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## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Arboviral Encephalitides - United States, 1983

Epidemic, hyperendemic, and sporadic transmission of eastern equine encephalitis (EEE), western equine encephalitis (WEE), St. Louis encephalitis (SLE), and encephalitides caused by California serogroup viruses* (CE) led to 62 confirmed human cases in the United States in 1983 (Figures 1 and 2).

## *Referred to as California encephalitis.

FIGURE 1. Human and equine arboviral encephalitides, by etiologic agent - United States, 1983


EEE: Ten of the 12 reported human cases and numerous equine and avian cases occurred in recognized endemic and enzootic areas: Massachusetts' Taunton Valley (five human and five equine cases); upstate New York counties near Syracuse (one human and eight equine cases); the Delaware-Maryland-Virginia peninsula (one equine and several hundred pheasant cases from a single premise); Lowndes County, Georgia (single human, equine, and quail cases occurring in the same week); southern Michigan and adjoining northeastern Indiana counties ( 15 equine cases); Florida (three human cases-one from the panhandle and two from central Florida). Transmission of EEE to horses occurs nearly year-round in Florida, and 55 cases have been reported to date. For the first time, Rhode Island reported human cases of EEE; two cases occurred in conjunction with an epizootic affecting five horses in the state and three in nearby areas of Connecticut. Sporadic and epizootic equine cases occurred elsewhere in the northeast and southeast.

Three deaths occurred (case fatality ratio, 25\%) - a 7 -year-old boy, a 64-year-old man, and a 66-year-old woman. A 9-month-old infant recovered with profound brain damage, and two other patients recovered with lesser neurologic sequelae.

FIGURE 2. Human arboviral encephalitides, by date of onset, etiologic agent, and state of residence - United States, 1983


WEE: In Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota, large vector populations, high mosquito infection rates, and evidence of virus transmission to sentinel chickens and horses had suggested the potential for epidemic WEE this year. Six human cases have been confirmed in 3- and 7-week-old infants, 6-, 10-, and 15-year-old children, and a 22 -year-old man. These patients' residences were widely dispersed in the three-state area reflecting high mosquito infection rates and occurrences of equine cases on premises in widespread areas of the states. The 3-week-old infant had significant residual neurologic sequelae; the outcomes were good in the other cases.

A single case of WEE was documented in a man from Hale County, Texas, where WEE has been prevalent in the past. Numerous presumptive and proven WEE cases in horses were reported from the midwest and west.

SLE: Transmission of SLE virus by Culex pipiens, the major epidemic vector of SLE in the central United States, was minimal, and only one confirmed case from Indiana and two suspected cases each from llinois and Texas were reported.

Flooding of the Colorado River this spring resulted in expansion of mosquito populations and an outbreak of Cx. tarsalis-borne SLE in adjacent California and Arizona counties (Riverside and Imperial Counties, California, and Mohave and Yuma Counties, Arizona). In California, six confirmed cases have been reported in four residents and two visitors of those counties. Two other confirmed cases had no history of travel to the flooded areas. Arizona reported one confirmed and three suspected flood-associated cases and a sporadic case, with onset before the period of flooding.

Using 1980 census data for counties in the flooded area, a crude estimate of the resident population at risk was obtained. For towns and county subdivisions bordering the river, the crude attack rate (counting confirmed and suspected cases) was $5.1 / 100,000(8 / 155,928)$. In the greater Yuma area, including Bard-Winterhaven, where most of the cases occurred, the attack rate was estimated at $7.2 / 100,000(5 / 70,649)$.

The outcome was favorable for all but one patient, a 72 -year-old man who remains comatose. A dual infection with SLE and echo 11 viruses occurred in a 3-year-old California boy.

CE: Thirty-two cases were confirmed in children who resided in states bordering the Great Lakes. An additional confirmed case was reported from Missouri, and 13 suspected cases await confirmation, including 11 from New York, one from lowa, and one in a California resident who visited Wisconsin before onset of illness.

Reported by LH Lauerman, DVM, Alabama Dept of Agriculture and Industries, WE Birch, MD, State Epidemiologist, Alabama Dept of Public Health; H Webster, MD, W Stromberg, PhD, ME Wright, RL Coppedge, MD, Acting State Epidemiologist, Arizona Dept of Health Svcs; R Emmons, MD, R Murray, MD, R Roberto, MD, J Chin, MD, State Epidemiologist, California State Dept of Health Svcs; JK Emerson, DVM, RS Hopkins, MD, State Epidemiologist, Colorado State Dept of Health; A Main, PhD, R Shope, MD, Yale Arbovirus Research Unit, New Haven, MA Markowski, VD Loverde, MD, State Epidemiologist, Connecticut State Dept of Health Svcs; PS Silverman, DrPH, State Epidemiologist, Delaware Dept of Health and Social Svcs; HL Rubin, DVM, Florida Dept of Agriculture and Consumer Svcs, W Bigler, PhD, JJ Sacks, MD, Acting State Epidemiologist, Florida State Dept of Health and Rehabilitative Svcs; J Cole, DVM, University of Georgia, Tifton, RK Sikes, DVM, State Epidemiologist, Georgia Dept of Human Resources; R Martin, DVM, C Langkop, BJ Francis, MD, State Epidemiologist, Illinois State Dept of Public Health; M Sinsko, PhD, CL Barrett, MD, State Epidemiologist, Indiana State Board of Health; DE Wilcox, MD, State Epidemiolgist, Kansas State Dept of Health and Environment; JC McCammon, Louisville and Jefferson County Dept of Health, MW Hinds, MD, State Epidemiologist, Kentucky State Cabinet for Human Resources; L McFarland, DrPH, CT Caraway, DVM, State Epidemiologist, Louisiana State Dept of
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Health and Human Resources; CP Lazar, MD, E Israel, MD, State Epidemiologist, Maryland State Dept of Health and Mental Hygiene; V Berardi, H Maxfield, NJ Fiumara, MD, Massachusetts State Dept of Public Health; H McGee, MPH, KR Wilcox, MD, State Epidemiologist, Michigan State Dept of Public Health; MT Osterholm, PhD, AG Dean, MD, State Epidemiologist, Minnesota State Dept of Health; DL Sykes, QA Long, Gulf Coast Mosquito Control Commission, Gulfport, WE Riecken, MD, State Epidemiologist, Mississippi State Board of Health; HD Donnell, MD, State Epidemiologist, Missouri State Dept of Social Svcs; JK Gedrose, State Epidemiologist, Montana State Department of Health and Environmental Sciences; WJ Crans, PhD, New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, New Brunswick, WE Parkin, DVM, State Epidemiologist, New Jersey State Dept of Health; J Montes, HF Hull, MD, JM Mann, MD, State Epidemiologist, New Mexico Health and Environment Dept; M Grayson, PhD, R Deibel, MD, DL Morse, MD, R Rothenberg, MD, State Epidemiologist, New York State Dept of Health; JL Pearson, DrPH, Acting State Epidemiologist, North Dakota State Dept of Health; ED Peterson, M Parsons, MS, TJ Halpin, MD, State Epidemiologist, Ohio State Dept of Health; SG Morin, Rhode Island Dept of Environmental Management; R Keenlyside, MD, J Weisfeld, MD, Acting State Epidemiologist, Rhode Island State Dept of Health; KA Senger, State Epidemiologist, South Dakota Dept of Health; RH Hutcheson, Jr, MD, State Epidemiologist, Tennessee State Dept of Public Health; G Hunt, PhD, Harris County Mosquito Control District, RL Johns, PhD, C Reed, MPH, TL Gustafson, MD, Acting State Epidemiologist, Texas State Dept of Health; JM Kobayashi, MD, State Epidemiologist, Washington State Dept of Social and Health Svcs; JP Davis, MD, State Epidemiologist, Wisconsin State Dept of Health and Social Svcs; National Veterinary Svcs Laboratory, US Dept of Agriculture, Ames, lowa; Div of Vector-Borne Viral Diseases, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
Editorial Note: The occurrence this year of only one confirmed SLE case in the central United States was unexpected. Similarities were noted in climatic conditions this year with those prevailing in previous years, when large Cx. pipiens-borne SLE outbreaks occurred. A mild, wet winter, cool spring, and hot, dry summer are thought to favor overwintering of virus and expansion of vector populations (1). However, this year, only minimal evidence of virus transmission to birds and sentinel chickens was found, and the number of confirmed cases reported to date is unusually low, even for a nonepidemic year.

An outbreak of Cx. tarsalis-borne SLE in the southwest was anticipated from entomologic surveys that disclosed large vector populations in flooded areas of Arizona and California. The attack rate in involved communities was similar to rates observed in Cx. tarsalis-borne outbreaks in California's central valley in the 1950s (1.0-4.7/100,000)(2). The lack of a concommitant WEE outbreak is unexplained.

The age distribution of WEE cases in the upper midwest this year-where infections in infants and children predominated-was typical of WEE outbreaks. Previous investigations in California had documented attack rates in infants that were ten- to twentyfold the attack rate in adults (2).

In the Great Lakes states, where encephalitis due to California serogroup viruses (primarily LaCrosse virus) is most prevalent, only approximately $50 \%$ of the expected number of cases occurred this year. In 1960-1981, the average number of CE cases in Ohio, Illinois, and Wisconsin was 28,12 , and 15 , respectively (3). Although this year these states experienced unusually hot, dry weather, the Ohio Vector-Borne Disease Unit demonstrated that recovery of Ae. triseriatus larvae from ovitrap sites was similar to last year's rates (4); thus, other factors must play a role.
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## Measles among Members of a Drum and Bugle Corps Arkansas, California, Kansas

Five cases of measles were reported among 150 members of a drum and bugle corps on summer performance tour of the United States. Rash onsets ranged from June 19, to July 17, 1983. Four cases were confirmed serologically.

The corps members were students from 16 states and England, who ranged in age from 14 to approximately 26 years. All were participating in local, regional, and national performance competitions with more than 100 other drum and bugle corps (with approximately 13,000 members) from the United States, Canada, and England.

The chain of transmission began with an international importation in a 17-year-old English citizen who arrived in the United States on June 17 and joined the drum and bugle corps in Hutchinson, Kansas. Although he gave a history of having received measles vaccine in England, no documentation was available. He had temporary lodging at the home of an American corps member in Kansas and had rash onset June 19. On June 22, he left Hutchinson with the corps as it began its 10,339-mile tour through 24 states (Figure 3). On June 30 and July 2, two additional cases occurred while the corps was in California; one of these patients was the American corps member with whom the English corps member had lodged. On July 17, two additional cases occurred while the corps was in Arkansas. The tour ended on August 19 in Miami, Florida, and the corps dispersed. No additional cases were reported among the other 100 drum and bugle corps.

When the first cases were reported, it was recognized that extensive transmission might occur among members of different corps throughout the country. To interrupt transmission,

FIGURE 3. Itinerary of drum and bugle corps and states in which special surveillance programs were established - United States, June 22-August 19, 1983


## Measles - Continued

state immunization programs provided emergency immunization clinics at three competition sites: Arkadelphia, Arkansas, July 19; Cleburne, Texas, July 20; and Whitewater, Wisconsin, July 30 (Figure 3). Vaccine was offered to corps members at the competition as each corps completed its performance; these clinics lasted until 1-2 a.m. Approximately 1,000 corps members received either measles or combined measles-rubella (MR) vaccine, and over 500 additional members showed proof of immunity to measles." In addition, 28 states established special surveillance for suspected measles cases at the sites of scheduled competitions.
Reported by C Beets, J Miller, JP Lofgren, MD, State Epidemiologist, Arkansas Dept of Health; LG Dales, MD, J Chin, MD, State Epidemiologist, California Dept of Health Svcs; DE Wilcox, MD, State Epidemiologist, Kansas Dept of Health and Environment; Immunization Programs of the following states: Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, lowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming; Div of Immunization, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
*For persons born after 1956, written documentation showing date of vaccination with live measles vaccine on or after the first birthday, or a history of physician-diagnosed measles illness.
(Continued on page 567)

TABLE I. Summary-cases specified notifiable diseases, United States

| Disease | 43rd Week Ending |  |  | Cumulative, 43rd Week Ending |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { October } 29, \\ 1983 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { October } 30 \\ 1982 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ 1978-1982 \end{gathered}$ | October 29. 1983 | October 30, 1982 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ 1978-1982 \end{gathered}$ |
| Aseptic meningitis | 303 | 322 | 260 | 9,941 | 7.776 | 6,857 |
| Encephalitis: Primary larthropod-bome \& unspec.) Post-infectious | 40 | 48 | 30 | 1.449 64 | 1.314 65 | 1.009 181 |
| Gonorrhea: Civilian | 17.586 | 16,596 | 21,991 | 740,884 | 793,712 | 829,232 |
| Military | 491 | 298 | 453 | 20,108 | 21,984 | 22,824 |
| Hepatitis: Type A | 691 | 470 | 551 | 18,009 | 18,823 | 23,198 |
| Type B | 430 | 440 | 346 | 18,751 | 17,843 | 14,695 |
| Non A, Non B | 38 | 44 | N | 2,740 | 1,975 | N |
| Unspecified | 162 | 152 | 207 | 6,530 | 7,164 | 8,516 |
| Legionellosis | 19 | 6 | N | 581 | 500 | N |
| Leprosy | 11 | 3 | 3 | 198 | 171 | 171 |
| Malaria | 11 | 18 | 18 | 671 | 907 | 907 |
| Measles : Total ${ }^{*}$ | 11 | 42 | 42 | 1,350 | 1,479 | 12.496 |
| Indigenous | 7 | N | N | 1,092 | N | N |
| Imported | 4 | N | N | 258 | N | N |
| Meningococcal infections: Total | 41 | 50 | 35 | 2,294 | 2,517 | 2,234 |
| Civilian | 41 | 50 | 35 | 2,279 $\mathbf{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2,503 \\ \hline 14\end{array}$ | 2,218 16 |
| Mumps Milary | 65 | 49 | 93 | 2,759 | 4,564 | 7.554 |
| Pertussis | 28 | 141 | 24 | 1,944 | 1,423 | 1.422 |
| Rubella (German measles) | 38 | 15 | 18 | 866 | 2,110 | 3,435 |
| Syphilis (Primary \& Secondary): Civilian | 677 | 675 | 611 | 26,737 | 27,300 | 22,272 |
| Toxic-shock syndrome Military | 11 | 13 | 9 | 334 | 365 | 262 |
| Toxic-shock syndrome Tuberculosis | 8 496 | N 492 | N 557 | 327 19,335 | 20,981 ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} \\ 22,410 \end{array}$ |
| Tularemia | 8 | 12 | 3 | , 264 | 226 | 185 |
| Typhoid fever | 10 | 7 | 9 | 383 | 334 | 432 |
| Typhus fever, tick-borne (RMSF) | 18 | 3 | 12 | 1,121 | 922 | 1,002 |
| Rabies, animal | 83 | 121 | 108 | 5,055 | 5.291 | 5,291 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | Cum. 1983 |  | Cum. 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Plague | 36 |
| Botulism: Foodborne (Alaska 1) | 14 | Poliomyelitis: Total | 5 |
| Infant (Wash. 1) | 48 | Paralytic | 5 |
| Other | - | Psittacosis | 102 |
| Brucellosis (Ohio 1, Tex.4) | 158 | Rabies, human | 2 |
| Cholera | 1 | Tetanus (Ohio 1) | 64 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome (S.Dak. 1) | 20 | Trichinosis (Ohio 2) | 30 |
| Diphtheria Leptospirosis (Hawaii 1) | 3 40 | Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine) | 42 |

[^0]TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 1983 and October 30, 1982 (43rd week)

| Reporting Area | Aseptic Meningitis | Encephalitis |  | Gonorrhea (Civilian) |  | Hepatitis (Viral), by type |  |  |  | Legionellosis | Leprosy | Malaria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Post-infectious |  |  | A | B | NA,NB | Unspecified |  |  |  |
|  | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1983 | 1983 | 1983 | 1983 | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 303 | 1,449 | 64 | 740,884 | 793,712 | 691 | 430 | 38 | 162 | 19 | 198 | 671 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 17 | 59 | - | 19,364 | 19.131 | 9 | 23 | - | 7 | - | 3 | 32 |
| Maine | - | - | - | 935 | 992 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 |
| N.H. | - | 5 | - | 615 | 651 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| Vt . | - | 1 | - | 373 | 361 | - | 1 | - | - | - | . | 1 |
| Mass. | 4 | 29 | - | 8,027 | 8.602 | 2 | 8 | - | 6 | - | - | 14 |
| R.L. | 3 | 1 | - | 1,063 | 1,276 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| Conn. | 10 | 23 | - | 8.351 | 7,249 | 5 | 13 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 10 |
| MID ATLANTIC | 43 | 112 | 5 | 93.814 | 100.108 | 53 | 68 | 2 | 30 | - | 25 | 91 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 32 | 30 | - | 15,248 | 16,541 | 5 | 15 | 1 | 4 | - | , | 28 |
| N.Y. City | 1 | 10 | - | 36,768 | 41,165 | 23 | 5 | - | 5 | - | 24 | 21 |
| N.J. | - | 17 | - | 17.588 | 18,061 | 9 | 28 | - | 20 | - | - | 24 |
| Pa . | 10 | 55 | 5 | 24,210 | 24.341 | 16 | 20 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 18 |
| EN. CENTRAL | 49 | 522 | 20 | 104.266 | 113.862 | 29 | 43 | 6 | 11 | 15 | 6 | 51 |
| Ohio | 19 | 175 | 9 | 28,220 | 30.434 | 10 | 20 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 8 |
| Ind. | 3 | 174 | 1 | 10.685 | 13,723 | 5 | 11 | - | 4 | - | - | 7 |
| III. | 7 | 17 | 7 | 26,925 | 32,396 | 4 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 16 |
| Mich. | 27 | 105 | - | 28,822 | 27.218 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 4 | - | 3 | 15 |
| Wis. | - | 51 | 3 | 9,614 | 10.091 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 26 | 134 | 9 | 34,622 | 37.454 | 8 | 19 | 2 | - | 1 | 6 | 25 |
| Minn. | 9 | 42 | 1 | 4,906 | 5.404 | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | 4 | 7 |
| lowa | 2 | 54 | - | 3.894 | 3,950 | - | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Mo. | 6 | 29 | - | 16,561 | 17.926 | 2 | 10 | - | - | - | 1 | 5 |
| N Dak. | - | 2 | - | 380 | 493 | - | - | - | - | - | . | 2 |
| S. Dak. | - | 1 | 2 | 889 | 993 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Nebr. | - | 4 | - | 2,243 | 2,220 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Kans. | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5,749 | 6.468 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| S ATLANTIC | 67 | 200 | 15 | 192,315 | 208,304 | 45 | 86 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 12 | 111 |
| Del. | - | 1 | - | 3,553 | 3,459 | 1 | - | - |  | - | - | 1 |
| Md | 18 | 21 | - | 24,745 | 25.946 | 5 | 16 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 23 |
| D.C. | - | - | - | 13,207 | 12.463 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 15 |
| Va | 17 | 48 | 2 | 17.737 | 16,656 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 26 |
| W. Va. | - | 39 | - | 2.111 | 2,340 | - | - | - | 2 | - | , | 2 |
| N.C. | 14 | 43 | - | 29,989 | 32,999 | 1 | 8 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 3 |
| S C | 5 | 5 | - | 17.944 | 20,081 | 6 | 15 | - | 2 | - | - | 5 |
| Ga. | - | 7 | 1 | 38,039 | 41.131 | 6 | 8 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 9 |
| Fla. | 13 | 36 | 12 | 44,990 | 53,229 | 24 | 30 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 27 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 2 | 63 | 1 | 62,247 | 68,531 | 24 | 18 | - | 3 | - | - | 14 |
| Ky. | 1 | 15 | - | 7,374 | 9,308 | 13 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Tenn. | , | 17 | - | 25,405 | 27.171 | 9 | 11 | - |  | - | - | 2 |
| Ala. | 1 | 23 | - | 19,284 | 19.921 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | 7 |
| Miss. | - | 8 | 1 | 10.184 | 12.131 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 25 | 144 | 2 | 105.802 | 108.454 | 377 | 36 | 3 | 66 | - | 28 | 59 |
| Ark. | 1 | 8 | - | 8,195 | 8,913 | 2 | 4 | - | 3 | - | 2 | 1 |
| La. | 4 | 17 | - | 21,034 | 19,842 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 8 |
| Okla. | 7 | 29 | 1 | 12,159 | 12.014 | 171 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 10 |
| Tex. | 13 | 90 | 1 | 64,414 | 67,685 | 199 | 26 | 1 | 60 | - | 27 | 40 |
| MOUNTAIN | 12 | 65 | 4 | 23.845 | 26,900 | 28 | 19 | 2 | 6 | - | 12 | 25 |
| Mont. | 2 | 2 | . | 982 | 1.103 |  | , | 2 | - | - | 12 | 25 |
| Idaho | - | 1 | - | 1,043 | 1.292 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Wyo | - | 2 | - | 626 | 780 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Colo. | 8 | 38 | - | 6,707 | 7.217 | 10 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 9 |
| N. Mex. | - | 2 | - | 2,961 | 3,669 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 5 |
| Ariz. | - | 10 | 4 | 6,769 | 7,075 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | - | 9 | 5 |
| Utah | 2 | 10 | - | 1,136 | 1,315 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 |
| Nev . | 2 | - | - | 3,621 | 4,449 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| PACIFIC | 62 | 150 | 8 | 104.609 | 110,968 | 118 | 118 | 15 | 29 | - | 106 | 263 |
| Wash. | 1 | 13 | 1 | 8,011 | 9,493 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | 15 | 263 13 |
| Oreg. | 55 | $12{ }^{-}$ | 4 | 5,610 | 6,599 | 9 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 11 |
| Calif. | 55 | 129 | 3 | 86,245 | 89,886 | 105 | 105 | 13 | 28 | - | 60 | 238 |
| Alaska | 4 | . |  | 2,751 | 2,850 |  | 3 | 1 | 28 | - | 60 | 238 |
| Hawaii | 2 | 8 | - | 1,992 | 2,140 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 30 | 1 |
| Guam | U | 1 | 1 | 103 | 118 | U | U | U | U | U | - |  |
| P.R. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.893 | 2,268 | 5 | 15 | - | 8 | U | - | 2 |
| V.I. | U |  | , | 212 | 2,237 | U | U | u | U | U | - | 2 |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | U | - | - |  | 388 | U | U | U | U | U | - | - |

TABLE III. (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 1983 and October 30, 1982 (43rd week)

| Reporting Area | Measles (Rubeola) |  |  |  |  | Menin- <br> gococcal <br> Infections <br> Cum. <br> 1983 | Mumps |  |  | Pertussis |  |  | Rubella |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indigenous |  | Imported* |  | Total <br> 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 7 | 1,092 | 4 | 258 | 1,479 | 2,294 | 65 | 2,759 | 4,564 | 28 | 1,944 | 1,423 | 38 | 866 | 2,110 |
| NEW ENGLAND | - | 3 | - | 14 | 14 | 118 | 4 | 123 | 173 | 5 | 66 | 49 | - | 15 | 17 |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 1 | 20 | 41 | 1 | 5 | 4 |  | - | - |
| N.H. | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 4 | - | 22 | 17 | . | 9 | 4 | - | 4 | 10 |
| Vt . | - | - | - | - | 2 | 9 | - | 15 | 7 | - | 8 | 2 | - | 5 | - |
| Mass. | - | 3 | - | 3 | 3 | 39 | 3 | 36 | 72 | - | 34 | 23 | - | 6 | 2 |
| R.I. | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 14 | 16 | - | 5 | 11 | - | - | 1 |
| Conn. | - | - | - | 8 | 6 | 48 | - | 16 | 20 | 4 | 5 | 5 | - | - | 4 |
| MID ATLANTIC | 2 | 74 | 1 | 41 | 162 | 383 | 10 | 231 | 292 | 1 | 340 | 374 | 4 | 142 | 102 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 2 | 5 | is | 10 | 112 | 119 | 3 | 88 | 74 | 1 | 110 | 201 | 1 | 30 | 49 |
| N.Y. City | - | 43 | 14 | 27 | 42 | 68 | - | 33 | 47 | - | 52 | 39 | - | 86 | 34 |
| N.J. | - | 26 | - | 1 | 4 | 64 | 6 | 44 | 43 | - | 19 | 21 | . | 3 | 18 |
| Pa. | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | 132 | 1 | 66 | 128 | 1 | 159 | 113 | 3 | 23 | 1 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 4 | 639 | - | 58 | 77 | 414 | 12 | 1,256 | 2,353 | 5 | 407 | 295 | - | 114 | 188 |
| Ohio | - | 72 | - | 15 | 1 | 124 | 3 | 545 | 1,594 | 2 | 138 | 83 | - | 2 | - |
| Ind. | - | 402 | - | 4 | 2 | 48 | - | 38 | 37 | 1 | 54 | 20 | - | 23 | 29 |
| IH. | 4 | 163 | - | 33 | 24 | 124 | 4 | 146 | 273 | 1 | 113 | 131 | - | 49 | 69 |
| Mich. | - | 2 | - | 5 | 50 | 74 | 5 | 450 | 330 | 2 | 39 | 23 | - | 16 | 49 |
| Wis. | - | - | - | 1 | - | 44 | - | 77 | 119 | 2 | 63 | 38 | - | 24 | 41 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | - | 1 | - | 7 | 49 | 136 | 3 | 153 | 578 | 2 | 118 | 65 | 1 | 40 | 59 |
| Minn. | - | 1 | - | - | - | 22 | 1 | 28 | 442 | 2 | 43 | 25 | - | 8 | 5 |
| lowa Mo. | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 40 | 34 | - | 6 | 8 |  |  | 38 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 64 4 | - | 21 | 11 | - | 15 | 14 | - | - | 38 |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 5 | - | - | 1 |
| Nebr. | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 8 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Kans. | - | - | - | 6 | 44 | 22 | . | 60 | 90 | - | 42 | 12 | 1 | 32 | 15 |
| S. ATLANTIC | - | 173 | - | 31 | 110 | 474 | 6 | 196 | 280 | 1 | 223 | 245 | 1 | 97 | 85 |
| Del. | - | 6 | - | 4 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 28 12 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 97 | 1 |
| Md. | - | 6 | - | 4 | 3 | 48 | 4 | 38 | 30 | - | 17 | 63 | - | 3 | 34 |
| D.C. | - | 10 | - | 13 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 32 | $3{ }^{-}$ | - |  | 1 | - | - |  |
| W. Va. | - | 10 | - | 13 | 14 3 | 71 | 1 | 32 | 38 | - | 50 | 27 | - | 3 | 12 |
| N.C. | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 95 | - | 47 | 97 | - | 9 | 9 | - | 10 | 2 |
| S.C. | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | 47 | 1 | 12 | 19 | - | 27 13 | 43 16 | - | 10 | 1 |
| Ga. | - | 8 | - | 4 | - | 75 | 1 | 48 | 17 | - | 13 61 | 16 | - | 13 | 15 |
| Fla. | - | 149 | - | 9 | 88 | 120 | - | 4 | 46 | 1 | 41 | 42 | 1 | 67 | 19 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | - | 1 | - | 5 | 9 | 137 | 2 | 54 | 55 | 2 | 34 | 49 | 1 | 17 | 46 |
| Ky. | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 29 | - | 21 | 18 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 16 | 28 |
| Tenn. Ala. | - | 1 | - | 4 | 6 | 47 | 2 | 27 | 22 | 1 | 9 | 26 | . | - | 2 |
| Ala. Miss. | - | 1 | - | 4 | 2 | 39 | - | 2 | 9 | - | 5 | 5 | - | 1 | $10^{-}$ |
| Miss. | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | - | 4 | 6 | - | 6 | 13 | - | - | 16 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | - | 39 | - | 35 | 151 | 242 | 8 | 233 | 211 | 8 | 421 | 92 | 6 | 121 | 115 |
| Ark. | - | 5 | - | 8 | - | 19 |  | 2 | 7 | 1 | 20 | 3 | - | - | 1 |
| La. | - | - | - | 25 | 2 | 45 | - | 45 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 21 | 3 | 13 | 1 |
| Okla. | - | 1 | - |  | 30 | 30 | - |  | - | 5 | 302 | 5 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Tex. | - | 33 | - | 2 | 119 | 148 | 8 | 186 | 198 | . | 87 | 63 | 3 | 108 | 110 |
| MOUNTAIN | 1 | 1 | - | 16 | 29 | 98 | 9 | 148 | 101 | 3 | 215 | 64 | - | 33 | 78 |
| Mont. | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 21 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 6 | 5 |
| Idaho | 1 | 1 | - | 10 | - | 6 | - | 8 | 4 | - | 15 | 11 | - | 8 | 6 |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 6 | 3 | - | 4 | 7 |
| Coto. | - | - | - | 2 | 8 | 34 | 1 | 37 | 17 | 1 | 133 | 17 | - | 1 | 6 |
| N. Mex. | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - |  | - | 14 | 7 | - | . | 6 |
| Ariz. | - | - | - | 1 | 17 | 17 | 5 | 82 | 47 | 2 | 24 | 21 | - | 6 | 14 |
| Utah | - | - | - | - | 3 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 20 | - | 22 | 4 | - | 7 | 22 |
| Nev. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 5 | 7 | - | 22 | 4 | - | 1 | 12 |
| PACIFIC | - | 161 | 3 | 51 | 878 | 292 | 11 | 365 | 521 | 1 | 120 | 190 | 25 | 287 | 1,420 |
| Wash. | - | 1 | 3 § | 20 | 42 | 43 | , | 43 | 68 | , | 16 | 28 | 25 | 12 | 38 |
| Oreg. | - | 8 | - | 2 | 16 | 47 | - |  | - | - | 8 | 27 | 1 | 14 | 6 |
| Calif. | - | 151 | - | 27 | 814 | 193 | 11 | 290 | 427 | 1 | 89 | 107 | 24 | 259 | 1,363 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 10 | - | 4 | - |  | 1 | 5 |
| Hawaii | - | 1 | - | 2 | 5 | 7 | - | 18 | 16 | - | 3 | 28 | - | 1 | 8 |
| Guam | U | 1 | U | 1 | 6 | 1 | U | 1 | 5 | U | - | - | U | - | 2 |
| P.R. | - | 94 | - | - | 133 | 11 | - | 121 | 88 | - | 13 | 21 | 1 | 6 | 11 |
| V.I. | U | - | U | 5 |  | , | U | , | 4 | U | - | - | U | 2 | 1 |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | U | - | U | - | 1 | - | U | - | 6 | U | - | - | U | - | - |

-For measles only, imported cases includes both out-of-state and intemational importations.
$\mathbf{N}$ : Not notifiable U: Un، vailable $\quad{ }^{\text {International }}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ Out-of-state

TABLE III. (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
October 29, 1983 and October 30, 1982 (43rd week)

| Reporting Area | Syphilis (Civilian) (Primary \& Secondary) |  | Toxicshock Syndrome | Tuberculosis |  | Tularemia | Typhoid Fever | Typhus Fever (Tick-borne) (RMSF) | Rabies, Animal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1983 | 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | Cum. <br> 1983 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 26,737 | 27,300 | 8 | 496 | 19,335 | 264 | 383 | 1.121 | 5,055 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 574 | 494 | - | 20 | 579 | 4 | 16 | 6 | 33 |
| Maine | 19 | 7 | - | - | 32 | - | - | - | 8 |
| N.H. | 19 | 5 | - | - | 31 | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| Vt. | 3 | 2 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Mass. | 360 | 330 | - | 11 | 305 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 13 |
| R.I. | 19 | 21 | - | 1 | 46 | 1 | - | - | . |
| Conn. | 154 | 129 | - | 8 | 155 | - | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| MID ATLANTIC | 3,483 | 3,696 | 1 | 106 | 3,504 | 1 | 68 | 26 | 218 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 258 | 391 | - | 10 | 583 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 70 |
| N.Y. City | 2,068 | 2,185 | - | 25 | 1,357 | - | 25 | 2 | - |
| N.J. | 681 | 530 | - | 12 | 733 | - | 28 | 8 | 24 |
| Pa . | 476 | 590 | 1 | 59 | 831 | - | 6 | 10 | 124 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 1,342 | 1,608 | 1 | 73 | 2,617 | 4 | 57 | 80 | 434 |
| Ohio | 372 | 259 | - | 13 | 410 | - | 18 | 43 | 58 |
| Ind. | 102 | 173 | - | 11 | 293 | - | 3 | 14 | 29 |
| IH. | 595 | 852 | - | 17 | 1.127 | 1 | 25 | 14 | 223 |
| Mich. | 199 | 241 | 1 | 23 | 647 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 19 |
| Wis. | 74 | 83 | - | 9 | 140 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 105 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 327 | 459 | 1 | 10 | 594 | 80 | 10 | 59 | 710 |
| Minn. | 125 | 105 | - | 3 | 134 | - | 2 | - | 126 |
| lowa | 20 | 27 | - | 1 | 53 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | - | - | 174 |
| Mo. | 118 | 257 | - | 6 | 290 | 56 | 7 | 32 | 94 |
| N. Dak. | 2 | 7 | - | - | 6 | - | - | 1 | 75 |
| S. Dak. | 11 | 2 | 1 | - | 34 | 8 | - | 5 | 107 |
| Nebr. | 15 | 14 | - | - | 20 | 8 | - | 3 | 62 |
| Kans. | 36 | 47 | - | - | 57 | 8 | 1 | 18 | 72 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 7.293 | 7.502 | 2 | 105 | 3,927 | 13 | 55 | 468 | 1,822 |
| Del. | 31 | 20 | - | 1 | 55 | - |  | 4 | . 5 |
| Md. | 492 | 409 | 1 | 8 | 308 | 5 | 8 | 39 | 675 |
| D.C. | 317 | 401 | - | 2 | 160 | - | 3 | - | 133 |
| Va . | 496 | 509 | - | 19 | 415 | 1 | 15 | 63 | 564 |
| W. Va. | 22 | 26 | - | 5 | 119 | - | 2 | 12 | 109 |
| N.C. | 712 | 609 | - | 12 | 587 | 6 | 4 | 201 | 26 |
| S.C. | 469 | 467 | - | 16 | 369 | - | 2 | 80 | 30 |
| Ga. | 1.279 | 1.557 | - | 9 | 715 | 1 | 2 | 65 | 185 |
| Fla. | 3,475 | 3.504 | 1 | 33 | 1.199 | - | 19 | 4 | 95 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 1.821 | 1,895 | - | 38 | 1.721 | 17 | 10 | 105 | 329 |
| $\mathbf{K y}$ | 149 | 114 | - | 16 | 453 | 1 | 3 | 22 | 73 |
| Tenn. | 495 | 540 | - | 5 | 503 | 11 | 2 | 49 | 177 |
| Ala. | 713 | 704 | - | 9 | 446 | - | 2 | 24 | 79 |
| Miss. | 464 | 537 | - | 8 | 319 | 5 | 3 | 10 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 6,899 | 7.088 | 1 | 61 | 2,297 | 107 | 53 | 362 | 915 |
| Ark. | 162 | 177 | - | 5 | 277 | 66 | 2 | 42 | 151 |
| La. | 1.432 | 1.599 | - | 18 | 316 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 27 |
| Okla. | 170 | 154 | 1 | 3 | 212 | 30 | 2 | 226 | 95 |
| Tex. | 5,135 | 5.158 | - | 35 | 1,492 | 8 | 46 | 93 | 642 |
| MOUNTAIN | 573 | 708 | 1 | 12 | 513 | 32 | 18 | 13 | 218 |
| Mont. | 7 | 5 | - | - | 42 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 66 |
| Idaho | 7 | 25 | - | - | 23 | 2 | , | 2 | 16 |
| Wyo. | 10 | 16 | 1 | - | 11 | 5 | - | 2 | 11 |
| Colo. | 138 | 185 | 1 | - | 68 | 10 | 1 | - | 23 |
| N. Mex. | 158 | 167 | \% | 7 | 95 | 3 | 1 | - | 13 |
| Ariz. | 147 | 191 | - | 7 | 211 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 36 |
| Utah . | 20 | 20 | - | 5 | 33 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| Nev . | 86 | 99 | - | 5 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 43 |
| PACIFIC | 4,425 | 3,850 | 1 | 71 | 3,583 | 6 | 96 | 2 | 376 |
| Wash. | 143 | 142 | - | 7 | 204 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Oreg. | 122 | 93 3.507 | - | 3 | 153 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Calif. | 4,081 | 3,507 | 1 | 59 | 2,976 | 2 | 87 | 2 | 358 |
| Alaska | 12 67 | 14 | - |  | . 56 | - | 87 | 2 | +15 |
| Hawaii | 67 | 94 | - | 2 | 194 | - | 3 | - | 15 |
| Guam | - | 1 | U | U | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| P.R. | 660 | 672 | - | 8 | 393 | - | - | - | 47 |
| V.I. | 17 | 26 | U | U | 2 | - | - | - | 47 |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | - | - | U | U | - | - | - | - | - |

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities, * week ending
October 29, 1983 (43rd week)

| Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\&10•• Total | Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\&1 } 1^{\circ} \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | $\geqslant 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | $<1$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Ages } \end{aligned}$ | $\geqslant 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 698 | 470 | 160 | 29 | 18 | 21 | 52 | S. ATLANTIC | 1.197 | 727 | 307 | 83 | 32 | 48 | 37 |
| Boston, Mass. | 181 | 115 | 42 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 22 | Atlanta, Ga. | 134 | 74 | 36 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 56 | 39 | 15 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | Baltimore, Md. | 284 | 173 | 85 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 22 | 18 | 4 | - | - | - | 2 | Charlotte, N.C. | 67 | 44 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 25 | 17 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | Jacksonvilie, Fla. | 110 | 62 | 30 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| Hartford, Comn. | 62 | 41 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | Miami, Fla. | 103 | 63 | 26 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Lowell. Mass. | 24 | 16 | 7 | 1 | - | - | 3 | Norfoli, Va. | 39 | 20 | 11 | 1 | - | 7 | 2 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 19 | 16 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | Richmond, Va | 84 | 46 | 23 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 6 |
| New Bedford, Mass | s. 29 | 23 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2 | Savannah, Ga. | 21 | 14 | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| New Haven, Comn. | 67 | 45 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 108 | 86 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Providence, R.I. | 74 | 35 | 29 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 7 | Tampa, Fla. | 58 | 33 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 10 | 10 | 2 | - | - | - | - | Washington, D.C. | 135 | 76 | 32 | 16 | 10 | 1 | 2 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 42 | 30 | 12 |  |  |  | 1 | Wilmington, Del. | 54 | 36 | 14 | 2 |  | 2 | - |
| Waterbury. Conn. | 22 | 17 | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | Wimington, Del. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 65 | 48 | 12 | 1 | 4 | - | 7 | E.S. CENTRAL | 765 | 469 | 190 | 39 | 28 | 38 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala | 112 | 74 | 28 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| Mid. ATLANTIC | 2,521 | 1.648 30 | 564 9 | 155 | 61 | 92 | 101 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 66 | 46 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| Abany, N.Y. <br> Allentown. Pa. | 49 | 30 16 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 5 | - | Knoxville, Tenn | 49 | 33 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 132 | 83 | 36 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 16 | Memphis, Tenn | 192 | 121 | 36 | 10 | 8 | 17 | 9 |
| Camden, N.J. | 41 | 28 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Mobile. Ala. | 62 | 32 | 20 | 7 | 3 | . | 4 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 33 | 24 | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | Montgomery, Ala | 35 | 21 | 11 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Erie, Pa.t | 43 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Nashvilie. Tenn. | 118 | 64 | 35 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 1 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 53 | 30 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Nashvile, Tem. | 118 | 64 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |
| N.Y. City, N.Y. 1 | 1.432 | 927 | 321 | 100 | 34 | 50 | 44 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1.530 | 904 | 347 | 135 | 80 | 64 | 45 |
| Newark, N.J. | 63 | 39 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | Austin. Tex. | 51 | 35 | 9 | 6 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 23 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | Baton Rouge, La | 64 | 41 | 14 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Philadelphia, Pa.t | 154 | 86 | 46 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 5 | Corpus Christi. Tex | 24 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 1 | - | - |
| Pittsburgh, Pat | 77 | 56 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | Dallas, Tex. | 196 | 119 | 43 | 13 | 14 | 7 | 2 |
| Reading, Pa. | 28 | 23 | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | Ei Paso. Tex. | 54 | +39 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| Rochester, N. Y. | 107 | 83 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 9 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 100 | 69 | 18 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Schenectady. N.Y. | 32 | 27 | 5 | - | - | , | 3 | Houston, Tex. | 554 | 297 | 125 | 64 | 37 | 31 | 17 |
| Scranton, Pa.t | 25 113 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Litte Rock, Ark | 49 | 31 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 113 | 74 | 22 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 3 | New Orieans, La | 128 | 80 | 30 | 12 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Trenton, N.J. Utica, N.Y. | 34 | 24 | 8 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | San Antonio. Tex | 175 | 101 | 51 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 32 | 15 | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | Shreveport, La. | 57 | 34 | 13 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 38 | 29 | 7 | 2 | - | - | 4 | Tulsa, Okla. | 78 | 44 | 21 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| E.N. CENTRAL 2 | 2,204 | 1.421 | 531 | 126 | 52 | 74 | 72 | MOUNTAIN | 638 | 426 | 114 | 48 | 25 | 25 | 28 |
| Akron, Ohio | 59 | 44 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | Albuquerque. N.Mex | 86 | 426 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 4 |
| Canton, Ohio | 49 | 40 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 38 | 25 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Chicago, 明 | 517 | 326 | 135 | 39 | 8 | 9 | 8 | Denver, Colo. | 132 | 79 | 32 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 3 |
| Cincinnati, Otio | 147 | 98 | 40 | 5 | - | 4 | 13 | Las Vegas, Nev | 66 | 45 | 17 | 3 | 1 | - | 4 |
| Cleveland. Ohio | 145 | 74 | 46 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 3 | Ogden. Utah | 29 | 21 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 134 | 78 | 37 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 3 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 131 | 85 | 21 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 2 |
| Dayton. Ohio | 97 | 59 | 27 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | Pueblo, Colo. | 22 | 17 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 256 | 152 | 65 | 17 | 13 | 9 | 6 | Saht Lake City. Utah | 43 | 24 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 6 | - |
| Evansville, Ind. | 39 | 27 | 5 | 5 | - | 2 | 1 | Tucson, Ariz. | 91 | 70 | 14 | 6 | - | 1 | 4 |
| Fort Wayne, ind. | 58 | 42 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | Jucson, Ariz. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gary, Ind. | 12 | 1 | 6 | 5 | - | - | - | PACIFIC | 1.750 | 1.126 | 376 | 130 | 41 | 77 | 88 |
| Grand Rapids, Mich | h. 40 | 31 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Berkeley, Calif. | $\begin{array}{r}18 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.126 | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 130 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 162 | 106 | 39 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | Fresno, Calif. | 79 | 43 | 21 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Madison, Wis. | 38 | 25 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | Glendale, Calif. | 29 | 18 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 130 | 89 | 30 | 7 | - | 4 | 4 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 67 | 40 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| Peoria, It. | 29 | 20 | 8 | 1 | ; | - | 3 | Long Beach, Calif | 95 | 62 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
| Rockford, III. | 51 | 31 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | L.os Angeles, Calif. | 425 | 275 | 96 | 39 | 5 | 10 | 11 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 52 | 41 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | Oakland, Calif. | 72 | 47 | 14 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 116 | 87 | 20 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | Pasadena, Calif. | 30 | 24 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | - 73 | 50 | 15 | 6 | - | 2 | 4 | Portland, Oreg. | 106 | 72 | 21 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sacramento, Calif. | 76 | 44 | 24 | 3 | - | 5 | 7 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 676 | 463 | 130 | 32 | 16 | 31 | 24 | San Diego, Calif. | 133 | 89 | 26 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 12 |
| Des Moines, lowa | 45 | 32 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | San Francisco, Calif | F. 148 | 96 | 26 | 18 | 2 | 6 | 3 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 29 | 22 | 6 | - | - | 1 | 1 | San Jose, Calif. | 188 | 122 | 43 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 17 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 32 | 21 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | Seattle, Wash. | 150 | 101 | 32 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 1 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 108 | 71 | 21 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 | Spokane, Wash. | 47 | 35 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 28 | 19 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Tacoma, Wash. | 87 | 49 | 25 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | . 79 | 54 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 68 | 48 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | TOTAL | $11.979^{\text {tt }}$ | 7,654. | 2,719 | 777 | 353 | 470 | 485 |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 173 | 123 | 37 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 59 | 42 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 55 | 31 | 14 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## Measles - Continued

Editorial Note: Although only four secondary measles cases occurred, this outbreak illustrates the potential for more extensive transmission across state lines when measles occurs in a highly mobile population, as has been reported previously (1). In this instance, although the originally affected group traveled over 10,000 miles in 8 weeks, extensive transmission did not occur. Most of the states they visited continued to be free of measles transmission.

At the competition sites, it was difficult to assess the immunity status of these teenagers and young adults; most could not show documentation of immunity to measles. Considering the high communicability of measles and the frequent face-to-face contact of corps members who traveled together, the limited extent of the outbreak probably resulted from preexisting high immunity levels among the corps members, rather than from the vaccination clinics. However, this was only known in retrospect. It is estimated that, nationally, 5\%-15\% of young adults may be susceptible to measles (2)-sufficient to sustain transmission for several generations, given adequate exposure. Since many of the corps members were in this age group, it was important to provide immunizations to members who might have been exposed to measles. The emergency immunization clinics were held until after midnight-when the corps members were returning to their buses-to maximize participation and minimize interference with the competitions. If documentation of measles immunity had been required of members before participation, such clinics would have been unnecessary.

Outbreaks from measles importations have been described previously (3), and imported measles cases continue to cause limited transmission in the United States. Communities can protect themselves from importations by achieving and maintaining high immunization levels. Investigations of imported cases should include a search for susceptible contacts at all points of the traveler's itinerary, as well as in the local community. Rapid, effective communication between many states and a highly motivated and responsive staff played a major role in the containment of this outbreak.
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## Current Trends

## Infant Mortality in a Rural Health District - Georgia

In 1979, the infant mortality rate (IMR) in a south Georgia health district, a rural, 16-county area covering 5,943 square miles with a population of 249,000, dropped and remained relatively low in 1980, compared with rates during the preceding 5 years (Figure 4). The number of births and deaths for infants born 1974-1978 and 1979-1980 were calculated for three birthweight categories: less than $1,500 \mathrm{~g}, 1,500-2,499 \mathrm{~g}$, and $2,500 \mathrm{~g}$ or greater (Table 1). Although infants with birth weights greater than $2,500 \mathrm{~g}$ had the lowest mortality rates, they represented 45\% of deaths in 1974-1978 and 38\% in 1979-1980.

To determine the components of the decline in IMR, a computerized registry of linked birth and infant-death certificates, maintained by the Georgia Department of Human Resources, was used (1). The greatest relative decline in mortality occurred among infants with birth weights $1,500-2,499 \mathrm{~g}$ and $2,500 \mathrm{~g}$ or greater. If birthweight-specific neonatal and post-

Infant Mortality - Continued
neonatal mortality rates* for 1974-1978 had remained unchanged, 69 additional deaths would have been expected in 1979-1980 among infants with known birth weights (Table 2). Nearly two-thirds (43/69) of the difference between observed and expected deaths occurred in the $2,500 \mathrm{~g}$ or greater birthweight category. For infants $1,500-2,499 \mathrm{~g}$ and $2,500 \mathrm{~g}$ or greater, improvements during the neonatal and postneonatal periods were approximately equal, while for smaller infants, improvement was limited to the neonatal period. When specific causes of death were examined for infants $\mathbf{2 , 5 0 0 \mathrm { g } \text { or greater (Table 3), decreases in deaths }}$ due to infections and birth trauma/asphyxia/hypoxia contributed the most to the decline in neonatal deaths, and a decrease in deaths due to infections was the greatest contributor to the decrease in postneonatal deaths.

The IMR declined from 16.0 to 9.1 for white infants and from 30.4 to 19.1 for infants of other races, while the racial composition of births remained constant. The percentage of women delivering infants at high risk for neonatal death did not decrease (2). Ninety-four percent of the decline in mortality was attributable to improved survival within birthweight categories, and $6 \%$ to a shift in the birthweight distribution (3). The decrease in IMR was not associated with a parallel increase in admissions to intensive care units for newborns.
Reported by JT Holloway, Southeast Health Unit, Waycross, S Zaro, MPH, Family Health Svcs, RK Sikes, DVM, State Epidemiologist, Georgia Dept of Human Resources; Div of Field Services, Epidemiology Program Office, Div of Reproductive Health, Center for Health Promotion and Education, Birth Defects Br, Center for Environmental Health, CDC.
Editorial Note: Most of the recent decline in U.S. neonatal mortality has been attributed to improved survival of infants with birth weights lower than $2,500 \mathrm{~g}(4,5)$. In this rural health district, where the IMR had been substantially higher than rates in the remainder of Georgia and the nation, most of the decline in infant mortality was due to improved neonatal and post-

[^2]FIGURE 4. Infant mortality rate - rural Georgia health district, 1974-1980
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neonatal survival for infants $\mathbf{2 , 5 0 0 \mathrm { g }}$ or greater at birth. Within this group, decreases in neonatal mortality due to birth trauma/asphyxia/hypoxia may reflect improved intrapartum care, and decreases in neonatal and postneonatal deaths due to infections may reflect improved obstetric and infant care. Changes in IMR accompanied efforts to enhance basic prenatal, intrapartum, and postnatal services for women and infants. In 1975, this health district began a program providing routine prenatal and infant care as a precondition for receiving benefits from a nutrition program for mothers and infants. By 1979, the program was in effect in all 16 counties and enrolled approximately $30 \%$ of the district's pregnant women. Additionally, physicians in each county were identified who would offer low-cost obstetric care for highrisk, medically indigent women. However, it is not clear why the sharp drop occurred in 1979. Local and state health officials are conducting further studies to assess the contribution of participation in the supplemental nutrition program and other factors to the decline in infant deaths.

Linking birth and death certificates permits the use of maternal and infant characteristics at birth, particularly birth weight, in describing infant mortality. Analysis of birth weight, period-of-death, and cause-specific mortality rates forms a basis for implementing more appropriate strategies for preventing infant deaths and enhancing the evaluation of these programs.
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TABLE 1. Births and infant deaths - rural Georgia health district, 1974-1978 and 19791980

| Birth weight | Births | \%* | Deaths | \% ${ }^{+}$ | $1 M R^{\S}$ | RR (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {I }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| < $1,500 \mathrm{~g}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974-1978 | 240 | 1.2 | 145 | 32.6 | '604.2 |  |
| 1979-1980 | 93 | 1.1 | 50 | 47.2 | 537.6 | 0.9 (0.7-1.1) |
| 1,500-2,499g |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974-1978 | 1,449 | 7.0 | 87 | 19.6 | 60.0 |  |
| 1979-1980 | 558 | 6.6 | 15 | 14.2 | 26.9 | 0.4 (0.3-0.8) |
| $\geqslant 2,500 \mathrm{~g}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974-1978 | 18,832 | 91.6 | 202 | 45.4 | 10.7 |  |
| 1979-1980 | 7,761 | 92.2 | 40 | 37.7 | 5.2 | 0.5 (0.3-0.7) |
| Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974-1978 | 20,568 | 100.0 | 445 | 100.0 | 21.6 |  |
| 1979-1980 | 8,415 | 100.0 | 106 | 100.0 | 12.6 | 0.6 (0.5-0.7) |
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TABLE 2. Expected* minus observed deaths - rural Georgia health district, 1979-1980

| Birth weight | Neonatal | Postneonatal | Total $^{\dagger}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<1,500 \mathrm{~g}$ | 8 | -1 | 7 |
| $1,500-2,499 \mathrm{~g}$ | 9 | 10 | 19 |
| $>2,500 \mathrm{~g}$ | 23 | 21 | 43 |
| Total $^{\dagger}$ | 39 | 30 | 69 |

${ }^{*}$ Expected deaths in 1979-1980 = (mortality rate in 1974-1978) $\times$ (births in 1979-1980).
${ }^{\dagger}$ Totals may not equal sums of values in table due to rounding.

TABLE 3. Expected minus observed deaths for infants with birth weights $2,500 \mathrm{~g}$ or greater, by cause of death - rural Georgia health district, 1979-1980

| Cause of death* | Neonatal | Postneonatal | Total ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birth trauma/hypoxia/asphyxia | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Respiratory distress syndrome/ bronchopulmonary dysplasia | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Other perinatal causes | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Birth defects | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| Infections | 8 | 13 | 21 |
| Sudden infant death syndrome | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| External causes | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Other/unknown causes | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 23 | 21 | 43 |
| -Causes of death based on the 1974-1978 and Ninth Revision ${ }^{\dagger}$ Totals may not equal sums of | Internation deaths in ues in tabl | of Diseases <br> g. | for |
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[^0]:    "One of the 11 reported cases for this week was imported from a foreign country or can be directly traceable to a known internationally imported case within two generations.

[^1]:    - Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed Fetal deaths are not included.
    - Pneumonia and influenza
    $\dagger$ Because of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
    t† Total includes unknown ages.

[^2]:    *Neonatal mortality rate $=$ deaths in infants $<28$ days of age per 1,000 live births. Postneonatal mortality rate $=$ deaths in infants 28 days to 1 year of age per 1,000 neonatal survivors.

[^3]:    -Percentage of total births.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Percentage of total deaths.
    § Infant mortality rate.
    IRelative risk of death in 1979-1980 compared with that in 1974-1978.
    *"Includes infants with unknown birth weights.
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