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## Current Trends

## Rubella and Congenital Rubella - United States, 1983

## RUBELLA

A provisional total of 954 cases of rubella was reported in 1983 - the lowest since rubella became a notifiable disease in 1966. The greatest number of cases ever reported was 57,686 in 1969. 1983 cases decreased by 59\% from 1982 ( 2,325 cases) and by $66 \%$ from the 3-year average annual total for 1980-1982. Fourteen states and the District of Columbia reported no cases in 1983; seven were free of rubella in 1982. California ( 292 cases), Texas (116), New York City (87), and Florida (71) accounted for 59\% of all 1983 cases. California alone accounted for $31 \%$ of the 1983 cases but experienced a $79 \%$ decrease from 1982 , when it accounted for $62 \%$ of all U.S. cases. Age-specific data are not yet available.

## CONGENITAL RUBELLA SYNDROME

While data on rubella are available only through CDC's surveillance system (reported weekly in MMWR's Tables I and III), data on congenital rubella syndrome (CRS) cases for 1983 are available from reports submitted weekly to MMWR and from the National Congenital Rubella Syndrome Registry (NCRSR) maintained at CDC's Division of Immunization.* The MMWR CRS reports are case counts with no accompanying data and are tabulated by year of report. The NCRSR monitors reports by year of birth that contain information allowing classification into six categories, the most specific for clinical CRS cases being "confirmed" and "compatible" (C\&C) (Table 1). Since the NCRSR cases are classified by year of birth, data are considered provisional for any given year and are subject to frequent updating because of delayed reporting.

In contrast to the reported 59\% decrease in rubella from 1982 to 1983, reported CRS increased almost threefold-from seven cases in 1982 to 20 cases in 1983 (Table 2). However, only seven (35\%) of these 20 infants were born in 1983 (Table 3). Seventeen of these have been classified by NCRSR criteria (the other three were born in 1982). Fourteen ( $82 \%$ ) of the 17 cases were either confirmed or compatible, including four of the seven infants born in 1983.

The NCRSR includes two additional confirmed cases in infants born in 1982 but not yet reported in MMWR. Thus, in contrast to the MMWR data, the updated NCRSR C\&C totals currently demonstrate a 64\% decrease between 1982 (11 cases) and 1983 (4) (Table 2).

[^0]
## Rubella and Congenital Rubella - Continued

The 20 infants with CRS reported in MMWR in 1983 were from California ( 12 cases). New York (2), Arkansas (1), llinois (1), Kansas (1), Oregon (1), South Dakota (1), and Wisconsin (1). Three of the California infants (all C\&C) were born in 1983; eight (six C\&C), in 1982; and one (C\&C), in 1981. California now accounts for $64 \%(7 / 11)$ of C\&C cases for 1982 and $75 \%(3 / 4)$ of C\&C cases for 1983. These data are consistent with the observed increase in reported rubella activity in childbearing-age populations that occurred in California in 1982 (1).

Since the 1983 data reported in MMWR indicate that one-half the infants were born in the previous year, the increase in CRS reporting occurred among 1982 births and parallels the twofold increase in the rubella rate among persons 15 years of age and older reported between 1981 ( 0.4 cases $/ 100,000$ population) and 1982 ( 0.8 cases $/ 100,000$ population). Reports of CRS to both MMWR and NCRSR have declined markedly as overall rubella and rubella in postpubertal populations have reached all-time lows (Table 2). After some initial decreases in the years following the licensure of rubella vaccine, CRS rates stabilized, with only minimal differences between MMWR and NCRSR reports. The increase in incidence in 1979 in both systems reflects the outcome of outbreaks of rubella in 1977-1978. Since 1981, the reported rates in both systems have been lower than 0.6 cases $/ 100,000$ live births and have reached record low levels.

The recent declines in CRS rates recorded by the NCRSR parallel the decline in the overall rubella rate and, more specifically, in the rate for persons 15 years of age or older (Figure 1). Between 1979 and 1982, the reported rate of rubella among persons in this group declined from 4.8 cases $/ 100,000$ population to $0.8 / 100,000$ (an $83 \%$ decline). Similarly, 57 C\&C

## TABLE 1. Criteria for classifying congenital rubella syndrome (CRS) cases

I. CRS CONFIRMED-Defects present and one or more of the following:
A. Rubella virus isolated.
B. Rubella-specific immunoglobulin $\mathbf{M}(\lg M)$ present.
C. Rubella hemagglutination-inhibition (HI) titer in the infant persisting above and beyond that expected from passive transfer of maternal antibody (i.e., rubella HI titer in the infant that does not fall off at the expected rate of one twofold dilution per month).
II. CRS COMPATIBLE-Laboratory data insufficient for confirmation and any two complications listed in A or one from A and one from B :
A. Cataracts/congenital glaucoma (either or both count as one), congenital heart disease, loss of hearing, pigmentary retinopathy.
B. Purpura, splenomegaly, jaundice, microcephaly, mental retardation, meningoencephalitis, radiolucent bone disease.
III. CRS POSSIBLE-Some compatible clinical findings that do not fulfill the criteria for a compatible case.
IV. CONGENITAL RUBELLA INFECTION ONLY - No defects present but laboratory evidence of infection.
V. STILLBIRTHS-Stillbirths, which are thought to be secondary to maternal rubella infection.
VI. NOT CRS - One or more of any of the following inconsistent laboratory findings in child without evidence of an immunodeficiency disease:
A. Rubella HI titer absent in a child $\leqslant \mathbf{2 4}$ months.
B. Rubella HI titer absent in mother.
C. Rubella HI titer decline in an infant consistent with the normal decline of passively transferred maternal antibody after birth (the expected rate of decline of maternal antibodies is one twofold dilution per month).

## Rubella and Congenital Rubella - Continued

cases were reported in 1979, but only 11 in 1982 (an 83\% decline) (Table 2). Based on 1983 C\&C data, cases have declined by 93\% since 1979.
Reported by Div of Immunization, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note: Although reported rubella rates are at an all-time low, the potential for increased rubella activity in older individuals, particularly women of childbearing age, still exists. The rubella susceptibility rate for adolescents and young adults remains at levels of 10\%-20\% (2). This potential for an increase in rubella was demonstrated when outbreaks in postpubertal populations in universities, hospitals, and other places of employment resulted in a $12 \%$ increase in reporting of rubella between 1981 (which had been a previous record low year) and 1982 (1).

TABLE 2. Incidence of congenital rubella syndrome (CRS) reported to the National Congenital Rubella Syndrome Registry (NCRSR)* and MMWR ${ }^{\dagger}$ - United States, 1969-1983

|  | CDC |  | NCRSR |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | Rate $^{\S}$ | No. | Rate $^{\S}$ |
| 1969 | 31 | 0.9 | 62 | 1.7 |
| 1970 | 77 | 2.1 | 68 | 1.8 |
| 1971 | 68 | 1.9 | 44 | 1.2 |
| 1972 | 42 | 1.3 | 32 | 1.0 |
| 1973 | 35 | 1.1 | 30 | 1.0 |
| 1974 | 45 | 1.4 | 22 | 0.7 |
| 1975 | 30 | 1.0 | 32 | 1.0 |
| 1976 | 30 | 1.0 | 23 | 0.7 |
| 1977 | 23 | 0.7 | 29 | 0.9 |
| 1978 | 30 | 0.9 | 30 | 0.9 |
| 1979 | 62 | 1.8 | 14 | 1.6 |
| 1980 | 50 | 1.4 | 10 | 0.4 |
| 1981 | 19 | 0.6 | 11 | 0.3 |
| 1982 | 7 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.3 |
| 1983 | 20 | 0.5 | 0.1 |  |

-Confirmed and compatible cases only, reported by year of birth. Data are provisional because of delayed reporting.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Reported by year of report. 1983 data are provisional.
$\S^{\text {C }}$ Cases per 100,000 live births.

TABLE 3. MMWR congenital rubella syndrome (CRS) cases, by year of birth and NCRSR classification* - United States, 1983

|  | 1983 cases |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Year of birth | C\&C cases |  |
| 1978 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1979 | - | - |  |
| 1980 | - | - |  |
| 1981 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 1982 | $10^{\dagger}$ | 7 |  |
| 1983 | 7 | 4 |  |
| Total | 20 | 14 |  |

[^1]The large number of rubella and CRS cases in California may be related to the high rubella susceptibility rate among its postpubertal population $(3,4)$ and potentially to better disease surveillance. Recent serosurveys of California's adolescent and adult populations have documented a decline in the susceptibility from the prevaccine period, although the susceptibility rate is still higher than that in other states $(2,5)$. One response to this situation was the revision of the state's immunization law in 1982 to ensure that all students from kindergarten to 10th grade, especially postpubertal girls, provide proof of rubella vaccination. Rubella and CRS rates are declining in California as well as in the rest of the country. The increased focus on vaccinating postpubertal school-aged children in California and other states should speed that decline.

The most important indicator of the success of rubella immunization programs is the decrease in reported occurrence of congenital rubella infection. ${ }^{\dagger}$ CRS represents the most serious outcome in terms of health burden. Costs for the lifetime care of an infant with CRS have recently been estimated to be in excess of $\$ 200,000$ (6).

[^2]FIGURE 1. Rates of reported rubella and congenital rubella syndrome (CRS) cases United States, 1966-1983

-Includes proration of rubella patients $\geqslant 15$ years of age whose ages are unknown. 1983 data are not available.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Rate per 100,000 births of confirmed and compatible cases of CRS, by year of birth. Reporting for recent years is provisional, as CRS may not be diagnosed until later in childhood.
■Average annual U.S. estimate based on data from Illinois, Massachusetts, and New York City for the 3 -year periods 1966-1968, 1969-1971, and 1972-1974. Age specific data were not available for U.S. totals until 1975.
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CDC estimates of CRS rates are derived primarily from the MMWR and NCRSR reporting systems, both of which are passive. Passive surveillance results in underreporting of actual disease incidence. One indication of underreporting is the early age at which CRS infants reported to CDC are diagnosed. Of all the 379 NCRSR C\&C infants for whom the age at diagnosis is known, $65 \%(247 / 379)$ were diagnosed within the first month of life, and only $6 \%$ (2.4/379) after 1 year of age. Infants with severe and obvious CRS (e.g., cardiac or eye defects) are recognized and reported early in life and are most likely to be classified C\&C, while those with mild CRS (e.g., mental or auditory defects) are often reported later in life or not at all. An average of $79 \%$ of all cases reported to the NCRSR are C\&C (Table 4). In contrast, the mild cases, which probably total more than one-half of all CRS cases, are not reported regularly to MMWR (7-9). Also, an analysis of the NCRSR C\&C cases and the Birth Defects Monitoring Program C\&C cases, using a capture-recapture statistical model, suggests that only one-fifth of all C\&C cases are reported to NCRSR (10). Thus, approximately onetenth ( $1 / 2 \times 1 / 5$ ) of all CRS cases are probably reported through the NCRSR (10).

In spite of underreporting, the CDC data are useful for monitoring trends. The fact that the reported CRS rate has paralleled reported rubella in postpubertal populations based on data for the 15-year-or-older age group suggests that the decline in CRS between 1979 and 1983 is real.

The differences between MMWR and NCRSR reports for 1983 are explained by the differences in classifying CRS infants by year of report versus year of birth. Data are not available to compare the two systems for previous years; however, the trends appear to be similar, considering the 1 -year lag for MMWR cases.

An infant with CRS is only one outcome of infection in a pregnant woman. Therapeutic abortion is another serious consequence. Outbreak investigations in the United States suggest that therapeutic abortion is considerably more common than CRS (11). Data from the United Kingdom indicate that the number of abortions in England and Wales from 1976-1978 was more than 10 times the number of CRS cases reported for all of the United Kingdom (12,13).

TABLE 4. National Congenital Rubella Syndrome Registry (NCRSR) classification of cases, 1969-1983*

| Year | Confirmed | Compatible | Infection | Possible | Stillbirth | Not CRS | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1969 | 23 | 39 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 82 |
| 1970 | 21 | 47 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 93 |
| 1971 | 21 | 23 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 53 |
| 1972 | 15 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 47 |
| 1973 | 11 | 19 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 41 |
| 1974 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 27 |
| 1975 | 19 | 13 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 42 |
| 1976 | 13 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 31 |
| 1977 | 18 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 34 |
| 1978 | 19 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 33 |
| 1979 | 43 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 1980 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| 1981 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 1982 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $12^{\dagger}$ |
| 1983 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Total | 243(41\%) | 225(38\%) | 15(3\%) | 79(13\%) | 4(1\%) | 28(5\%) | 594 |

-Data are provisional due to delayed reporting.
${ }^{\dagger}$ NCRSR classification of three additional cases pending.
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To further assess the impact of congenital rubella infection in the United States, it will be important to follow infected pregnant females to determine the outcomes of pregnancy.

The available data indicate that CRS is now at or close to record low levels. However, given the underreporting, there is still a substantial health burden in the United States that can be avoided (14). In the absence of intensified efforts, it will take 10-30 years before the immune cohort of vaccinated schoolchildren will comprise the childbearing-age group. At that time, CRS may disappear from this country. The elimination of CRS can be hastened but requires intensified efforts to vaccinate older, susceptible school-aged children and females of childbearing age. To accomplish this in a cost-efficient manner, cooperation between the private and public sectors will be needed to delineate risk factors that would allow identification of childbearing-aged women most likely not to be vaccinated. Detailed information regarding the mothers of infants with CRS would be helpful in identifying some of these risk factors. More complete ascertainment of CRS cases through more active surveillance is necessary, not only to monitor program impact accurately but to allow proper allocation of attention and resources.
(Continued on page 247)

TABLE I. Summary-cases specified notifiable diseases, United States

| Disease | 18th Week Ending |  |  | Cumulative, 18th Week Ending |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 5,1984 } \\ 1984 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { May } 7,1983 \\ 1983 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ 1979-1983 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 5,1984 \\ 1984 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 7,1983 \\ 1983 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ 1979-1983 \end{gathered}$ |
| Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) | 95 | $N$ | N | 1.293 | N | N |
| Aseptic meningitis | 61 | 74 | 74 | 1.320 | 1.424 | 1.185 |
| Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-bome \& unspec.) Post-infectious | 17 | 13 2 | 13 3 | 281 22 | 315 33 | 265 33 |
| Gonorrhea: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Post-infectious } \\ & \text { Civilian }\end{aligned}$ | 1296 | 18.227 | 19, ${ }^{3}$ | 22 | 33 | 33 |
| Gonorrhea: Civilian | 12,976 | 18,227 | 19,100 | 273,743 | 307.425 | 324,066 |
| Military | 166 | 442 | 527 | 6.759 | 8.295 | 9,260 |
| Hepatitis: Type A | 362 | 347 | 455 | 7.492 | 8.106 | 8,747 |
| Type B | 424 | 442 | 388 | 7.964 | 7,687 | 6.638 |
| Non A, Non B | 79 | 71 | N | 1.189 | 1,151 | N |
| Unspecified | 133 | 147 | 200 | 2.032 | 2.517 | 3.483 |
| Legionellosis | 12 | 13 | N | 172 | 230 | N |
| Leprosy | 4 | 7 | 4 | 72 | 96 | 71 |
| Malaria | 14 | 9 | 19 | 217 | 235 | 280 |
| Measles: Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 104 | 44 | 176 | 1.074 | 706 | 1.208 |
| Indigenous Imported | 95 | 29 | N | 1,000 | 593 | N |
| Imported | 9 | 15 | N | 74 | 113 | N |
| Meningococcal infections: Total | 66 | 58 | 58 | 1.194 | 1.199 | 1.199 |
| Civilian | 66 | 58 | 58 | 1.192 | 1.187 | 1.187 |
| Mumps Military | 95 | 112 | - | 2 | 12 | 9 |
| Mumps | 95 | 112 | 240 | 1.305 | 1.518 | 2.754 |
| Pertussis | 25 | 45 | 24 | 616 | 594 | . 378 |
| Rubella (German measles) | 29 | 22 | 141 | 257 | 416 | 1.080 |
| Syphilis (Primary \& Secondary): Civilian | 499 | 653 | 528 | 9.687 | 11,422 | 10,366 |
| Toxic Military | 4 | 7 | 6 | 117 | 170 | 125 |
| Toxic Shock syndrome | 5 | 3 | ${ }_{515}^{\text {N }}$ | 135 7076 | 155 7590 | N 8,734 |
| Tuberculosis | 380 | 442 | 515 | 7.076 | 7.590 | 8,734 |
| Tularemia | 1 | 8 | 4 | 28 | 59 | 39 |
| Typhoid fever | 11 | 5 | 5 | 108 | 124 | 133 |
| Typhus fever, tick-borne (RMSF) | 7 | 17 | 17 | 29 | 53 | 53 |
| Rabies, animal | 74 | 144 | 155 | 1.633 | 2,309 | 2,104 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | Cum 1984 |  | Cum 1984 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Plague | 3 |
| ¢Botulism: Foodborne | 6 | Poliomyelitis: Total | 1 |
| Infant (Calif. 3) | 40 | Paralytic | 1 |
| Other | 2 | Psittacosis (Mass. 1, Minn. 1) | 26 |
| Brucellosis (Calif. 1) | 35 | Rabies, human |  |
| Cholera | - | Tetanus (Calif. 1) | 10 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome (Calif. 2) | 3 |  | 16 |
| Diphtheria Leptospirosis | 8 | Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine) | 6 |

- Six of the 104 reported cases for this week were imported from a foreign country or can be directly traceable to a known internationally imported case within two generations.

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
May 5, 1984 and May 7, 1983 (18th Week)

| Reporting Area | AIDS | Aseptic Meningitis | Encephalitis |  | Gonorrhea (Civilian) |  | Hepatitis (Viral), by type |  |  |  | Legionellosis | Leprosy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Primary | Post-infectious |  |  | A | B | NA,NB | Unspeci- fied |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | 1984 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Cum. $1984$ |
| UNITED STATES | 1.293 | 61 | 281 | 22 | 273.743 | 307.425 | 362 | 424 | 79 | 133 | 12 | 72 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 49 | 1 | 16 | - | 8.197 | 7.539 | 5 | 22 | 1 | 15 | - | 4 |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | 298 | 419 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N.H. | 1 | - | 4 | - | 209 | 206 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Vt . | - | - | 2 | - | 131 | 127 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mass | 30 | 1 | 6 | - | 3.213 | 3.352 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 15 | - | 4 |
| R.I. | 3 | - | - | - | 500 | 420 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | 15 | - | 4 | - | 3,846 | 3.015 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| MID ATLANTIC | 593 | 15 | 36 | 1 | 37.868 | 39.702 | 69 | 57 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 7 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 49 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 5.928 | 5.986 | 9 | 23 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 |
| N.Y. City | 433 | 2 | - | - | 16,117 | 16,606 | 42 | 20 |  | 4 | - | 5 |
| N.J. | 84 | 5 | 14 | - | 5.985 | 7.619 | 18 | 14 | 2 | 6 | - | - |
| Pa | 27 | 6 | 11 | - | 9.838 | 9,491 | U | U | U | U | - | - |
| EN CENTRAL | 60 | 3 | 61 | 6 | 34.238 | 43.245 | 24 | 58 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| Ohio | 8 | 1 | 23 | 2 | 9,683 | 10.985 | 7 | 14 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Ind. | 8 | 1 | 12 | - | 4.490 | 4.929 | 2 | 13 | - | 1 | - | - |
| III. | 34 | - | 8 | 3 | 5.080 | 11.984 | 5 | 1 | - | , | - | 1 |
| Mich. | 8 | 1 | 16 | - | 10.713 | 11.602 | 10 | 29 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Wis | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 4.272 | 3.745 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| W N CENTRAL | 7 | - | 7 | - | 13.155 | 14.568 | 7 | 10 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Minn | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1.909 | 2.122 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| lowa | - | - | 4 | - | 1.561 | 1,607 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mo | 4 | - | 1 | - | 6.152 | 7.077 | 3 | 7 | 1 | - | - | - |
| N Dak | . | - |  | - | 138 | 138 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S Dak | - | - | - | - | 344 | 413 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr | 1 | - | - | - | 969 | 860 | - 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Kans | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2.082 | 2.351 | - | , | - | - | - | - |
| S ATLANTIC | 162 | 10 | 61 | 8 | 69.648 | 77.977 | 19 | 90 | 18 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Del | 3 | - | 1 | - | 1.206 | 1.430 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| Md | 16 | - | 13 | - | 8.112 | 9,844 | - | 17 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| D C | 21 | 1 | - | - | 5.122 | 5,358 | $\bar{\square}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Va | 13 | 1 | 14 | 4 | 6.631 | 6.358 | 3 | 12 | 3 | - | - | 2 |
| W Va | 3 | - | 4 | - | 838 | 804 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| NC | 3 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 11,150 | 11.228 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - |
| S C | 3 |  | 2 |  | 6,725 | 7.572 | - | 8 | - | - | 1 | . |
| Ga | 17 | 1 | 2 | - | 13,255 | 17.142 | 2 | 21 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| Fla | 83 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 16,609 | 18,241 | 10 | 26 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| E S CENTRAL | 11 | 3 | 14 | - | 23.660 | 26,443 | 17 | 30 | 5 | 2 | - | - |
| $K y$ | 6 | 2 | 2 | - | 2.770 | 3,141 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| Tenn | 2 | - | 2 | - | 9.564 | 10.593 | . | 10 | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| Ala | 2 | 1 | 9 | - | 7.749 | 8.475 | 1 | 11 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Miss | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3,577 | 4,234 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| W S CENTRAL | 57 | 9 | 18 | 2 | 38,527 | 42.754 | 56 | 37 | 5 | 56 | - | 3 |
| Ark | - | - |  | 1 | 3.352 | 3.309 | 2 | 1 | - | 10 | - | - |
| La | 8 | 1 | 2 | - | 8.524 | 6.853 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Okla | 3 | - | 5 | 1 | 4.131 | 5.178 | 13 | 8 | 4 | 5 | - | 3 |
| Tex | 46 | 8 | 11 |  | 22,520 | 27.414 | 39 | 24 | 1 | 40 | - | 3 |
| MOUNTAIN | 16 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 8,668 | 9.555 | 37 | 19 | 8 | 6 | - | 6 |
| Mont |  | - |  | , | 405 | 441 | 8 | 4 | - | - | - |  |
| daho | - | - | - | - | 405 | 452 | - | 4 | - | - | - |  |
| Wyo | 1 | 1 | - | - | 268 | 252 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Colo | 7 | - | 4 | - | 2,508 | 2.707 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| N Mex | - | - | - | - | 988 | 1.213 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 | $\checkmark$ | 4 |
| Ariz | 6 | - | 1 | , | 2.214 | 2,544 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | - | 4 |
| Utah | 1 | ; | 2 | 1 | 471 | . 449 | 7 | 2 | 3 | - | - | 1 |
| Nev | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1.409 | 1.497 | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | - | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 338 | 18 | 61 | 4 | 39.782 | 45,642 | 128 | 101 | 24 | 30 | 2 | 44 |
| Wash | 13 | - | 2 | - | 2,733 | 3.373 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Oreg | 1 | - | , | - | 2.454 | 2.319 | 7 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Calif | 321 | 18 | 57 | 4 | 32,940 | 38,003 | 117 | 85 | 21 | 29 | 1 | 31 |
| Alaska | - |  |  | . | 987 | 1,052 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 0 |
| Hawaii | 3 | - | 2 | - | 668 | 895 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| Guam | - | U | - | - | 59 | 73 | U | U | U | U | U | - |
| P.R. | 14 | - | - | 1 | 1.188 | 1,111 | 7 | 30 | - | 10 | - | - |
| VI | . | U | - | , | 145 | 95 | U | U | U | U | U | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | - | U | - | - | - | - | U | U | U | U | U | - |

TABLE III. (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending May 5, 1984 and May 7, 1983 (18th Week)

| Reporting Area | Malaria | Measles (Rubeola) |  |  |  |  | Meningococcal Infections | Mumps |  | Pertussis |  |  | Rubella |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Indigenous |  | Imported * |  | Total <br> Cum. <br> 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | 1984 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | 1984 | Cum. 1984 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | 1984 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | 1984 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | 1984 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 217 | 95 | 1.000 | 9 | 74 | 706 | 1,194 | 95 | 1.305 | 25 | 616 | 594 | 29 | 257 | 416 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 16 | 5 | 54 | - | 2 | 4 | 77 | 5 | 46 | - | 9 | 22 | 4 | 23 | 6 |
| Maine <br> N.H. | - | - | 11 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 13 | - |  | - | . | 1 |  |
| N.H. $\mathrm{Vt} .$ | 1 | - | 11 | - | 1 | - | 4 20 | - | 5 3 | - | 2 | 4 3 | - | - | 2 |
| Mass. | 9 | 5 | 40 | - | 1 | 2 | 26 | 1 | 14 | - | 5 1 | 3 12 | 4 | 22 | 2 |
| R.I. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 22 | 2 |
| Conn. | 5 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 20 | 3 | 7 | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - |
| MID ATLANTIC | 33 | - | 31 | - | 9 | 22 | 193 | 16 | 176 | 4 | 46 | 177 | 15 | 64 | 23 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 10 | - | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 69 | 16 | 36 | 4 | 28 | 47 | 14 | 54 | 14 |
| N.Y. City | 7 | - | 26 | - | - | 16 | 22 | - | 7 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 1 | - 8 | 2 |
| N.J. | 11 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 1 | 42 | 4 | 107 | - | 3 | 10 | . | 2 | 2 |
| Pa. | 5 | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 60 | 12 | 26 | - | 14 | 105 | - | 2 | 5 |
| E.N. CENTRAL Ohio | 19 4 | 8 | 368 | - | 3 | 403 | 184 | 38 | 486 | 1 | 216 | 149 | 3 | 32 | 71 |
| Ind. | 4 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 18 | 72 | 30 | 180 | 1 | 35 | 44 | - | 2 | 1 |
| III. | 5 | - | 96 | - | 1. | 271 109 | 25 31 | 3 | 27 116 | - | 150 | 9 | - | 1 | 12 |
| Mich. | 4 | 8 | 266 | - | - | 5 | 32 | 5 | 116 | - | 11 | 81 | 3 | 13 11 | 27 11 |
| Wis. | 6 | - | 3 | - | - | 5 | 24 | 5 | +37 | - | 9 | 6 9 | 3 | 11 5 | 11 20 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 6 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 70 | - | 67 | 1 | 65 | 41 | - | 16 | 28 |
| Minn. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 13 | - | 1 | . | 4 | 17 | . | 1 | + |
| lowa | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | - | 14 | - | 3 | 4 | - | - |  |
| Mo. N. Dak. | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | - | 6 | - | 10 | 5 | - | - | - |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - |
| Nebr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Kans. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | 44 | 1 | 45 | 12 | - | 12 | 23 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 40 | - | 3 | 9 | 14 | 145 | 276 | 2 | 98 | 1 | 51 | 72 | . | 16 | 47 |
| Del. | 2 | - | - |  | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 5 | 72 | - | 16 | 47 |
| Md. | 11 | - | - | $7{ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 7 | 2 | 21 | - | 19 | - | 3 | 13 | - | 1 |  |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |  | 13 |  | 1 |  |
| Va . | 7 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 12 | 31 | 1 | 8 | - | 7 | 22 | - |  | 1 |
| W. Va. | - | - | . | - | . | 1 | 4 | 1 | 19 | - | 6 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| N.C. | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 33 | - | 10 | - | 17 | 5 | - |  | 6 |
| S.C. | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 23 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | - | - | 6 |
| Ga. | 2 | - | 1 | - $\dagger$ | - | 6 | 63 | - | 16 | 1 | 2 | 18 | - | 2 | 6 |
| Fla. | 13 | - | 1 | $2^{\dagger}$ | 6 | 122 | 97 | 1 | 23 | - | 15 | 7 | - | 13 | 34 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 45 | - | 24 | - | 3 | 5 | - | 5 |  |
| Ky. Tenn. | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | 6 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 5 |
| Tenn. | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 18 | - | 8 | - | 2 | 2 |  | . |  |
| Ala. Miss. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | - | 4 | - |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Miss. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | 6 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 |  |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 7 | 53 | 209 | - | 14 | 44 | 133 | 9 | 70 | - | 56 | 43 | - |  | 64 |
| Ark. | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 19 | - | 4 | . | 10 | 2 |  | 2 | 64 |
| La. | 2 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 29 | - | - | - | 3 | 2 |  | - | 9 |
| Okla. | 2 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 16 | N | $N$ | . | 34 | 23 |  | - | 9 |
| Tex. | 3 | 53 | 204 | - | 14 | 34 | 69 | 9 | 66 | - | 9 | 16 | - | 10 | 55 |
| MOUNTAIN | 9 | - | 71 | - | 10 | 2 | 45 | 18 | 141 | 2 | 58 | 67 | 1 | 7 |  |
| Mont. | - | - | I | - | 10 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 19 | 67 1 | 1 | 7 | 14 3 |
| Idaho | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | 7 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 4 |
| Wyo. | 1 | - | - | - | - |  | 2 | , | 1 | - | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Colo. | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 16 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 18 | 41 | 1 |  | 1 |
| N. Mex. | 4 | - | 48 | - | 8 | - | 7 | N | N | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Utah | 4 | - | 23 | - | 2 | - | 11 | 16 | 116 | 1 | 8 | 9 | - | - | 4 |
| Nev. | 2 | - | 23 | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | . | 5 | 1 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 86 | 29 | 263 | - | 19 | 85 | 171 | 7 | 197 | 16 | 112 |  |  |  |  |
| Wash. | 3 | 16 | 80 | - |  | 2 | 22 | 3 | 19 | 3 | 14 | 18 1 | 6 | 82 | 158 |
| Oreg. | 1 | 3 | 183 | - | 7 | 5 | 25 | N | N | 2 | 9 | 3 | - | 1. | 6 9 |
| Calif. | 79 | 13 | 183 | - | 17 | 77 | 118 | 4 | 167 | 5 | 33 | 14 | 6 | 79 | 9 143 |
| Alaska | - | . | - | - | - | - | 5 |  | 4 | 5 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 79 | 143 |
| Hawaii | 3 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 7 | 6 | 56 | - | - | 2 | - |
| Guam | - | $\mathbf{U}$ | 49 | U | 1 | 2 | 1 | U |  | U |  |  |  |  |  |
| P.R. | 2 | U | 4 | U | 1 | 69 | 4 | 13 | 64 | - | - | 3 | U | 1 | - |
| V.I. | 2 | U | - | U | - | 5 | 4 | U | 64 3 | u | - | 3 | i | 3 | 2 |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | - | U | - | U | - |  | - | U | 3 | U | - | - | U | - | 1 |

-For measles only, imported cases includes both out-of-state and international importations.
$\mathbf{N}$ Not notifiable $\cup$ Unavailable $\boldsymbol{t}_{\text {International }}{ }^{\text {§ Out-of-state }}$

TABLE III. (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
May 5, 1984 and May 7, 1983 (18th Week)

| Reporting Area | Syphilis (Civilian) (Primary \& Secondary) |  | Toxic shock Syndrome | Tuberculosis |  | Tularemia | Typhoid | Typhus Fever (Tick-borne) (RMSF) | Rabies, Animal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | 1984 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 9.687 | 11.422 | 5 | 7.076 | 7.590 | 28 | 108 | $29+5$ | 1.633 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 215 | 272 | - | 190 | 194 | 1 | 3 | - | 7 |
| Maine | 1 | 7 | - | 9 | 13 | - | - | - | 6 |
| N.H. | 3 | 10 | - | 13 | 16 | - | - | - |  |
| Vt . | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - |  | - |
| Mass. | 129 | 177 | - | 103 | 99 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| R.I. | 8 | 6 | - | 17 | 16 | - | - | - | . |
| Conn | 73 | 71 | - | 46 | 49 | - | 1 | - | - |
| MID ATLANTIC | 1,321 | 1,439 | - | 1,326 | 1.417 | - | 17 | 1 | 100 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 94 | 123 | - | 217 | 224 | - | 7 | 1 | 4 |
| NY City | 801 | 825 | - | 531 | 567 | - | 3 | - | - |
| NJ | 249 | 282 | - | 275 | 308 | - | 3 | - | 1 |
| Pa | 177 | 209 | - | 303 | 318 | - | 4 | - | 95 |
| E.N CENTRAL | 394 | 643 | 1 | 975 | 966 | - | 15 | 1 | 61 |
| Ohio | 85 | 166 | - | 197 | 156 | - | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Ind | 57 | 60 | - | 99 | 90 | - | 1 | - | 6 |
| III. | 60 | 297 | - | 393 | 415 | - | 6 |  | 35 |
| Mich | 160 | 91 | 1 | 226 | 256 | - | 2 | - | 3 |
| Wis | 32 | 29 | - | 60 | 49 | - | 3 | - | 13 |
| W N CENTRAL | 166 | 132 | 1 | 189 | 256 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 251 |
| Minn | 44 | 52 | 1 | 29 | 45 | - | 2 |  | 27 |
| lowa | 10 | 4 | - | 30 | 31 | - | . |  | 51 |
| Mo | 84 | 52 | - | 89 | 135 | 7 | - | 2 | 24 |
| N Dak | 1 | 1 | - | 5 |  |  |  |  | 40 |
| S Dak | 2 | 2 | - | 4 | 19 | - | - | - | 67 |
| Nebr | 9 | 7 | - | 8 | 7 | - | - | - | 16 |
| Kans | 16 | 14 | - | 24 | 19 | - | 1 | - | 26 |
| S ATLANTIC | 2.960 | 2.901 | 1 | 1.492 | 1.454 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 508 |
| Del | 9 | 15 | - | 16 | 10 | - |  | - | - |
| Md | 190 | 180 | - | 184 | 102 | - | - | - | 288 |
| D C | 109 | 121 | - | 43 | 63 | - | 5 | - | - |
| Va | 154 | 205 | 1 | 144 | 135 | - | 3 | 2 | 99 |
| W Va | 8 | 12 | - | 54 | 58 | - |  | - | 14 |
| NC | 307 | 263 | - | 236 | 179 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| S C | 288 | 189 | - | 159 | 135 |  | 1 | 4 | 16 |
| Ga | 486 | 531 | - | 207 | 284 | 2 | - | - | 52 |
| Fla | 1.409 | 1,385 | - | 449 | 488 | . | 2 | - | 37 |
| es central | 601 | 786 | - | 637 | 725 | - | 3 | 3 | 91 |
| Ky | 31 | 44 | - | 141 | 190 | - | 1 | - | 22 |
| Tenn | 157 | 219 | - | 208 | 218 | - | 2 | 1 | 43 |
| Ala | 201 | 327 | - | 212 | 177 | - | . | 2 | 26 |
| Miss | 212 | 196 | - | 76 | 140 | - | - | - |  |
| W S CENTRAL | 2,300 | 3.006 | - | 735 | 883 | 8 | 5 | $13-$ | 362 |
| Ark | 74 | 80 | - | 80 | 75 | 5 | - | 4 | 46 |
| La | 423 | 630 | - | 98 | 154 | 2 | , | 1 | 13 |
| Okia | 66 | 91 | - | 74 | 103 | 1 | 1 | 6. | 44 |
| Tex | 1.737 | 2.205 | - | 483 | 551 | . | 3 | 2 | 259 |
| MOUNTAIN | 231 | 266 | - | 166 | 213 | 6 | 5 | , | 57 |
| Mont | - | 4 | - | 8 | 22 |  | 1 | 1 | 36 |
| Idaho | 9 | 3 | - | 9 | 14 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Wyo | 2 | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Colo | 51 | 61 | - | 13 | 16 | 1 | 1 | - | 7 |
| N Mex | 30 | 86 | - | 36 | 40 | ; | 2 | - | 7 |
| Ariz | 96 | 62 |  | 73 | 87 | 1 | . | - | 14 |
| Utah | 7 | 9 | - | 15 | 18 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Nev | 36 | 37 | - | 12 | 12 | - | 1 | - | - |
| PACIFIC | 1.499 | 1.977 | 2 | 1,366 | 1.482 | 3 | 45 | 1 | 196 |
| Wash | 48 | 63 | - | 68 | 77 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Oreg | 44 | 33 | i | 57 | 67 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Calif | 1,378 | 1.846 | 2 | 1.147 | 1.225 | 2 | 39 | - | 189 |
| Alaska | 3 | 7 | . | 22 | 15 | . | 1 | - | 6 |
| Hawaii | 26 | 28 | - | 72 | 98 | - | 3 | $\cdot$ | - |
| Guam |  | $31{ }^{-}$ | $u$ | 4 | 2 | - | ; | - | $\stackrel{\circ}{ }$ |
| PR | 295 | 311 | - | 131 | 186 | - | 3 | - | 16 |
| VI | 6 | 8 | U | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | - | - | U | - | - | - | - | - | - |

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending
May 5, 1984 (18th Week Ending)

| Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\&10Total | Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\&10. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | $\geqslant 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | $1-24$ | <1 |  |  | All Ages | $\geqslant 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | $<1$ |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 646 | 449 | 142 | 32 | 14 | 9 | 65 | S. ATLANTIC | 1.363 | 835 | 304 | 105 | 42 | 61 | 63 |
| Boston, Mass. | 175 | 110 | 47 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 33 | Atlanta, Ga. | 138 | 84 | 35 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 47 | 35 | 8 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | Baltimore, Md. | 167 | 98 | 38 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 3 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 24 | 20 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 2 | Charfotte, N.C. | 94 | 57 | 18 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 6 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 37 | 26 | 8 | 3 | - | - | - | Jacksonville, Fla. | 106 | 64 | 31 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 52 | 41 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | Miami, Fla. | 156 | 101 | 33 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 3 |
| Lowell, Mass. | 11 | 10 | 1 | - | . | . | - | Norfolk, Va. | 63 | 38 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 30 | 24 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | Richmond, Va. | 80 | 48 | 20 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| New Bedford, Mass | s. 20 | 15 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | Savannah, Ga. | 63 | 47 | 11 | 3 | 2 | - | 7 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 46 | 30 | 11 | 5 |  |  | 5 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 116 | 96 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Providence, R.I. | 60 | 38 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 10 | Tampa, Fla. | 68 | 42 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 2 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 9 | 6 | 3 | , | - | - | 1 | Washington, D.C. | 256 | 128 | 62 | 28 | 5 | 18 | 11 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 54 | 37 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | Wilmington, Del. | 56 | 32 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 18 | 7 |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 28 | 19 | 7 | 2 |  | - | 3 | Wilmington, Del. | 5 | 32 | 18 | 5 | 1 | - | 7 |
| Worcester, Mass. | 53 | 38 | 11 | 4 | - | - | 3 | E.S CENTRAL | 770 | 476 | 186 | 51 | 30 | 27 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala. | 129 | 83 | 25 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC Albany, N.Y. | 2,452 49 | 1,674 31 | 497 | 160 | 62 | 59 | 115 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 57 | 36 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 49 20 | 31 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | Knoxville, Tenn. | 65 | 41 | 18 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Allentown, Pa. | 20 | 16 | 4 | 5 | - | - | - | Louisville, Ky. | 105 | 63 | 28 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 12 |
| Buffalo. N.Y. | 112 | 69 | 34 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 9 | Memphis, Tenn. | 229 | 136 | 54 | 21 | 5 | 13 | 15 |
| Camden, N.J. | 40 | 25 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Mobile, Ala. | 56 | 40 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 38 | 29 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | Montgomery, Ala. | 33 | 27 | 5 | 1 | - | . | 1 |
| Erie, Pa. $\dagger$ | 33 | 25 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | - | Nashville. Tenn. | 96 | 50 | 30 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 34 | 22 | 7 | 4 | - | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.Y. City, N.Y. 1 | 1.296 | 872 | 261 | 99 | 39 | 25 | 47 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1.323 | 802 | 320 | 102 | 47 | 52 | 58 |
| Newark, N.J. | 48 | 26 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 2 | - | Austin, Tex. | . 43 | 30 | 9 | 3 |  | 1 | 4 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 24 | 19 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | Baton Rouge, La | 34 | 18 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Philadelphia, Pa.t | 257 | 169 | 64 | 14 | 2 | 8 | 15 | Corpus Christi. Tex. | 34 | 22 | 9 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa.t Reading, Pa. | 80 34 | 52 31 | 20 | 3 | . | 5 | 2 | Dallas, Tex. | 195 | 108 | 56 | 15 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Reading, Pa. Rochester, $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{Y}$. | 34 153 | 31 116 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | El Paso, Tex. | 53 | 30 | 16 | 4 | 3 | - | 9 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 153 | 116 | 27 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 12 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 97 | 56 | 26 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 7 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 25 34 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Houston. Tex. | 304 | 175 | 74 | 32 | 15 | 8 | 4 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 34 87 | 26 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Little Rock, Ark. | 63 | 43 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4 |
| Trenton, N.J. | 35 | 65 23 | 15 6 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 | New Orleans, La. | 160 | 91 136 | 39 | 20 | 6 | 4 | - |
| Utica, N.Y. | 25 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | Shreveport, La | 46 | 31 | 14 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 9 2 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 28 | 20 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Tulsa, Okla. | 92 | 62 | 14 20 | 7 | 3 | - | 11 |
| E.N. CENTRAL 2 | 2,293 | 1.482 | 507 | 146 | 68 | 89 | 90 | MOUNTAIN | 665 | 433 | 137 | 51 | 32 | 12 | 33 |
| Akron, Ohio | 61 | 42 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | Albuquerque, N.Mex | 78 | 55 | 13 | 6 | 4 | . | 3 |
| Canton, Ohio | 43 | 32 | 7 | 4 | - | - | 3 | Colo Springs, Colo. | - 28 | 17 | 8 | 1 | 2 | - | 5 |
| Chicago, III | 511 | 298 | 116 | 49 | 18 | 30 | 16 | Denver, Colo. | 145 | 92 | 30 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 116 | 78 | 25 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 19 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 91 | 54 | 27 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 183 | 110 | 41 | 17 | 7 | 8 | 1 | Ogden, Utah | 21 | 16 | - | 2 | 3 | . | 1 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 173 | 111 | 43 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 8 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 169 | 108 | 31 | 21 | 6 | 3 | 6 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 114 | 79 | 25 | 6 | 2 | 2 | - | Pueblo, Colo. | 20 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 250 | 155 | 56 | 19 | 14 | 6 | 9 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 41 | 30 | 7 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 59 | 43 | 10 | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | Tucson, Ariz. | 72 | 47 | 18 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 39 | 31 | 6 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gary, Ind. | 18 | 12 | 6 | 1 | - | . | 3 | PACIFIC | 1,836 | 1.387 | 246 | 77 | 45 | 67 | 89 |
| Grand Rapids, Mich | ch. 65 | 48 | 13 | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | Berkeley, Calif | 14 | +12 | 2 | - | 5 |  |  |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 166 | 91 | 47 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 2 | Fresno, Calif. | 84 | 66 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 2 |
| Madison, Wis. | 34 | 25 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | Glendale, Calif. § | 26 | 26 | - | . | . | . |  |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 133 | 91 | 33 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 67 | 39 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| Peoria, III. | 51 | 40 | 7 | 2 | 2 | - | 6 | Long Beach, Calif. | 100 | 69 | 21 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Rockford, III. | 45 | 30 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | Los Angeles, Calif. § | § 567 | 507 | 4 | 10 | 18 | 14 | 5 |
| South Bend, Ind. | $40^{\prime}$ | 32 | 7 | 1 | - | - | 2 | Oakłand, Calif. | 85 | 52 | 26 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 8 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 125 | 81 | 30 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 | Pasadena, Calif | 34 | 28 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | - 67 | 53 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 | Portland, Oreg. | 94 | 66 | 17 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sacramento, Calif. | 73 | 46 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 13 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 713 | 487 | 146 | 37 | 22 | 21 | 37 | San Diego, Calif. | 140 | 102 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 16 |
| Des Moines, lowa | 38 | 29 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 7 | San Francisco, Calif. | 141 | 95 | 27 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 28 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | San Jose, Calif. | 166 | 105 | 39 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 13 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | . 39 | 19 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | Seattle, Wash. | 141 | 99 | 29 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Kansas City, Mo. Lincoln, Nebr. | 119 42 | 80 | 31 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 11 | Spokane, Wash. | 51 | 36 | 9 | 2 | - | 4 | 5 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 42 .85 | 34 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 2 | Tacoma, Wash. | 53 | 39 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 7 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. Omaha, Nebr. | . 85 | 61 55 | 5 23 | 7 | 4 1 | 8 | 7 | TOTAL 1 | $12.061^{\dagger \dagger}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 149 | 96 | 30 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 6 |  | 12,061 | 8,025 | 2,485 | 761 | 362. | 397 | 592 |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 57 | 45 | 9 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 74 | 48 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or
more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed Fetal deaths are not included.
- Pneumonia and influenza
$t$ Because of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
$\dagger \uparrow$ Total includes unknown ages.
§ Data not available. Figures are estimates based on average of past 4 weeks
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## Behavioral Risk-Factor Prevalence Survey United States, Fourth Quarter 1982

During the fourth quarter of 1982, five states (Alaska, California, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Wyoming) conducted prevalence surveys of major behavioral risk factors among their adult populations through random-digit-dialing telephone surveys, and a sixth (South Carolina) conducted a similar survey through person-to-person/household interviewing (Table 5). Four of these states used a questionnaire with standard data items. Because llinois and South Carolina used different questionnaires, some of the data items are not comparable to data items for other states. These self-reported data were adjusted for the demographic characteristics of their respective states and weighted according to the respondent's probability of selection (Illinois data were not weighted or adjusted because of differences in data tabulation procedures).

Behavioral Risk-Factor Survey - Continued
The data presented here are consistent with findings from similar state-based behavioral risk-factor surveys conducted in the first three quarters of 1982 (1-3). These surveys represent 26 states and the District of Columbia; their demographic and regional distinctions are confirmed by the present data (Table 5).

From 1980 through 1982, 36 states and the District of Columbia completed behavioral risk-factor surveys, which are useful in monitoring the health status of residents of these states. Because the behaviors reported here are so closely linked with the 10 leading causes of premature death in the United States, these behavioral factors are useful indicators of chronic disease and injury morbidity and mortality. From these surveys, CDC has expanded the concept of behavioral risk-factor assessment into a state-based "surveillance system" in which 19 states and the District of Columbia collect these kinds of data on a monthly basis. This system is expected to expand and become a surveillance data resource for the public health community. Results from this system will be reported in future MMWR articles.
Reported by P Hefley, Div of Public Health, Alaska Dept of Health and Social Svcs; P Terry, Adult Health Section, California Dept of Health Svcs; D Patterson, Div of Education and Information, Illinois Dept of Public Health; C Becker, Office of the Deputy Secretary for Public Health, Pennsy/vania Dept of Health; Daniel Lackland, Special Projects Section, South Carolina Dept of Health and Environmental Control; M Futa, Div of Prevention and Environmental Svcs, Wyoming Div of Health and Medical Svcs; Div of Nutrition, Center for Health Promotion and Education, CDC.
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TABLE 5. Behavioral risk-factor rates* in six states, by age group and sex-fourth quarter 1982

| Risk factor, by state | Age group (years), bysex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 |  | 35-54 |  | $\geqslant 55$ |  | Allages |  | Number | Rate |
|  | $\bar{M}$ | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |  |
| 1. Obesity ${ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska | 11.3 | 10.3 | 27.9 | 26.1 | 33.2 | 36.1 | 19.3 | 18.5 | 845 | 18.9 |
| California | 14.1 | 4.7 | 25.6 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 21.2 | 18.7 | 13.6 | 1516 | 16.1 |
| Illinois | 10.1 | 15.3 | 30.7 | 25.8 | 34.3 | 36.4 | 23.4 | 26.0 | 2,227 | 24.9 |
| Pennsylvania | 15.8 | 12.8 | 28.8 | 34.0 | 23.4 | 46.9 | 21.7 | 26.8 | 904 | 24.3 |
| So. Carolina | 16.7 | 17.4 | 29.2 | 29.1 | 21.0 | 33.3 | 21.4 | 25.4 | 5,480 | 23.5 |
| Wyoming | 15.6 | 10.6 | 22.6 | 23.4 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 17.9 | 16.2 | 501 | 17.1 |
| 2. Sedentary lifestyle§ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska | 2.9 | 10.4 | 21.0 | 11.2 | 12.2 | 20.4 | 10.0 | 11.9 | 845 | 10.9 |
| California | 6.1 | 10.5 | 20.9 | 9.6 | 19.9 | 15.4 | 14.0 | 11.6 | 1,516 | 12.8 |
| Illinois $\\|$ | 15.0 | 20.6 | 27.4 | 26.6 | 36.3 | 35.6 | 25.0 | 28.8 | 2,227 | 27.3 |
| Pennsylvania | 4.0 | 7.5 | 12.7 | 10.5 | 25.0 | 19.8 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 904 | 10.9 |
| So. Carolinall | 11.7 | 23.1 | 14.1 | 15.1 | 16.6 | 20.1 | 13.6 | 19.9 | 5,480 | 16.9 |
| Wyoming | 8.3 | 4.7 | 12.2 | 13.5 | 12.8 | 15.0 | 10.4 | 9.7 | 501 | 10.0 |
| 3. Uncontrolled hypertension** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 11.1 | 7.6 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 845 | 2.3 |
| California | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 1,516 | 3.6 |
| lllinoist $\dagger$ | 3.0 | 5.6 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 28.6 | 35.1 | 13.0 | 18.1 | 2,227 | 16.0 |
| Pennsylvania | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| So. Carolina | 1.5 | 0.6 | 8.2 | 4.6 | 10.4 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 5,480 | 4.7 |
| Wyoming | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 501 | 2.8 |

Behavioral Risk-Factor Survey - Continued
TABLE 5. Behavioral risk-factor rates* in six states, by age group and sex-fourth quarter 1982 (Continued)

| Risk factor, by state | Age group (years), bysex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 |  | 35-54 |  | $\geqslant 55$ |  | Allages |  | Number | Rate |
| 4. Cigarette smoking§§ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska | 34.0 | 37.1 | 44.7 | 32.1 | 32.7 | 28.3 | 37.4 | 34.4 | 845 | 36.0 |
| California | 33.0 | 28.5 | 31.7 | 31.6 | 26.0 | 17.0 | 30.9 | 26.1 | 1,516 | 28.4 |
| Illinois | 35.2 | 37.0 | 40.5 | 41.6 | 28.6 | 22.2 | 35.0 | 31.9 | 2,227 | 33.2 |
| Pennsylvania | 32.4 | 39.4 | 32.3 | 35.2 | 33.9 | 24.5 | 32.7 | 35.2 | 904 | 34.0 |
| So. Carolina | 43.8 | 32.9 | 49.2 | 35.1 | 34.7 | 16.6 | 43.3 | 28.8 | 5,480 | 35.7 |
| Wyoming | 32.8 | 22.4 | 51.8 | 34.9 | 27.8 | 18.0 | 37.4 | 25.4 | 501 | 31.5 |
| 5. Acute heavy drinking 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska | 39.5 | 14.4 | 25.2 | 9.4 | 13.0 | 12.7 | 31.7 | 12.6 | 845 | 22.9 |
| California | 48.6 | 19.3 | 31.4 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 3.1 | 35.0 | 12.8 | 1,516 | 23.6 |
| lllinois*** | 29.8 | 6.7 | 21.7 | 5.2 | 11.4 | 1.1 | 21.7 | 4.1 | 2,227 | 11.4 |
| Pennsylvania | 45.0 | 29.4 | 30.5 | 8.5 | 18.5 | 7.8 | 35.0 | 17.7 | 904 | 26.1 |
| So. Carolina | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Wyoming | 53.4 | 25.5 | 35.2 | 14.7 | 17.5 | 2.0 | 40.8 | 17.0 | 501 | 29.2 |
| 6. Chronic heavy drinking $\dagger \dagger \dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska | 14.6 | 4.6 | 21.8 | 0.8 | 26.0 | 9.6 | 18.3 | 4.0 | 845 | 11.7 |
| California | 14.4 | 4.0 | 18.7 | 5.8 | 14.2 | 8.2 | 15.6 | 5.7 | 1,516 | 10.6 |
| Illinois | 22.7 | 4.4 | 17.2 | 5.2 | 14.3 | 3.3 | 18.6 | 4.3 | 2,227 | 10.3 |
| Pennsylvania | 16.9 | 7.5 | 11.5 | 3.5 | 21.8 | 1.2 | 16.0 | 4.9 | 904 | 10.2 |
| So. Carolina | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Wyoming | 16.5 | 5.4 | 8.4 | 6.8 | 12.7 | 2.0 | 13.3 | 5.1 | 501 | 9.3 |
| 7. Drinking \& driving§§§§ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska | 10.0 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 2.2 | 845 | 4.7 |
| California | 15.6 | 6.1 | 8.6 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 3.5 | 1.516 | 6.7 |
| llinois | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pennsylvania | 15.8 | 2.2 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 1.0 | 904 | 5.5 |
| So. Carolina | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Wyoming | 19.0 | 8.6 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 5.5 | 501 | 8.7 |
| 8. Lack of seatbelt use $\mid$ १ी |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska | 48.2 | 43.2 | 62.6 | 54.2 | 61.4 | 53.8 | 54.5 | 48.0 | 845 | 51.5 |
| California | 53.3 | 47.6 | 52.8 | 49.3 | 45.5 | 42.2 | 51.2 | 46.5 | 1,516 | 48.8 |
| Illinois | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pennsylvania | 65.6 | 67.9 | 65.5 | 63.3 | 43.5 | 57.2 | 61.3 | 64.3 | 904 | 62.8 |
| So. Carolina | 85.5 | 86.1 | 85.2 | 87.3 | 83.1 | 84.3 | 84.9 | 85.9 | 5,480 | 85.4 |
| Wyoming | 60.1 | 50.2 | 60.8 | 50.9 | 40.1 | 54.0 | 56.2 | 51.3 | 501 | 53.8 |

[^3]
## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Shigellosis in Day-Care Centers - Washington, 1983

In late September 1983, two clusters of Shigella sonnei infection associated with high rates of diarrheal illness occurred among children and staff in two Seattle, Washington, daycare centers. No link was discovered between the two centers, and the antimicrobial resistance patterns associated with the isolates from the two centers differed. Circumstances dictated that the smaller center be closed. The larger one remained open, and in a departure from a previous strict exclusionary policy, convalescent children and staff under antimicrobial treatment were encouraged to return to the center, where they used a separate room, bathroom, and playground until they had two consecutive negative cultures off treatment. The parallel occurence of these two outbreaks at a time of low Shigella activity in the community permitted the measurement of the efficacy of the control strategies, using community surveillance.

Diarrheal illness attack rates were similar at the two centers from September 5 to October 17 among the children ( $23 / 80$ and $11 / 23$ ), staff ( $6 / 12$ and $3 / 3$ ), and family members of ill children (14/35 and 9/21) surveyed at the centers. Eighty-three other day-care centers surveyed in the same or adjacent ZIP-code areas as the affected centers showed a background diarrheal illness prevalence rate during September of $47 / 1313$ ( $3.6 \%$ ) of attending children, and 12/261 (4.6\%) of staff.

The two different intervention strategies produced differences in the requirements for alternate care at the two day-care centers. The first day-care center was closed for 24 working days, during which alternate care arrangements had to be made for the 23 children who had attended it. At the second day-care center, alternate care arrangements were necessary for the duration of diarrhea only for the estimated nine children who were ill at the time the isolation room was created. A single case of culture-negative diarrheal illness occurred among the children at each of the centers in the 2 weeks following public-health intervention; no shigellosis was documented among the children at either center in the next 2 months.

From September 1 to December 31, 11 S . sonnei isolates were reported to the SeattleKing County Department of Public Health, which were not epidemiologically linked to either center. Plasmid analysis of these isolates is pending. Public-health management of these two simultaneous outbreaks may have limited the further spread of illness within both centers. The strategy of bringing convalescent children under treatment back into the center before they had negative cultures was not associated with further spread of Shigel/a in that center.
Reported by K Johnson, MPH, J Boase, MS, SD Helgerson, MD, Seattle-King County Dept of Public Health, JM Kobayashi, MD, State Epidemiologist, Washington State Dept of Social \& Health Svcs; Enteric Diseases Br, Div of Bacterial Diseases, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
Editorial Note: It has been estimated that $11,000,000$ children in the United States are in full- or part-time day care (1). The growing need for day care has brought a growing need for controlling infectious diseases that frequently affect children in day care. First reported to CDC in 1972, shigellosis in day-care centers has become a common and often frustrating problem. Day-care centers play an important role in the transmission of shigellosis in the community ( 2,3 ). Difficulties in controlling this infection in the day-care setting include the low infectious dose, the unpredictable acquisition of antimicrobial resistance, the frequency of mild and inapparent infections, and the frequency with which young children are transferred from one day-care center to another. Although a rigorous handwashing policy has been shown to reduce the incidence of nonspecific diarrheal illness in day-care centers, satisfactory control

## Shigellosis - Continued

measures for shigellosis have not been documented (4). On one occasion, the policy of rigid exclusion of convalescent children until they became culture-negative was associated with spread of the infection to an adjacent county (5).

The Seattle outbreak suggests that shigellosis in day-care centers may be a controllable problem under certain circumstances. Control strategies incorporating the early return of convalescent children to isolation settings in the day-care center are worthy of further evaluation. Further evaluation of surveillance and control strategies is necessary before general recommendations can be made. A symposium entitled, "Infectious Diseases in Day Care: Management and Prevention," will be held in Minneapolis, Minnesota, June 21-23, 1984. For further information, contact Donna Dacus, Department of Conferences, University of Minnesota; telephone (612) 376-2578.
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## Notice to Readers

## Evaluation of Standard Certificates and Reports

The National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, will be evaluating the U.S. Standard Certificates and Reports of Live Birth, Death, Fetal Death, Marriage, Divorce, and Induced Termination of Pregnancy.

The standard certificates and reports are developed by federal and state vital statistics officials in a joint effort and serve as models for the states in developing the forms they use to report these events. In addition to serving a variety of legal uses, the state forms are the sources of local, state, and national vital-statistics data critical for program planning and evaluation in both the public and private sectors.

NCHS evaluates the U.S. standard forms periodically to ensure that the information they include meets current needs. Questionnaires soliciting suggestions for items to be retained, deleted, added, or modified in the standard forms will be mailed by NCHS in the final quarter of 1984 to those persons and organizations that have expressed a desire to comment. To receive a questionnaire, write to the following address, indicating which certificates or reports are of interest: George A. Gay, Chief, Registration Methods Branch/DVS, National Center for Health Statistics, 3700 East-West Highway, Room 1-44K, Hyattsville, Maryland 20782.
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[^0]:    *Data from a third surveillance system, the Birth Defects Monitoring Program (BDMP), derived from the Commission on Professional and Hospital Activities' survey of hospital discharges of newborns, are not yet available for 1983.

[^1]:    -Confirmed and compatible (C\&C) cases only.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ NCRSR classification of three cases pending.

[^2]:    $\dagger_{\text {Intrauterine infection can result in miscarriages, stillbirths, and infants born with a variety of defects col- }}$ lectively termed the congenital rubella syndrome (CRS). Data are not available, however, for abortions related to rubella in pregnancy or exposure to rubella.

[^3]:    - Percentages.
    ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\dagger}}{ }^{\mathbf{1 2 0}} \mathbf{~} \%$ or more of ideal weight (ideal weight defined as the mid-value of the medium-frame person on the 1959 Metropolitan Life Insurance Company height/weight tables).
    §Combined low level of activity from exercise, work, and recreation.
    ${ }^{T}$ Person who did nothing in past month to exercise or improve physical fitness.
    -"Person who states having been told by medical professional he/she is hypertensive and who still has high blood pressure.
    ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Person who states currently having high blood pressure.
    §§Current cigarette smoker.
    1/4 Person who has drunk five or more drinks on an occasion, one or more times in past month.
    -."Person who ever has five or more drinks on one occasion.
    ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ Person whose average total alcoholic beverage intake exceeds 56 drinks per month.
    §§§Person who has driven after having too much to drink one or more times in past month.
    IIf Person who states seldom or never using a seatbelt while riding in or driving a car.

