

**Current Trends** 

#### CDC CHAMBLEE LIBRARY Increases in Primary and Secondary Syphilis – United States

After a 5-year trend of decreasing incidence of primary and secondary syphilis in the United States, 8,274 cases were reported during the first 3 months of 1987. This is an increase of 1,549 cases (23%) over the 6,725 cases reported during the first 3 months of 1986. The estimated annual rate per 100,000 population rose from 10.9 cases to 13.3 cases (Figure 1). An increase of this magnitude has not been observed in over 10 years.

Increases of 20 or more cases over the number reported during the first 3 months of 1986 were observed in eight states, four major metropolitan areas, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (Table 1). The three areas reporting the largest numerical increases were California, Florida, and New York City. In California, increases of 10 or more cases occurred in Los Angeles, Long Beach, and seven





YEAR

\*1987 data are estimated.

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES / PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

#### Syphilis - Continued

smaller counties\*. Ten counties in Florida experienced increases, the largest being in Dade, Orange, and Palm Beach counties. In New York City, all boroughs except Richmond experienced substantial increases.

All three areas with the largest increases had collected demographic data and information on the sexual preferences of patients with cases reported during the periods January-March 1986 and January-March 1987 (Table 2). In California and New York City, increases in primary and secondary syphilis occurred exclusively among heterosexuals. In addition, blacks experienced greater increases than whites in these two areas. In Florida, the increase occurred in each demographic group and in each group with similar sexual preferences. The ratio of cases among males to cases among females in the three areas fell from 2.6:1 to 2.1:1. For several other areas experiencing increases in total cases, the incidence declined for white men citing at least one male sexual partner.

\*San Francisco continued a 5-year trend of decreasing incidence.

	Number	of Cases		
Reporting Area	January-March 1986	January-March 1987	Change (%)	
California				
Los Angeles	508	970	(91)	
Other	784	9/7	(8)	
Total	1 202	047	(41)	
Florida	977	1,817	(92)	
New York	077	1,679	(0-)	
New York City	510	075	(72)	
Other	55	875	(64)	
Total	50	90	(71)	
Georgia	565	965	(9)	
Commonwealth of	383	417	(8)	
Puerto Rico	•		(11)	
Pennsylvania	207	229	(11)	
Philadelphia			(58)	
Other	118	187	(56)	
Total	33	38	(15)	
Mississippi	151	225	(49)	
Maryland	131	162	(24)	
Baltimore			(70)	
Other	56	95	(70)	
Total	40	53	(33)	
Arizona	96	148	(54)	
Oregon	63	95	(51)	
Nevada	27	48	(78)	
	20	41	(105)	

TABLE 1. States and metropolitan areas reporting increases of ≥20 cases of primary and secondary syphilis – United States, January-March 1986 and January-March 1987

Syphilis - Continued

Reported by: MH Wilder, MD, Acting State Epidemiologist, Florida Dept of Health and Rehabilitative Svcs. S Schultz, MD, New York City Health Dept. S Fannin, MD, County of Los Angeles Dept of Health Svcs; K Acree, MDCM, MPH, JD, Acting State Epidemiologist, California Dept of Health Svcs. Div of Sexually Transmitted Diseases, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.

Editorial Note: Although primary and secondary syphilis had been declining since 1982 (1), they now appear to be on the upsurge in some areas. While 70% of cases among males occurred among homosexual and bisexual men during the 1970s (2), cases among these groups now appear to be on the decline in some areas (Table 2).

Benorting Area/	Number	Change	
Patient Characteristic*	January-March 1986	January-March 1987	(%)
Florida			
Sex			
Female	314	595	(90)
Male	565	1,078	(91)
Race			
Black	694	1,331	(92)
White and other	185	342	(85)
Sexual preference (male) <sup>†</sup>			
Heterosexual	347	521	(50)
Homosexual/bisexual	38	79	(108)
California			
Sex			
Female	260	507	(95)
Male	953	1,296	(36)
Race			
Black	368	849	(131)
White and other	845	954	(13)
Sexual preference (male) <sup>†</sup>			
Heterosexual	643	1130	(76)
Homosexual/bisexual	277	148	(-47)
New York City			
Sex			
Female	158	290	(84)
Male	349	585	(68)
Race			
Black	246	475	(93)
White and other	261	400	(53)
Sexual preference (male) <sup>†</sup>			
Heterosexual	125	250	(100)
Homosexual/bisexual	45	22	(-51)

TABLE 2. Cases of primary and secondary syphilis, by patient characteristics – United States, January-March 1986 and January-March 1987

\*Demographic data were available for 99% of patients with reported cases.

<sup>1</sup>Excludes men whose sexual preference was not determined. These comprised 2% of men with syphilis in California, 40% in Florida, and 53% in New York City.

#### Syphilis - Continued

As with two smaller outbreaks in the 1980s (3,4), the current increases appear to be largely among heterosexuals.

The increases in primary and secondary syphilis have prompted two major concerns. First, this trend is likely to have a severe adverse effect on efforts to control congenital syphilis. While sexually acquired syphilis that is diagnosed in its early stages can be effectively treated with long-acting penicillin preparations, congenitally acquired syphilis is responsible for high rates of infant morbidity and mortality (5). After an 8-year decline, the incidence of congenital syphilis among infants began rising in 1983 (6). The areas with the largest increases in primary and secondary syphilis already have some of the highest rates of congenital syphilis in the nation (6). Any increases in acquired syphilis among heterosexual adults in these areas are certain to be followed by further increases in congenital syphilis.

Second, a history of sexually transmitted disease is associated with increased risk for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) among both homosexuals (7,8) and heterosexuals (9). New York City and Florida have increased incidences of syphilis as well as high rates of AIDS among heterosexuals, particularly among those who abuse intravenous drugs (10). Because genital ulceration is associated with higher rates of HIV infection (11,12), the increases in primary and secondary syphilis in these areas may be the forerunner of future increases in HIV-related morbidity and mortality. Moreover, on the basis of case reports of treatment failures (13) and an atypical course in one patient (14), concerns have been raised about the effects of HIV-infection on the natural history and response to treatment of syphilis. These reports suggest the potential for problems in the management of patients with both infections.

#### References

- 1. CDC. Annual summary 1984. MMWR 1986;33(54):57-9.
- 2. Fichtner RR, Aral SO, Blount JH, Zaidi AA, Reynolds GH, Darrow WW. Syphilis in the United States: 1968-1979. Sex Transm Dis 1983;10:77-80.
- 3. CDC. Early syphilis Broward County, Florida. MMWR 1987;36:221-4.
- 4. Lee CB, Brunham RC, Sherman E, Harding GKM. Epidemiology of an outbreak of infectious syphilis in Manitoba. Am J Epidemiol 1987;125:277-83.
- 5. Murphy K, Patamasucon P. Congenital syphilis. In: Holmes KK, Mardh PA, Sparling PF, Weisner PJ, eds. Sexually transmitted diseases. New York: McGraw Hill Co, 1984:352.
- 6. CDC. Congenital syphilis-United States, 1983-1985. MMWR 1986;35:625-9.
- 7. Jaffe HW, Choi K, Thomas PA, et al. National case-control study of Kaposi's sarcoma and Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia in homosexual men: part 1, epidemiologic results. Ann Intern Med 1983;99:145-51.
- 8. Moss AR, Osmond D, Bacchetti P, Chermann J, Barre-Sinoussi F, Carlson J. Risk factors for AIDS and HIV seropositivity in homosexual men. Am J Epidemiol 1987;125:1035-47.
- 9. Castro KG, Fischl MA, Landesman SH, et al. Risk factors for AIDS among Haitians in the United States. Atlanta, Georgia: International Conference on AIDS, April 14-17, 1985: 45.
- 10. CDC. Update: acquired immunodeficiency syndrome-United States. MMWR 1986;35;757-60, 765-6.
- 11. Cameron DW, Plummer FA, Simonsen JN, et al. Female to male heterosexual transmission of HIV infection in Nairobi. Washington, DC: III International Conference on AIDS, June 1-5, 1987. Abstract MP91:25.
- 12. Greenblatt RM, Lukehart SL, Plummer FA, et al. Genital ulceration as a risk factor for human immunodeficiency virus infection in Kenya. Washington, DC: III International Conference on AIDS, June 1-5, 1987. Abstract ThP68:174.
- 13. Berry CD, Hooten TM, Collier AC, Lukehart SA. Neurologic relapse after benzathine penicillin therapy for secondary syphilis in a patient with HIV infection. N Engl J Med 1987;316:1587-9.

#### Syphilis – Continued

 Johns DR, Tierney M, Selsenstein D. Alteration in the natural history of neurosyphilis by concurrent infection with the human immunodeficiency virus. N Engl J Med 1987;316: 1569-72.

## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Acanthamoeba Keratitis in Soft-Contact-Lens Wearers

Within a 9-month period from mid-1985 to February 1986, CDC received reports of 24 cases of *Acanthamoeba* keratitis, a much higher number than previously reported during similar time periods. Twenty (83%) of the patients wore contact lenses. Of these, two wore hard lenses (one hard, the other rigid gas-permeable); four wore extended-wear lenses; and 14 wore daily-wear soft lenses. Between July and October 1986, CDC performed a case-control study of soft-contact-lens wearers to identify the risk factors associated with *Acanthamoeba* keratitis (1).

Patients were selected for the study from persons with Acanthamoeba keratitis reported to CDC before August 1986 and who wore soft contact lenses, had onset of keratitis symptoms after June 1985, and had species of Acanthamoeba isolated from corneal smears or biopsy and/or demonstrated in stained corneal scrapings or tissue. Controls were selected from the files of the ophthalmologist or optometrist originally prescribing contact lenses for the patient and were matched with the patient by general contact-lens type (daily-wear soft contact lenses [DWSL] or extended-wear soft contact lenses [EWSL]), age ( $\pm$ 5 years), and city of residence.

CDC personnel used a standardized telephone questionnaire to obtain information from patients and controls on the specific brands of contact lenses and associated solutions they used, their routine lens-cleaning procedures, and their behavioral activities. To study the prevalence of *Acanthamoeba* and other microbial contaminants, investigators asked controls to submit contact-lens solutions and lens-care hardware\* to CDC to be examined for contamination with *Acanthamoeba*, bacteria, and fungi (2,3). Similar materials were not available from patients because they had not been wearing their lenses for as long as 12 months.

Twenty-seven patients with Acanthamoeba keratitis and 81 uninfected, matched controls were interviewed. The 27 patients resided in 12 states<sup>†</sup>. All of the patients had onsets of symptoms between June 1985 and June 1986, with no seasonal predilection. Twenty patients (74%) and 59 controls (73%) wore DWSL. The remainder in both groups wore EWSL. There was a significantly higher proportion of males among patients than among controls (14/27 [52%] compared with 14/81 [17%], odds ratio<sup>§</sup> [OR] = 7.25, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 2.53-20.76).

Patients were significantly more likely than controls not to disinfect their lenses as frequently as recommended by lens manufacturers (18/25 [72%] compared with 26/81 [32%], OR = 5.83, Cl = 2.22-15.32). Significantly more patients than controls used homemade saline solutions<sup>¶</sup> instead of commercially prepared saline solutions (21/27 [78%] compared with 14/81 [17%], OR =  $\infty$ , Cl =  $\infty$ - $\infty$ ). Because most persons using

<sup>\*</sup>Lens cases used for storage or to neutralize disinfectant chemicals on lenses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Texas each had one case; Georgia and Washington each had two cases; Florida, Minnesota, New Jersey, Oklahoma, and Tennessee each had three; and California had four.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Odds ratios based on an analysis of matched sets.

Prepared from commercial salt tablets reconstituted in nonsterile distilled water.

#### Keratitis - Continued

homemade solutions used them for several purposes, no distinction could be made as to whether a particular usage was more likely to be associated with infection than other usages. No association was noted between any of the commercially prepared contact-lens solutions or contact lenses and infection.

Patients were significantly more likely than controls to wear their lenses while swimming (17/27 [63%] compared with 24/81 [30%], OR = 6.24, CI = 1.90-20.46). This association remained statistically significant after controlling for sex. Patients were not more likely than controls to place their lenses in their mouths, wear their lenses in a hot tub, or report an injury to the eye.

Seventy-two (89%) controls submitted at least one specimen for microbiologic study. All solutions and hardware had been previously opened and used by the participant. All of the 11 homemade saline specimens submitted were colonized with bacteria and fungi. Eight (73%) showed relatively high levels of contamination ( $\geq 10^5$  colony-forming units [CFU] of bacteria and fungi per milliliter). Acanthamoebae were

(Continued on page	ge 403)
--------------------	---------

	25	th Week End	ing	Cumulati	ve, 25th Wee	ek Ending
Disease	June 27, 1987	June 21, 1986	Median 1982-1986	June 27, 1987	June 21 1986	Median 1982-1986
Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Aseptic meningitis Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne & unspec) Post-infectious	495 211 21 5	174 215 21 1	N 169 22 2	8,783 2,541 408 56	5,885 2,325 379 57	N 2,153 439 57 402 887
Gonorrhea: Civilian Military Hepatitis: Type A Type B Non A, Non B Unsnecified	14,152 236 384 489 64	19,639 319 460 495 94	17,052 371 376 495 N	377,169 7,840 11,769 12,128 1,484	403,287 7,548 10,544 12,214 1,716 2,257	10,218 10,404 11,924 N 2,664
Legionellosis Leprosy Malaria Measles: Total* Indigenous Imported Meningococcal infections: Total	50 21 4 9 144 138 6 55	45 6 30 237 233 3 27	142 N 3 16 82 N N 45	1,532 375 97 334 2,492 2,197 295 1,675	2,261 133 411 4,044 3,840 198 1,469	N 126 370 1,687 N 1,625 1 622
Civilian Mumps Military Pertussis Rubella (German measles) Syphilis (Primary & Secondary): Civilian Military	55 209 43 9 586	27 135 51 13 546	45 72 36 17 546	1,674 1 9,146 835 203 15,945	1,467 2,260 1,297 315 12,321	1,0-6 2,050 900 411 13,282 167
Toxic Shock syndrome Tuberculosis Tularemia Typhoid Fever Typhus fever, tick-borne (RMSF) Rabies, animal	1 499 4 6 35 55	11 482 11 13 26 144	8 N 485 11 8 46 144	81 142 9,819 60 138 187 2,390	172 9,880 48 126 194 2,764	N 9,985 83 153 270 2,764

#### TABLE I. Summary - cases specified notifiable diseases, United States

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

			T Cum, 1987
	Cum. 1987		Cun
Anthrax			8
Botulism: Foodborne	-	Leptospirosis	3
Infant (Oreg 1 Algebra 1)	3	Plaque	
Other	31	Poliomvelitis, Paralytic	44
Brucellosis (Fla. 1 Tex 2 Calif 1)	1 -	Psittacosis (Md. 1, Tex. 1)	1 .
Cholera	51	Rabies, human	13
Congenital rubella syndrome		Tetanus	25
Congenital synhilis ages < 1	3	Trichinosis	13
Diphtheria Diphtheria	1 -	Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine)	
	1	(Tex. 3)	

\*Two of the 144 reported cases for this week were imported from a foreign country or can be directly traceable to a known internationally imported case within two generations.

Ans.         Ans.         Ans.         Ans.         The set is a set i		<b>T</b>	Accentic	Encenhalitis				T H	Hepatitis(Viral), by type					
Cum.         Table         Table <thtable< th=""> <thtable< th=""></thtable<></thtable<>	Reporting Area	AIDS	Menin- gitis	Primary	Post-in- fectious	Gone (Civ	orrhea ilian)	A	В	NA,NB	Unspeci- fied	Legionei- Iosis	Leprosy	
		Cum. 1987	1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1986	1987	1987	1987	1987	1987	Cum. 1987	
NEW ENGLAND         37.         9         16         2         12,066         9,085         11         27         1         2         -         8           NH.         9         1         -         -         197         242         -         -         -         -         -         2         - <t< td=""><td>UNITED STATES</td><td>8,783</td><td>211</td><td>408</td><td>56</td><td>377,169</td><td>403,287</td><td>384</td><td>489</td><td>64</td><td>50</td><td>21</td><td>97</td></t<>	UNITED STATES	8,783	211	408	56	377,169	403,287	384	489	64	50	21	97	
	NEW ENGLAND	374	9	16	2	12,066	9,036	11	27	1	2	•	8	
N.H.       9       1       -       -       197       242       -        Outpatte N, Y, N,	Maine	13	ĭ	1	-	361	445	•	4	-	-	•	:	
vis.         4         -         2         -         96         1/28         -         -         -         5           Conn.         34         2         3         1         6.006         3.448         3         -         -         -         1           Conn.         34         2         3         5         6.006         3.448         3         -         -         -         1           MD.ATLANTIC         2.370         20         5         6.006         3.444         38.271         7         4         4         -         1         5           N.Y. Chy         1.326         9         6         7.7519         8.504         3         16         2         -         -         -         5           Pa.         223         2         1.6         10         54.094         66.260         29         38         4         4         9         3           Ind.         43         5         9         -         4.537         7.207         1         8         2         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1	N.H.	9	1	-	-	197	242	-	-	•	-	•		
mass.         22         3         9         1         Auto         Sada         1         2         - <t< td=""><td>Vt.</td><td>4</td><td>:</td><td>2</td><td>-</td><td>95</td><td>124</td><td>-</td><td>21</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>-</td><td>5</td></t<>	Vt.	4	:	2	-	95	124	-	21	1	2	-	5	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mass. R I	222	3	9	1	4,418	3,909	í	2	-	-	-	•	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Conn.	32 94	2	3	-	6.006	3,448	ġ.	-	-	•	•	1	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-		-	60 742	66 164	22	43	6	-	1	5	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2,3/0	20	54 20	3	7 921	7.897	17	14	4	-	1	•	
N.J.N.J. (1)N.J. (2)N.J. 	N.Y. City	1 324	0	20		32,444	38,277	-	-	-	-	-	5	
Pa.       223       3       24       2       12,756       11,486       2       11       -       1       1       -       -       -       1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th="">       1</th1<></th1<>	N.J.	486	9	6	-	7,619	8,504	3	18	2	-	•	•	
E.N.CENTRAL 552 26 116 10 54,094 66,280 29 38 4 4 9 3 Ind. 43 5 9 4,117,89 13,781 5 11 2 - 2 - 1 Mich. 106 9 34 18 6 16,891 14,442 1 4 - 1 Mich. 106 9 34 - 16,715 16,453 22 15 2 1 - 1 Mich. 106 9 34 - 16,715 16,453 22 15 2 1 - 1 Mich. 106 9 - 34 - 16,715 16,453 22 15 2 1 - 1 Mich. 106 9 - 34 - 16,715 16,453 22 15 2 1 - 1 Mich. 106 9 - 2,431 2,400 17 18 2 - 3 Mich. 16 4 9 - 2,431 2,400 17 18 2 - 3 Nos. 15 - 1 - 1,488 1,742 1 3 1 Nos. 15 - 1 - 1,488 1,742 1 3 1 Nos. 12 - 2 - 2 132 157 1 - 1 - 2 - 1 Nos. 15 - 1 - 1,488 1,742 1 3 1 Nos. 12 - 2 - 2 2,152 2,387 2 2 Kans. 21 - 2 - 2 2,152 2,387 2	Pa.	223	3	24	2	12,758	11,486	2	11	•	-	-		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	E.N. CENTRAI	553	26	116	10	54,094	56,260	29	38	4	4	9	3	
	Ohio	72	7	46	4	11,769	13,791	5	11	2		8	1	
	Ind.	43	5	9	-	4,193	5,767	!	8	-	1		-	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HI.	288	4	18	6	16,891	14,442	22	15	,	1	1	1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mich.	106	9	34	-	16,715	16,453	~~~~	15	1	:	-	1	
W.N. CENTRAL       192       5       15       -       15,77       17,290       17       18       2       -       -       -         lowa       15       -       1       -       1,443       1,742       1       3       1       - <td>WIS.</td> <td>44</td> <td>1</td> <td>9</td> <td>-</td> <td>4,520</td> <td>5,607</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td></td>	WIS.	44	1	9	-	4,520	5,607			•		2		
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	W.N. CENTRAL	192	5	15	-	15,377	17,290	17	18	2	-	3		
	Minn.	46	1	9	-	2,431	2,403	11	3		:	-	•	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	lowa	15	-	1	-	1,488	1,/42		12		-	1	•	
	Mo. N. Dok	92	4	-	-	7,968	8,909		12	-	-	-	•	
Number         2         - </td <td>S. Dak</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>285</td> <td>357</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td> <td>-</td>	S. Dak	1	-	-	-	285	357	1	-	1	-	2	-	
Kans. $\frac{1}{25}$ $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{2}{387}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	Nebr.	11		3	-	920	1,278	-	-	-	•	-	•	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Kans.	25	-	ž	-	2,152	2,387	-	-	-	-	-	•	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S ATLANTIC				10	00 / 51	102,803	19	96	7	4	3	5	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Del	1,395	26	51	10	1.504	1,621	•	•	-	-			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Md.	152	5	1	Å	12,068	11,988	2	7	1	•	2	4	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D.C.	196	-	-	-	6,656	7,858	-	:	:	;	-		
	Va.	102		19	2	7,348	8,270	-	4			-		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W. Va.	9	1	6	-	726	1,108		17	2		-	•	
J.C.       34       4       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       1       1       -       2       1       -       1       1       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       2       1       -       1       1       2       1       -       1       2       1       -       1       2       1       -       1       2       1       -       1       2       1       1       2       1       1       2       1       1       2       1       1       1 <td>N.C.</td> <td>57</td> <td>1</td> <td>8</td> <td>-</td> <td>14,963</td> <td>15,990</td> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>1</td>	N.C.	57	1	8	-	14,963	15,990		15	•	•	•	1	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ga	34	4	-	-	16 861	18.097	3	20	1	2	1	:	
E.S. CENTRAL       102       1       20       4       28,049       32,915       5       43       10       -       3       -         Ky,       19       -       9       1       2,844       3,751       -       5       2       -       1       - </td <td>Fla.</td> <td>210</td> <td>3</td> <td>- 0</td> <td>11</td> <td>31,055</td> <td>28,769</td> <td>10</td> <td>31</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td>	Fla.	210	3	- 0	11	31,055	28,769	10	31	2	1	-	2	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		020	14	5		00.040	22 015	5	43	10	-	3	•	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ky	102	1	20	4	28,049	3 761		5	2	-	1	•	
Ala:63179,0749,2825208Miss.1236,3987,101Miss.1236,3987,101<	Tenn	19	-	9	1	9 733	12,781	-	18	-	-	2	•	
Miss. $12$ $1$ $3$ $6,398$ $7,101$ $\cdot$ <td>Ala.</td> <td>63</td> <td>1</td> <td>47</td> <td></td> <td>9.074</td> <td>9,282</td> <td>5</td> <td>20</td> <td>8</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td>	Ala.	63	1	47		9.074	9,282	5	20	8	•	•	•	
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.897 2032 -403 -42,759 -49,302 -6162 -217 -2 -4 -La.20 14,393 -4,519 <td< td=""><td>Miss.</td><td>12</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>3</td><td>6,398</td><td>7,101</td><td>•</td><td>-</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>-</td><td>•</td></td<>	Miss.	12	2		3	6,398	7,101	•	-	•	•	-	•	
The certified by the set of the	WS CENTRAL					42 750	49 302	61	62	2	17	2	4	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ark	897	32	40	3	42,755	4.519	-	-	-	•	-	•	
Okla.       17       6       12       1       4,640       5,704       6       4       2       1       -       1       -       -       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       1       -	La.	112	2	- -		7,599	8,759	7	21	-	-	•	•	
Tex.       728       24       22       1       26,127       30,320       48       37       -       16       2       4         MOUNTAIN       244       9       13       3       9,940       11,899       56       35       9       2       -       1       -       -       -       2       1       -       1       -       -       -       -       2       1       -       1       -       -       -       -       -       2       1       -       1       -	Okla.	37	6	12	1	4,640	5,704	6	4	2	1	:	:	
MOUNTAIN       244       9       13       3       9.940       11,989       56       35       9       2       1         Mont.       2       -       -       251       342       2       1       -       1       -	Tex.	728	24	22	1	26,127	30,320	48	37	-	16	2	4	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MOUNTAIN	244	•	12	2	9 940	11.989	56	35	9	2	•	1	
Idaho       4       -       -       369       400       9       3       -	Mont.	244	9	13		251	342	2	1	•	1	-	•	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Idaho	4	-	_		369	400	9	3	-	-	-	•	
Colo.       100       1       1       -       2,116       3,111       7       7       -       1       -       100       -       8       1       4,003       4,472       10       3       -       -       3       -       -       3       -       -       3       -       -       3       -       -       3       -       -       3       -       -       3       -       -       -       -       3       3	Wyo.	2	-	-	-	208	285	-	-	-	:	•	•	
N. Mex.       15       3       1       -       1,071       1,223       4       1       4       -	Colo.	100	1	1	•	2,116	3,111	7	7	:	1	•	•	
Aniz.       76       2       9       1       3,440       3,570       22       16       4       -       1         PACIFIC       2,656       83       83       11       54,691       57,528       164       127       23       21       -       -       1         PACIFIC       2,656       83       83       11       54,691       57,528       164       127       23       21       -       -       3         Oreg.       61       -       -       2,052       2,300       19       12       1       -	N. Mex.	15	3	1	-	1,071	1,223	4	1	4	•	-	:	
Oran       14       3       -       2       314       0       0       2       1       -       1         PACIFIC       2,656       83       83       11       54,691       57,528       164       127       23       21       -       71         Wash.       100       -       8       1       4,003       4,472       10       3       -       -       3         Oreg.       61       -       -       2,052       2,001       19       12       1       -       -       -       3         Calif.       2,437       78       71       10       47,332       48,716       134       110       22       21       -       53         Alaska       8       5       2       -       855       1,399       -       1       -       -       -       -       -       53         Alaska       8       5       2       -       449       641       1       1       -       -       15         Guam       -       -       103       66       -       -       -       -       16         V.I.       -       -<	Ariz. Utab	76	2	9	1	3,440	3,907	- 22	2	1	:	-		
PACIFIC       2,656       83       83       11       54,691       57,528       164       127       23       21       -       71         Wash.       100       -       8       1       4,003       4,472       10       3       -       -       3         Oreg.       61       -       -       -       2,052       2,300       19       12       1       -       -       -       3         Celif.       2,437       78       71       10       47,332       48,716       134       110       22       21       -       53         Alaska       8       5       2       -       855       1,399       -       1       - </td <td>Nev</td> <td>14</td> <td>3</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td> <td>2 171</td> <td>2 143</td> <td>Ă</td> <td>â</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td>	Nev	14	3	-	2	2 171	2 143	Ă	â		-	-	1	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DAOUTIO	31	•	2							~		74	
Wash.       100       -       8       1       4,003       4,412       10       3       -       -       -       -       3         Oreg.       61       -       -       2,052       2,300       19       12       1       -	PACIFIC	2,656	83	83	11	54,691	57,528	164	127	23	21	•	1	
Origination       01       1 <t< td=""><td>Oreg</td><td>100</td><td>-</td><td>8</td><td>1</td><td>4,003</td><td>4,4/2</td><td>10</td><td>12</td><td></td><td>•</td><td>-</td><td>3</td></t<>	Oreg	100	-	8	1	4,003	4,4/2	10	12		•	-	3	
Alaska       8       5       2       -       855       1,399       -       1       - <t< td=""><td>Calif</td><td>2 4 2 7</td><td></td><td>71</td><td>10</td><td>47 222</td><td>48 716</td><td>134</td><td>110</td><td>22</td><td>21</td><td></td><td>63</td></t<>	Calif	2 4 2 7		71	10	47 222	48 716	134	110	22	21		63	
Hawaii       50       2       449       641       1       -       -       15         Guam       -       -       -       103       66       -       -       -       15         P.R.       65       2       -       1       1,057       1,118       -       13       3       3       -       5         V.I.       -       -       -       126       108       -       -       -       -       -       -       38         Pac. Trust Terr.       -       -       -       235       165       -       -       -       38         Amer. Samoa       -       -       -       44       22       -       -       -       38	Alaska	2,437 R	/0 5	2	-	855	1.399		1	••	•.	•	~	
Guam       -       -       103       66       - </td <td>Hawaii</td> <td>50</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td> <td>-</td> <td>449</td> <td>641</td> <td>1</td> <td>i</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>15</td>	Hawaii	50	-	2	-	449	641	1	i		•	•	15	
Jensing       -       -       103       00       -	Guam			-		102							-	
OB         2         -         1,007         1,110         13         3         3         -         5           VI.         -         -         126         108         -         38           Amer. Samoa         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         38	P.R.	-	-	-		1 057	1 110	-			•	•	÷	
Pac. Trust Terr	V.I.		-			126	108		13	3		-		
Amer. Samoa	Pac. Trust Terr.	-	-	-	-	235	165		-				38	
	Amer. Samoa	-		-	-	44	22	-	-	-	-	•	~	

# TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks endingJune 27, 1987 and June 21, 1986 (25th Week)

	Malaria		Meas	les (Ru	beola)		Menin-	<b></b>				T			
Reporting Area	malaria	Indig	enous	Impo	orted*	Total	gococcal Infections	Mu	mps		Pertuss	is		Rubella	•
	Cum. 1987	1987	Cum. 1987	1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1986	Cum. 1987	1987	Cum.	1987	Cum.	Cum.	1987	Cum.	Cum.
UNITED STATES	334	138	2,197	6	295	4 044	1.675	200	0.440		1907	1980		1987	1986
NEW ENGLAND	25	8	87	3	142	45	1,075	209	9,140	43	835	1,297	9	203	315
N.H.	1	:	3	-	-	-	11	-	- 21	-	20	65 2	-	1	9
Vt.		-		-	102	17	14	-	8	-	2	28	-	-	1
R.I.	9	7	13	З§	20	24	74	-	1	-	3	3 16	-		4
Conn.	10		14	:	1	2	14	-	2	-	1	1	-	•	2
MID. ATLANTIC	32	4	409		43	1 262	202		8	-	8	15	•		
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	14	4	20	-	9	51	73		151	1	109	105	1	10	19
N.J.	8	-	356		14	300	15	:		-		3	-	1	5
Pa.	7	-	21	-	17	22	39 75	2	39 41	1	6 21	7 25		1	3
E.N. CENTRAL	15	4	242	1	18	814	233	132	E 222		00	109		20	49
Ind.	6	•	1	•	4	8	83	132	5,333 71	8	34	74		-	-
III. Mish	ī	4	- 97	:	12	- 502	25	119	754	-	1	22	•	10	44
Wis.	6	-	26	÷.		22	40 64	5	2,380	1	5 28	25	2	1	4
W.N. CENTRAL	11		118	1†	2	276	15	-	1,352	-	31	56	-	•	1
Minn.	5	4	130	1	21	215	73	21	1,166	2	47	64	-	1	10
lowa Mo	2	-	-	- 18	19	43	25	9	664	-	9	27	-	1	1
N. Dak.	4	4	116	-	1	21	21	10	354	1	17	5	:	-	1
S. Dak.	-		-	-	:	21	1	-	6	-	1	3	-	:	:
Kans.	•	-	-	-		1	3	2	80 2	:	2	2		•	;
S. ATLANTIC			-	-	1	97	19	-	41	-	10	10	•	-	,
Del.	5/	6 4	77	-	6	466	272	6	200	3	171	484	•	12	3
Md. DC	12	-	2	:	:	27	4	-	-	•	-	219 117	2	2	•
Va.	6 12	1	÷	-	1	-	25	-	-	-	-	···/-	-	-	:
W. Va.	2			:	-	48	45	-	56	-	37	15	-		•
S.C.	7	•	1	-	1	2	34	2	27 12	2	35 65	18	-	-	:
Ga.	2	-	-	2	•	301	28	-	11	-		8	-	1	-
ria.	12	1	46	-	4	15	52 79	. 3	40 36	:	17	28	-	6	3
E.S. CENTRAL	4	-	2	-		28	79	20	1 1 7 9	2	14	21		2	1
Tenn.	1	-	-	-	-		15	1	210	-	1	1	-	2	
Ala. Miss	-	•	-	:	-	26	28	24	919	2	5	5 15	2	-	:
W/C. OFMER	2	•	2	-	-	2	6	-	50	-	2		-	•	E2
Ark.	22	-	192	-	3	560	111	2	682	3	55	94	-	5	
La.			:	-	•	283	11		278	-	2	5	-	-	-
Tex.	4	-	1	-	1	12	10 17	2 N	197 N	1	12 41	56	-	2	53
ΜΟΙΙΝΤΑΙΝ		-	191	-	2	264	73		207	-	-	28	-	40	16
Mont.	13	6	427	1	15	281	58	5	184	6	81	120	-	3	1
Idaho Whe	1	-	122	:	1	7	2	-	4	÷	3	27	-	1	
Colo.	-	-	2	-	2		5	-	3	-	20	1	-	-	1
N. Mex.	-	3	287	-	-	7	18	1	26	-	20	34	-	÷	1
Utah	6	3	13	-	ĭ	236	21	N .	N 134	4	23	28	-	10	10
Nev.	2	:	-	1†	1	1	6	2	8	-	1	14	-	-	3
PACIFIC	155	106	631	-	1	-	3	2	9	-		146	8	133	14/
Wash. Oreg	13	1	5	-	4/	372	495 62	11	230	17	239 33	51	-	1	
Calif.	4	105	2	•	33	5	21	N	N	-	14	8	-	88	139
Alaska	3		- 024	:	10	265	401	10	180	8	96 3	2	-	1 43	2
Guerra	1	-	-	•	4	20	47	1	12	8	93	3	8	-10	2
P.R.	:	•	2	•	-	3	4		5		-	:	-	2	58
V.I.	-	:	562	-	-	18	3	-	5	-	12	7	-	-	-
Pac. Trust Terr.	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	•	9	:	1	-	-	2	1
	•	•	-	-	-	2	-		3		-	-		_	

## TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending June 27, 1987 and June 21, 1986 (25th Week)

\*For measles only, imported cases includes both out-of-state and international importations. N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable

Reporting Area	Syphilis (Primary&	(Civilian) Secondary)	Toxic- shock Syndrome	Tuber	culosis	Tula- remia	Typhoid Fever	Typhus Fever (Tick-borne) (RMSF)	Rabies, Animal
	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1986	1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1986	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1987
UNITED STATES	15,945	12,321	4	9,819	9,880	60	138	187	2,390
NEW ENGLAND	255	252	-	316	316		13	1	2
Maine	200	15	-	17	26	-	1	-	1
N.H.	2	10	-	8	10	•	:	-	•
Vt.	1	6	-	100	10	•	1	1	:
Mass. R I	123	129	-	168	24	-	1		1
Conn.	121	16	-	91	102	-	i	•	•
	121	70		4 050	1 061		17	5	177
MID. ATLANTIC	2,927	1,740	-	1,003	301	-	7	3	13
N.Y. City	96	88	-	250	964	-	-		
N.J.	2,084	325	-	313	353		10	1	5
Pa.	426	343	-	300	343	-	-	1	159
EN CENTRAL	400	400		1 195	1 225	1	18	23	78
Ohio	436	490	1	229	210	i	6	19	3
Ind.	28	58	-	120	139	-	4	-	11
Ш.	246	269	-	477	548	-	5	:	28
Mich.	79	74	-	318	272	-	2	4	25
Wis.	30	25	-	51	56	-	•		
W.N. CENTRAL	72	117	1	292	285	19	8	19	528
Minn.	8	18	-	67	71	-	3	-	123
lowa	11	6	1	.17	22	3	2 3	5	28
MO.	35	63	-	163	141	13	-		69
S Dak	-	3	-	16	13	2	-	-	107
Nebr	7	1	•	12	5	-	-	-	15
Kans.	4	15	-	16	29	1	-	14	28
S ATLANITIC				2 000	1,911	3	11	58	658
Del.	5,447	3,641	-	2,035	21	ī	-		
Md.	45	22	-	183	135	-	2	23	226
D.C.	168	157	-	66	70	:	:	-	207
Va.	135	196	-	195	171	1	1	3	207
W. Va.	5	9	-	57	53	:	1	10	2
N.C.	301	244	-	232	259			14	33
3.U. Ge	347	312	-	200	243		-	5	96
Fla.	756	724	-	810	683		6	•	39
F.O	3,399	1,763	-			•	•	22	187
E.S. CENTRAL	952	798	1	782	215	3			94
Ny. Tenn	8	39	-	222	215	-	1	12	51
Ala	403	299	1	249	288		-	6	42
Miss.	243	209	-	148	111	1	-	2	-
WS CENTER	250	131		4 4 9 9	1 221	10	٩	51	354
Ark	2,034	2,552	-	1,130	164	8	1	2	77
La.	109	134	-	133	186	2	-	-	9
Okla.	350	428	-	106	117	8	2	43	17
Tex.	1,496	1.920	-	765	754	•	6	6	251
MOUNTAIN	240	202		234	225	8	7	7	185
Mont.	340	255	-	9	11	1	-	6	96
Idaho	3	5	-	17	10	1	-	•	•
Wyo.	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	43
Colo.	50	79	-	12	15	1	:	•	:
Ariz	32	33	-	44	49	1	7	•	20
Utah	161	121	-	134	105	3	•	•	39
Nev.	70	/	-	12	15				1
PACIFIC		-0	-			-		_	
Wash	3,482	2,438	!	2,112	1,850	8	54	1	221
Oreg.	40 126	0/	1	124 E0	9/ 87	3	Ð	•	•
Calif.	3 301	2 296		1 798	1 567	3			210
Alaska	2	2,230	-	32	27	i	-		418
Hawaii	7	20	-	100	92	-	3		
Guam	2	1	_	25	20		-		
P.R.	488	402	-	143	134	-	•	-	
V.I.	3		-	2	1	:	-	•	3/
Pac. Trust Terr.	105	142	-	89	25	-	15		•
Amer. Samoa	2	-	-	-	3	-	1		

#### TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending June 27, 1987 and June 21, 1986 (25th Week)

U: Unavailable

	All Causes, By Age (Years)			T	1	<u> </u>		1808 B		(V.e.e)		T			
Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	P&i** Total	ReportingArea	All Ages	≥65	45-84	25-44	1-24	<1	P&I** Totai
NEW ENGLAND	620	426	106	48	14	25	43		1 1 2 2	710		L	24	AE	
Boston, Mass.	154	92	28	17	7	10	18	Atlanta, Ga.	146	87	233	98	- 34	40	1
Cambridge Mass	41	32	4	3	1	1	2	Baltimore, Md.	180	121	36	12	8	3	4
Fall River, Mass.	32	26	5		-	-	5	Charlotte, N.C.	73	44	18	5	3	3	3
Hartford, Conn.	55	29	14	6	2	3	1	Jacksonville, Fla.	105	65	22	10	2	6	2
Lowell, Mass.	29	19	5	Ă	ī		i	Norfolk Va	96	54	25	12	2	6	3
Lynn, Mass.	15	14	1	-	-	-	2	Richmond, Va.	86	51	23	9		3	ž
New Haven Conn	36	27	6	2	1	-	2	Savannah, Ga.	63	45	12	3	-	3	2
Providence, R.I.	52	37	ä	5	-	4	3	St. Petersburg, Fla.	91	79	8	4	-	2	6
Somerville, Mass.	4	3	1	-	-	3	-	Tampa, Fla.	69	41	16	4	3	11	1
Springfield, Mass.	35	26	8	-	-	1	2	Wasnington, D.C.	133	76	23	14	9		
Worcester Maco	30	22	6	1	1	-	5		31	24				40	41
MD ATLANTIC	/0	49	12	6	-	3	2	E.S. CENTRAL	875	542	194	68	31	13	1
Albany NV	2,802	1,775	574	276	77	100	123	Chattanooga Tenn	120	51	29	3	ž	4	6
Allentown, Pa.	4/	38	6	-	1	2	2	Knoxville, Tenn.	81	58	15	3	5	:	8
Buffalo, N.Y.	101	64	22	-	2	-	-	Louisville, Ky.	95	59	16	8	3	9	- 11
Camden, N.J.	42	19	11	8	4	3	15	Memphis, Tenn.	200	132	44	16	7	3	8
Elizabeth, N.J.	19	16	2	ĩ	-	-	i	Mobile, Ala.	111	73	25	8		6	2
Jersey City, N. I.	37	23	9	1	-	4	ż	Nontgomery, Ala.	121	23	29	11	6	4	1
N.Y. City, N.Y.	1 4 4 5	37	10	10		5	3		1.01	007	075	121	59	49	55
Newark, N.J.	61	18	10	108	45	39	52	Austin Tox	1,339	825	2/5	6	ĩ	2	5
Paterson, N.J.	46	24	7	5	3	14	-	Baton Bouge La	60	37	16	3	4	:	4
Philadelphia, Pa.	492	310	114	45	10	13	20	Corpus Christi, Tex.	50	35	10	4	2	1	5
Reading Pa	58	37	16	4	•	1	2	Dallas, Tex.	190	112	37	28	5	4	3
Rochester, N.Y.	124	31	4	1	:	1	2	El Paso, Tex.	57	36	17	5	9	8	3
Schenectady, N.Y.	19	18	10	4	3	1	10	Houston Toxs	209	176	74	34	13	11	
Scranton, Pa.†	22	14	4	4	-	-	-	Little Bock Ark	97	63	18	10	4	2	14
Trenton N.J.	96	75	14	3	2	2	5	New Orleans, La.	92	54	19	14	3	6	3
Utica, N.Y.	29	17	5	2		5	ĭ	San Antonio, Tex.	194	126	42	11	9	š	3
Yonkers, N.Y.	24	22	2	-	-	•	2	Shreveport, La.	64	37	13	2		2	6
E.N. CENTRAL	2 247	19	3	2	•	•	4	Tulsa, Okla.	65	49			26	29	30
Akron, Ohio	2,24/	1,469	486	144	61	87	82	MOUNTAIN	654	406	139	54	20	4	2
Canton, Ohio	22		15	4	1	5	-	Albuquerque, N. Mex	. 68	3/	15	4	2	2	3
Chicago III§	564	362	125	45	10	-	3	Denver Colo	120	79	16	9	5	11	3
Cincinnati, Ohio	105	66	19	10	2	- 22	10	Las Vegas, Nev.	94	57	24	7	4	2	1
Columbus Ohio	159	105	32	12	3	ž	ĭ	Ogden, Utah	20	14	3	1	-	7	3
Davton, Ohio	1/0	102	42	9	8	9	Ż	Phoenix, Ariz.	145	79	40	15		-	1
Detroit, Mich.	238	141	23	13	4	1	6	Pueblo, Colo.	20	13	4 5	1	5	1	+
Evansville, Ind.	35	21	- 52	19	12	14	10	Tucson Ariz	35	83	25	7	1	-	
Fort Wayne, Ind.	58	42	11	-	3	2	4	DACIFIC	4 005	1 001	200	172	68	56	110
Grand Rapide Mish	20	12	6	2		-	2	Berkeley Calif	1,995	1,291	355	1	•	-	ī
Indianapolis Ind	122	36	15		1	5	1	Fresno, Calif.	98	65	21	9	2	1	2
Madison, Wis.	33	21	33	11	3	2	2	Glendale, Calif.	24	13	7	3	1	i	8
Milwaukee, Wis.	134	96	31	2	3	1	4	Honolulu, Hawaii	70	45	16			6	6
Peoria, III.	57	42	7	ž	3	3	5	Long Beach, Calif.	105	70	19	48	25	8	5
Nockford, III.	39	26	12	-		ĩ	4	Oakland Calif	510	308	13	8	3		4
Toledo Obio	/2	57	11	1	2	1	3	Pasadena, Calif.	42	32	5	1	2	ž	5
Youngstown, Ohio	71	52	18	3	3	1	6	Portland, Oreg.	140	95	31	4	6	-	5
W NI CENITRAL			15	2	1	ı.	-	Sacramento, Calif.	138	91	33	8	9	10	18
Des Moines Iowa	000	432	139	49	27	19	46	San Diego, Calif.	163	104	25	21	3	8	16
Duluth, Minn.	17	13	d ⊿		4	1	6	San Jose, Calif.	169	103	33	17	4	5	5
Kansas City, Kans.	46	25	14	3	;	,	-	Seattle, Wash.	168	119	26	14	5	4	5
Kansas City, Mo.	123	79	27	9	2	6	2	Spokane, Wash.	44	30	10	1	;	3	1
Lincoln, Nebr.	31	25	6	-	-	-	3	Tacoma, Wash.	56	42	3	5	3	460	563
Minneapolis, Minn.	64	40	14	5	1	4	7	TOTAL 1	2,320**	7,878	2,545	1,040	397	490	-
St. Louis, Mo.	127	44 67	20	6 16	4	1	6	l '			•				
St. Paul, Minn.	64	50	10	10	10	2	10								
Wichita, Kans.	69	47	16	3	3	-	6								

### TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,\* week ending June 27, 1987 (25th Week)

\*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United states, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

included. \*\*Pneumonia and influenza.

thecause of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. It that includes unknown space t†Total includes unknown ages.

\$Data not available. Figures are estimates based on average of past 4 weeks.

#### Vol. 36 / No. 25

MMWR

## Keratitis – Continued

isolated from two of these: *A. hatchetti,* from one, and *A. polyphaga,* from another. In contrast, only one (2%) of the 59 commercially prepared saline specimens was contaminated with bacteria or fungi, and none were contaminated with *Acanthamoeba.* Fluid samples from 56 (69%) of the 81 specimens of lens-care hardware had positive bacterial/fungal assays; 46 (57%) had titers between 10<sup>5</sup> and 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml. Acanthamoebae were isolated from three specimens. No disinfectants, daily cleaners, or eye drops/lubricants were contaminated with bacteria, fungi, or *Acanthamoeba*.

Reported by: Div of Parasitic Diseases, Hospital Infections Program, Div of Host Factors, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note: Acanthamoeba keratitis is a serious infection of the cornea caused by amoebae of the genus Acanthamoeba. Including the patients reported here, less than 100 persons have been diagnosed as having Acanthamoeba keratitis in the United States since the disease was first described in 1973 (4-15). The mechanism by which Acanthamoeba infects the human cornea is unknown. Studies using animal models have been largely unsuccessful in establishing an infection comparable to that in humans. Historically, the infection has been associated with penetrating corneal trauma (4,7,9,12,14). More recently, an association with contact-lens wear has become apparent (8-13). However, a few patients have had neither a history of trauma nor of contact-lens wear (1,6,12).

The risk factors identified in this study suggest deviations from contact-lens wear and care procedures recommended by lens manufacturers and health-care professionals. Current U.S. Food and Drug Administration licensure of commercial salt tablets (used to make homemade saline solution) applies only to using the saline solutions before and during thermal disinfection of lenses, not as a postdisinfection rinse or wetting agent. Laboratory studies show that thermal disinfection of soft contact lenses is effective in killing *Acanthamoeba* trophozoites and cysts (15), suggesting that use of homemade saline solutions before and during the thermal disinfection phase is safe. This study was not able to epidemiologically evaluate the risk of using homemade solutions used them both before and after disinfection. However, it should be noted that 70% of patients and only 17% of controls used such solutions after disinfection.

In interpreting the results of this study, several potential biases should be considered:

- 1) Cases and controls may have been overmatched.
- 2) Patients had not been wearing their contact lenses for up to 12 months before the interview; therefore, they may have had difficulty in remembering the details of the care of their contact lenses.
- 3) Marketing data suggest that only 28% of soft-contact-lens wearers in the United States are male (a proportion significantly higher than that in the control group) (Dorland and Sweeney, unpublished data). Therefore, the control group may have been biased toward including more women than men. The former may have been more easily contacted by their ophthalmologist or optometrist and may have been more likely to consent to participating in the study.
- 4) Finally, the contact-lens solutions and associated hardware were not collected in a controlled manner.

Although Acanthamoeba keratitis is relatively rare, risk factors associated with the infection among soft-contact-lens wearers may also apply to more common bacterial

## Keratitis – Continued

infections of the cornea. Persons wearing contact lenses should be reminded to adhere closely to recommended contact-lens wear and care procedures. These include using sterile solutions after disinfecting lenses, using solutions and disinfection methods appropriate for the specific lens type, cleaning and disinfecting lenses each time they are removed, and hand washing before handling lenses. Ophthalmologists and optometrists should explain carefully the recommended cleaning and wearing procedures and should review these recommendations with their patients periodically. Contact-lens wearers not complying with these recommendations may be increasing their risk for infection with *Acanthamoeba* and other organisms. As a result, they could develop partial or total loss of vision. However, further studies are necessary to determine the magnitude of the risk.

#### References

- 1. CDC. Acanthamoeba keratitis associated with contact lenses-United States. MMWR 1986;35:405-8.
- Busta FF, Peterson EH, Adams DM, Johnson MG. Colony count methods. In: Speck ML, ed. Compendium of methods for the microbial examination of foods. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association, 1984:62-83.
- Visvesvara GS. Laboratory diagnosis. In: Rondanelli EG, ed. Amphizoic amoeba: human pathology. Padova, Italy: Piccin Nuova Libraria, 1987:201-23.
- Visvesvara GS. Free-living pathogenic amoeba. In: Lennette EH, Balows A, Hausler WJ Jr, Truant JP, eds. Manual of clinical microbiology. 3rd ed. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology, 1980:704-8.
- Jones DB, Visvesvara GS, Robinson NM. Acanthamoeba polyphaga keratitis and Acanthamoeba uveitis associated with fatal meningoencephalitis. Trans Ophthalmol Soc UK 1975;95:221-32.
- Key SN III, Green WR, Willaert E, Stevens AR, Key SN Jr. Keratitis due to Acanthamoeba castellanii: a clinicopathologic case report. Arch Ophthalmol 1980;98:475-9.
   Ma P. Willaget F, Herner K, Stevens AR, Key SN Jr. Keratitis due to Acanthamoeba in New.
- Ma P, Willaert E, Juechter KB, Stevens AR. A case of keratitis due to Acanthamoeba in New York, New York, and features of 10 cases. J Infect Dis 1981;143:662-7.
- Hirst LW, Green WR, Merz W, et al. Management of Acanthamoeba keratitis: a case report and review of the literature. Ophthalmol 1984;91:1105-11.
- Blackman HJ, Rao NA, Lemp MA, Visvesvara GS. Acanthamoeba keratitis successfully treated with penetrating keratoplasty: suggested immunogenic mechanisms of action. Cornea 1984;3:125-30.
   Samuela ID, Samuela ID
- Samples JR, Binder PS, Luibel FJ, Font RL, Visvesvara GS, Peter CR. Acanthamoeba keratitis possibly acquired from a hot tub. Arch Ophthalmol 1984;102:707-10.
- Scully RE, Mark EJ, McNealy BN, eds. Case records of the Massachusetts General Hospital (case no. 10-1985). N Engl J Med 1985;312:634-41.
- Cohen EJ, Buchanan HW, Laughrea PA, et al. Acanthamoeba keratitis associated with soft contact lenses. Am J Ophthalmol 1985;100:389-95.
- Moore MB, McCulley JP, Luckenbach M, et al. Acanthamoeba keratitis associated with soft contact lenses. Am J Ophthalmol 1985;100:396-403.
- Theodore FH, Jakobiec FA, Juechter KP, et al. The diagnostic value of a ring infiltrate in acanthamoebic keratitis. Ophthalmol 1985;92:1471-9.
- Ludwig IH, Meisler DM, Rutherford I, et al. Susceptibility of Acanthamoeba to soft contact lens disinfection systems. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1986;27:626-8.

## Topics in Minority Health

## **Cigarette Smoking Among Blacks and Other Minority Populations**

The Report of the Secretary's Task Force on Black and Minority Health documented that, compared with whites, blacks experience substantial excess mortality from cancer, cardiovascular disease, and infant death (1). All of these conditions are

#### Smoking - Continued

substantially affected by smoking; therefore, this excess mortality makes cigarette smoking a major health issue for blacks.

According to the 1985 National Health Interview Survey, 41% of black men smoke cigarettes, compared with 32% of white men. Similarly, the prevalence of smoking among black women (32%) exceeds that among white women (28%) (Table 1). Hispanic men smoke at about the same rate (31%) as white men, while Hispanic women have a much lower smoking rate (21%) than white and black women.

Higher death rates from heart disease and cancer, both of which are associated with tobacco use, parallel the increased prevalence of smoking among blacks. An estimated 30% of deaths from coronary heart disease and 30% of all deaths from cancer are attributable to cigarette smoking (3,4). Black men experience a 20% higher mortality rate from heart disease and a 58% higher incidence of lung cancer than white men. Black women have a 50% higher mortality rate from heart disease than white women (Table 2). Blacks also experience substantially higher rates of fetal death and low-birthweight babies (Table 3), both of which are associated with maternal smoking (5). Low birthweight is an important predictor of infant mortality, which also occurs at a much higher rate among blacks (Table 3).

Reported by: Office on Smoking and Health, Center for Health Promotion and Education, CDC. Editorial Note: A joint committee with representatives from the Federal government and the private sector has called upon government, private health organizations, and social agencies to give higher priority to educating minority populations concerning the health hazards of tobacco use. The Interagency Committee on Smoking and Health, established by the Congress and chaired by the Surgeon General of the United States, met in Washington, D.C., on March 31, 1987, to hear testimony from experts and representatives of national organizations on the health effects of cigarette smoking among minority populations. The Committee considered such topics as the prevalence of smoking and the incidence of smoking-related diseases in minorities, possible interventions for reducing smoking in minority groups, and cigarette marketing and advertising campaigns that target minorities.

The cigarette industry is now aggressively promoting cigarettes to both blacks and Hispanics. These promotional efforts include advertisements in publications aimed at minority groups, sponsorship of entertainment and cultural events drawing large minority audiences, and extensive use of billboards in minority neighborhoods (6,7).

In his closing remarks to the Interagency Committee on Smoking and Health, the Surgeon General asked that the public health community and minority groups look upon cigarette smoking as an important health problem faced by minority individuals

	1965	1976	1980	1985
Sex/Race	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Males	(52.1)	(41.6)	(37.9)	(32.7)
White	(51.3)	(41.0)	(37.1)	(31.8)
Black	(59.6)	(50.1)	(44.9)	(40.6)
Females	(34.2)	(32.5)	(29.8)	(28.3)
White	(34.5)	(32.4)	(30.0)	(28.3)
Black	(32.7)	(34.7)	(30.6)	(31.6)

TABLE 1. Age-adjusted rates of cigarette smoking among persons  $\geq$ 20 years of age, by sex and race – United States; 1965, 1976, 1980, 1985 (2)

### Smoking - Continued

and communities. He stated, "We must place cigarette smoking in the total context of minority health, but in doing so we must give it its proper place in the hierarchy of risks. It ranks very high indeed. Two of the six leading causes of excess death observed among blacks and other minorities are cancer and cardiovascular disease, both of which are smoking-related, and a third is infant mortality, to which cigarette smoking contributes. I submit that no public or private effort aimed at improving the health of blacks and other minorities can omit the reduction of cigarette smoking as one of its major goals."

The reduction of cigarette smoking in the black population is one of the most important, immediately available options for reducing the wide disparities between the health status of minorities and that of whites.

	М	ale	Fen	nale
Rates	White	Black	White	Black
Incidence*				
Cancer (all sites)	402.0	505.9	311.4	303.6
Cancer of lung				
and bronchus	79.3	125.3	33.5	33.9
Cancer of oral				
cavity and pharynx	17.2	22.5	-	-
Cancer of esophagus	5.0	19.9	-	-
Mortality <sup>†</sup>				
All causes	689.9	1.011.7	391.3	585.3
Heart disease	249.5	300.1	124.0	186.6
Cerebrovascular				
disease	33.9	62.8	28.9	51.8
Malignant neoplasms				
(respiratory system)	58.4	85.9	21.6	21.4
Chronic obstructive				
pulmonary disease	27.6	22.8	11.8	8.1

# TABLE 2. Age-adjusted mortality and incidence rates for selected smoking-related diseases, by sex and race United States, 1983-1984

\*New cases per 100,000 population, 1983 (2). <sup>†</sup>Deaths per 100,000 population, 1984 (2).

## TABLE 3. Fetal death rate, low-birthweight rate, and infant mortality rate, by race – United States, 1984 (2 )

	Rates								
Race	Fetal death*	Low birthweight <sup>†</sup>	Infant mortality <sup>s</sup>						
White	7.3	5.6	9.4						
Black	12.7	12.4	18.4						

\*Deaths of fetuses ≥20 weeks gestation per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.

<sup>†</sup>Percentage of infants weighing <2,500 gm.

<sup>5</sup>Deaths of infants <1 year of age per 1,000 live births.

#### Vol. 36 / No. 25

#### MMWR

## Smoking – Continued

#### References

- 1. Office of Minority Health. Report of the Secretary's Task Force on Black and Minority Health. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1985-1986.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 1986. Hyattsville, Maryland: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1986; DHHS publication no. (PHS)87-1232.
- Office on Smoking and Health. The health consequences of smoking: cancer a report of the Surgeon General. Rockville, Maryland: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1982:v; DHHS publication no. (PHS)82-50179.
- 4. Office on Smoking and Health. The health consequences of smoking: cardiovascular disease a report of the Surgeon General. Rockville, Maryland: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1984:iv; DHHS publication no. (PHS)84-50204.
- Office on Smoking and Health. The health consequences of smoking for women: a report of the Surgeon General. Rockville, Maryland: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1980.
- 6. Davis RM. Current trends in cigarette advertising and marketing. N Engl J Med 1987;316: 725-32.
- 7. Blum A. Selling cigarettes: the blue-collar, black target. Washington Post 1986 May 18:F1,F4.



FIGURE I. Reported measles cases – United States, weeks 21-24, 1987

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia, and available on a paid subscription basis from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, (202) 783-3238.

The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially outbreaks, environmental hazards, or other public health problems of current interest to health officials. Such Editor, *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

Director, Centers for Disease Control James O. Mason, M.D., Dr.P.H. Director, Epidemiology Program Office Carl W. Tyler, Jr., M.D.

Editor Michael B. Gregg, M.D. Managing Editor Gwendolyn A. Ingraham

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1987-730-145/60011 Region IV

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control Atlanta, GA 30333

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300



Postage and Fees Paid U.S. Dept. of H.H.S. HHS 396

### A \*HCA54MARP 22 8709 PAM MARTIN CHAMBLEE INFO CENTER BLDG 30, RM 1321

X