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## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Chancroid - California

From May 1, 1981, to March 19, 1982, 389 patients with dark-field-negative genital ulcers were seen in the Orange County (California) Special Diseases Clinic (OCSDC). Haemophilus ducreyi was identified as the causative organism in this outbreak on December 28, 1981. Since then, cultures from genital lesions obtained from 126 patients have grown H . $d u$ creyi. The outbreak of chancroid began in late May 1981 when the number of patients with dark-field-negative genital lesions seen at the OCSDC increased markedly. The peak number of $H$. ducreyi-positive cultures occurred in the week ending January 16, 1982 (Figure 1). AIthough primary and secondary syphilis and genital herpes infections have been hyperendemic in Orange County, chancroid has never before been documented there at such high levels.

Ninety-one percent of the patients were Hispanic men, many of whom were recent immigrants from Mexico currently living in central Orange County in crowded apartments (5-15 occupants per single housing unit). At least $77 \%$ of these men had had recent sexual contact with prostitutes. Examination of 2 prostitutes from that area, who presumably had multiple FIGURE 1. Cases of chancroid, by week of first clinic visit, Orange County, California, 1981-1982


## Chancroid - Continued

contacts with male chancroid patients but for whom no direct contact could be established, showed no lesions. However, when cultures of the cervix, urethra, and vagina were done, $H$. ducreyiwas recovered from cervical specimens from both women.

Ninety-five percent of the confirmed or presumptive cases* were in men with genital ulcers (ranging from 0.3 cm to 2.5 cm in diameter) and/or enlarged inguinal nodes. The lesions were single or multiple, superficial or deep, sometimes indurated, and often with ragged edges and a purulent base. Tender, unilateral or bilateral inguinal nodes were present in $32 \%$ of patients, and in some patients these progressed to the formation of fluctuant buboes.

Dark-field and serologic tests for syphilis, cultures for Herpes simplex virus (HSV), and serologic tests for chlamydiae (for diagnosis of lymphogranuloma venereum) have been negative in nearly all instances. However, 2 patients had lesions that were positive for syphilis (Treponema pallidum was identified on dark-field examination) and for $H$. ducreyi simultaneously, and 1 patient had a lesion that yielded HSV as well as H. ducreyi. In addition, 2 cases of culture-proven chancroid were identified in 1 week at the OCSDC for patients whose lesions were described as "typical herpes," although HSV was not isolated.

Antimicrobial susceptibility tests performed at CDC on 29 isolates of $H$. ducreyifrom this outbreak showed resistance to sulfamethoxazole and tetracycline but susceptibility to ery-thromycin-mean minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of $\leqslant 0.004 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ - and to trime-thoprim/sulfamethoxazole-mean MIC $\leqslant 0.06 / 1.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.
Reported by JR Greenwood, PhD, T Prendergast, MD, LR Ehling, MD, Orange County Health Dept, C Zavala, J Chin, MD, State Epidemiologist, California Dept of Health; Sexually Transmitted Diseases Laboratory Program, Center for Infectious Diseases, Field Svcs Div, Epidemiology Program Office, Venereal Disease Control Div, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note: Chancroid is a sexually transmitted disease that is rarely reported in temperate climates and may be confused with primary syphilis and genital herpes infections. The mean number of cases reported annually in the United States and California from 1974 to 1980 was 697 and 29 , respectively $(1,2)$.
H. ducreyi is a fastidious, gram-negative bacterium that is difficult to grow in vitro, and may not be detected unless careful collection and isolation techniques are employed. The bacterium requires high humidity and a slightly lower temperature for growth (33-35 C) than do other bacteria (35-37 C). Also many strains show variation in nutritional requirements. To obtain optimal recovery, several types of plating media should be used for each culture. The Orange County Public Health Laboratory has achieved the greatest rate of recovery by using enriched chocolate agar, but some strains grow only on Heart Infusion agar supplemented with 10\% fetal bovine serum (3). Microbiologists may contact the CDC Sexually Transmitted Disease Research Laboratory for additional information on the isolation of $\mathbf{H}$. ducreyi.

Considerable variation occurs in antibiotic susceptiblity patterns for H. ducreyi, making ob-

[^0]1. A genital ulcer
a. negative upon dark-field examination
b. with accompanying negative serologic test for syphilis (STS)
c. with no mention of genital herpes in the history, no diagnosis of herpes for this lesion after repeated visits, or no positive culture for Herpes simplex virus; or
2. A grossly enlarged, fluctuant, or aspirated inguinal node or bubo in a patient with negative STS results; or
3. A genital ulcer that has not healed after appropriate syphilis treatment, regardless of STS results.

## Chancroid - Continued

solete the treatment suggested in most medical textbooks. Resistance of this organism to sulfamethoxazole alone and to tetracycline has been noted in recent years $(4,5)$. CDC currently recommends 500 mg erythromycin by mouth 4 times a day for 10 days as the treatment of choice for chancroid. An alternative effective regimen is 800 mg sulfamethoxazole and 160 mg trimethoprim by mouth 2 times a day for 10 days. Recent laboratory testing has shown $H$. ducreyi to be very sensitive to several newer cephalosporin drugs. Research is needed to determine if a single dose of a cephalosporin with a long biologic half-life would be more effective treatment.

Follow-up evaluations should be at no more than 1-week intervals and should continue until the lesions are completely resolved. To prevent transmission of this infection, patients must abstain from sexual activity while clinical disease is present and, because asymptomatic carriage of the organisms is possible, recent sexual partners of patients must be treated with a regimen adequate for uncomplicated chancroid. When chancroid is left untreated, the patient often develops an inguinal bubo that may spontaneously rupture. Necrotic ulcers and erosive lesions that destroy genital tissue have also been reported.

Control strategies in the Orange County outbreak have focused on interviewing persons with confirmed cases for names of sexual partners; this has not successfully identified new cases. During a door-to-door educational campaign in 10 high-risk neighborhoods, no new cases were found. Intensified efforts have been implemented to identify and treat prostitutes.

This outbreak of chancroid is not yet controlled and could spread to other areas, emphasizing the need for prompt diagnosis, treatment, and epidemiologic investigation. Because this disease is uncommon in the United States, current surveillance measures may not be sufficient to detect similar outbreaks should they occur. All sexually-transmitted-disease clinics, private physicians, and other health-care providers should report cases of presumed chancroid to their county or state health departments. The forwarding of isolates of $H$. ducreyi to CDC should be coordinated with state laboratories.
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## Current Trends

## Influenza Update - United States

Influenza activity caused by type B and type A(H1N1) viruses continued in early April, especially in northern states. According to state reports and isolates from collaborating laboratories, virus activity was at generally low levels.

Alaska, Illinois, Massachusetts, and New Mexico, which had reported influenza type B isolates earlier, recently reported their first influenza type $A(H 1 N 1)$ isolates of the season, and

## Influenza - Continued

Delaware, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, and New Jersey have now reported influenza type B isolates. Two additional reports of outbreaks associated with influenza type $B$ virus in nursing homes have been received. In New Jersey, 2 type B isolates were obtained in a nursing home where 28 of 122 residents had influenza-like illness in the period March 21 -April 3. In California, 18 of 46 elderly residents had febrile respiratory illness between mid-February and midMarch, and 3 died. Type $B$ influenza virus was isolated from 1 patient, and high titers to influenza B were detected in convalescent-phase serum specimens from 7 of 9 patients.
Reported by P Gross, MD, B Mojica, MD, W Parkin, DVM. State Epidemiologist, New Jersey State Dept of Health; S Fannin, MD, Los Angeles County, J Chin, MD, State Epidemiologist, California Dept of Health; BJ Francis, MD, State Epidemiologist, Illinois State Dept of Public Health; R Gilfillen, PhD, JDicinti, NJFiumura, MD, State Epidemiologist, Massachusetts Dept of Public Health; L McLaren, PhD, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, H Hull, MD, JMann, MD, State Epidemiologist, New Mexico State Health and Environmental Dept; J Jean, PhD, DR Cowan, DDS, MS, State Epidemiologist, Delaware Dept of Health and Social Svcs; R Gohd, PhD, Charity Hospital, New Orleans, C Caraway, DVM, State Epidemiologist, Louisiana State Dept of Health and Human Resources; H Lind, PhD, W Nersesian, MD, Acting State Epidemiologist, Maine State Dept of Human Svcs; D Ritter, MD, J Middaugh, MD, State Epidemiologist, Alaska State Dept of Health and Social Svcs; R Carlson, PhD, DE Wilcox, MD, State Epidemiologist, Kansas State Dept of Health and Environment; Epidemiology Div, US Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine; Influenza Br, Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States

| DISEASE | 14th WEEK ENDING |  |  | CUMULATIVE, FIRST 14 WEEKS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } 10 \\ 1982 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } 11 \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIAN } \\ & \text { 1977.1981 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } 10 \\ 1982 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } 11 \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ \text { 1977.1981 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Aseptic meningitis | 59 | 58 | 33 | 1. 017 | 904 | 668 |
| Brucellosis | 2 | 4 | 1 | 27 | 23 | 41 |
| Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne \& unspec.) | 9 | 16 | 11 | 184 | 199 | 162 |
| Post-infectious | 3 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 24 | 43 |
| Gonorrhea: Civilian | 16.924 | 18.068 | 18,068 | 244.504 | 259.373 | 252,498 |
| Military | 462 | 728 | 547 | 7.309 | 7.865 | 7.324 |
| Hepatitis: Type A | 368 | 546 | 552 | 5,994 | 6.780 | 7.342 |
| Type B | 409 | 402 | 309 | 5.220 | 5.023 | 4.242 |
| Non A, Non B Unspecified | 54 179 | N | N | 503 2.473 | N N | , ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ |
| Unspecified | 179 | 233 | 191 | 2.473 | 2.881 | 2,748 |
| Legionellosis | 8 | N | N | 72 | N | N |
| Leprosy | 9 | 8 | 3 | 47 | 57 | 40 |
| Malaria | 8 | 28 | 12 | 182 | 323 | 134 |
| Measles (rubeola) | 33 | 81 | 563 | 264 | 770 | 4.479 |
| Meningococcal infections: Total | 73 | 98 | 75 | 943 | 1.388 | 953 |
| Civilian | 73 | 98 | 73 | 939 | 1.385 | 943 |
| Military | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 9 |
| Mumps | 295 | 85 | 471 | 1.999 | 1.483 | 5.491 |
| Pertussis | 23 | 28 | 18 | 284 | 285 | 285 |
| Rubella(German measles) | 81 | 68 | 394 | 665 | 736 | 3,878 |
| Syphilis (Primary \& Secondary): Civilian | 582 | 630 | 427 | 8.895 | 8. 163 | 6.598 |
| Military | 3 | 2 | 4 | 99 | 104 | 85 |
| Tuberculosis | 522 | 519 | 519 | 6,543 | 6.535 | 7.094 |
| Tularemia | 4 | 3 | 1 | 25 | 27 | 23 |
| Typhoid fever | 1 | 18 | 11 | 99 | 133 | 108 |
| Typhus fever, tick-borne (RMSF) | 3 | 5 | 2 | 22 | 19 | 17 |
| Rabies, animal | 132 | 212 | 118 | 1.377 | 1.822 | 968 |

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of Iow frequency, United States

|  | CUM. 1982 |  | CUM. 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Poliomyelitis: Total | 1 |
| Botulism (Calif. 2) | 20 | Paralytic | 1 |
| Cholera | - | Psittacosis (N.J. 2) | 22 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | 2 | Rabies, human | - |
| Diphtheria | 17 | Tetanus (Ark. 1) | 12 |
| Leptospirosis (Md. 1, Tex. 1) | 17 | Trichinosis (Pa. 1, Md. 4) | 33 |
| Plague | 2 | Typhus fever, flea-borne (endemic, murine) | 3 |

N : Not notifiable

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
April 10, 1982 and April 11, 1981 (14th week)

| REPORTING AREA | ASEPTIC <br> MENIN. <br> GITIS <br> 1982 | BRUCEL- <br> LOSIS <br> CUM. <br> 1982 | ENCEPHALITIS |  | GONORRHEA <br> (Civilian) |  | HEPATITIS (Viral), by type |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEGIONEL- } \\ & \text { LOSIS } \end{aligned}$ | LEPROSY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Primary | Post-infectious |  |  | A | B | NA,NB | Unspecified |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | 1982 | 1982 | 1982 | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 59 | 27 | 184 | 14 | 244.504 | 259.373 | 368 | 409 | 54 | 179 | 8 | 47 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 1 | - | 10 | 3 | 5,864 | 6,380 | 5 | 17 | 3 | 8 | - | 1 |
| Maine | $-$ | - | - | - | 271 | 322 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| N.H. | - | - | - | - | 170 | 230 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| V . | - | - | - | - | 124 | 104 | - | 6 | - | 8 | - | - |
| Mass. | 1 | - | 3 | - | 2.676 | 2.597 | 2 | 6 | - | 8 | - | - |
| R.I. | - | - | - | 3 | 2.417 | 2.310 | 2 | 9 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| Conn. | - | - | 7 | 3 | 2.206 | 2.817 | 1 | 9 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 8 | - | 24 | 2 | 30.140 | 30,180 | 47 | 63 | 5 | 17 | 1 | 3 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 1 | - | 11 | - | 4.986 | 4.774 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 3 | - | - |
| N.Y. City | - | - | 5 | - | 12.473 | 11.875 | 17 | 20 | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | 1 |
| N.J. | 1 | - | 4 | - | 5.627 | 6.333 | 11 | 19 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Pa . | 6 | - | 4 | 2 | 7,054 | 7.198 | 16 | 16 | - | 11 | - | 1 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 8 | - | 44 | 4 | 31,227 | 41.044 | 45 | 35 | 3 | 15 | 5 | - |
| Ohio | 3 | - | 14 | 2 | 10,085 | 14.189 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 5 | - |
| Ind. | 1 | - | 13 | 2 | 4,071 | 3,363 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 6 | - | - |
| III. | - | - | - | - | 5,241 | 11.278 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Mich. | 2 | - | 15 | - | 8,509 | 8,671 | 16 | 16 | - | 4 | - |  |
| Wis. | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3,321 | 3.543 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 4 | 2 | 11 | - | 11.724 | 12.240 | 10 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 1 | - |
| Minn. | - | - | - | - | 1.697 | 1.999 | 2 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - |
| lowa | 1 | 1 | 6 | - | 1.269 | 1.286 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Mo. | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 5.363 | 5.547 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 154 | 165 |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 336 | 328 | - | 1 | - | - | - |  |
| Nebr. | $\square$ | - | 1 | - | 717 | 876 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Kans. | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2,188 | 2.039 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 12 | 10 | 22 | 2 | 62,649 | 64.656 | 33 | 92 | 11 | 17 | 1 | 3 |
| Del. | - | - | - | - | 987 | 984 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Md. | - | - | 9 | - | 8,127 | 6,829 | 3 | 21 | 1 | 6 | - | 1 |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | 3.193 | 4.270 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| Va . | 3 | 4 | 6 | - | 5.497 | 6.050 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - |
| W. Va. | - | - | - | - | 727 | 959 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 4 | - | 1 | - | 10.417 | 10.286 | 4 | 1 |  | - | - |  |
| S.C. | - | 1 | - | - | 5,958 | 5,882 | 1 | 18 | - | $\bar{T}$ | - | - |
| Ga. | - | 1 | - | - | 9.483 | 12,835 | 7 | 16 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Fla. | 5 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 18,260 | 16,561 | 16 | 21 | 7 | 9 | - | 2 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 2 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 20.779 | 21.219 | 12 | 29 | 8 | 6 | - | - |
| Ky. | - | - | - | - | 2,738 | 2,770 | 3 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Tenn. | 1 | 1 | 7 | - | 7,931 | 7.697 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Ala. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6,324 | 6,912 | 2 | 17 | 6 | 4 | - | - |
| Miss. | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3,786 | 3.840 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 5 | 5 | 15 | - | 35,133 | 35,717 | 96 | 32 | 3 | 78 | - | 3 |
| Ark. | - | 2 | - | - | 2.896 | 2.350 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| La. | 2 | - | 2 | - | 6,255 | 5.651 | 7 | 6 | - | 13 | - | - |
| Okla. | - | 2 | 6 | - | 3,712 | 3.553 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 4 | - | - |
| Tex. | 3 | 1 | 7 | - | 22,270 | 24.163 | 68 | 20 | - | 59 | - | 3 |
| MOUNTAIN | - | - | 8 | 1 | 8.989 | 10.688 | 21 | 12 | 2 | 12 | - | 1 |
| Mont. | - | - | - | - | 382 | 376 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | 397 | 416 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | 251 | 232 | 7 | - | - | 4 | - |  |
| Colo. | - | - | 2 | 1 | 2.476 | 2.736 | 7 | 4 | - | 4 |  |  |
| N. Mex. | - | - | - | - | 1,123 | 1.221 | 6 | - | - | - | , | - |
| Ariz. | U | - | 2 | - | 2.343 | 3.490 | $\checkmark$ | U | 4 | U | U | - |
| Utah | - | - | - | - | 396 | 481 | 2 | $\overline{5}$ | 1 | 6 | - | - |
| Nev. | - | - | 4 | - | 1,621 | 1,736 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| PACIFIC | 19 | 7 | 40 | 1 | 37.999 | 37,249 | 99 | 113 | 16 | 23 | - | 36 |
| Wash. | - | - | 3 | - | 3.279 | 3,519 | 20 | 25 | 9 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Oreg. | - | - | - | - | 2,150 | 2,766 | 7 | 3 | 1 | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ |
| Calif. | 18 | 6 | 35 | 1 | 30,951 | 29.138 | 71 | 82 | 6 | 21 | - | 20 |
| Alaska |  | 1 | 2 | - | 961 | 1,023 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 4 |
| Hawaii | 1 | - | - | - | 658 | 803 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 14 |
| Guam | U | - | - | - | 5 | 39 | U | U | U | U | U | - |
| P.R. | - | - | 1 | - | 790 | 909 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - |
| V.I. | U | - | - | - | 51 | 24 | U | U | U | U | U | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | U | - | - | - | 36 | 123 | U | U | U | U | U | 1 |

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 10, 1982 and April 11, 1981 (14th week)

| REPORTING AREA | MALARIA |  | MEASLES (RUBEOLA) |  |  | MENINGOCOCCAL <br> INFECTIONS <br> (Total) |  | MUMPS |  | PERTUSSIS | RUBELLA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1982 | CUM. 1982 | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | CUM. 1981 |
| UNITED STATES | 8 | 182 | 33 | 264 | 770 | 73 | 943 | 295 | 1.999 | 23 | 81 | 665 | 736 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 1 | 15 | - | 5 | 26 | 2 | 52 | 3 | 108 | - | - | 8 | 64 |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 22 | - | - | - | 31 |
| N.H. | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | 9 | 2 | 11 | - | - | 8 | 21 |
| Vt. | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | - | 4 | - | - | - | $\overline{7}$ |
| Mass. | 1 | 10 | - | 1 | 15 | 1 | 14 | - | 55 | - | - | - | 7 |
| R.I. | - | 1 | - | - |  | 1 | 9 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | - | 3 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 15 | - | 9 | - | - | - | 5 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | - | 16 | 1 | 32 | 269 | 11 | 141 | 10 | 108 | 5 | 11 | 52 | 95 |
| Upstate N.Y. | - | 2 | 1 | 18 | 157 | 2 | 34 | 5 | 27 | 5 | 6 | 28 | 36 |
| N.Y. City | - | 7 | - | 12 | 26 | - | 26 | 2 | 20 | - | 4 | 14 | 21 |
| N.J. | - | 4 | - | - | 19 | 3 | 37 | 2 | 22 | - | 1 | 10 | 34 |
| Pa . | - | 3 | - | 2 | 67 | 6 | 44 | 1 | 39 | - | - | - | 4 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | - | 12 | 2 | 17 | 44 | 7 | 108 | 175 | 1.177 | 12 | 20 | 78 | 154 |
| Ohio | - | 2 | - | - | 13 | 3 | 45 | 131 | 849 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Ind. | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 7 |  | 19 | - | 1 | 9 | 51 |
| If. | - | - | 2 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 22 | 10 | 57 | 6 | 3 | 20 | 42 |
| Mich. | - | 8 | - | 8 | 22 | 2 | 25 | 34 | 181 |  | 14 | 32 | 22 |
| Wis. | - | 1 | - |  | 2 | 1 | 9 | - | 71 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 39 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 2 | 7 | - | 1 | 4 | 2 | 41 | 80 | 145 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 35 |
| Minn. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 9 | 72 | 75 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| lowa | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | 1 | 19 | - | - | - | - |
| Mo. | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 15 | 1 | 12 | - | 1 | 13 | 1 |
| N. Dak. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - |  | - | - |  | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Nebr. | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Kans. | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 39 | - | - | 5 | 27 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 2 | 34 | 1 | 19 | 214 | 17 | 198 | 9 | 137 | - | 1 | 20 | 69 |
| Del. |  | 4 | - |  | - |  | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |  |
| Md. | - | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 11 | - | 1 | 5 | - |
| D.C. | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 5 | - |
| Va . | 2 | 15 | - | 9 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 2 | 19 | - | - | 9 | 1 |
| W. Va. | - | - | - | 1 | 7 | - | 7 | 1 | 62 | - | - | 1 | 15 |
| N.C. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 29 |  | 4 | - | - |  | 4 |
| S.C. | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 25 | 2 | 9 | - | - | 2 | 4 |
| Ga. | - | 2 | - | - | 75 | 4 | 55 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 18 |
| Fla. | - | 6 | 1 | 7 | 127 | 7 | 55 | 3 | 27 | - | - | 3 | 27 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | - | 1 | - | 5 | - | 7 | 61 | 1 | 21 | - | 17 | 30 | 15 |
| Ky. | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 6 | 1 | 8 | - | 2 | 15 | 10 |
| Tenn. | - | - | - | 4 | - | 1 | 25 | - | 8 | - | 2 | - | 5 |
| Ala. | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 27 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Miss. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 15 | 15 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | - | 6 | 3 | 29 | 61 | 13 | 135 | 6 | 66 | $\sim$ | 2 | 46 | 47 |
| Ark. | - | - | - |  |  | - | 8 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| La. | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 19 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 6 |
| Okia. | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 9 | - | $-$ | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| Tex. | - | 5 | 3 | 29 | 58 | 11 | 99 | 6 | 62 | - | 1 | 44 | 41 |
| MOUNTAIN | - | 3 | - | - | 12 | 5 | 61 | 2 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 33 |
| Mont. | - | - | - | - | 12 | 1 | 5 | - | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 1 |
| Colo. | - | 2 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 23 | 1 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | 16 |
| N. Mex. | - | - |  | - | - | 1 | 9 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| Ariz. | U | 1 | U | - | 2 | U | 10 | U | 10 | U | U | 4 | 4 |
| Utah | - |  | , | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 7 | - | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| Nev. | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 4 |
| PACIFIC | 3 | 88 | 26 | 156 | 140 | 9 | 146 | 9 | 205 | 2 | 27 | 391 | 224 |
| Wash. | - | 7 | - | 14 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 3 | 37 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 37 |
| Oreg. | - | 2 | - | - |  | - | 26 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 31 |
| Calif. | 3 | 77 | 26 | 140 | 139 | 6 | 96 | 6 | 163 | 1 | 25 | 367 | 156 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - |  | - | 5 | - | 4 |  | - | 1 | - |
| Hawaii | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | - |
| Guam | $u$ | - | U | - | 4 | U | - | U | 1 | U | U | 1 | - |
| P.R. | - | 2 | 1 | 44 | 102 | - | 3 | 1 | 15 | 1 | - | 3 | 3 |
| V.I. | U |  | U |  | 4 | U | - | U | - | U | U |  | 3 |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | U | - | $u$ | - | - | U | - | $v$ | - | U | $v$ | - | 1 |

TABLE III (Cont.'d). Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 10, 1982 and April 11, 1981 (14th week)

| REPORTING AREA | SYPHILIS (Civilian) (Primary \& Secondary) |  | TUBERCULOSIS |  | TULA. REMIA | TYPHOID FEVER |  | TYPHUS FEVER (Tick-borne) (RMSF) |  | RABIES, <br> Animal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CUM. <br> 1982 | CUM. 1981 | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUM. } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 8.895 | 8,163 | 522 | 6.543 | 25 | 1 | 99 | 3 | 22 | 1.377 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 178 | 187 | 12 | 186 | - | - | 10 | - | - | 5 |
| Maine | - | 1 | 2 | 13 | - |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| N.H. | - | 8 | 2 | 8 | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| N.H. | - | 10 | - | 6 | - | - | 2 |  |  |  |
| Mass. | 126 | 110 | 6 | 121 | - | - | 7 | - |  | - |
| R.I. | 11 | 13 | 1 | 17 | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Conn. | 41 | 45 | 1 | 21 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 1,186 | 1,229 | 117 | 1.137 | 2 | - | 11 | - | - | 16 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 118 | 99 | 22 | 200 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 10 |
| N.Y. City | 722 | 775 | 42 | 444 | - | - | 7 |  |  | - |
| N.J. | 138 | 143 | 19 | 205 | - |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |
| Pa . | 208 | 212 | 34 | 288 | - | - | - | - |  | 5 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 404 | 562 | 50 | 982 | - | - | 8 | - | - | 155 |
| Ohio | 87 | 73 | 5 | 181 | - | - | 4 | - |  | 26 |
| Ind. | 62 | 35 | 13 | 134 | - | - |  |  |  | 65 |
| III. | 132 | 322 | 20 | 370 |  | - | 1 |  |  | 65 |
| Mich. | 93 | 103 | 12 | 241 | - | - | 3 | - |  | 42 |
| Wis. | 30 | 29 | - | 56 | - | - | - | - | - | 42 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 173 | 142 | 25 | 201 | 6 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 356 |
| Minn. | 25 | 52 | 5 | 36 | - | - | , |  |  | 69 |
| lowa | 7 | 8 | - | 29 | $\overline{5}$ | - | 1 | - |  | 113 |
| Mo. | 109 | 71 | 11 | 87 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 45 |
| N. Dak. | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - |  | 18 |
| S. Dak. | - | - | 3 | 6 | - | - | - | - |  | 36 |
| Nebr. | 5 | 3 | 1 | 7 | - | - | - | - |  | 36 35 |
| Kans. | 23 | 7 | 3 | 31 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 35 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 2,472 | 2.144 | 116 | 1,313 | 6 | - | 12 | 1 | 13 | 221 |
| Del. | 6 | 5 | 2 | 13 | - | - |  | 1 | 8 | 13 |
| Md. | 144 | 170 | 7 | 165 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 8 | 13 |
| D.C. | 159 | 189 | 4 | 49 | - | - | - | - |  | 112 |
| Va . | 183 | 198 | 15 | 128 | 1 | - | 2 | - |  | 112 |
| W. Va. | 6 | 6 | 1 | 33 | - | - | 2 | - | $\overline{4}$ | 10 |
| N.C. | 194 | 172 | 19 | 209 | - | - |  | - | 4 | 15 |
| S.C. | 118 | 146 | 24 | 138 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 15 |
| Ga. | 526 | 548 | 18 | 208 | - | - | - | - |  | 13 |
| Fla . | 1.136 | 110 | 26 | 370 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 13 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 681 | 557 | 42 | 555 | 3 | - | 9 | 1 | 5 | 166 |
| Ky. | 33 | 22 | 12 | 140 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 31 112 |
| Tenn. | 187 | 219 | 14 | 194 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | 112 |
| Ala. | 232 | 154 | 16 | 174 | - | - | 6 | - | 3 | 23 |
| Miss. | 229 | 162 | - | 47 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 2.297 | 1.931 | 52 | 696 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 243 |
| Ark. | 57 | 36 | 7 | 69 | 4 | - | - | - |  | 35 |
| La. | 476 | 412 | 4 | 127 | - | - | 2 |  |  | 58 |
| Okla. | 43 | 49 | 3 | 104 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 58 143 |
| Tex. | 1,721 | 1.434 | 38 | 396 | - | I | 3 | - | 1 | 143 |
| MOUNTAIN | 234 | 201 | 8 | 172 | 2 | - | 5 | - | - | 24 |
| Mont. | 1 | 8 | 2 | 16 | - | - | - | - |  | 13 |
| Idaho | 16 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | - | - |  | 2 |
| Wyo. | 9 | 2 | $\bar{\square}$ | 2 | - | - | 1 | - |  | 2 |
| Colo. | 15 | 69 | 2 | 19 | - | - | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| N. Mex. | 45 | 39 | 4 | 37 68 | - | U | 3 | U |  | 6 |
| Ariz. | 46 | 44 | U | 68 6 | 1 | U | 1 | U | - | 6 |
| Utah | 7 | 3 | - | 6 17 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Nev. | 35 | 34 | - | 17 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| PACIFIC | 1.270 | 1,210 | 100 | 1.301 | 1 | - | 36 | - | 1 | 191 |
| Wash. | 41 | 43 | 7 | 79 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Oreg | 37 | 26 | 8 | 49 1.077 | - | - | 34 | - | 1 | 137 |
| Calif. | 1.159 | 1,111 | 82 | 1,077 | - | - | 34 |  | 1 | 54 |
| Alaska | 61 | 26 | 5 | 18 78 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 5 |
| Hawaii | 27 | 26 | 5 | 78 | - | - | 1 | - |  |  |
| Guam | - | - | U | 2 | - | U | - | U | - | 13 |
| P.R. | 165 | 201 | - | 57 | - | U | - | U |  | 13 |
| V.I. |  | - | U | 1 | - | U | - | U | - | - |
| Pac. Trust Terr. | - | - | U | 19 | - | U | - | U | - | - |

Pac. Trust Terr.
U: Unavailable

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending April 10, 1982 (14th week)

| REPORTING AREA | ALL CAUSES, BY AGE (YEARS) |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { P \& I** } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{array}\right.$ | * REPORTING AREA | ALL CAUSES, bY AGE (YEARS) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\& l** } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { AGES }}{\text { ALL }}$ | $\geqslant 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1.24 | <1 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { AGES } \end{gathered}$ | $\geq 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 4 1.24 | $<1$ |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 624 | 437 | 135 | 20 | 16 | 12 | 48 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,371 | 852 | 324 | 107 | 44 | 40 | 47 |
| Boston, Mass. | 188 | 119 | 48 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 19 | Atlanta, Ga. | 137 | 79 | 3 C | 19 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 36 | 25 | 9 | 2 | - | - | 5 | Baltimore, Md. | 371 | 239 | 87 | 21 | 16 | 9 | 6 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 21 | 16 | 5 | - | - | - | 3 | Charlotte, N.C. | 73 | 53 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 36 | 28 | 7 | 1 | - | - | - | Jacksonville, Fla. | 115 | 69 | 24 | 11 | 5 | 6 | - |
| Hartford, Conn. | 47 | 35 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | Miami, Fla. | 135 | 75 | 40 | 15 |  | 4 | 2 |
| Lowell, Mass. | 32 | 24 | $t$ | - | 2 | - | 1 | Norfolk, Va. | 57 | 37 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 24 | 17 | 6 | - | - | 1 | 3 | Richmond, Va. | 69 | 38 | 21 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 23 | 23 | - | - | - | - | 2 | Savannah, Ga. | 33 | 18 | 13 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 43 | 33 | 7 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 87 | 71 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Providence, R.I. | 57 | 37 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | Tampa, Fla. | 78 | 50 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 6 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | Washington, D.C. | 164 | 91 | 44 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 44 | 30 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | Wilmington, Del. | 52 | 32 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 21 | 15 | 6 | - | - | - | 2 | Wimingon, Del. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 46 | 30 | 13 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E.S. CENTRAL | 665 | 425 | 158 | 32 | 24 | 25 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birmingham, Ala. | 111 | 69 | 21 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 2 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2.689 | 1,804 | 585 | $16 t$ | 68 | 63 | 97 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | . 49 | 27 | 15 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 58 | 40 | 16 | - | - | 2 | 2 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 45 | 32 | 10 | 1 | 2 | - | , |
| Allentown, Pa. | 16 | 13 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | Louisville, Ky. | 115 | 76 | 26 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. § | 150 | 139 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | Memphis, Tenn. | 145 | 90 | 35 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 8 |
| Camden, N.J. | 36 | 25 | 7 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | Mobile, Ala. | 70 | 42 | 17 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 32 | 24 | 2 | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | Montgomery, Ala. | 41 | 29 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Erie, Pa.t | 41 | 30 | 6 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | Nashville, Tenn. | 89 | 60 | 20 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 43 | 31 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | Nashvile, Yem. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N.Y. City, N.Y. | 1.532 | 1.006 | 341 | 107 | 39 | 39 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newark, N.J. | 52 | 23 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1.410 | 813 | 382 | 118 | 62 | 40 | 42 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 18 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | Austin, Tex. | 1. 62 | 40 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. $\dagger$ Pittsburgh, Pa. $\dagger$ | 283 49 | 191 | 68 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 10 | Baton Rouge, La. | 23 | 15 | 6 | 1 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - |
| Pittsburgh, Pa. $\dagger$ Reading, Pa. | 49 24 | 28 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | - 49 | 25 | 11 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 1 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 127 | 19 94 | 14 | $\overline{6}$ | $\frac{-}{2}$ | 1 | 3 | Dallas, Tex. | 161 37 | 106 | 35 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
| Schenectady, N. Y. | 127 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | El Paso, Tex. | 37 111 | 26 63 | ¢ 35 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Scranton, Pa.t | 38 | 28 | 8 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | Fort Worth, Tex. Houston, Tex. | 1118 | 63 232 | 35 135 | 8 58 | 2 39 | 3 19 | 10 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 93 | 46 | 30 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 | Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark. | 70 | 232 45 | 135 | 58 3 | 39 2 | 19 | 1 |
| Trenton, N.J. | 27 | 16 | 9 | 2 | - | - | 2 | New Orleans, La. | 143 | So | 36 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 21 | 15 | 6 | - | - | - | - | San Antonio, Tex. | 166 | 108 | 42 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 25 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 1 | - | 4 | Shreveport, La. | 45 | 21 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tulsa, Okla. | 66 | 42 | 17 | 6 | 1 | - | 6 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2, 105 | 1,308 | 534 | 120 | 75 | 68 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Akron, Ohio | 41 | 26 | 9 | 4 | - | 2 | - | MOUNTAIN | 633 | 399 | 148 | 39 | 19 | 28 | 28 |
| Canton, Ohio | 37 | 21 | 12 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | Albuquerque, N. Mex. | x. 54 | 34 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Chicago, III. | 533 | 319 | 142 | 38 | 18 | 16 | 14 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 36 | 23 | 11 | - | - | 2 | 5 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 179 | 115 | 46 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 19 | Denver, Colo. | 131 | 94 | 30 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 117 | 74 | 33 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 66 | 35 | 23 | 3 | 5 | - | 2 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 132 | 78 | 41 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 2 | Ogden, Utah | 11 | 10 | 1 |  | - | - | 1 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 92 | 57 | 22 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 2 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 160 | 104 | 27 | 15 | 4 | 10 | 4 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 281 | 164 | 70 | 24 | 16 | 7 | 16 | Pueblo, Colo. | 28 | 18 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 5 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 35 | 24 | 7 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 53 | 26 | 14 |  | 2 |  |  |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 37 | 25 | 10 | $\square$ | 2 |  | 2 | Tucson, Ariz. | 94 | 55 | 27 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| Gary, Ind. | 22 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | Tucson, Ariz. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 54 | 33 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 141 | 80 | 45 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 | PACIFIC | 1,780 | 1. 178 | 367 | 116 | 50 | 68 | 102 |
| Madison, Wis. | 54 | 35 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | Berkeley, Calif. | 14 | 14 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 110 | 81 | 22 | 5 | 4 | 4 | - | Fresno, Calif. | 69 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Peoria, III. | 46 | 33 | $t$ | 5 | - | 2 | 5 | Glendale, Calif. | 18 | 17 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Rockford, III. | 43 | 31 | 5 | - | 3 | - | 1 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 54 | 27 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 45 | 33 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 | Long Beach, Calif. | 94 | 58 | 23 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 47 | 34 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 541 | 371 | 111 | 36 | 10 | 12 | 28 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 53 | 35 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | Oakland, Calif. | 93 | 61 | 20 | 8 | 1 | 12 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pasadena, Calif. | 26 | 24 | 2 | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Portland, Oreg. | 124 | 79 | 27 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 723 | 484 38 | 152 | 35 | 24 | 28 | 35 | Sacramento, Calif. | 83 | 53 | 2 C | 2 | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| Des Moines, lowa <br> Duluth, Minn. | 52 29 | 38 23 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | San Diego, Calif. | 63 | 4115 | 16 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| Kuluth, Minn. | 29 40 | 23 23 | 3 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | San Francisco, Calif. | 170 182 | 115 | 25 37 | 13 | 3 | 14 | 6 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 116 | 69 | 28 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 18 | San Jose, Calif. Seattle, Wash. | 182 | 118 | 37 28 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 15 |
| Lincoin, Nebr. | 35 | 29 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | Spokane, Wash. | 47 | 23 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 5 5 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 80 | 54 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | Tacoma, Wash. | 65 | 43 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 97 | 61 | 24 | 3 | 4 | 5 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 125 | 83 | 30 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 82 | 64 | 1 c | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | TOTAL 1 | $12.000^{\text {+1 }}$ | 1. 70028 | 789 | 7533 | 382 | 372 |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 61 | 40 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 372 | 508 |

[^1]t†Total includes unknown ages

TABLE V. Years of potential life lost, deaths, and death rates, by cause of death, and estimated number of physician contacts, by principal diagnosis, United States


[^2]5"Prenatal care" (NDTI) and "Infant mortality" (MVSR Vol. 30, No. 11, February 10, 1982, p.1) are included in the table because "Years of potential life lost" does not reflect deaths of children $<1$ year.

## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Measles - El Paso, Texas, 1981

In the period March-June 1981, 219 cases of measles were reported by the El Paso (Texas) City-County Health Department. All patients had a temperature of at least 101 F ( 38.3 C ), a rash of at least 3 days' duration, and at least 1 of the following: cough, coryza, conjunctivitis, or Koplik's spots. Twelve cases were confirmed by a 4-fold rise in complement fixation (11) or hemagglutination inhibition (1) antibody titers between acute- and convalescent-phase serum specimens.

Rash onset occurred between April 11 and May 8 in 154 (70.3\%) of the cases. Patients ranged in age from 3 months to 36 years. The largest number of cases, 81 ( $37.0 \%$ ), occurred among 15-19 year olds followed by 67 among $0-4$ year olds (Table 1). Of these 67 preschool patients, only 9 (13.4\%) attended day-care centers.

Of the 219 patients, 94 ( $42.9 \%$ ) had histories of adequate measles vaccination*; 85 (38.8\%) had no history of prior vaccination; and 37 (16.7\%) had histories of inadequate vaccination. The vaccination status was unknown for 3 patients.

Five of the 9 El Paso County public school districts were involved in the outbreak. The highest attack rate, 2.3 cases $/ 1,000$ enrollees, occurred in the Ysleta Independent School District, where 63 of the 100 cases reported occurred in a single high school (Figure 2). On April 28,776 ( $34.3 \%$ ) of the 2,265 students at that high school were identified as being susceptible, and a special clinic was conducted at the school on the morning of April 29. Only 6 cases were reported after the clinic date, and no cases were reported 14 days or more (1 incubation period) after the measles vaccination clinic.

On April 29, the El Paso City-County Health Director formally declared an epidemic. All schoolchildren in the county were required to show proof of adequate measles vaccination or physician-verified measles illness. Over 120,000 school records were screened in public, private, and parochial schools. The majority of schools excluded students who were unvaccinated. Because of staff limitations, the health department elected to conduct vaccination clinics only at those public schools with at least 300 susceptible students. Special clinics were conducted at 14 of the county's 23 high schools, and, at the same time, regular clinic services and immunization services were maintained for the entire day in the public health clinics.

During the control program, 13,000 persons were vaccinated for measles by the El Paso City-County Health Department, an increase of $502 \%$ over the same period in 1980. In addition, the Texas Department of Health issued more than 7,000 doses of measles vaccine to private physicians, hospitals, and out-patient clinics in the EI Paso area.
*Defined by the health department as vaccine administered after 12 months of age and after January 1968.

TABLE 1. Reported cases of measles and attack rates, by age group, El Paso County, Texas, March-June 1981

| Age Group (years) | Number of Cases | Percentage of Total | Attack Rate $/ \mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\leqslant 4$ | 67 | 30.6 | 159.3 |
| $5-9$ | 30 | 13.7 | 72.9 |
| $10-14$ | 29 | 13.2 | 67.7 |
| $15-19$ | 81 | 37.0 | 171.0 |
| $\geqslant 20$ | 12 | 5.5 | 4.4 |
| TOTAL | 219 | 100.0 | 49.4 |

## Measles - Continued

Reported by BF Rosenblum, MD, MPH, EW Gorby, MD, E Orneles, S Balcorta, EI Paso City-Couty Health Dept, JL Bradley, MD, Public Health Region III, RD Crider, Jr, C Mallory, CR Webb, Jr, MD, State Epidemiologist, Texas Dept of Health; Immunization Div, Center for Prevention Svcs, CDC.
Editorial Note: Texas school immunization laws, rules, and regulations (as amended in 1978) provided for a graduated implementation of requirements for measles vaccination. For the school year 1980-1981, only students through the 7 th grade (13 years of age) were required to show proof of measles protection. The lack of such regulations for middle and high school students probably explains the high attack rate in El Paso County in persons 15-19 years of age.

Based on experience in the El Paso outbreak, school regulations for the state of Texas were revised so that, effective September 1, 1981, a history of physician-verified measles or a history of adequate vaccination for measles would be required for all students to attend school, including high school. In May 1981, it was estimated that approximately 290,000 students in public junior and senior high schools would need measles vaccination as a result of the rule changes. From September 1 to November 30, 1981, a statewide record review of all junior and senior high school students was conducted, and from August 1 through November 30, 1981, 112,696 doses of measles vaccine were administered through public health clinics to students 10-19 years of age, an increase of $646 \%$ over the same period in 1980. It is now estimated that $98.3 \%$ of the $2,919,150$ students currently enrolled in the 1,098 school districts in Texas from kindergarten through grade 12 are in compliance with the new state immunization requirements.

FIGURE 2. Reported measles cases in a high school, by date of rash onset, El Paso, Texas, March-May 1981


Measles - Continued
The cornerstone of the measles elimination effort is the achievement and maintenance of high immunization levels, and school requirements for all children from kindergarten through 12 th grade are fundamental for success (1). Past studies have demonstrated that states with such regulations have the lowest incidence rates for measles (2-4) and that enforcement of these regulations with exclusion of non-compliant students correlates best with low measles incidence (3).
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[^0]:    *A confirmed case of chancroid was defined by the recovery of $H$. ducreyi from a culture of the genital lesion. A presumptive or suspected case of chancroid was defined by the absence of a positive culture for $H$. ducreyi and of any clinical diagnosis other than chancroid, such as a traumatic tear or folliculitis, plus any of the following:

[^1]:    Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
    *Pneumonia and influenza
    $\dagger$ Because of changes in reporting methods in these 4 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Years of potential life lost for persons between 1 year and 65 years old at the time of death are derived from the number of deaths in each age category as reported by the National Center for Health Statistics, Monthly Vital Statistics Report (MVSR), Vol. 29, No. 13, September 17, 1981, multiplied by the difference between 65 years and the age at the midpoint of each category. As a measure of mortality, "Years of potential life lost" underestimates the importance of diseases that contribute to death without being the underlying cause of death.
    ${ }^{2}$ The number of deaths is estimated by CDC by multiplying the estimated annual mortality rates (MVSR Vol. 30, No. 12, March 18, 1982, pp. 8-9) and the provisional U.S. population in that month (MVSR Vol. 30, No. 11, February 10, 1982, p.1) and dividing by the days in the month as a proportion of the days in the year.
    ${ }^{3}$ Annual mortality rates are estimated by NCHS (MVSR Vol. 30, No. 12, March 18, 1982, pp. 8-9), using the underlying cause of death from a systematic sample of $10 \%$ of death certificates received in state vital statistics offices during the month and the provisional population of those states included in the sample for that month.
    ${ }^{4}$ IMS America National Disease and Therapeutic Index (NDTI), Monthly Report, November, 1981, Section III. This estimate comprises the number of office, hospital, and nursing home visits and telephone calls prompted by each medical condition based on a stratified random sample of office-based physicians $\mathbf{( 2 1 0 0 )}$ who record all private patient contacts for 2 consecutive days each quarter.

