

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

November 28, 1986 / Vol. 35 / No. 47
729 Influenza Activity in Civilian and Military Populations and Key Points for Use of Influenza Vaccines
732 Dengue in the Americas, 1985
733 Turtle-Associated Salmonellosis Ohio
740 Smoking Prevalence and Cessation in Selected States, 1981-1983 and 1985 - The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveys

## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Influenza Activity in Civilian and Military Populations and Key Points for Use of Influenza Vaccines

Investigation of influenza-like illness in military personnel has confirmed infections with the A/Taiwan/86(H1N1)-like variant. These outbreaks have provided additional evidence supporting the use of the 1986-1987 supplemental monovalent influenza vaccine in recommended high-risk groups of young adults and also in young adults providing health care or other essential services.

Asia. During April and May 1986, influenza-like activity was reported among military personnel stationed at three U.S. Air Force bases in the Philippines and Japan. Paired sera were collected from eight ill individuals, including five persons who had been vaccinated with trivalent influenza vaccine between October 1985 and January 1986. The geometric mean titer (GMT) determined from hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test results for the acute-phase sera from the vaccinated personnel was 140 for the $A / C h i l e / 83(H 1 N 1)$ antigen and 35 for A/ Taiwan/86. Convalescent-phase sera showed a four-fold increase in the GMT (560) for A/Chile/83 and a ten-fold rise in the GMT (370) for A/Taiwan/86. These findings provide circumstantial evidence that illnesses were caused by infections with A/Taiwan/86-like viruses.

Florida. Between October 10 and November 7, 1986, at least 52 active duty personnel at the Key West Naval Base experienced a respiratory illness characterized by feverishness, cough, and sore throat or myalgias. Thirty-four ill persons were members of one 111 -person squadron that was interviewed after an outbreak of influenza-like illness, and the others were identified by reviewing the Naval Medical Clinic records. A/Taiwan/ 86 -like virus was isolated from three of four nasopharyngeal cultures collected on November 5 from ill persons. Patients in the squadron ranged from 19 to 39 years of age; $88 \%$ of them were $<35$ years of age. Onset of illnesses occurred from October 19 to November 2. Supplemental monovalent A/Taiwan/86(H1N1) vaccine had not yet been used. The attack rate among squadron members who had been vaccinated with the 1986-1987 trivalent influenza vaccine-which contains A/Chile/83 antigen as its type A(H1N1) component - was $36.5 \%$ (23/63); among the unvaccinated, the attack rate was $33.3 \%(11 / 33)$. Other differences between the vaccinated and unvaccinated groups that might affect illness rates were not identified.

Control measures implemented on the naval base included recommending that all active
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duty service members <35 years of age be vaccinated with the supplemental monovalent influenza $A(H 1 N 1)$ vaccine. All military dependents who were $<35$ years of age and also in defined high-risk groups and all health care workers $<35$ years of age were also vaccinated and received a 14-day course of amantadine chemoprophylaxis beginning at the time of vaccination.

Other Reports - United States. Influenza type $\mathbf{A}(\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ virus also has been isolated from patients during outbreaks of influenza-like illness in two other states. In Massachusetts, virus was isolated in mid-November from one student in each of two Boston colleges. Large increases in the numbers of students seen with influenza-like illness were noted at the time the specimens were collected. In New York City, virus was isolated from two young-adult inmates who were ill in mid-November during an ongoing outbreak of influenza-like illness in an adolescent detention center. During the outbreaks in Massachusetts, New York, and Key West, Florida, there was no apparent spread to surrounding communities where influenza-like illness continued to occur at sporadic levels.

Influenza type A(H1N1) virus was also isolated in association with sporadically occurring cases in Oregon, New York, and Texas. In Oregon, virus was isolated in early November from a teenage patient living near Portland. In New York City, from late October to mid-November, type $A(H 1 N 1)$ virus was isolated from five children and two young adults. In Houston, Texas, active surveillance has identified a total of 15 type $A(H 1 N 1)$ virus isolates collected from residents (nearly all children) during late October to mid-November.

Influenza type B virus has been identified from ill persons in California and Texas. In California, virus was isolated from a 77-year-old resident of San Joaquin County who was ill in midOctober. In Texas, a man returning by air from South America in early November had influenza soon after arriving in Houston. His son developed influenza two days later; type B virus was isolated from both father and son.
Reported by HM1 RD Huff, USN, Dept of Environmental and Occupational Health, Naval Medical Clinic, Key West, Florida; CDR RL Buck, MC, USN, LCDR DH Trump, MC, USN, Epidemiology Dept, Navy Environmental and Preventive Medicine Unit, No. 2, Norfolk, Virginia; Epidemiology Div, Disease Surveillance Br, Laboratory Br-Virology Section, USAF School of Aerospace Medicine, Brooks Air Force Base, Texas; EE Buff, MS, E Wyner, MT, V Mock, M Wilder, MD, MS, Acting State Epidemiologist, Florida State Dept of Health and Rehabilitative Svcs; B Daidone, K Bromberg, MD, Kings County Hospital, C Braslow, MD, ISpigland, MD, Montefiore Hospital, S Schultz, MD, New York City Dept of Health, D Morse, MD, State Epidemiologist, New York State Dept of Health; V Berardi, L Mofensen, MD, P Etkind, G Grady, MD, State Epidemiologist, Massachusetts Dept of Public Health; Influenza Research Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, C Alexander, MD, State Epidemiologist, Texas Dept of Health; R Schieble, PhD, R Murray, PhD, J Chin, MD, State Epidemiologist, California Dept of Health Sucs; B Matsuda, MR Skeels, PhD, DW Fleming, MD, LR Foster, MD, MPH, State Epidemiologist, Oregon Dept of Human Resources; Div of Field Services, Epidemiology Program Office, WHO Collaborating Center for Influenza, Influenza Br, Div of Viral Diseases, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
Editorial Note: Influenza A/Taiwan/86(H1N1)-like viruses were first isolated in Asia in early 1986. These viruses circulated in the western pacific region until about mid-year and caused illness primarily in children and young adults living in the region (1,2). Because there is a high degree of antigenic variation between the A/Taiwan/86-like viruses and the A/Chile/83 (H1N1) component of the trivalent 1986-1987 influenza vaccine, a supplemental monovalent vaccine containing A/Taiwan/86(H1N1) virus antigen was recommended, particularly for high-risk children and young adults.

The Key West report is the first outbreak of influenza-like illness identified in the United States during the 1986-1987 influenza season, and isolation of influenza A/Taiwan/86-like virus from three of four patients identified this as the etiologic agent. In late September and early October, the U.S. Navy implemented its 1986-1987 trivalent influenza immunization program; the supplemental monovalent influenza immunization program had not yet begun. The finding of similar attack rates among persons receiving the trivalent vaccine and non-
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vaccinated persons is consistent with previous serologic data (3). It is also consistent with reported data for Air Force personnel that high post-vaccination antibody titers against A/Chile/83 in young adults do not guarantee protection against infection by A/Taiwan/86-like virus.

It is important to note that the influenza vaccination policy of the Armed Forces of the United States differs from the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP) recommendations. In addition to following the ACIP recommendations for civilian dependents and health care personnel, the Armed Forces recommend routine vaccination for all military personnel because of the need to prevent influenza outbreaks that could impair a unit's ability to carry out its mission. The decision to give the monovalent $A / T a i w a n / 86$ vaccine to all service members $<35$ years of age stationed at the Key West facility during the outbreak is in keeping with this policy.

The ACIP recommendations for the civilian population are intended to protect individuals who, because of existing medical conditions, are at high risk for severe influenza and serious complications. The presence of influenza type $A(H 1 N 1)$ outbreaks and type $B$ virus infections in the United States emphasizes the need for all high-risk individuals to receive appropriate vaccination, including trivalent vaccine. Although this information has been published previously in the MMWR (2,4,5), it is important to re-emphasize the following key points:

- High-risk persons of all ages should receive the standard trivalent vaccine according to previously published ACIP recommendations.
- The Public Health Service (PHS) urges health care personnel who treat high-risk children or high-risk adults < 35 years of age to provide both trivalent and supplemental $A(H 1 N 1)$ influenza vaccines to their patients.
- Vaccination with the trivalent vaccine should not be delayed if the supplemental vaccine is not available at the time the trivalent vaccine would normally be given.
- Supplemental vaccination is of potential benefit to many other groups of young persons to reduce morbidity if $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ outbreaks occur. The potential for introducing influenza to high-risk patients could be reduced by vaccinating young adult parents and siblings of high-risk children; young health care personnel who provide care for young, high-risk patients; and young employees who perform essential services in the public or private sector.
- There is no special emphasis by the PHS to provide the supplemental vaccine to adults $\geqslant 35$ years of age. However, it may be used in this group either as an added precaution, if the physician and patient so desire, or on the basis of institutional or other local policy decisions. (To date, the elderly have not been involved in the first reported influenza $\mathbf{A}(\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ infections in the United States.)
- Aspirin use during influenza, influenza-like illnesses, and chickenpox has been associated with Reye syndrome (6), a rare but serious disease. Therefore, the PHS warns that children and teenagers $\leqslant 18$ years of age should not use aspirin or aspirin-containing medications for the treatment of these illnesses (7).
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## Dengue in the Americas, 1985

The Americas experienced increased dengue activity in 1985 with 68,998 cases reported as compared to 43,435 cases in 1984 and 25,216 cases in 1983. In 1985, as in 1983 and 1984, three serotypes (DEN-1, DEN-2, and DEN-4) circulated in the region. Twenty countries reported dengue activity, and the serotype was confirmed by virus isolation and/or serology in 14. Although all three serotypes were widely distributed in 1985, DEN-1 continued to be the predominant virus serotype in the region. Three countries (Mexico, Puerto Rico, and Venezuela) had three serotypes circulating simultaneously (DEN-1, DEN-2, and DEN-4), while five other countries had at least two serotypes (Table 1).

Nicaragua and Aruba experienced major dengue epidemics in 1985. Small numbers of cases with severe and fatal hemorrhagic disease were reported in both countries. The Nicaraguan Ministry of Health reported 17,483 cases of dengue, most of which occurred late in the year. DEN-1 was the predominant virus isolated ( 18 strains), but DEN-2 was also isolated ( 8 strains). In addition, seven cases of fatal hemorrhagic disease in adults were reported, and one was confirmed as DEN-1 by virus isolation. Aruba, Netherland Antilles, reported 24,000 cases of dengue during a DEN-1 epidemic that began in late 1984 and continued through March 1985. There was one virologically confirmed case of fatal hemorrhagic disease (DEN-1) in an adult female. A sibling of this patient died of a similar hemorrhagic disease 3 weeks earlier; however, the disease was not confirmed as dengue.

Dengue transmission continued in Mexico, but to a lesser extent than in the previous 2 years. Honduras and El Salvador also reported dengue activity. In South America, both Colombia and Venezuela had confirmed dengue transmission. While three serotypes were confirmed in Venezuela, no outbreaks were reported. Beginning in October, the southern port city of Tumaco, Colombia, experienced a mixed outbreak of DEN-1 and DEN-2. A total of 7,797

TABLE 1. Reported cases of dengue in the Americas by country, 1985

| Country | Number of cases | Virus serotypes |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Aruba | 24,000 | DEN-1 |
| Bonaire | 6 | DEN-1 |
| Colombia | 7,797 | DEN-1, DEN-2 |
| Dominica | 1 | DEN-2 |
| Dominican Republic | 92 | DEN-2, DEN-4 |
| EISalvador | 425 |  |
| French Guiana | Sporadic |  |
| Guadeloupe | 216 |  |
| Haiti | 20 | DEN-2, $\dagger$ |
| Honduras | 307 |  |
| Martinique | Sporadic |  |
| Mexico | 16,182 |  |
| Nicaragua | 17,483 | DEN-1, DEN-2, DEN-4 |
| Puerto Rico | 2,371 | DEN-1, DEN-2 |
| St. Christopher-Nevis | 2 | DEN-1, DEN-2, DEN-4 |
| St. Martin | 2 | DEN-2 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 7 | DEN-1, DEN-2 |
| United States | 48 | DEN-1, DEN-4 |
| U.S. Virgin Islands | 39 | DEN-1 |
| Venezuela | Sporadic | DEN-1, DEN-2, DEN-4 |
| Total |  |  |
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cases were reported in the whole country in 1985. DEN-2 was the predominant serotype isolated in Colombia in 1985.

With the exception of a small outbreak in Puerto Rico, dengue activity in the Antilles islands remained sporadic in 1985. In Puerto Rico, 133 cases were confirmed from late August through December. Two cases of hemorrhagic disease in children were confirmed by virus isolation-one DEN-1 with a primary-type serologic response and one DEN-2 with a secondary-type response. Forty-eight cases of suspected dengue were reported in the United States. However, only eight cases were confirmed, and all of these had been imported.

Clinically, most of the illness reported in the Americas in 1985 was of the classical type. However, there appears to be increased sporadic incidence of hemorrhagic disease associated with dengue infection in most countries of the region.
Reported by Pan American Health Organization, Washington, DC; Caribbean Epidemiology Center, Port-of-Spain, Trinidad; Pasteur Institute, Cayenne, French Guiana; Instituto de Salubridad y Enfermedades Tropicales, Mexico City, Mexico; Instituto Nacional de Salud, Bogota, Colombia; Instituto Nacional de Higiene "Rafael Rangel," Caracas, Venezuela; Institute of Tropical Medicine "Pedro Kouri," Havana, Cuba; Puerto Rico Health Dept, San Juan, Puerto Rico; Dengue Br, Div of Vector-Borne Viral Diseases, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
Editorial Note: For the past several years, dengue transmission in the Americas has been characterized by more frequent epidemic activity. More countries have been reporting severe hemorrhagic disease, and the total number of cases of severe hemorrhagic disease has increased. The number of circulating dengue virus serotypes has also increased. In Asia, dengue fever changed from a benign flu-like illness to become one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality among southeast Asian children. The current epidemiologic pattern of dengue in the Americas is similar to the pattern that occurred in southeast Asia in the 1950s.

It is often believed that the highest risk for dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) is associated with DEN-2 infection. This serotype, while widespread in the region, has only occurred sporadically in recent years. Although secondary infection with DEN-2 is a definite risk factor for DHF, most severe and fatal cases of DHF in 1984 and 1985 were caused by DEN-1 or DEN-4. Furthermore, DEN-3 has been shown to cause severe and fatal DHF in some countries of southeast Asia. Thus, health authorities should assume that all four serotypes are capable of causing epidemics of DHF, and they should act to establish proper surveillance for the disease.

Aedes albopictus (1,2), an efficient Asian mosquito host for dengue viruses, has recently been discovered in the United States and Brazil. This aggressive, man-biting mosquito has both rural and urban habitats. It also has been shown to transmit dengue viruses both transovarially (from female mosquitoes to their offspring through infection of the eggs) and from man to man. If Ae. albopictus becomes involved in dengue transmission in the Americas, then the situation in this region would become even more similar to the situation in southeast Asia. The presence of $\boldsymbol{A e}$. albopictus in the Americas adds further stimulus for surveillance of dengue and DHF in the region.
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## Turtle-Associated Salmonellosis - Ohio

On June 6, 1986, two cases of turtle-associated salmonellosis were reported in Columbus, Ohio. A 2 -year-old boy became ill with fever, abdominal pain, and bloody diarrhea 4 days after his mother had purchased a pet turtle from a local pet store. His 4-year-old brother developed similar symptoms the next day.

## Salmonellosis - Continued

Stool cultures from both boys yielded Salmonella typhimurium. Following investigation by the Ohio Department of Health, S. typhimurium was isolated from the turtle and from a water sample taken from the turtle bowl in the children's home. All four isolates of S. typhimurium had the same plasmid profile. The turtle was a red-eared slider, Trachemys scripta elegans (formerly Pseudemys scripta elegans [ 1 ]), with a carapace diameter of 2 inches.

When investigators from the Food and Drug Administration and the Ohio Department of Health visited the pet store, no more turtles were available. The store owner had purchased the turtles from a local distributor who sells reptiles primarily to local universities and other institutions for scientific purposes. The invoice for the sale of the turtles to the pet store stated that the turtles were to be used for scientific purposes only.

Local health departments in Ohio were notified that turtles might be for sale illegally in their jurisdictions. No other cases of turtle-associated salmonellosis have been reported in Ohio.
Reported by LK Giljahn, MPH, Infectious Disease Epidemiology Unit, TJ Halpin, MD, MPH, State Epidemiologist, Ohio Dept of Health; Food and Drug Administration; Enteric Diseases Br, Div of Bacterial Diseases, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
(Continued on page 739)

TABLE I. Summary-cases specified notifiable diseases, United States

| Disease | 47th Week Ending |  |  | Cumulative, 47th Week Ending |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. 22, } \\ 1986 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. 23, } \\ 1985 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ 1981.1985 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. 22, } \\ 1986 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } 23 . \\ 1985 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Median 1981.1985 |
| Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) | $314$ | $190$ | ${ }_{N}^{\text {N }}$ | $11,976$ | $7,171$ | $\mathrm{N}$ |
| Aseptic meningitis | $267$ | $152$ | 157 | $9,564$ | $9,458$ | $8,822$ |
| Encephalitis: Primary larthropod-bome \& unspec.) Post-infectious | 21 | 32 | 32 | 1,103 92 | 1,211 111 | 1.412 82 |
| Gonorrhea: Civilian | 18,714 | 18,530 | 16,090 | 803,818 | 804,825 | 815.862 |
| Military | 376 | 428 | 382 | 15,326 | 19.130 | 22,005 |
| Hepatitis: Type A | 400 | 407 | 407 | 20,312 | 20.581 | 20,581 |
| Tres B | 469 | 556 | 461 | 23,040 | 23,613 | 21.574 |
| Non A, Non B | 51 | 65 | N | 3,143 | 3,705 | N |
| Unspecified | 94 | 99 | 123 | 4,015 | 5,186 | 6,561 |
| Legioneliosis | 23 | 24 | N | 721 | 693 | N |
| Leprosy | 5 | 4 | 3 | 224 | 338 | 217 |
| Malaria | 16 | 19 | 13 | 1,012 | 939 | 939 |
| Measles: Total ${ }^{-1}$ | 31 | 6 | 27 | 5,879 | 2,670 | 2,501 |
| Indigenous | 31 | 3 | N | 5,588 | 2,238 | N |
| Imported | - | 3 | N | 291 | 432 | N |
| Meningococcal infections: Total | 38 | 48 | 51 | 2,183 | 2,150 | 2,461 |
| Civilian | 38 | 48 | 51 | 2,181 | 2,143 | 2,446 |
| Mumps Military | 147 | 52 | 58 | 42 | 2, 7 | 2, 12 |
| Mumps | 147 31 | 52 | 58 | 4,711 | 2.657 | 2,987 |
| Pertussis | 31 | 57 | 21 | 3,910 | 3,210 | 2,114 |
| Rubella (German measles) | 12 | 3 | 13 | 474 | 597 | 906 |
| Syphilis (Primary \& Secondary): Civilian | 542 | 557 | 557 | 24,407 | 24,354 | 27,997 |
| Military | 1 | 2 | 6 | 144 | 147 | 346 |
| Toxic Shock syndrome | 5 | 5 | N | 311 | 338 | N |
| Tuberculosis | 453 | 533 | 428 | 19,844 | 19,285 | 21,060 |
| Tularemia | 10 | 1 | 1 | 150 | 167 | 251 |
| Typhoid fever | 5 | 10 | 6 | 284 | 350 | 361 |
| Typhus fever, tick-borne (RMSF) | 8 | 13 | 4 | 730 | 671 | 947 |
| Rabies, anımal | 57 | 114 | 83 | 4.911 | 4,914 | 5,515 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | Cum. 1986 |  | Cum. 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | $10^{-}$ | Leptospirosis (Tex. 1) | 36 |
| Botulism: Foodborne (Calif. 1) | 18 | Plague | 7 |
| Infant (Utah 1, Wash. 2, Calif. 1) | 61 | Poliomyelitis, Paralytic | 1 |
| Other | 1 | Poliomyelitis, Paralytic <br> Psittacosis (Fla. 1) | 85 |
| Brucellosis (Ark. 1, Tex. 1) | 77 | Rabies, human | 8 |
| Cholera | 3 | Tetanus (Fla. 1) | 58 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | 10 | Trichinosis | 31 |
| Congenital syphilis, ages $<1$ year | 107 | Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine) | 45 |

- There were no cases of internationally imported measles reported for this week.

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
November 22, 1986 and November 23, 1985 (47th Week)

| Reporting Area | AIDS | Aseptic Meningitis | Encephalitis |  | Gonorrhea (Civilian) |  | Hepatitis (Viral), by type |  |  |  | Legronel losis | Leprosy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Primary | Post-infectious |  |  | A | B | NA, NB | Unspecıfied |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum } \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | 1986 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum } \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum } \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum } \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1986 | 1986 | 1986 | 1986 | 1986 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum } \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 11.976 | 267 | 1,103 | 92 | 803,818 | 804,825 | 400 | 469 | 51 | 94 | 23 | 224 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 473 | 14 | 27 | 3 | 21,611 | 20,531 | 13 | 43 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 8 |
| Maıne | 20 | 1 | 2 | . | 789 | 1,049 | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - |
| NH | 13 | - | 2 | - | 520 | 520 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| V t | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 243 | 304 |  | 1 | - | - | - |  |
| Mass | 254 | 7 | 5 | - | 7.828 | 8,517 | 7 | 31 | - | 8 | 1 | 8 |
| R1 | 29 | 2 | - | - | 1,690 | 1,660 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Conn | 152 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 10.541 | 8,481 | 3 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| MID ATLANTIC | 4.414 | 26 | 97 | 9 | 140.047 | 115.974 | 30 | 31 | 3 | 29 | 3 | 17 |
| Upstate $\mathrm{N} Y$ | 485 | 17 | 35 | 5 | 16.817 | 16,465 | 22 | 12 | 2 | 8 | - | 1 |
| NY City | 2,997 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 81.396 | 56,466 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 15 |
| NJ | 664 | 8 | 10 | - | 17,745 | 17,300 | 7 | 16 | - | . | . | - |
| Pa | 268 | - | 33 | 3 | 24,089 | 25,743 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| EN CENTRAL | 719 | 58 | 337 | 11 | 104,401 | 105,890 | 14 | 38 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 4 |
| Ohio | 154 | 21 | 130 | 3 | 27,024 | 29,102 | 3 | 9 | - | 2 | 1 | . |
| Ind | 59 | 7 | 79 | 3 | 11,349 | 11.425 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 2 | - |
| III | 342 | - | 50 | 4 | 25,047 | 24,758 | 4 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 4 |
| Mich | 127 | 30 | 52 | 1 | 33,522 | 30,405 | 6 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Wis | 37 | - | 26 | - | 7,207 | 10,200 | - | - | - | - | - - | - |
| W N CENTRAL | 219 | 13 | 79 | 9 | 34,598 | 37,754 | 5 | 11 | - | 1 | - | 4 |
| Minn | 83 | 1 | 33 | - | 4.959 | 5.557 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | 2 |
| lowa | 18 | 1 | 25 | - | 3.562 | 4.021 | - | 4 | - | - | - | . |
| Mo | 72 | 7 | 2 | - | 17,056 | 18,238 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N Dak | 2 | - | 4 | - | 286 | 256 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S Dak | 2 | 1 | 11 | - | 711 | 725 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr | 11 | - | 1 | 1 | 2,602 | 3.257 | 1 | - | . | 1 | - |  |
| Kans | 31 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 5,422 | 5,700 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
| S ATLANTIC | 1,708 | 46 | 141 | 37 | 208,456 | 209,947 | 49 | 131 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 3 |
| Del | 22 | 1 | 6 | - | 3.425 | 4,081 | 6 | 2 | - | - | . | . |
| Md | 159 | 9 | 31 | 1 | 24,710 | 26,648 | 2 | 29 | - | 1 | - | - |
| D C | 222 | 1 | - | 1 | 15,573 | 14,483 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| Va | 137 | 11 | 38 | 1 | 16,965 | 17.606 | 6 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| W Va | 7 | - | 45 | - | 2.035 | 2,388 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| NC | 71 | 4 | 17 | 2 | 32,239 | 33,341 | 1 | 14 | - | . | 3 | . |
| S C | 46 | - |  | - | 17,779 | 19,669 | 3 | 9 | 5 | - | . | - |
| Ga | 266 | 9 | - | 1 | 34,487 | 40,828 | 3 | 21 | - | - | - | - |
| Fla | 778 | 11 | 4 | 31 | 61,243 | 50,903 | 26 | 30 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| ES CENTRAL | 147 | 17 | 61 | 4 | 64,417 | 69,845 | 3 | 26 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Ky | 28 | 2 | 30 | 1 | 7,080 | 8,023 | 3 | 7 | - | - | $\bullet$ | - |
| Tenn | 70 | 14 | 8 | 1 | 24,377 | 26,710 | - | 11 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Ala | 25 | - | 22 | 2 | 18,895 | 21.056 | - | 6 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| Miss | 24 | 1 | 1 | - | 14,065 | 14,056 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| W S CENTRAL | 1,067 | 47 | 179 | 6 | 92,902 | 101,838 | 66 | 58 | 10 | 17 | 1 | 23 |
| Ark | 29 | - | - | 2 | 8.900 | 9.565 | 3 | 5 | - | - | - | 1 |
| La | 142 | - | 15 | - | 15.961 | 19,228 | 4 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 |
| Okla | 41 | 5 | 21 | - | 10.723 | 11.332 | 2 | 8 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Tex | 855 | 42 | 143 | 4 | 57.318 | 61.713 | 57 | 45 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 21 |
| MOUNTAIN | 313 | 8 | 38 | 1 | 23,783 | 25,501 | 46 | 22 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| Mont | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 623 | 724 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Idaho | 3 | - | - | - | 800 | 889 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Wyo | 4 | - | 2 | - | 493 | 588 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Colo | 146 | 3 | 5 | - | 6,090 | 7.374 | $\cdots$ | 2 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| N Mex | 23 |  | 3 | - | 2.501 | 2,845 | 9 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Ariz | 80 | 4 | 18 | - | 7.674 | 7.731 | 29 | 14 | - | 1 | - | 7 |
| Utah | 18 | - | 7 | - | 1.022 | 1,234 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Nev | 35 | 1 | 2 | - | 4,580 | 4.116 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| PACIFIC | 2,916 | 38 | 144 | 12 | 113,603 | 117.545 | 174 | 109 | 15 | 21 | - | 151 |
| Wash | 157 | 7 | 13 | - | 8,367 | 9.127 | 11 | 12 | 5 | 2 | - | 16 |
| Oreg | 55 | - | - | 2 | 4,957 | 5,884 | 38 | 1 |  | - | - | - |
| Calif | 2,642 | 29 | 123 | 12 | 96,923 | 98,176 | 120 | 93 | 8 | 19 | - | 105 |
| Alaska | 12 | - | 7 | - | 2.431 | 2.810 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Hawalı | 50 | 2 | 1 | - | 1,177 | 1.548 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 29 |
| Guam | - | - | - | - | 190 | 180 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| PR | 139 | - | 5 | 1 | 2,198 | 2.871 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 7 |
| VI | 5 | - | - | - | 250 | 373 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pac Trust Terr | - | - | - | - | 428 | 766 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 56 |
| Amer Samoa | - | - | - | - | 51 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
November 22, 1986 and November 23, 1985 (47th Week)


For measles only, imported cases includes both out-of-state and international importations.
$N$ Not notifiable $U$ Unavailable international ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ Out-of-state

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
November 22, 1986 and November 23, 1985 (47th Week)

| Reporting Area | Syphilis (Civilian) (Primary \& Secondary) |  | Toxic-shockSyndrome | Tuberculosis |  | TularemiaCum$1986$ | Typhord <br> fever <br> Cum <br> 1986 | Typhus Fever <br> (Tick-borne) <br> (RMSF) <br> Cum <br> 1986 | Rabies. <br> Animal <br> Cum <br> 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum } \\ & 1986 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum } \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| UNITED STATES | 24,407 | 24,354 | 5 | 19,844 | 19,285 | 150 | 284 | 730 | 4,911 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 451 | 540 | - | 622 | 661 | 1 | 16 | 13 | 8 |
| Maine | 19 | 14 | - | 34 | 44 | - | . | 1 | - |
| NH | 10 | 38 | - | 23 | 21 | - | - | 2 | 1 |
| Vt | 9 | 7 | - | 16 | 8 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Mass | 240 | 267 | - | 346 | 390 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 2 |
| RI | 19 | 17 | - | 42 | 50 | - | - | 3 | 3 |
| Conn | 154 | 197 | - | 161 | 148 | - | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| MID ATLANTIC | 3.412 | 3,284 | - | 3,920 | 3.471 | 1 | 23 | 35 | 622 |
| Upstate $N$ Y | 166 1.924 | 242 | - | 566 | . 600 | - | 4 | 19 | 80 |
| NY City | 1,924 | 2,004 | - | 2,050 | 1,694 | - | 10 | 5 | - |
| NJ | 604 | 623 | - | 661 | 479 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 17 |
| Pa | 718 | 415 | - | 643 | 698 | - | 1 | 9 | 525 |
| E N CENTRAL | 787 | 907 | 1 | 2,358 | 2,352 | 1 | 23 | 48 | 133 |
| Ohio | 112 | 135 | - | 414 | 409 | - | 9 | 42 | 16 |
| Ind | 103 | 74 | 1 | 255 | 302 | - | 2 |  | 17 |
| III | 370 | 414 | - | 1,026 | 1,018 | - | 3 | 2 | 39 |
| Mich | 162 | 224 | - | 560 | 488 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 24 |
| Wis | 40 | 60 | - | 103 | 135 | - | 3 |  | 37 |
| WN CENTRAL | 196 | 213 | - | 581 | 538 | 41 | 9 | 47 | 764 |
| Minn | 31 | 42 | - | 136 | 113 | - | 2 | 1 | 120 |
| lowa | 8 | 18 | - | 46 | 53 | 1 | - | 1 | 176 |
| Mo | 102 | 116 | - | 285 | 258 | 30 | 6 | 24 | 67 |
| N Dak | 5 | 2 | - | 10 | 10 | - | . | 1 | 145 |
| S Dak | 9 | 6 | - | 28 | 28 | 3 | - | 6 | 170 |
| Nebr | 11 | 7 | - | 14 | 16 | 1 | - | 5 | 32 |
| Kans | 30 | 22 | - | 62 | 60 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 54 |
| S ATLANTIC | 7,365 | 7,024 | - | 3.983 | 3.970 | 12 | 45 | 330 | 1.247 |
| Del | 52 | 36 | - | 40 | 42 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Md | 406 | 439 | - | 289 | 359 | 2 | 15 | 29 | 551 |
| D C | 270 | 302 | - | 147 | 140 | 1 | 4 | , | 31 |
| Va | 315 | 281 | - | 334 | 402 | 3 | 10 | 51 | 184 |
| W Va | 20 | 25 | - | 115 | 99 | - | 3 | 10 | 52 |
| NC | 470 | 623 | - | 596 | 528 | 3 | 4 | 128 | 10 |
| S C | 626 | 720 | - | 506 | 480 |  | . | 70 | 64 |
| Ga | 1,362 | 1.259 | - | 659 | 660 | 3 | - | 39 | 191 |
| Fla | 3,844 | 3,339 | - | 1.297 | 1,260 | - | 8 | 2 | 163 |
| ES CENTRAL | 1,604 | 1,868 | - | 1.752 | 1,668 | 13 | 4 | 109 | 324 |
| Ky | 64 | 63 | - | 404 | 405 | 5 | - | 22 | - 99 |
| Tenn | 575 | 592 | - | 506 | 494 | 6 | 1 | 44 | 109 |
| Ala | 479 | 611 | - | 550 | 492 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 113 |
| Miss | 486 | 602 | - | 292 | 277 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 3 |
| W S S CENTRAL | 4,781 | 5,643 | 2 | 2.521 | 2,457 | 67 | 29 | 137 | 674 |
| Ark | 243 | 303 | 2 | +340 | 2, 292 | 49 | 29 | 16 | 153 |
| La | 829 | 986 | - | 393 | 352 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 22 |
| Okla | 135 | +175 | 2 | 234 | 232 | 12 | 2 | 103 | 57 |
| Tex | 3,574 | 4,179 | 2 | 1.554 | 1,581 | 5 | 26 | 17 | 442 |
| MOUNTAIN | 541 | 691 | 1 | 483 | 512 | 11 | 16 | 10 | 624 |
| Mont | 7 | 6 | - | 31 | 46 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 200 |
| Idaho | 14 | 7 | - | 23 | 25 | - | , | 2 | 9 |
| Wyo | 4 | 12 | - |  | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | 265 |
| Colo | 126 | 194 | - | 47 | 77 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 29 |
| N Mex | 62 | 120 | - | 87 | 82 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| Ariz | 219 | 290 | - | 229 | 227 | - | 9 | - | 97 |
| Utah | 18 | 8 | 1 | +31 | 17 | 4 | 3 | - | 7 |
| Nev | 91 | 54 | - | 35 | 33 | 1 | 1 | - | 11 |
| PACIFIC | 5.270 | 4,184 | 1 | 3,624 | 3.656 | 3 | 119 | 1 | 515 |
| Wash | 152 | 99 | 1 | 198 | . 202 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| Oreg | 107 | 99 | - | 113 | 122 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Calif | 4,967 | 3.917 | - | 3,104 | 3,070 | 1 | 110 | 1 | 501 |
| Alaska | 10 | 4 | - | 46 | 89 | 1 | 1 | - | 8 |
| Hawail | 34 | 65 | - | 163 | 173 | - | 5 | - | 8 |
| Guam | 1 | 2 | - | 34 | 38 | - | 1 | - | - |
| PR | 796 | 796 | - | 305 | 320 | - | 5 | - | 43 |
| V. 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | . | 4 |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | 246 | 128 | - | 80 | 75 | - | 49 | - | - |
| Amer Samoa |  |  | - | 5 | - | - | 4 | - |  |

TABLEIV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities.* week ending
November 22, 1986 (47th Week)

| Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\&10 } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Reporting Area | All Causes. By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\&1.• <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Ages }}{\text { All }}$ | $\geqslant 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | < 1 |  |  | All Ages | $\geqslant 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | $<1$ |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 710 | 487 | 143 | 51 | 14 | 15 | 52 | S ATLANTIC | 1,028 | 647 | 221 | 91 | 36 | 32 | 46 |
| Boston, Mass. Bridgeport. Conn. | 186 | 119 | 36 | 25 | 4 | 2 | 19 | Atlanta. Ga | 171 | 114 | 29 | 18 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 56 | 42 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Baltimore. Md | 157 | 95 | 36 | 15 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. Cambridge. Mass. | 17 | 13 | 3 | 1 | . | . | 3 | Charlotte. N C | 72 | 43 | 22 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 26 | 21 | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | Jacksonvilie. Fla | 120 | 62 | 38 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 7 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 67 | 41 | 17 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Miamı. Fla | 70 | 43 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Lowell, Mass. | 17 | 12 | 3 | 2 | . | . | 1 | Nortolk. Va | 72 | 38 | 20 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Lynn, Mass | 26 | 19 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 3 | Richmond. Va | 77 | 47 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| New Bedford. Mass | ss 40 | 30 | 9 | , | 1 |  | 2 | Savannah. Ga | 52 | 29 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| New Haven, Conn. Providence, R.I. | . 51 | 28 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | St Petersburg. Fla | 94 | 80 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 73 | 55 | 12 | 2 | . | 4 | 6 | Tampa. Fla | 70 | 49 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| Providence, R.I. <br> Somerville, Mass | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  | 4 | 1 | Washington. D C | 48 | 28 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 2 | . |
| Springfield, Mass. | 48 | 33 | 10 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | Wilmington. Del | 25 | 19 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 41 | 29 | 10 | 2 | - |  | 3 | Wilmingon. Del | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 55 | 40 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | ES CENTRAL | 728 | 443 | 179 | 50 | 32 | 24 | 42 4 |
| MID ATLANTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham. Ala | 101 | 56 | 26 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 4 2 |
|  | 2,984 | 1,952 | 635 | 268 | 71 | 58 | 119 | Chattanooga. Tenn | 52 | 33 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 62 25 | 45 18 | 11 7 | 5 | 1 | - | 2 | Knoxville. Tenn | 83 | 49 | 18 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 8 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 96 | 67 | 16 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 5 | Louisville. Ky | 116 145 | 65 87 | 36 37 | 8 | 4 6 | 7 | 17 |
| Camden. $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{J}$.Elizabeth, N. | 52 | 31 | 14 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 2 | Memphis. Tenn Mobile. Ala | 145 70 | 87 | 16 | 6 | 3 |  | - |
|  | 15 | 6 | 8 | 1 | - |  | 1 | Montgomery. Ala | 57 | 36 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| Erie, Pa.t | 52 | 40 | 8 | 2 | 2 | - | 4 | Montgomery. Ala Nashville. Tenn | r 104 | 72 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Jersey City. N.J N.Y. City, N.Y. | 40 | 22 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 4 | Nashvile. Tenn | 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,703 | 1.095 | 359 | 174 | 43 | 32 | 50 | WS CENTRAL | 1,464 | 869 | 324 | 138 | 71 | 62 | 62 |
| Newark, N.J Paterson, N.J. | 62 | 28 | 15 | 14 | 2 | + | 5 | Austin. Tex | 1,464 | 43 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 4 |
| Paterson, N.J Philadelphia, Pa | 31 395 | 18 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | Baton Rouge, La | 61 | 39 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 395 | 269 | 83 | 27 | 7 | 9 | 19 | Corpus Christi. Tex | 50 | 30 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 16 | 1 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa.t | 89 | 60 | 21 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 4 | Dallas. Tex | 192 | 103 | 41 | 21 | 11 | 16 | 8 |
| Reading. Pa. Rochester, N Y | 29 | 21 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Dallas. Tex El ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( Tex | 71 | 39 | 16 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5 4 |
| Schenectady, N.Y | 94 | 61 | 20 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 5 | Fort Worth, Tex | 104 | 65 | 24 | 6 | 7 | 18 | 4 |
|  | 28 | 24 | 4 | 9 | . | 2 | 5 | Houston. Tex | 288 | 149 | 76 | 34 | 11 | 18 | 6 |
| Scranton, Pa.t | 26 | 19 | 7 | - |  | - | 1 | Little Rock. Ark | 72 | 44 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| Syracuse N.Y | 97 | 66 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | New Orleans. La | 152 | 97 | 28 | 19 | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| Trenton, N.J. | 40 | 23 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | San Antonio. Tex | 171 | 101 | 38 | 14 | 12 | 6 | 13 |
| Utica, N.Y. <br> Yonkers, N.Y. | 23 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | Shreveport, La | 108 | 68 | 21 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 25 | 20 | 4 | 1 | . | - | 1 | Tulsa, Okla | 130 | 91 | 24 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 5 |
| E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio | 2.418 | 1,586 | 527 | 164 | 64 | 77 | 98 | MOUNTAIN | 661 | 417 | 137 | 49 | 32 | 26 | 29 |
| Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio | 68 | 47 | 19 | 1 | 64 | 1 | 98 | Albuquerque. N Mex | 75 | 51 | 14 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 46 | 34 | 9 | 2 | - | 1 | 5 | Albuquerque. N Mex Colo Springs. Colo | 27 | 14 | 8 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 |
| Chicago, III.§ | 564 | 362 | 125 | 45 | 10 | 22 | 16 | Colo Springs. Colo Denver, Colo | 133 | 78 | 25 | 15 | 9 | 6 | 4 |
| Cincinnati, OhioCleveland, Ohio | 157 | 107 | 33 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 10 | Las Vegas. Nev | 86 | 49 | 24 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 181 | 105 | 52 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 3 | Las Vegas. Nev Ogden. Utah | 22 | 14 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 122 | 84 | 25 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 3 | Phoenix. Ariz | 156 | 99 | 31 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 144 | 84 | 40 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 4 | Pueblo. Colo | 19 | 17 | 2 | - | - | 5 | 3 |
|  | 235 | 138 | 51 | 23 | 14 | 9 | 8 | Salt Lake City. Utah | 52 | 30 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 5 |  |
| Evansville, Ind. | 43 | 30 | 11 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 1 | Tucson. Ariz. | 91 | 65 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 69 | 54 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | PACIFIC | 1,941 | 1.313 | 359 | 175 | 50 | 40 | 118 |
| Grand Rapids. Mich | h 71 | 52 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 4 | Berkeley, Calif | 23 | 17 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | 21 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 186 | 117 | 43 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 3 | Fresno. Calif | 106 | 82 | 15 | 4 | - | 5 | 21 |
| Madison. Wis Milwaukee, Wis | 42 | 26 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Glendale. Calif | 18 | 16 |  | 1 | , | - | 1 |
|  | 162 | 107 | 38 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 16 | Honolulu. Hawaii | 65 | 37 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| Peoria, III. | 52 | 45 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 3 | Long Beach, Calif | 71 | 53 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Rockford, III. | 46 | 38 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | Los Angeles. Calif | 517 | 315 | 107 | 69 | 21 | 3 | 19 |
|  | 47 | 32 | 9 | 4 | - | 2 | 1 | Oakland. Calif. | 44 | 27 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - |
| South Bend, Ind Toledo. Ohio | 110 | 69 | 25 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 7 | Pasadena. Calif | 33 | 26 | 3 | 4 | - | - | 2 |
| Youngstown. Ohio | 59 | 44 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | Portland, Oreg. | 150 | 108 | 30 | 6 | 4 | - | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sacramento, Calif | 150 | 105 | 26 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 807 | 560 | 152 | 47 | 18 | 30 | 52 | San Diego, Calif. | 137 | 88 | 23 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 12 |
|  | 58 | 43 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | San Francisco. Calif | 160 | 110 | 30 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 33 | 21 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | . | San Jose, Calif | 183 | 126 | 35 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 55 | 38 | 11 | 3 | 3 | . | - | Seattle, Wash | 179 | 124 | 29 | 18 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 110 | 86 | 20 | 3 | 1 | - | 9 | Spokane, Wash | 70 | 52 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 10 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 43 | 28 | 11 | 3 | 1 |  | 2 | Tacoma, Wash | 35 | 27 | 5 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Minneapolis. Minn | 111 | 70 | 26 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Omaha. Nebr. | 108 | 69 | 20 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 5 | TOTAL | 12,741 | 8,274 | 2,677 | 1,033 | 388 | 364 | 618 |
| St. Louis, Mo | 158 | 112 | 30 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 63 | . 43 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 68 | 50 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Salmonellosis - Continued
Editorial Note: Pet turtles are estimated to have caused $14 \%$ of reported cases of salmonellosis in humans in the early 1970s (2). Consequently, the interstate and intrastate commercial distribution of turtles $<4$ inches in carapace diameter was banned in 1975, except for bonafide scientific, educational, or exhibitional purposes (3). After this ban went into effect, turtleassociated salmonellosis in the United States became rare (4). However, pet turtles exported from the United States have been associated with human salmonellosis in the United Kingdom (5), Japan (6), and Yugoslavia (7). Recently, in Israel, aquarium cultures of pet turtles imported from the United States yielded Salmonella, and that country has temporarily banned the importation of these turtles (8). Diversion of these turtles into U.S. markets has been associated with human illness in Puerto Rico and, sporadically, in the continental United States (7). Since the importation of small turtles into the United States has long been restricted, turtles for sale in pet stores in the United States are likely to be of U.S. origin (9).

Turtles are easily infected with Salmonella from the environment and can acquire the organism in ovo or after hatching (10). Treating turtle eggs with gentamicin has been proposed as a means of producing Salmonella-free turtles (11). However, only one evaluation of this technique has been published, and the efficacy of the technique in practice has not been established. The technique may promote gentamicin resistance in Salmonella, as a similar technique has when used in treating turkey eggs (12). Furthermore, uninfected baby turtles can easily acquire Salmonella from other turtles or from the environment after hatching. Turtles also harbor Campylobacter, Aeromonas, and other potential pathogens (13,14). They are not appropriate pets for small children.

Prompt investigation of turtle-associated salmonellosis can prevent further illness. It is particularly important to determine the origin and distribution of the turtles, whether they were hatched from gentamicin-treated eggs, and whether they carry Salmonella. Clinicians who encounter cases of turtle-associated salmonellosis are encouraged to report them to local and state public health officials, who, along with Food and Drug Administration officials, can investigate the cases and enforce the law.
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## Current Trends

## Smoking Prevalence and Cessation in Selected States, 1981-1983 and 1985 - The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveys

From 1981-1983, 28 states and the District of Columbia participated in Behavioral Risk Factor Surveys (BRFS) conducted by the Center for Health Promotion and Education of the Centers for Disease Control. The surveys were designed to study risk factors for the 10 leading causes of premature death in this country (1). They included questions on smoking behavior. The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) began in 1984 as a followup to the BRFS. The purpose of this system is to monitor changes in risk factors by state, over time. The BRFSS operated for the second year in 1985 and included 21 states and the District of Columbia. Thirteen states participated in both the 1981-1983 BRFS and the 1985 BRFSS. Analyses of data from participating states have permitted documentation of changes in smoking behavior between these two time periods.

In both the 1981-1983 BRFS and the 1985 BRFSS, data were collected by telephone from persons $\geqslant 18$ years of age who were selected using random digit dialing techniques (1,2). In these surveys, an "ever smoker" was defined as a respondent who reported smoking $\geqslant 100$ cigarettes in his or her lifetime. A "current smoker" was defined as a respondent who had smoked $\geqslant 100$ cigarettes and who was still smoking. A "former smoker" was defined as a respondent who was not currently smoking, but who reported having smoked $\geqslant 100$ cigarettes during his or her lifetime. The "quit ratio" was defined as the ratio of "former smokers" to "ever smokers". In the 1985 survey, an "attempter" was defined as a current smoker who had quit smoking for $\geqslant 1$ week in the past year. Tables 2 and 3 show the quit ratios and the prevalences of current smokers for both the 1981-1983 BRFS and the 1985 BRFSS. They also show the prevalences of attempters in the states participating in the 1985 BRFSS.

In 1985, women in five states (Connecticut, Florida, Montana, Rhode Island, and Wisconsin ) reported current smoking at a rate equal to or greater than the rate reported by men. In all but one state, the percentage of current smokers among men decreased between the period 1981-1983 and 1985. However, these decreases were statistically significant in only three states: Kentucky, North Carolina, and Tennessee. The prevalence of smoking among women declined between the period 1981-1983 and 1985 in nine of the 13 states, but none of these changes in prevalence reached statistical significance. In 10 of the 13 states, the percentage decrease in current smoking among men between the period 1981-1983 and 1985 was greater than the percentage decrease among women.

In 1985, the male quit ratio in every state but two was higher than the female quit ratio. In 11 of the 13 states with data for both survey periods, the male quit ratio was greater in 1985 than for the period 1981-1983; in the remaining two states, the 1985 male quit ratio was less than or equal to the 1981-1983 ratio. In nine of the 13 states, women had a greater quit ratio in 1985 than for the period 1981-1983, and in the remaining four states their quit ratio was less in 1985.

While the quit ratio is a measure of cessation over an extended time period, attempts to quit, which were measured in 1985, indicate recent cessation efforts by current smokers. In 16 of 22 states, the percentage of male attempters was greater than the percentage of female attempters.
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Health Education Sec, Bureau of Preventive Medicine, Idaho Dept of Health and Welfare; D Patterson, Div of Education and Information, Illinois Dept of Public Health; S Jain, Div of Health Education, Indiana State Board of Health; K Bramblett, Dept of Health Svcs, Kentucky Cabinet for Human Resources; N Salem, PhD, Minnesota Center for Health Statistics; R Moon, Health Education and Promotion Program, Montana Dept of Health and Environmental Sciences; T Gerber, Bur of Adult and Gerontological Health, New York State Dept of Health; R Staton, Health Promotion Br, Div of Health Svcs, North Carolina Dept of Human Resources; B Lee, Div of Research, Information and Support, North Dakota State Dept of Health; E Capwell, Ohio Dept of Health, Bur of Preventive Medicine; J Cataldo, Div of Health Promotion, Rhode Island Dept of Health; FC Wheeler, Div of Chronic Disease, South Carolina Dept of Health and Environmental Control; J Fortune, Div of Health Promotion, Tennessee Dept of Health and Environment; GV Lindsay, Bur of Health Promotion and Risk Reduction, Div of Community Health Svcs, Utah Dept of Health; R Anderson, Health Education Dept, West Virginia Dept of Health; DR Murray, Wisconsin Center for Health Statistics; Div of Nutrition, Behavioral Epidemiology and Evaluation Br, Div of Health Education, Center for Health Promotion and Education, CDC.
Editorial Note: Prevention and cessation of smoking will accomplish significant improvements in health status $(3,4)$. While the favorable decreasing trend in smoking prevalence seen in 13 selected states does not necessarily reflect the degree of change in the entire country, it is consistent with the national trend observed since $1965(5,6)$.

More men appear to be stopping smoking than women, even though the smoking hazards for both men and women have been widely publicized. The 1980 Surgeon General's report indicated that smoking cessation is more difficult for women than for men (7).

TABLE 2. Male smoking prevalence and cessation by state, 1981-1983 and 1985 behavioral risk factor surveys

| State | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1981-1983 } \\ \text { \% Current } \\ \text { smokers } \end{gathered}$ | 1985 <br> \% Current smokers | \% Change | 95\% C.L. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1981-1983 } \\ \text { Quit ratio } \end{gathered}$ | $1985$ <br> Quit ratio | $\begin{gathered} 1985 \\ \% \text { Attempters } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | 33.0 | 28.1 | -4.9 | $(-12.5,+2.7)$ | 43.5 | 49.2 | 44.4 |
| California | 30.9 | 26.3 | -4.6 | $(-10.2,+1.0)$ | 46.6 | 54.0 | 47.2 |
| Connecticut | - | 28.2 |  |  | - | 53.1 | 42.5 |
| District of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia | 34.9 | 29.0 | -5.9 | $(-15.5,+3.7)$ | 37.1 | 40.0 | 54.0 |
| Florida | 33.7 | 26.9 | -6.8 | $(-15.5,+1.9)$ | 45.9 | 55.6 | 41.2 |
| Georgia | 32.9 | 38.0 | +5.0 | $(-3.7,+13.9)$ | 40.9 | 37.5 | 46.3 |
| Idaho |  | 27.7 |  |  | - | 50.8 | 42.0 |
| Illinois | - | 26.2 |  |  | - | 55.0 | 33.1 |
| Indiana | 38.6 | 36.8 | -1.7 | $(-10.6,+7.2)$ | 38.6 | 44.7 | 39.9 |
| Kentucky | 48.0 | 33.1 | $-14.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | $(-24.3,-5.5)$ | 27.9 | 45.5 | 42.6 |
| Minnesota | - | 31.1 |  |  | - | 47.4 | 43.6 |
| Montana | 29.9 | 24.3 | -5.6 | $(-13.6 .+2.4)$ | 50.4 | 57.1 | 32.3 |
| North Carolina | 47.8 | 31.4 | $-16.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | (-25.4,-7.6) | 31.7 | 43.3 | 36.4 |
| North Dakota | - | 25.9 |  |  | - | 57.9 | 40.1 |
| New York | 34.3 | 32.7 | -1.6 | $(-8.7,+5.5)$ | 44.9 | 44.8 | 43.9 |
| Ohio | 35.8 | 31.9 | -4.0 | $(-12.1,+4.1)$ | 40.3 | 44.4 | 43.4 |
| Rhode Island | - | 27.5 |  |  | - | 52.6 | 39.3 |
| South Carolina | - | 34.9 |  |  | - | 39.6 | 44.3 |
| Tennessee | 39.5 | 30.8 | $-8.6{ }^{\dagger}$ | (-16.3,-0.9) | 33.3 | 45.4 | 37.1 |
| Utah |  | 17.9 |  |  | - | 54.7 | 38.2 |
| West Virginia | 36.9 | 28.7 | -8.2 | $(-15.9,+0.5)$ | 42.2 | 52.8 | 46.4 |
| Wisconisn | - | 24.6 |  |  | - | 59.7 | 41.6 |
| Median | 34.9 | 28.5 | -5.6 |  | 40.9 | 50.0 | 42.3 |

[^2]
## Smoking - Continued

Approximately two-fifths of both men and women smokers reported stopping smoking for $\geqslant 1$ week in the past 12 months. This is over twice the rate ( $15 \%$ ) of yearly attempts to quit smoking reported elsewhere (8). Increased cessation efforts may be due to policies against smoking in public places and worksites, growing societal pressure against smoking, increased tobacco costs, increased awareness of health consequences, and greater availability of formal smoking cessation programs (8).

The information reported here shows important, consistent changes in smoking behavior that will provide substantial health benefits to the nation. Four states (Idaho, Montana, Utah, and Wisconsin) have reached the $25 \%$ smoking prevalence stated as a goal in the 1990 Objectives for the Nation (2,9). However, even this prevalence will translate into substantial disease risk. The growing emergence of women as the group showing the slowest decline in smoking is disturbing and indicates a need for additional efforts in cessation and prevention of smoking among women. Further analyses of BRFSS data from participating states may identify other groups that need to be targeted by prevention and cessation strategies.
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| California | 26.1 | 24.9 | -1.1 | $(-5.8,+3.6)$ | 42.5 | 36.9 | 42.3 |
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FIGURE I. Reported measles cases - United States, weeks 43-46, 1986
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[^0]:    *No information on virus serotypes for these countries.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Serologically determined.

[^1]:    - Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100.000 or
    more.A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed Fetal deaths are not included
    - Pneumonia and influenza
    $\dagger$ Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
    $\dagger$ tTotal includes unknown ages.
    § Data not available. Figures are estimates based on average of past 4 weeks

[^2]:    *Not collected in 1981-1983 BRFS.
    $\dagger_{p}=<0.05, z$ test.

[^3]:    *Not collected in 1981-1983 BRFS.

