## Current Trends

## Measles on College Campuses - United States, 1985

In the first 26 weeks of 1985,334 (18.5\%) of the 1,802 reported cases of measles in the United States occurred on 25 college campuses in 14 states and the District of Columbia. The proportion of reported measles cases on college campuses during this period is more than six times that in 1984, and approaches that of 1983, when it reached an all-time high of 19.8\% (Table 1). Among states reporting measles cases on college campuses, the proportion varied by state from $0.5 \%$ to $100 \%$ (Table 2). A recent outbreak at Villanova University (suburban Philadelphia, Pennsylvania) illustrates the problem.

From March 22 through April 27, 1985, 21 measles cases occurred on the Villanova campus. Three additional cases occurred off campus - two in Pennsylvania and one in Maryland. All cases met the CDC clinical case definition of measles: generalized rash lasting 3 or more days, fever ( 37.8 C [101 F] or higher, if measured), and at least one of the following symptoms: cough, coryza, conjunctivitis. Eleven of the 24 cases were serologically confirmed.

Twenty of the 21 campus cases occurred among undergraduate students. Approximately 6,200 of the 11,000 students at the university are undergraduates; thus, the estimated attack rate among undergraduates was $3 / 1,000$. The other case occurred in a 16 -year-old male who worked part-time on campus as a foodhandler. Three patients were hospitalized, two with pneumonia.

The index case was a 19 -year-old male who had rash onset on March 22 (Figure 1). The source of his infection is unknown. However, he had traveled to Fort Lauderdale, Florida, during spring break (March 2-10), where infected students from an outbreak at Boston University (BU) (1) were known to be present. The second generation of cases (April 3-6) consisted of seven students and the part-time campus employee. After second-generation mea-

TABLE 1. Reported measles cases on college campuses - United States, 1980-1985

|  | Colleges | Total <br> cases | Campus <br> cases |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 36 | 13,506 | $(\%)$ |  |
| 1980 | 19 | 3,124 | 200 | $(1.5)$ |
| 1981 | 14 | 1,714 | 101 | $(3.3)$ |
| 1982 | 19 | 1,497 | 115 | $(6.7)$ |
| 1983 | 17 | $2,543^{*}$ | 296 | $(19.8 ;$ |
| 1984 | 25 | 1,802 | 67 | $(2.6)$ |
| $1985^{\dagger}$ |  |  | 334 | $(18.5)$ |

[^0]sles patients had left campus during Easter vacation (April 2-9), three additional cases subsequently occurred in Maryland and in other areas in Pennsylvania.

To control the outbreak, surveillance was intensified, and vaccination clinics were held on campus. Expanded surveillance activities included a retrospective review of the infirmary's patient log, widespread publicity of the outbreak throughout the campus and in the student newspaper, communication with infection-control nurses at local hospitals, and contact with other colleges and universities in southeastern Pennsylvania. On April 15-17, voluntary vaccination clinics were held for students who could not recall a history of physician-diagnosed measles or measles vaccination after 1967 at 12 months of age or older. No information on measles vaccination status in student medical records was required by the university. More than $3,300(53 \%)$ of the undergraduate students were immunized in these clinics with combined measles-rubella vaccine; only one case subsequently occurred.
Reported'by R Neville, PhD, J Stack, Villanova University, RD Gens, MD, GR Seastrom, H Julian, EJ Witte, VMD, State Epidemiologist, Pennsylvania State Dept of Health; Div of Immunization, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note: The Villanova University outbreak is one of the larger college outbreaks reported in 1985. The largest occurred at Principia College, a Christian Science college in Illinois (1). One hundred twenty-eight cases were reported between January 15 and March 10, including 113 among students and 15 among other residents. Three deaths related to respiratory complications of measles occurred among students and residents at the college. BU was the site of another large outbreak, which began at the end of January and continued through the end of March (1). One hundred three students and two employees at BU were infected. Fifty-five persons were hospitalized for isolation and/or medical treatment, including one student who was admitted to the intensive-care unit with pneumonia. Thirteen persons developed otitis media.

The high mobility of college students, who may travel to countries where measles is endemic or epidemic, offers the potential for frequent introduction of measles virus onto college campuses. For example, an 18-year-old student who had traveled to Guatemala was the index case for an outbreak in 1983 involving 20 students at Louisiana State University (2).

TABLE 2. Reported measles cases on college campuses, by state - United States, first 26 weeks, 1985

|  | Total <br> reported <br> cases | College <br> cases |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| State | 9 | $9^{*}$ | $(100)$ |
| North Carolina | 1 | 1 | $(100.0 \%)$ |
| District of Columbia | 112 | 109 | $(97.3 \%)$ |
| Massachusetts | 23 | 21 | $(91.3 \%)$ |
| Pennsylvania | 259 | 128 | $(49.4 \%)$ |
| llinois | 3 | 1 | $(33.3 \%)$ |
| Oregon | 43 | 13 | $(30.2 \%)$ |
| Chio | 7 | 2 | $(28.6 \%)$ |
| Connecticut | 124 | 29 | $(23.4 \%)$ |
| New York | 44 | 8 | $(18.2 \%)$ |
| Maryland | 51 | 7 | $(13.7 \%)$ |
| Michigan | 18 | 1 | $(5.6 \%)$ |
| Hawaii | 32 | 1 | $(3.1 \%)$ |
| Louisiana | 236 | 2 | $(0.8 \%)$ |
| Texas | 194 | 1 | $(0.5 \%)$ |
| Arizona |  |  |  |

[^1]Measles - Continued
The index case for an outbreak involving 12 cases at Ohio State University in January and February 1985 was a student who had acquired measles while traveling to London and Sierra Leone. The index case for the BU outbreak was a student who had acquired measles while traveling in Venezuela (1).

Once measles virus is introduced onto a college campus, transmission among students may be sustained by several factors (3): (1) many students who grew up in the mid-1960s may have missed measles vaccination in the first years following the licensure of measles vaccine; (2) many students may not have been immunized before the adoption of comprehensive school laws now in effect in most states; (3) many students may have escaped natural measles infection because of decreasing transmission over the past 20 years; (4) some students may have been vaccinated with the killed measles virus vaccine without subsequent revaccination with live measles virus vaccine; and (5) many students may have been vaccinated with live measles virus vaccine before their first birthday, when measles vaccine is known to be less effective. These factors contribute to an estimated susceptibility level of $5 \%-15 \%$ among college-aged individuals in the United States (4,5). Since college students tend to congregate in large numbers, this susceptibility level may allow for substantial measles transmission.

Because of the likelihood for measles virus introduction onto college campuses and the increased chances for sustained transmission, effective measures to reduce the susceptibility levels of college students should be adopted and implemented as soon as possible. In May 1983, the American College Health Association adopted a Preadmission Immunization Policy, recommending that, by September 1985, colleges and universities require all students to present documentation of immunity to measles and other vaccine-preventable diseases as a pre-

FIGURE 1. Reported Vilanova University measles cases and related cases, by date of rash onset - March 22,-April 27, 1985


Measles - Continued
requisite to matriculation or registration (6). The Immunization Practices Advisory Committee has likewise recommended since 1980 that college and university administrations strongly consider establishing such requirements (7).

Massachusetts, North Carolina, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico have adopted statutes requiring immunization of college students. In Rhode Island, the state health and education departments jointly promulgated a regulation, which took effect January 1, 1985, requiring documentation of immunity to measles and rubella for newly entering college students. In Mississippi, the Board of Trustees of State Institutions of Higher Learning adopted a requirement for proof of immunity to measles and rubella for students registering at 4-year state-supported institutions as of fall 1984. Elsewhere, individual universities and colleges have adopted their own internal immunization policies (8). One of the largest of these is the University of Michigan, which recently approved a requirement for documentation of immunity to measles and rubella for all incoming students, effective September 1985.

Despite this progress, the majority of colleges still lack immunization requirements. An early 1984 survey of 1,861 of the nation's 3,600 colleges showed that only $16 \%$ had requirements for measles and/or rubella immunity as a condition of attendance. No information is available on actual enforcement of these requirements where they exist.

Measles outbreaks on college campuses are costly, disruptive to college routine, and difficult to control. Control activities alone for outbreaks at Dartmouth College in 1984 and Indiana University in 1983, for example, cost more than $\$ 30,000$ and $\$ 225,000$, respectively (3). Data from the BU outbreak show that, on the average, measles patients missed 4-5 days of classes each; those hospitalized were in the infirmary for 2 days; and outpatients required 1-2 physician visits for their illness. To limit transmission, several BU-sponsored athletic events were cancelled or restricted to allow attendance only by BU students with proof of immunity.

Voluntary vaccination clinics usually result in low turnout. For example, those held during six college rubella outbreaks in 1983 and 1984 only reached $0.1 \%-12.3 \%$ of the college enrollment (9). While more than $50 \%$ of Villanova University undergraduates were vaccinated during the outbreak, many of those vaccinated may have already been immune and some susceptible students may not have been immunized. College immunization requirements for newly admitted students result in more accurate identification and more effective vaccination of susceptible individuals.

Some colleges are reluctant to adopt immunization requirements because: (1) they believe that their implementation would be an administrative burden, and/or (2) they fear that such policies would lead to a declining enrollment. However, the administrative burden and costs of enforcing college immunization requirements are much less than those associated with attempting to control an outbreak. In addition, experience has shown that students generally cooperate quite well with immunization requirements and that school enrollments are not affected by immunization policies.

Immunization requirements have been shown to be highly successful in a comparable young adult population group: military recruits. The incidence of both rubella and measles in military personnel fell dramatically after immunization of all susceptible recruits was instituted several years ago (10,11). Similar progress could be achieved among college students if college and university administrators, public health and education officials, and legislators take the necessary steps to promote the adoption and enforcement of college immunization requirements (12).
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## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Gasoline Sniffing and Lead Toxicity among Siblings - Virginia

Between March 1983 and December 1984, six of seven children from a family of nine developed lead toxicity from chronic sniffing of gasoline (Table 3). The children ranged in age from 10 years to 17 years; five of the six were boys. Health officials became aware of the problem after neighbors complained that the children were stealing gasoline. Attempts to control their behavior by issuing locking gas caps and providing family and individual counseling were unsuccessful. Neither the parents nor an older sister, who denied sniffing gasoline had elevated blood lead levels.

The family lived in Virginia on an isolated lot in a rural, coastal county on the Chesapeake Bay. Despite a thorough investigation that included analyses of water, paint, and soil samples, no environmental source of lead other than gasoline could be identified. Abandoned automobiles, gardening machinery, and storage cans containing gasoline were easily accessible to the children.

One of the older boys introduced the practice to his siblings after discovering the effects of inhalation while siphoning gasoline. The children would sniff the fumes for 1-2 minutes until feeling the acute effects, which included euphoria, lethargy, loss of appetite, slurred

TABLE 3. Blood lead levels among chronic gasoline-sniffing children - Virginia, March 1983-December 1984

| Sibling | Age | Blood lead, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dl} *^{*}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Range |
| 1 | 10 | 46 | 19-98 |
| 2 | 11 | 33 | 9-66 |
| 3 | 13 | 49 | 30-79 |
| 4 | 14 | 45 | 36-64 |
| 5 | 15 | 45 | 29-58 |
| 6 | 17 | 43 | 26-65 |

[^2]Gasoline Sniffing - Continued
speech, and blurred vision. These symptoms usually lasted several hours. One child reported occasional headaches and vomiting shortly after sniffing the gasoline.

Frequency of usage varied for each child, ranging from once a month to several times weekly. All the children tended to increase the frequency of sniffing during the summer months when they were out of school, and their activities were less supervised. Blood lead values obtained for three of the children during 1984 showed an increase from February through December. A similar trend during the same period was seen in the other family members who reported sniffing gasoline.

In November 1984, a physician found signs of dysdiadochokinesia (dysfunction of ability to carry out rapidly alternating movements) in two of the children, whereas the other four had normal physical examinations. After hospitalization and treatment, their blood lead levels decreased and the children were placed in supervised foster homes. Since placement, all have reportedly stopped sniffing gasoline.
Reported by J Owens, MD, L Soles, Middle Peninsula Health District, J Conover, Div of Consolidated Lab Svc, C Armstrong, MD, G Llewellyn, PhD, K Wasti, PhD, G Miller, MD, State Epidemiologist, Virginia Dept of Health; Div of Field Svcs, Epidemiology Program Office, Chronic Diseases Div, Center for Environmental Health, CDC.
(Continued on page 455)
TABLE I. Summary-cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States

| Disease | 29th Week Ending |  |  | Cumulative, 29th Week Ending |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } 20 . \\ 1985 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } 21, \\ 1984 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ 1980-1984 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { July } 20 . \\ 1985 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | July 21. 1984 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ 1980-1984 \end{gathered}$ |
| Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) | 143 | 84 | N | 4,115 | 2,182 | N |
| Aseptic meningitis | 213 | 196 | 259 | 2,726 | 2,679 | 2.855 |
| Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne \& unspec.) | 21 | 27 | 34 | 505 | 484 | 2.855 527 |
| Post-infectious | 3 | 2 | 2 | 75 | 78 | 58 |
| Gonorrhea: Civilian | 17,851 | 18,653 | 20.606 | 449,702 | 445,956 | 520.949 |
| Military | 230 | 435 | 435 | 9.890 | 11,596 | 14,895 |
| Hepatitis: Type A | 380 | 439 | 439 | 11.738 | 11,402 | 12,292 |
| Type B | 519 | 535 | 409 | 13,922 | 13,792 | 11,636 |
| Non A, Non B | 63 | 71 | N | 2,254 | 2,103 | N |
| Unspecified | 119 | 100 | 185 | 3.138 | 2,658 | 4.704 |
| Legionellosis | 12 | 20 | N | 307 | 318 | N |
| Leprosy | 2 | 3 | 6 | 201 | 130 | 130 |
| Malaria | 20 | 12 | 16 | 480 | 460 | 555 |
| Measles: Total* | 38 | 66 | 61 | 2.025 | 2.059 | 2.059 |
| Indigenous | 36 | 46 | N | 1,648 | 1,834 | N |
| Imported | 2 | 20 | N | 377 | 225 | N |
| Meningococcal infections: Total | 38 | 57 | 43 | 1.508 | 1.797 | 1,803 |
| Civilian | 38 | 57 | 43 | 1.505 3 | 1.794 3 | 1.794 12 |
| Mumps | 27 | 38 | 50 | 1.982 | 2,003 | 2.923 |
| Pertussis | 38 | 23 | 46 | 907 | 1,109 | 692 |
| Rubella (German measles) | 19 | 12 | 22 | 419 | 450 | 1.566 |
| Syphilis (Primary \& Secondary): Civilian | 459 | 525 | 639 | 13,747 | 15,313 | 16,596 |
| Military | 3 | 8 | 8 | 95 | 188 | 217 |
| Toxic Shock syndrome | 3 | 11 | ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ | 1210 | - 280 | N |
| Tuberculosis | 500 | 427 | 531 | 11.540 | 11,630 | 14,020 |
| Tularemia | 3 | 18 | 12 | 68 | 145 | 120 |
| Typhoid fever | 3 | 4 | 9 | 164 | 168 | 211 |
| Typhus fever, tick-borne (RMSF) | 32 | 46 | 62 | 309 | 425 | 550 |
| Rabies, animal | 111 | 99 | 128 | 2,801 | 2,800 | 3.592 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | Cum. 1985 |  | Cum. 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Leptospirosis | 14 |
| Botulism: Foodborne (Alaska 1) | 22 | Plague | 5 |
| Infant (Tex 1, Calif. 3) | 28 | Poliomyelitis: Total | 3 |
| Other | - | Paralytic | 3 |
| Brucellosis (Mass. 1, W.Va. 1) | 62 | Psittacosis (Md. 1, Fla. 1) | 66 |
| Cholera (III. 2) | 2 | Rabies, human | - |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | - | Tetanus | 29 |
| Congenital syphilis, ages < 1 year | 74 | Trichinosis | 40 |
| Diphtheria | 1 | Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine) | 6 |

-Two of the 38 reported cases for this week were imported from a foreign country or can be directly traceable to a known internationally imported case within two generations.

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 20, 1985 and July 21, 1984 (29th Week)

| Reporting Area | AIDS | Aseptic Meningitis | Encephalitis |  | Gonorrhea (Civilian) |  | Hepatitis (Viral), by type |  |  |  | Legionellosis | Leprosy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Primary | Post-infectious |  |  | A | B | NA,NB | Unspecified |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | Cum. 1984 | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 4.115 | 213 | 505 | 75 | 449,702 | 445,956 | 380 | 519 | 63 | 119 | 12 | 201 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 147 | 6 | 13 | - | 13,126 | 12,582 | 3 | 45 | 1 | 11 | - | 4 |
| Maine | 5 | - | - | - | 574 | 506 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| N.H. | - | 1 | 4 | - | 300 | 375 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Vt . | 1 | - | - | - | 163 | 214 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 89 | 3 | 8 | - | 4,986 | 5.090 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 11 | - | 4 |
| R.I. | 7 | - | - | - | 1.015 | 854 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | 45 | 2 | 1 | - | 6.088 | 5.543 | - | 12 | - | - | - | - |
| MID ATLANTIC | 1,632 | 21 | 73 | 5 | 69,446 | 60,982 | 35 | 45 | 3 | 6 | - | 15 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 197 | 7 | 25 | 4 | 8,980 | 8.983 | 18 | 27 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| N.Y. City | 1.098 | 5 | 7 | - | 35.676 | 25,712 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 15 |
| N.J. | 244 | 9 | 18 | - | 10,555 | 10,324 | 15 | 16 | 1 | 4 | - | - |
| Pa . | 93 | - | 23 | 1 | 14.235 | 15,963 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| EN. CENTRAL | 173 | 30 | 108 | 15 | 63.269 | 61,603 | 24 | 54 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 20 |
| Ohio | 33 | 13 | 43 | 4 | 16,009 | 15,985 | 9 | 18 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Ind. | 13 | 4 | 16 | 2 | 6,398 | 6.851 | 3 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
| III. | 81 | - | 14 | 6 | 17,446 | 14,414 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | 16 |
| Mich. | 30 | 13 | 28 | - | 17,652 | 17.448 | 8 | 29 | 4 | - | 3 | 2 |
| Wis. | 16 | - | 7 | 3 | 5.764 | 6,905 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| W N CENTRAL | 44 | 5 | 31 | 3 | 21.912 | 21.241 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Minn | 10 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 3.081 | 3.163 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| lowa | 6 | 1 | 10 | - | 2,325 | 2.351 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mo | 21 | 1 | - | - | 10,453 | 10,272 | 1 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - |
| N Dak. | , | - | - | 1 | 145 | 205 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S Dak | - | - | - | - | 406 | 531 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1.999 | 1,499 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| Kans. | 5 | - | 5 | 1 | 3,503 | 3,220 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S ATLANTIC | 601 | 63 | 63 | 25 | 97,749 | 113.418 | 35 | 139 | 13 | 25 | 8 | 5 |
| Del. | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | 2,219 | 2,028 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Md | 70 | 4 | 17 | 1 | 15,858 | 12,775 | 2 | 25 | - | - | - | 1 |
| D C | 79 | 2 |  | - | 8.184 | 8.108 | - | 5 | 5 | 4 | - | - |
| Va | 41 | 17 | 15 | 4 | 10.025 | 10,780 | 5 | 32 | 5 | 8 | - | - |
| W Va | 4 | 1 | 7 | . | 1.321 | 1.365 | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| N.C. | 31 | 4 | 20 | - | 18.489 | 18,067 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| S.C. | 7 | 2 | 3 | - | 12.093 | 11.113 | - | 16 |  | 1 | - | - |
| Ga . | 101 | 6 |  | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 12,093 | 21,605 | 8 | 15 | - |  | - | 1 |
| Fla | 261 | 26 | - | 20 | 29,560 | 27,577 | 18 | 33 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| ES CENTRAL | 44 | 21 | 23 | 4 | 39.239 | 38,704 | 3 | 41 | 6 | 2 | - | - |
| $K y$ | 12 | 5 | 8 | - | 4,466 | 4,675 | 2 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Tenn | 14 | 2 | 4 | - | 15,389 | 15,945 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| Ala. | 16 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 12.434 | 12,372 | - | 23 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Miss | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 6.950 | 5,712 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| W. S CENTRAL | 299 | 29 | 63 | 2 | 59,265 | 60.690 | 37 | 22 | 2 | 15 | - | 14 |
| Ark. | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5,707 | 5.477 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| La. | 54 | 6 | 3 |  | 12,723 | 13,674 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Okla. | 6 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 6,428 | 6.584 | 9 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 12 |
| Tex. | 235 | 20 | 43 | , | 34.407 | 34,955 | 26 | 16 | 2 | 11 | - | 12 |
| MOUNTAIN | 63 | 7 | 23 | 5 | 14.505 | 14,321 | 68 | 39 | 7 | 8 | - | 5 |
| Mont | - | 1 | . |  | 405 | 588 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | 465 | 734 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wyo. | - | - | 1 | , | 371 | 413 | - |  | - | 5 | - | 1 |
| Colo | 25 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 4,416 | 4.124 | 12 | 6 | - | 5 | - | 1 |
| N. Mex. | 6 | - | 3 | - | 1,639 | 1.585 | 12 | 9 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Ariz. | 23 | 1 | 3 | - | 4,275 | 3,924 | 29 | 17 | 6 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Utah | 6 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 627 | 700 | 7 | 2 |  |  | - | 2 |
| Nev . | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | 2,307 | 2,253 | 8 | 5 | - | - | - | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 1.112 | 31 | 108 | 16 | 71.191 | 62,415 | 172 | 120 | 24 | 47 | 1 | 138 |
| Wash. | 64 | 3 | 11 | - | 4.891 | 4,405 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 1 | - | 30 |
| Oreg. | 16 | - |  | - | 3,470 | 3.529 | 24 | 2 | 8 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Calif. | 1.012 | 26 | 94 | 16 | 60,163 | 51.899 | 134 | 108 | 13 | 45 | 1 | 92 |
| Alaska | 2 | - | 3 | - | 1,674 | 1,537 | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Hawaii | 18 | 2 |  | - | 993 | 1.045 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 14 |
|  | - | u | - | - | 73 | 145 | U | U | U | U | U | 1 |
| PR. | 48 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1.991 | 1.916 | 1 | 3 | U | U | U | 2 |
| V.I. | 2 | , | - | - | 279 | 287 | 1 | 1 | , | - | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | 2 | U | - | - | 146 | 28 | U | U | U | U | U | 20 |

$N$ Not notifiable

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 20, 1985 and July 21, 1984 (29th Week)

| Reporting Area | Malaria | Measles (Rubeola) |  |  |  |  | Meningococcal Infections | Mumps |  | Pertussis |  |  | Rubella |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Indigenous |  | Imported * |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 480 | 36 | 1.648 | 2 | 377 | 2.059 | 1,508 | 27 | 1.982 | 38 | 907 | 1,109 | 19 | 419 | 450 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 26 | - | 33 | - | 86 | 102 | 69 | 1 | 41 | 5 | 50 | 28 | - | 9 | 17 |
| Maine | 3 | - | - | - | 8 | 102 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 28 | - | 9 | 17 |
| N.H. | 4 | - | - | - | - | 36 | 8 | - | 7 | - | 23 | 6 | - | 2 |  |
| Vt . | 12 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 9 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 14 | - | 2 | - |
| Mass. | 12 | - | 29 | - | 83 | 47 | 12 | - | 13 | 1 | 9 | 6 | - | 6 | 16 |
| R.I. | 2 | - | - | - | 8 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 1 | - | 6 | 16 |
| Conn. | 5 | - | 4 | - | 3 | 13 | 25 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 |  |
| MID ATLANTIC | 68 | 3 | 149 | - | 26 | 122 | 248 | 4 | 216 | 1 | 65 | 95 | 6 | 163 | 153 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 23 | - | 71 | - | 10 | 29 | 105 | 1 | 123 | - | 30 | 56 | 1 | 17 | 95 |
| N.Y. City | 22 | 1 | 41 | - | 7 | 82 | 32 | - | 14 | - | 9 | 3 | 5 | 125 | 38 |
| N.J. | 6 | 2 | 14 | - | 9 | 7 | 39 | 1 | 27 | - | 3 | 7 | 5 | - 9 | 16 |
| Pa . | 17 | - | 23 | - | - | 4 | 72 | 2 | 52 | 1 | 23 | 29 | - | 12 | 4 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 23 | 3 | 291 | - | 125 | 644 | 268 | 6 | 761 | 2 | 98 | 295 | - | 20 | 74 |
| Ohio | 6 | - | - | - | 43 | 7 | 90 | 3 | 232 | 1 | 22 | 52 | . | 20 | 2 |
| Ind. | 3 | - | 200 | - | 1 | 3 | 37 | - | 33 | - | 11 | 195 | - | - | 2 |
| III. | 1 | 3 | 200 | - | 66 | 161 | 57 | 2 | 150 | - | 15 | 19 | - | 5 | 44 |
| Mich. | 11 | - | 37 | - | 15 | 442 | 58 | 1 | 280 | 1 | 22 | 15 | - | 14 | 18 |
| Wis. | 2 | - | 54 | - | - | 31 | 26 | - | 66 | - | 28 | 14 | - | 1 | 8 |
| W.N. CENTRAL Minn. | 15 6 | - | 1 | - | 8 | 9 | 84 | 1 | 63 | 3 | 71 | 84 | - | 19 | 29 |
| Minn. <br> lowa | 6 | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 21 | - | 1 | 3 | 19 | 9 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Mo. | 3 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 7 34 | 1 | 9 | - | 4 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 |
| N. Dak. | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 34 3 | - | 11 | - | 12 | 15 | - | 7 | - |
| S. Dak. | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 9 | 5 | - | 2 | 3 |
| Nebr. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Kans. | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | 10 | - | 38 | - | 22 | 49 | - | 7 | 23 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 63 | - | 212 | - | 6 | 31 | 299 | 6 | 173 | 8 | 202 | 110 | 1 | 49 | 21 |
| Del. | 15 | - | 51 | - | - | $1{ }^{-}$ | 7 |  | 1 | 8 | 202 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 21 |
| Md. | 15 | - | 51 | - | 4 | 12 | 40 | 1 | 26 | 7 | 89 | 25 | - | 3 | 1 |
| D.C. Va. | 4 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | . |  |
|  | 14 | - | 21 | - | 1 | 2 | 39 | 2 | 31 | - | 5 | 15 | - | 2 | - |
| W.C. | 1 | - | 31 | - | - | - | 8 | 1 | 55 | - | 1 | 7 | - | 11 |  |
| N.C. | 6 | - | 9 | - | - | - | 41 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 17 | - | - |  |
| Ga. | 4 | - | 8 | - | - | 1 | 30 | - | 7 | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | - |
| Fla. | 19 | - | 98 | - | - | $11^{\circ}$ | 52 76 | - | 13 | - | 59 | 10 | - | 4 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | - | - | 11 | 76 | - | 29 | - | 38 | 32 | 1 | 25 | 18 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 8 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 66 | - | 17 | 3 | 16 | 6 | - | 2 |  |
| Ky. | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | - | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 3 |
| Tenn. | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 23 | - | 11 | - | 5 | 2 | - | 2 | 3 |
| Ala. | 5 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 23 | - | 1 | 3 | 6 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| Miss. | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 15 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | - | 3 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 41 | 21 | 361 | 1 | 9 | 477 | 130 | 1 | 209 | 3 | 142 | 232 | 2 |  |  |
| Ark. | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 12 | . | 4 | 3 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| La. | - | 5 | 39 | - | - | - | 22 | - | 2 | 3 | 8 | 4 |  | , |  |
| Okla. | 2 | - | - | $1^{\dagger}$ | 1 | 7 | 25 | N | N | 3 | 73 | 205 | - | 1 | - |
| Tex. | 39 | 16 | 322 | - | 8 | 464 | 71 | 1 | 203 | - | 51 | 9 | 2 | 26 | 3 |
| MOUNTAIN | 29 | 4 | 446 | - | 43 | 139 | 65 | 3 | 197 | 8 | 54 | 75 | - | 4 | 13 |
| Mont. | - | - | 122 | - | 17 | - | 4 | - | 7 | 1 | 4 | 17 | - | 4 | 13 |
| Idaho | 1 | - | 118 | - | 18 | 23 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Wyo. | 1 | - | 1 | - | , | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Colo. | 8 | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | 19 | - | 16 | 6 | 22 | 26 | - | - | 2 |
| N. Mex. | 10 | , | 1 | - | 2 | 88 | 8 | N | N | 6 | 22 | 26 5 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Ariz. | 5 | 4 | 205 | - | - | 8 | 18 | 2 | 97 | 1 | 14 | 13 | - | 1 | - |
| Utah | 2 | - | - | - | - | 27 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 14 9 | 6 | - | 1 | 7 |
| Nev. | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 62 | - | 9 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 207 | 5 | 155 | 1 | 73 | 532 | 279 | 5 | 305 | 5 | 209 | 184 | 10 | 125 |  |
| Wash. | 16 | - | 9 | - | 32 | 124 | 50 | 2 | 28 | 5 | 27 | $\begin{array}{r}38 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 10 | 125 | 130 |
| Oreg. | 8 | 5 | 13 | $1+$ | - | - | 26 | N | N | - | 21 | 11 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Calif. | 166 | 5 | 130 | $1{ }^{\dagger}$ | 36 | 274 | 192 | 1 | 261 | 5 | 135 | 66 | 4 | 71 | 125 |
| Alaska | 2 | - | - | - | - | , | 7 | 1 | 4 |  | 23 | - | - | 1 | 125 1 |
| Hawaii | 15 | - | 13 | - | 5 | 134 | 4 | 1 | 12 | - | - 3 | 69 | 6 | 40 | 1 3 |
| Guam | 1 | U | 10 | U | - | 90 |  | U | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P.R. | - | - | 48 | U | - | 1 | 9 | U | 113 | 1 | 6 | - | U | 22 | 4 |
| V.I. | - | - | 4 | - | 6 |  | $\bigcirc$ | - | 3 | 1 | 6 | - | - | 22 | 6 |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | - | U | - | U |  | - | - | U | 3 | U | - | - | U | - |  |

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 20, 1985 and July 21, 1984 (29th Week)

| Reporting Area | Syphilis (Civilian) (Primary \& Secondạry) |  | Toxicshock Syndrome | Tuberculosis |  | Tularemia | Typhoid Fever | $\|$Typhus Fever <br> (Tick-borne) <br> (RMSF) | Rabies Animal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED States | 13,747 | 15,313 | 3 | 11.540 | 11,630 | 68 | 164 | $309+33$ | 2,801 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 294 | 296 | - | 380 | 328 | - | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| Maine | 9 | 3 | - | 28 | 18 | - | - | - | - |
| N.H. | 6 | 7 | - | 11 | 20 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Vt . | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 155 | 174 | - | 230 | 172 | - | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| R.I. | 8 | 11 | - | 32 | 28 | - |  | - |  |
| Conn. | 113 | 100 | - | 75 | 84 | - | 1 | - | 3 |
| MID ATLANTIC | 1.879 | 2.101 | - | 2.134 | 2.124 | 1 | 22 | $7+1$ | 222 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 125 | 173 | - | 351 | 339 | - | 8 | 4 | 56 |
| N.Y. City | 1.168 | 1.300 | - | 1.063 | 876 | 1 | 8 | 1) | 15 |
| N.J. | 376 | 379 | - | 280 | 462 | - | 5 |  | 15 |
| Pa . | 210 | 249 | - | 440 | 447 | - | 1 | 2 | 151 |
| E.N CENTRAL | 631 | 690 | - | 1,421 | 1.522 | - | 17 | $24+1$ | 92 |
| Onio | 88 | 143 | - | 261 | 296 | - | 3 | 201 | 20 |
| Ind. | 61 | 76 | - | 170 | 174 | - | 3 | 2 | 13 |
| III. | 324 | 216 | - | 614 | 639 | - | 4 |  | 15 |
| Mich. | 122 | 211 | - | 299 | 316 | - | 5 | 2 | 11 |
| Wis. | 36 | 44 | - | 77 | 97 | - | 2 | - | 33 |
| W N CENTRAL | 128 | 229 | - | 312 | 345 | 23 | 8 | $23+1$ | 527 |
| Minn. | 28 | 67 | - | 63 | 59 | 1 | 5 | - | 102 |
| lowa | 14 | 10 | - | 41 | 40 | - | 1 | - | 100 |
| Mo. | 62 | 118 | - | 148 | 169 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 23 |
| N. Dak. | 2 | 5 | - | 3 | 8 | - | - | 1 | 76 |
| S. Dak | 4 | - | - | 16 | 13 | 3 | - | 21 | 170 |
| Nebr | 5 | 10 | - | 11 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 24 |
| Kans. | 13 | 19 | - | 30 | 39 | - | - | 17 | 32 |
| S. AtLANTIC | 3.499 | 4.567 | 2 | 2,345 | 2,419 | 6 | 19 | $138+20$ | 758 |
| Del. | 17 | 12 | - | 23 | 29 | 1 | - | 11 | 88 |
| Md. | 212 | 284 | - | 215 | 252 | - | 5 | 111 | 388 |
| D.C. | 201 | 174 | - | 98 | 92 | - | - |  | - |
| Va . | 167 | 232 | 1 | 213 | 234 | 1 | 3 | 154 | 100 |
| W. Va. | 9 | 12 | - | 61 | 78 | - | - | 1 | 18 |
| NC. | 372 | 456 | 1 | 296 | 379 | 4 | 2 | 558 | 4 |
| S.C. | 425 | 418 | - | 311 | 291 | - | - | 395 | 43 |
| Ga . |  | 779 | - | 373 | 340 | - | 1 | 122 | 111 |
| Fla. | 2.096 | 2.200 | - | 755 | 724 | - | 8 | 4 | 94 |
| E.S CENTRAL | 1,118 | 998 | 1 | 1,018 | 1,090 | 3 | 4 | $30+2$ | 141 |
| K Y . | 35 | 57 | - | 228 | 251 | - | 1 | $1$ | 21 |
| Tenn. | 307 | 281 | - | 304 | 348 | 3 | 1 | 181 | 28 |
| Ala. | 362 | 331 | 1 | 319 | 326 | - | 2 | 61 | 90 |
| Miss. | 414 | 329 | - | 167 | 165 | - | - | 5 | 2 |
| W S CENTRAL | 3.297 | 3.732 | - | 1.389 | 1.323 | 20 | 11 | $69+8$ | 526 |
| Ark. | 171 | 112 | . | 142 | 147 | 8 | . | 103 | 86 |
| La | 593 | 672 | - | 195 | 165 | - | - | 505 | 12 |
| Okla. | 96 | 125 | - | 152 | 123 | 8 | 11 | 505 | 62 |
| Tex. | 2.437 | 2.823 | - | 900 | 888 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 366 |
| MOUNTAIN | 402 | 350 | - | 314 | 295 | 13 | 7 | 13 | 226 |
| Mont. | 2 | 2 | - | 41 | 14 | 4 | - | 6 | 113 |
| Idaho | 3 | 14 | - | 15 | 18 | - | $\cdot$ | 1 | 4 |
| Wyo. | 5 | 6 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 4 | 12 |
| Colo. | 95 | 82 | - | 36 | 31 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 10 |
| N. Mex. | 63 | 42 | - | 58 | 56 | 2 | 2 | - | 82 |
| Ariz. | 208 | 134 | - | 132 | 133 | 3 | 1 | - | 82 |
| Utah | 5 | 11 | - | 6 | 28 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Nev . | 21 | 59 | - | 21 | 15 | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| PACIFIC | 2,499 | 2,350 | - | 2.227 | 2.184 | 2 | 70 | 2 | 200 |
| Wash. | 64 | 80 | - | 128 | 110 | i | - | - | 4 |
| Oreg. | 48 | 70 | - | 75 | 88 | 1 | 67 | 2 | 292 |
| Calif. | 2,342 | 2.153 | - | 1.845 | 1,834 | 1 | 67 | 2 | 292 |
| Alaska | 2 | 3 | - | 67 112 | [33 | - | 3 | - | 3 |
| Hawaii | 43 | 44 | - | 112 | 119 | - | 3 | - |  |
| Guam | 2 442 | 473 | U | 16 185 | 32 224 | - | 1 | - | 22 |
| V.1. | 1 | 8 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 52 | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | 13 | - | U | 16 | - | - | - | - | - |

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending
July 20, 1985 (29th Week)

| Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\&IF | Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Psi } 1^{\circ} \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ages | $\geqslant 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |  | All Ages | $\geqslant 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 578 | 389 | 127 | 41 | 9 | 12 | 31 | S. ATLANTIC | 1.223 | 711 | 297 | 126 | 48 | 39 | 44 |
| Boston, Mass. | 179 | 107 | 44 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 15 | Atlanta, Ga. | 152 | 102 | 28 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 4 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 33 | 25 | 6 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | Baltimore, Md. | 164 | 96 | 45 | 14 | 8 | 1 | 6 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 24 | 20 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | Charlotte, N.C. | 81 | 48 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 8 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 26 | 20 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | Jacksonville, Fla. | 105 | 62 | 23 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 49 | 32 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | Miami, Fla. | 113 | 56 | 35 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Lowell, Mass. | 25 | 17 | 8 | - | - | - | 1 | Norfolk, Va. | 66 | 30 | 14 | 13 | 3 | 6 | 3 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 16 | 10 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | Richmond, Va. | 83 | 44 | 27 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | S. 27 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | Savannah, Ga. | 50 | 30 | 8 | 10 | 2 | - | 2 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 36 | 25 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | . | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 118 | 89 | 15 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Providence, R.I. | 26 | 19 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | Tampa, Fla. | 73 | 39 | 17 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 9 | 8 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 1 | Washington, D.C. | 182 | 88 | 57 | 26 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 49 | 34 | 11 | 4 | - |  | 3 | Wilmington, Del. | 36 | 27 | 8 | 1 | . | 4 | 3 |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 28 | 16 | 9 | 3 | - | - | 1 | Wirmington, Del. | 3 |  | 8 |  | - |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 51 | 36 | 10 | 5 | - | - | 3 | E.S. CENTRAL | 820 | 531 | 184 | 59 | 20 | 26 | 45 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birrningham, Ala. | 85 | 59 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| MID ATLANTIC 2 | 2,524 49 | 1.640 39 | 517 | 226 | 75 | 66 | 100 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 55 | 34 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 49 | 39 15 | 7 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 103 | 76 | 19 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| Allentown, Pa. | 19 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 9 | Louisville. Ky. | 123 | 78 | 30 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 104 43 | 66 | 28 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 9 | Memphis, Tenn. | 220 | 134 | 56 | 23 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| Camden, N.J. | 43 | 26 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 2 | - | Mobile, Ala. | 67 | 44 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 20 | 18 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | Montgomery, Ala. | 42 | 26 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Erie, Pa. $\dagger$ | 45 | 31 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | Nashville, Tenn. | 125 | 80 | 26 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 6 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 52 | 37 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.Y. City, N.Y. 1 | 1,323 | 829 | 277 | 154 | 32 | 31 | 52 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1.428 | 797 | 346 | 148 | 73 | 64 | 52 |
| Newark, N.J. | 71 | 35 | 15 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 2 | Austin, Tex. | 69 | 43 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 28 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Baton Rouge, La. | 49 | 31 | 15 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. | 317 | 206 | 63 | 19 | 16 | 13 | 14 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 40 | 26 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa. $\dagger$ | 70 | 44 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Dallas. Tex. | 192 | 101 | 50 | 28 | 8 | 5 | 6 |
| Reading, Pa. | 38 | 32 | 6 | - | - | - | 4 | El Paso. Tex. | 53 | 34 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 112 | 83 | 18 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 113 | 63 | 25 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 4 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa.t | 22 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | Houston, Tex. | 404 | 196 | 107 | 51 | 32 | 18 | 9 |
| Scranton, Pa.t | 28 83 | 22 | 4 16 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | Little Rock, Ark. | 59 | 31 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 83 | 52 | 16 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 2 | New Orleans, La. | 121 | 68 | 27 | 11 | 4 | 11 | - |
| Trenton, N.J. | 48 | 27 | 17 | 3 | 1 | - | - | San Antonio, Tex. | 187 | 118 | 41 | 15 | 7 | 6 | 12 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 20 | 15 | 4 | 1 | - | - |  | Shreveport, La. | 34 | 17 | 15 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 32 | 24 | 6 | 2 | - | - | 1 | Tulsa, Okla. | 107 | 69 | 20 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,306 | 1.586 | 373 | 159 | 87 | 100 | 82 | MOUNTAIN | 610 | 373 | 130 | 47 | 32 | 27 | 28 |
| Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio | 67 42 | 46 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | Albuquerque, N.Mex | 63 | 42 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 6 |
| Chicago, Ill.§ | 42 553 | 32 462 | 11 | 26 | 16 | 37 | 16 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 39 | 25 | 4 | 6 | 4 | - | - |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 98 | 59 | 25 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 16 8 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 103 80 | 67 35 | 15 | 12 | 4 | 14 | 10 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 164 | 91 | 36 | 21 | 8 | 8 | 4 | Ogden, Utah | 23 | 14 | 28 4 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 131 | 80 | 31 | 12 | 1 | 7 | - | Phoenix, Ariz. | 140 | 87 | 27 | 13 | 6 | 7 | - |
| Dayton, Ohio | 115 | 75 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 4 | Pueblo, Colo | 26 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 1 | . | 1 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 270 | 148 | 63 | 33 | 14 | 12 | 7 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 42 | 25 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 52 | 39 | 11 | 1 | 1 |  | 5 | Tucson, Ariz. | 94 | 59 | 30 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 50 | 37 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | Tucson, Ariz. |  |  | 3 |  | - |  | 5 |
| Gary, Ind. | 16 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | PACIFIC | 2,008 | 1,307 | 408 | 153 | 69 | 65 | 96 |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | h. 60 | 38 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | Berkeley, Calif. | 9 | 9 |  | , | - | 6 |  |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 175 | 109 | 48 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 4 | Fresno, Calif. | 100 | 66 | 20 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| Madison, Wis. | 41 | 25 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 | Glendale, Calif. | 36 | 28 | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 142 | 98 | 25 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 8 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 61 | 35 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Peoria, III. | 40 | 33 | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | Long Beach, Calif. | 104 | 68 | 24 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 10 |
| Rockford, III. | 45 | 31 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 2 | - | Los Angeles, Calif. | 622 | 399 | 126 | 54 | 25 | 15 | 16 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 39 | 31 | 2 | 4 | 2 | - | 3 | Oaktand, Calif. | 49 | 35 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 138 | 97 | 28 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 11 | Pasadena, Calif. | 31 | 20 | 6 | 2 | - | 3 | 2 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 68 | 48 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 3 | - | Portland, Oreg. | 138 | 88 | 31 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sacramento, Calif. | 120 | 84 | 18 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 12 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 762 | 528 | 149 | 44 | 19 | 22 | 23 | San Diego, Calif. | 160 | 105 | 39 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 12 |
| Des Moines, lowa | 68 | 48 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | San Francisco, Calif. | 149 | 98 | 25 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 27 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | San Jose, Calif. | 143 | 93 | 31 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 12 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 37 | 28 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 1 | Seattle, Wash. | 174 | 102 | 35 | 16 | 5 | 16 | 4 |
| Kansas City. Mo. | 122 | 81 | 25 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 1 | Spokane, Wash. | 51 | 34 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 25 | 18 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 1 | Tacoma, Wash. | 61 | 43 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 70 | 48 | 10 | 9 | 3 | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 108 | 72 119 | 23 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 10 | TOTAL 1 | 12,259 | 7.862 | 2,531 | 1.003 | 432 | 421 | 501 |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 169 | 119 | 32 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 77 | 54 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 59 | 42 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 3 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

-Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or
more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

- Pneumonia and influenza.
t Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
$\dagger \dagger$ Total includes unknown ages.
§ Data not available. Figures are estimates based on average of past 4 weeks.


## Gasoline Sniffing - Continued

Editorial Note: Lead in gasoline is present in the form of tetraethyl lead (TEL). It is an organic compound first introduced during the 1920s as a gasoline additive because of its antiknock properties (1). After absorption through inhalation, TEL is metabolized to triethyl lead and then converted to inorganic lead (2).

Gasoline additives are a significant source of lead in the environment, and reduction of the lead content of gasoline has been associated with decreases in blood lead levels in the U.S. population (3). Recently, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency announced, effective January 1986, a 10 -fold reduction in the standard allowable for lead in gasoline, from 1.1 g to 0.1 g per gallon of gasoline, and is currently considering a total ban on all lead additives (4).

Previous reports of lead toxicity from gasoline sniffing have been of American and Canadian Indians $(2,5)$. The acute effects of inhaling gasoline, which may be caused by TEL or other volatile hydrocarbons found in gasoline, have reportedly been similar to those found in the Virginia children (6). More severe effects in those with higher blood lead levels have included seizures and acute metabolic encephalopathy (2).

Chronic gasoline sniffing can result in significant lead toxicity, which may go undetected until severe medical problems arise. Besides providing medical care for lead toxicity, healthcare providers need to understand the social and cultural factors influencing young people to abuse chemicals and drugs (5).

CDC recommends that all children between 9 months and 6 years of age be screened for lead toxicity, defined as a blood lead level of $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ or greater and an erythrocyte protoporphyrin (EP) level of $35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ or greater. The most common source of lead in lead poisoning is lead-based paint. As evidenced by this report, older children and adolescents are also at risk of lead toxicity from different sources of lead in the environment (7).
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## Rubella Outbreak among Office Workers - New York City

In 1984, a provisional total of 745 rubella cases was reported in the United States. This is a $23.2 \%$ decrease from the 1983 total ( 970 cases) and a $98.7 \%$ decrease from the 1969 total of 57,686 cases, the highest number ever reported. The 1984 figure represents a new all-time low. However, rubella cases continue to occur among susceptible adults, particularly childbearing-aged women. While colleges and universities have traditionally been recognized as sources for rubella outbreaks because of pooling of susceptibles (1), the outbreak present-
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ed below demonstrates that rubella transmission can and does occur in the work setting if enough susceptible young adults are closely confined.

Sixty-nine cases of rubella* involving workers in two exchanges and two brokerage firms in the financial district of lower Manhattan were reported to the New York City Department of Health between March 20 and June 5, 1984 (Figure 2). Two other cases were identified in contacts who did not work in the financial district. Twenty-seven (38.0\%) of these 71 cases were serologically confirmed.

Of the 69 cases in the financial district workers, 42 ( $60.9 \%$ ) involved workers at Exchange A; 18 ( $26.1 \%$ ) were in workers at Exchange B; and nine (13.0\%) involved employees at two brokerage firms. The two other cases were in contacts of patients from Exchange A. Epidemiologic links were demonstrated between the two exchanges and between Exchange $B$ and the two brokerage firms.

Because the work force at the two exchanges includes exchange employees, several hundred exchange members, and member firms and their employees, the total number of persons at risk at the exchanges during the outbreak and their age and sex distributions are unknown. Therefore, the denominators used in calculating attack rates are estimates by exchange officials of the total population at risk. Based on these estimates, the overall attack rate for Exchange A (21 cases per 1,000 workers) was significantly higher than that for Exchange B (6/1,000) ( $p<0.001$ ).
*Generalized maculopapular rash and at least one of the following: fever, conjunctivitis, coryza, joint pain or swelling, headache, or lymphadenopathy.

FIGURE 2. Rubella cases in the financial district, by week of onset - New York City, 1984


WEEK ENDING
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Forty-nine (71.0\%) of the 69 cases occurred among men (male-to-female ratio $=2.5: 1$ ). Of these 69 primary cases, $55(79.7 \%)$ involved patients under 30 years of age. The median age of patients was 25 years (Figure 3).

The estimated attack rates for male and female employees in the two exchanges (13.8/1,000 and 8.4/1,000, respectively) do not differ significantly, nor do the estimated attack rates for all workers by age (Table 4). However, men under 30 years of age had the highest observed attack rate ( $16.7 / 1,000$ ), and their risk of infection was significantly higher than that for women of the same age $(7.7 / 1,000)$ and that for older men $(7.0 / 1,000)$ ( $p<0.05$ ).

No patient was known to have been previously immunized against rubella. No pregnant women were known to have contracted rubella during the outbreak.

To control the outbreak, 1,639 workers, approximately one-third of the work force, were vaccinated at the two exchanges in separate vaccination clinics sponsored by the New York City Department of Health. Separate vaccination programs sponsored by employee health units were conducted at the two brokerage firms. Women of childbearing age were counselled about the theoretical teratogenicity of live-virus vaccines and advised to avoid pregnancy for 3 months after vaccination. Additionally, serum specimens were voluntarily collected before vaccination from approximately $80 \%$ of these women and stored so that a prevaccination rubella titer could be determined for any women who subsequently became pregnant. Two women became pregnant, both 22 weeks after vaccination: one proved to be seropositive before vaccination, and the other had refused to have blood drawn. Each woman delivered a normal child. No adverse results of vaccination-including absenteeism-were reported.

FIGURE 3. Rubelia cases in the financial district, by age group and sex - New York City, 1984
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Reported by GS Alkaya, MD, RM Hockberg, Executive Health Examiners, Inc., J. Thompson, Commodities Exchange Center, Inc., AP Nazitto, LA Pizzurro, FF Schady, MA McPherson, RL Coshnear, Div of Immunization, AK Goodman, MD, SM Friedman, MD, S Schultz, MD, Bureau of Preventable Diseases, ST Beatrice, PhD, SJ Millian, PhD, Bureau of Laboratory Svcs, DJ Sencer, MD, Commissioner of Health, New York City Dept of Health; Div of Field Svcs, Epidemiology Program Office, Div of Immunization, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note:This is the third reported rubella outbreak involving office workers in the United States and the second in New York City (2,3). The previous New York outbreak involved 86 cases among workers at a major bank in the financial district of lower Manhattan (3). In that outbreak, attack rates were significantly higher for women than for men and were higher for women under 45 years of age than for women 45 years old and older. In the 1984 outbreak, the overall attack rate for men was higher than that for women, although not significantly so. The difference may in part be due to the fact that the denominator data in the latest outbreak were only estimates. In both outbreaks, however, attack rates were higher for younger workers than for older workers; this finding is consistent with the estimated 10\%-15\% prevalence of seronegative young adults (4). This remaining susceptible cohort is a result, not of vaccine failure, but of failure to be vaccinated. Available data indicate no appreciable decline in vaccine-induced immunity over time, regardless of the rubella vaccine strain $(4,5)$.

Outbreaks lead not only to disruption of the workplace and time lost through illness but also to potential infection of pregnant women. Although no pregnant women were infected during this outbreak, three were infected in the 1983 New York City outbreak (3). Two subsequently had therapeutic abortions, and one delivered an infant with congenital rubella syndrome (CRS).

Rubella outbreaks will likely continue to occur in the workplace until all workers are immune. Direct vaccination of the remaining susceptible adult population could immediately reduce the risk of outbreaks in this setting. This approach is consistent with the national goal to hasten the elimination of CRS from the United States (6). The Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP) recommends that ascertainment of rubella immune status and the availability of rubella immunization be components of the health-care program in places where women of childbearing age congregate or represent a significant proportion of the

TABLE 4. Rubella attack rates,* by age and sex - New York City, 1984

| Group | III | Estimated <br> total <br> at risk | Attack <br> rate ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Men | 39 | 2,333 | 16.7 |
| $<30$ yrs. | 7 | 1,000 | 7.0 |
| $\geqslant 30$ yrs. | 46 | 3,333 | 13.8 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |
| Women | 9 | 1,167 | 7.7 |
| $<30$ yrs. | 5 | 500 | 10.0 |
| $\geqslant 30$ yrs. | 14 | 1,667 | 8.4 |
| Subtotal | 60 | 5,000 | 12.0 |
| Total |  |  |  |
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work force (4). Since voluntary programs have generally been less successful than mandatory programs, the latter are preferable.

Introduction of rubella into a population should be prevented, since outbreak control may not lead to immediate termination of cases. Once infection is introduced into a susceptible population, prompt outbreak control is necessary (4). To control rubella outbreaks in the workplace, and to prevent workplace-associated CRS, active identification and confirmation of cases, exclusion of patients during the infectious period, exclusion of nonimmune pregnant women until the end of the outbreak, and vaccination of susceptibles are necessary.

While prevaccination blood specimens were obtained from women in this outbreak, this practice is not necessary, even in the nonoutbreak situation (4). The ACIP recommends vaccination if an individual simply lacks documentation of either prior vaccination on or after the first birthday or serologic evidence of immunity. For females without such evidence of immunity, one should simply ask the woman if she is pregnant or believes she might become pregnant in the next 3 months. If she says she is not, she should be vaccinated after discussing the need to avoid conception for the ensuing 3 months. All available data indicate that vaccination of immune individuals is not associated with any increased risk of adverse events and that the risks to the fetus following exposure to the vaccine virus are negligible $(4,5)$.
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FIGURE I. Reported measles cases - United States, weeks 25-28, 1985
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[^0]:    *Provisional data.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ First 26 weeks, 1985.

[^1]:    *One other North Carolina college case was reported by New Jersey.

[^2]:    *Five to seven samples taken per child.

[^3]:    -Includes only those cases occurring in exchanges $A$ and $B$.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Estimated cases per 1,000 workers.

