

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 July 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2013 January ; 14(1): 49–55. doi:10.1038/nrm3486.

TALENs: a widely applicable technology for targeted genome editing

J. Keith Joung^{*} and Jeffry D. Sander

Massachusetts General Hospital, Molecular Pathology Unit, Center for Computational and Integrative Biology, and Center for Cancer Research, Harvard Medical School, Department of Pathology, 149 13th Street, 6th floor, Charlestown, MA 02129 USA

Preface

Engineered nucleases enable the targeted alteration of any DNA sequence in a wide range of cell types and organisms. The newly-developed transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) comprise a non-specific DNA-cleaving nuclease fused to a DNA-binding domain that can be easily engineered so that TALENs can target essentially any sequence. The capability to quickly and efficiently alter any gene sequence using TALENs promises to have profound impacts on biological research and to yield potential therapeutic strategies for genetic diseases.

Introduction

Targeted genome editing is a broadly applicable approach for efficiently modifying essentially any sequence of interest in living cells or organisms. This technology relies on the use of engineered nucleases, that is, artificial proteins composed of a customizable sequence-specific DNA-binding domain fused to a nuclease that cleaves DNA in a non-sequence-specific manner. These targetable nucleases are used to induce double-strand breaks (**DSB**s) into specific DNA sites, which are then repaired by mechanisms that can be exploited to create sequence alterations at the cleavage site. Nuclease-mediated genome editing enables genetic studies that were previously difficult or impossible to perform. This technology might also provide therapeutic avenues for genetic disorders including monogenic diseases such as sickle cell anemia or cystic fibrosis. Reflecting its broad importance, genome editing with engineered nucleases was named "Method of the Year" for 2011 by *Nature Methods*.¹

The vast majority of targeted genome editing described in the literature (including initial foundational studies) has been performed using zinc finger nucleases (**ZFN**s) (Box 1). ZFNs

Further information

TALengineering website (for general information): http://www.TALengineering.org

Supplementary information

Supplementary information S1 (table) | Published examples of endogenous genes modified by TALENs Supplementary information S2| Supplementary Discussion

Supplementary information S3 (figure) | Platforms for assembling DNA encoding TALE repeat arrays.

Conflict of Interest Statement

^{*}Correspondence to: J. Keith Joung (JJOUNG@PARTNERS.ORG).

Addgene TALE reagent website: http://www.addgene.org/talen

TALengineering newsgroup: https://groups.google.com/group/talengineering

Supplementary information S4 (table) | Characteristics and features of various platforms for assembling DNA encoding TALE repeat arrays

J.K.J. has a financial interest in Transposagen Biopharmaceuticals. J.K.J.'s interests were reviewed and are managed by Massachusetts General Hospital and Partners HealthCare in accordance with their conflict of interest policies.

Recently, transcription activator-like effector nucleases (**TALEN**s) have rapidly emerged as an alternative to ZFNs for genome editing and introducing targeted DSBs. TALENs are similar to ZFNs and comprise a non-specific FokI nuclease domain fused to a customizable DNA-binding domain. This DNA-binding domain is composed of highly conserved repeats derived from transcription activator-like effectors (**TALEs**), which are proteins secreted by *Xanthomonas* bacteria to alter transcription of genes in host plant cells⁴(Figure 1a and 1b).

clinical trials for treatment of HIV/AIDS.

TALENs have generated much interest and excitement because they can be very easily and rapidly designed by researchers using a simple 'protein-DNA code' that relates modular DNA-binding TALE repeat domains to individual bases in a target-binding site. Over the last two years, leveraging technologies and methodologies previously developed for the use of ZFNs, several groups have used TALENs to modify endogenous genes in yeast⁵, fruit fly⁶, roundworm⁷, crickets⁸, zebrafish^{9–11}, frog¹², rat¹³, pig¹⁴, cow¹⁴, thale cress¹⁵, rice¹⁶, silkworm¹⁷, and human somatic^{15, 18, 19} and pluripotent stem cells²⁰ (Supplementary information S1) and presumably the technique will continue to extend to additional organisms. Furthermore, a recent large-scale test demonstrated that TALENs have a very high success rate and can be used to target essentially any DNA sequence of interest in human cells¹⁸ (Supplementary information S2). Although ZFNs and TALENs have not been directly compared, many studies have shown that TALENs and ZFNs have comparable efficiencies when targeted to the same gene^{9, 13, 18, 20}. Thus, the ease of design, high rates of cleavage activity, and the essentially limitless targeting range of TALENs make them suitable for the use by non-specialist researchers.

In this Innovation article, we will first briefly describe the simple modular strategy used to design customized TALE repeat DNA-binding domains and then review recent progress on the use of TALENs to introduce different types of genome alterations in a range of organisms and cell types. In addition, we provide a comparison of the various publicly available methods for constructing TALENs. Finally, we outline important goals for future research that will further enhance the utility of these tools for research and therapeutic applications.

Custom TALE DNA-binding domains

The fundamental building block used to engineer the DNA-binding domain of TALENs is a highly conserved repeat derived from naturally occurring TALEs encoded by *Xanthomonas* proteobacteria. These TALEs are injected into host plant cells via a Type III secretion system and bind to genomic DNA to alter transcription in these cells, thereby facilitating pathogenic bacterial colonization.⁴ DNA binding by these TALEs is mediated by arrays of highly conserved 33–35 amino acid repeats flanked by additional TALE-derived domains at the amino- and carboxy-terminal ends of the array (Figure 1c).

Individual TALE repeats in an array each specify a single base of DNA determined by the identities of two hypervariable residues typically found at positions 12 and 13 of the domain (Figure 1c and d). Experimental evidence for this simple recognition code was first provided by Bonas and colleagues in 2009.²¹ The researchers observed that the number of repeats in

an array corresponded to the length of its target site and this insight enabled them to deduce a simple correlation between the hypervariable residues and the base bound by each repeat. Moreover, they found that a thymine is conserved at the position just 5' to the base bound by the first repeat in the array (Figure 1d). This group provided experimental evidence for the TALE repeat code by constructing the first examples of engineered TALE repeat arrays with novel specificities.²¹ The TALE repeat code was also confirmed by another group by performing a computational analysis of the binding specificities of naturally occurring TALEs²². Subsequent reports provided additional evidence that engineered TALE repeats with desired specificities can be created using the code.^{19, 23–25}

More recently, co-crystal structures of TALE DNA-binding domains bound to their cognate sites have shown that individual repeats comprise two-helix v-shaped bundles that stack to form a superhelix around the DNA and the hypervariable residues at positions 12 and 13 are positioned in the DNA major-groove. The residues at position 8 and position 12 within the same repeat make a contact with each other that may stabilize the structure of the domain while the residues at position 13 can make base-specific contacts with the DNA.^{26, 27}

Nearly all engineered TALE repeat arrays published to date use four domains with the hypervariable residues NN, NI, HD and NG, for the recognition of G, A, C, and T, respectively. Another repeat with hypervariable residues NK has been reported to be more specific for G than the NN repeat (which can also recognize A)^{19, 22} but arrays using the NK repeats show less activity than those using NN repeats.^{10, 28} More recently, a repeat with hypervariable residues NH has been reported to be more specific than the NN repeat, but with only slightly lower activity.^{28, 29} Additional studies with a greater number of repeat arrays are needed to determine the optimal repeat domain for G recognition. It will also be interesting to explore whether repeats bearing other hypervariable residue combinations will have higher or different specificities for one or more DNA nucleotides.

Nuclease-mediated alterations

A substantial body of literature demonstrates that normal cellular repair of ZFN-induced DSBs by non-homologous end-joining (**NHEJ**) or homology-directed repair (**HDR**) can be exploited to introduce targeted genome alterations in a wide range of organisms and cell types^{2, 30}. NHEJ-mediated repair of a nuclease-induced DSB leads to the efficient introduction of variable length insertion/deletion (**indel**) mutations that originate at the site of the break (Figure 2a). Thus, NHEJ-mediated repair of DSBs introduced into gene coding sequences will often yield frameshift mutations that can lead to knockout of gene function.

Alterantively, if a double-stranded DNA "donor template" is supplied, HDR of a nucleaseinduced DSB can be used to introduce precise nucleotide substitutions or insertions of up to 7.6 kb at or near the site of the break³¹ (Figure 2a). Recent work has also shown that oligonucleotides can be used with ZFNs to introduce precise alterations, small insertions, and large deletions³². ZFNs have been used to introduce NHEJ- or HDR-mediated gene alterations in fruit fly^{33, 34}, roundworm^{7, 35}, zebrafish^{36, 37}, rainbow trout³⁸, catfish³⁹, sea urchin⁴⁰, frog⁴¹, pig⁴², cattle⁴³, cricket⁸, rabbit⁴⁴, silkworm⁴⁵, butterfly⁴⁶, mouse^{47, 48}, rat^{49, 50}, soybean^{51, 52}, thale cress^{53, 54}, corn⁵⁵, tobacco⁵⁶, petunia⁵⁷, hamster cells⁵⁸, and human somatic^{59, 60} and pluripotent stem cells^{61–63}. In most of these organisms and cell types, the high absolute rates of mutagenesis that can be achieved with ZFNs have enabled researchers to screen for mutations without the need for selection.

As summarized in Figure 2b, ZFNs and the I-SceI homing endonuclease have also been used to induce other more complex types of genome alterations in mammalian cells. These include large deletions induced by introduction of two DSBs with subsequent deletion of

intervening sequence of up to 15 Mbps in length⁶⁴, translocations induced by two DSBs introduced on different chromosomes^{65, 66} and inversions of chromosomal sequence between two DSBs induced on the same chromosome⁶⁷. Given the requirement to introduce two DSBs, it is not surprising that these more complex alterations are obtained with lower efficiencies compared to alterations that are dependent on a single DSB.

Although TALENs were first described only two years ago, these nucleases have already been utilized in a large number of applications. TALENs have been used to generate NHEJ-mediated mutations in a wide variety of organisms with generally high efficiencies (summarized in Supplementary information S1). TALENs have also been used to introduce specific insertions in human somatic and pluripotent stem cells using double-stranded donor templates.^{19, 20}

Applications of Genome Editing

As noted above, the rapid development of customized ZFNs has already substantially expanded the scope of genetic research that can be performed in a broad range of different organisms and cell types. The high efficiencies of alterations observed have already inspired efforts to use ZFNs as a potential therapeutic approach for genetic-based diseases. The relative simplicity with which TALENs can be engineered will further spur efforts to explore the research and therapeutic applications of customized nuclease technology. In this section, we review recent progress on the use of TALENs in various organismic and cellular contexts and briefly discuss prospects for their future applications.

Model organisms

As with ZFNs, TALENs have enabled efficient introduction of targeted alterations in a number of model organisms that were previously difficult or impossible to manipulate genetically such as fruit fly⁶, roundworm⁷, zebrafish^{9–11}, frog¹², rat¹³, and pig¹⁴. In addition, TALENs have also been used to modify endogenous genes in cow¹⁴, cricket⁸, and silkworm¹⁷. Most of these studies used a single TALEN pair to generate NHEJ-induced knockout mutations but two of these reports also described the use of two TALEN pairs targeted to the same chromosome to generate deletions and/or inversions of large chromosomal segments^{14, 17}. In addition, another recent study also used TALENs together with short single-stranded DNA oligodeoxynucleotide donors to make precise insertions into the zebrafish genome¹¹. The ability to efficiently induce mutations in various organisms should lead to the development of new animal models of human diseases. For example, TALENs have been used to inactivate the LDL receptor gene in pigs, thereby generating a model for familial hypercholesterolemia¹⁴.

Plants and Livestock

Unlike model organisms, which are typically selected for their rapid life cycles, many agricultural plants and species have long reproductive cycles and therefore can take many years to breed successfully. Nuclease-mediated editing of plants and agricultural animals may greatly decrease the time required to generate new varieties of both species relative to traditional breeding strategies. For example, using micropropagation strategies, some plant species can be modified on the single cell level and then grown into mature plants. ZFNs have been used to make targeted indels in soybean^{51, 52} and to introduce specific mutations and transgene insertions that confer herbicide resistance in tobacco⁵⁶ and corn⁵⁵, respectively. To date, TALENs have been used to make knockout mutations in *Arabidopsis*¹⁵ and to introduce resistance to infection by *Xanthomonas* bacteria in rice by disrupting the target sites of naturally occurring TALEs that contribute to pathogenicity¹⁶. Gene-editing nucleases have also been used to make targeted NHEJ-induced indel mutations

in pigs and cows¹⁴. In addition, large deletions and inversions of sequence greater than 6 kb in length have been induced in pigs by targeting two TALEN pairs to the same chromosome¹⁴.

Cell-based disease modeling

Gene-editing nucleases offer the potential to directly assess the impacts of gene disruption and of specific sequence variants on gene function in somatic cell-based models of disease. To date, TALENs have primarily been used to disrupt human genes via introduction of NHEJ-induced indels into coding sequence^{15, 18, 19, 68–71}. In principle, such loss-of-function mutations could be used to create somatic cell-based models of disease. Alternatively, precise insertions have also been introduced into endogenous human genes using HDR with TALENs and a double-stranded homologous donor template plasmid^{19, 20}. Targeted insertions could be used to create endogenous gene fusions to fluorescent proteins or epitope tags to visualize protein expression, distribution, or interactions. Beyond generation of such fusions, HDR-based approaches might also be used to create isogenic human or other mammalian cell lines bearing specific single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) identified by large-scale GWAS, ENCODE, or other sequencing projects, potentially enabling studies to determine the functional significance of these sequence variants.

Therapeutics

In contrast to therapies that treat symptoms of genetic diseases, targeted nucleases offer the potential to correct or disrupt gene products or sequences responsible for causing the disorder. For example, recent studies have shown that ZFN-induced HDR can be used to correct genetic mutations responsible for sickle cell anemia⁷² or alpha1-antitypsin disease⁷³ or in the Parkinson's disease-associated alpha-synuclein gene⁷⁴ in patient-specific induced pluripotent stem cells that have been reprogrammed from fibroblasts. These reports provide important proofs-of-principle for autologous transplant strategies in which patient-derived cells might be corrected ex vivo and then re-introduced back into patients with presumably reduced probability of immune complications. Although these studies were performed with ZFNs, TALEN-induced HDR in human pluripotent stem and somatic cells has also been achieved²⁰. Another potential strategy for therapy is to use nuclease-induced disruption via NHEJ-mediated repair to abolish the activity of a gene. This type of approach is currently being tested as a therapy for AIDS in which ZFNs are used to disrupt expression of the CCR5 gene product required by certain strains of HIV as a co-receptor to infect cells³. The ability to target essentially any DNA sequence with TALENs will undoubtedly motivate exploration of both gene-correction and gene-disruption strategies for the treatment of a wide range of genetic and other diseases.

Platforms for Engineering TALENs

The construction of DNA encoding engineered TALE repeat arrays can be challenging due to the requirement to assemble multiple, nearly identical repeat sequences. Different platforms have been designed to facilitate the assembly of plasmids encoding TALE repeat arrays. These methods are depicted in Supplementary information S3 and can be grouped into three broad categories: standard restriction enzyme and ligation-based cloning, "Golden Gate" cloning, and solid-phase assembly. Detailed descriptions of these methods are provided in Supplementary Information S2.

As summarized in Supplementary information S4, these platforms vary in their throughput, molecular cloning techniques used, numbers of plasmids required (and time required to prepare these DNAs), use of potentially mutagenic PCR, flexibility in the length of arrays that can be constructed, ease with which the required reagents and detailed protocols can be

acquired, and availability of author-supported web-based software for practicing the method. Reagent kits for three of these methods are available to academics through the non-profit plasmid distribution service Addgene (http://www.addgene.org/TALEN/). We have established and maintain an active and open newsgroup (currently with over 660 members) for discussion of TALE-related projects (http://groups.google.com/group/talengineering) as well as a "one-stop" comprehensive website with links to protocols, reagents, software, and other information about engineered TALE technology (http://www.TALengineering.org).

The specific architecture of a TALEN is an important factor for users to consider as they choose an assembly method. Various TALEN architectures have been utilized to date and one difference among these is the length and sequence composition of the amino- and carboxy-terminal TALE-derived sequences that flank the TALE repeat array. In the earliest TALENs described in the literature, large segments of naturally occurring TALE sequence were used to join the FokI domain to the carboxy-terminal end of engineered TALE repeat arrays.²⁴ The TALEN framework was then refined by showing that nuclease activities could be greatly enhanced by truncating the length of this carboxy-terminal TALE-derived sequence^{19,68}. In addition, although early studies used wild-type homodimeric FokI nuclease domains, more recent reports^{10, 20, 75} have employed various obligate heterodimeric domains originally developed and used with ZFNs⁷⁶ (Box 1). Therefore, because not all architectures are the same, we suggest that users should carefully consider the reported activity levels and potential specificities of TALENs made on these different frameworks when choosing a method of assembly. We note that as of the writing of this review, the most extensively tested and validated TALEN framework remains that described by Rebar and colleagues^{7, 9, 13, 18–20, 75, 77} (Supplementary information S1).

Future Directions

Although the development of engineered TALE technology has proceeded at an extremely rapid pace over the past three years, many important questions remain to be addressed if these proteins are to be used routinely for research and therapeutic applications. First, although TALENs and ZFNs can induce specific HDR events, competing mutagenesis by NHEJ can still lead to unwanted mutation of the original and, in some cases, the HDRaltered allele. It will therefore be important to develop generalizable methods that tip the balance away from NHEJ- and toward HDR-mediated repair. For example, recent work^{7879, 80} has demonstrated that ZFN-derived nickases that cleave only one strand, instead of both strands, of DNA can shift this balance, although the absolute rates of HDRmediated repair can be lower than those induced by the ZFNs from which they are derived. Second, developing methods that enable definition of the genome-wide specificities of TALENs will be critical to minimizing off-target NHEJ-mediated mutagenesis. Third, optimization of methods for efficiently delivering TALENs or nucleic acids encoding them into cells will also be an important area for future research. It will be interesting to investigate whether purified native or modified TALEN proteins, like ZFNs⁸¹, might be efficiently taken up directly by cells.

Another potential area for future exploration will be creation of fusion proteins harbouring domains others than nucleases. TALE-based activators and repressors have already been described (Box 2). However, one can also envision that engineered TALE repeat arrays might be used to direct functional domains that induce epigenetic alterations (such as covalent histone or DNA modifications) to specific genomic loci to induce stable, heritable alterations in gene expression. TALE repeat arrays fused to a recombinase domain have recently been described⁸², raising the exciting possibility of enabling targetable site-specific recombination events.

Engineered TALE technology promises to facilitate and enhance genetic manipulations in different organisms and cell types. The simplicity with which TALENs can be designed together with their robust success rates has already spurred much broader adoption of genome editing technology. Although many interesting and challenging questions remain, the accessibility and power of TALENs makes this technology an exciting and important subject for future research and development.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

J.K.J. acknowledges support from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (grants DP1 GM105378, R01 GM088040, and P50 HG005550) and The Jim and Ann Orr MGH Research Scholar Award. J.D.S. was supported by NIH T32CA009216. The authors apologize to colleagues whose studies were not cited due to length constraints.

Biographies

J. Keith Joung is Associate Chief of Pathology, the Jim and Ann Orr MGH Research Scholar, and Associate Pathologist at Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, USA and Associate Professor of Pathology at Harvard Medical School (HMS), Boston. His laboratory develops genome engineering technologies based on engineered zinc finger and TALE proteins.

Jeffry D. Sander is an Assistant in Pathology at the MGH and an Instructor in Pathology at HMS. His research interests are in developing computational and experimental technologies for genome engineering.

References

- 1. Baker M. Gene-editing nucleases. Nat Methods. 2012; 9:23-26. [PubMed: 22312637]
- Urnov FD, Rebar EJ, Holmes MC, Zhang HS, Gregory PD. Genome editing with engineered zinc finger nucleases. Nat Rev Genet. 2010; 11:636–646. [PubMed: 20717154]
- Perez EE, et al. Establishment of HIV-1 resistance in CD4+ T cells by genome editing using zincfinger nucleases. Nat Biotechnol. 2008; 26:808–816. [PubMed: 18587387]
- Boch J, Bonas U. Xanthomonas AvrBs3 family-type III effectors: discovery and function. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2010; 48:419–436. [PubMed: 19400638]
- Li T, et al. Modularly assembled designer TAL effector nucleases for targeted gene knockout and gene replacement in eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39:6315–6325. [PubMed: 21459844]
- 6. Liu J, et al. Efficient and specific modifications of the Drosophila genome by means of an easy TALEN strategy. J Genet Genomics. 2012; 39:209–215. [PubMed: 22624882]
- 7. Wood AJ, et al. Targeted genome editing across species using ZFNs and TALENs. Science. 2011; 333:307. [PubMed: 21700836]
- Watanabe T, et al. Non-transgenic genome modifications in a hemimetabolous insect using zincfinger and TAL effector nucleases. Nat Commun. 2012; 3:1017. [PubMed: 22910363]
- 9. Sander JD, et al. Targeted gene disruption in somatic zebrafish cells using engineered TALENs. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29:697–698. [PubMed: 21822241]
- Huang P, et al. Heritable gene targeting in zebrafish using customized TALENs. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29:699–700. [PubMed: 21822242]
- 11. Bedell VM, et al. In vivo genome editing using a high-efficiency TALEN system. Nature. 2012
- 12. Lei Y, et al. Efficient targeted gene disruption in Xenopus embryos using engineered transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012

- Carlson DF, et al. Efficient TALEN-mediated gene knockout in livestock. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012
- 15. Cermak T, et al. Efficient design and assembly of custom TALEN and other TAL effector-based constructs for DNA targeting. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39:e82. [PubMed: 21493687]
- Li T, Liu B, Spalding MH, Weeks DP, Yang B. High-efficiency TALEN-based gene editing produces disease-resistant rice. Nat Biotechnol. 2012; 30:390–392. [PubMed: 22565958]
- 17. Ma S, et al. Highly Efficient and Specific Genome Editing in Silkworm Using Custom TALENs. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e45035. [PubMed: 23028749]
- Reyon D, et al. FLASH assembly of TALENs for high-throughput genome editing. Nat Biotechnol. 2012; 30:460–465. [PubMed: 22484455]
- Miller JC, et al. A TALE nuclease architecture for efficient genome editing. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29:143–148. [PubMed: 21179091]
- Hockemeyer D, et al. Genetic engineering of human pluripotent cells using TALE nucleases. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29:731–744. [PubMed: 21738127]
- Boch J, et al. Breaking the code of DNA binding specificity of TAL-type III effectors. Science. 2009; 326:1509–1512. [PubMed: 19933107]
- Moscou MJ, Bogdanove AJ. A simple cipher governs DNA recognition by TAL effectors. Science. 2009; 326:1501. [PubMed: 19933106]
- Morbitzer R, Romer P, Boch J, Lahaye T. Regulation of selected genome loci using de novoengineered transcription activator-like effector (TALE)-type transcription factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:21617–21622. [PubMed: 21106758]
- 24. Christian M, et al. Targeting DNA double-strand breaks with TAL effector nucleases. Genetics. 2010; 186:757–761. [PubMed: 20660643]
- 25. Li T, et al. TAL nucleases (TALNs): hybrid proteins composed of TAL effectors and FokI DNAcleavage domain. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39:359–372. [PubMed: 20699274]
- 26. Mak AN, Bradley P, Cernadas RA, Bogdanove AJ, Stoddard BL. The crystal structure of TAL effector PthXo1 bound to its DNA target. Science. 2012; 335:716–719. [PubMed: 22223736]
- Deng D, et al. Structural basis for sequence-specific recognition of DNA by TAL effectors. Science. 2012; 335:720–723. [PubMed: 22223738]
- Streubel J, Blucher C, Landgraf A, Boch J. TAL effector RVD specificities and efficiencies. Nat Biotechnol. 2012; 30:593–595. [PubMed: 22781676]
- Cong L, Zhou R, Kuo YC, Cunniff M, Zhang F. Comprehensive interrogation of natural TALE DNA-binding modules and transcriptional repressor domains. Nat Commun. 2012; 3:968. [PubMed: 22828628]
- Handel EM, Cathomen T. Zinc-finger nuclease based genome surgery: it's all about specificity. Curr Gene Ther. 2011; 11:28–37. [PubMed: 21182467]
- Moehle EA, et al. Targeted gene addition into a specified location in the human genome using designed zinc finger nucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007; 104:3055–3060. [PubMed: 17360608]
- 32. Chen F, et al. High-frequency genome editing using ssDNA oligonucleotides with zinc-finger nucleases. Nat Methods. 2011; 8:753–755. [PubMed: 21765410]
- Bibikova M, Golic M, Golic KG, Carroll D. Targeted chromosomal cleavage and mutagenesis in Drosophila using zinc-finger nucleases. Genetics. 2002; 161:1169–1175. [PubMed: 12136019]
- Bibikova M, Beumer K, Trautman JK, Carroll D. Enhancing gene targeting with designed zinc finger nucleases. Science. 2003; 300:764. [PubMed: 12730594]
- Morton J, Davis MW, Jorgensen EM, Carroll D. Induction and repair of zinc-finger nucleasetargeted double-strand breaks in Caenorhabditis elegans somatic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103:16370–16375. [PubMed: 17060623]
- Doyon Y, et al. Heritable targeted gene disruption in zebrafish using designed zinc-finger nucleases. Nat Biotechnol. 2008; 26:702–708. [PubMed: 18500334]

- Meng X, Noyes MB, Zhu LJ, Lawson ND, Wolfe SA. Targeted gene inactivation in zebrafish using engineered zinc-finger nucleases. Nat Biotechnol. 2008; 26:695–701. [PubMed: 18500337]
- 38. Yano A, et al. An immune-related gene evolved into the master sex-determining gene in rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. Curr Biol. 2012; 22:1423–1428. [PubMed: 22727696]
- Dong Z, et al. Heritable targeted inactivation of myostatin gene in yellow catfish (Pelteobagrus fulvidraco) using engineered zinc finger nucleases. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e28897. [PubMed: 22194943]
- 40. Ochiai H, et al. Targeted mutagenesis in the sea urchin embryo using zinc-finger nucleases. Genes Cells. 2010; 15:875–885. [PubMed: 20604805]
- Young JJ, et al. Efficient targeted gene disruption in the soma and germ line of the frog Xenopus tropicalis using engineered zinc-finger nucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108:7052– 7057. [PubMed: 21471457]
- 42. Hauschild J, et al. Efficient generation of a biallelic knockout in pigs using zinc-finger nucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108:12013–12017. [PubMed: 21730124]
- 43. Yu S, et al. Highly efficient modification of beta-lactoglobulin (BLG) gene via zinc-finger nucleases in cattle. Cell Res. 2011; 21:1638–1640. [PubMed: 21912434]
- 44. Flisikowska T, et al. Efficient immunoglobulin gene disruption and targeted replacement in rabbit using zinc finger nucleases. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e21045. [PubMed: 21695153]
- 45. Takasu Y, et al. Targeted mutagenesis in the silkworm Bombyx mori using zinc finger nuclease mRNA injection. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2010; 40:759–765. [PubMed: 20692340]
- 46. Merlin C, Beaver LE, Taylor OR, Wolfe SA, Reppert SM. Efficient targeted mutagenesis in the monarch butterfly using zinc finger nucleases. Genome Res. 2012
- Meyer M, de Angelis MH, Wurst W, Kuhn R. Gene targeting by homologous recombination in mouse zygotes mediated by zinc-finger nucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:15022– 15026. [PubMed: 20686113]
- Carbery ID, et al. Targeted genome modification in mice using zinc-finger nucleases. Genetics. 2010; 186:451–459. [PubMed: 20628038]
- 49. Cui X, et al. Targeted integration in rat and mouse embryos with zinc-finger nucleases. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29:64–67. [PubMed: 21151125]
- 50. Geurts AM, et al. Knockout rats via embryo microinjection of zinc-finger nucleases. Science. 2009; 325:433. [PubMed: 19628861]
- 51. Curtin SJ, et al. Targeted mutagenesis of duplicated genes in soybean with zinc-finger nucleases. Plant Physiol. 2011; 156:466–473. [PubMed: 21464476]
- 52. Sander JD, et al. Selection-free zinc-finger-nuclease engineering by context-dependent assembly (CoDA). Nat Methods. 2011; 8:67–69. [PubMed: 21151135]
- 53. Lloyd A, Plaisier CL, Carroll D, Drews GN. Targeted mutagenesis using zinc-finger nucleases in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102:2232–2237. [PubMed: 15677315]
- 54. Zhang F, et al. High frequency targeted mutagenesis in Arabidopsis thaliana using zinc finger nucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:12028–12033. [PubMed: 20508152]
- Shukla VK, et al. Precise genome modification in the crop species Zea mays using zinc-finger nucleases. Nature. 2009; 459:437–441. [PubMed: 19404259]
- Townsend JA, et al. High-frequency modification of plant genes using engineered zinc-finger nucleases. Nature. 2009; 459:442–445. [PubMed: 19404258]
- Marton I, et al. Nontransgenic genome modification in plant cells. Plant Physiol. 2010; 154:1079– 1087. [PubMed: 20876340]
- Santiago Y, et al. Targeted gene knockout in mammalian cells by using engineered zinc-finger nucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105:5809–5814. [PubMed: 18359850]
- Urnov FD, et al. Highly efficient endogenous human gene correction using designed zinc-finger nucleases. Nature. 2005; 435:646–651. [PubMed: 15806097]
- Porteus MH, Baltimore D. Chimeric nucleases stimulate gene targeting in human cells. Science. 2003; 300:763. [PubMed: 12730593]
- 61. Zou J, et al. Gene targeting of a disease-related gene in human induced pluripotent stem and embryonic stem cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2009; 5:97–110. [PubMed: 19540188]

Joung and Sander

- 62. Hockemeyer D, et al. Efficient targeting of expressed and silent genes in human ESCs and iPSCs using zinc-finger nucleases. Nat Biotechnol. 2009; 27:851–857. [PubMed: 19680244]
- Lombardo A, et al. Gene editing in human stem cells using zinc finger nucleases and integrasedefective lentiviral vector delivery. Nat Biotechnol. 2007; 25:1298–1306. [PubMed: 17965707]
- Lee HJ, Kim E, Kim JS. Targeted chromosomal deletions in human cells using zinc finger nucleases. Genome Res. 2010; 20:81–89. [PubMed: 19952142]
- 65. Brunet E, et al. Chromosomal translocations induced at specified loci in human stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106:10620–10625. [PubMed: 19549848]
- 66. Simsek D, et al. DNA ligase III promotes alternative nonhomologous end-joining during chromosomal translocation formation. PLoS Genet. 2011; 7 e1002080.
- Lee HJ, Kweon J, Kim E, Kim S, Kim JS. Targeted chromosomal duplications and inversions in the human genome using zinc finger nucleases. Genome Res. 2012; 22:539–548. [PubMed: 22183967]
- 68. Mussolino C, et al. A novel TALE nuclease scaffold enables high genome editing activity in combination with low toxicity. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39:9283–9293. [PubMed: 21813459]
- Sanjana NE, et al. A transcription activator-like effector toolbox for genome engineering. Nat Protoc. 2012; 7:171–192. [PubMed: 22222791]
- 70. Sun N, Liang J, Abil Z, Zhao H. Optimized TAL effector nucleases (TALENs) for use in treatment of sickle cell disease. Mol Biosyst. 2012; 8:1255–1263. [PubMed: 22301904]
- 71. Kim H, Um E, Cho SR, Jung C, Kim JS. Surrogate reporters for enrichment of cells with nucleaseinduced mutations. Nat Methods. 2011; 8:941–943. [PubMed: 21983922]
- Sebastiano V, et al. In situ genetic correction of the sickle cell anemia mutation in human induced pluripotent stem cells using engineered zinc finger nucleases. Stem Cells. 2011; 29:1717–1726. [PubMed: 21898685]
- 73. Yusa K, et al. Targeted gene correction of alpha1-antitrypsin deficiency in induced pluripotent stem cells. Nature. 2011; 478:391–394. [PubMed: 21993621]
- 74. Soldner F, et al. Generation of isogenic pluripotent stem cells differing exclusively at two early onset Parkinson point mutations. Cell. 2011; 146:318–331. [PubMed: 21757228]
- 75. Cade L, et al. Highly efficient generation of heritable zebrafish gene mutations using homo- and heterodimeric TALENs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012
- Doyon Y, et al. Enhancing zinc-finger-nuclease activity with improved obligate heterodimeric architectures. Nat Methods. 2011; 8:74–79. [PubMed: 21131970]
- 77. Moore FE, et al. Improved Somatic Mutagenesis in Zebrafish Using Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nucleases (TALENs). PLoS One. 2012; 7:e37877. [PubMed: 22655075]
- Ramirez CL, et al. Engineered zinc finger nickases induce homology-directed repair with reduced mutagenic effects. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012
- 79. Wang J, et al. Targeted gene addition to a predetermined site in the human genome using a ZFNbased nicking enzyme. Genome Res. 2012
- Kim E, et al. Precision genome engineering with programmable DNA-nicking enzymes. Genome Res. 2012
- Gaj T, Guo J, Kato Y, Sirk SJ, Barbas CF 3rd. Targeted gene knockout by direct delivery of zincfinger nuclease proteins. Nat Methods. 2012
- 82. Mercer AC, Gaj T, Fuller RP, Barbas CF 3rd. Chimeric TALE recombinases with programmable DNA sequence specificity. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012
- Wolfe SA, Nekludova L, Pabo CO. DNA recognition by Cys2His2 zinc finger proteins. Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct. 2000; 29:183–212. [PubMed: 10940247]
- Pabo CO, Peisach E, Grant RA. Design and selection of novel Cys2His2 zinc finger proteins. Annu Rev Biochem. 2001; 70:313–340. [PubMed: 11395410]
- Greisman HA, Pabo CO. A general strategy for selecting high-affinity zinc finger proteins for diverse DNA target sites. Science. 1997; 275:657–661. [PubMed: 9005850]
- 86. Isalan M, Choo Y, Klug A. Synergy between adjacent zinc fingers in sequence-specific DNA recognition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997; 94:5617–5621. [PubMed: 9159121]

- Wolfe SA, Greisman HA, Ramm EI, Pabo CO. Analysis of zinc fingers optimized via phage display: evaluating the utility of a recognition code. J Mol Biol. 1999; 285:1917–1934. [PubMed: 9925775]
- Beerli RR, Barbas CF 3rd. Engineering polydactyl zinc-finger transcription factors. Nat Biotechnol. 2002; 20:135–141. [PubMed: 11821858]
- Ramirez CL, et al. Unexpected failure rates for modular assembly of engineered zinc fingers. Nat Methods. 2008; 5:374–375. [PubMed: 18446154]
- Maeder ML, et al. Rapid "open-source" engineering of customized zinc-finger nucleases for highly efficient gene modification. Mol Cell. 2008; 31:294–301. [PubMed: 18657511]
- Kim YG, Cha J, Chandrasegaran S. Hybrid restriction enzymes: zinc finger fusions to Fok I cleavage domain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1996; 93:1156–1160. [PubMed: 8577732]
- Blancafort P, Segal DJ, Barbas CF 3rd. Designing transcription factor architectures for drug discovery. Mol Pharmacol. 2004; 66:1361–1371. [PubMed: 15340042]
- Zhang F, et al. Efficient construction of sequence-specific TAL effectors for modulating mammalian transcription. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29:149–153. [PubMed: 21248753]
- 94. Geissler R, et al. Transcriptional activators of human genes with programmable DNA-specificity. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e19509. [PubMed: 21625585]
- 95. Garg A, Lohmueller JJ, Silver PA, Armel TZ. Engineering synthetic TAL effectors with orthogonal target sites. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012
- 96. Tremblay JP, Chapdelaine P, Coulombe Z, Rousseau J. TALE proteins induced the expression of the frataxin gene. Hum Gene Ther. 2012
- Wang Z, et al. An Integrated Chip for the High-Throughput Synthesis of Transcription Activatorlike Effectors. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2012; 51:8505–8508. [PubMed: 22821513]
- Bultmann S, et al. Targeted transcriptional activation of silent oct4 pluripotency gene by combining designer TALEs and inhibition of epigenetic modifiers. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40:5368–5377. [PubMed: 22387464]
- 99. Liu PQ, et al. Regulation of an endogenous locus using a panel of designed zinc finger proteins targeted to accessible chromatin regions. Activation of vascular endothelial growth factor A. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276:11323–11334. [PubMed: 11145970]
- 100. Doyle EL, et al. TAL Effector-Nucleotide Targeter (TALE-NT) 2.0: tools for TAL effector design and target prediction. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40:W117–W122. [PubMed: 22693217]

Box 1 | Engineered Zinc Finger Proteins

Cys2His2 zinc fingers are DNA-binding domains that each recognize approximately three bps of DNA. Alteration of a small number of residues in or near an alpha-helix within this domain can lead to changes in its DNA-binding specificity.^{83, 84} Engineered zinc fingers can be joined together into more extended arrays capable of recognizing longer DNA sequences. However, to obtain proteins with high affinities and specificities, context-dependent effects between and among fingers in an array should be considered.^{84–87} Sangamo BioSciences, Inc. has developed a proprietary and highly effective platform for engineering zinc finger arrays³⁶ and customized proteins made by this method can be purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. In addition, various publicly available methods for engineering zinc finger proteins have been described. Modular assembly of individual pre-selected zinc finger domains provides a simple and rapid method for creating customized arrays⁸⁸ but the success rate of this strategy has been reported to be low⁸⁹. Additional methods that explicitly account for context-dependent effects among zinc fingers in an array include: Oligomerized Pool Engineering (OPEN)⁹⁰, Context-Dependent Assembly (CoDA)⁵², and a bacterial one-hybrid (B1H) selection-based system³⁷. However, OPEN and B1H selections can be challenging to practice for some non-specialist laboratories and OPEN, B1H, and CoDA have limitations in the range of sequences they can be used to target. A large number of zinc finger arrays engineered using these various methods have been fused to a non-specific nuclease domain from the Type IIS FokI restriction enzyme to create zinc finger nucleases (**ZFN**s)⁹¹. The FokI nuclease functions as a dimer and therefore two zinc finger arrays must be designed for each target site. Early ZFNs used wild-type homodimeric FokI domains, which can form unwanted dimers of the same monomeric ZFN. More recent studies have described obligate heterodimeric FokI domains that reduce the formation of unwanted homodimeric species and therefore have improved specificities.⁷⁶ Engineered zinc finger arrays have also been fused to transcriptional regulatory domains to create artificial transcription factors capable of altering the expression of endogenous genes.⁹²

Box 2 | TALE-based Transcription Factors

As previously done with zinc fingers (Box 1), TALE repeat arrays have been fused to transcriptional regulatory domains to create artificial transcription factors that can activate or repress gene expression. To date, various studies have shown that TALEbased activators and repressors can be used to modulate expression of endogenous genes in plants and human cells, with changes in gene or protein expression in the range of twoto 30-fold.^{15, 19, 29, 93–98} but the vast majority of these proteins show relatively modest levels of activity. Although the high success rate and robust targeting range of dimeric TALENs has been recently established,¹⁸ relatively less is known about these parameters for monomeric engineered TALE-based transcriptional activators or repressors. The epigenetic status (e.g.-chromatin or DNA methylation) of the target sites may influence the activities of TALE-based transcription factors, as has been noted previously for zinc finger-based activators⁹⁹. In addition, it has been suggested that users should apply computationally derived design guidelines (originally proposed for dimeric TALENs¹⁵) to monomeric TALE-based transcription factors¹⁰⁰ but no published experimental support yet exists for this recommendation. Guidelines both for the selection of potential target sites and for the use of particular TALE repeat domains (harboring NH residues at the hypervariable positions) for recognition of G bases have been proposed.²⁸ Largescale, systematic studies should prospectively determine whether adherence to these recommendations (or the effects of varying other parameters such as the number of repeats, the length and composition of TALE-derived protein sequences that flank the TALE repeat array, or the nature of the transcriptional regulatory domain used) might influence the activities and specificities of engineered TALE-based transcription factors.

Joung and Sander



Nature Reviews | Molecular Cell Biology

Figure 1. Overview of TALENs and TALE repeat arrays

a | Schematic diagram of a TALEN. TALE repeats are shown as colored cylinders with a final carboxy-terminal truncated "half" repeat. Letters inside each repeat represent the two hypervariable residues. TALE-derived amino- and carboxy-terminal domains required for DNA-binding activity are shown as longer blue and grey cylinders, respectively. The non-specific nuclease domain from the FokI endonuclease is shown as a larger orange cylinder. **b** | TALENs bind and cleave as dimers on a target DNA site. Note that the TALE-derived amino- and carboxy-terminal domains flanking the repeats may make some contacts to the DNA. Cleavage by the FokI domains occurs in the "spacer" sequence that lies between the two regions of the DNA bound by the two TALEN monomers. **c** | Schematic diagram of a TALE-derived DNA-binding domain. The amino acid sequence of a single TALE repeat is expanded below with the two hypervariable residues highlighted in orange and bold text. **d** |

Joung and Sander

TALE-derived DNA-binding domain aligned with its target DNA sequence. Note the matching of repeat domains to single bases in the target site according to the TALE code. Also note the presence of a 5' thymine preceding the first base bound by a TALE repeat.

Joung and Sander



Figure 2. Nuclease-induced genome editing

 $\mathbf{a} \mid$ Single nuclease-induced double-strand breaks (DSBs) in a gene locus can be repaired by either NHEJ (thin black arrow) or HDR (thick black arrows). NHEJ-mediated repair leads to introduction of variable length indel mutations. HDR with double-stranded DNA "donor templates" can lead to the introduction of precise nucleotide substitutions or insertions. $\mathbf{b} \mid$ Introduction of two nuclease-induced DSBs in *cis* on the same chromosome can lead to deletion of the intervening sequence or inversion (left panel) and introduction of two nuclease-induced DSBs on two different chromosomes can lead to the formation of translocations (right panel).