## MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

## Maternal Mortality: Pilot Surveillance in Seven States

As part of a collaborative effort to improve the quality of maternal mortality data, maternal mortality committees in seven states* and CDC have jointly developed a confidential pilot surveillance system. For 1983, this system reported 39 maternal deaths among residents of the participating states, compared to 28 maternal deaths reported through state vital statistics systems; this is a $39 \%$ increase in ascertainment of maternal deaths. One state committee reported one less maternal death than did the vital statistics system.

The estimated rate was 9.6 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births, compared to 6.9/ 100,000 when vital records data alone were used. The surveillance system revealed a maternal mortality rate for blacks and others of 16.6/100,000, compared to 7.6/100,000 for whites ( $R R=2.2 ; 95 \%$ confidence limits $=1.2-4.1$ ). Embolism, peripartum cardiomyopathy, and cerebrovascular accident together accounted for $49 \%$ of the maternal deaths (Table 1). In four (10\%) of 39 cases, data were insufficient to arrive at a cause of death more specific than "cardiopulmonary arrest." This degree of specificity of cause of death was in part attributable to the fact that $60 \%$ of the reports were supplemented by information not available from death certificates (e.g., clinical summaries and autopsy reports).
Reported by State Maternal Mortality Committees represented by SB Berry, Shreveport, Louisiana, SR DePersio, MD, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, WH Deschner, MD, Billings, Montana, EM Gold, MD, Providence, Rhode Island, JF Jewett, MD, Boston, Massachusetts, WJ May, MD, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, WD Ragan, MD, Indianapolis, Indiana; RW Rochat, MD, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia; Pregnancy Epidemiology Br, Research and Statistics Br, Div of Reproductive Health, Center for Health Promotion and Education, CDC.
*Indiana, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Montana, North Carolina, Oklahoma, and Rhode Island.
TABLE 1. Causes of maternal mortality in seven states* participating in a pilot surveillance system - 1983

| Cause | Deaths |  | Deaths $/ 100,000$ live births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | (\%) |  |
| Embolus | 10 | (26) | 2.5 |
| Peripartum cardiomyopathy | 5 | (13) | 1.2 |
| Cerebrovascular accident | 4 | (10) | 1.0 |
| Hypertensive disease of pregnancy | 3 | (8) | 0.7 |
| Ectopic pregnancy | 3 | (8) | 0.7 |
| Hemorrhage | 3 | (8) | 0.7 |
| Infection | 3 | (8) | 0.7 |
| Anesthesia complications | 2 | (5) | 0.5 |
| Other and unspecified | 6 | (15) | 1.5 |
| Total | 39 | (100) | 9.6 |
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## Maternal Mortality - Continued

Editorial Note: The U.S. Public Health Service 1990 objective for maternal mortality is a maternal death rate not to exceed $5 / 100,000$ live births for any county or for any ethnic group (e.g., black, Hispanic, American Indian) (1). The relatively slow decline in the maternal mortality rate for blacks and others suggests that the 1990 objective may not be met for this group (Figure 1). The development of strategies to reduce the maternal mortality rate may be facilitated by the availability of timely, complete, and accurate data on maternal deaths.

To identify maternal deaths, the participating maternal mortality committees generally augment reviews of death certificates with clinical information obtained from an informal network of participating obstetricians. By contrast, vital statistics depend on death certificates alone for identification of maternal deaths. Four special investigations have found that vital records classify $17 \%-73 \%$ of maternal deaths as nonmaternal deaths $(2,3)$. The discrepancy between the committees' counts and those of state vital records probably has two sources. First, some deaths may not be classified as maternal deaths because information on the death certificate may not indicate that the death was related to pregnancy. Second, classification rules that determine the underlying cause of death from the "causes of death" and "other significant conditions" listed on the death certificate do not necessarily identify deaths related to pregnancy as maternal deaths. For example, preliminary evidence suggests that two of five cases of peripartum cardiomyopathy (4) reported through the surveillance system were not classified as maternal deaths by the state vital statistics system.

Because the maternal mortality committees provided clinical descriptions, it was possible to determine the underlying cause of death according to a previously described method (5). The finding that embolism was the most common cause of maternal death is consistent with a recent review of causes of maternal mortality during 1974-1978 (5). In addition, clinical summaries were used to identify lack of prenatal care, extreme obesity, multiparity, and maternal age over 35 years as possible risk factors. In addition to demographic data (96\%-100\% complete), the surveillance system collected data on the woman's prior reproductive history ( $62 \%$ complete) and on the current pregnancy's gestational age ( $65 \%$ complete) and outcome ( $77 \%$ complete); data on education were not routinely availabie ( $20 \%$ complete).

Results from this voluntary pilot surveillance system suggest that active maternal mortality surveillance can yield timely data and that counts of maternal deaths may be more complete than those available from vital records alone. Moreover, the surveillance data were more

FIGURE 1. Maternal mortality rates, by race - United States, 1960-1983
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detailed, allowing a more precise determination of the cause of death. Even more complete counts of maternal deaths could be obtained from the routine linkage of birth and fetal death certificates to the death certificates of women of reproductive age (6). State or local maternal mortality committees, and others who wish to participate in this confidential surveillance system for 1983 and subsequent years should contact J. F. Jewett, M.D., Committee on Maternal Welfare, Massachusetts Medical Society, 319 Longwood Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts, 02115 ; Emory University MPH Program, 735 Gatewood Road, Atlanta, Georgia, 30322; or the Division of Reproductive Health, Center for Health Promotion and Education, CDC.
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## Chancroid - Massachusetts

From January 8, to September 30, 1985, 53 patients with culture-confirmed or clinically suspected chancroid were treated in Boston-area sexually transmitted diseases (STD) clinics (Figure 2). In the previous 2 years, only two chancroid cases had been diagnosed in Massachusetts. The outbreak was terminated by intensive surveillance efforts, contact tracing, and antimicrobial treatment of both symptomatic and asymptomatic sex partners.

The first presumed case of chancroid occurred in a man who had recently arrived from Florida and who denied having had sexual intercourse while in Massachusetts. He presented to the Boston City Hospital STD Clinic on January 8 with a tender penile ulcer on the foreskin that had been present for 2 weeks, accompanied by swollen, tender, right-sided inguinal lymph nodes. He was treated for presumed syphilis with 2.4 million units of benzathine peni-
FIGURE 2. Chancroid cases, by date of diagnosis - Massachusetts, January-September 1985
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cillin, administered intramuscularly. On follow-up examination 1 week later, the ulcer was unchanged, but he had developed swollen, tender, left-sided inguinal lymph nodes. Chancroid was suspected, but the patient failed to respond to oral tetracycline, 500 mg four times a day. On January 24, therapy was changed to oral erythromycin, 500 mg four times a day, with subsequent improvement.

In March, five additional males with soft, penile ulcers and tender inguinal adenopathy were seen at the Boston City Hospital and New England Medical Center STD clinics. In these cases, serologic tests for syphilis were negative, as were cultures, direct fluorescent-antibody tests, and/or Tzanck smears for herpes simplex virus. The cases were presumptively diagnosed as chancroid and responded positively to erythromycin. In early April, the Division of Communicable and Venereal Diseases, Massachusetts Department of Public Health began enhanced surveillance and case investigation after four additional similar patients were seen. By September 30, 53 patients with presumed or culture-confirmed chancroid were identified. The epidemic peaked in April/May, when 32 ( $60 \%$ ) of the 53 chancroid patients were seen. Only four cases have been diagnosed since August 1, and three of these appear to have been contracted outside Massachusetts.

Thirty-nine ( $74 \%$ ) of the 53 cases were in males. All the males had one or more tender
(Continued on page 717)

TABLE I. Summary-cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States

| Disease | 47th Week Ending |  |  | Cumulative, 47th Week Ending |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } 23 \\ 1985 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } 24 . \\ 1984 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ 1980-1984 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Nov. } 23 . \\ 1985 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Nov. } 24, \\ 1984 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ 1980-1984 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) | 193 | 42 | N | 7,205 | 3.773 | N |
| Aseptic meningitis | 146 | 155 | 174 | 9.309 | 7,428 | 8.724 |
| Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne \& unspec) Post-infectious | 31 1 | 15 | 27 1 | 1.165 108 | 1.076 103 | 1.412 82 |
| Gonorrhea: Civilian | 17,726 | 16,898 | 1 16.090 | 108 763.981 | 762,091 | 82 864.896 |
| Military | + 372 | , 347 | 382 | 16.594 | 19,305 | 23,735 |
| Hepatitis: Type A | 401 | 468 | 468 | 20.547 | 19.452 | 20,669 |
| Type B | 539 | 543 | 447 | 23,674 | 23.443 | 19,686 |
| Non A, Non B | 57 | 88 | N | 3,662 | 3.440 | N |
| Unspecified | 101 | 117 | 158 | 5,181 | 4.643 | 7,818 |
| Legionellosis | 10 | 14 | N | 589 | 624 | N |
| Leprosy | 5 | 10 | 2 | 328 | 210 | 210 |
| Malaria | 16 | 17 | 13 | 922 | 911 | 961 |
| Measies: Total* | 6 | 58 | 36 | 2,614 | 2.501 | 2,501 |
| Indigenous | 3 | 57 | N | 2,177 | 2.210 | N |
| Imported | 3 | 1 | N | 437 | 291 | N |
| Meningococcal infections: Total | 47 | 29 | 51 | 2.127 | 2.406 | 2,461 |
| Civilian | 47 | 29 | 51 | 2.123 | 2,402 | 2,446 |
| Military | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | 14 |
| Mumps | 40 | 42 | 59 | 2,616 | 2,655 | 4.084 |
| Pertussis | 51 | 17 | 21 | 2,968 | 2.114 | 1.568 |
| Rubella (German measles) | 1. | 13 | 13 | 586 | 696 | 1.937 |
| Syphilis (Primary \& Secondary): Civilian | $533{ }^{\circ}$ | 500 | 547 | 23,165 | 25,198 | 27.997 |
| Military | 2 | 5 | 8 | 129 | 268 | 346 |
| Toxic Shock syndrome | 5 | 9 | N | 318 | 431 | N |
| Tuberculosis | 533 | 333 | 428 | 19.306 | 19.059 | 22.911 |
| Tularemia | 1 | 4 | 2 | 153 | 272 | 251 |
| Typhoid fever | 8 | 5 | 5 | 344 | 341 | 415 |
| Typhus fever, tick-borne (RMSF) | -3 | 4 | 4 | 671 | 812 | 1.079 |
| Rabies, animal | 103 | 72 | 83 | 4,838 | 4.919 | 5.709 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | Cum 1985 |  | Curı 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | $4{ }^{-}$ | Leptospirosis | 33 |
| Botulism: Foodborne | 43 | Plague | 16 |
| Infant (Calif. 1) | 59 | Poliomyelitis: Total | 5 |
| Other | 1 | Paralytic | 5 |
| Brucellosis (Mo.1, Tex. 3) | 125 | Psittacosis (N.Mex. 1, Calif. 1) | 101 |
| Cholera | 3 | Rabies, human | 1 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | - | Tetanus (Tex. 1) | 65 |
| Congenital syphilis, ages < 1 year | 149 | Trichinosis (N.Y. City 1) | 55 |
| - Diphtheria | 1 | Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine) (Calif. 3) | 25 |

-Three of the 6 reported cases for this week were imported from a foreign country or can be directly traceable to a known internationally imported case within two generations.

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
November 23, 1985 and November 24, 1984 (47th Week)

| Reporting Area | AIDS | Aseptic Meningitis | Encephalitis |  | Gonorrhea (Civilian) |  | Hepatitis (Viral), by type |  |  |  | Legionellosis | Leprosy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Primary | Post-infectious |  |  | A | B | NA, NB | Unspecified |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 7.205 | 146 | 1.165 | 108 | 763,981 | 762,091 | 401 | 539 | 57 | 101 | 10 | 328 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 241 | 11 | 32 | - | 20.530 | 20.542 | 9 | 37 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| Maine | 11 | - | - | - | 1.048 | 904 | - | - | - | - | . | - |
| N.H. | 3 | 1 | 7 | - | 520 | 667 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Vt . | 2 | - | - | - | 304 | 344 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 143 | 4 | 18 | - | 8.517 | 8.692 | 6 | 19 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| R.I. | 12 | 3 | - | - | 1.660 | 1.490 | 1 | 3 | - | - | . | - |
| Conn. | 70 | 3 | 7 | - | 8,481 | 8,445 | 2 | 10 | 2 | - | - | - |
| MID ATLANTIC | 2.790 | 26 | 144 | 11 | 115.974 | 101,952 | 18 | 51 | 2 | 2 | - | 36 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 299 | 16 | 45 | 4 | 16.465 | 16,401 | 10 | 17 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| N.Y. City | 1.923 | 2 | 16 | - | 56.466 | 39,477 | - | - | - | - | - | 31 |
| N.J. | 407 | - | 28 | - | 17,300 | 18.239 | 3 | 14 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Pa . | 161 | 8 | 55 | 7 | 25.743 | 27.835 | 5 | 20 | 2 | - | - | 4 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 333 | 28 | 337 | 20 | 105,890 | 109.033 | 14 | 44 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 21 |
| Ohio | 52 | 8 | 138 | 4 | 29,102 | 28.619 | 3 | 16 | 1 | - | 4 | 3 |
| Ind. | 24 | 4 | 65 | 2 | 11.425 | 11.386 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |
| III. | 177 | 2 | 53 | 8 | 24,758 | 25.749 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 16 |
| Mich. | 56 | 14 | 61 | - | 30,405 | 31,374 | 7 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Wis. | 24 | - | 20 | 6 | 10,200 | 11,905 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 104 | 6 | 75 | 4 | 37,794 | 37.550 | 15 | 13 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Minn. | 35 | 4 | 36 | 1 | 5.557 | 5,618 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| lowa | 11 | 1 | 28 | - | 4.021 | 4.142 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mo. | 43 | 1 | - | - | 18.281 | 18,065 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| N. Dak. | 1 | - | - | 1 | 252 | 353 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | 1 | - | - | - | 725 | 888 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | 3 | - | 5 | - | 3.258 | 2.752 | - | 3 | - | - | - | $\cdot$ |
| Kans. | 10 | - | 6 | 2 | 5,700 | 5.732 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 1.119 | 37 | 134 | 43 | 169.092 | 192,861 | 41 | 128 | 17 | 12 | - | 8 |
| Del. | 10 | 1 | 8 | - | 4.054 | 3.639 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Md. | 120 | 6 | 28 | 1 | 26,648 | 21,898 | 2 | 23 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| D.C. | 160 | 1 | - | - | 14.483 | 13.698 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Va . | 94 | 10 | 27 | 6 | 17.606 | 18,204 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 3 | - | - |
| W. Va. | 6 | 2 | 38 | - | 2,388 | 2,426 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 59 | 4 | 27 | 1 | 33,341 | 31,187 | 8 | 15 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| S.C. | 26 | - | 6 | - | 19,669 | 19.631 | - | 23 | - | - | - | - |
| Ga. | 171 | 1 | - | - | - | 35,822 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Fla. | 473 | 12 | - | 35 | 50.903 | 46,356 | 21 | 41 | 7 | 6 | - | 4 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 66 | 7 | 37 | 4 | 69,805 | 68,317 | 7 | 52 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Ky. | 17 | 4 | 17 | - | 8.023 | 8.141 | 3 | 13 | - | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | 16 | 2 | 6 | , | 26.710 | 27.827 | 1 | 22 | - | - | - | - |
| Ala. | 26 | - | 11 | 4 | 21.056 | 20.760 | - | 15 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Miss. | 7 | 1 | 3 | - | 14.016 | 11.589 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 519 | 10 | 137 | 2 | 101.978 | 103.203 | 52 | 39 | 5 | 21 | - | 27 |
| Ark. | 9 | - | 7 | 1 | 9,569 | 9,455 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| La. | 86 | 1 | 9 | , | 19,364 | 22,361 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 7 |
| Okla. | 15 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 11.332 | 11.276 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Tex. | 409 | 8 | 97 | - | 61.713 | 60.111 | 44 | 35 | 4 | 19 | - | 19 |
| MOUNTAIN | 132 | 5 | 57 | 6 | 25,503 | 24,992 | 40 | 28 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 9 |
| Mont. | 1 | 5 | - |  | 729 | 955 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Idaho | 1 | - | - | - | 887 | 1.186 | 7 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Wyo. | - | - | 1 | - | 586 | 662 | - | 1 | , | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 45 | 2 | 23 | 2 | 7.374 | - 7.155 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 8 | - | 2 |
| N. Mex. | 13 | 1 | 3 | - | 2.846 | 2.996 | 3 | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| Ariz. | 50 | - | 17 | - | 7,731 | 6.970 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 5 | - | 1 |
| Utah | 12 | - | 10 | 4 | 1.234 | 1.187 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 4 |
| Nev . | 10 | 2 | 3 | - | 4.116 | 3.881 | 6 | 10 | - | - | - | 2 |
| PACIFIC | 1.901 | 16 | 212 | 18 | 117.415 | 103.641 | 205 | 147 | 16 | 42 | - | 218 |
| Wash. | 107 | - | 13 | 1 | 8.996 | 8.142 | 12 | 5 | 1 | - | - | 37 |
| Oreg. | 30 | - | 1 | - | 5.884 | 5.983 | 35 | 8 | 2 | - | - | 4 |
| Calif. | 1.743 | 13 | 158 | 17 | 98,176 | 85,214 | 151 | 133 | 13 | 42 | - | 156 |
| Alaska | 3 | - | 40 | - | 2.811 | 2.555 | - | - | . | - | - | 15 |
| Hawaii | 18 | 3 | - | - | 1.548 | 1.747 | 7 | 1 | - | - | - | 21 |
| Guam | 1 | U | - | - | 156 | 218 | U | U | U | U | U | 3 |
| P.R. | 88 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 2,871 | 3.018 | 5 | 7 | - | 4 | U | 2 |
| V.I. | 2 | U | - | - | 369 | 476 | U | U | U | U | U | 2 |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | - | U | - | - | 146 |  | U | U | U | U | U | 20 |

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 23, 1985 and November 24, 1984 (47th Week)

| Reporting Area | Malaria | Measles (Rubeola) |  |  |  |  | Meningococcal Infections | Mumps |  | Pertussis |  |  | Rubella |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Indigenous |  | Imported * |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | Cum. 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 922 | 3 | 2.177 | 3 | 437 | 2.501 | 2.127 | 40 | 2.616 | 51 | 2.968 | 2.114 | 1 | 586 | 696 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 52 | - | 38 | - | 88 | 106 | 102 | 1 | 59 | 4 | 203 | 70 | - | 12 | 19 |
| Maine | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 6 | - | 10 | 4 | - | - | 1 |
| N.H. | 4 | - | - | - | - | 36 | 14 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 111 | 17 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Vt . | 1 | - | - | - | - | 7 | 10 | - | 3 | - | 3 | 23 | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 25 | - | 34 | - | 84 | 49 | 19 | - | 17 | - | 46 | 18 | - | 6 | 16 |
| R.I. | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | - | 15 | - | 22 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Conn. | 12 | - | 4 | - | 3 | 14 | 38 | - | 7 | - | 11 | 4 | - | 4 | 1 |
| MID ATLANTIC | 144 | - | 193 | - | 38 | 165 | 373 | 7 | 307 | 7 | 237 | 183 | - | 226 | 224 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 49 | - | 72 | - | 13 | 45 | 147 | - | 161 | 6 | 113 | 102 | - | 18 | 99 |
| N.Y. City | 53 | - | 67 | - | 12 | 109 | 63 | 3 | 33 |  | 27 | 9 | - | 185 | 103 |
| N.J. | 18 | - | 17 | - | 10 | 7 | 59 | 2 | 48 | - | 11 | 13 | - | 9 | 21 |
| Pa . | 24 | - | 37 | - | 3 | 5 | 104 | 2 | 65 | 1 | 86 | 59 | - | 14 | 1 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 60 | - | 443 | - | 90 | 697 | 368 | 4 | 911 | 23 | 689 | 487 | - | 33 | 100 |
| Ohio | 11 | - | 5 | - | 54 | 9 | 116 | 4 | 277 | 4 4 | 113 | 75 | - | - | 2 |
| Ind. | 4 | - | 55 | - | 2 | 3 | 47 |  | 37 | 13 | 201 | 231 | - | 1 | 5 |
| III. | 21 | - | 293 | - | 10 | 181 | 84 | - | 201 | 1 | 50 | 27 | - | 16 | 63 |
| Mich. | 18 | - | 37 | - | 23 | 464 | 93 | - | 310 | - | 47 | 31 | - | 15 | 22 |
| Wis. | 6 | - | 58 | - | 1 | 40 | 28 | - | 86 | 5 | 278 | 123 | - | 1 | 8 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 31 | - | 2 | - | 10 | 58 | 106 | 5 | 83 | 7 | 229 | 124 | - | 19 | 39 |
| Minn. | 14 | - | - | - | 6 | 47 | 27 |  | 1 | 4 | 117 | 16 | - | 2 | 4 |
| lowa | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | 16 | 1 | 31 | 13 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Mo. | 5 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 6 | 41 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 30 | 20 | - | 7 | - |
| N. Dak. | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | , | 4 | - | 10 | - | - | 2 | 3 |
| S. Dak. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 4 | 9 | - | . | . |
| Nebr. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 3 | - | 8 | 12 | - | - | - |
| Kans. | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | 5 | 11 | 4 | 44 | 1 | 29 | 54 | - | 7 | 31 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 105 | 2 | 281 | - | 30 | 66 | 410 | 6 | 260 | 3 | 379 | 214 | - | 56 | 27 |
|  | 26 | 2 | 106 | - | 9 | $2{ }^{-}$ | 11 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Md. D.C. | 26 | 2 | 106 | - | 9 | 22 | 56 | - | 33 | 1 | 157 | 61 | - | 6 | 1 |
| D.C. | 88 | - | 9 21 | - | 1 | 8 5 | $7{ }^{7}$ | 1 | 47 | - | 1 | 19 | - | - | - |
| W. Va. | 2 | . | 31 | - | 2 | 5 | 50 8 | 2 | 47 72 | - | 19 4 | 19 | - | 2 | - |
| N.C. | 9 | - | 9 | - | - | 1 | 56 | 2 | 19 | 1 | 33 | 35 | - | 1 | - |
| S.C. | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 34 | - | 11 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | - |
| Ga. | 10 | - | 8 | - | - | 2 | 73 | - | 28 | - | 93 | 17 | - | 4 | 2 |
| Fla. | 30 | - | 97 | - | 8 | 27 | 115 | 3 | 49 | 1 | 68 | 67 | - | 29 | 22 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 11 | - | - | - | 7 | 6 | 96 | - | 30 | 1 | 64 | 14 | - | 3 | 12 |
| Ky. | 4 | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | 9 | - | 8 | - | 8 | 2 | - | 3 | 6 |
| Tenn. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 37 | - | 18 | - | 25 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Ala. | 6 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 26 | - | 1 | 1 | 24 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| Miss. | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 24 | - | 3 | - | 7 | 4 | - | - | 3 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 92 | - | 421 | - | 15 | 616 | 180 | 5 | 294 | - | 518 | 324 | - | 39 | 63 |
| Ark. | 3 | . | - | - | . | 8 | 19 |  | 7 | - | 14 | 22 | - | 1 | 3 |
| La. | 1 | - | 42 | - | - | 8 | 25 | - | 2 | - | 17 | 8 | - | - | - |
| Okla. | 7 | - | - | - | 1 | 8 | 32 | N | N | - | 160 | 243 | - | 1 | - |
| Tex. | 81 | - | 379 | - | 14 | 592 | 104 | 5 | 285 | - | 327 | 51 | - | 37 | 60 |
| MOUNTAIN | 51 | - | 497 | - | 51 | 145 | 96 | 4 | 234 | 6 | 213 | 122 | - | 5 | 21 |
| Mont. | - | - | 122 | - | 17 | - | 11 | 1 | 12 | - | 9 | 19 | - | - | - |
| Idaho | 3 | - | 126 | - | 18 | 23 | 5 | - | 9 | 1 | 8 | 7 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Wyo. | 1 | - | 5 | - | $\square$ | - | 6 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | - | - | 2 |
| Colo. | 15 | - | 6 | - | 7 | 6 | 25 | 1 | 25 | 4 | 89 | 45 | - | - | 2 |
| N. Mex. | 15 | - | 1 | - | 5 | 88 | 12 | N | N | - | 13 | 12 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Ariz. | 11 | - | 237 | - | 4 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 115 | - | 40 | 24 | - | 1 | 4 |
| Utah | 2 | - | - | - | - | 27 | 9 | - | 6 | - | 53 | 7 | - | - | 7 |
| Nev . | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | 65 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 4 |
| PACIFIC | 376 | 1 | 302 | 3 | 108 | 641 | 396 | 8 | 438 | - | 436 | 576 | 1 | 193 | 191 |
| Wash. | 23 | - | 90 | - | 39 | 154 | 65 | - | 35 | - | 80 | 320 | - | . 14 | 1 |
| Oreg. | 13 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | 35 | N | N | - | 49 | 30 | - | - 2 | 2 |
| Calif. | 321 | 1 | 190 | $3^{\dagger}$ | 63 | 324 | 275 | 8 | 375 | - | 260 | 150 | 1 | 134 | 182 |
| Alaska | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 9 | - | 30 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Hawaii | 17 | - | 18 | - | 5 | 163 | 12 | - | 19 | - | 17 | 75 | - | 42 | 5 |
| Guam | 1 | U | 10 | U | 1 | 90 | - | U | 5 | U | - | - | U | 2 | 4 |
| P.R. | . | U | 67 | U | - | 137 | 14 | 3 | 152 | 1 | 13 | 1 | - | 27 | 19 |
| V.I. | - | U | 4 | U | 6 | - | - | U | 3 | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | - | U | - | U | - | $\bullet$ | - | U | 3 | U | - | - | U | - | - |

-For measles only, imported cases includes both out-of-state and international importations.
$\mathbf{N}$ Not notifiable $\mathbf{U}$ : Unavailable $\boldsymbol{\dagger}_{\text {International }} \boldsymbol{\S}_{\text {Out-of-state }}$

## TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 23, 1985 and November 24, 1984 (47th Week)

| Reporting Area | Syphilis (Civilian) (Primary \& Secondary) |  | Toxicshock Syndrome | Tuberculosis |  | Tularemia | Typhoid Fever | Typhus Fever (Tick-borne) (RMSF) | Rabies, Animal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 23.165 | 25.198 | 5 | 19,306 | 19.059 | 153 | 344 | $671+2$ | 4.838 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 540 | 486 | - | 660 | 572 | 4 | 14 | $9+$ | 20 |
| Maine | 14 | 10 | - | 43 | 28 | - | - |  | - |
| N.H. | 38 | 14 | - | 20 | 26 | - | 1 | 1 | , |
| Vt . | 5 | 1 | . | 8 | 7 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Mass. | 267 | 272 | - | 390 | 317 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 11 |
| R.I. | 17 | 20 | - | 50 | 48 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Conn. | 199 | 169 | - | 149 | 146 | - | 3 | 11 | 7 |
| MID ATLANTIC | 3.284 | 3.358 | - | 3.468 | 3.460 | 2 | 50 | $37+3$ | 581 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 242 | 297 | - | 600 | 538 | . | 13 | 9 | 139 |
| N.Y. City | 2,004 | 2,014 | - | 1.694 | 1.420 | 1 | 25 | 5 | - |
| N.J. | 623 | 603 | - | 476 | 770 | 1 | 11 |  | 39 |
| Pa . | 415 | 444 | - | 698 | 732 | . | 1 | 193 | 403 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 907 | 1.252 | 1 | 2,365 | 2,488 | 3 | 43 | 39-4 | 169 |
| Ohio | 135 | 218 | , | 2,309 | 445 | . | 11 | 23-4 | 28 |
| Ind. | 74 | 125 | - | 302 | 305 | - | 3 | 5 | 23 |
| IIII. | 414 | 502 | - | 1.022 | 1.029 | 2 | 19 | 9 | 38 |
| Mich. | 224 | 337 | 1 | 498 | 562 | - | 8 | 2 | 25 |
| Wis. | 60 | 70 | - | 134 | 147 | 1 | 2 | - | 55 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 216 | 333 | 2 | 540 | 580 | 47 | 13 | 42 | 865 |
| Minn. | 42 | 86 | 2 | 115 | 101 | 1 | 6 | - | 175 |
| lowa | 18 | 11 | - | 53 | 58 | - | 3 | 1 | 141 |
| Mo. | 120 | 169 | - | 257 | 291 | 31 | 3 | 7 | 48 |
| N. Dak. | 2 | 9 | - | 9 | 13 | - | - | 1 | 127 |
| S. Dak. | 6 | 1 | . | 28 | 22 | 8 | - | 2 | 294 |
| Nebr. | 6 | 15 | - | 12 | 29 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 34 |
| Kans. | 22 | 42 | - | 66 | 66 | 5 | - | 27 | 46 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 5.726 | 7.373 | - | 3.975 | 3.958 | 6 | 41 | 318 | 1.220 |
| Del. | 5. 36 | 19 | - | 41 | 51 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 |
| Md. | 403 | 443 | - | 362 | 370 | - | 11 | 26 | 615 |
| D.C. | 302 | 300 | - | 140 | 157 | - |  | - | - |
| Va . | 281 | 384 | $\cdots$ | 402 | 376 | 1 | 3 | 25 | 167 |
| W. Va. | 25 | 18 | - | 101 | 125 | - | 1 | 2 | 28 |
| N.C. | 620 | 785 | - | 529 | 616 | 4 | 4 | 131 | 12 |
| S.C. | 720 | 690 | - | 480 | 469 | - | 3 | 71 | 59 |
| Ga . | - | 1.283 | - | 660 | 609 | - | 3 | 48 | 195 |
| Fla. | 3.339 | 3.451 | - | 1.260 | 1.185 | - | 16 | 12 | 143 |
| E.S CENTRAL | 1,974 | 1.819 | - | 1.670 | 1.787 | 9 | 5 | $76+2$ | 232 |
| Ky. | 63 | 88 | - | 405 | 419 | - | 1 | 152 | 34 |
| Tenn. | 592 | 469 | - | 494 | 518 | 7 | 2 | 32 | 72 |
| Ala. | 611 | 612 | - | 492 | 526 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 119 |
| Miss. | 708 | 650 | - | 279 | 324 | 1 | - | 14 | 7 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 5.643 | 6.148 | - | 2.451 | 2.261 | 59 | 30 | 133 | 793 |
| Ark. | 303 | 198 | - | 286 | 260 | 35 | - | 16 | 134 |
| La. | 986 | 1.080 | - | 352 | 322 | - | 1 | 4 | 19 |
| Okla. | 175 | 190 | - | 232 | 215 | 18 | 2 | 90 | 101 |
| Tex. | 4.179 | 4,680 | - | 1.581 | 1.464 | 6 | 27 | 23 | 539 |
| MOUNTAIN | 693 | 584 | 1 | 512 | 516 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 431 |
| Mont. | 6 | 3 | 1 | 46 | 17 | 4 | - | 6 | 223 |
| Idaho | 7 | 23 | - | 25 | 27 | - | - | - | 10 |
| Wyo. | 13 | 7 | - | 5 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 31 |
| Colo. | 194 | 158 | - | 76 | 64 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 25 |
| N. Mex. | 121 | 77 | - | 82 | 96 | 2 | 4 | - | 12 |
| Ariz. | 290 | 218 | - | 228 | 238 | 4 | 3 | - | 115 |
| Utah | 8 | 18 | 1 | 17 | 35 | 3 | 1 | - | 4 |
| Nev. | 54 | 80 | - | 33 | 35 | - | - | 2 | 11 |
| PACIFIC | 4.182 | 3.845 | 1 | 3.665 | 3.437 | 8 | 135 | 3 | 527 |
| Wash. | . 97 | 138 | - | 211 | 180 | - | 1 | - | 4 |
| Oreg. | 99 | 106 | - | 122 | 137 | 1 | 5 | - | 4 |
| Calif. | 3,917 | 3,522 | 1 | 3.070 | 2.858 | 4 | 123 | 3 | 516 |
| Alaska | - 4 | 3, 6 | . | 89 | 64 | 3 | 2 | - | 3 |
| Hawaii | 65 | 73 | - | 173 | 198 | - | 4 | - | - |
| Guam |  | 704 | U | 35 320 | 48 348 | - | 3 4 | - | 34 |
| P.R. | $796^{-}$ | 704 | - | 320 | 348 | - | 4 | - | 34 |
| V.I. | 3 | 11 | U | 1 | 4 | - | 52 | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | 13 | - | U | 16 | - | - | - | - | - |

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending
November 23, 1985 (47th Week)

| Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\&1-* } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\&10. <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ages | $\geqslant 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | $<1$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Ages } \end{aligned}$ | $\geqslant 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 654 | 460 | 125 | 35 | 14 | 19 | 54 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,278 | 813 | 277 | 103 | 46 | 38 | 52 |
| Boston, Mass. <br> Bridgeport, Conn. | 157 | 98 | 30 | 9 | 5 | 14 | 24 | Atlanta, Ga. | 172 | 106 | 32 | 23 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 57 | 38 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | Baltimore, Md. | 242 | 152 | 60 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 7 |
| Bridgeport. Conn. Cambridge, Mass. | 21 | 15 | 6 | . | . | - | 2 | Charlotte, N.C. | 75 | 45 | 20 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 34 | 29 | 5 | - | - |  | 1 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 103 | 69 | 21 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 10 |
| Hartford. Conn. | 51 | 35 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | Miami, Fla. | 116 | 73 | 23 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Lowell. Mass. | 28 | 23 | 3 | 2 | . | - | . | Norfolk, Va. | 65 | 40 | 13 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 7 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 23 | 20 | 1 | 2 | . | - | - | Richmond, Va. | 77 | 48 | 18 | 8 | 3 | - | 4 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | s. 20 | 17 | 3 | . | - | - | 3 | Savannah, Ga. | 42 | 29 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 44 | 26 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 115 | 93 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Providence, R.I. | 64 | 47 | 15 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | Tampa, Fla. | 68 | 38 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 8 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | Washington, D.C. | 168 | 93 | 44 | 17 | 7 | 6 | 4 |
| Springfield, Mass | 56 | 41 | 9 | 5 | 1 | . | 5 | Wilmington, Del. | 35 | 27 | 8 | , | . |  | . |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 36 | 24 | 10 | 2 | - | - | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 55 | 41 | 10 | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | E.S. CENTRAL | 843 | 540 | 215 | 42 | 24 | 22 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala. | 116 | 73 | 29 | 2 | 7 | 5 | . |
| MID ATLANTIC 2 | 2.787 | 2.249 | 321 | 97 | 59 | 61 | 124 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 47 | 27 | 16 | 3 | .. | 1 | 3 |
|  | 57 | 39 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | Knoxville, Tenn. | 82 | 55 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| Allentown. Pa | 20 | 16 | 4 | - | - |  | - | Louisville, Ky. | 115 | 70 | 37 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 143 | 97 | 32 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 6 | Memphis, Tenn. | 227 | 157 | 48 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 20 |
| Camden, N.J. | 30 | 19 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | Mobile, Ala. | 91 | 60 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 45 | 34 | 8 | 3 | - | - | 2 | Montgomery, Ala. | 41 | 27 | 11 | 3 | - | - | 2 |
| Erie, Pa.t | 34 | 25 | 6 | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | Nashville, Tenn. | 124 | 71 | 42 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 11 |
| Jersey City, N.J. N.Y. City, N.Y. § | 51 | 39 | 7 | 3 | - | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.444 | 1.354 | 9 | 22 | 28 | 31 | 53 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1.438 | 847 | 344 | 142 | 53 | 52 | 66 |
| Newark, N.J. | 77 | 35 | 26 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 4 | Austin, Tex. | 74 | 48 | 15 | 8 | 3 | , | 6 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 31 | 22 | 6 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | Baton Rouge, La. | 38 | 24 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. | 399 | 256 | 97 | 27 | 11 | 8 | 20 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 33 | 21 | 9 | 2 | - | 1 | $\cdots$ |
| Pittsburgh, Pa.t | 70 | 39 | 21 | 7 | - | 3 | 1 | Dallas, Tex. | 242 | 120 | 68 | 27 | 18 | 9 | 7 |
| Reading. Pa. | 41 | 33 | 3 | 4 | - | 1 | 5 | El Paso, Tex. | 61 | 43 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 123 | 80 | 33 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 13 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 119 | 72 | 23 | 11 | 2 | 11 | 10 |
|  | 20 | 16 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 4 | Houston, Tex. | 290 | 171 | 57 | 43 | 10 | 9 | 5 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa.t | 31 | 24 | 5 | 1 | . | 1 | 2 | Little Rock, Ark. | 75 | 54 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 82 | 59 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | New Orleans, La. | 164 | 97 | 49 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| Trenton, N.J. | 29 | 20 | 7 | - | 2 | . | . | San Antonio, Tex. | 193 | 107 | 50 | 19 | 6 | 11 | 13 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 23 | 14 | 9 | - | 1 | - | 1 | Shreveport, La. | 43 | 25 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 37 | 28 | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | Tulsa, Okla. | 106 | 65 | 33 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2.386 | 1.665 | 424 | 129 | 60 | 107 | 114 | MOUNTAIN | 663 | 416 | 142 | 49 | 22 | 33 | 41 |
| Akron, Ohio | 71 | 55 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | Albuquerque, N.Mex | x. 75 | 48 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Canton, Ohio | 32 | 24 | 7 |  | - | 1 | 2 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | . 34 | 24 | 6 | - | 4 | - | 5 |
| Chicago, III. § | 553 | 462 | 11 | 26 | 16 | 37 | 16 | Denver, Colo. | 131 | 79 | 31 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 3 |
| Cincinnati, OhioCleveland, Ohio | 165 | 103 | 41 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 20 | Las Vegas. Nev. | 84 | 51 | 21 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
|  | 176 | 107 | 45 | 14 | 4 | 6 | 5 | Ogden, Utah | 35 | 19 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Cleveland, Ohio Columbus, Ohio | 128 | 82 | 29 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 3 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 120 | 10 | 26 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 5 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 118 | 71 | 37 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | Pueblo, Colo. | 33 | 25 | 4 | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 258 | 151 | 60 | 30 | 7 | 10 | 7 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 50 | 28 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
|  | 38 | 30 | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | Tucson, Ariz. | 101 | 72 | 18 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 75 | 54 | 14 | 4 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23 | 11 | 5 | 3 | - | 4 |  | PACIFIC | 1,947 | 1.435 | 298 | 97 | 60 | 49 | 103 |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | h. 57 | 43 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | Berkeley, Calif. | 19 | 17 | 2 | - | - | . | 1 |
| Indianapolis, Ind.Madison. Wis. | 193 | 115 | 53 | 11 | 4 | 10 | 5 | Fresno, Calif. | 85 | 52 | 22 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
|  | 30 | 19 | 4 | 2 | - | 5 | 3 | Glendale, Calif. § | 19 | 19 | . | . | . |  |  |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 154 | 113 | 33 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 9 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 68 | 41 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Peoria, III. | 70 | 48 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Long Beach, Calit. | 86 | 53 | 27 | 4 | - | 2 | 16 |
| Rockford, III. South Bend, Ind. | 43 | 33 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | Los Angeles, Calif. § | 517 | 478 | 5 | 1 | 22 | 6 | 14 |
|  | 44 | 34 | 8 | 1 | 1 | . | 7 | Oakland, Calif. | 93 | 66 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 6 |
| Toledo, Ohio Youngstown, Ohio | 93 | 66 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | Pasadena, Calif. | 17 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 1 | . | 1 |
|  | - 65 | 44 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | Portland, Oreg. | 137 | 96 | 30 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| W.N. CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sacramento, Calif. | 110 | 74 | 22 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
|  | 770 | 561 | 137 | 33 | 17 | 22 | 41 | San Diego, Calif. | 176 | 106 | 43 | 14 | 8 | 2 | 11 |
| Des Moines, lowa | 64 | 46 | 14 | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | San Francisco, Calif. | 187 | 121 | 35 | 24 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 34 | 27 | 6 | - | 1 | - | 5 | San Jose, Calif. | 170 | 116 | 31 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
|  | +22 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Seattle, Wash. | 173 | 111 | 32 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 3 |
| Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Mo. | 115 | 79 | 28 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 6 | Spokane, Wash. | 55 | 44 | 10 | . | .. | 1 | 8 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 43 | 34 | 7 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 | Tacoma, Wash. | 35 | 29 | 5 | - | . | 1 | 5 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | . 108 | 78 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 73 158 | 50 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | TOTAL 12 | 2.766 | 8.986 | 2.283 | 727 | 355 | 403 | 649 |
|  | 158 | 126 | 19 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. Wichita, Kans. | 64 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 4 | - | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 89 | 62 | 17 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]penile ulcers, often with ragged edges. Tender unilateral or bilateral inguinal adenopathy occurred in 33 ( $85 \%$ ) men, and five men developed fluctuant buboes. All 14 women had ulcers; two (14\%) had asymptomatic cervical ulcers that were found only on examination; six (43\%) had only perianal ulcers; and the remaining six (43\%) had symptomatic vulvar ulcers. Six of the women had tender inguinal adenopathy. Before chancroid was suspected, several patients underwent surgical procedures because of inguinal adenopathy (herniorrhaphy) and erosive anal lesions (hemorrhoidectomy).

The etiology was confirmed by isolation of Haemophilus ducreyi, serology, and exclusion by laboratory evidence of other recognized causes of genital ulcers. Of 28 patients whose ulcers were cultured for $H$. ducreyi, four (14\%) were positive. However, indirect immunofluorescence of ulcer smears using a monoclonal antibody directed against $H$. ducreyi, identified rod-shaped organisms typical of $H$. ducreyi in 15 (54\%) of 28 specimens, including three of four culture-positive cases. A dot-immunobinding serologic test for $H$. ducreyi antibody, using an $H$. ducreyi outer membrane preparation as antigen, yielded positive results in nine (32\%) of 28 cases. All patients were serologically negative for syphilis. No patient tested for herpes simplex virus (by culture, direct fluorescent-antibody test, or Tzanck smear) or lymphogranuloma venereum (by serology) was positive.

Prostitution appeared important in transmitting the disease. Two-thirds of the male patients had recent sexual exposure to prostitutes. Of the 14 females, eight were prostitutes, and all frequented a distinct geographic area of the city. An additional three women had sexual exposure to men known to be sexually active with prostitutes in the same geographic area.

Control measures began in mid-April, immediately after the initial recognition of cases. The sexual partners of the chancroid patients and their sexual contacts were identified, interviewed, examined, and treated (whether lesions were present or not) with oral erythromycin, 500 mg four times a day, or trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, two tablets twice a day, each for 10 days. Intensive efforts were made to locate, examine, and treat all prostitutes from the identified Boston area. All were treated with prophylactic antimicrobial therapy. All Massachusetts STD clinics were notified of the outbreak, and all implemented clinical protocols. A medical advisory memorandum outlining the clinical and laboratory characteristics of chancroid were distributed to neighborhood health centers, infection-control nurses, hospital emergency rooms, and private physicians in the Boston area.
Reported by LM Mofenson, MD, RS Cremo, TJ Rheaume, M Ed, CW Duncan, FR Meyers, E West, Div of Communicable and Venereal Diseases, B Carlson, State Diagnostic Laboratory, Massachusetts Dept of Public Health; Sexually Transmitted Diseases Laboratory Program, Center for Infectious Diseases, Div of Sexualiy Transmitted Diseases, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note: Chancroid is an uncommon disease in the United States. In 1983, 847 cases were reported, an incidence of $0.4 / 100,000$ (1). Ninety percent of cases were reported by four states - Florida, New York, Georgia, and California - and CDC has investigated outbreaks in three of these states (Florida, New York, and California) during the last 3 years. Nevertheless, this episode demonstrates that outbreaks may occur elsewhere. Although the origin of this outbreak is unclear, it seems likely that an individual infected outside Massachusetts was the source. The fact that three of the four patients whose chancroid occurred after August 1 became infected outside Massachusetts reinforces this suspicion.

Chancroid must be differentiated from other sexually transmitted infectious diseases with genital ulceration (syphilis, genital herpes, lymphogranuloma venereum, granuloma inguinale), but differentiation on clinical grounds can be difficult. The culture of $H$. ducreyi is also difficult and requires special media and personnel experienced with growing $H$. ducreyi. Although laboratories experienced with growing $H$. ducreyi have reported isolation rates as high as $80 \%$ from clinically suspected cases (2), isolation rates far less than this are generally reported. Both the recent description of a dot-immunobinding serologic test and a means to detect

Chancroid - Continued
H. ducreyi in ulcer material by immunofluorescence offer promising aids to diagnose chancroid where culture has been unsuccessful or impossible to perform (3).

Tetracycline was formerly a preferred treatment for chancroid. However, many strains of H. ducreyi are now tetracycline resistant (4). Similarly, in some areas of the world, including the United States, increased resistance to trimethoprim has recently been described $(5,6)$. making treatment with the synergistic combination of trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole less reliable than before (5-7). Yet, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole remains reliable in areas where such resistance has not been documented. As a consequence, oral erythromycin, 500 mg four times a day, or intramuscular ceftriaxone, 250 mg , once, have recently been recommended as the preferred drugs for the treatment of chancroid (8).

The apparent successful termination of this outbreak demonstrates how promptly implemented surveillance and intervention measures can be effective in controlling outbreaks of sexually transmitted diseases. With chancroid, because asymptomatic carriage of $H$. ducreyi in males and females has been described ( $5,9,10$ ) , aggressive tracing and treatment of sex partners, whether symptomatic or not, was an integral part of this strategy.
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## Measles in a Population with Religious Exemption to Vaccination - Colorado

On July 24, 1985, a 17-year-old camper at a Colorado camp attended by Christian Scientists developed measles. She had become infected while traveling in California during the 2 weeks before her arrival at the camp. Twenty-five counsellors and 110 campers, aged 14-25 years, attended the camp; all were unvaccinated, and all were exposed to the index patient. At the time the index patient was diagnosed with measles, the exposed campers and counsellors were not yet infectious. After discussions with state health officials, it was decided that quarantining the campers and staff at the facility would be impractical for medical and logistical reasons, since the camp is located in a remote part of Colorado with minimal nearby medical facilities. Therefore, the camp was closed July 27, before any secondary cases occurred.

The campers and staff were from 24 different states. All were placed under quarantine orders in their home states, and vaccination of family contacts was offered through state health departments. Few of the families accepted immunization.

A total of 50 associated cases occurred. In the second generation, 31 campers and three
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counsellors residing in 15 states developed measles, an attack rate of $\mathbf{2 5 . 2 \%}$ (Figure 3). The mean age of these patients was 14.6 years. In the third generation, 16 cases were reported from eight states. All were in unimmunized household contacts. No spread to the general community was documented. No serious complications, hospitalizations, or deaths were reported. Overall, California reported the largest number of cases (16 [31.4\%]); Colorado reported six (11.8\%).
Reported by Immunization Program, S Ferguson, PhD, State Epidemiologist, Colorado Dept of Health; Div of Field Svcs, Epidemiology Program Office, Div of Immunization, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note: The high attack rate ( $25.2 \%$ ) in this camp population reflects the rapidity of transmission and high susceptibility of unimmunized persons. Usual control methods consisting of timely immunization of susceptible contacts were not possible in this situation because of the religious beliefs of the campers and their families. Quarantine efforts by individual state health departments and cooperation by families of campers were apparently successful in limiting spread of disease into the community. In an outbreak among Christian Scientists at Principia College earlier this year, quarantine at the school was used as a control measure. AIthough transmission was sustained for at least 4 generations, there was no spread to the community (1).

The cost of controlling the camp outbreak was borne primarily by the camp, which lost approximately $\$ 106,000$ in income from cancellation of an entire session, and by parents, who in many cases had to cancel other summer plans to accommodate the quarantine. Furthermore, state health departments in 16 states had to implement control measures to ensure that the measles cases imported into their states did not become foci of other outbreaks.

Although persons unvaccinated because of religious exemptions comprise a small proportion of the total population, such persons are at increased risk of acquiring vaccinepreventable diseases and may account for a large proportion of serious measles-associated complications. For example, all three reported measles-related deaths in 1985 occurred during the measles outbreak at Principia College.

Persons with religious exemptions have accounted for a small percentage of the total number of reported measles cases in the United States (2). However, they may play important roles in sustaining or initiating transmission of measles. Although no spread occurred outside the religious groups in the Principia College and Colorado outbreaks, persons with religious

FIGURE 3. Measles in a Christian Science camp, by date of rash onset - Colorado, 1985
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exemptions to vaccination have developed disease that has spread to the general community. In one such outbreak this year in Montana, a person with a religious exemption was the index patient for an outbreak that involved 137 persons (3).

While most state school immunization laws allow exemptions on the basis of religious convictions, the data presented here illustrate the necessity of excluding persons with religious exemptions (as well as other unvaccinated individuals) from school and other environments in epidemic settings where contact with other susceptibles may occur. This serves both to protect their own health and to minimize transmission in the community.
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FIGURE I. Reported measles cases - United States, weeks 43-46, 1985
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[^0]:    *Indiana, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Montana, North Carolina, Oklahoma, and Rhode Island.

[^1]:    - Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more.A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
    - Pneumonia and influenza.
    $\dagger$ Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
    $\dagger \dagger$ Total includes unknown ages.
    § Data not available. Figures are estimates based on average of past 4 weeks.

