75 50 27 37 2 2 1 13 5 3 2 2 - 1 Mobile, Ale. 75 50 27 37 2 2 1 13 5 3 2 2 - 1 Mobile, Ale. 75 50 27 3 2 2 - 1 3 Jarsey City, N.J. 57 23 17 6 3 8 2 2 Mostgomery, Ala. 45 29 10 4 2 2 - 1 1 3 Jarsey City, N.Y. 1, 354 843 283 159 32 37 60 Awstraft, N.Y. City, N.Y. 1, 354 843 283 159 32 37 60 Awstraft, N.Y. City, N.Y. 1, 33 19 5 6 2 1 3 Baton Rouge, La. 38 28 5 4 1 - 1 Philadelphia, Pa. 293 209 61 16 3 4 16 Corpus Christi, Tex. 47 27 12 2 3 3 3 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						-			Knoxville, Tenn.	58	38	13	3	1		6
Camden, N.J. 42 27 11 3 - 1 2 Miobile, Alas. 75 50 20 3 2 - 2 1 Elizabeth, N.J. 17 14 3 Montgomery, Ala. 45 29 10 4 2 1 Elizabeth, N.J. 17 14 3 2 Montgomery, Ala. 45 29 10 4 2 1 Elizabeth, N.J. 48 20 12 9 3 4 3 N.Y. City, N.Y. 1, 1354 843 283 159 32 37 60 N.S. CENTRAL 1,290 781 272 131 53 52 44 N.Y. City, N.Y. 1, 1354 843 283 159 32 37 60 W.S. CENTRAL 1,290 781 272 131 53 52 44 Paterson, N.J. 48 20 12 9 3 4 3 Mastin, Tex. 52 23 17 8 2 2 4 Paterson, N.J. 33 19 5 6 2 1 3 Baton Rouge, La. 38 28 5 4 1 1 Paterson, N.J. 48 20 12 9 3 4 3 Mastin, Tex. 52 23 17 8 2 2 4 Paterson, N.J. 33 19 5 6 2 1 3 Baton Rouge, La. 38 28 5 4 1 1 Paterson, N.J. 48 20 12 9 6 1 1 3 Fort Worth, Tex. 47 27 12 2 3 3 3 - 1 Corpus Christi, Tex. 47 27 12 2 3 3 3 5 5 4 Rochester, N.Y. 121 83 22 9 6 1 1 3 Fort Worth, Tex. 48 26 11 3 3 5 5 4 Rochester, N.Y. 21 83 22 9 6 1 1 3 Fort Worth, Tex. 48 26 11 3 3 5 5 4 Rochester, N.Y. 25 19 6 2 Houston, Tex.5 308 176 74 34 13 11 7 Scranton, Pa.† 28 16 9 2 - 1 1 Little Rock, Ark. 71 44 14 4 3 3 6 6 - Syracuse, N.Y. 98 61 21 7 6 3 3 Rown Orleans, La. 125 74 28 13 4 6 - 1 Trenton, N.J. 55 39 12 2 1 1 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 158 98 34 15 3 8 1 1 2 2 Yonkers, N.Y. 25 21 4 7 5 Titles, Okia. 74 50 14 8 - 2 5 Titles, Okia. 74 50 14 8 - 2 5 Titles, Okia. 74 50 14 8 - 2 5 Titles, Okia. 74 50 14 8 - 2 5 Titles, Okia. 74 50 14 8 - 2 5 Titles, Okia. 74 50 14 8 - 2 5 Titles, Okia. 74 50 14 8 - 2 5 Titles, Okia. 74 50 14 8 - 2 5 Titles, Okia. 74 50 14 8 - 2 5 Titles, Okia. 74 50 14 8 - 2 5 Titles, Okia. 74 50 14 8 - 2 5 Titles, Okia. 74 50 14 8 Title						3										
Elizabeth, N.J. 17						-								5	11	13
Erie, Pa.† 33 23 8 - 1 1 1 Nashville, Tenn. 145 72 49 11 10 3 8 8 2 N.Y. City, N.Y. 1,354 843 283 159 32 37 60 N.Y. City, N.Y. 1,354 843 283 159 32 37 60 N.Y. City, N.Y. 1,354 843 283 159 32 37 60 N.Y. City, N.Y. 1,354 843 283 159 32 37 60 N.Y. City, N.Y. 1,354 843 283 159 32 37 60 N.Y. City, N.Y. 1,354 843 283 159 32 37 60 N.Y. 1,354 84 20 112 9 3 4 3 Austin, Tex. 47 22 12 2 3 17 8 2 2 44 Newark, N.J. 48 20 112 9 3 4 3 Baton Rouge, La. 38 22 31 77 8 2 2 44 Newark, N.J. 48 20 11 3 1 4 2 Dallas, Tex. 216 121 38 33 15 9 3 2 17 Pittsburgh, Pa.† 59 40 11 3 1 4 2 Dallas, Tex. 216 121 38 33 15 9 3 2 17 Pittsburgh, Pa.† 59 40 11 3 1 4 2 Dallas, Tex. 216 121 38 33 15 9 3 2 12 1 1 12 Newark, N.Y. 25 19 6 1 Houston, Tex. 3 308 176 74 34 13 11 7 Newark, N.Y. 25 19 6 2 Houston, Tex. 3 308 176 74 34 13 11 7 Newark, N.Y. 25 19 6 1 Little Rock, Ark. 1 14 4 31 31 1 7 Newark, N.Y. 25 13 4 1 Tules, Okla. 1 12 5 New Orleans, La. 125 74 28 13 4 6 11 Newark, N.Y. 25 13 4 1 Tules, Okla. 1 12 Newark, N.Y. 25 13 4 1 Tules, Okla. 1 14 14 2 Newark, N.Y. 25 14 1 1 2 Newark, N.Y. 25 14 1 1 2 Newark, N.Y. 25 14 1 1 1 2 Newark, N.Y. 25 15 14 Newark, N.Y. 25 15 Newark, N.Y. 25 15 Newark, N.Y. 25 15 Newark, N.Y. 25 16 Newark, N.Y. 25 16 Newark, N.Y. 25 17 Newark, N.Y. 25 18 Newark, N.Y. 25 19 Newar	Elizabeth, N.J.			3	-	-	-	-								
N.Y. City, N.Y. 1, 354 B43 283 159 32 37 60 Newark, N.J. 48 20 12 9 3 4 3 Austin, Frex. 52 31 78 2 2 4 Paterson, N.J. 33 19 5 6 6 2 1 3 Baton Rouge, La. 38 28 5 4 1 - 1 Paterson, N.J. 33 19 5 6 6 2 1 3 Baton Rouge, La. 38 28 5 4 1 - 1 Paterson, N.J. 33 19 5 6 6 2 1 3 Baton Rouge, La. 38 28 5 4 1 - 1 Paterson, N.J. 33 19 5 6 6 2 1 3 Baton Rouge, La. 38 28 5 4 1 - 1 Paterson, N.J. 33 19 5 6 6 2 1 3 Baton Rouge, La. 38 28 5 4 1 - 1 Paterson, N.J. 38 29 209 6 1 1 3 Baton Rouge, La. 38 28 5 4 1 - 1 Paterson, N.J. 21 83 22 9 6 1 1 3 Port Worth, Tex. 40 11 3 3 3 4 16 Corpus Christi, Tex. 47 27 12 2 3 3 3 - Pittsburgh, Pa.† 38 22 9 6 1 1 3 Port Worth, Tex. 40 11 3 3 3 5 4 Rochester, N.Y. 121 83 22 9 6 1 1 3 Port Worth, Tex. 5 308 176 74 34 13 11 7 Port Worth, Tex. 5 308 176 74 34 13 11 7 Port Worth, N.J. 55 39 12 2 1 1 2 Huston, Tex. 5 308 176 74 34 13 11 7 Port Worth, N.J. 55 39 12 2 1 1 2 Port North, Tex. 5 308 176 74 34 13 11 7 Port Worth, N.J. 55 39 12 2 1 1 2 Port North, Tex. 5 308 176 74 38 13 4 6 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 1 1 2 Port North, Tex. 5 308 176 74 38 13 4 13 Port Worth, Tex. 5 10 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 1 1 2 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 1 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 2 1 Port North, N.J. 55 39 12 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2										145		49	11	10	3	8
Newark, N.J. 48 20 12 9 9 3 4 4 3 Austin, Fex. 52 23 17 8 2 2 4 Paterson, N.J. 33 19 5 6 2 1 3 Baton Rouge, La. 38 28 5 4 1 - 1 Philadelphia, Pa. 293 209 61 16 3 4 16 Corpus Christi, Tex. 47 27 12 2 3 3 3 - Pittsburgh, Pa.† 59 40 11 3 1 4 2 2 Dellas, Tex. 47 27 12 2 3 3 3 - Pittsburgh, Pa.† 59 40 11 3 1 4 2 2 Dellas, Tex. 47 27 12 2 3 3 3 - Pittsburgh, Pa.† 59 40 11 3 1 4 2 2 Dellas, Tex. 47 27 12 2 3 3 3 - Pittsburgh, Pa.† 59 40 11 3 1 4 2 2 Dellas, Tex. 48 26 11 3 3 3 5 4 Reading, Pa. 35 31 4 1 El Paso, Tex. 48 26 11 3 3 3 5 4 Reachester, N.Y. 121 83 22 9 6 1 1 3 Fort Worth, Tex. 92 64 18 4 5 1 1 1 7 Scranton, Pa.† 28 16 9 2 1 2 Little Rock, Ark. 71 46 14 4 3 3 6 6 7 Syracuse, N.Y. 98 61 21 7 6 6 3 3 3 New Orleans, La. 125 74 28 13 4 6 - Syracuse, N.Y. 18 13 3 2 2 1 1 2 Shreveport, La. 61 48 7 3 1 2 2 1 Vonkers, N.Y. 25 21 4 1 Tulsa, Okla. 74 50 14 8 - 2 5 ELN. CENTRAL 2, 431 1,594 518 180 62 87 84 MOUNTAIN 583 370 121 44 29 19 31 Akron, Ohio 512 83 18 9 1 1 1 Akron, Ohio 52 40 7 2 - 3 2 Colo, Springs, Colo. 40 30 4 2 2 2 6 Chicago, Ill. 5 564 362 125 45 10 22 16 Derver, Colo. 110 66 26 8 7 3 6 Cleveland, Ohio 149 92 30 19 2 6 6 7 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 3 1 6 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 77 1 4 6 14 8 7 3 1 2 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 3 1 6 Cleveland, Ohio 142 82 30 19 2 6 6 7 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 3 1 6 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 77 1 4 9 Pueblo, Colo. 30 21 5 3 1 - 1 Detroit, Mich. 276 14 8 7 7 3 2 2 1 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 3 1 6 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 3 1 6 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 3 1 6 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 3 1 6 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 3 2 2 2 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 3 2 2 2 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 3 2 2 2 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 3 2 2 2 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 3 2 2 2 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 3 2 2 2 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 3 2 2 2 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 3 3 2 2 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 3 3 2 2 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 3 3 2 2 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 3 3 2 2 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 4 28 13 1 Clowers, New 75 45 19 7 7 3 3 2 2 1 Clowers, New 75									W.S. CENTRAL	1,290	781	272	131	53	52	
Philadelphia, Pa. 293 209 61 16 3 4 16 Corpus Christi, Tex. 47 27 12 2 3 3 3 - Philadelphia, Pa. 4 2 Dellas, Tex. 47 27 12 2 3 3 3 - Philadelphia, Pa. 5 9 40 11 16 3 1 4 2 Dellas, Tex. 48 26 11 3 3 5 5 4 Reading, Pa. 35 31 1 4 2 Dellas, Tex. 48 26 11 3 3 5 5 4 Reading, Pa. 35 31 1 4 2 Dellas, Tex. 48 26 11 3 3 5 5 4 Reading, Pa. 35 31 1 4 2 Dellas, Tex. 48 26 11 3 3 5 5 4 Reading, Pa. 35 31 1 4 2 Dellas, Tex. 48 26 11 3 3 5 5 4 Reading, Pa. 36 12 1 7 6 3 3 15 Pot Worth, Tex. 92 64 18 8 4 5 1 1 1 7 Reading, Pa. 36 12 1 7 6 3 3 18 9 6 1 21 7 6 8 3 18 9 6 1 21 7 6 8 3 18 Pot Worth, Tex. 5 308 176 74 3 4 13 11 7 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 39 12 2 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 3 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 3 1 1 2 Pot Worth, Pa. 5 5 5 2 Pot Pot Pa. 5 7 Pot Pot Pa. 5 7 Pot									Austin, Tex.						2	
Pittiburgh, Pa.1 59 40 111 3 1 4 2 Dallas, Tex. 216 121 38 33 15 9 3 3 Reading, Pa. 35 31 4 - 1 El Paso, Tex. 48 26 11 3 3 5 4 Rochester, N.Y. 121 83 22 9 6 1 1 3 7 6 1 1						2										1
Reading, Pa. N. 35 31 4 - 1 - 1   El Paso, Tex. 48 26 11 3 3 5 4 4 5 1 1 Rochester, N.Y. 121 83 22 9 6 1 1 33 Fort Worth, Tex 92 64 18 4 5 1 1 1 Schenectady, N.Y. 25 19 6 - 1 - 2   Little Rock, Ark. 71 46 14 4 3 3 1 1 7 Scranton, Pa.† 28 16 9 2 - 1   Little Rock, Ark. 71 46 14 4 3 3 6 6 - 1   Little Rock, Ark. 71 46 14 4 3 3 6 6 - 1   Little Rock, Ark. 71 46 14 4 3 3 6 6 - 1   Little Rock, Ark. 71 46 14 4 3 3 6 6 - 1   Little Rock, Ark. 71 46 14 4 3 3 6 6 - 1   Little Rock, Ark. 71 14 6 14 4 7 3 1 1   Little Rock, Ark. 71 14 6 14 4 7 3 1 1   Little Rock, Ark. 71 14 6 14 4 7 3 1 1   Little Rock, Ark. 71 14 6 14 4 7 3 1 1   Little Rock, Ark. 71 14 7 1 4 1 1   Little Rock, Ark. 71   Little Rock, Ark.																3
Rochester, N.Y.   121   83   22   9   6   1   13   Fort Worth, Tex   92   64   18   4   5   5   1   1   5   5   5   5   5   5					3	1			El Paso, Tex.				33			
Little Rock, Ark,   71   46   14   4   3   3   3   6   5   5   5   7   6   3   3   New Orleans, La.   125   74   28   13   4   6   6   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7	Rochester, N.Y.	121			9	6			Fort Worth, Tex							
Syracuse, N.Y. 98 61 21 7 6 3 3 3 New Orleans, La. 125 74 28 13 4 6 7 Trenton, N.J. 55 39 12 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 158 98 34 15 3 8 11 Utica, N.Y. 18 13 3 2 Shreveport, La. 61 48 7 3 1 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 5 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 48 7 3 1 2 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 1 2 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 2 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49 7 3 3 1 2 San Antonio, Tex. 161 49					-	-	:	2						13		
Trenton, N.J. 55 39 12 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 4 2 2 2 2						-										
Utica, N.Y.											98	34	15		8	
E.N. CENTRAL 2,431 1,584 518 180 62 87 84 Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 - Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 - Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 - Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 - Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 - Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 - Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 - Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 - Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 1 - Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 1 - Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 1 - Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Utica, N.Y.	18	13	3				-				. 7		1	2	
Akron, Ohio 112 83 18 9 1 1 1 2 Canton, Ohio 52 40 7 2 - 3 2 Clowado, Ohio 55 40 7 2 - 3 2 Clowado, Ohio 55 40 7 2 - 3 2 Clowado, Ohio 55 40 7 2 - 3 2 Clowado, Ohio 55 40 7 2 - 3 2 Clowado, Ohio 92 69 16 3 1 3 7 Cleveland, Ohio 92 69 16 3 1 3 7 Cleveland, Ohio 149 92 30 19 2 6 2 Cleveland, Ohio 149 92 30 19 2 6 2 Clowado, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Cleveland, Ohio 15 4 1 1 - 3 Cleveland, Ohio 15 4 1 - 3 Cleveland, Ohio 15 4 1 - 3 Cleveland, Ohio 16 5 4 1 1 - 3 Cleveland, Ohio 17 Cleveland, Ohio 18 6 1 1 1 5 6 2 11 5 8 4 Cleveland, Ohio 18 6 1 1 1 5 8 4 Cleveland, Ohio 18 6 1 1 1 5 8 4 Cleveland, Ohio 19 86 17 8 7 1 5 5 Cleveland, Ohio 19 86 17 8 7 1 5 5 Cleveland, Ohio 19 86 17 8 7 1 5 Cleveland, Ohio 19 86 17 8 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Yonkers, N.Y.	25	21	4	•	-	-	1						•		
Canton, Ohio 52 40 7 2 - 3 2 Chicago, Ill.\$ 564 362 125 45 10 22 16 Chicago, Ill.\$ 564 362 125 45 10 22 16 Chicago, Ill.\$ 564 362 125 45 10 22 16 Cleveland, Ohio 149 92 30 19 2 6 2 Cleveland, Ohio 149 92 30 19 2 6 2 Dayton, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Detroit, Mich. 276 147 63 30 16 20 6 Evansville, Ind. 49 40 8 - 1 - 3 State Lake City, Utah 40 27 7 4 2 - 1 Detroit, Mich. 276 147 63 30 16 20 6 Grand Rapids, Mich. 53 34 9 7 - 3 5 Star, Arize State Stat	E.N. CENTRAL							84								
Chicago, III.5 564 362 125 45 10 22 15 Chicago, III.5 564 362 125 45 10 22 15 Chicago, III.5 564 362 125 45 10 22 15 Chicago, III.5 564 362 125 45 10 22 15 Chicago, III.5 564 362 125 5 1 6 Chicago, III.5 562 12 5 1 6 Chicago, Chicago, III.5 562 11 5 5 3 1 5 5 8 4 1 5 5 7 5 8 4 1 5 7 5 8 4 1 5 7 5 8 4 1 5 7 5 8 4 1 5 7 5 8 4 1 5 7 5 8 4 1 5 7 5 8 4 1 5 7 5 8 4 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7						1		-								
Cincinneti, Ohio 92 69 16 3 1 3 7 Cleveland, Ohio 149 92 30 19 2 6 2 Cleveland, Ohio 149 92 30 19 2 6 2 Ogden, Utah 19 7 5 2 - 5 2 2 5 5 5 2 Cleveland, Ohio 132 88 26 12 5 1 6 Phoenix, Ariz. 101 58 22 9 6 6 6 2 Dayton, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Pueblo, Colo. 30 21 5 3 1 - 1 Detroit, Mich. 276 147 63 30 16 20 6 Salt Lake City, Utah 40 27 7 4 2 - 1 Tucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4 Fort Wayne, Ind. 62 37 16 5 3 1 2 Grand Rapids, Mich. 10 5 4 1 2 Grand Rapids, Mich. 53 34 9 7 - 3 5 Fresho, Calif. 15 10 3 2 2 2 Grand Rapids, Mich. 125 62 11 5 8 4 Glendale, Calif. 15 10 3 2 2 2 Grand Rapids, Mich. 141 27 7 3 3 2 5 Glendale, Calif. 16 3 1 2 11 Milwaukee, Wis. 149 110 25 4 1 9 3 Glendale, Calif. 16 7 3 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2						10						26	8	7	3	6
Columbus, Ohio 132 88 26 12 5 1 6 Phoenix, Ariz. 101 58 22 9 6 6 2 Dayton, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9 Pueblo, Colo. 30 21 5 3 1 - 1 1 Evansville, Ind. 49 40 8 - 1 - 3 Tucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4 Fort Wayne, Ind. 62 37 16 5 3 1 2 Grand Rapids, Mich. 53 34 9 7 - 3 5 Grand Rapids, Mich. 53 34 9 7 - 3 5 Grand Rapids, Mich. 53 34 9 7 - 3 5 Grand Rapids, Mich. 53 17 10 6 1 1 5 8 4 1 9 3 Holdianapolis, Ind. 211 125 62 11 5 6 11 5 8 4 Madison, Wis. 35 17 10 6 1 1 1 5 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Milwaukee, Wis. 149 110 25 4 1 9 3 Horora, Calif. 84 47 23 3 6 4 3 16 3 1 2 11 Milwaukee, Wis. 149 110 25 4 1 9 3 Rockford, Ill. 41 27 7 3 2 2 2 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2 Grand Rapids, Mich. 55 43 8 2 - 2 4 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 11 8 3 3 3 6 Horora, Calif. 16 7 8 15 7 3 3 6 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 11 8 3 3 3 3 6 Horora, Calif. 17 8 7 1 5 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 11 8 3 3 3 3 6 Horora, Calif. 18 18 3 3 3 3 3 6 Horora, Calif. 19 11 12 22 9 5 3 7 Youngstown, Ohio 86 64 16 5 1 - 2 Sacramento, Calif. 19 11 22 2 9 5 3 7 Youngstown, Ohio 87 6 57 12 4 1 2 1 5 Sacramento, Calif. 19 117 35 37 1 7 4 Duluth, Minn. 27 19 5 1 1 1 1 3 Sacramento, Calif. 19 117 35 37 1 7 4 Duluth, Minn. 27 19 5 1 1 1 1 3 Sacramento, Calif. 19 117 35 37 1 7 4 Duluth, Minn. 27 19 5 1 1 1 1 3 Sacramento, Calif. 19 117 35 37 1 7 4 5 Sacramento, Calif. 19 117 35 37 1 7 4 7 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Cincinnati, Ohio		69	16	3	1	3	7						3		6
Dayton, Ohio 141 88 41 7 1 4 9   New York Children   Salt Lake City, Utah 40 27 7 4 2 - 1   1	Cleveland, Ohio								Digden, Utah				2	-		2
Detroit, Mich. 276 147 63 30 16 20 6 Evansville, Ind. 49 40 8 - 1 - 3 Tucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4 Evansville, Ind. 49 40 8 - 1 - 3 Tucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4 Evansville, Ind. 49 40 8 - 1 - 3 Tucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4 Evansville, Ind. 49 40 8 - 1 - 3 Tucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4 Evansville, Ind. 49 40 8 - 1 - 3 Tucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 4 4 2 - 1 Tucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 - 1 Tucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 - 1 Tucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 2 - 1 Tucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 2 - 1 Tucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 2 - 1 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 2 - 1 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 2 - 1 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 4 1 2 1 1 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 8 59 22 6 21 1 2 Evansville, Ind. 40 27 7 8 8 59 22 6 21 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									Pueblo, Colo.							1
Evansville, Ind. 49 40 8 - 1 - 3   Iucson, Ariz. 97 69 19 5 3 1 4   Fort Wayne, Ind. 62 37 16 5 3 1 2   Gary, Ind. 10 5 4 1   Grand Rapids, Mich. 53 34 9 7 - 3 5   Indianapolis, Ind. 211 125 62 11 5 8 4   Madison, Wis. 35 17 10 6 1 1 1 5   Malwakee, Wis. 149 110 25 4 1 9 3   Milwaukee, Wis. 149 110 25 4 1 9 3   Rockford, Ill. 41 27 7 3 2 2 2 1   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 27 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 3 11 8 3 3 3 3   Roughes Calif. 106 78 15 7 3 3 6   Rockford, Ill. 43 3 7 7 3 2 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 2 7 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 2 7 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 2 7 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 2 7 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 2 7 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 2 7 10 1 5 - 2   Rockford, Ill. 43 3 11 8 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3									Salt Lake City, Utah	40	27	7	4	2	-	1
Gary, Ind. 10 5 4 1 Berkeley, Calif. 15 10 3 2 2 2 Grand Rapids, Mich. 53 34 9 7 - 3 5 Indianapolis, Ind. 211 125 62 11 5 8 4 1 9 3 Indianapolis, Ind. 211 125 62 11 5 8 4 1 9 3 Indianapolis, Ind. 211 125 62 11 5 8 4 1 9 3 Indianapolis, Ind. 20 17 2 1 Madison, Wis. 35 17 10 6 1 1 5 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 2 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 1 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 1 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 1 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 1 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 1 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 1 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 1 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 3 1 1 2 1 1 Honolulu, Hawaii 65 43 16 1 1 1 2 2 2 9 5 3 3 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Evansville, Ind.	49	40	8	-	1	-	3	Tucson, Ariz.	97	69	19	5	3	1	
Grand Rapids, Mich. 53 34 9 7 - 3 5 Fresno, Calif. 84 47 23 3 6 4 3 Indianapolis, Ind. 211 125 62 11 5 8 4 Madison, Wis. 35 17 10 61 1 1 5 8 4 Madison, Wis. 35 17 10 61 1 1 5 8 4 Madison, Wis. 149 110 25 4 1 9 3 Long Beach, Calif. 106 78 15 7 3 3 6 6 4 7 1 Milwaukee, Wis. 149 110 25 4 1 9 3 Long Beach, Calif. 106 78 15 7 3 3 6 6 7 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						3								64	53	
Indianapolis, Ind. 211 125 62 11 5 8 4 Glendale, Calif. 20 17 2 1	Grand Rapids Mich					-								-	- A	
Madison, Wis.       35       17       10       6       1       1       5       Honolulu, Hawaii       65       43       16       3       1       2       11         Peoria, III.       41       27       7       3       2       2       1       Los Angeles Calif.       106       78       15       7       3       3       6         South Bend, Ind.       43       27       10       1       5       -       2       Oakland, Calif.       58       33       11       8       3 <td>Indianapolis, Ind.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	Indianapolis, Ind.					5								-	-	
Peoria, III.         41         27         7         3         2         2         1         Los Angeles Calif.         476         297         88         59         22         6         21           Rockford, III.         43         27         10         1         5         -         2         0akland, Calif.         58         33         11         8         3         3         3           South Bend, Ind.         55         43         8         2         -         2         4         43         31         7         3         2         -         -         4         Pasadena, Calif.         43         31         7         3         2         -         -         -         4         Pasadena, Calif.         43         31         7         3         2         -         -         -         28         22         151         112         22         9         5         3         7         7         3         2         -         -         2         8         2         11         1         5         8         2         12         3         4         11         2         3         4         11         2	Madison, Wis.								Honolulu, Hawaii	65	43	16	3		2	
Rockford, III.         43         27         10         1         5         -         2         Oakland, Calif.         58         33         11         8         3         3         3           South Bend, Ind.         55         43         8         2         -         2         4         Pasadena, Calif.         43         31         7         3         2         -         -           Toledo, Ohio         119         86         17         8         7         1         5         Pasadena, Calif.         43         31         7         3         2         -         -           Youngstown, Ohio         86         64         16         5         1         -         2         Sacramento, Calif.         139         98         22         12         3         4         11           Des Moines, Iowa         76         57         12         4         1         2         1         San Diego, Calif.         149         95         25         14         6         7         17           Duluth, Minn.         27         19         5         1         1         1         3         3an Jose, Calif.         161         107 <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td>															3	6
South Bend, Ind.         55         43         8         2         -         2         4         Pasadena, Calif.         43         31         7         3         2         -         2         -         Portland, Oreg.         151         112         22         9         5         3         7         7         3         2         -         -         -         2         Portland, Oreg.         151         112         22         9         5         3         7           Youngstown, Ohio         86         64         16         5         1         -         2         Sacramento, Calif.         139         98         22         12         3         4         11           W.N. CENTRAL         887         596         181         60         22         27         56         Sacramento, Calif.         149         95         25         14         6         7         17           Des Moines, Iowa         76         57         12         4         1         2         1         3         5an Jose, Calif.         149         95         25         14         6         7         17           Mansas City, Kans.         28         16 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>27</td> <td></td> <td>22</td> <td>9</td> <td></td>			27											22	9	
Toledo, Ohio 119 86 17 8 7 1 5 Portland, Oreg. 151 112 22 9 5 3 7 Youngstown, Ohio 86 64 16 5 1 - 2 Sacramento, Calif. 139 98 22 12 3 4 11 Saramento, Cellif. 139 98 22 12 3 4 11 Saramento, Cellif. 149 95 25 14 6 7 17 Des Moines, Iowa 76 57 12 4 1 2 1 San Diego, Calif. 149 95 25 14 6 7 17 San Diego, Calif. 149 95 25 14 6 7 17 San Diego, Calif. 161 107 32 15 6 1 12 San	South Bend, Ind.	55	43	8	2	-	2	4				7			-	-
W.N. CENTRAL 887 596 181 60 22 27 56 San Diego, Calif. 149 95 25 14 6 7 17 Des Moines, Iowa 76 57 12 4 1 2 1 San Francisco, Calif. 197 117 35 37 1 7 4 Duluth, Minn. 27 19 5 1 1 1 1 3 San Jose, Calif. 197 117 35 37 1 7 4 Sansas City, Kans. 28 16 8 2 2 - 1 1 San Francisco, Calif. 197 117 35 37 1 7 4 Sansas City, Kans. 28 16 8 2 2 - 1 1 San Jose, Calif. 161 107 32 15 6 1 12 San Jose, Calif. 197 113 30 20 5 9 10 Sansas City, Mo. 103 69 20 8 2 4 10 Spokane, Wash. 195 131 30 20 5 9 10 Lincoln, Nebr. 44 28 10 1 2 3 1 Tacoma, Wash. 70 51 13 4 1 1 6 Sansas City, Mo. 103 55 49 14 6 8 12 Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 St. Louis, Mo. 147 92 33 12 3 6 18 St. Louis, Mo. 147 92 33 12 3 6 18 St. Paul, Minn. 63 49 7 6 1 - 1	Toledo, Ohio				8				Portland, Oreg.							
Des Moines, lowa 76 57 12 4 1 2 1 San Francisco, Calif. 197 117 35 37 1 7 4 Duluth, Minn. 27 19 5 1 1 1 3 San Jose, Calif. 161 107 32 15 6 1 12 San Jose, Calif. 187 187 187 187 187 187 187 187 187 187	•															
Des Wolfles, 1048 70 57 12 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1																4
Kansas City, Kans. 28 16 8 2 2 - 1 Seattle, Wash. 195 131 30 20 5 9 10 Kansas City, Mo. 103 69 20 8 2 4 10 Spokane, Wash. 70 51 13 4 1 1 6 Lincoln, Nebr. 44 28 10 1 2 3 1 Tacoma, Wash. 64 48 10 3 - 3 5 Minneapolis, Minn. 233 156 49 14 6 8 12 Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 St. Louis, Mo. 147 92 33 12 3 6 18 St. Louis, Mo. 147 92 33 12 3 6 18 St. Paul, Minn. 63 49 7 6 1 - 1							1		San Jose, Calif.	161	107	32	15	6	1	12
Kansas Citý, Mo. 103 69 20 8 2 4 10 Spokane, Wash. 70 51 13 4 1 1 6 Lincoln, Nebr. 44 28 10 1 2 3 1 Tacoma, Wash. 64 48 10 3 - 3 5 Minneapolis, Minn. 233 156 49 14 6 8 12 Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 Omaha, Nebr. 90 70 20 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0	Kansas City, Kans.					2	-	1	Seattle, Wash.	195		30	20	5		10
Minneapolis, Minn. 233 156 49 14 6 8 12 Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 St. Louis, Mo. 147 92 33 12 3 6 18 St. Paul, Minn. 63 49 7 6 1 - 1	Kansas City, Mo.	103	69	20	8	2		10								6
Omaha, Nebr. 99 70 20 7 - 2 7 St. Louis, Mo. 147 92 33 12 3 6 18 St. Paul, Minn. 63 49 7 6 1 - 1	Lincoln, Nebr.					2	3									
St. Louis, Mo. 147 92 33 12 3 6 18 St. Paul, Minn. 63 49 7 6 1 - 1					14				I UTAL	12,313'	7,892	2,548	1,091	385	387	584
St. Paul, Minn. 63 49 7 6 1 - 1	St. Louis, Mo.			33		3			l							
Wichita, Kans. 67 40 17 5 4 1 2	St. Paul, Minn.	63	49	7	6		-	1	<b>!</b>							
	Wichita, Kans.	67	40	17	5	4	1	2	1							

<sup>\*</sup>Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United states, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

††Total includes unknown ages.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Pneumonia and influenza.

1 Secuse of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week.

Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.

#### 1990 Objectives for the Nation in Occupational Safety and Health (1)

**Objective** 1: By 1990, workplace accident deaths for firms or employers with 11 or more employees should be reduced to less than 3,750 per year.

**Objective 2:** By 1990, the rate of work-related disabling injuries should be reduced to 8.3 cases per 100 full time workers.

**Objective 3:** By 1990, lost workdays due to injuries should be reduced to 55 per 100 workers annually.

**Objective 4:** By 1990, the incidence of compensable occupational dermatitis should be reduced to about 60,000 cases.

**Objective 5:** By 1990, among workers newly exposed after 1985, there should be virtually no new cases of four preventable occupational diseases—asbestosis, byssinosis, silicosis and coal worker's pneumoconiosis.\*

**Objective 6:** By 1990, the prevalence of occupational noise-induced hearing loss should be reduced to 415,000 cases.\*

Objective 7: By 1990, occupational heavy metal poisoning (lead, arsenic, zinc) should be virtually eliminated.\*

**Objective 8:** By 1985, 50 percent of all firms with more than 500 employees should have an approved plan of hazard control for all new processes, new equipment and new installations.

**Objective 9:** By 1990, all firms with more than 500 employees should have an approved plan of hazard control for all new processes, new equipment and new installations.

**Objective 10:** By 1990, at least 25 percent of workers should be able, prior to employment, to state the nature of their occupational health and safety risks and their potential consequences, as well as be informed of changes in these risks while employed.\*

**Objective 11:** By 1985, workers should be routinely informed of lifestyle behaviors and health factors that interact with factors in the work environment to increase risks of occupational illness and injuries.

**Objective 12:** By 1985, all workers should receive routine notification in a timely manner of all health examinations or personal exposure measurements taken on work environments directly related to them.

**Objective 13:** By 1990, all managers of industrial firms should be fully informed about the importance of and methods for controlling human exposure to the important toxic agents in their work environments.

**Objective 14:** By 1990, at least 70 percent of primary health care providers should routinely elicit occupational health exposures as part of patient history, and should know how to interpret the information to patients in an understandable manner.

**Objective 15:** By 1990, at least 70 percent of all graduate engineers should be skilled in the design of plants and processes that incorporate occupational safety and health control technologies.

Objective 16: By 1990, generic standards and other forms of technology transfer should be established, where possible, for standardized employer attention to such

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes objectives for which no accurate measure of progress is now available.

major common problems as: chronic lung hazards, neurological hazards, carcinogenic hazards, mutagenic hazards, teratogenic hazards and medical monitoring requirements.

**Objective 17:** By 1990, the number of health hazard evaluations being performed annually should increase tenfold; the number of industrywide studies being performed annually should increase threefold.

**Objective 18:** By 1985, an ongoing occupational health hazard/illness/injury coding system, survey and surveillance capability should be developed, including identification of workplace hazards and related health effects, including cancer, coronary heart disease and reproductive effects. This system should include adequate measurements of the severity of work-related disabling injuries.

**Objective 19:** By 1985, at least one question about lifetime work history and known exposures to hazardous substances should be added to all appropriate existing health data reporting systems, e.g., cancer registries, hospital discharge abstracts and death certificates.

**Objective 20:** By 1985, a program should be developed to: 1) follow up individual findings from health hazard and health evaluations, reports from unions and management and other existing surveillance sources of clinical and epidemiological data; and 2) use the findings to determine the etiology, natural history and mechanisms of suspected occupational disease and injury.

#### References:

- Public Health Service. Promoting health/preventing disease: objectives for the nation. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1980.
- 2. CDC. Leading work-related diseases and injuries United States. MMWR 1983;32:24-6,32.
- Association of Schools of Public Health. Proposed national strategies for the prevention of leading work-related diseases and injuries, part 1. Washington, DC: The Association of Schools of Public Health under a cooperative agreement with the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 1986.

### Current Trends

## Withdrawal of Approval for Subcutaneous Administration of Norden Rabies Vaccines for Dogs and Cats

Effective August 17, 1987, the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) is withdrawing approval for subcutaneous administration of Endurall-K\* and Rabguard-TC\*, manufactured by Norden Laboratories, Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska. Endurall-K (a vaccine that imparts a 1-year duration of immunity) and Rabguard-TC (a 3-year vaccine) should now be administered only intramuscularly to dogs and cats.

In July 1985, the USDA gave provisional approval for subcutaneous administration of these vaccines to dogs and cats, pending completion of a duration-of-immunity study and rabies challenge tests. In the study, rabies-neutralizing antibody responses

<sup>\*</sup>Use of trade names is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services or the Public Health Service.

#### Rabies - Continued

following subcutaneous vaccination were comparable to those observed following intramuscular vaccination throughout the 3 years after vaccination. However, when dogs and cats were injected with live rabies virus in separate challenge studies, they were not adequately protected 3 years after subcutaneous vaccination. Therefore, all dogs and cats that received these vaccines subcutaneously should be revaccinated intramuscularly with a Norden vaccine or with another approved vaccine (1). Since July 1985, several million doses of these vaccines have been sold annually in the United States and Canada (Norden Laboratories, Inc., unpublished data). The number of animals vaccinated subcutaneously is not known.

Veterinarians who have administered the Norden vaccines subcutaneously should inform pet owners of the need for revaccination. Health agencies and other organizations that have sponsored rabies vaccination clinics should inform their communities. Questions regarding procedures for revaccination should be addressed to Norden Laboratories, Inc., collect, at (402) 475-6843 between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. CDST. This withdrawal of approval for subcutaneous administration of Norden vaccines does not apply to other rabies vaccines licensed for subcutaneous use.

Reported by: Veterinary Svcs, USDA. Viral and Rickettsial Zoonoses Br, Div of Viral Diseases, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note: The rabies challenge study was conducted with laboratory dogs and cats 3 years after they had received only a single dose of vaccine subcutaneously. It is likely that most pets have received more than one rabies vaccination because the recommended schedule for primary rabies immunization in dogs and cats is an initial dose at 3 months of age, followed by an additional dose 1 year later (1). Therefore, most animals receiving a Norden vaccine subcutaneously for primary immunization should have already received a second vaccination. In addition, because the vaccines were not approved for subcutaneous use until July 1985, most dogs and cats receiving them subcutaneously as booster immunizations should have received at least one previous immunization with an approved vaccine by an approved route.

The level of protection conferred by administering the Norden vaccines subcutaneously in a primary immunization series (2 doses) or a booster immunization is not known. Therefore, animals that have received the Norden vaccines subcutaneously but have not been subsequently revaccinated intramuscularly with a Norden vaccine or another approved vaccine should be regarded as unvaccinated for the purposes of rabies postexposure management.

#### References

 CDC. Compendium of animal rabies control, 1987 – prepared by: the National Association of State Public Health Veterinians, Inc., [sic]. MMWR 1987;35:807-10, 815-8. 630

MMWR


September 25, 1987

Vol. 36 / No. 37

MMWR

631

FIGURE I. Reported measles - United States, weeks 33-36, 1987



The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia, and available on a paid subscription basis from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, (202) 783-3238.

The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday. The editor welcomes accounts of interesting cases, outbreaks, environmental hazards, or other public health problems of current interest to health officials. Such reports and any other matters pertaining to editorial or other textual considerations should be addressed to: Editor, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

Director, Centers for Disease Control James O. Mason, M.D., Dr.P.H. Director, Epidemiology Program Office Carl W. Tyler, Jr., M.D. Editor Michael B. Gregg, M.D. Managing Editor Gwendolyn A. Ingraham

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1987-730-145/60034 Region IV

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control Atlanta, GA 30333

Official Business

Penalty for Private Use \$300

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
PHS/CDC
Permit No. G-284

Z4 \*HCRU9FISD22 8721 DANIEL B FISHBEIN, MD CID, VRL 7-844 G13

а

.6