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## Current Trends

In 1979, in cooperation with state and territorial epidemiologists, CDC introduced a supplementary pertussis surveillance system to gather more detailed information on the epidemiology of pertussis in the United States. Before 1979, national pertussis surveillance by CDC was limited to data on the age and sex of each patient and the state reporting each case. These data were and continue to be reported in the Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR).

In the 3-year period, 1979-1981, the supplementary surveillance system received reports of 1,277 cases from 42 states. The largest numbers of cases were reported by Indiana (185), Washington (125), and New York (105).

Analysis of the data from this supplementary system indicates that $62 \%$ of the cases involved children $<1$ year of age, and that $79 \%$ of these cases were among children $<6$ months of age (Figure 1). The high percentage of cases found among $<1$-year olds by this supplementary system is similar to the $57 \%$ of pertussis cases in that age group reported in the MMWR for 1980 (1).

According to current recommendations of both the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP), an infant should receive 3 doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis (DTP) vaccine by 6 months of age and a fourth dose by 18 months of age in the absence of medical contraindications ( 2,3 ). Of 479 reported pertussis patients, ages 6 months- 9 years,* with known vaccination status, $33 \%$ were not vaccinated with DTP before becoming ill, and $60 \%$ had received $<3$ doses.

Findings for the patients on whom clinical information was available showed that $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ had a whoop and $41 \%$ had apnea. Pneumonia was reported as a complication for $29 \%$ of patients (Table 1). The frequency of pneumonia was highest (34\%) among infants $<6$ months of age. For patients $<4$ years of age, the likelihood of pneumonia was inversely related to the number of DTP doses (for children $0-5$ months, $p=0.009$; for children 6 months -4 years, $p$ $=0.025 .{ }^{\dagger}$

Seizures were reported for 51 (4\%) of 1,277 patients; 29 ( $56 \%$ ) of these patients were $<6$ months of age. Among patients $<4$ years of age, the likelihood of developing seizures was also inversely related to the number of DTP doses received; however, the difference was not statistically significant. Encephalopathy was associated with $0.4 \%$ of cases. All patients reported to have encephalopathy were $<1$ year of age.

Fifty-eight percent of all reported patients were hospitalized, including $80 \%$ of infants $<6$

[^0]Pertussis - Continued
months of age, $60 \%$ of those 6-11 months of age, and $35 \%$ of those $1-4$ years of age. Relatively few patients $>4$ years of age were hospitalized. The proportion of patients hospitalized was inversely related to the number of doses of DTP vaccine received; the highest proportion of patients hospitalized occurred among children who had received $<3$ doses of DTP vaccine ( $p=0.0046$ for 6 - to 11 -month olds and $<0.0001$ for 1 - to 4 -year olds).

Seven deaths ( $0.5 \%$ ) associated with pertussis were reported. All deaths occurred among hospitalized patients who were $<1$ year of age, had not been vaccinated, and had pneumonia.

Laboratory confirmation of the diagnosis of pertussis was available for $72 \%$ of cases. The diagnosis was confirmed by direct fluorescent antibody (DFA) testing in $46 \%$ of cases, by DFA and culture in $18 \%$, and by culture in $8 \%$. The diagnosis was made on clinical grounds alone in $28 \%$ of cases.

Additional information about household contacts was available for 287 patients. To calculate vaccine efficacy, secondary attack rates were determined for unvaccinated household contacts (no DTP doses received) and for household contacts who were fully vaccinated ( 3 or more DTP doses). Vaccine efficacy for household contacts <5 years of age was $82.4 \%$. Efficacy could not be calculated for children 5-9 years of age because very few household contacts in this age group were unvaccinated.

FIGURE 1. Age distribution of pertussis cases, United States, 1979-1981


## Pertussis - Continued

Reported by Respiratory and Special Pathogens Br, Div of Bacterial Diseases, Center for Infectious Diseases, Surveillance, Investigations and Research Br, Immunization Div, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note: During the recent controversy regarding pertussis vaccine, questions were raised as to whether the disease has caused sufficient morbidity and mortality, in recent years, to justify routine vaccine use. As with other vaccines, the decision to recommend use of DTP vaccine depends on the risk of developing disease, disease severity, vaccine efficacy, and adverse reactions associated with the vaccine.

Data from the CDC pertussis surveillance systems presented above provide information on the current morbidity and mortality due to pertussis in the United States and on the efficacy of currently used vaccines in both preventing and attenuating disease. They demonstrate that pertussis is a severe disease, particularly for children <1 year of age, and may be associated with seizures, encephalopathy, and death. The data also demonstrate that DTP vaccine is efficacious. More than $80 \%$ of children exposed to pertussis who have received at least 3 doses of DTP vaccine will be protected. Because, under the current ACIP vaccination schedule, children do not receive 3 doses of vaccine until 6 months of age, not all cases of pertussis in this age group are preventable. However, children who have received 1 or 2 doses of vaccine, if infected, tend to have milder illnesses than unvaccinated children of similar age. Furthermore, the risk of infection in the <6-month age group may also be reduced indirectly by high levels of vaccination in older children, and the resultant decreased transmission of disease.

As with most surveillance systems, underreporting is a problem. The supplementary system reported only $20 \%$ as many cases as were reported to the MMWR in 1979-1981. A disproportionate number of hospitalized, laboratory-confirmed, and classical cases may have been reported. Furthermore, a history of hospitalization may not indicate the same degree of disease severity for different age groups. Nevertheless, the data are useful for estimating the risks of disease and the benefits of vaccine usage.

TABLE 1. Pertussis cases with hospitalizations and other complications, by selected age groups and vaccination status, 1979-81, United States

| Age groups | Vaccination status by number of doses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |  | 1 or 2 |  | $\geqslant 3$ |  | Total* |  |
| Pneumonia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-5 mo | 104/272 ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $38^{\text {§ }}$ | 33/127 | 26 | 0 | - | 137/399 | 34 |
| 6-11 mo | 8/44 | 18 | 11/39 | 28 | 1/18 | 6 | 20/101 | 20 |
| 1-4 yrs | 17/50 | 34 | 12/36 | 33 | 5/41 | 12 | 34/127 | 27 |
| Seizures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-5 mo | 21/304 | 7 | 8/173 | 5 | 0/1 | 0 | 29/478 | 6 |
| 6-11 mo | 5/59 | 8 | 3/56 | 5 | 0/26 | 0 | 8/141 | 6 |
| 1-4 yrs | 1/68 | 1 | 2/51 | 4 | 1/94 | 1 | 4/213 | 2 |
| Hospitalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-5 mo | 297/352 | 84 | 141/193 | 73 | 1/1 | 100 | 439/546 | 80 |
| 6-11 mo | 46/67 | 69 | 36/60 | 60 | 12/29 | 41 | 94/156 | 60 |
| 1-4 yrs | 36/78 | 46 | 28/58 | 48 | 19/99 | 19 | 83/235 | 35 |
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Two recent studies provide estimates of risks associated with DTP vaccination. Of 15,752 recipients of DTP vaccine, $64 \%$ reported local reactions, and $50 \%$ reported minor systemic reactions within 48 hours (4). The more serious reactions, such as convulsions noted in 9 children and hypotonic hyporesponsive episodes noted in 9 children, each occurred at a frequency of $1 / 1,750$ doses. Seventeen of the 18 children who had such reactions were examined by a physician shortly after the episode; all were found to be normal.

In Great Britain, 1,000 cases of neurologic illness were investigated by means of a casecontrol study to detect the occurrence of severe neurologic reactions following DTP vaccination (5). Neurologic illness attributable to DTP vaccination was estimated to occur at a frequency of $1 / 110,000$ doses of DTP, and permanent neurologic residua to occur at a frequency of $1 / 310,000$ doses.

In 1980, $95 \%$ of children in the United States had completed a primary series of vaccination by the time they entered school. Because of high levels of vaccine acceptance in the United States, the current risk of pertussis is low. However, the agent, Bordetella pertussis, continues to cause disease. Recent experience in Japan and Great Britain has demonstrated that a decline in vaccination level in a previously highly vaccinated population may result in resurgence of disease $(6,7)$. In addition to the data presented, formal cost-benefit analysis of pertussis and DTP vaccine also indicates that the benefits of vaccine continue to outweigh the risks $(8)$. Both the ACIP and the AAP continue to recommend routine use of DTP vaccine.
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## Medical Examiner Summer Mortality Surveillance - United States, 1979-1981

Sustained increases in summer temperature and humidity (heat waves) have been associated with extensive morbidity and mortality in the United States (1-4). Identification of high-risk groups and effective prevention measures for certain heat-related illnesses have allowed development of prevention programs (2,5-13). A pilot study designed to explore the utility and feasibility of using summer mortality statistics from medical examiners as a basis for indirect surveillance of heat-related illness was initiated in June 1981. The medical examiners of 16 major metropolitan areas, the National Climatic Center, and the National Weather Service provided CDC with the number of deaths each day and meteorologic measurements for the period June 1 - August 31, 1979-1981.

## Summer Mortality Surveillance - Continued

Average July temperatures were within 4F (2.2C) of normal at all surveillance sites in 1979 and 1981, but were as high as 8 F (4.4C) above nórmal in 1980 at several surveillance sites due to a severe and widespread heat wave in July 1980. Deaths reported by medical examiners rose in mid-July 1980 in areas in which other health effects related to heat were severe (Figure 2). The proportionate increase in deaths in July 1980 over those reported in July 1979 correlated poorly with the average maximum temperature for July 1980, even after adjustment for the effect of humidity, but correlated well with the upward departure from the normal July temperature for each surveillance site.

The striking increase in deaths recorded by medical examiners and noted in several cities in association with the onset of unusually high temperatures suggests that these mortality data are useful in the prompt detection of outbreaks of heat-related illness. Further work is required to quantify the specificity and sensitivity of this method. Data collection will continue during the summer of 1982.

Reported by LE Norton, MD, Office of the Jefferson County Medical Examiner, W Birch, DVM, State Epidemiologist, Alabama State Dept of Health; HH Karnitschnig, MD, Office of the Maricopa County Medical Examiner, JJ Sacks, MD, Acting State Epidemiologist, Arizona State Dept of Health Svcs; TT Noguchi, MD, Office of the Medical Examiner, Los Angeles, J Chin, MD, State Epidemiologist, California Dept of Health Svcs; RR Stivers, MD, Office of the Fulton County Medical Examiner, RK Sikes, DVM, State Epidemiologist, Georgia Dept of Human Resources; P Lipkovic, MD, Office of the Medical Examiner, Jacksonville, RA Gunn, MD, State Epidemiologist, Florida State Dept of Health; F Finger, National Weather Service, Camp Springs, Maryland; WU Spitz, MD, Office of the Medical Examiner, Detroit, NS Hayner, MD, State Epidemiologist, Michigan State Dept of Health; B Peterson, MD, Office of the Jackson County

FIGURE 2. Cumulative deaths reported by medical examiners, Memphis, Tennessee, June-August 1979-1981


## Summer Mortality Surveillance - Continued

Mediced Examiner, GE Gantner, MD, ME Case, MD, Office of the Medical Examiner, St. Louis, HD Donnell Jr, MD, State Epidemiologist, Missouri State Dept of Social Svcs; GS Green, MD, Office of the Clark County Coroner, WE Edwards, MD, State Epidemiologist, Nevada State Dept of Human Resources; E Gross, MD, Office of the Medical Examiner, D Sencer, MD, New York City Dept of Health, R Rothenberg, MD, State Epidemiologist, New York State Dept of Public Health; ML Nicodemus, National Climatic Center, Asheville, HR Wood, MD, Office of the Mecklenburg County Medical Examiner, MP Hines, DVM, North Carolina State Dept of Human Resources; FB Jordan, MD, Office of the Medical Examiner, Oklahoma City, MA Roberts, PhD, State Epidemiologist, Oklahoma State Dept of Health; LV Lewman, MD, Office of the Multnomah County Medical Examiner, JA Googins, MD, State Epidemiologist, Oregon State Dept of Human Resources; RL Catherman, MD, Office of the Medical Examiner, Philadelphia, EJ Witte, VMD, State Epidemiologist, Pennsylvania State Dept of Health; JT Francisco, MD, Office of the Medical Examiner, Memphis, RH Hutcheson Jr, MD, State Epidemiologist, Tennessee State Dept of Public Health; CS Petty, MD, Dallas County Institute of Forensic Science, CR Webb Jr, MD, State Epidemiologist, Texas State Dept of Health; Special Studies Br, Chronic Disease Div, Center for Environmental Health, CDC.
Editorial Note: Large increases in numbers of deaths from stroke and ischemic heart disease as well as deaths from more obviously heat-related illness (e.g., heatstroke) have been reported in association with heat waves (14). Deaths caused by these conditions are often sudden, unlikely to be witnessed by a physician, and therefore likely to be investigated by a medical examiner. A population-based study of heat-related morbidity and mortality in $\mathbf{2}$ midwestern
(Continued on page 343)

TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States

| DISEASE | 25th WEEK ENDING |  |  | CUMULATIVE, FIRST 25 WEEKS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 26 \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | June 27 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIAN } \\ & \text { 1977-1981 } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { June } 26$ $1882$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 27 \\ & 1981 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIAN } \\ & 1977.1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| Aseptic meningitis | 146 | 139 | 116 | 2.026 | 1.904 | 1.413 |
| Brucellosis | 1 | 3 | 5 | 67 | 69 | 82 |
| Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne \& unspec.) | 26 | 19 | 19 | 375 | 358 | 308 |
| Post-infectious | 2 | 3 | 4 | 38 | 47 | 102 |
| Gonorrhea: Civilian | 17. 798 | 19.358 | 20.192 | 430,049 | 468.185 | 452,235 |
| Military | 251 | 670 | 499 | 12,434 | 13.950 | 12.844 |
| Hepatitis: Type A | 354 | 431 | 578 | 10.394 | 12.293 | 13.734 |
| Type B | 464 | 418 | 319 | 9.814 | 9.572 | 7.888 |
| Non A, Non B | 43 | N | N | 1.027 | 5. ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | + N |
| Lemionellosis Unspecified | 172 | 179 | 179 | 4.327 | 5.265 | 4.797 |
| Legionellosis | 5 | N | N | 184 | N | $N$ |
| Leprosy | 1 | 1 | 2 | 86 | 103 | 81 |
| Malaria | 12 | 43 | 26 | 408 | 640 | 288 |
| Measles (rubeola) | 49 | 15 | 464 | 880 | 2.218 | 11.252 |
| Meningococcal infections: Total | 36 | 48 | 48 | 1.671 | 2.078 | 1,564 |
| Civilian Military | 35 | 48 | 48 | 1.664 | 2.070 | 1.548 |
| Mumps Minitary | 112 | 55 | 301 | 3.739 | 2,668 | 9.759 |
| Pertussis | 25 | 24 | 26 | 5 | 496 | 529 |
| Rubella (German measles) | 44 | 33 | 362 | 1.614 | 1.442 | 9.574 |
| Syphilis (Primary \& Secondary): Civilian Military | 668 8 | 620 5 | 488 5 | 15.609 194 | 14.384 181 | 11.574 146 |
| Tuberculosis | 488 | 506 | 655 | 12.247 | 12.646 | 13,162 |
| Tularemia | 13 | 10 | 6 | . 75 | -89 | -3, 70 |
| Typhoid fever | 6 | 7 | 8 | 178 | 226 | 210 |
| Typhus fever, tick-bome (RMSF) | 44 | 54 | 50 | 334 | 449 | 325 |
| Rabies, animal | 153 | 197 | 93 | 2,995 | 3.713 | 2,289 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | CUM. 1982 |  | CUM. 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Poliomyelitis: Total |  |
| Botulism (Calif. 3) | 37 | Paralytic | 2 |
| Cholera | - | Psittacosis (Tenn. 1) | 53 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | 5 | Rabies, human | 53 |
| Diphtheria | $\bar{\square}$ |  | 35 |
| Leptospirosis Plague | 29 | Trichinosis(Md. 1) | 35 54 |
| Plague | 4 | Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine)'( (ex. 2) | 14 |

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending June 26, 1982 and June 27, 1981 (25th week)

| REPORTING AREA | ASEPTIC MENINGITIS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRUCEL- } \\ & \text { LOSIS } \end{aligned}$ | ENCEPHALITIS |  | GONORRHEA (Civilian) |  | HEPATITIS (Viral), by type |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEGIONEL. } \\ & \text { LOSIS } \end{aligned}$ | LEPROSY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Primary | Post-infectious |  |  | A | B | NA,NB | Unspecified |  |  |
|  | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | CUM. | CuM. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | 1982 | 1982 | 1982 | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 146 | 67 | 375 | 38 | 430,049 | 468.185 | 354 | 464 | 43 | 172 | 5 | 86 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 6 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 10.454 | 11.501 | 12 | 27 | 3 | 22 | - | 1 |
| Maine | 3 | - | - | - | 490 | 568 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| N.H. | - | - | - | - | 310 | 392 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Vt. | - | - | - | - | 212 | 200 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 1 | - | 5 | - | 4,800 | 4.771 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 16 | - | - |
| R.I. | 2 | - | - | - | 722 | 602 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Conn. |  | 3 | 10 | 4 | 3,920 | 4.968 | 1 | 14 | - | 5 | - | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 11 | - | 50 | 9 | 54.555 | 53.758 | 21 | 75 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 4 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 3 | - | 19 | 3 | 8,806 | 9.076 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| N.Y. City | 2 | - | 10 | - | 23,078 | 21.554 | 11 | 26 | - | 3 | - | 1 |
| N.J. | 5 | - | 10 | - | 9,794 | 10.562 | 8 | 36 | - | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| Pa. | 1 | - | 11 | 6 | 12.877 | 12.566 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 13 | - | 76 | 7 | 58,034 | 73,309 | 54 | 56 | - | 20 | 3 | 3 |
| Ohio | 3 | - | 23 | 4 | 17.803 | 25,135 | 7 | 18 | - | 6 | - | - |
| Ind. | 6 | - | 15 | 2 | 7,477 | 6.513 | 13 | 6 | - | 3 | - | - |
| III. | - | - | 6 | 1 | 11.924 | 20,412 | 4 | 1 | - | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Mich. | 3 | - | 30 | - | 15.011 | 14.996 | 28 | 29 | - | 7 | 2 | - |
| Wis. | 1 | - | 2 | - | 5,819 | 6,253 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 6 | 7 | 23 | 3 | 21,158 | 22,189 | 28 | 14 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 |
| Minn. | - | - | 5 | 1 | 3,187 | 3.495 | 19 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| lowa | 3 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 2.268 | 2.405 | - | 5 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Mo. | 3 | 2 | 4 | - | 9.807 | 10.094 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 285 | 314 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | - | 1 | - | 1 | 591 | 635 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | - | - | 2 | - | 1.306 | 1.737 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Kans. | - | 3 | 2 | - | 3,714 | 3.509 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 23 | 15 | 56 | 6 | 103.890 | 115,015 | 48 | 96 | 14 | 23 | - | 5 |
| Del. | - |  | - | - | 1,756 | 1,705 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| Md. | 2 | - | 13 | - | 14.588 | 12.406 | 4 | 19 | 4 | 2 | - | 2 |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | 6.195 | 7.150 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Va . | - | 6 | 14 | 1 | 10.029 | 10.522 | 2 | 12 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| W. Va. | - | - | - | - | 1,308 | 1.723 | 4 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 4 | - | 4 | 1 | 18,444 | 17.796 | 2 | 4 | - | 6 | - | - |
| S. C . | 1 | 2 | - |  | 11,083 | 10.727 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Ga | - | 1 | - | - | 9.483 | 23.779 | 4 | 18 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Fla. | 16 | 6 | 25 | 4. | 31,004 | 29.207 | 31 | 29 | 9 | 13 | - | 2 |
| ES. CENTRAL | 9 | 7 | 20 | 2 | 37.528 | 38,508 | 21 | 21 | 1 | 4 | - | - |
| ly. | - | - | - | - | 5,084 | 4,948 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | 1 | 4 | 11 | - | 14.581 | 14.580 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| Ma | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 11.136 | 11.763 | 2 | 8 | - | 2 | - | - |
| tiss. | - | 1 | 3 | - | 6.727 | 7.217 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| IS. CENTRAL | 36 | 19 | 44 | 1 | 62,247 | 61,623 | 62 | 45 | - | 43 | - | 10 |
| M. | - | 4 | 1 |  | 5.233 | 4.269 | - | 3 | - | 4 | - | . |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | - | 11.524 | 9.756 | 7 | 6 | - | 2 | - | - |
| 0 as. | 7 | 3 | 13 | - | 6.692 | 6,631 | 10 | 11 | - | 1 | - | $\square$ |
| b. | 28 | 10 | 24 | 1 | 38,798 | 40.967 | 45 | 25 | - | 36 | - | 10 |
| OUNTAIN | 6 | - | 17 | 3 | 15.597 | 18.375 | 34 | 19 | 6 | 10 | - | 2 |
| 4 nt. | - | - | - | - | 636 | 639 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Who | - | - | - | - | 738 | 780 | - | 1 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | 1 |
| 40. | - | - | - | - | 444 | 413 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| 40. | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | 4.092 | 4.909 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 3 | - | - |
| $M_{\text {Mex }}$. | - | - | - | - | 1,945 | 2,024 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 3 | - | - |
| Mig. | - | - | 6 | - | 4.325 | 5,637 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| Wh | 4 | - | - | 2 | 710 | 863 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| 4. | - | - | 4 |  | 2.707 | 3,110 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mcific | 36 | 16 | 74 | 3 | 66.586 | 73,907 | 74 | 111 | 16 | 36 | 1 | 60 |
| tah. | - | 16 | 7 | 3 | 5,445 | 6.124 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
| $\log$ | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3,702 | 4.647 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 1 | - | 34 |
| tif. | 21 | 15 | 62 | 3 | 54.615 | 59,883 | 57 | 86 | 14 | 30 | - | 34 |
| laska | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1.656 | 1.839 | - | 5 |  | - | - | 1 |
| Hwaii | 14 | - | 1 | - | 1.168 | 1.414 | 4 | 4 | - | 2 | - | 19 |
| Guam | U | - | - | - | 42 | 64 | $u$ | U | U | U | U | - |
| IR. | U | - | 1 | - | 1,295 | 1.599 | $u$ | U | U | U | U | - |
| 1.1. | - | - |  | - | 93 | 82 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| hc. Trust Terr. | U | - | - | - | 36 | 211 | $u$ | $U$ | $\checkmark$ | $u$ | U | 1 |

TABLE If (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending June 26, 1982 and June 27, 1981 (25th week)

| REPORTING AREA | MALARIA |  | MEASLES (RUBEOLA) |  |  | MENINGOCOCCAL INFECTIONS (Total) |  | MUMPS |  | PERTUSSIS | RUBELLA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1382 | cum. | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cum. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM, } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 12 | 408 | 49 | 880 | 2.218 | 36 | 1.671 | 112 | 3.739 | 25 | 44 | 1,614 | 1.442 |
| NEW ENGLAND | - | 22 | - | 9 | 72 | 3 | 90 | 5 | 148 | - | - | 14 | 104 |
| Maine | - | - |  | - | 5 | - | 4 | 1 | 33 | - | - | - | 33 |
| N.H. | - | - | - | 2 | 6 | 1 | 13 | - | 12 | - | - | 8 | 42 |
| Vt. | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | $\bar{\square}$ | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | - | 17 | - | 2 | 51 | - | 22 | 2 | 72 | - | - | 3 | 18 |
| R.I. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 11 | 1 | 13 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Conn. | - | 4 | - | 3 | 8 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 13 | - | - | 2 | 11 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 1 | 55 | 11 | 141 | 733 | 6 | 301 | 9 | 234 | 6 | 2 | 78 | 173 |
| Upstate N.Y. | - | 14 | 6 | 99 | 193 | 1 | 97 | 3 | 45 | 3 | 1 | 38 | 71 |
| N.Y. City | 1 | 18 | 5 | 34 | 52 | 3 | 53 | 3 | 38 | 2 | - | 26 | 46 |
| N.J. | - | 16 | - | 4 | 50 | 1 | 63 | 2 | 35 | - | 1 | 14 | 46 |
| Pa. | - | 7 | - | 4 | 438 | 1 | 88 | 1 | 116 | 1 | - | - | 10 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 4 | 29 | 4 | 54 | 12 | 4 | 199 | 44 | 2.058 | 3 | 2 | 143 | 305 |
| Ohio | - | 7 | - | 1 | 15 | - | 77 | 21 | 1,520 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Ind. | - | 1 | - | 2 | 8 | 1 | 20 | - | 33 | - | 2 | 26 | 105 |
| III. | - | 3 | - | 16 | 21 | 2 | 51 | 9 | 154 | 1 | - | 55 | 71 |
| Mich. | 4 | 16 | 4 | 35 | 27 | 1 | 40 | 8 | 275 | - | - | 42 | 31 |
| Wis. | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 11 | - | 76 | - | - | 20 | 98 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 1 | 13 | 3 | 38 | 7 | 1 | 70 | 23 | 495 | 1 | 1 | 57 | 73 |
| Minn. | 1 | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | 14 | 16 | 373 | - | 1 | 7 | 7 |
| lowa | - | 5 | - | - | 1 | - | 5 | - | 29 | - | $-$ | - | 4 |
| Mo. | - | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 21 | 1 | 14 | - | - | 38 | 2 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Nebr. | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Kans. | - | 1 | 3 | 36 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 78 | 1 | - | 11 | 59 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 1 | 59 | - | 33 | 319 | 3 | 333 | 6 | 211 | 7 | 1 | 61 | 117 |
| Del. | - | $\overline{7}$ | - | - | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 10 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Md. | - | 7 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 21 | - | 21 | - | - | 31 | 1 |
| D.C. | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Va. | - | 22 | - | 14 | 6 | - | 36 | - | 30 | - | 1 | 11 | 3 |
| W. Va. | - | 3 | - | 2 | 8 | - | 7 | - | 80 | - | - | 1 | 22 |
| N.C. | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 67 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |
| S.C. | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 39 | 1 | 12 | 2 | - | 1 | 8 |
| Ga. | 1 | 9 | - | - | 101 | - | 69 | - | 10 | - | - | 5 | 31 |
| Fla. | - | 12 | - | 14 | 199 | 1 | 92 | 1 | 39 | 3 | - | 10 | 47 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | - | 5 | - | 7 | 2 | 3 | 115 | 1 | 30 | - | - | 37 | 23 |
| Ky. | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | 19 | - | 9 | - | - | 21 | 14 |
| Tenn. | - | - | - | 5 | - | 2 | 46 | - | 11 | - | - | - | 8 |
| Ala. | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 43 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Miss. | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | 1 | 5 | - | - | 16 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | - | 31 | 2 | 14 | 706 | 5 | 199 | 1 | 137 | 3 | 7 | 90 | 115 |
| Ark. | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 12 | - | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| La. | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 34 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Okla. | - | 3 | - | - | 5 | 1 | 17 | - | - | - | - | 3 | $-$ |
| Tex. | - | 22 | - | 12 | 700 | 4 | 136 | 1 | 128 | 2 | 5 | 85 | 104 |
| MOUNTAIN | 1 | 10 | - | 5 | 31 | 1 | 83 | 3 | 55 | 5 | - | 50 | 68 |
| Mont. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 | 3 |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 6 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | 5 | - | 2 | - | - | 5 | 1 |
| Colo. | I | 6 | - | 5 | 8 | 1 | 32 | - | 8 | - | - | 4 | 29 |
| N. Mex. | - | 2 | - | - | 8 | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 |
| Ariz. | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | - | 14 | 1 | 24 | 4 | - | 7 | 17 |
| Utah | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | 11 | - | - | 16 | 3 |
| Nev. | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | 3 | 2 | 4 | - | - | 9 | 7 |
| PACIFIC | 4 | 184 | 29 | 579 | 276 | 10 | 281 | 20 | 371 | - | 31 | 1.084 | 464 |
| Wash. | 1 | 11 | - | 25 | 1 | 5 | 29 | - | 58 | - | 2 | 32 | 54 |
| Oreg. | - | 5 | - | - | 3 | 5 | 60 | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 48 |
| Calif. | 3 | 166 | 29 | 550 | 270 | 5 | 180 | 19 | 300 | - | 28 | 1.040 | 357 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | 1 |  | - | 9 | $\cdots$ | 6 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Hawaii | - | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 3 | 1 | 7 | - | - | 7 | 5 |
| Guam | U | 1 | U | 3 | 6 | U | 1 | $u$ | 11 | U | U | 1 | 1 |
| P.R. | U | 4 | U | 63 | 195 | U | 5 | リ | 39 | U | U | 4 | 3 |
| V.I. | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | 7 | - | - | U | - | u | U | - | 1 |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | U | - | U | - | 1 | U | - | U | - | U | U | - | 1 |

U: Unavailable

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending June 26, 1982 and June 27, 1981 (25th week)

| REPORTING AREA | SYPHILIS (Civilian) (Primary \& Secondary) |  | TUBERCULOSIS |  | TULA. REMIA | TYPHOID FEVER |  | TYPHUS FEVER (Tick-borne) (RMSF) |  | RABIES, Animal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 15.609 | 14.384 | 488 | 12,247 | 75 | 6 | 178 | 44 | 334 | 2,995 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 262 | 311 | 17 | 328 | - | - | 11 | - | 3 | 21 |
| Maine | 1 | 2 | 1 | 25 | - | - | - | - | - | 19 |
| N.H. | 1 | 12 | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Vt. | 1 | 13 | - | 7 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 184 | 207 | 11 | 219 | - | - | 8 | - | 1 | - |
| R.I. | 12 | 18 | 3 | 14 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Conn. | 63 | 59 | 2 | 53 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,142 | 2,164 | 73 | 1.999 | 6 | 1 | 30 | 2 | 8 | 71 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 229 | 206 | 9 | 338 | 6 | - | 3 | - | - | 38 |
| N.Y. City | 1.280 | 1.301 | 33 | 148 | - | - | 19 | - | - | - |
| N.J. | 279 | 283 | 7 | 402 | - | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| Pa. | 354 | 368 | 24 | 511 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 32 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 775 | 1.C17 | 55 | 1,843 | - | - | 14 | 3 | 31 | 344 |
| Ohio | 145 | 128 | 5 | 300 | - | - | 6 | 3 | 30 | 53 |
| Ind. | 102 | 103 | 9 | 241 | - | - | - | - | - | 52 |
| III. | 343 | 571 | 22 | 727 | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | 167 |
| Mich. | 132 | 168 | 18 | 470 | - | - | 5 | - | - | 2 |
| Wis. | 53 | 47 | 1 | 105 | - | - | - | - | - | 70 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 302 | 286 | 22 | 378 | 10 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 12 | 655 |
| Minn. | 56 | 101 | 3 | 66 | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | 105 |
| lowa | 17 | 13 | 2 | 47 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 208 |
| Mo. | 183 | 147 | 6 | 170 | 6 | - | 1 | 3 | 5 | 65 |
| N. Dak. | 4 | 6 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 59 |
| S. Dak. | - | 2 | 2 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | 47 |
| Nebr. | 8 | 3 | - | 15 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 78 |
| Kans. | 34 | 14 | 9 | 57 | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 5 | 93 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 4.307 | 3,750 | 116 | 2.538 | 7 | - | 27 | 23 | 190 | 492 |
| Del. | 8 | 7 | - | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Md. | 241 | 293 | 9 | 302 | 1 | - | 6 | 5 | 25 | 27 |
| D.C. | 257 | 311 | 6 | 103 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Va . | 314 | 347 | 24 | 305 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 20 | 249 |
| W. Va. | 16 | 9 | , | 69 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 4 | 24 |
| N.C. | 291 | 298 | 20 | 408 | - | - | - | 5 | 17 | 30 |
| S.C. | 227 | 252 | - | 233 | 4 | - | 3 | 7 | 47 | 25 |
| Ga. | 882 | 967 | 22 | 366 | - | - | - | 3 | 16 | 103 |
| Fla. | 2.071 | 1. 266 | 35 | 730 | 1 | - | 13 | - | 1 | 34 |
|  | 1.115 | 926 | 54 | 1,141 | 6 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 19 | 374 |
| Ky. | 61 | 48 | 11 | 292 | - | - | - | - | - | 17 |
| Tenn. | 299 | 363 | 8 | 376 | 4 | - | 2 | 3 | 11 | 241 |
| Ala. | 402 | 251 | 21 | 325 | - | - | 9 | - | 4 | 56 |
| Miss. | 353 | 264 | 14 | 148 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 4.025 | 3.472 | 56 | 1.452 | 34 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 64 | 609 |
| Ark. | 106 | 67 | 10 | 146 | 20 | - | 1 | - | 11 | 82 |
| La. | 884 | 796 |  | 240 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 16 |
| Okla. | 83 | 85 | 3 | 209 | 11 | - | 2 | 1 | 31 | 115 |
| Tex. | 2.952 | 2. 524 | 43 | 857 | - | 2 | 12 | 1 | 22 | 396 |
| MOUNTAIN | 401 | 360 | 10 | 350 | 8 | - | 6 | 1 | 6 | 106 |
| Mont. | 3 | 9 | - | 25 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 40 |
| Idaho | 19 | 14 | - | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Wyo. | 10 | 7 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 10 |
| Colo. | 109 | 106 | 1 | 46 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 14 |
| N. Mex. | 89 | 71 | 5 | 66 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 10 |
| Ariz. | 92 | 80 | 4 | 144 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 26 |
| Utah | 12 | 14 |  | 17 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 3 |
| Nov. | 67 | 59 | - | 36 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| PACIFIC | 2.280 | 2,098 | 85 | 2.218 | 4 | - | 53 | - | 1 | 323 |
| Wash. | $69$ | 68 | 3 | 134 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Oreg. | 60 | 43 | 6 | 88 |  | - | 1 | - | - | 254 |
| Calif. | 2.079 | 1,943 | 72 | 1,800 | 3 | - | 48 | - | 1 | 254 |
| Alaska | 8 | . 6 | 12 | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | 69 |
| Hawaii | 64 | 38 | 4 | 164 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Guam | 1 | - | $u$ | 3 | - | U | - | U | - | - |
| P.R. | 273 | 327 | $u$ | 157 | - | U | 1 | U | - | 24 |
| V.I. | 7 | 9 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | - | - | $u$ | 19 | - | U | - | $u$ | - | - |

U: Unavailable

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending
June 26, 1982 (25th week)

|  | ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) |  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \text { P \& I I** } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | REPORTING AREA | ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\& I** } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ES | $\geq 65$ | 45.64 | 25-44 | 1.24 | <1 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { AGES } \end{gathered}$ | $\geq 65$ | 45.64 | 25-44 | 1.24 | <1 |  |
| 93 | 473 | 155 | 31 | 14 | 20 | 62 | S. ATLANTIC | 1. 100 | 657 | 273 | $\varepsilon 4$ | 36 | 50 | 42 |
| 14 | 128 | 57 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 22 | Atlanta, Ga. | 143 | 79 | 35 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 5 |
| 42 | 32 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Baltimore, Md. | 201 | 118 | 45 | 20 | 11 | 3 | 4 |
| 24 | 18 | $t$ | - | - | - | 1 | Charlotte, N.C. | 53 | 25 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| d | 24 | 7 | - | - | - | 3 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 118 | 80 | 25 | s | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| 71 | 51 | 15 | 4 | 1 | - | 6 | Miami, Fla. | 89 | 47 | 26 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| 27 | 21 | 5 | 1 | - | - | 2 | Norfolk, Va. | 44 | 28 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| 10 | 11 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | Richmond, Va. | 55 | 33 | 15 | - | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 30 | 23 | $t$ | 1 | - | - | 2 | Savannah, Ga. | 22 | 16 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 5 |
| 44 | 24 | 14 | 3 | - | 3 | - | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 80 | 72 | 7 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 6 | 49 | 11 | 3 | - | 4 | 6 | Tampa, Fla. | 15 | 48 | 17 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 8 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | Washington, D.C. | 177 | 84 | 58 | 13 | 8 | 14 | 6 |
| 40 | 25 | 8 | 1 | - | 2 | 6 | Wilmington, Del. | 43 | 27 | $\varepsilon$ | 7 | - | 1 | 2 |
| 25 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | 40 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E.S. CENTRAL | 639 | 393 | $1 \in 1$ | 47 | 14 | 24 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala. | 114 | 65 | 28 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 1 |
| 20 | 1.599 | $55 t$ | 170 | 58 | 37 | 91 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 51 | 32 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 41 | 27 | $\varepsilon$ | 3 | - | 3 | - | Knoxville, Tenn. | 39 | 27 | 5 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| 1 | 16 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | Louisville, Ky. | 111 | 65 | 30 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 6 |
| 0 | 66 | 27 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 8 | Memphis, Tenn. | 112 | 17 | 23 | 10 | - | 2 | 10 |
| 3 | 22 | 7 | 4 | - | - | 3 | Mobile, Ala. | 49 | 28 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | 20 | 10 | - | - | - | - | Montgomery, Ala. | 51 | 39 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 36 | 25 | $\varepsilon$ | 3 | - | - | 3 | Nashville, Tenn. | $10 t$ | 60 | 36 | 8 | 2 | - | 1 |
| 4 | 36 | 11 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 834 | 310 | $1 C 7$ | 32 | 16 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 28 | 28 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 5 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1. 226 | 764 | 315 | S1 | 69 | 46 | 32 |
| 2 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 3 | - | - | Austin, Tex. | 40 | 24 | 5 | 5 | - | 2 | 1 |
| 9 | 195 | 65 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 12 | Baton Rouge, La. | 54 | 41 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - |
| 16 | 55 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 23 | 17 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - |
| 26 | 18 | 7 | 1 | - | - | 2 | Dallas, Tex. | 179 | 94 | 5 C | 13 | 14 | 8 | - |
| 0 | 84 | 18 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | El Paso, Tex. | 61 | 38 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| 18 | 13 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | Fort Worth, Tex. | 84 | 43 | 27 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 6 |
| 21 | 17 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | Houston, Tex. | 288 | 142 | 83 | 32 | 18 | 13 | 4 |
| 81 | 57 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 2 | - | Little Rock, Ark. | 50 | 30 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| 34 | 28 | 5 | 1 | - | - | 1 | New Orleans, La. | 150 | 59 | 33 | 10 | 5 | 3 | - |
| 28 | 21 | 7 | - | - | - | 2 | San Antonio, Tex. | 139 | 14 | 41 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 7 |
| 27 | 22 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | Shreveport, La. | 53 | 34 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tulsa, Okla. | 97 | 68 | 2 C | 3 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| 40 | 1,408 | 537 | 156 | 57 | 82 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 45 | 9 | - | 2 | 3 | - | MOUNTAIN | 636 | 407 | 146 | 42 | 22 | 25 | 21 |
| 31 | 15 | 1 C | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | Albuquerque, N. Mex. | 45 | 32 | 12 | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| 2 | 304 | 132 | 5 C | 18 | 24 | 14 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 38 | 20 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 6 | 102 | 45 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 6 | Denver, Colo. | 133 | 85 | 26 | 13 | 1 | 8 | 9 |
| 8 | 116 | 51 | 15 | 4 | 3 | - | Las Vegas, Nev. | 92 | 49 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 86 | 31 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 8 | Ogden, Utah | 21 | 16 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 9 | 53 | 33 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 144 | 94 | 36 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 3 |
| 3 | 135 | 59 | 20 | 5 | 11 | 3 | Pueblo, Colo. | 26 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| 6 | 45 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 2 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 44 | 26 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 2 | - |
| 5 | 38 | 1 C | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Tucson, Ariz. | 88 | 66 | 17 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 16 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | 33 | 1 C | 1 | - | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | 106 | 35 | 1 C | 7 | 1 | 1 | PACIFIC | 1.791 | 1,2C5 | 357 | 123 | 63 | 43 | 94 |
| 3 | 28 | 4 | 1 | - | 6 | 2 | Berkeley, Calif. | 31 | 22 | 5 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 |
| 31 | 92 | 29 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | Fresno, Calif. | 52 | 35 | 12 | 4 | 1 | - | 5 |
| 4 | 29 | 7 | 2 | - | 5 | 2 | Glendale, Calif. | 20 | 18 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| 4 | 29 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 64 | 38 | 15 | 9 | 2 | - | 8 |
| 4 | 29 | 14 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | Long Beach, Calif. | 96 | 68 | 26 | 1 | 1 | - | 5 |
| 9 | 66 | 22 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 481 | 325 | 56 | 32 | 14 | 10 | 14 |
| 54 | 41 | 1 C | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | Oakland, Calif. | 17 | 54 | 15 | 4 | - | 4 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pasadena, Calif. | 28 | 19 | 5 | 2 | - | 2 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Portland, Oreg. | 127 | 100 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| 12 | 475 | 147 | 25 | 27 | 33 | 26 | Sacramento, Calif. | 94 | 54 | 20 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 10 |
| 5 | 52 | - | - | 1 | - | - | San Diego, Calif. | 147 | 93 | 36 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 15 |
| 3 | 27 | 8 | - | - | 1 | 1 | San Francisco, Calif. | 166 | 112 | 3 C | 13 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| 3 | 19 | 10 | 1 | 3 | - | - | San Jose, Calif. | 174 | 115 | 39 | s | 7 | 4 | 16 |
| 2 | 84 | 24 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 9 | Seattle, Wash. | 138 | 89 | 24 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 4 |
| 21 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Spokane, Wash. | 56 | 37 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 8 | 48 | 25 | 4 | 3 | 4 | - | Tacoma, Wash. | 38 | 26 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 8: | 55 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 85 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 17 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | 49 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | TOTAL | 11,457 | 7. 321 | 2,641 | 773 | 360 | 360 | 453 |
| $\epsilon$ | 41 | 17 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Summer Mortality Surveillance - Continued

cities in 1980 showed that numbers of cases reported to medical examiners increased to a proportionately greater extent than did other indirect measures of impact on community health, e.g., total mortality, emergency room visits, and hospital admissions (2).

Surveillance of mortality data from medical examiners is simple, timely, and relatively inexpensive. Information that reflects the health of an entire city is rapidly available from a single source. Even the time required for post-mortem diagnosis does not delay collection of data.

Groups at high risk of having heatstroke are the elderly, infants $<1$ year of age, military recruits, persons exposed to high temperatures in the work place, the chronically ill or bedfast, the mentally ill, those taking antipsychotic or anticholinergic drugs, and alcoholics (2,5-7,9-12). Low socioeconomic status and residence in an urban area have also been associated with high risk of heatstroke $(2,9)$. Studies of race and sex as predisposing factors for heatstroke have yielded inconsistent results $(4,8,9)$. Deaths from heatstroke reflect $10 \%-50 \%$ of the increase in mortality associated with unusually high temperatures (2,14). Less is known about the risk factors for deaths not associated with heatstroke during a heat wave.

During a heat wave, prevention programs are best targeted toward persons at high risk of having heatstroke. Having home air conditioning and spending increased time in airconditoned places have been associated with decreased risk, suggesting that air-conditioned heat wave shelters are of benefit. Reducing physical activity during hot weather has also been associated with decreased risk (5).

Adequate fluid intake is important in reducing the risk of having heatstroke (5,13). AIthough adequate salt intake with meals is important, salt tablets are of doubtful benefit and should not be taken unless prescribed by a physician (13). Alcohol consumption should be reduced or eliminated during very hot weather.
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## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## A Continuing Measles Outbreak among School-Age Children Despite an Outbreak-Control Program with School Exclusion - Pennsylvania

In the 4-month period, September 9-December 27, 1981, 111 cases of measles were reported among school children in Warren County, Pennsylvania (Figure 3). Nine of these cases were confirmed by serologic tests. An additional 45 related cases were reported in the rest of the community. Health authorities were first notified 6 weeks after the first patient had rash onset; they promptly initiated school-record reviews in preparation for mass vaccination clinics and exclusion from school of noncompliant susceptible students. Of the countr's 8,315 students, $39 \%(3,210)$ were identified as lacking adequate proof of immunity.* At the

[^2]FIGURE 3. Measles cases in school children, by onset of symptoms, Warren County, Pennsylvania, September 9-December 27, 1981


[^3]time of the school exclusion order, $22 \%$ ( 728 ) of the students identified as being potentially susceptible furnished an updated record of vaccination, $73 \%(2,336)$ were vaccinated (most of them in control-program school clinics on October 28 and 29) and the other 5\% (146) were excluded from school as of October 29.

Of the 111 cases among school children, 46 occurred more than 2 weeks after the control program was implemented, even though school records for these students indicated that all 46 had been vaccinated $>2$ weeks before becoming ill. Thirteen of these children from 3 schools had been vaccinated at control-program clinics; 10 of the 13 were from the same school. At these 3 schools, the measles attack rate was significantly higher for students vaccinated in control-program clinics (13 of 267,5\%) than for students who already had records of adequate vaccination before the outbreak-control program began (19 of 990, $2 \%)(p<0.01)$. The 13 children who had been vaccinated during the control program but had measles disease during the outbreak were among 362 students of a high school and 4 elementary schools who had been vaccinated by a single jet-injector team (team A) at controlprogram clinics on October 28. No cases were reported among the 1,771 students vaccinated on that date by 4 other jet-injector teams or by several needle-and-syringe teams.

A seroprevalence survey was done in order to investigate the extent of apparent vaccination failures. Blood samples were collected from 115 of the 128 clinic vaccinees who were attended by team $A$ at the 4 elementary schools but did not become ill and from 85 of the 101 vaccinees at selected elementary schools each attended by 1 of 3 other jet-injector teams. Students vaccinated by team $A$ had a significantly higher rate of vaccination failure ${ }^{\dagger}$ than did children vaccinated by the other jet-injector teams ( $37 \%$ vs $6 \%, p<0.001$ ).

Control-program vaccination teams had all used vials from the same vaccine lot except team A, which had used some vials of vaccine of another lot as well; these particular vials from the other lot had been handled differently from other control-program vaccine. Records were not available on vaccine vial usage by team $A$ in each school.

To evaluate possible differences in vaccination technique among jet-injector teams, students participating in the serosurvey from 2 schools at which vaccinations were given by team $A$ and from 3 comparison schools were questioned about their experiences with vaccination in the control program. Students vaccinated by team A stated that they had experienced significantly less pain ( $36 \%$ vs $75 \%, p<0.001$ ) and bleeding at the site of vaccination ( $6 \%$ vs $62 \%, p<0.001$ ) than did students vaccinated by other teams.

To assess other factors (among the remaining 33 of the 46 patients) that might have been associated with students' susceptibility to measles after the control-program was implemented, a case-control study was done to examine such variables as age at vaccination and whether school records could be documented by the purported sources of vaccination. Cases were defined as measles illness occurring $>2$ weeks after the control program among the 33 students who had not been vaccinated at control-program clinics; controls were randomly chosen from classmates of ill students who also had not been vaccinated during the control program and who had not become ill. Three times as many children who contracted measles had school records of measles vaccination that could not be documented by physicians or clinics than did their well classmates. Of the students with physician-documented vaccination records, relatively more of those who contracted measles disease than well classmates had been vaccinated before 12 months of age (with school records in error) or at 12 months of age, rather than at $\geqslant 15$ months of age.
†Vaccination failures were defined as measles cases among clinic vaccinees or the absence of detectable hemagglutination-inhibition antibody in blood specimens collected from clinic vaccinees.

Thus, of the 46 students who became ill $>2$ weeks after the control-program was implemented, 13 (28\%) had been vaccinated by team A, 13 ( $28 \%$ ) did not have physiciandocumented records of vaccination, $2(5 \%)$ had been vaccinated before 12 months of age, and 11 ( $24 \%$ ) had been vaccinated at 12 months of age; no specific reason for remaining susceptible to measles could be identified for the other 7 (15\%).

The Pennsylvania Department of Health has taken the necessary steps to provide livemeasles vaccine to those students found to be seronegative in the seroprevalence survey.
Reported by C Butler, RN, L Deiter, P Spencer, RN, C Stading, P Strickland, B White, RN, R Gens, MD, Acute Infectious Disease Div, EJ Witte, VMD, State Epidemiologist, Pennsy/vania Dept of Health; Surveillance, Investigations, and Research Br, Immunization Div, Center For Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note: In school settings, the recommended measures for controlling measles outbreaks include the rapid identification and vaccination of susceptible individuals at risk and exclusion from school of students who lack adequate evidence of immunity. ${ }^{\ddagger}$

Generally, reports of new cases of measles decline markedly within 2 weeks of implementing a school exclusion order and transmission among students ends shortly thereafter (1,2). The outbreak in Pennsylvania was unusual in that transmission persisted for more than 8 weeks after control-program clinics were held and exclusion of noncompliant students was implemented.

There appeared to be at least 3 reasons for the continued transmission. First, vaccination by team A was significantly less effective than vaccination by the other teams. This lower efficacy may have been caused by administration of impotent vaccine, poor vaccine administration technique (e.g., unrecognized jet-injector malfunction), or some combination of the two. Proper handling of vaccine should not be taken for granted, and persons using vaccine should follow the manufacturer's instructions carefully. It appears unlikely that measles transmission would have continued in the county's schools for such an extended period if these operational problems had not occurred.

Second, some school records were found to be inaccurate. Ideally, vaccination records should be verified by health-care providers when students first enter school. Third, these data indicate that persons vaccinated at 12 months of age are at higher risk of contracting measles than those who are older when vaccinated. These results are consistent with those of previous studies (3). Persons vaccinated at 12 months are not routinely revaccinated because their estimated level of protection ( $80 \%-95 \%$ ) has been considered adequate.
References
1 CDC. School exclusion in two measles outbreaks - Wisconsin. MMWR 1979;28:488,493-4.
2 CDC. Multiple measles importations - New York. MMWR 1981;30:288-90.
3 CDC. Measles - Florida. MMWR 1981;29:625-8.
$\ddagger$ Generally considered a documented history of live-measles vaccine on or after the first birthday or of physician-diagnosed measles disease.

## Poliomyelitis Update - Jamaica

As of June 23, 1982, a total of 54 suspected cases of paralytic poliomyelitis, including 1 death, had been reported from Jamaica. Onset of the first case occurred in late March 1982. Type I poliovirus has been isolated in 8 cases. Forty-eight persons were from Cornwall County; of these, 44 were from St. James Parish. Eighty-three percent (42) occurred in the $<15$-year age group. Of 37 suspect cases from whom previous vaccination status could be

## Poliomyelitis - Continued

elicited, 29 had never received any polio vaccine; three had had 1 dose; two, 2 doses, and three, 3 doses. Cases have occurred predominantly among children living in crowded households with limited access to water and with substandard or absent sanitation facilities. Immunization status data suggest that $45 \%$ of Jamaican children who reached age 1 during 1981 had received a primary series of 3 doses of trivalent oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV) compared with $25 \%$ in 1980 and $20 \%$ in 1979.

Onset of illness for 35 patients was before June 1, 1982, the date when an island-wide immunization program began. In 13 parishes the program delivered 1 dose of OPV each to an estimated $70 \%-80 \%$ of children 6 weeks through 4 years of age and to $80 \%-90 \%$ of the $5-14$ year age group by June 21, 1982. In addition, an estimated $40 \%-55 \%$ of the population 15 years and older each received a dose of OPV. The second round of OPV immunization, targeted to the most susceptible age group, 6 weeks through 14 years of age, is planned to begin during the first week of July. Between June 1 and June 23 an average of 6 cases occurred each week; no cases of poliomyelitis in travelers to Jamaica have been reported.
Reported by Ministry of Health, Jamaica; Viral Diseases Div, Center for Infectious Diseases, Immunization Div, Quarantine Div, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note: The last outbreak of poliomyelitis in Jamaica occurred in 1964 and was associated with type I poliovirus. Since then no cases had been reported to the Ministry of Health.

In the present outbreak, the occurrence of cases following the immunization campaign is not unexpected because the incubation period for poliomyelitis may range up to 21 days and because of the time period required for the campaign. Prompt recognition of poliomyelitis outbreaks and intense immunization programs with OPV that have achieved high coverage in a short period of time (as in Jamaica) have been successful in markedly decreasing transmission of the wild poliovirus and in controlling poliomyelitis outbreaks (1,2).

Proof of diphtheria, measles, rubella, and poliomyelitis immunization is not required for international travel to or from any country. However, travelers to any country in which these diseases are endemic or epidemic should be protected. For travel to any country with poliomyelitis, adequate protection in unvaccinated or partially vaccinated travelers can be achieved by completion of a primary series with poliomyelitis vaccine as detailed in the ACIP recommendations published in MMWR Vol. 31, January 29, 1982. Travelers who have previously completed a primary series may wish to receive another dose of OPV or inactivated poliovirus vaccine (IPV).
References

1. Sabin AB. Poliomyelitis in the tropics. Increasing incidence and prospects for control. Trop Geogr Med 1963;15:38-44.
2. Witte JJ, Page MI, Gelfand HM. Control of type 1 poliomyelitis epidemic in British Guiana, 1962-1963, with trivalent oral poliovirus vaccine. 1. Epidemiological aspects. Bull WHO 1965;33:1-11.
[^4]
## Erratum, Vol. 31, No. 22

p301. In the article "Plague Vaccine," there is only one table. Because of its position in the issue, it is designated as Table 2. All text references in that article should be to Table 2. Thus, on page 303 in the section Primary Vaccination, under Children $\leqslant 10$ years old, the reference should be to Table 2-not Table 1 as printed.
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[^0]:    - Vaccination histories for persons $>9$ years old were thought to be less reliable and were therefore not included in this analysis.
    tChi square test for linear trend, used for all statistical analyses.

[^1]:    - Total cases with data available on vaccine status and specified complication.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Number of cases with complication/total number of cases of specified vaccination status in each age group for which complication status was known.
    $\S_{\text {Percentage with specified complication. }}$

[^2]:    -Pennsylvania State Health Department criteria for adequate proof of immunity to measles consist of either a documented history of live-measles vaccine received on or after the first birthday or of detectable measles-specific antibody on serologic testing.

[^3]:    *Those with onset $>2$ weeks after vaccination.

[^4]:    The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, circulation 108,000, is published by the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia. The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly telegraphs to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday: compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday.

    The editor welcomes accounts on interesting cases, outbreaks, environmental hazards, or other public health problems of current interest to health officials. Send reports to: Attn: Editor, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

    Send mailing list additions, deletions and address changes to: Attn: Distribution Services, Management Analysis and Services Office, 1-SB-419, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333. When requesting changes be sure to give your former address, including zip code and mailing list code number, or send an old address label.

