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## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Toxic-Shock Syndrome, United States, 1970-1982

As of April 9, 1982, 1,660 cases of toxic-shock syndrome (TSS) meeting the current CDC case definition* have been reported. To date, 492 cases with onset in 1981 have been reported compared with 867 cases with onset in 1980 (Figure 1). Eighty-eight cases have resulted in death (case-fatality ratio, 5.6\% of those with known outcome), including 15 cases in 1981 (case-fatality ratio, 3.3\%).

[^0]FIGURE 1. Confirmed cases of toxic-shock syndrome, United States, January 1970 March 1982*
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## Toxic-Shock Syndrome - Continued

Demographic characteristics were analyzed for those cases for which relevant data were available. A total of 1,588 ( $96 \%$ ) cases involved women, of whom at least $92 \%$ had onset during a menstrual period. Overall, 154 cases were known to have been unassociated with menstruation. The age range for all female patients was 1-64 years, with a mean of 22.9 years and a median of 21 years. The age range for 55 male patients was 1-75 years, with a mean of 24.5 years and a median of 20 years. Of the 1,355 cases in which the patient's race was known, 1,315 (97\%) occurred in white non-Hispanics, including 98\% of the menstrual cases and $90 \%$ of the nonmenstrual cases.

Nonmenstrual cases accounted for $15 \%$ of the reported cases with onset in 1981, compared with $6 \%$ of cases with onset before 1981. Nonmenstrual TSS has been seen following childbirth by vaginal delivery and cesarean section and in association with therapeutic abortions, infected surgical wounds, hydradenitis, lymphadenitis, deep abscesses, and infected cutaneous and subcutaneous lesions such as burns, abrasions, lacerations, furuncles, and insect bites.

TSS cases have been reported by all 50 states and the District of Columbia (Figure 2), but $35 \%$ of reported cases have come from 3 states, Minnesota, California, and Wisconsin. The 2 states with the highest reported incidence of TSS in 1980, Minnesota and Utah, noted different trends in reporting during the last quarter of 1980 and the first 2 quarters of 1981 $(2,3)$. While the number of TSS cases per month reported in Utah declined in late 1980 and early 1981 relative to the number of cases reported in the late summer and early fall of 1980 (Figure 3), no such decrease in reporting was observed in Minnesota (Figure 4).
Reported by Conference of State and Territorial Epidemiologists; JC Forfang, MT Osterholm, PhD, MPH, AG Dean, MD, State Epidemiologist, Minnesota Dept of Heath; SJ Stolz, JM Vergeront, JP Davis, MD,

FIGURE 2. Confirmed cases of toxic-shock syndrome, United States, reported as of April 9, 1982
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FIGURE 3. Confirmed cases of toxic-shock syndrome, Utah, January 1980-June 1981*

*Reports received through April 9, 1982.

FIGURE 4. Confirmed cases of toxic-shock syndrome, Minnesota, January 1980-June 1981*

*Reports received through February 17, 1982.
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State Epidemiologist, Wisconsin Dept of Health and Social Svcs; CR Nichols, RE Johns Jr, MD, State Epidemiologist, Utah Dept of Health; Field Svcs Div, Epidemiology Program Office, Special Pathogens Br, Bacterial Diseases Div, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note: As demonstrated in Figures 1 and 2, TSS continues to occur throughout the United States. CDC is currently receiving approximately 50 case reports a month that meet the revised CDC case definition. The number of cases reported for the most recent months appears low because of delay between the onset of a case and the reporting of that case to CDC.

The observed decrease in reporting of menstrual TSS cases since the summer and early fall of 1980 has been noted previously (4). During the same period, the number of nonmenstrual TSS cases reported to CDC has not declined. The extent to which the observed change is due to a decrease in the incidence of TSS, as opposed to a decrease in the reporting of TSS to state health departments and CDC, is not known, although both factors are probably important (5).

Factors that might have affected the incidence of menstrual TSS during the last quarter of 1980 and the first half of 1981 include changes in the number of tampon users, in the way in which women use tampons, in the availability and frequency of use of different brands of tampons, in the rate of vaginal carriage of strains of Staphylococcus aureus capable of causing TSS, or in other unrecognized factors in the natural history of the disease.

Factors potentially affecting reporting of TSS during this period include increased recognition of the disease, waning media attention and publicity, variable activity of state and local health department surveillance programs, changes in referral patterns, and changes in the treatment of TSS.

TSS continues to be recognized primarily in young white women in association with menstruation and tampon use. However, TSS is also being recognized in an increasingly wide array of clinical settings and in association with staphylococcal infections at a variety of sites. Nonmenstrual TSS accounted for $15 \%$ of the cases having onset in 1981. This increase in the proportion of cases that are unassociated with menstruation is due in large part to the decrease in the number of menstrual cases being reported.

At present, it is important that physicians and the general public be aware that TSS continues to occur in association with menstruation and tampon use as well as in other circumstances. All suspected cases of TSS should be reported promptly to the appropriate state health department.

Women can markedly reduce their risk of TSS by not using tampons, and women who choose to wear tampons can reduce their risk by wearing them intermittently during each menstrual period. Informing women about TSS and advising them to remove their tampons and seek medical attention if they develop symptoms of the disease appear to be reasonable public health measures.
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## Gynecomastia in Haitians - Puerto Rico, Florida, Texas, New York

Since the fall of 1981, gynecomastia has been occurring in Haitian men located in the Immigration and Naturalization Service's (INS) Service Processing Centers. Although no cause has been found, the condition appears to be spontaneously resolving.

In November 1981, several Haitian men at the INS Fort Allen Service Processing Center, Puerto Rico, presented at the Public Health Service Clinic complaining of breast enlargement. Because of this unusual occurrence of gynecomastia, CDC was asked on November 30 to assist in an epidemiologic investigation.

Of 540 male Haitians 18-50 years old examined, 77 (14.3\%) had gynecomastia-defined as a palpable, firm, discoid, subareolar, unilateral or bilateral breast mass. Of these 77 persons, 11 had noted the problem in Haiti, and 5 in Miami. Of 187 non-Haitian, male employees of the Center examined, 6 (3.2\%) had gynecomastia, and of these, 2 had the problem before the facility opened. The difference in prevalence between Haitians and Center employees was statistically significant ( $p<0.0005$ ).

After the disorder was recognized in Fort Allen, cases of gynecomastia were found in Haitians in other INS facilities, including the INS Service Processing Center, El Paso, Texas, and the Krome North Service Processing Center, Miami, Florida. Because all Haitians entering the United States and processed by INS are first processed at Krome, further investigation was continued there. On January 4, 1982, examination of the entire Haitian male population at Krome for gynecomastia was completed. Of 522 male Haitians, 52 (10.0\%) had gynecomastia. Of these 52, 2 reported to have had the disorder before leaving Haiti. One of 10 nonHaitian aliens processed at Krome also reported the recent development of gynecomastia.

A case-control study was done at Fort Allen and Krome during December and January that consisted of a questionnaire and a physical examination that included examination of the skin, hair, and genitalia and palpation of the thyroid and liver. Preliminary analysis showed no statistically significant differences between cases and controls.

On March 22, 1982, 49 of the 53 patients examined in January were reexamined; 21 patients (43\%) had no evidence of gynecomastia, and 15 had a decrease in breast size. Therefore, $36 / 49$ ( $74 \%$ ) of the originally detected cases have had either total or partial remission. Most of these 36 occurred among Haitians who arrived by late September 1981.

In March 1982, 18 new cases were detected in Haitian men at Krome who had had no evidence of gynecomastia when examined in January. On March 22, the prevalence at Krome was 46/528 (8.7\%).

Surveillance continues for new cases at facilities where Haitians are being detained. Recently, active surveillance detected cases in Brooklyn, New York (4/28 persons screened, $14 \%$ ) and in Otisville, New York (17/97 persons screened, 18\%). No new cases have been reported at Fort Allen.

Analysis of serum specimens from participants in the case-control study for prolactin, luteinizing hormone, testosterone, estradiol, blood-urea-nitrogen, creatinine, serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase, serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase, bilirubin, creatine phosphokinase, lactate dehydrogenase, and calcium has not been completed. Screening of urine specimens for drugs that act on the central nervous system and have been associated with gynecomastia was negative (1). Milk and eggs obtained in December and January from Fort Allen and Krome were tested for diethylstilbesterol and were negative. In addition, other food items, water, and other environmental agents are being tested for the presence of estrogen or estrogen-like compounds.
Reported by PHS Chief Medical Officers, Fort Allen (Puerto Rico) and Krome North (Miami, Florida) Immi-
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gration and Naturalization Service's Service Processing Centers; Center for Environmental Health, Epidemiology Program Office, Quarantine Div, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note: Gynecomastia has been now detected at 5 facilities where Haitians are being detained. Although all male Haitains processed by INS were initially processed at Krome, several of the men with gynecomastia detected at other facilities had been at Krome for less than 2 weeks. Neither the incidence nor the prevalence of gynecomastia in Haiti is known. Although some Haitian entrants may have had gynecomastia before arriving in the United States, there is no question that gynecomastia has developed in Haitian men after arrival.

The epidemiologic findings at Krome show that the cases of gynecomastia there are spontaneously resolving and that the development and resolution of the process appears related to date of arrival. Two possible hypotheses to explain these cases of gynecomastia are 1) that the diet of Haitians improved greatly after they arrived in the United States causing refeeding gynecomastia (1) or 2) that the affected men were exposed to an estrogen or estrogen-like substance during processing at Krome.
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TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{DISEASE} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{16th WEEK ENDING} \& \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{CUMULATIVE, FIRST 16 WEEKS} <br>
\hline \& April 24 1982 \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { April } 25 \\
1981
\end{gathered}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MEDIAN } \\
& \text { 1977-1981 }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { April } 24 \\
1982
\end{gathered}
$$ \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { April } 25 \\
1981
\end{gathered}
$$ \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { MEDIAN } \\
1977.1981
\end{gathered}
$$ <br>
\hline Aseptic meningitis \& 87 \& 55 \& 45 \& 1.182 \& 1.016 \& 758 <br>
\hline Brucellosis \& 2 \& 8 \& 3 \& 1.182 \& 1.023 \& 45 <br>
\hline Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne \& unspec.) \& 21 \& 8 \& 12 \& 221 \& 216 \& 186 <br>
\hline Post-infectious \& 4 \& - \& 5 \& 19 \& 26 \& 50 <br>
\hline Gonorrhea: Civilian \& 15.322 \& 18.437 \& 17.712 \& 276.565 \& 296.589 \& 287.750 <br>
\hline Military \& 419 \& 483 \& 483 \& 7.986 \& 8.914 \& 8.365 <br>
\hline Hepatitis: Type A \& 387 \& 465 \& 542 \& 6.807 \& 7.713 \& 8,561 <br>
\hline Type B \& 394 \& 430 \& 314 \& 6,052 \& 5,810 \& 4.891 <br>
\hline Non A, Non B \& 56
187 \& N \& ${ }_{157}^{\mathrm{N}}$ \& 602
2.813 \& S

3.255 \& 3, ${ }_{\text {N }}$ <br>
\hline Unspecified \& 187 \& 183 \& 157 \& 2.813 \& 3,245 \& 3,105 <br>
\hline Legionellosis \& 20 \& N \& $N$ \& 106 \& N \& N <br>
\hline Leprosy \& 2 \& 10 \& 4 \& 55 \& 67 \& 50 <br>
\hline Malaria \& 7 \& 19 \& 11 \& 210 \& 371 \& 144 <br>
\hline Measles (rubeola) \& 81 \& 88 \& 911 \& 416 \& 918 \& 5.417 <br>
\hline Meningococcal infections: Total \& 89 \& 78 \& 57 \& 1.119 \& 1.534 \& 1,071 <br>
\hline Civilian \& 89 \& 78 \& 57 \& 1.115 \& 1.530 \& 1.061 <br>
\hline Military \& - \& - \& - \& 4 \& 4 \& 6. 9 <br>
\hline Mumps \& 130 \& 85 \& 324 \& 2. 269 \& 1,679 \& 6,335 <br>
\hline Pertussis \& 16 \& 21 \& 19 \& 325 \& 324 \& 324 <br>
\hline Rubella(German measles) \& 70 \& 66 \& 509 \& 810 \& 866 \& 5.024 <br>
\hline Syphilis (Primary \& Secondary): Civilian \& 642 \& 564 \& 442 \& 10.141 \& 9.339 \& 7.390 <br>
\hline Military \& 9 \& 6 \& 5 \& 125 \& 114 \& 94 <br>
\hline Tuberculosis \& 565 \& 572 \& 572 \& 7.577 \& 7. 726 \& 8.147 <br>
\hline Tularemia \& 2 \& 6 \& 4 \& 29 \& 33 \& 28 <br>
\hline Typhoid fever \& 6 \& 5 \& 4 \& 115 \& 147 \& 117 <br>
\hline Typhus fever, tick-borne (RMSF) \& 5 \& 6 \& 4 \& 31 \& 27 \& 25 <br>
\hline Rabies, animal \& 133 \& 154 \& 153 \& 1.682 \& 2.172 \& 1.263 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

|  | CUM. 1982 | Poliomyelitis: Total Paralytic | CUM. 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - |  | 1 |
| Botulism | 20 |  | 1 |
| Cholera | - |  | 26 |
| Congenital rubelia syndrome | 3 | Rabies, human | - |
| Diphtheria | - | Tetanus (lowa 1, Ark. 1, Tex. 1) | 16 |
| Leptospirosis (Va. 2) | 20 | Trichinosis (Fla. 1) | 16 5 |
| Plague | 2 | Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine) (Ala. 1) | 5 |

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
April 24, 1982 and April 25, 1981 (16th week)

| REPORTING AREA | ASEPTIC MENINGITIS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BRUCEL- } \\ \text { LOSIS } \end{gathered}$ | ENCEPHALITIS |  | GONORRHEA(Civilian) |  | HEPATITIS (Viral), by type |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEGIONEL- } \\ & \text { LOSIS } \end{aligned}$ | LEPROSY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Primary | Post-infectious |  |  | A | B | NA,NB | Unspecified |  |  |
|  | 1982 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CUM } \\ 1982 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | 1982 | 1982 | 1982 | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & \hline 1982 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 87 | 33 | 221 | 19 | 276,565 | 296,589 | 387 | 394 | 56 | 187 | 20 | 55 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 1 | - | 10 | 3 | 6,668 | 7.257 | 16 | 22 | 3 | 19 | 2 | 1 |
| Maine | $\underline{-}$ | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | 316 | 376 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| N.H. | - | - | - | - | 200 | 260 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Vt . | - | - | - | - | 144 | 121 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 1 | - | 3 | - | 3. 052 | 3,053 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 16 | - | - |
| R.I. | - | - | - | - | 470 | 339 | 6 | - | - | - | $\square$ | - |
| Conn. | - | - | 7 | 3 | 2,486 | 3,108 | 1 | 13 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 10 | - | 30 | 2 | 34,084 | 34,384 | 57 | 97 | 9 | 16 | 12 | 3 |
| Upstate N.Y. | - | - | 14 | - | 5.644 | 5,386 | 9 | 25 | 1 | 3 |  | - |
| N.Y. City | 2 | - | 5 | - | 14.023 | 14.152 | 22 | 38 | - | 5 | - | 1 |
| N.J. | 5 | - | 4 | - | 6,271 | 6,835 | 15 | 23 | 8 | 5 | - | 1 |
| Pa . | 3 | - | 7 | 2 | 8,146 | 8,011 | 11 | 11 | - | 3 | 12 | 1 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 8 | - | 49 | 6 | 36.688 | 47,300 | 45 | 27 | 2 | 27 | 1 |  |
| Ohio | 2 | - | 16 | 4 | 11,730 | 17,004 | 24 | 13 | - | 12 |  |  |
| Ind. | $-$ | - | 12 | 2 | 4,156 | 3,655 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 9 | - |  |
| III. | - | - | - | - | 7,413 | 12,990 | 6 | 3 | - | 1 | - |  |
| Mich. | 4 | - | 19 | - | 9,610 | 9,662 | 11 | 8 | - | 5 | 1 | - |
| Wis. | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3,779 | 3.989 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 3 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 13,085 | 13,902 | 14 | 20 | 4 | 3 | 1 | - |
| Minn. | - | $\underline{-}$ |  | 1 | 1.939 | 2,224 | 3 | 7 | - | - | - |  |
| lowa | 1 | 1 | 6 | - | 1,463 | 1,460 | 1 | 3 | - | $\overline{-}$ | 1 |  |
| Mo. | - | 1 | 3 | - | 6,030 | 6,300 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 185 | 184 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 376 | 390 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Nebr. | - | - | 1 | - | 789 | 1,002 | - | 2 | - | - | - |  |
| Kans. | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2,303 | 2,342 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | - |
| S. AtLANTIC | 26 | 12 | 29 | 3 | 69,796 | 73,069 | 29 | 83 | 12 | 21 | 2 | 4 |
| Del. | - | - | - | - | 1,144 | 1,103 | - | 10 | - | - | - |  |
| Md. | - | - | 9 | - | 9,452 | 7,908 | 2 | 15 | 4 | 2 | - | 2 |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | 3,722 | 4,714 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Va . | 2 | 4 | 9 | - | 6.170 | 6.854 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - |
| w. Va. |  | - | - | - | 850 | 1,111 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 3 | - | 3 | - | 11,684 | 11,568 | 3 | 6 | - | 2 | - |  |
| s.c. | - | 2 | - | - | 6,891 | 6,725 | 2 | 5 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Ga. | - | 1 | - | - | 9,483 | 14,355 | 6 | 21 | 1 | 3 | - | $\overline{7}$ |
| Fla. | 20 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 20,400 | 18,731 | 13 | 19 | 6 | 10 | - | 2 |
| E.S. Central | 7 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 23,556 | 24.073 | 16 | 22 | 1 | 5 | - | - |
| Ky. | 1 | - | - | - | 3,164 | 3,168 | 7 | 3 | - | 2 | - |  |
| Tenn. | 4 | 1 | 9 | - | 8,968 | 8,948 | 4 | 10 | - | 3 | - |  |
| Ala | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7,057 | 7,457 | 2 | 9 | 1 | - | - |  |
| Miss. | $\underline{-}$ | 1 | 1 | - | 4.367 | 4,500 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 10 | 8 | 21 | - | 39,508 | 40,480 | 92 | 33 | 1 | 60 | - | 5 |
| Ark. | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 3,334 | 2,719 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | - | - |
| La | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | 7.026 | 6,321 | 7 | 3 | - | 4 | - | - |
| Okla. | 3 | 2 | 6 | - | 4,242 | 4,069 | 25 | 6 | - | 7 | - | $\overline{5}$ |
| Tex. | 5 | 2 | 10 | - | 24,906 | 27,371 | 57 | 21 | - | 43 | - | 5 |
| mountain | 3 | - | 10 | 1 | 10,256 | 12,125 | 32 | 15 | 9 | 12 | - | - |
| Mont. | - | - | - | - | 444 | 438 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | 466 | 501 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | 276 | 254 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 2.759 | 3.143 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| N. Mex. | $\underline{-}$ | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | 1,275 | 1,323 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ariz. | - | - | 4 | - | 2,751 | 3,932 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 9 | - | - |
| Utah | 1 | - | - | - | 446 | 560 | 3 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Nev . | 1 | - | 4 | - | 1,839 | 1,974 | 5 | 6 | - | 1 | - | - |
| PACIFIC | 19 | 8 | 48 | 2 | 42,924 | 43,999 | 86 | 75 | 15 | 24 | 2 | 41 |
| Wash. | 4 |  | 5 |  | 3.623 | 3.987 | 7 | 6 | - | - | 2 | 3 |
| Oreg | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2,376 | 3,160 | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| Calif. | 13 | 1 | 40 | 2 | 35,106 | 34,756 | 71 | 61 | 15 | 24 | - | 23 |
| Alaska | 1 | , | 2 | 2 | 1,073 | 1,200 | 1 |  | 15 |  | - | 1 |
| Hawaii | - | - | - | - | 746 | 896 | 6 | 3 | - | - | - | 14 |
| Guam | U | - | - | - | 19 | 41 | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | U | $u$ | - |
| P.R. |  | - | 1 | - | 923 | 1,015 | 6 | 3 | - | 8 | - | - |
| V.I. | $u$ | - | - | - | 51 | 34 | $u$ | $\checkmark$ | $u$ | $\checkmark$ | U | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | $u$ | - | - | - | 36 | 134 | $\cup$ | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | $u$ | 1 |

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
April 24, 1982 and April 25, 1981 (16th week)

| REPORTING AREA | MALARIA |  | MEASLES (RUBEOLA) |  |  | ```MENINGOCOCCAL INFECTIONS (Total)``` |  | MUMPS |  | PERTUSSIS | RUBELLA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | 1982 | Cum. $1982$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 7 | 210 | 81 | 416 | 918 | 89 | 1,119 | 130 | 2,269 | 16 | 70 | 810 | 866 |
| NEW ENGLAND | - | 15 | 1 | 7 | 30 | 4 | 61 | 7 | 128 | - | - | 9 | 69 |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | 2 | 右 | 2 | 3 | 25 | - | - | - | 31 |
| N.H. | - | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | - | 10 | 1 | 12 | - | - | 8 | 25 |
| Vt . | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Mass. | - | 10 | 1 | 2 | 15 | - | 16 | 2 | 68 | - | - | 1 | 8 |
| R.I. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 9 | 1 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | - | 3 | - | 2 | 6 | 3 | 20 | - | 10 | - | - | - | 5 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 1 | 23 | 4 | 35 | 283 | 18 | 174 | 12 | 147 | 4 | 1 | 55 | 105 |
| Upstate N.Y. | - | 4 | 2 | 19 | 167 | 4 | 48 | 2 | 30 | 3 | - | 29 | 43 |
| N.Y. City | 1 | 8 | 2 | 14 | 27 | 2 | 28 | 4 | 24 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 21 |
| N.J. | - | 7 | - | - | 21 | 3 | 42 | 1 | 26 | - | - | 10 | 37 |
| Pa . | - | 4 | - | 2 | 68 | 9 | 56 | 5 | 67 | - | - | - | 4 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | - | 13 | - | 19 | 56 | 19 | 146 | 66 | 1.311 | 2 | 2 | 85 | 190 |
| Ohio | - | 3 | - |  | 15 | 3 | 50 | 61 | 954 | 2 | - | - | 7 |
| Ind. | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 23 | - | 2 | 14 | 57 |
| III. | - | 1 | - | 9 | 14 | 11 | 46 | 1 | 69 | - | - | 22 | 55 |
| Mich. | - | 7 | - | 9 | 24 | 3 | 28 | 3 | 194 | - | - | 32 | 23 |
| Wis. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 71 | - | - | 17 | 55 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | - | 7 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 45 | 2 | 149 | - | 2 | 24 | 52 |
| Minn. | - | - | - | - | 1 |  | 9 | - | 75 | - | - | 3 | 6 |
| lowa | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 21 | - | - | - | - |
| Mo. | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 16 | - | 13 | - | 2 | 15 | 2 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Nebr. | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Kans. | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | - | 39 | - | - | 5 | 43 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 1 | 36 | 1 | 26 | 244 | 15 | 228 | 9 | 151 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 80 |
| Del. | - | 3 | - |  | , | - | - | 9 | 3 | - |  | 2 | - |
| Md. | - | 6 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 12 | - | - | 5 | 1 |
| D.C. | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 12 | - | - | 5 | - |
| Va . | - | 16 | - | 14 | 3 | 4 | 23 | 1 | 22 | - | 1 | 10 | 3 |
| w. Va. | - | - | - | 1 | 7 | - | 7 | 4 | 69 | - | 2 | 1 | 15 |
| N.C. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 35 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | 4 |
| S.C. | - | 2 | - | - |  | 1 | 27 | - | 9 | - | - | 1 | 6 |
| Ga. | - | 2 | - | - | 80 | 2 | 61 | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 | 20 |
| Fla. | 1 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 150 | 4 | 65 | 1 | 28 | 1 | - | 3 | 31 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | - | 1 | - | 5 | - | 4 | 70 | 2 | 24 | 2 | - | 30 | 18 |
| Ky . | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 8 | - | 8 | - | - | 15 | 11 |
| Tenn. | - | - | - | 4 | - | 2 | 29 | 1 | 9 | - | - | 15 | 7 |
| Ala. | - | - | - | - | - |  | 30 |  | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Miss. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 15 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 1 | 8 | 4 | 21 | 122 | 9 | 146 | 13 | 83 | 4 | 6 | 55 | 51 |
| Ark. | $\cdots$ | 1 | - | 2 |  | - | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 55 | 51 |
| La. | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 20 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 7 |
| Okla. | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| Tex. | - | 5 | 4 | 21 | 117 | 7 | 108 | 12 | 78 | 4 | 6 | 53 | 44 |
| MOUNTAIN | - | 5 | - | - | 16 | 2 | 68 | - | 37 | - | 2 | 25 | 45 |
| Mont. | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | 3 | - | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | $\square$ | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 1 |
| Colo. | - | 3 | - | - | 4 | - | 25 | - | 6 | - | - | 1 | 21 |
| N. Mex. | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| Ariz. | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 14 | - | 13 | - | - | 5 | 10 |
| Utah | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 9 | - | - | 8 | 3 |
| Nev . | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 5 |
| PACIFIC | 4 | 102 | 70 | 301 | 163 | 14 | 181 | 19 | 239 | 3 | 56 | 506 |  |
| Wash. | - | 6 |  | 15 | 1 | - | 21 | - | 39 | 1 | 56 | 16 | 256 38 |
| Oreg. | - | 3 | 0 | - | 162 | 3 | 35 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 31 <br> 1 |
| Calif. | 4 | 91 | 70 | 284 | 162 | 10 | 116 | 19 | 193 | 2 | 56 | 480 | 187 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | $-$ | - | 1 | 7 | - | 5 | - | 5 | 1 | - |
| Hawaii | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 7 | - |
| Guam | U | 2 | U | 1 | 4 | U | - | U | 1 | U | U | 1 | - |
| P.R. | - | 2 | 7 | 51 | 132 | - | 3 | 8 | 23 | 5 | - | 3 | 3 |
| V.I. | U | - | U | - | 5 | U | - | U |  | U | U | - | 3 |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | U | - | U | - | - | U | - | U | - | U | U | - | 1 |

U : Unavailable

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 24, 1982 and April 25, 1981 (16th week)

| REPORTING AREA | SYPHILIS (Civilian) (Primary \& Secendary) |  | TUBERCULOSIS |  | TULA. REMIA | TYPHOID FEVER |  | TYPHUS FEVER (Tick-borne) (RMSF) |  | RABIES, Animal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 10,141 | 9.339 | 565 | 7.577 | 29 | 6 | 115 | 5 | 31 | 1.682 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 195 | 202 | 10 | 208 | - | 1 | 11 | - | - | 5 |
| Maine | 1 | 1 | - | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| N.H. | - | 9 | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Vt | - | 11 | - | 6 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 138 | 121 | 6 | 141 | - | 1 | 8 | - | - | - |
| R.I. | 12 | 13 | 1 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | 44 | 47 | 3 | 27 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 1,385 | 1,436 | 96 | 1.290 | 2 | - | 12 | - | - | 23 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 133 | 121 | 13 | 229 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 14 |
| N.Y. City | 864 | 909 | 27 | 493 | - | - | 8 | - | - | - |
| N.J. | 164 | 168 | 19 | 243 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| Pa . | 224 | 238 | 37 | 325 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 521 | 643 | 75 | 1.165 | - | 1 | 11 | - | - | 189 |
| Ohio | 105 | 86 | 16 | 213 | - | - | 6 | - | - | 26 |
| Ind. | 64 | 39 | U | 145 | - | - | - | - | - | 35 |
| III. | 219 | 378 | 28 | 446 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 86 |
| Mich. | 97 | 109 | 23 | 289 | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Wis. | 36 | 31 | 8 | 72 | - | - | - | - | - | 42 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 202 | 169 | 21 | 232 | 6 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 407 |
| Minn. | 33 | 62 | 5 | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | 74 |
| lowa | 11 | 8 | 1 | 33 | $\overline{5}$ | - | 1 | - | - | 134 |
| Mo. | 124 | 83 | 12 | 104 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 50 |
| N. Dak. | 4 | 2 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 44 |
| S. Dak. | - | 2 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 18 |
| Nebr. | 7 | 3 | 1 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 38 |
| Kans. | 23 | 9 | 2 | 33 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 49 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 2.791 | 2.449 | 109 | 1.490 | 6 | 1 | 15 | - | 14 | 267 |
| Del. | 7 | 7 | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Md. | 157 | 194 | 11 | 189 | 1 | - | 4 | - | 7 | 16 |
| D.C. | 178 | 223 | 3 | 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Va. | 199 | 235 | 20 | 160 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 129 |
| W. Va. | 8 | 7 | 3 | 38 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 13 |
| N.C. | 208 | 184 | 16 | 240 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 5 |
| S.C. | 136 | 170 | 6 | 150 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 18 |
| Ga. | 611 | 633 | 17 | 211 | - | - | - | - | - | 68 |
| Fla. | 1.287 | 796 | 33 | 429 | 1 | 1 | 5 | - | - | 18 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 761 | 626 | 81 | 676 | 4 | - | 9 | - | 5 | 216 |
| Ky. | 38 | 23 | 26 | 186 | - | - | - | - | - | 40 |
| Tenn. | 204 | 249 | 30 | 235 | 4 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 147 |
| Ala. | 265 | 169 | 17 | 200 | - | - | 6 | - | 3 | 29 |
| Miss. | 254 | 185 | 8 | 55 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 2.558 | 2,198 | 67 | 816 | 7 | - | 6 | 5 | 10 | 328 |
| Ark. | 274 | 4.42 | 7 | 82 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 48 |
| La. | 539 | 446 | 16 | 146 | - | - | - | - | - | 77 |
| Okla. | 54 | 62 | 6 | 119 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | 77 |
| Tex. | 1,891 | 1.648 | 38 | 469 | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | 5 | 196 |
| MOUNTAIN | 269 | 225 | 16 | 217 | 3 | - | 5 | - | - | 36 |
| Mont. | 1 | 8 | 1 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | 16 |
| Idaho | 16 | 2 | - | 10 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wyo. | 9 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Colo. | 83 | 75 | 7 | 26 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| N. Mex. | 54 | 52 | - | 39 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 15 |
| Ariz. | 59 | 44 | 7 | 90 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 15 |
| Utah | 10 | 5 | - | 11 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Nev. | 37 | 37 | 1 | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| PACIFIC | 1.459 | 1.391 | 90 | 1,483 | 1 | 3 | 43 | - | 1 | 211 |
| Wash. | 41 | 51 | 2 | 88 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Oreg. | 41 | 32 | 3 | 55 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 153 |
| Calif. | 1.337 | 1.275 | 74 | 1,231 | - | 1 | 39 | - | 1 | 153 |
| Alaska | 6 | + 4 | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 58 |
| Hawaii | 34 | 29 | 11 | 91 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Guam | - | - | U | 2 | - | U | - | U | - | - |
| P.R. | 188 | 230 | 1 | 94 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 17 |
| V.I. | - | 2 | U | 1 | - | U | - | U | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | - | - | U | 19 | - | U | - | $\cup$ | - | - |

U: Unavailable

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities, * week ending
April 24, 1982 (16th week)

| REPORTING AREA | ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { P\& I** } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{array}\right\|$ | REPORTING AREA | ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\& / 1** } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { AGES } \end{gathered}$ | $\geq 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1.24 | $<1$ |  |  | $\underset{\text { AGES }}{\text { ALL }}$ | $\geq 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1.24 | $<1$ |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 684 | 483 | 125 | 37 | 17 | 22 | 53 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,102 | 679 | 283 | 64 | 38 | 37 | 41 |
| Boston, Mass. | 183 | 124 | 33 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 23 | Atlanta, Ga. | 139 | 79 | 40 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 5 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 43 | 30 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Baltimore, Md. | 133 | 78 | 36 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 3 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 29 | 22 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 6 | Charlotte, N.C. | 87 | 52 | 23 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 24 | 18 | 6 | - | - | - | - | Jacksonville, Fla. | 108 | 69 | 27 | 6 | 6 | - | 6 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 71 | 44 | 17 | 6 | 3 | 1 | - | Miami, Fla. | 124 | 67 | 36 | 10 | 7 | 4 | - |
| Lowell, Mass. | 29 | 24 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 2 | Norfolk, Va. | 50 | 31 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 28 | 26 | 2 | - | - | - | - | Richmond, Va. | 72 | 50 | 19 | 2 | - | 1 | 6 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 30 | 22 | 7 | 1 | - | - | 1 | Savannah, Ga. | 37 | 24 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 59 | 40 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 104 | 82 | 17 | 2 | - | 3 | 4 |
| Providence, R.I. | 39 | 33 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 4 | Tampa, Fla. | 67 | 41 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 11 | 10 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | Washington, D.C. | 102 | 54 | 33 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 49 | 30 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 | Wilmington, Del. | 79 | 52 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 29 | 22 | 5 | 2 | - | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 60 | 38 | 14 | 7 | - | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E.S. CENTRAL | 745 | 468 | 187 | 34 | 34 | 22 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala. | 113 | 66 | 31 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 2 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,480 | 1,677 | 498 | 141 | 85 | 79 | 109 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 62 | 44 | 15 | 2 | 1 | - | 6 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 59 | 50 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 60 | 45 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Allentown, Pa. | 15 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | Louisville, Ky. | 109 | 62 | 28 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 150 | 102 | 36 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 8 | Memphis, Tenn. | 151 | 97 | 42 | 6 | 6 | - | 11 |
| Camden, N.J. | 30 | 14 | 12 | 3 | - | 1 | - | Mobile, Ala. | 58 | 32 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 23 | 18 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | Montgomery, Ala. | 49 | 33 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Erie, Pa. $\dagger$ | 37 | 26 | 8 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | Nashville, Tenn. | 143 | 89 | 30 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 5 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 60 | 31 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 4 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.Y. City, N.Y. | 1.424 | 957 | 286 | 97 | 50 | 34 | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newark, N.J. | 74 | 43 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1.289 | 779 | 316 | 93 | 47 | 54 | 33 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 29 | 20 | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | Austin, Tex. | 50 | 35 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. $\dagger$ | 125 | 80 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 8 | Baton Rouge, La. | 59 | 39 | 11 | 7 | - | 2 | 3 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa. $\dagger$ | 70 | 48 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 54 | 34 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| Reading, Pa. | 32 | 26 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | Dallas, Tex. | 204 | 118 | 56 | 18 | 4 | 8 | 4 |
| Rochester, N. Y. | 129 | 92 | 21 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 8 | El Paso, Tex. | 57 | 38 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 28 | 20 | 6 | 2 | - | - | 2 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 80 | 52 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| Scranton, Pa. $\dagger$ Syracuse N.Y | 36 | 26 | 8 | 1 | 1 | $\bar{\square}$ | 1 | Houston, Tex. | 319 | 161 | 94 | 36 | 16 | 12 | 6 |
| Trenton, N.J. | 70 40 | 47 25 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | Little Rock, Ark. | 70 | 48 | 14 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 25 | 19 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | New Orleans, La. | 137 134 | 80 | 39 | 8 | 6 | 4 | $\overline{5}$ |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 24 | 18 | 4 | - | 2 | - | 1 | San Antonio, Tex. | 134 37 | 90 23 | 28 9 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tulsa, Okla. | 88 | 61 | 17 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,367 | 1.493 | 550 | 150 | 66 | 107 | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Akron, Ohio | 76 | 47 | 20 | 3 | 2 | 4 | - | MOUNTAIN | 644 | 391 | 152 | 49 | 29 | 23 | 32 |
| Canton, Ohio | 45 | 31 | 11 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | Albuquerque, N. Mex. | . 86 | 53 | 16 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Chicago, III. | 565 | 321 | 133 | 46 | 22 | 43 | 16 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 48 | 35 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 121 | 78 | 29 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 12 | Denver, Colo. | 121 | 74 | 29 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 204 | 114 | 57 | 17 | 6 | 10 | 7 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 90 | 48 | 31 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 173 | 114 | 34 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 9 | Ogden, Utah | 14 | 8 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 104 | 67 | 27 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 136 | 80 | 29 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 5 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 286 | 173 | 71 | 25 | 9 | 8 | 11 | Pueblo, Colo. | 12 | 10 | 1 | - |  | - |  |
| Evansville, Ind. | 44 | 28 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | Salt Lake City, Utah | 48 | 26 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 6 | - |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 54 | 35 | 14 | 1 | - | 4 | 2 | Tucson, Ariz. | 89 | 57 | 23 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| Gary, Ind. | 10 | 7 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 68 | 47 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 151 | 93 | 41 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 | PACIFIC | 1,822 | 1.174 | 402 | 117 | 62 | 66 | 92 |
| Madison, Wis. | 36 | 20 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 7 | Berkeley, Calif. | 17 | 11 | 5 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 142 | 109 | 29 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Fresno, Calif. | 74 | 45 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| Peoria, III. | 50 | 34 | 13 | 1 | - | 2 | 8 | Glendale, Calif. | 24 | 19 | 5 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Rockford, III. | 37 | 22 | 9 | 5 | - | 1 | 3 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 63 | 34 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 51 | 32 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 4 | Long Beach, Calif. | 102 | 69 | 20 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| Toledo, Ohio § | 89 | 80 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 499 | 327 | 103 | 37 | 16 | 15 | 18 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 61 | 41 | 15 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | Oakland, Calif. | 101 | 62 | 24 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pasadena, Calif. | 35 | 26 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Portland, Oreg. | 132 | 85 | 30 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 770 | 514 | 163 | 43 | 30 | 20 | 24 | Sacramento, Calif. | 73 | 49 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| Des Moines, lowa | 63 | 43 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | San Diego, Calif. | 152 | 90 | 40 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 14 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 33 | 26 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 1 | San Francisco, Calif. | 148 | 99 | 26 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 38 | 30 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | San Jose, Calif. | 169 | 101 | 53 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 11 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 127 | 75 | 32 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 5 | Seattle, Wash. | 143 | 90 | 30 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 4 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | Spokane, Wash. | 51 | 35 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 101 | 11 | 18 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | Tacoma, Wash. | 39 | 32 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 89 | 58 | 22 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 163 | 108 | 36 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 77 | 52 | 18 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | TOTAL | 11.903 ${ }^{\text {tt }}$ | 7.658 | 2.676 | 728 | 408 | 430 | 519 |
| Wichita, Kans. | 70 | 47 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 3 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 519 |

[^1]§Data not available. Figures are estimates based on average of past 4 weeks.

## Characteristics of Measles Cases - United States, 1981

CDC has received detailed written information concerning investigations of 1,759 (58.0\%) of the 3,032 measles cases provisionally reported in the United States in 1981. This information, submitted voluntarily by 35 states and 2 local health departments, has been reviewed to determine age, immunity status, and school and day-care-center attendance of the patients. These data have been useful in classifying measles cases by preventability, and measles patients by age and accessibility to school- and day-care-center based control measures.*

Of 1,759 persons with measles, 1,061 ( $60.3 \%$ ) were school age, 5-19 years old (Table 1); an additional 547 measles cases ( $31.1 \%$ ) involved preschool children. The other 151 patients ( $8.6 \%$ ) were not in school-age or preschool groups.

Of the 1,759 measles cases, 780 ( $44.3 \%$ ) were classified as not preventable because the patients were either too young or too old for routine vaccination, or because they had adequate evidence of immunity to measles. Of the 979 potentially preventable cases, 661 ( $37.6 \%$ of the total 1,759 ) were readily accessible to control measures, since the affected children attended schools or day-care centers. The other 318 persons ( $18.1 \%$ ) were not readily accessible - not of school age or not known to attend a day-care center.

Of the 1,061 measles cases involving school-age children (Table 2), 638 ( $60.1 \%$ ) were identified as potentially preventable. The remaining 423 children, although accessible, did not have preventable cases because they had adequate evidence of immunity to measles. A considerably higher percentage of preventable cases occurred in older schooichildren.

[^2]TABLE 1. Measles cases by age group, United States, 1981

| Age Group | No. Cases | Percentage <br> of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Preschool |  |  |
| $0-14$ months | 219 | 12.5 |
| 15 months-4 years | 328 | 18.6 |
| Subtotal | 547 | 31.1 |
| School-Age |  |  |
| 5-9 years | 375 | 21.3 |
| $10-14$ years | 382 | 21.7 |
| $15-19$ years | 304 | 17.3 |
| Subtotal | 1,061 | 60.3 |
| Adults | 90 |  |
| $20-24$ years | 61 | 3.1 |
| $25+$ years | 151 | 8.5 |
| Subtotal | 1,759 | 100.0 |

## Measles - Continued

Of the 547 measles cases among preschool-age children (Table 3), 285 ( $52.1 \%$ ) were classified as not preventable: 219 ( $40.0 \%$ ) children were less than 15 months old, and 66 ( $12.1 \%$ ) had adequate evidence of immunity to measles. Of the 262 children with potentially preventable measles cases, 239 (43.7\% of the total 547) were not listed as attending daycare centers and thus were not readily accessible to control measures. Therefore, only 23 ( $4.2 \%$ ) measles cases occurring among the preschool-age children were both potentially preventable and in children readily accessible to control measures.
Reported by participating state and local immunization programs; Immunization Div, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note: This analysis represents the first time that information has been analyzed on a national basis to determine the potential preventability of reported measles cases and the accessibility of patients to school-based control measures. Limitations of the data must be taken into consideration in the analysis. Case reports were derived from a nonrandom sample of the total reported measles cases in 1981. Completeness of reporting varied among the reporting areas. If no immunity status or prior history of physician-diagnosed measles illness was provided, it was assumed that the person did not have adequate evidence of immunity to measles. If no day-care center was named, it was assumed that a preschool-age child did not attend a day-care center. Furthermore, incidence rates by vaccination status and day-carecenter attendance cannot be determined because the denominator populations are not known. Nevertheless, the data are helpful in evaluating areas in which further emphasis in the measles elimination program should be placed.

TABLE 2. Measles cases in school-age children, classified by age group and immunity status, United States, 1981

| Age Group <br> (Years) | Potentially <br> Preventable | Not Preventable | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5-9$ | $176(46.9 \%)$ | $199(53.1 \%)$ | $375(100.0 \%)$ |
| $10-14$ | $219(57.3 \%)$ | $163(42.7 \%)$ | $382(100.0 \%)$ |
| $15-19$ | $243(79.9 \%)$ | $61(20.1 \%)$ | $304(100.0 \%)$ |
|  | $638(60.1 \%)$ | $423(39.9 \%)$ | $1.061(100.0 \%)$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |

TABLE 3. Measles cases in preschool-age children, classified by age group, day-carecenter attendance, and immunity status, United States, 1981

| Age Group | Potentially <br> Preventable | Not Preventable | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<15$ months | NA* | $219(40.0 \%)$ | $219(40.0 \%)$ |
| 15 months-4 years <br> (attending day-care <br> center) | $23(4.2 \%)$ | $19(3.5 \%)$ | $42(7.7 \%)$ |
| 15 months-4 years <br> (not attending <br> day-care center | $239(43.7 \%)$ | $47(8.6 \%)$ | $286(52.3 \%)$ |
| TOTAL | $262(47.9 \%)$ | $285(52.1 \%)$ | $547(100.0 \%)$ |

[^3]Measles - Continued
A majority of cases occurred in the school-age population, a group that is easily accessible to control measures. The higher proportion of preventable cases in older children suggests that health authorities should continue to concentrate efforts on ensuring that junior and senior high school students have adequate evidence of immunity to measles.

Preliminary data indicate that although the actual number of cases in the preschool population decreased from 1980 to 1981, the proportion of cases occurring among preschoolers increased because of greater reductions in the number of cases among school-age children. This might have been expected since a major focus of the measles elimination effort is on school-law enforcement.

It is also expected that most preschool children who have measles are not attending organized day-care centers since many states have and enforce measles-vaccination regulations for day-care centers. Additional strategies implemented to improve immunization levels in preschool children include: postpartum maternal education programs, tracking systems for infants deemed to be at high risk of lacking vaccinations, recall systems for children who miss appointments for vaccinations, and intensive case containment activities. Additional efforts may be necessary to locate and vaccinate preschool children in those few areas of the country where substantial numbers of preschool cases are reported.

## Introduced Autochthonous Vivax Malaria - California, 1980-1981

Two cases of locally introduced Plasmodium vivax malaria have recently been reported from the Central Valley of California. The case histories are described below.

Case 1. On August 20, 1980, a 55-year-old truck driver left his home in San Bernardino County to haul grapes from vineyards to wineries in the Central Valley of California. He remained well until October 2, 1980, when he had onset of malaise, nausea, myalgias, and drowsiness; 5 days later he also experienced chills, high fever, and profuse sweats. He attributed his symptoms to "the flu" but noted they recurred almost each evening between 5 p.m. and 7 p.m. When the symptoms occurred, he would lie down in the truck and cover himself with blankets; by morning he usually felt well enough to continue driving. On October 20 he felt so ill that he returned home. The next day a laboratory technologist at Loma Linda University Medical Center identified $P$. vivax on a routine peripheral blood smear, and malaria was diagnosed. The patient responded promptly to antimalarial treatment with chloroquine and primaquine.

Investigation of possible sources of infection revealed no patient history of blood transfusions, IV drug or shared-needle usage, military service or travel in areas endemic for malaria. By the time he became ill, he had traveled several thousand miles in 11 counties of the Central Valley, plus Napa and Sonoma counties. His usual routine was to arrive at a vineyard at night, sleep in his unscreened truck until dawn, load grapes, and then deliver them to a winery. He recalled receiving mosquito bites but paid little attention to them.

Of the 14 areas visited by the patient and surveyed by the state's Vector Biology and Control Section, only the light trap near Artois in Glenn County showed substantial Anopheles activity during his periods of possible exposure. Evaluation of a ranch near Artois, which he had visited 6 times in the period September 9-19, indicated that Anopheles would have been abundant at that time; however, no malaria-like illness occurred among ranch workers. Rice fields, a prime habitat of $A$. freeborni, were within 1 mile of the vineyard. Many farm workers
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who lived or worked near the ranch had recently arrived from malaria-endemic areas of India and Mexico, but an investigation in areas where the patient traveled failed to reveal any other unreported or suspected cases of locally transmitted malaria.

Case 2. On September 5, 1981, a 46-year-old long-term resident of Yuba County became ill with fever, chills, headache, sore throat, nausea, and abdominal pain. On September 8, after pharyngitis was diagnosed at a local emergency room, he was treated with IM penicillin. On September 14, he returned to the same emergency room because of persistent symptoms, and ampicillin was prescribed. On September 17, he went to the Yuba General Clinic complaining of nausea and fever and shaking chills that recurred daily at about 3:00 p.m and lasted 30-45 minutes. On examination, he was found to be jaundiced with a tender liver and abdomen. Malaria was suspected and quickly confirmed at the Sutter-Yuma County Public Health Laboratory by demonstration of $P$. vivax on peripheral blood smears. Treatment of the patient with chloroquine and primaquine resulted in prompt recovery.

The patient had no history of blood transfusion, drug abuse, or travel outside the United States. The only recent travel outside the area had been an automobile trip to Pampa, Texas, between August 26 and September 1, 1981.

The patient lives 3 miles south of Marysville in a semi-rural setting next to the Feather River and within $1 / 4$ mile of rice fields and orchards. He had not been employed regularly since December 1980. In the spring and summer of 1981 he did extensive fishing and camping throughout Sutter and Yuba counties, and often received many mosquito bites.

Malaria smears and serum specimens from the patient's wife, 2 children, and 3 members of an adjacent household were all negative for $P$. vivax. Intensive surveillance in the SutterYuba area failed to reveal any other cases of malaria that could have been acquired locally through the mosquito-borne route. The Sutter-Yuba Mosquito Abatement District reported that $A$. freeborni (an efficient $P$. vivax vector) was abundant in Sutter and Yuba counties, including the patient's neighborhood, throughout the summer. Mosquito-control efforts in the area had included hand spraying with insecticide around the patient's house and insecticide cold-fogging on 3 occasions for a $1 / 2$-mile radius from the house.
Reported by AF Taylor, S Gaspers, LE Mahoney, MD, San Bernardino County Health Dept, T Roswell, MD, Loma Linda University Medical Center; LE Pine, D Dragoni, MD, Glenn County Health Dept, K Whitesell, Glenn County Mosquito Abatement District (MAD), J Buckingham, Diablo Valley MAD, A Hibbard, MD, Yuba General Clinic, Marysville, L Eberhardt, J Hornstein, MPH, P Stotler, RN, M Cusick, MD, Sutter-Yuba County Health Dept, E Kauffmann, MPH, Sutter-Yuba MAD, RR Roberto, MD, D Womeldorf, MD, J Chin, MD, State Epidemiologist, California Dept of Health Svcs; Malaria Br, Parasitic Diseases Div, Center for Infectious Diseases, Quarantine Div, Center for Preventive Svcs, Field Svcs Div, Epidemiology Program Office, CDC.

Editorial Note: Considering the average 14-day incubation period for vivax malaria, and the travel history of the 2 patients, the infections reported here were most likely acquired in the northern part of California's Sacramento (Central) Valley. Historically, mosquito-transmitted malaria in California has been confined to the Central Valley where ecologic habitats provided by irrigated farmlands-including fruit orchards and rice fields-are ideal for the breeding of A. freeborni, a highly susceptible vector of vivax malaria. In addition, non-refugee agricultural workers from malarious countries provide a reservoir of vivax parasites in such areas as Sutter and Yuba counties.

Mosquito transmission in non-endemic areas (introduced malaria) requires only the presence of susceptible mosquitoes together with gametocytemic individuals. However, the chances for introduced malaria transmission are exceedingly low in this country because an exact sequence of interacting events involving mosquito, reservoir, and host must occur, and
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because there is a low probability that infected mosquitoes will survive beyond the maturation time required for the parasites (12-14 days for vivax malaria).

Thus, despite periodic large influxes of imported malaria cases, only 13 isolated episodes of introduced malaria have occurred in the United States in the past 30 years. In 1970, when the number of imported cases reached the highest level in recent years $(4,247)$ due to American military personnel returning from Southeast Asia, 1 instance of introduced malaria was identified. This case was reported from Texas where a Mexican migrant was identified as the probable source of vivax gametocytes.

Like the previous 11 episodes, the 2 cases reported here were isolated events. Introduced malaria does not pose a substantial public health threat provided current malaria surveillance procedures and malaria awareness among medical personnel are maintained.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, circulation 106,000, is published by the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia. The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly telegraphs to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday.

The editor welcomes accounts on interesting cases, outbreaks, environmental hazards, or other public health problems of current interest to health officials. Send reports to: Attn: Editor, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

Send mailing list additions, deletions and address changes to: Attn: Distribution Services, Management Analysis and Services Office, 1-SB-419, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333. When requesting changes be sure to give your former address, including zip code and mailing list code number, or send an old address label.

[^4]
[^0]:    -The current CDC case definition is the original case definition (1) with 2 modifications suggested by the Conference of State and Territorial Epidemiologists: 1) orthostatic dizziness is now considered sufficient evidence of hypotension, and 2) the presence of Staphylococcus aureus in blood cultures does not exclude a case from consideration. The change in case definition results in the reclassification of fewer than $5 \%$ of cases.

[^1]:    *Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
    **Pneumonia and influenza
    $\dagger$ Because of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
    $\dagger \dagger$ Total includes unknown ages.

[^2]:    -Potentially preventable case-measles illness occurring in a person at least 15 months of age and born after 1956 who lacked adequate evidence of immunity to measles.
    Adequate evidence of immunity - history of live measles vaccine on or after the first birthday (date of vaccination must be specified) or history of physician-diagnosed measles illness.
    Accessibility to control measures - enrollment in a recognized day-care center or age 5-19 years (old enough to attend school).

[^3]:    *Not applicable.

[^4]:    U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
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