Evaluation of Possible Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Survey of Rhode Island Physicians
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Abstract

**Background**—Patients with undiagnosed inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) are often evaluated initially by primary care physicians (PCPs). Despite the frequency with which PCPs evaluate chronic abdominal pain and chronic diarrhea, little is known about how they approach these symptoms.

**Objectives**—To determine the diagnostic practices and referral patterns of PCPs when confronting a patient with potential IBD.

**Methods**—We conducted a mail survey of PCPs practicing in Rhode Island. Clinical vignettes describing patients with chronic abdominal pain and chronic diarrhea were presented. Respondents were asked to indicate how they would evaluate these scenarios and when they would refer to a specialist.

**Results**—35.6% responded. Wide variation in PCPs’ definitions of chronic abdominal pain and chronic diarrhea was found, with only 26% and 51% of physicians, respectively, defining these symptoms to be chronic per standard definitions. Laboratory testing was found to vary significantly with practice type (p<0.01 for 2 patient groups). Patient age influenced the ordering of diagnostic imaging (p<0.0001), while patient gender did not.

**Conclusions**—There is significant variability among PCPs in the threshold after which common gastrointestinal symptoms become chronic as well as in their diagnostic evaluation of these symptoms. This variability may lead to a lag in the diagnosis of IBD and influence patient outcomes.

Introduction

The inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), cause significant morbidity to patients of all ages. Abdominal pain and diarrhea are common in patients with IBD, but also occur in non-inflammatory conditions of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract such as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). As the initial presentation of IBD may be protean, a prolonged delay in diagnosis has been described. Patients with undiagnosed IBD particularly those with non-acute symptoms are often first evaluated by their primary care physician (PCP). Therefore, PCPs are often in the position of deciding if and when patients with non-specific GI symptoms require referral to a specialist.

Despite the frequency with which abdominal pain and diarrhea are encountered in the primary care setting, little is known about how physicians approach these problems. Understanding the diagnostic decisions of PCPs in the evaluation of these common GI symptoms is important to determine whether they are taking the appropriate steps to differentiate IBD from other conditions and making timely referrals for definitive diagnosis.

We surveyed PCPs to understand how they evaluate common GI symptoms. We hypothesized that patient and physician characteristics influence the diagnostic evaluation of possible IBD.
Methods

Participants
This survey was conducted, in part, to validate information about referral patterns of IBD in Rhode Island (RI) as part of the Ocean State Crohn’s and Colitis Area Registry. A mailing list of all physicians in RI was obtained through the American Medical Association. Internists, family practitioners, pediatricians and obstetrician/gynecologists (Ob/Gyns) comprised our sampling frame. As a practical consideration 25% of PCPs were randomly selected for inclusion. Non-responders were contacted with two additional mailings. A five-dollar gift card was included in the first mailing.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at Lifespan/RI Hospital and at Massachusetts General Hospital.

Survey instrument
Physicians were mailed a 5-page, 26-item questionnaire in March 2008. Survey items included demographic information, questions pertaining to 2 clinical vignettes, and questions assessing the importance of various factors in the choice of specialist referral. Content and face validity were established by 2 gastroenterologists and 4 PCPs, respectively.

The vignettes described hypothetical patients presenting with chronic lower abdominal pain (vignette 1) and chronic diarrhea (vignette 2). The duration of symptoms was not provided. After reading the vignettes, respondents were asked to specify the duration at which they consider the presenting symptom to be chronic. They were then asked to select the diagnostic tests they would order if the patient were a 10 year-old child, 30 year-old male, or 30 year-old female. Respondents were also asked about their likelihood of referring the patient to a specialist if their clinical evaluation suggested IBS or IBD using a 7-point Likert scale (from 1=very likely to refer to 7=very unlikely to refer). Lastly, respondents were asked to determine the importance of various factors when choosing a specialist using a 5-point Likert scale (from 1=strongly agree to 5=strongly disagree).

Statistical Analysis
All analyses were performed using SAS 9.2. Descriptive statistics were calculated for demographic characteristics. Separate analyses were performed for the 2 vignettes. Analyses for the pediatric patient were limited to pediatricians and family practitioners. Internists and family practitioners were used for the analyses for both the adult patients; Ob/Gyns were added to the analysis of the adult female. Bivariate analyses were conducted using number of laboratory tests as the dependent variable. Independent variables included characteristics of the physician. Characteristics were regarded as significant if p< 0.05. To determine if physician type predicted number of laboratory tests ordered for the 30 year-old female vignette, a one-way Analysis of Variance was conducted. For the pediatric and adult male patient, as only two types of physicians were used in each of these models, simple t-tests were performed.

Results
Survey respondents
We identified 4,004 physicians practicing in RI, of whom 1,736 met our definition of PCP. Four hundred thirty-two (25%) PCPs were randomly selected for participation. Surveys were returned by 154 physicians for a response rate of 35.6%. Internists comprised 41.6% of respondents, followed by family practitioners (21.9%) and Ob/Gyns (11.7%). One hundred
and thirty-seven survey responses were included in the analysis. Reasons for exclusion in
the analysis were: respondent retired or not in clinical practice (n=3), respondent deceased
(n=1), respondent did not meet definition of PCP (n=8), survey less than 50% complete
(n=3), respondent’s practice no longer in RI (n=2). Demographic characteristics of the
survey respondents are summarized in Table 1.

**Definition of Chronic Abdominal Pain and Chronic Diarrhea**

There was wide variation in the duration of symptoms respondents considered necessary for
a patient to have chronic abdominal pain or chronic diarrhea. With regards to abdominal
pain, only 26% of physicians responded that abdominal pain is chronic once it is greater
than 3 months in duration. Fifty-six percent reported that pain lasting less than 3 months is
chronic and 21% reported that pain is chronic after 4 months (Figure 1). The definition of
chronic abdominal pain was significantly associated with provider type with Ob/Gyns
defining abdominal pain as chronic only after it was present for >5–6 months, longer than
any other practice type surveyed (p<0.001).

With regards to diarrhea, 51% of physicians defined diarrhea as chronic when it lasts greater
than 1 month while 41% responded that diarrhea must last greater than 2 months before it is
chronic and 8% said that diarrhea lasting less than 1 month is chronic (Figure 2).

**Diagnostic Testing by Patient Age and Gender**

We found no difference in the mean number of laboratory tests ordered by PCPs when
approaching the various patients with either abdominal pain or diarrhea.

With regards to imaging, physicians were less likely to order fluoroscopic studies or
computerized tomography (CT) for a child with chronic abdominal pain (p<0.001) or
chronic diarrhea (p=0.01) than for adults. However, they were more likely to order
abdominal ultrasounds or x-rays for a child with abdominal pain than for adult patients of
either gender (p<0.001 for both) (Table 2).

**Diagnostic Testing by Provider Type**

We found the number of laboratory tests ordered to vary significantly by physician type but
not by physician gender, age, ethnicity, race, or year of graduation from medical school
(Tables 3 and 4). Pediatricians ordered more laboratory tests than family practitioners for the
child with chronic abdominal pain (p=0.004) and chronic diarrhea (p=0.04). In the
evaluation of chronic abdominal pain or diarrhea in the adult female, internists ordered
significantly more tests than family practitioners who in turn ordered more tests than Ob/
Gyns (p=0.003 for abdominal pain; p=0.004 for diarrhea). Similarly, internists ordered more
tests than family practitioners for the adult male with chronic abdominal pain or diarrhea
although the difference was not significant.

**Referral Patterns**

PCPs reported a greater likelihood of referring patients with suspected IBD (median Likert
score=1, very likely to refer) to a specialist compared with those with suspected IBS
(median Likert score=3, somewhat likely to refer).

We found no significant difference among the patient groups or physician types when
comparing the likelihood to refer for suspected IBD.
Factors influencing choice of specialist

Prior experience with the physician was found to be the most important factor in choosing a specialist. Least important was the practice setting of the specialist (i.e. free-standing office versus hospital-based office).

Discussion

Abdominal pain and diarrhea are the leading GI symptoms for which patients are seen in outpatient clinics in the United States. These symptoms are also the most common presenting symptoms of IBD. Given that they are also associated with many non-inflammatory GI conditions it is critical that PCPs be able to identify the subset of patients who may have IBD and perform timely referral for definitive diagnosis.

Current estimates for the diagnostic lag in children with IBD are 7.1 months for those with CD (with greater lag time in patients with small bowel disease only), 6.7 months for those with UC, and 14 months for patients with indeterminate colitis. For adults, diagnostic lag is longer. Wagtmans et al. found the time to diagnosis from onset of symptoms in patients with CD was 1.9 years while the Manitoba IBD Cohort Study reported a mean lag time of 11 years (range 3–48 years). Shorter lag times presumably allow for earlier initiation of therapy which may in turn improve health-related quality of life and reduce morbidity.

In this study we used clinical vignettes to determine how PCPs approach patients with possible IBD. We found significant variability in the duration of time after which PCPs consider abdominal pain and diarrhea to be chronic. As the differential diagnoses for each symptom differs based upon duration, wherein IBD is more likely to be considered when symptoms are chronic, this variability may be clinically relevant.

Chronic abdominal pain has not been well defined in adults; however, the definition used in pediatrics over the last 40 years is pain which occurs continuously or intermittently for more than 3 months. This definition has been accepted by the American Academy of Pediatrics and the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition. Among our respondents, only 26% considered pain to become chronic at this point. Twenty-one percent answered that pain must last longer to be chronic. Likewise, chronic diarrhea is defined as the production of loose stools for more than 4 weeks. Only half of the respondents (51%) defined chronic diarrhea according to this criterion; 41% answered that diarrhea is not chronic until it lasts more than 2 months. These higher thresholds held by many PCPs may account for some of the delay in diagnosis reported in patients with IBD.

We asked respondents to choose which laboratory and imaging studies they would obtain if the patient presented in the vignettes were a child, adult male, or adult female. Surprisingly, we found no difference in the mean number of laboratory tests ordered for these three demographic categories. Differences in imaging were found, however, with fewer fluoroscopic studies and CT scans ordered for the pediatric patient compared with the adult patients. This difference likely reflects a greater tendency for physicians to minimize radiation exposure in children compared to adult patients. However, as 25% of patients with IBD are diagnosed before age 16, it is important for PCPs to do the appropriate work-up for IBD in children presenting with symptoms of IBD.

When we evaluated the number of tests ordered by physician characteristics, we found only provider type to be significantly associated. In particular, we found that family practitioners ordered significantly fewer tests than pediatricians for the work-up of the 10 year-old child and fewer tests than internists for the work-up of the adult male and female patients. These findings are consistent with prior studies which have shown that even after adjusting for
patient case-mix that family practitioners and general internists have statistically significant differences in their resource utilization with internists tending to use more resources. Further data are needed to determine whether patients with undiagnosed IBD experience different outcomes depending on their PCP’s specialty.

We found that PCPs with a high suspicion for IBD were very likely to refer to a specialist but only somewhat likely to refer a patient with suspected IBS. While this difference may reflect a higher level of comfort among PCPs in the diagnosis of IBS, it raises the possibility that patients with IBD who present with IBS-like symptoms may not undergo timely referral. This hypothesis is bolstered by the fact that IBS is widely misunderstood and that despite published algorithms for diagnosis, physician patterns in the evaluation of IBS differ significantly. A study of family practitioners, for example, found that only 14% understood the Rome I criteria, while another study found that just 35% knew that the Manning, Rome and Rome II criteria are used to diagnose IBS. In addition, only 49% could identify a group of symptoms typical of IBS.

Carrying a diagnosis of IBS has implications for later diagnosis of IBD. The Manitoba IBD Cohort Study found that patients diagnosed with IBS were more likely to experience longer symptom duration before diagnosis of IBD than those without IBS. In addition, the relative risk of detecting IBD in patients initially diagnosed with IBS has been found to be 16-fold higher compared to age-matched controls without IBS. These findings may reflect an initial misdiagnosis of IBS and argues for a lower threshold for referral among PCPs when the evaluation suggests IBS, especially when established diagnostic criteria are not met.

There are several limitations to this study. First, our study uses clinical vignettes to assess diagnostic practices. Although the vignettes were designed to represent real world scenarios, they are hypothetical cases. Responses to the vignettes, therefore, may not accurately reflect actual physician practices. In addition, our survey represents PCP diagnostic practices from a single state which limits its generalizability. Our response rate of 35.6%, while comparable to the response rate reported in other mail survey studies of physicians, raises the possibility of non-response bias. Lastly, our study does not allow for correlation between ordering practices and patient outcomes. We found that physician type predicts the number of tests and imaging studies; however, we were unable to assess if patients of the high-ordering providers have different outcomes than patients of the low-ordering providers.

Conclusions

Given the significant time lag between symptom presentation and diagnosis in patients with IBD it is important to document the diagnostic practices of PCPs in the evaluation of its common presenting symptoms. We found wide variation in the duration of symptoms that PCPs consider necessary in order for abdominal pain and diarrhea to be chronic. This may influence their inclusion of IBD on their differential diagnoses. We also found significant variation in the number of laboratory tests ordered by provider type and the number of radiographic studies ordered by patient type. These differences may lead to variability in time to diagnosis with patients of certain provider types more or less likely to be diagnosed in a timely fashion.
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Figure 1.
Survey response: after how many months would you consider abdominal pain to be *chronic*?
Figure 2.
Survey response: after how many months would you consider diarrhea to be chronic?
Table 1

Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean years, range)</td>
<td>45.0 (26 to 74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years since graduation from medical school (median, range)</td>
<td>18 (1 to 57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Male</td>
<td>66 (49.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Female</td>
<td>71 (51.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· White</td>
<td>122 (89.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Asian</td>
<td>7 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· African-American</td>
<td>3 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Other</td>
<td>4 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice Type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Internal Medicine</td>
<td>57 (41.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Family Practice</td>
<td>30 (21.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Ob/Gyn</td>
<td>16 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Pediatrics</td>
<td>32 (23.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Other</td>
<td>2 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice Setting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Hospital based</td>
<td>42 (30.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Community based</td>
<td>85 (62.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Other</td>
<td>10 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 2

Diagnostic testing by patient age and gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Type</th>
<th>10 year-old child</th>
<th>30 year-old male</th>
<th>30 year-old female</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labs for chronic abdominal pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mean (SD)</td>
<td>7.6 (3.9)</td>
<td>7.9 (4.1)</td>
<td>7.8 (4.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labs for chronic diarrhea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mean (SD)</td>
<td>9.4 (3.6)</td>
<td>10.3 (4.5)</td>
<td>7.9 (4.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic exam for chronic abdominal pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- SBFT, BE, or CT abdomen</td>
<td>0.16 (0.41)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.60)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.61)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Abdominal ultrasound or AXR</td>
<td>0.88 (0.74)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.69)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.56)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MRI abdomen</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.14)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic exam for chronic diarrhea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- SBFT, BE, or CT abdomen</td>
<td>0.14 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.55)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.55)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Abdominal ultrasound or AXR</td>
<td>0.23 (0.47)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.60)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.56)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MRI abdomen</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.10)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBFT=small bowel follow through; BE=barium enema; CT=computed tomography; AXR=abdominal x-ray; MRI=magnetic resonance imaging
### Table 3
Diagnostic testing for chronic abdominal pain by provider type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice type</th>
<th>Mean number of labs (SD)</th>
<th>10 year-old child</th>
<th>30 year-old male</th>
<th>30 year-old female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family Practice</td>
<td>6.0 (3.9)</td>
<td>7.6 (4.1)</td>
<td>7.8 (4.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Medicine</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8.1 (4.1)</td>
<td>8.6 (4.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ob/Gyn</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4.6 (3.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatrics</td>
<td>8.6 (4.2)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.004 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p-value is for ANOVA test for the three practice types (i.e. Family Practice, Internal Medicine, and Ob/Gyn)
### Table 4

Diagnostic testing for chronic diarrhea by provider type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice type</th>
<th>Mean number of labs (SD)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 year-old child</td>
<td>30 year-old male</td>
<td>30 year-old female</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Practice</td>
<td>8.6 (4.2)</td>
<td>9.1 (4.3)</td>
<td>9.1 (4.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Medicine</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>11.0 (4.5)</td>
<td>11.3 (4.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ob/Gyn</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7.3 (4.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatrics</td>
<td>10.1 (3.0)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.003 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p-value is for ANOVA test for the three practice types (i.e. Family Practice, Internal Medicine, and Ob/Gyn)