HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

LGBT Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

LGBT Health. 2015 September; 2(3): 258-264. doi:10.1089/lgbt.2014.0087.

Communicating with School Staff about Sexual Identity, Health and Safety: An Exploratory Study of the Experiences and Preferences of Black and Latino Teen Young Men who have Sex with Men

Catherine A. Lesesne, PhD, MPH^a, Catherine N. Rasberry, PhD, MCHES^b, Elizabeth Kroupa, MPH^a, Pablo Topete, MPH^a, Lisa H. Carver, MPH^a, Elana Morris, MPH^b, and Leah Robin, PhD^b

^aICF International, 3 Corporate Square, Suite 370, Atlanta, Georgia 30329

^bCenters for Disease Control and Prevention, 8 Corporate Square, MS E-75, Atlanta, GA 30329

Abstract

Purpose—This exploratory study examined the experiences of black and Latino teen young men who have sex with men (YMSM) and their preferences for communication with school staff about matters related to sexual orientation.

Methods—Participants for this study were recruited in three urban centers in the United States and by multiple community-based organizations serving black and Latino YMSM. Eligible youth were male, black or Latino, ages 13-19, enrolled in 90 days of school in the previous 18 months, and reported attraction to or sexual behavior with other males, or identified as gay or bisexual. Participants completed Web-based questionnaires (n=415) and/or in-depth interviews (n=32).

Results—Questionnaire participants reported willingness to talk to at least one school staff member about: safety, dating and relationships, and feeling attracted to other guys (63.4%, 58.4%, and 55.9%, respectively). About one-third of the sample reported they would not talk with any school staff about these topics. Exploratory analyses revealed youth who experienced feeling unsafe at school and who had higher levels of trust in the information provided by school staff were more likely to be willing to talk with school staff about safety issues, dating, or same sex attraction (aOR=2.80 and aOR=4.85, respectively). Interview participants reported being most willing to talk to staff who (1) were able and willing to help them; (2) would keep discussions confidential, and (3) expressed genuine care. Preferences for confiding in school staff perceived to be LGBT and having similar racial/ethnic background were also noted.

Conclusion—Findings suggest school staff can serve as points of contact for reaching YMSM and professional development and interventions can be tailored to reach YMSM and connect them to services they need. Additional research is needed to understand how to increase YMSM comfort talking with school staff about sexual health or sexual identity concerns.

Corresponding author: Catherine A. Lesesne, PhD, MPH, Catherine.Lesesne@icfi.com, 404-321-3211 (phone), 404-321-3688 (fax). crasberry@cdc.gov, Elizabeth.Kroupa@icfi.com, Pablo.Topete@icfi.com, Lisa.Carver@icfi.com, emorris1@cdc.gov, lrobin@cdc.gov

Keywords

Adolescent; young men who have sex with men; lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT); communication; school health; safety

Young people grappling with their sexual identity face many challenges and potential for social and familial rejection, and may turn to other trusted adults, such as school staff, for support. Unfortunately staff are not always comfortable or motivated to address sexuality-related issues in sensitive, supportive ways, ²⁻⁵ and school is often unsafe and unwelcoming for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and questioning (LGBTQ) youth. Harassment, bullying/cyberbullying, and verbal and physical assault are commonly reported, ⁶ and unsupportive school environments and victimization of LGBT youth are associated with negative academic and health outcomes such as truancy, ⁷ substance use, ^{7, 8} poor mental health, ^{9, 10} HIV and STD risk, ^{8, 10} and suicide. ¹¹ However, increasing student connectedness to school, including staff and peers, has been associated with school attendance, higher test scores, graduation, ^{12, 13} and reductions in health risk behaviors. ¹⁴

Given the disproportionate risk for HIV among black and Latino young men who have sex with men (YMSM) ages 13-24, it is important to reach younger youth (i.e., ages 13-19) in this range to decrease sexual risk behaviors and increase health-promoting behaviors such as routine HIV testing. One opportunity for this is through schools. However, significant challenges exist for many LGBTQ youth in schools which may make engaging these youth in schools more difficult. Therefore it is critical to understand the willingness of YMSM to discuss sensitive issues with school staff and staff characteristics that facilitate such conversations. To date, limited literature 15, 16 documents experiences and preferences of teen YMSM in discussing such topics, but understanding them is essential for developing effective school-centered interventions that connect LGBTQ youth, particularly YMSM, with important health services. Consequently, the purpose of this exploratory study is to understand the willingness of YMSM to discuss sensitive topics with school staff, which school staff they choose to talk to about these topics, and what characteristics those staff members have that enable YMSM to comfortably engage in conversations. The findings have practical implications for school-based or school-linked programs or services offered to teen YMSM.

Method

Data were collected for this study via an anonymous Web-based questionnaire and in-depth, semistructured interviews, both administered in community-based organizations (CBOs) that served black and Latino YMSM in three U.S. cities: New York, New York; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and San Francisco, California. These cities were selected due to participation of the city school districts in a pilot program funded by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); data collected and reported herein were gathered to inform program development. Although the cities were predetermined, all three have a sufficient population to effectively recruit teen YMSM who are black or Latino for this study. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) for the Protection of Human Subjects at ICF International in agreement with CDC's ethics policy.

Recruitment

The evaluation team trained partner CBOs to recruit YMSM using the IRB approved protocol. Subsequently, partner CBOs in each city completed all recruitment activities for the Web-based questionnaire and interviews, recruiting youth through cards, posters, and word of mouth. Participants completed the questionnaire on study-dedicated computers in private areas at the CBOs. CBO staff scheduled interviews and provided interviewing space; however, the evaluation team conducted all interviews.

Recruitment for the questionnaire occurred between June and September of 2012, and the evaluation team interviewed youth between July and August of 2012. Youth were eligible for participation if they had all of the following characteristics: male; black, Latino, or multiracial with either black or Latino included; between 13 and 19 years of age; indicated attraction to or sexual behavior with other males and/or identified their sexual orientation as gay or bisexual; and attended at least 90 days of school since January 2011.

A broad definition of YMSM is used in this evaluation, and throughout the manuscript, that includes young men who had sex with other males, were attracted to other males, or identified as gay or bisexual. This broader definition allowed the evaluation team to assess youth who are currently at elevated risk or are likely to be in the future and is developmentally appropriate for teens who are developing a sense of personal identity and for those who are not yet sexually experienced.

Sample

A total of 415 youth (200 in New York; 188 in Philadelphia; and 27 in San Francisco) completed the questionnaire and 32 youth were interviewed (11 in New York; 12 in Philadelphia, and 9 in San Francisco). We aimed to recruit 200 youth in each city for the questionnaire and 10 youth in each city for the interviews. The San Francisco questionnaire target was not met; however, the interview target was met. The majority of the questionnaire sample was black (64.1%) and non-Hispanic (59.3%), and the mean age was 17.4 years. Overall, 99.0% of youth met the study's definition of being YMSM based on reported attraction, identity, or behavior. Additional demographic information about the sample is published elsewhere. 17

Among interview participants, approximately half indicated they would be enrolled in high school in the fall; of these, most were entering 11th or 12th grade. Most remaining participants had completed high school. Of these, some, but not all, were enrolled in college. Two interview participants reported having dropped out of school. No other descriptive information was collected on interview participants, but all met the study's inclusion criteria, described previously.

Measures

The 53-item online questionnaire took 25 minutes to complete, on average. The questionnaire included items to assess demographics, school environment, willingness to talk with school staff, media campaign exposure, trusted sources of information, HIV/STD testing history, and preferred venues for accessing sexual health and related services. In-

depth interviews lasted 60-90 minutes and covered similar domains to the questionnaire, with more in-depth discussion of school experiences and how sexual health services could be delivered within schools. In this manuscript, we focus our analysis on youths' willingness to talk with school staff members about health-related topics such as dating and relationships, sexual orientation, and safety, and the perspective of youth on what made them comfortable discussing these topics with staff members.

Analysis

Questionnaire data were analyzed using SPSS. Descriptive statistics were calculated for each variable for the overall sample. Logistic regression was used to conduct an exploratory analysis of predictors of willingness to speak with school staff while controlling for significant demographic covariates.

The evaluation team analyzed qualitative data by iteratively developing codes, establishing intercoder reliability among three experienced coders, single coding full transcripts with ATLAS.ti 7 software, and qualitatively analyzing coded data. To establish intercoder reliability, team members were given text segments from two randomly selected interview transcripts and applied the most relevant primary code to each segment. To obtain the Fleiss's kappa and determine intercoder reliability, the team used an Excel-based macro land validated the result using SPSS syntax. Intercoder reliability was achieved at Fleiss K = .90. Coders reviewed and discussed four codes with a kappa statistic of less than 0.75 to resolve discrepancies. After establishing reliability, one team member coded each transcript for analysis. The team later triangulated data to identify common themes between questionnaire and interview results.

Results

Talking about safety

Among questionnaire participants, 63.4% reported being willing to talk to at least one school staff member about being teased, called names, harmed, or being made to feel unsafe (safety issues). More specifically, 45.6% of youth were willing to talk to a school counselor and 37.1% were willing to talk to a teacher. Only 16.0% of youth were willing to speak with a school nurse about these issues. In addition, 27.8% of youth specifically stated they would not talk to any school staff about these issues (see Table 1). No significant subgroup differences by age, race, and ethnicity were found.

Talking about sexual orientation and relationships

In terms of willingness to talk about dating and relationships or feeling attracted to other guys, 58.4% and 55.9% of youth, respectively, reported they were willing to talk to at least one school staff member. School counselors were the most common choice participants reported being willing to talk to about dating and relationships (36.8%) and feeling attracted to other guys (38.3%). Teachers were selected as the second most common choice whom youth would be willing to talk to about dating and relationships (30.6%) and feeling attracted to other guys (25.1%). Few youth reported school nurses as staff members they would be willing to talk to about being attracted to other guys (13.3%) or dating and

relationships (10.5%). Over one-third of youth specifically reported they would not talk to any staff at school about feeling attracted to other guys or dating and relationships (34.3% and 35.1% of youth, respectively) (see Table 1).

Trust information from school staff about dating, relationships, sex, or sexual health

When YMSM were asked how much they trust the information they get about dating, relationships, sex, or sexual health from teachers, schools counselors, and school nurses, respondents reported a level of trust between a little and some trust (see Table 1). Mean levels of trust for teachers (M=2.46, SD=1.1), counselors (M=2.63, SD=1.1), and nurses (M=2.48, SD=1.1) were modest and similar.

Exploratory analysis of predictors of willingness to talk with school staff

Although our study was descriptive in nature and limited in plausible predictor variables, selected potential predictors of willingness to talk with any school staff member (teachers, counselors, nurses, or other staff members) about safety, dating/relationships, or same sex attraction were explored using logistic regression. Specifically, the experience of feeling unsafe at school in the last 18 months and level of trust of information about dating, relationships, sex or sexual health were examined as potential predictors of willingness to talk with school staff while controlling for age and Latino ethnicity. Results (see Table 2) indicated that YMSM who felt unsafe at school were nearly 3 times more likely (aOR = 2.80, 95% CI = 1.28 - 6.16) to be willing to talk with any school staff members about these topics and YMSM with higher levels of trust in the information from school staff were nearly 5 times more likely (aOR = 4.86, 95% CI = 3.17 - 7.45) to be willing to talk with any school staff about these topics after controlling for demographic covariates, $X^2(4, N=302) = 93.78, p < .01$.

Characteristics of School Staff Confidants

Interview results provide additional detail about staff members with whom YMSM would discuss issues such as safety, sexual orientation, and relationships. In general, interview participants concurred with questionnaire respondents; however, a broader range of staff emerged as potential confidants (e.g. math teacher, security guard, cafeteria worker). Most interviewed youth identified at least one school staff member with whom they felt comfortable talking about these sorts of issues. Although students may have confided in many different types of school staff, interview participants described several types of staff members as confidants more often than others. Youth most often reported talking to: (1) school counselors, principals, selected teachers or staff with whom they already had strong relationships; (2) teachers or staff known to be LGBT; and (3) staff of the same race/ethnicity as the student.

YMSM highlighted a wide range of teachers and staff members as confidants because of personal relationships. The way youth described these individuals highlighted the

¹School staff members youth reported talking to about issues such as personal safety, sexual orientation, and relationships included: art teacher, cafeteria worker, cheerleading coach, cosmetology teacher, english teacher, fashion teacher, Gay-Straight Alliance teacher/coordinator, global studies teacher, gym teacher, history teacher, home room teacher, janitor, librarian, math teacher, teacher aides, principal, school nurse, science teacher, security guard, theater teacher, vice principal, vocal teacher.

importance of caring, open, and relatable interaction styles. Many youth described these staff members as very important to their school experience and like a trusted friend. One youth shared his experience with a teacher confidant saying,

"She was actually my global studies teacher, but they also give you like an advisor, like somebody who's just probably in one of your core classes, they just become your advisor, somebody to just be close or cool as a teacher. So cool, like I loved that lady, if it wasn't for her I probably never would've even made it through high school. Like she was like my rock, like whenever there was something wrong, like I knew I could go to her. Like even after I graduated, like I still speak to her."

Staff Perceived as Similar to the Respondent (LGBT and Racial/Ethnic Group)

In addition, some youth mentioned specific school staff who were approachable because they were known to be LGBT. That identity made some youth more comfortable approaching staff for life advice or safety concerns. Youth viewed these staff as more approachable because they thought LGBT staff had probably been through experiences similar to their own. Interview respondents shared this view saying,

"Cause they're gay and I'm gay and, you know, like, 'cause you know, if you got a problem, come to them, 'cause they know, sometimes they've already been through that problem, and you're just starting to go through, you know, stuff like that."

"They're, well they're successful, and they're in the LGBTQ community, so I just feel comfortable talking to someone that is in the same community but has overcome a lot of things and is successful."

Although it is difficult to generalize the importance of student-to-confidant similarity, a few youth mentioned race/ethnicity as an important quality of a trusted advisor with whom they would talk about being attracted to other guys. Specifically, these youth reported more comfort talking with school staff who were of like racial or ethnic background. One youth captured this in their own words saying,

"Okay, I think I'm going to have to, I just thought about it, like I would end up talking to a teacher that is also a person of color, rather than a white teacher... 'cause they'd understand what the pressures of actually being a person of color-whether they're queer or not, they all understand that, and I'm able to really pull that, as an example, and as like a really, a reference point. When talking about my own issues. And you know, that there's an understanding there that that is there, that isn't really anywhere else."

Acting, Caring, and Keeping it Confidential

Youth also described characteristics of staff members that made them people with whom youth would discuss issues of safety, relationships, and sexual orientation. In particular, youth highlighted three key characteristics: (1) ability and willingness to help the youth, (2) willingness to keep confidentiality, and (3) expression of genuine care. With regard to discussing bullying, homophobia, or other sexual orientation related safety concerns within and outside of school, youth often reported interest in talking to staff who could and would do something about their concerns. In describing this, youth often mentioned principals,

counselors, or other staff they witnessed address safety issues head on. Action to address problems seemed to gain the youth's respect and demonstrate when staff care and are trustworthy. One youth captured this sentiment by saying,

"I would say because, like, [dean, principal, vice principal and teachers] would do something about it. They're not the type of people that don't like just let it sit to the side, and don't do nothing or that might start something and don't finish it."

In discussing sexual orientation and relationships, most interview participants described the importance of confidentiality. Most youth equated "safe" conversations with keeping what was shared private. The desire for privacy and confidentiality was a main reason youth often cited school counselors as staff members with whom they could talk freely about sexuality-related health or safety issues, citing counselors' legal requirement to maintain confidentiality as a reason they could talk with them.

In addition, interview participants expressed regard for staff who truly seemed to listen rather than disregarding or cutting conversation short. The sense that staff members actually cared about what youth had to say was very important to their willingness to discuss these topics. Youth also expressed preference for being treated with respect and feeling that staff members genuinely cared for them.

Unwillingness to talk to school staff

Despite many interview participants identifying at least one school staff member they would talk to or had talked to about safety, sexual orientation, or relationships, a notable minority of youth said they did not trust other people at all. As a result, they did not really talk to school staff or other people about topics related to being YMSM. Most often, these youth described themselves as "private" and indicated they did not talk to school staff about anything personal. Youth cited reasons for their resistance to talking with school staff that included beliefs staff would not do anything about it or they did not want school staff members "in my business." One respondent shared his perspective saying,

"Oh, I really don't talk with teachers. Like I don't talk to any teacher about my problems. I know I can, but I just don't because I'm like I know she wouldn't say nothing, but still. I don't want too many people in my business."

In addition, some youth were unwilling to talk with school staff for fear that once they disclosed information about themselves or their relationships, they would be treated differently or staff would breach confidentiality by telling others (staff, students' family members, or other students) intentionally or unintentionally. In summary, a substantial number of interview participants said "nothing" would have made them more comfortable talking with school staff about sexual orientation or related concerns. This finding was also supported in the questionnaire data (see Table 1).

Discussion

These findings demonstrate the complex experience of teen YMSM and their relationships and communication with school staff on topics related to safety, dating/relationships, and same sex attraction. Quantitative and qualitative findings revealed more than half of YMSM

reported willingness to talk to staff about these topics. In both the questionnaire and interviews, youth expressed greatest willingness to talk to staff with the ability and willingness to help the youth. Counselors and teachers were among the staff members with whom youth most often reported being willing to talk. Our exploratory analyses revealed that both feeling unsafe at school and having greater levels of trust in the information school staff share about dating, relationships, sex or sexual health were positively related to willingness to talk to staff about these kinds of issues. Increasing school staffs' competency and comfort related to sexual health topics with special sensitivity to LGBTQ needs may lead to YMSM having increased trust in the information school staff share on these topics; building this trust may increase their willingness to talk with school staff about their needs.

Our qualitative findings confirm that school staff can positively impact YMSM which is promising. Confidential, respectful conversations between YMSM students and school staff may also provide opportunities to identify and meet critical health and social needs unique to YMSM. As such, professionals working to improve health and social outcomes among these youth may find school staff—particularly counselors, teachers, and other staff in whom youth confide—useful partners in planning and implementing programs. The finding that youth who felt unsafe in school were more likely to be willing to talk to school staff may highlight an opportunity for school staff to support the safety needs of YMSM since this may motivate these youth to talk with staff. If such experiences are positive and result in action taken by school staff in respectful and caring ways, perhaps more trusting relationships can be built between YMSM and school staff. While our study is cross-sectional and we could not test this theory, our qualitative data suggest the nature of the response to safety concerns by school staff does affect student comfort in seeking support from school staff.

Still, our findings also suggest that some students simply may never want to discuss such personal information with adults at school. As such, it is important for school staff to find ways to meet the needs of these students without students ever having to disclose sexual orientation to staff. Ideally, school environments would be such that students could disclose sexual orientation with confidence they would be supported, but likewise, could receive or have access to support and services without having to make such a disclosure. For instance, making health and social service information available such that it is clearly relevant to LGBTQ students is one way to increase access for students without making that access dependent on disclosure.

The important role of LGBT school staff is noteworthy. YMSM reported feeling more comfortable speaking with them because they are non-judgmental, have lived experiences that youth can relate to, and demonstrate genuine care and concern. Where LGBT staff members are present, finding ways to harness those staff as resources may be an important preliminary step in creating a more supportive school environment. Non-LGBT staff can also play a critical role by cultivating strong relationships with students and offering visible support for LGBT youth. Programs such as $SafeZone^{20}$ or $SafeSpaces^{21}$ where staff are trained and have visible symbols to indicate their support and care for LGBTQ youth are important steps in this direction.

Limitations

This study has several important limitations. Findings only describe the experience of these black and Latino teen YMSM in the three participating urban areas and cannot be generalized to other youth. Data also underrepresent perspectives of YMSM who do not openly identify as MSM, who are younger, and who do not connect with LGBTQ-serving CBOs. Although we did not explicitly exclude transgender men from the study, our survey instrument was not adequate to detect them and we do not know if any were included in our sample. To avoid such uncertainty, future studies could use a two-step method of assessing gender which includes both natal gender and current gender identity.

Conclusion

Although not every school staff member will embody characteristics YMSM reported favoring in confidants, each staff member can be prepared to support YMSM students by knowing when, how, and where to refer students for needed resources. With professional development on resources for LGBT students and on skills for connecting with YMSM (and other LGBT students), addressing safety concerns, maintaining and communicating confidentiality, and sharing resources with these students, staff could be more prepared to support their LGBT youth. In addition, school staff could seek to build all students', including YMSM, regard and trust for information they may share about sexual health related topics. As trust is established with school staff, it may provide opportunities to further engage YMSM in health care services through linkage and referral activities. Helping YMSM to see the value and importance of disclosing their orientation to their health care providers is a role that trusted school staff can play. If successful, such disclosures may help ensure that YMSM receive timely and appropriate health screenings and counseling regarding preventative healthcare, including HIV risk and safer sex practices. Lastly, our study also points to the need for more research and education on how schools and their staff can create safer, more embracing spaces for LGBTQ youth to thrive.

Acknowledgements

This formative evaluation was supported by contract 200-2009-30503 from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Division of Adolescent and School Health. The authors would like to acknowledge the important contribution of other team members and partners. We thank Andrew Hebert, Ye Xu, and William Moore for data management, analysis, and reporting support. We thank LaSamuel Stallworth for coordination of data collection efforts. Lastly, we thank the following community-based organizations for assisting with evaluation recruitment: Attic Youth Center; COLOURS Organization, Inc.; Dimensions Clinic; Gay and Lesbian Latino AIDS Education Initiative (GALAEI); Gay Men of African Descent; Gay Men's Health Crisis; Harlem United; Health Initiatives for Youth (HIFY); Hetrick-Martin Institute; Larkin Street Youth Services; Lavender Youth Recreation and Information Center (LYRIC); Mazzoni Center; and the San Francisco Department of Public Health.

Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in the manuscript are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

References

- 1. Harrison TW. Adolescent homosexuality and concerns regarding disclosure. J Sch Health. 2003; 73(3):107–112. [PubMed: 12677729]
- 2. Treadway L, Yoakam J. Creating a safer school environment for lesbian and gay students. J Sch Health. 1992; 62(7):352–357. [PubMed: 1434565]

3. Price JH, Telljohann SK. School counselors' perceptions of adolescent homosexuals. J Sch Health. 1991; 61(10):433–438. [PubMed: 1812322]

- 4. Telljohann SK, Price JH, Poureslami M, Easton A. Teaching about sexual orientation by secondary health teachers. J Sch Health. 1995; 62(1):18–22. [PubMed: 7731196]
- 5. Kosciw, JG.; Greytak, EA.; Bartkiewicz, MJ.; Boesen, MJ.; Palmer, NA. The 2011 National School Climate Survey: The experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender youth in our nation's schools. New York: 2012.
- Bontempo DE, D'Augelli AR. Effects of at-school victimization and sexual orientation on lesbian, gay, or bisexual youths' health risk behavior. J Adolesc Health. 2002; 30(5):364–374. [PubMed: 11996785]
- 7. Birkett M, Espelage DL, Koenig B. LGB and questioning students in schools: The moderative effects of homophobic bullying and school climate on negative outcomes. J Youth Adolesc. 2009; 38(7):989–1000. [PubMed: 19636741]
- 8. Garofalo R, Wolf RC, Kessel S, Palfrey J, DuRant RH. The association between health risk behaviors and sexual orientation among a school-based sample of adolescents. Pediatrics. 1998; 101(5):895–902. [PubMed: 9565422]
- 9. Toomey RB, Ryan C, Diaz RM, Card NA, Russell ST. Gender-nonconforming lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth: School victimization and young adult psychosocial adjustment. Dev Psychol. 2010; 46(6):1580–1589. [PubMed: 20822214]
- Russell ST, Ryan C, Toomey RB, Diaz RM, Sanchez J. Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender adolescent school victimization: Implications for young adult health and adjustment. J Sch Health. 2011; 81(5):223–230. [PubMed: 21517860]
- 11. Hatzenbuehler ML. The social environment and suicide attempts in lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth. Pediatrics. 2011; 127(5):896–903. [PubMed: 21502225]
- 12. Klem AM, Connell JP. Relationships matter: Linking teacher support to student engagement and achievement. J Sch Health. 2004; 74(7):262–273. [PubMed: 15493703]
- 13. Monahan KC, Oesterle S, Hawkins JD. Predictors and consequences of school connectedness: The case for prevention. Prev Res. 2010; 17(3):3–6.
- Resnick MD, Bearman PS, Blum RW, et al. Protecting adolescents from harm: Findings from the National Longitudinal Student on Adolescent Health. J Am Med Assoc. 1997; 278(10):823–832.
- 15. Pingel E, Thomas L, Harmell C, Bauermeister J. Creating comprehensive, youth centered, culturally appropriate sex education: What do young gay, bisexual, and questioning men want? Sex Res Social Policy. 2013; 10(4):293–301.
- Telljohann SK, Price JH. A qualitative examination of adolescent homosexuals' life experiences: Ramifications for secondary school personnel. J Homosex. 1993; 26(1):41–56. [PubMed: 8113603]
- 17. Rasberry CN, Morris E, Lesesne CA, et al. Communicating with school nurses about sexual orientation and sexual health: Perspectives of teen young men who have sex with men. J Sch Nurs. in press.
- 18. King, J. Generalized kappa and other indices of interrater reliability. 2012.
- Diaz EM, Kosciw JG, Greytak EA. School connectedness for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth: In-school victimization and institutional supports. Prev Res. 2010; 17(3):15– 17
- Gay Alliance of Genesee Valley. SafeZone Training Programs. Gay Alliance of Genessee Valley;
 Rochester, NY: 2012. [cited 2014 Aug 19]. Available from: http://www.gayalliance.org/safezonet.html
- 21. Gay Lesbian and Straight Education Network. A safe space in every school: About the Safe Space Kit. Gay, Lesbian and Straight Education Network; 2014. [cited 2014 Aug 19]. Available from: https://safespace.glsen.org/about.cfm

Table 1

Sample characteristics and responses to questionnaire items related to willingness to talk with school staff about safety, dating and relationships, or feeling attracted to other guys.

| Sample characteristics and responses to questionnaire items | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Age % or X (n) | | | | | | |
| 13-15 | 8.9% (37) | | | | | |
| 16-17 | 41.7% (173) | | | | | |
| 18-19 | 48.9% (203) | | | | | |
| I don't want to say | 0.5% (2) | | | | | |
| Mean age, full sample | 17.4 (413) | | | | | |
| Race/ethnicity | 17.4 (413) | | | | | |
| Black non-Latino | 60.2% (236) | | | | | |
| Latino | 39.8% (156) | | | | | |
| Sexual identity or orientation | 37.070 (130) | | | | | |
| Gay | 68.9% (286) | | | | | |
| Straight | 4.3% (18) | | | | | |
| Bisexual | 19.8% (82) | | | | | |
| I don't know | 3.6% (15) | | | | | |
| I don't want to say | 0.7% (3) | | | | | |
| Other | 2.7% (11) | | | | | |
| Identified as YMSM ^a | 99.0% (411) | | | | | |
| Felt unsafe at school in the last 18 months | | | | | | |
| No | 71.2% (267) | | | | | |
| Yes | 28.8% (108) | | | | | |
| Staff member with whom youth were willing to talk to about | | | | | | |
| Being teased, called names, harmed, or being made to feel unsafe b | | | | | | |
| Teacher | 37.1% (141) | | | | | |
| School counselor | 45.6% (182) | | | | | |
| School nurse | 16.0% (64) | | | | | |
| Other school staff member | 26.8% (107) | | | | | |
| I would not talk to any staff at my school | 27.8% (111) | | | | | |
| I don't want to say | 9.5% (38) | | | | | |
| Dating and relationships b | | | | | | |
| Teacher | 30.6% (122) | | | | | |
| School counselor | 36.8% (147) | | | | | |
| School nurse | 10.5% (42) | | | | | |
| Other school staff member | 18.3% (73) | | | | | |
| I would not talk to any staff at my school | 35.1% (140) | | | | | |
| I don't want to say | 8.0% (32) | | | | | |

Lesesne et al.

Sample characteristics and responses to questionnaire items Feeling attracted to other guys 25.1% (100) Teacher School counselor 38.3%(153) 13.3% (53) School nurse Other school staff member 15.5% (62) I would not talk to any staff at my school 34.3% (137) 10.3% (41) I don't want to say Calculated willingness to talk with any school staff about safety, same sex attraction or dating/relationships 75.1% (266) Yes No 24.9% (88) How much would you trust information from... Mean Score, (1 Not at all, 2 A little, 3 Some, 4 A lot) 2.46 (333) Teacher School Counselor 2.63 (340) School Nurse 2.48 (320)

Note: Percentages and means are based on valid cases; missing data has been excluded from calculated variables.

2.53 (351)

Page 12

Calculated Mean^d Score Trust Information from Any School Staff

^aCalculated YMSM = Respondents indicating "attraction to guys or both guys and girls"; OR orientation as gay or bisexual; OR that they would most like to have sex with guys, guys and girls, transguys, or transgirls; OR have had sex with guys, transguys, or transgirls.

 $[^]b\mathrm{Questions}$ were multiple responses; therefore, total percentages will not add up to 100% .

^CCalculated proportion = Respondents reporting willingness to speak with any school staff (teachers, counselors, nurses, or other school staff) about being 1) being teased, called names, harmed, or being made to feel unsafe, 2) dating or relationships, or 3) feeling attracted to other guys.

Table 2

Logistic regression predicting willingness to talk with a teacher, school counselor, school nurse, or other school staff members about safety, dating and relationships, or feeling attracted to other guys

| Willing to talk to School Staff about Safety, Dating/Relationships, or Same Sex Attraction | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|------|-------|------|--------|--------|------|--|--|
| Independent variables | В | S.E. | Wald | Sig. | Exp(B) | 95% CI | | | |
| Age | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.20 | 0.66 | 1.17 | 0.60 | 2.28 | | |
| Hispanic/Latino | 0.42 | 0.35 | 1.43 | 0.23 | 1.53 | 0.76 | 3.05 | | |
| Felt Unsafe at School | 1.03 | 0.40 | 6.58 | 0.01 | 2.80 | 1.28 | 6.16 | | |
| Trust Health Information School Staff | 1.58 | 0.22 | 52.50 | .000 | 4.86 | 3.17 | 7.45 | | |
| Constant | -2.62 | 0.49 | 28.84 | .000 | 0.07 | | | | |
| Model $X^2 = 93.78$, $p < .01$ Nagelkerke $R^2 = 0.41$ n = 302 | | | | | | | | | |