

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

JAcad Nutr Diet. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 April 01.

Published in final edited form as:

JAcad Nutr Diet. 2012 April; 112(4): 541–547. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2011.11.013.

Parent support and parent-mediated behaviors are associated with children's sugary beverage consumption

Nanette V. Lopez, MS/MS [Doctoral Student],

San Diego State University & University of California, San Diego, Institute for Behavioral and Community Health, 9245 Sky Park Court, Suite 224, San Diego, CA 92123, Tel: 858-336-5809, Fax: 619-594-2998

Guadalupe X. Ayala, PhD, MPH [Professor],

San Diego State University Graduate School of Public Health, Institute for Behavioral and Community Health, 9245 Sky Park Court, Suite 220, San Diego, CA 92123, Tel: 619-594-6686, Fax: 619-594-2998

Kirsten Corder, PhD [Research Associate],

Centre for Diet and Activity Research (CEDAR), Box 296, Institute of Public Health, University of Cambridge, Forvie Site, Robinson Way, Cambridge, CB2 0SR, UK, Tel: +44(0) 1223 330315, Fax: +44(0)1223 330316

Christina M. Eisenberg, MPH, ATC [Research Assistant],

Institute for Behavioral and Community Health, 9245 Sky Park Court, Suite 221, San Diego, CA 92123, Tel: 619-594-5568, Fax: 619-594-2998

Michelle M. Zive, MS, RD,

Consultant Network for a Healthy California, Division of Child Development and Community Health, 4305 University Ave, Suite 590, San Diego, CA 92105, Telephone: 619-681-0663, Fax: 619-681-0666

Christine Wood, MD [Pediatric Consultant], and

El Camino Pediatrics, 477 N. El Camino Real, Suite B105, Encinitas, CA 92024, Telephone: 760-753-7143, Fax: 858-794-7610

John P. Elder, PhD, MPH [Distinguished Professor of Public Health]

San Diego State University Graduate School of Public Health, Institute for Behavioral and Community Health, 9245 Sky Park Court, Suite 221, Tel: 619-594-2997, Fax: 619-594-2998

Nanette V. Lopez: nlee@projects.sdsu.edu; Guadalupe X. Ayala: ayala@mail.sdsu.edu; Kirsten Corder: Klc29@medschl.cam.ac.uk; Christina M. Eisenberg: ceisenberg@projects.sdsu.edu; Michelle M. Zive: mzive@ucsd.edu; Christine Wood: drwood@kidseatgreat.com; John P. Elder: jelder@mail.sdsu.edu

Abstract

Consumption of sugary beverages has been identified as a contributor to childhood obesity. Studies have established the importance of specific parenting practices to children's beverage consumption; however, no study has examined multiple operationalizations of parenting to better understand where to focus future interventions. The present study examined the relationship between children's sugary beverage consumption and a parenting model that included household

^{© 2012} Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

food rules, parent modeling of food rules, parent-mediated behaviors, and parent support. Baseline data from *Project MOVE/me Muevo* were used. Participants included 541 children, aged five to eight years old, and their parents. Parents completed a 45-minute self-administered survey in Spanish or English, providing information about their child's dietary intake, as well as their parenting practices. Children's sugary beverage consumption included non-diet soda, non-carbonated sugary drinks, and sports drinks. Household food rules and parent modeling of food rules were assessed with seven items each. Parent-mediated behaviors consisted of four behaviors. Parent support was assessed with five items. Parent support and parent-mediated behaviors, including total screen time and eating at fast food restaurants at least weekly, were associated with greater consumption of sugary beverages in children. No other parenting variables were significant. Encouraging caregivers to promote healthy dietary behaviors and provide healthy choices, limiting children's television and computer use, and reducing fast food consumption may contribute to reductions in sugary beverage consumption among children.

Keywords

sugary beverages; parenting model; parent support; parent-mediated behaviors

INTRODUCTION

Consumption of sugary beverages is a contributor to childhood obesity (1,2,3,4). The American Dietetic Association recommends that total added sugars not exceed 25% of a child's total daily caloric intake (5). In addition, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends limiting fruit juice consumption to four to six oz/day for children ages one through six years and eight to 12 oz/day for older children (6).

Within an ecological framework, parents play a role in children's behaviors. In particular, parents are ultimately responsible for their children's food and beverage choices since young children have little control over these purchases. Given their importance, researchers have examined ways in which parents may influence beverage consumption (7,8,9). Specific parenting practices include rule setting (10), parent modeling of or adherence to rules (11), parent-mediated behaviors (12), and parent support (13).

Increasing consumption of sugary beverages warrants examination of correlates to prevent further increases. Numerous studies have identified the importance of specific parenting practices to children's sugary beverage consumption. This study extends this research by testing a parenting model for children's sugary beverage consumption. In this study, sugary beverages included non-diet soda, non-carbonated sugary drinks, and sports drinks. Davison and Campbell (2010) identified four categories of parenting related to children's obesity risk behaviors: beliefs and knowledge, modeling, accessibility, and shaping (14). We specifically examined the relationship between children's sugary beverage consumption and four parenting categories: household food rules, parent modeling of food rules, parent-mediated behaviors, and parent support for healthy eating.

METHODS

This cross-sectional study used baseline data from *Project MOVE/me Muevo*, a recreation center-based obesity prevention intervention for children. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained from San Diego State University. Participants included 541 children, aged five to eight years old, and their parents living in San Diego County. Parents were required to be the participating child's legal guardian or primary caregiver. Between November 2006 and May 2008, families were recruited through targeted phone calls and at

public locations, community events, and the 30 participating recreation centers. One parent/legal guardian provided written informed consent, with the child providing verbal assent.

Procedures

Parents completed a 45-minute self-administered survey in Spanish or English. All measures were conducted between April 2007 and May 2008.

Children's Sugary Beverage Intake—Children's sugary beverage intake was assessed using a previously validated scale (15) and included non-diet soda, non-carbonated sugary drinks, and sports drinks. Response options consisted of common beverage portions and cup sizes. For example, soda consumption was assessed according to frequency of consumption using a 12 oz. can/glass: never/less than 1 per month, 1–3 cans/glasses per month, 1 can/ glass per week, 2–6 cans/glasses per week, 1 can/glass per day, or 2 or more cans/glasses per day. Item responses were converted to mean daily servings and then summed, with higher scores representing greater daily consumption of sugary beverages.

Household Food Rules—Household food rules regarding diet were assessed using five items from Active Where (16) plus two developed by the study team using data from Aventuras para Niños (17) (Table 1). "Sometimes" responses were recoded into "yes" responses since any enforcement of rules could affect a child's diet. Table 1 lists the test-retest reliability data for the five household rules used from Active Where (18). A final score was computed by summing affirmative responses with a higher score indicating more household food rules.

Parent Modeling of Food Rules—Parents were assessed on whether they followed the same seven household food rules set for their children, modified to reflect parent behavior. The same response options and recoding were used.

Parent-Mediated Behaviors—Four parent-mediated behaviors were examined: the frequency of family dinner eaten together, frequency of eating away-from-home meals, frequency of the child eating or snacking while watching TV, and total amount of screen time per day.

Frequency of family dinner eaten together was assessed using one item from a previous study conducted with the target population (19) (Table 1). Responses were recoded into mean times per week. For example, "5–7 times a week" was recoded into 6 times a week. "Less than once a week" and "1–2 times a week" were collapsed into one response in order to approximate equal distribution between response categories. This grouping resulted in the creation of three response categories: 2 or less times per week, 3.5 times per week, and 6 times per week.

Frequency of eating away-from-home meals was assessed using three items from a previous study targeting the same population (20) (Table 1). For each item, five response options were provided and recoded as "never/less than once a week" or "once a week or more", based on evidence that at least weekly consumption of prepared foods purchased outside the home is associated with poorer diet quality (20).

Weekly frequency of the child eating or snacking while watching television was assessed using three items from a previous study targeting the same population (21) (Table 1). Responses were recoded into mean times per week. Responses to all three questions were used to create a summary score, such that a higher score indicated a greater number of days per week engaged in these behaviors.

Total daily screen time was assessed using three items used in the Active Where study (16) (Table 1). A total sum score of daily screen time was computed with higher scores reflecting more minutes of screen time. Table 1 lists the test-retest reliability for the screen time variables used in Active Where (18).

Parent Support—Social support was assessed with five items used in the PACE+ study (22) (Table 1). Response options and recoding were identical to those for weekly frequency of the child eating or snacking while watching television. Responses were collapsed into one summary score, such that a higher score indicated a greater number of days of parent support in a typical week.

Demographics

Parent/primary caregiver and child demographics included age, gender, and ethnicity, with parents/primary caregivers reporting monthly family income before taxes from all sources and highest level of education completed. Parent/primary caregiver's and child's ethnicity was assessed by asking whether or not he/she considered himself/herself and his/her child Latino, Hispanic, Mexican/Mexican American, or of Spanish origin. Total monthly family income before taxes was recoded into \$0-\$2000, \$2001-\$3500, \$3501-\$5000, and \$5001 or more. Caregiver education level was categorized as middle school or less, high school, some college, college graduate, and post-graduate work.

Statistical Analysis

Analyses were conducted using PASW Statistics 18.0 (Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics included means and standard deviations for continuous data and frequencies for categorical data. Bivariate analyses examined correlations between individual scale items and children's sugary beverage consumption, with no variations found in the direction of associations among items within the same construct. Therefore, a multiple linear regression analysis determined the relative contribution of household food rules, parent modeling of food rules, parent-mediated behaviors, and parent support to children's sugary beverage consumption. The dependent variable was not normally distributed so the variable was log plus one transformed. The regression analysis included five blocks of variable groupings in accordance with the proposed parent model. The first block consisted of demographics such as caregiver's age and education, and child gender. The second block included Household Food Rules, the third block included Parent Modeling of Food Rules, the fourth block included Parent-Mediated Behaviors, and the final block included Parent Support. The fifth block was used to interpret the independent associations of the variable groups and of the total model. Blocks were ordered based upon the relative contribution to children's beverage consumption. For example, household food rules, followed by parent modeling of food rules, and parent support were found to have a decreasing effect on the BMI of girls in a 5year longitudinal study (23).

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Caregiver demographics indicated 93% were female, 41% were Latino/Hispanic and they had an average age of 37.6 (\pm 6.5) years. Among the caregivers, 30.1% completed high school or less while 43.1% completed college or post graduate work. Child demographics indicated 55.1% were female, 46.0% were Latino/Hispanic, and they had an average age of 6.7 (\pm 0.7) years. Descriptive statistics indicated children consumed a 0.51 (\pm 0.58) mean daily servings of sugary beverages and spent 108.0 (\pm 86.2) minutes in total daily screen time. Parent household food rules, modeling of household food rules, and parent support sum scores were 4.7 \pm 1.8, 5.2 \pm 1.7, and 5.2 \pm 1.5, respectively. Results from the hierarchical regression analysis examining correlates of the log transformed sugary beverage

consumption are in Table 2. Due to missing data on some of the parenting variables included in the regression analysis, the final analytic sample was 539. In the demographics block, significant negative associations were found between sugary beverage consumption and caregiver education (p 0.01) such that with more education, caregivers reported less consumption of sugary beverages in their children. In Block 2, having more household food rules was negatively associated with sugary beverage consumption; however, this association was no longer significant in the full model. In Block 4, a significant positive association was found between sugary beverage consumption and weekly visits to fast food restaurants (p 0.05) and total screen time (p 0.05). In Block 5, a significant negative association was found between sugary beverage consumption and parent support (p 0.001). The positive associations between sugary beverage consumption and weekly visits to fast food restaurants and total screen time remained in Block 5. Greater parent support was associated with less consumption of sugary beverages. Eating away-from-home meals at fast food restaurants at least weekly and more screen time were both associated with greater consumption of sugary beverages. No other relationships were significant.

This study tested the relationship between a parenting model that included household food rules, parent modeling of food rules, parent-mediated behaviors, and parent support with children's sugary beverage consumption. Children consumed on average, half a serving of sugary beverages per day, less than an elementary school sample reporting an average of 1 daily serving (24). Consumption in the present study may be lower due to the younger age of the children compared with the elementary school sample. As children age, sugary beverage consumption increases (25). Total mean daily screen time was 108.0 minutes, with 30.3% of the caregivers reporting their child spent two hours or more in front of a screen. These children accumulated less daily screen time compared to national data that indicated nearly 50% of girls and 55% of boys aged 6–11 years old spend two or more hours in front of a screen every day (26). This could be due to the larger age range in the national sample since children engage in more screen time as they get older (27).

Regression analyses indicated that parent-mediated behaviors were associated with greater consumption of children's sugary beverages. These results match previous studies that showed TV viewing was associated with consumption of high-energy drinks among six-year-old Australians (28). In a cross-sectional study with school-aged children living in Maryland, results indicated that those who lived in high TV viewing families consumed 5% more of their total daily energy intake from soda (29). This may be due to the effects of television advertising (30). Data from a 2008 study indicated that all 27 beverage advertisements shown during Saturday morning children's programming promoted choices that do not meet nutrition standards (31).

In addition to total screen time, eating away-from-home meals at fast food restaurants was positively associated with children's sugary beverage consumption. This supports previous findings in which eating away-from-home meals at least once a week or more was associated with greater consumption of sugary beverages (20). Additional research indicates that visits to fast food restaurants are positively associated with sugary beverage consumption (32, 33).

Parent support was negatively associated with consumption of sugary beverages; in other words, greater parent support for healthy eating was associated with less sugary beverage consumption. Home availability is one aspect of parental social support. One study found a positive relationship between availability of soft drinks in the home and consumption of soft drinks in a sample of 8–13 year old children (11). Unfortunately, additional research examining social support between parents and elementary school aged children is unavailable.

Results from the current study were inconsistent with previous studies. For example, previous research shows that eating dinner together as a family (34) and less frequent television watching while eating meals (35) are associated with less consumption of sugary beverages among children. These associations were not observed in the present study and could be explained by the fact that the current study involved younger children compared to those in previous studies. Nevertheless, the current study supports the lack of associations found between other parenting constructs such as household rules and parent modeling of rules and sugary beverage consumption among children (28).

Current study limitations include a cross-sectional study design, a finite number of categories for beverage serving sizes which prevents detailed measurement, limitations in what parents/caregivers know about what children are consuming throughout the day, and potential self-report bias, resulting from recall issues and social desirability. Longitudinal studies are needed to determine if the constructs are individually or collectively predictive of children's beverage consumption. Parent report serves as a proxy for child beverage consumption, screen time, and family meal behaviors due to the children's young age, with parents possibly having difficulty recalling consumption of all beverages due to consumption of beverages while away from the parent or inability to accurately report quantities (36). More precise measures of overall diet include direct observation, doubly labeled water, 24-hour recall and food frequency questionnaires. The only method used to assess diet in the current study was a survey.

Study strengths include a large sample size (n=541) and an ethnically diverse sample (41% Latino), consistent with San Diego County census data which indicated that 31% of residents are of Hispanic/Latino origin (37). Additionally, in terms of primary caregiver education, 24.6% reported graduating from college compared with 34.0% of county residents who are college graduates. Although median income in San Diego County is \$60,103, this sample reflects an overall lower median income of \$42,000–\$48,000 as reported by primary caregivers. This lower income level may be due to the lower percentage of college graduates in the current study. Research indicates that mothers with less education have higher emotional feeding scores compared to mothers with more education (38) meaning they provide food as a form of comfort in the absence of hunger. This may contribute to consumption of unhealthy foods and beverages in children with less educated mothers.

CONCLUSIONS

Current study results can inform future interventions by highlighting correlates of sugary beverage consumption, which is related to childhood obesity. Parent behaviors, including limiting screen time and eating away-from-home meals at fast food restaurants, were associated with sugary beverage consumption and may be promising avenues for obesity prevention. The AAP recommends limiting screen time to two hours or less per day for children 2 years of age and older (39). Public health advocates can use these guidelines to inform parents about their children's screen time behaviors. Parent support, including reducing the availability and accessibility of sugary beverages, could also limit opportunities for sugary beverage consumption.

References

 Ludwig DS, Peterson KE, Gortmaker SL. Relation between consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks and childhood obesity: A prospective, observational analysis. Lancet. 2001; 357(9255):505–8. [PubMed: 11229668]

- Collison KS, Zaidi MZ, Subhani SN, Al-Rubeaan K, Shoukri M, Al-Mohanna FA. Sugar-sweetened carbonated beverage consumption correlates with BMI, waist circumference, and poor dietary choices in school children. BMC Public Health. 2010; 10:234. [PubMed: 20459689]
- Fiorito LM, Marini M, Francis LA, Smiciklas-Wright H, Birch LL. Beverage intake of girls at age 5 y predicts adiposity and weight status in childhood and adolescence. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009; 90:935– 942. [PubMed: 19692492]
- Dubois L, Farmer A, Girard M, Peterson K. Regular sugar-sweetened beverage consumption between meals increases risk of overweight among preschool-aged children. J Am Diet Assoc. 2007; 107:924–934. [PubMed: 17524711]
- 5. Nicklas TA, Johnson R. Position of the American Dietetic Association: Dietary guidance for healthy children ages 2 to 11 years. J Am Diet Assoc. 2004; 104(4):660–677. [PubMed: 15054355]
- 6. Committee on Nutrition. The use and misuse of fruit juice in pediatrics. Pediatrics. 2001; 107(5): 1210–1213. [PubMed: 11331711]
- van der Horst K, Kremers S, Ferreira I, Singh A, Oenema A, Brug J. Perceived parenting style and practices and the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages by adolescents. Health Educ Res. 2007; 22(2):295–304. [PubMed: 16908496]
- Kremers SPJ, van der Horst K, Brug J. Adolescent screen-viewing behaviour is associated with consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages: The role of habit strength and perceived parental norms. Appetite. 2007; 48(3):345–50. [PubMed: 17126451]
- de Bruijn GJ, Kremers SPJ, de Vries H, van Mechelen W, Brug J. Associations of socialenvironmental and individual-level factors with adolescent soft drink consumption: Results from the SMILE study. Health Educ Res. 2007; 22(2):227–237. [PubMed: 16880219]
- Spurrier NJ, Magarey AA, Golley R, Curnow F, Sawyer MG. Relationships between the home environment and physical activity and dietary patterns of preschool children: A cross-sectional study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2008; 30(5):31. [PubMed: 18513416]
- Grimm GC, Harnack L, Story M. Factors associated with soft drink consumption in school-aged children. J Am Diet Assoc. 2004; 104(8):1244–9. [PubMed: 15281041]
- Ayala GX, Elder JP, Campbell NR, et al. Longitudinal intervention effects on parenting of the aventuras para niños. Am J Prev Med. 2010; 38(2):154–162. [PubMed: 20117571]
- Baranowski T, Watson K, Missaghian M, et al. Social support is a primary influence on home fruit, 100% juice, and vegetable availability. J Am Diet Assoc. 2008; 108(7):1231–1235. [PubMed: 18589035]
- Davison, KK.; Campbell, K. Opportunities to prevent obesity in children within families: An ecological approach. In: Crawford, D.; Jeffery, RW., editors. Obesity Prevention and Public Health. 1. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2005. p. 208
- Rockett HRH, Breitenbach M, Frazier AL, et al. Validation of a youth/adolescent food frequency questionnaire. Prev Med. 1997; 26(6):808–816. [PubMed: 9388792]
- 16. Forman H, Kerr J, Norman GJ, et al. Reliability and validity of destination-specific barriers to walking and cycling for youth. Prev Med. 2008; 46(4):311–316. [PubMed: 18206220]
- Arredondo EM, Elder JP, Ayala GX, Campbell N, Baquero B, Duerksen S. Is parenting style related to children's healthy eating and physical activity in Latino families. Health Educ Res. 2006; 21(6):862–871. [PubMed: 17032706]
- Joe, L. [Accessed April 20, 2011] Active where?. Individual item reliability statistics parent/child survey (N=97). Dr. James Sallis, PhD. www.drjamessallis.sdsu.edu/Documents/AW_item_reliability_ParentAdo.pdf
- Andaya AA, Arredondo EM, Alcaraz JE, Lindsay SP, Elder JP. The association between family meals, TV viewing during meals, and fruit, vegetables, soda, and chips intake among Latino children. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2011; 43(5):308–315. [PubMed: 20965787]
- 20. Ayala GX, Rogers M, Arredondo EM, et al. Away-from-home food intake and risk for obesity: Examining the influence of context. Obesity. 2008; 16(5):1002–8. [PubMed: 18309297]
- 21. Zive MM, Frank-Spohrer GC, Sallis JF, et al. Determinants of dietary intake in a simple of White and Mexican-American children. J Am Diet Assoc. 1998; 98:1282–1289. [PubMed: 9813584]
- 22. Prochaska JJ, Rodgers MW, Sallis JF. Association of parent and peer support with adolescent physical activity. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2002; 73(2):206–210. [PubMed: 12092896]

Lopez et al.

- 23. Crawford D, Cleland V, Timperio A, et al. The longitudinal influence of home and neighbourhood environments on children's body mass index and physical activity over 5 years: The CLAN study. Intl J Obes. 2010; 34:1177–1187.
- Blum JW, Jacobsen DJ, Donnelly JE. Beverage consumption patterns in elementary school aged children across a two-year period. J Am Coll Nutr. 2005; 24(2):93–98. [PubMed: 15798075]
- 25. Storey ML, Forshee RA, Anderson PA. Beverage consumption in the US population. J Am Diet Assoc. 2006; 57(7–8):494–511.
- Gable S, Chang Y, Krull JL. Television watching and frequency of family meals are predictive of overweight onse and persistence in a national sample of school-aged children. J Am Diet Assoc. 2007; 107(1):53–61. [PubMed: 17197271]
- Hands BP, Chivers PT, Parker HE, Beilin L, Kendall G, Larkin D. The associations between physical activity, screentime and weight from 6 to 14 yrs: The Raine Study. J Sci Med Sport. 2011; 14(5):397–403. [PubMed: 21531620]
- 28. Campbell KJ, Crawford DA, Ball K. Family food environment and dietary behaviors likely to promote fatness in 5–6 year-old children. Int J Obes. 2006; 30(8):1272–1280.
- Coon KA, Goldberg J, Rogers BL, Tucker KL. Relationships between use of television during meals and children's food consumption patterns. Pediatrics. 2001; 107(1):E7. [PubMed: 11134471]
- Robinson RN. Television viewing and childhood obesity. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2001; 48(4): 1017–1025. [PubMed: 11494635]
- Batada A, Seitz MD, Wootan MG, Story M. Nine out of 10 food advertisements shown during Saturday morning children's television programming are for foods high in fat, sodium, or added sugars, or low in nutrients. J Am Diet Assoc. 2008; 108(4):673–678. [PubMed: 18375225]
- Bowman SA, Gortmaker SL, Ebbeling CB, Pereira MA, Ludwig DS. Effects of fast-food consumption on energy intake and diet quality among children in a national household survey. Pediatrics. 2004; 113(1 Pt 1):112–118. [PubMed: 14702458]
- Wiecha JL, Finkelstein D, Troped PJ, Fragala M, Peterson KE. School vending machine use and fast-food restaurant use are associated with sugar-sweetened beverage intake in youth. J Am Diet Assoc. 2006; 106(10):1624–1630. [PubMed: 17000195]
- Gillman MW, Rifas-Shiman SL, Frazier AL, et al. Family dinner and diet quality among older children and adolescents. Arch Fam Med. 2000; 9(3):235–240. [PubMed: 10728109]
- Liang T, Kuhle S, Veugelers PJ. Nutrition and body weights of Canadian children watching television and eating while watching television. Public Health Nutr. 2009; 12(12):2457–2463. [PubMed: 19405988]
- Linneman C, Hessler K, Nanney S, Steger-May K, Huynh A, Haire-Joshu D. Parents are accurate reporters of their preschoolers' fruit and vegetable consumption under limited conditions. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2004; 36(6):305–308. [PubMed: 15617612]
- US Census Bureau. [Accessed September 14, 2011] State and county quickfacts: San Diego County. http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/06/06073.html. Updated October 27, 2011
- Saxton J, Carnell S, Van Jaarsveld CHM, Wardle J. Maternal education is associated with feeding style. J Am Diet Assoc. 2009; 109:894–898. [PubMed: 19394477]
- Committee on Public Education. Children, adolescents, and television. Pediatrics. 2001; 107(2): 423–426. [PubMed: 11158483]

Table 1

Constructs and items used on baseline survey

Construct	Item	Response Options	ICC ¹⁸
Household Rules	Limited Portion Sizes at Meals ¹⁶	Yes, No, Sometimes	.608
Cronbach a=0.68	No Meals with the TV/DVD on ¹⁶		.694
	No Fried Snacks (such as potato chips) at home ¹⁶		.736
	Must Eat Dinner with Family ¹⁶		.618
	Limited Fast Food ¹⁶		.703
	No Sugary Beverages ¹⁷		N/A
	Must Finish All Food on Plate ¹⁷		N/A
Parent Modeling of Rules Cronbach a=0.66	Same items as above, modified to reflect parent behavior	Yes, No, Sometimes	N/A
Parent-Mediated Behaviors	Frequency of Family Dinner Eaten Together ¹⁹ In a typical week, how often does your family eat dinner together?	Less than once a week, 1–2 times a week, 3–4 times a week, 5–7 times a week	N/A
Cronbach α=0.68	 Frequency of Eating Away-From-Home Meals²⁰ How often does the family usually go out to eat or bring home ready-to-eat foods from?: 1 relatives' or friends' homes, 2 fast food restaurants, 3 other restaurants including sit-down restaurants 	Never, less than once a week, 1–2 times per week, 3–4 times per week, 5 or more times per week	N/A
Cronbach α=0.70	 Frequency of Child Eating or Snacking while Watching TV²¹ 1 How often is the TV on when the family is eating dinner? 2 How often does your child eat snacks in front of the TV? 3 How often does your child eat meals in front of the TV? 	Never, 1–2 days, 3–4 days, 5– 6 days, Everyday	N/A
Total Amount of Daily Screen Time Cronbach α=0.49	 On a typical weekday, how much time does your child spend?¹⁶: 1 watching television/videos/DVDs 2 playing computer or video games (like Nintendo or Xbox), 3 using the internet, email, or other electronic media for leisure 	None, 15 min, 30 min, 1 hour, 2 hours, 3 hours, 4 hours or more	1 .66 2 .72 3 .71
Parent Support Cronbach a=0.68	During a typical week, on how many days does an adult member of your household? ²² :	Never, 1–2 days, 3–4 days, 5– 6 days, Everyday	N/A
	Encourage your child to eat fruits and vegetables		
	Provide fruits or vegetables for your child as a snack or part of a meal		
	Eat fruits and vegetables with your child		
	Encourage your child not to drink sugary beverages		

Lopez et al.

Construct	Item	Response Options	ICC ¹⁸
	Talk with your child about the correct portion sizes of the foods to eat		

Table 2

Hierarchical linear regression of natural log plus one transformed correlates of sugary beverage consumption (N = 539)

			Š	Standardized β	В	
	R ² Change	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	Block 4	Block 5
Demographics R ² =0.093						
Child gender		0.067	0.068	0.066	0.048	0.050
Caregiver age		-0.028	-0.036	-0.037	-0.047	-0.046
High school education vs middle school		-0.155^{*}	-0.166^{*}	-0.169 **	-0.194	-0.206 **
Some college education vs middle school		-0.195^{**}			-0.221	-0.225 **
College graduate education vs middle school		-0.312^{**}	-0.334 **		-0.315**	-0.316
Post graduate education vs middle school		-0.376		-0.389	-0.349	-0.361
R ² =0.137	0.045					
Parent Household Rules ^a			-0.214 **	-0.156^{*}	-0.089	-0.060
$R^{2}=0.140$	0.004					
Parent Modeling of Rules ^b				-0.088	-0.069	-0.049
Parent Mediated Behaviors R ² =0.193	0.063					
Eating dinner together (3.5 times per week vs not)					0.013	0.006
Eating dinner together (6 times per week vs not)					-0.048	-0.038
TV on during meals/snacks					0.075	0.073
Weekly eating away from home at family and friends					0.029	0.023
Weekly eating away from home at fast food restaurants					0.113	0.095 *
Weekly eating away from home at sit down restaurants					0.055	0.058
Screen time					0.139^{*}	0.135^{*}
$R^{2}=0.209$	0.016					
Parent Support						-0.142

* p 0.05, ** p 0.001 ^aParent Rules includes the following seven household rules: limited portion sizes at meals, no meals while watching TV/DVDs, no fried snacks (such as potato chips) at home, must eat dinner with family, limited fast food, no sugary beverages, and must finish all food on plate.

Lopez et al.

b Parent Modeling of Rules includes the extent to which caregivers followed the same seven household rules set for their children.