

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Occup Environ Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 October 1.

Published in final edited form as:

J Occup Environ Med. 2011 October ; 53(10): 1115-1121. doi:10.1097/JOM.0b013e31822cfe8e.

Cardiovascular Fitness Levels among American Workers

John E. Lewis, PhD, John D. Clark III, PhD, William G. LeBlanc, PhD, Lora E. Fleming, MD, PhD, Alberto J. Cabán-Martinez, PhD, Kristopher L. Arheart, EdD, Stacey L. Tannenbaum, MS, Manuel A. Ocasio, BS, Evelyn P. Davila, PhD, Diana Kachan, BS, Kathryn McCollister, PhD, Noella Dietz, PhD, Frank C. Bandiera, MPH, Tainya C. Clarke, MPH, and David J. Lee, PhD

(JEL): Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL; (SLT): Department of Dietetics and Nutrition, Florida International University, Miami, FL; All other authors: Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL

Abstract

Objective—To explore cardiovascular fitness in 40 occupations using a nationally-representative 3 sample of the U.S. population.

Methods—Respondents aged 18–49 (n=3,354) from the 1999–2004 NHANES were evaluated for 5 cardiovascular fitness and classified into low, moderate, and high levels. Comparisons were 6 made among occupations.

Results—Of all U.S. workers, 16% had low, 36% moderate, and 48% high cardiovascular 8 fitness. Administrators, Health occupations, Wait staff, Personal services, and Agricultural 9 occupations had a lesser percentage of workers with low cardiovascular fitness compared to all 10 others. Sales workers, Administrative support, and Food preparers had a higher percentage of 11 workers with low cardiovascular fitness compared to all others.

Conclusions—Cardiovascular fitness varies significantly across occupations, and those with limited physical activity have higher percentages of low cardiovascular fitness. Workplace strategies are needed to promote cardiovascular fitness among high-risk occupations.

Many Americans are suffering from the spectrum of coronary artery and cardiovascular diseases (CAD/CVD). Collectively, these diseases are the number one killer responsible for 30% of all global deaths, which was approximately 17.5 million deaths in 2005.^{1–3} The co-morbid conditions associated with CAD/CVD, such as obesity, diabetes, and cardiometabolic syndrome, have emerged as widespread epidemics crippling the United States and many other parts of the world.^{4–11} CAD/CVD and these co-morbid conditions warrant further study for causative factors and preventive strategies, given that these epidemics predict early death and disability.

A physically-active lifestyle and a moderate to high degree of cardiovascular fitness (CVF) have been associated with health benefits that include reducing: (1) risk factors for CAD/ CVD and diabetes (e.g., hypertension, obesity, hyperglycemia, and hyperlipidemia) and (2) overall morbidity and mortality.^{12–14} Moreover, CVF, as measured by the internationally-recognized standard of maximum oxygen consumption (VO₂max), expressed in milliliters (ml) of oxygen/kilogram (kg) of bodyweight/minute (min), is a better predictor of CAD/ CVD risk compared to self-reported physical activity levels.¹⁵ A recent meta-analysis

Corresponding Author: John E. Lewis, Ph.D., 1120 NW 14th Street, Suite #1474 (D21), Miami, FL 33136, Phone: 305-243-6227, Fax: 305-243-3648, jelewis@miami.edu.

determined that a higher level of CVF was related to lower risk of all-cause mortality and CAD/CVD,¹⁶ while low CVF has been shown to be a significant modifiable risk factor for many diseases and untimely death.¹⁷ Population-level findings also reveal that CVF is inversely related to CAD/CVD risk.^{18;19} Thus, CVF is a key characteristic to consider relative to morbidity and mortality from CAD/CVD and other co-morbid conditions and is superior to self-reported physical activity levels.

A paucity of data exists examining the relationship between CVF and occupation with few studies making comparisons between occupation categories. A study of healthy men in sedentary occupations (i.e., professional, technical, and administrative workers) in Singapore found that those who were regular exercisers had significantly higher VO₂max (40.9 ml/kg/min) compared to their non-exercising counterparts (34.3 ml/kg/min).²⁰ The regular exercisers had VO₂max values that approximated the ability to perform heavy physical work. In a similar study, VO2max values obtained from submaximal bicycle ergometry and other cardiovascular risk factors were assessed among women employees in the United States.²¹ In this study, academic faculty had the highest average VO₂max (29.1 ml/kg/min), followed by registered nurses and nursing assistants (both 27.0 ml/kg/min) and telephone personnel (22.4 ml/kg/min); however even among the academic faculty over 40% were classified as having below-average CVF levels.²¹ A recent study found a mean VO₂max of 46.6 ml/kg/min among firefighters, but 25% of the sample were unable to attain a minimally-acceptable level of CVF according to the Bruce treadmill protocol.²² Fifteen percent of the sample met diagnostic criteria for cardiometabolic syndrome, which was significantly and inversely related to CVF.²²

While the aforementioned studies have reported CVF levels among specific occupation groups, it is virtually unknown how CVF compares across multiple occupations. Additionally, these data suggest an overall low to moderate CVF level among these occupation groups, which may portend a greater risk of CAD/CVD and other comorbidities, given the links found between poor fitness and incidence of disease. CVF data across many occupations are needed to understand if these trends are indicative of poor fitness levels on a wider scale. Such information can also be used to identify worker groups that are at particular risk of CAD/CVD for the purpose of creating workplace fitness and other health promotion programs. Thus, the objective of this study was to assess CVF levels among employees in 40 different occupation categories using a nationally-representative sample of the United States population and to examine how those fitness levels compared to standard CVF recommendations.

Methods

Data Source

Participants included adults ≥ 18 years of age from the 1999–2004 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), a stratified multistage probability sample of the United States civilian non-institutionalized population. NHANES participants underwent a physical examination that included an assessment of CVF by estimating VO₂max.^{23;24} Participants with serious medical conditions, certain medications, physical limitations, and irregular heart rate (HR) were excluded from the estimated VO₂max assessment. VO₂max was estimated by extrapolation using measured HR responses to prescribed exercise workloads assuming a linear relationship between HR and oxygen consumption during exercise. Based on gender, age, body mass index (BMI), and self-reported level of physical activity, participants were assigned to one of eight treadmill protocols. The goal of the protocol was to elicit a 75% age-predicted maximum HR by the end of the test. Each protocol included a 2-minute warm-up, two 3-minute exercise stages, and a 2-minute cooldown. Estimated VO₂max was then categorized based on cutpoints for gender and age and

according to data from the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study (ACLS).^{23;25} Low levels of CVF were defined as estimated VO₂max below the 20th percentile of the ACLS data on the same gender and age group. Moderate levels of fitness were defined as a value between the 20th and 59th percentile. High levels of CVF were defined as being equal to or above the 60th percentile. Employment status (paid and unpaid) for participants 18–49 years of age was based on self-report during the one week prior to the NHANES assessment. Individuals were categorized into one of 40 standardized occupation categories. This analysis was approved by the University of Miami Institutional Review Board for Human Subjects.

Statistical Analysis

Survey variables from the 1999–2000, 2001–2002, and 2003–2004 NHANES cycles were merged for analysis.²⁶ Frequency and descriptive statistics were calculated on all sociodemographic variables of interest, including gender, age, ethnicity/race, education, BMI, daily level of physical activity, and activity level in previous month. Data management and sample size and percentage computations (Table 1) were performed using SAS 9.21 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC). The mean VO₂, percent fitness levels, and standard errors (SE) in Tables 2 and 3 were computed using SAS-Callable SUDAAN 10.0. Using SUDAAN logistic regression, the percentage of low CVF was compared to the combined percentage of moderate and high CVF of each occupation category to all other occupations. Each regression model was adjusted for age, gender, and the sample design.

Results

A total of 3,354 workers >18 years from the continuous 1999–2004 NHANES was used for analysis in this study, representing an approximate annual average of 51 million United States residents, based on the number of participants with a completed VO₂max test. Table 1 shows the sociodemographic characteristics of the sample, including gender, age, ethnicity/ race, education, BMI, marital status, daily level of physical activity, and activity level in previous month. Males comprised approximately 57% of the sample, 48% were younger than 30 years of age, 45% were white, non-Hispanic, and 49% had completed more than high school. Overweight or obese (according to BMI) participants made up over 56% of the sample, only 32% reported taking the stairs and/or lifting light or heavy loads on a daily basis, and 30% had not engaged in vigorous or moderate activity in the previous month.

Table 2 shows the survey-adjusted means and SE for estimated VO₂max by occupation for the total sample and by gender. For all occupations, the mean estimated VO₂max levels for the total sample, males, and females were 40.4 ml/kg/min (SE = 0.3), 43.8 ml/kg/min (SE = 0.3), and 35.9 ml/kg/min (SE = 0.3), respectively. The lowest average estimated VO₂max value was found for Farm operators, managers, and supervisors in both males (M = 37.7 ml/kg/min, SE = 1.8) and females (M = 27.4 ml/kg/min, SE = 0.9). The highest average estimated VO₂max value for males was found for Construction laborers (M = 49.5 ml/kg/min, SE = 2.2). In females the highest average estimated VO₂max value was found for Construction trades (M = 44.9 ml/kg/min, SE = 6.4).

Table 3 shows the survey-adjusted percentages and SE for the low, moderate, and high CVF groups by occupation. Overall, all occupations had 16.1% (SE = 0.9), 35.5% (SE = 1.2), and 48.4% (SE = 1.5) of workers in the low, moderate, and high CVF categories, respectively. Approximately 7% of Farm and nursery workers, Related agricultural, forestry, and fishing occupations, and Health diagnosing, assessing, and treating occupations had low CVF. Miscellaneous food preparation and service occupations, Secretaries, stenographers, and typists, Sales workers, retail, and personal services, Farm operators, managers, and supervisors, and Fabricators, assemblers, inspectors, and samplers had at least 25% of their workers with low CVF levels.

Farm operators, managers, and supervisors, Secretaries, stenographers, and typists, Vehicle and mobile equipment mechanics and repairers, and Protective service occupations had the lowest prevalence (<32%) of high CVF. At least 59% of workers of Engineers, architects, and scientists, Private household occupations, Construction laborers, and Related agricultural, forestry, and fishing occupations had high CVF.

Following adjustment for age, gender, and survey design, Executive, administrators, and managers (Wald χ^2 [1] = 7.2, p = 0.008; odds ratio [OR] = 0.55, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.35, 0.86), Health diagnosing, assessment, and treating occupations (Wald χ^2 [1] = 4.4, p = 0.04; OR = 0.42, 95% CI = 0.18, 0.97), Waiters and waitresses (Wald χ^2 [1] = 4.9, p = 0.03; OR = 0.44, 95% CI = 0.21, 0.93), Personal service occupations (Wald χ^2 [1] = 6.1, p = 0.01; OR = 0.42, 95% CI = 0.21, 0.85), and Related agricultural, forestry, and fishing occupations (Wald χ^2 [1] = 4.2, p = 0.04; OR = 0.36, 95% CI = 0.13, 0.98) had a smaller percentage of workers with low CVF compared to all other occupations. Sales workers, retail, and personal services (Wald χ^2 [1] = 7.3, p = 0.007; OR = 1.89, 95% CI = 1.18, 3.02), Miscellaneous administrative support occupations (Wald χ^2 [1] = 4.8, p = 0.03; OR = 1.54, 95% CI = 1.03, 2.30), and Miscellaneous food preparation and service occupations (Wald χ^2 [1] = 3.9, p = 0.05; OR = 1.96, 95% CI = 0.98, 3.92) had a higher percentage of workers with low CVF compared to all other occupations (Wald χ^2 [1] = 3.9, p = 0.05; OR = 1.96, 95% CI = 0.98, 3.92) had a higher percentage of workers

Discussion

To our knowledge, this population-based study of American workers is the first to examine CVF, as measured by estimated VO₂max, across 40 different occupation categories. Approximately 16% of all workers had low CVF. For men, the lowest level of mean estimated VO₂max was for Farm operators, managers, and supervisors, and the highest levels were for Cooks and Construction laborers. For women, the lowest average estimated VO₂max value was for Farm operators, managers, and supervisors, and the highest values were for Textile, apparel, and furnishings machine operators and Construction trades. Several occupations had particularly unfit workers according to percentages adjusted by age and gender, including Sales workers, retail, and personal services, Miscellaneous administrative support occupations, and Miscellaneous food preparation and service occupations. Moreover, Executive, administrators, and managers, Health diagnosing, assessment, and treating occupations, Waiters and waitresses, Personal service occupations, and Related agricultural, forestry, and fishing occupations had the lowest levels of low CVF compared to all other occupations. These findings are consistent with the limited research available for occupation-based studies of CVF, which show a range of estimated VO₂max values from the low 20s ml/kg/min for service and white collar personnel²¹ to a higher average VO2max of 46.6 ml/kg/min in workers engaging in greater on-the-job activity, such as firefighters.²²

This research team previously explored three other factors related to CAD/CVD by occupation: (1) obesity, (2) self-reported physical activity level, and (3) cardiometabolic syndrome.^{27–29} From 1986 to 2002, male workers in the following occupations had the highest rates of obesity: Motor vehicle operators, Material-moving equipment operators, Police and firefighters, Other transportation except motor vehicle moving operators, and Other protective services employees. For female workers, the highest rates of obesity were among Motor vehicle operators, Other protective service workers, Health services workers, Material-moving equipment operators, and Cleaning and building services workers.²⁷ None of these similar occupation groups had noteworthy levels of CVF in the current study (neither low nor high). Thus, the theorized relationship between high levels of obesity and low levels of CVF may require further investigation, as few reports have addressed this area in the general population, particularly by occupation category.³⁰ Also, measuring BMI,

compared to actual body fat, introduces error into the relationship, given that BMI does not account for a high level of muscle (or fat free) mass.^{31;32}

Using the National Health Interview Surveys from 1997–2004, a previous study by this team found only one-third of male and female workers met recommended leisure-time physical activity levels.²⁸ Additionally, mixed results were found, when leisure-time physical activity levels were measured by occupation groups. The lowest rates of leisure-time physical activity were found in blue-collar occupations (16–55%). Thus, the current findings of high prevalence of low CVF in some blue collar occupations are not surprising, given the modest relationship between physical activity and CVF.

Utilizing the 1999–2004 NHANES, this group found that 20% of all workers met criteria for cardiometabolic syndrome, with Miscellaneous food preparation and food service workers and Farm operators, managers, and supervisors having the highest prevalence (30%).²⁹ These results are consistent with the current study, given that 16% of all workers had a low level of CVF, and Miscellaneous food preparation and food service workers and Farm operators, managers, and supervisors had a particularly high prevalence of low CVF. Several cross-sectional and longitudinal studies show that CVF is inversely associated with cardiometabolic syndrome.^{33–36}

Because we used a nationally-representative sample of adults in the United States population, the results of this study provide useful information for decision makers and employers who are conducting and/or planning wellness programs, specifically with the goal of improving CVF. Focusing on improving CVF will not only result in a healthier labor force by preventing many people from developing chronic disease, but also can work to reverse disease symptoms for those already diagnosed.^{13;14;33} Additionally, investing in the fitness and general health of employees saves money in terms of enhanced productivity and lower health care costs.^{37;38}

The primary limitation of this study is the inability to ascertain causality, given its crosssectional nature. It cannot be determined if simply working as a farm operator, secretary, or a retail sales worker contributes to low levels of CVF because of the lack of physical demands on the job and/or if people choosing these types of occupations do not typically engage in enough exercise to demonstrate higher levels of CVF at the time of the assessment.²⁸ Likewise, it is unknown if scientists, health-related occupations, teachers, or construction laborers have a greater prevalence of high CVF because their positions are more physically oriented (e.g., health technicians spend a good deal of time on their feet) or if these positions afford these workers the time and/or access to exercise compared to other occupation groups (e.g., teachers have access to the school gym). Nonetheless, others have found that workers with job-characterizing demands (including shift work and physical strain) are more sedentary compared to workers who are more autonomous.³⁹ Blue collar workers report low levels of physical activity,²⁸ which do not directly relate to the amount of time spent working.⁴⁰ In addition, blue collar occupations may be more difficult to target for physical activity intervention due to intra-individual, interpersonal, and bureaucratic norms.^{41–43} For example, a farm operator may work alone in an isolated rural location that lacks access to convenient exercise facilities. Secretaries may not feel empowered to leave their work place during the day to exercise without an environment that fosters health and wellness.

Given the beneficial effect that CVF, possibly independent of obesity,^{30;44;45} has on reducing the risk of CAD/CVD, diabetes, cardiometabolic syndrome, and all-cause mortality,^{46–50} employer awareness of this population-based assessment by occupation is of paramount importance to foster improved worksite wellness and health promotion

activities.³ Increasing CVF, and the expected concomitant weight loss, may also augment the quantity of work performed, reduce the amount of extra effort required to perform the job, and improve indicators of presenteeism, although this area of investigation has been relatively unstudied.⁵¹ In addition, obese workers have been shown to be at greater risk for worksite traumatic injuries,⁵² hence improved CVF could indirectly counter this problem as well. Having a moderate to high level of CVF, while it may not directly translate into lower levels of absenteeism, would reduce the incidence and prevalence of many chronic diseases, and therefore any worksite wellness program should contain elements focused on improving CVF levels related to the known health benefits.⁵³ Additionally, modifiable risk factors, such as depression, hyperglycemia, obesity, hypertension, and a sedentary lifestyle, are related to higher employer health care expenditures,⁵⁴ and these factors are directly counteracted by improving CVF levels. Therefore, continued efforts at increasing the levels of CVF in the American workforce are critically important to curtail the effects of the various epidemics of chronic disease in the United States.

In summary, these data suggest that levels of CVF are varied among the United States working population. Some occupation categories require strategies to improve CVF levels more than others, while taking into account the type of job and the demands and constraints related to the organization. Additional studies that look at the combined effects of CVF, measures of body composition, and increasingly prevalent morbid conditions, including cardiometabolic syndrome, may provide employers with better approaches to reduce the risk of CAD/CVD, diabetes, and other related complications that are known to be counteracted by increasing CVF. Future work can examine whether longitudinal changes in CVF mediate the risk of these diseases within occupation groups.

Acknowledgments

Drs. Lewis, Clark, LeBlanc, Lee, Fleming, Arheart, Davila, McCollister, Dietz, Cabán-Martinez, Messrs. Ocasio and Bandiera, and Mses. Tannenbaum, Kachan, and Clarke contributed to the design of the study. Drs. Lewis, LeBlanc, Clark, Arheart, Fleming, Lee, Dietz, McCollister, Davila, and Cabán-Martinez and Ms. Tannenbaum contributed to the writing of the article. Drs. LeBlanc, Arheart, Clark, Lewis, Fleming, Lee, and Cabán-Martinez contributed to the analysis of the data. This work was funded in part by a grant from the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (R01 OH03915).

Sources of Support: This work was funded in part by a grant from the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (R01 OH03915).

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Cardiovascular diseases, 2008. 2010.
- Sanders TA. High- versus low-fat diets in human diseases. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2003 Mar; 6(2):151–5. [PubMed: 12589184]
- 3. Weisburger J. Lifestyle, health and disease prevention: The underlying mechanisms. European Journal of Cancer Prevention. 2002; 11(S2):S1–S7. [PubMed: 12570328]
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National diabetes fact sheet: general information and national estimates on diabetes in the United States, 2007. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2008.
- Expert Panel on Detection EaToHBCiA. Executive Summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA. 2001; 285(19):2486–97. [PubMed: 11368702]
- Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Johnson CL. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999–2000. JAMA. 2002 Oct 9; 288(14):1723–7. [PubMed: 12365955]
- Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Curtin LR. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999–2008. JAMA. 2010 Jan 20; 303(3):235–41. [PubMed: 20071471]

- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, McDowell MA, Tabak CJ, Flegal KM. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in the United States, 1999–2004. JAMA. 2006 Apr 5; 295(13):1549–55. [PubMed: 16595758]
- Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US adults: findings from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. JAMA. 2002 Jan 16; 287(3): 356–9. [PubMed: 11790215]
- Mokdad AH, Bowman BA, Ford ES, Vinicor F, Marks JS, Koplan JP. The continuing epidemics of obesity and diabetes in the United States. JAMA. 2001 Sep 12; 286(10):1195–200. [PubMed: 11559264]
- Steyn NP, Mann J, Bennett PH, Temple N, Zimmet P, Tuomilehto J, et al. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of type 2 diabetes. Public Health Nutr. 2004 Feb; 7(1A):147–65. [PubMed: 14972058]
- Kampert JB, Blair SN, Barlow CE, Kohl HW III. Physical activity, physical fitness, and all-cause and cancer mortality: a prospective study of men and women. Ann Epidemiol. 1996 Sep; 6(5): 452–7. [PubMed: 8915477]
- Blair SN, Kampert JB, Kohl HW III, Barlow CE, Macera CA, Paffenbarger RS Jr, et al. Influences of cardiorespiratory fitness and other precursors on cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in men and women. JAMA. 1996 Jul 17; 276(3):205–10. [PubMed: 8667564]
- Helmrich SP, Ragland DR, Leung RW, Paffenbarger RS Jr. Physical activity and reduced occurrence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med. 1991 Jul 18; 325(3):147– 52. [PubMed: 2052059]
- Talbot LA, Morrell CH, Metter EJ, Fleg JL. Comparison of cardiorespiratory fitness versus leisure time physical activity as predictors of coronary events in men aged < or = 65 years and > 65 years. Am J Cardiol. 2002 May 15; 89(10):1187–92. [PubMed: 12008173]
- 16. Kodama S, Saito K, Tanaka S, Maki M, Yachi Y, Asumi M, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness as a quantitative predictor of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events in healthy men and women: a meta-analysis. JAMA. 2009 May 20; 301(19):2024–35. 15. [PubMed: 19454641]
- Kesaniemi YK, Danforth E Jr, Jensen MD, Kopelman PG, Lefebvre P, Reeder BA. Doseresponse issues concerning physical activity and health: an evidence-based symposium. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2001 Jun; 33(6 Suppl):S351–S358. [PubMed: 11427759]
- Conway TL, Cronan TA. Smoking, exercise, and physical fitness. Prev Med. 1992 Nov; 21(6): 723–34. [PubMed: 1438118]
- Borodulin K, Laatikainen T, Lahti-Koski M, Lakka TA, Laukkanen R, Sarna S, et al. Associations between estimated aerobic fitness and cardiovascular risk factors in adults with different levels of abdominal obesity. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2005 Apr; 12(2):126–31. [PubMed: 15785297]
- Ong TC, Sothy SP. A comparative study of the maximum oxygen uptake of regularly exercising and non-exercising health adult men in sedentary occupations. Occup Med (Lond). 1992 Aug; 42(3):120–4. [PubMed: 1504294]
- Wilbur J, Naftzger-Kang L, Miller AM, Chandler P, Montgomery A. Women's occupations, energy expenditure, and cardiovascular risk factors. J Womens Health. 1999 Apr; 8(3):377–87. [PubMed: 10326992]
- Donovan R, Nelson T, Peel J, Lipsey T, Voyles W, Israel RG. Cardiorespiratory fitness and the metabolic syndrome in firefighters. Occup Med (Lond). 2009 Oct; 59(7):487–92. [PubMed: 19578075]
- 23. NHANES. Cardiovascular Fitness in NHANES 1999–2000 Data Release MEC Examination. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2004.
- 24. Wang CY, Haskell WL, Farrell SW, Lamonte MJ, Blair SN, Curtin LR, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness levels among US adults 20–49 years of age: findings from the 1999–2004 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Am J Epidemiol. 2010 Feb 15; 171(4):426–35. [PubMed: 20080809]
- American College of Sports Medicine. ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription.
 Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2000.
- 26. NHANES. Key concepts about constructing weights for combined NHANES survey cycles. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2001.

- Caban AJ, Lee DJ, Fleming LE, Gomez-Marin O, LeBlanc W, Pitman T. Obesity in US workers: The National Health Interview Survey, 1986 to 2002. Am J Public Health. 2005 Sep; 95(9):1614– 22. [PubMed: 16051934]
- Caban-Martinez AJ, Lee DJ, Fleming LE, LeBlanc WG, Arheart KL, Chung-Bridges K, et al. Leisure-time physical activity levels of the US workforce. Prev Med. 2007 May; 44(5):432–6. [PubMed: 17321584]
- Davila EP, Florez H, Fleming LE, Lee DJ, Goodman E, LeBlanc WG, et al. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among U.S. workers. Diabetes Care. 2010 Nov; 33(11):2390–5. [PubMed: 20585004]
- McAuley PA, Kokkinos PF, Oliveira RB, Emerson BT, Myers JN. Obesity paradox and cardiorespiratory fitness in 12,417 male veterans aged 40 to 70 years. Mayo Clin Proc. 2010 Feb; 85(2):115–21. [PubMed: 20118386]
- Romero-Corral A, Lopez-Jimenez F, Sierra-Johnson J, Somers VK. Differentiating between body fat and lean mass-how should we measure obesity? Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab. 2008 Jun; 4(6):322–3. [PubMed: 18382423]
- Romero-Corral A, Somers VK, Sierra-Johnson J, Thomas RJ, Collazo-Clavell ML, Korinek J, et al. Accuracy of body mass index in diagnosing obesity in the adult general population. Int J Obes (Lond). 2008 Jun; 32(6):959–66. 17. [PubMed: 18283284]
- Katzmarzyk PT, Leon AS, Wilmore JH, Skinner JS, Rao DC, Rankinen T, et al. Targeting the metabolic syndrome with exercise: evidence from the HERITAGE Family Study. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2003 Oct; 35(10):1703–9. [PubMed: 14523308]
- 34. Lakka TA, Laaksonen DE, Lakka HM, Mannikko N, Niskanen LK, Rauramaa R, et al. Sedentary lifestyle, poor cardiorespiratory fitness, and the metabolic syndrome. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2003 Aug; 35(8):1279–86. [PubMed: 12900679]
- 35. Laaksonen DE, Lakka HM, Lynch J, Lakka TA, Niskanen L, Rauramaa R, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and vigorous leisure-time physical activity modify the association of small size at birth with the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes Care. 2003 Jul; 26(7):2156–64. [PubMed: 12832329]
- Kullo IJ, Hensrud DD, Allison TG. Relation of low cardiorespiratory fitness to the metabolic syndrome in middle-aged men. Am J Cardiol. 2002 Oct 1; 90(7):795–7. [PubMed: 12356405]
- Aldana SG. Financial impact of health promotion programs: a comprehensive review of the literature. Am J Health Promot. 2001 May; 15(5):296–320. [PubMed: 11502012]
- Ozminkowski RJ, Dunn RL, Goetzel RZ, Cantor RI, Murnane J, Harrison M. A return on investment evaluation of the Citibank, N.A., health management program. Am J Health Promot. 1999 Sep; 14(1):31–43. [PubMed: 10621522]
- Johansson G, Johnson JV, Hall EM. Smoking and sedentary behavior as related to work organization. Soc Sci Med. 1991; 32(7):837–46. [PubMed: 2028279]
- Burton NW, Turrell G. Occupation, hours worked, and leisure-time physical activity. Prev Med. 2000 Dec; 31(6):673–81. [PubMed: 11133334]
- Gebhardt DL, Crump C. Employee fitness and wellness programs in the workplace. Am Psychol. 1990 Feb; 45(2):262–72. [PubMed: 2178506]
- Glasgow RE, McCaul KD, Fisher KJ. Participation in worksite health promotion: a critique of the literature and recommendations for future practice. Health Educ Q. 1993; 20(3):391–408. [PubMed: 8307762]
- Linnan LA, Sorensen G, Colditz G, Klar DN, Emmons KM. Using theory to understand the multiple determinants of low participation in worksite health promotion programs. Health Educ Behav. 2001 Oct; 28(5):591–607. [PubMed: 11575688]
- 44. Lee DC, Artero EG, Sui X, Blair SN. Mortality trends in the general population: the importance of cardiorespiratory fitness. J Psychopharmacol. 2010 Nov; 24(4 Suppl):27–35. [PubMed: 20923918]
- Whaley MH, Kampert JB, Kohl HW III, Blair SN. Physical fitness and clustering of risk factors associated with the metabolic syndrome. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1999 Feb; 31(2):287–93. [PubMed: 10063819]
- Abbasi F, Brown BW Jr, Lamendola C, McLaughlin T, Reaven GM. Relationship between obesity, insulin resistance, and coronary heart disease risk. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002 Sep 4; 40(5):937–43. [PubMed: 12225719]

- Howard BV, Ruotolo G, Robbins DC. Obesity and dyslipidemia. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am. 2003 Dec; 32(4):855–67. [PubMed: 14711065]
- Blair SN, Kohl HW III, Paffenbarger RS Jr, Clark DG, Cooper KH, Gibbons LW. Physical fitness and all-cause mortality. A prospective study of healthy men and women. JAMA. 1989 Nov 3; 262(17):2395–401. [PubMed: 2795824]
- Ekelund LG, Haskell WL, Johnson JL, Whaley FS, Criqui MH, Sheps DS. Physical fitness as a predictor of cardiovascular mortality in asymptomatic North American men. The Lipid Research Clinics Mortality Follow-up Study. N Engl J Med. 1988 Nov 24; 319(21):1379–84. [PubMed: 3185648]
- Wei M, Gibbons LW, Mitchell TL, Kampert JB, Lee CD, Blair SN. The association between cardiorespiratory fitness and impaired fasting glucose and type 2 diabetes mellitus in men. Ann Intern Med. 1999 Jan 19; 130(2):89–96. [PubMed: 10068380]
- Pronk NP, Martinson B, Kessler RC, Beck AL, Simon GE, Wang P. The association between work performance and physical activity, cardiorespiratory fitness, and obesity. J Occup Environ Med. 2004 Jan; 46(1):19–25. [PubMed: 14724474]
- Pollack KM, Sorock GS, Slade MD, Cantley L, Sircar K, Taiwo O, et al. Association between body mass index and acute traumatic workplace injury in hourly manufacturing employees. Am J Epidemiol. 2007 Jul 15; 166(2):204–11. [PubMed: 17485732]
- Aldana SG, Pronk NP. Health promotion programs, modifiable health risks, and employee absenteeism. J Occup Environ Med. 2001 Jan; 43(1):36–46. [PubMed: 11201768]
- 54. Goetzel RZ, Anderson DR, Whitmer RW, Ozminkowski RJ, Dunn RL, Wasserman J. The relationship between modifiable health risks and health care expenditures. An analysis of the multi-employer HERO health risk and cost database. J Occup Environ Med. 1998 Oct; 40(10): 843–54. [PubMed: 9800168]

Table 1

Sociodemographic Characteristics of the 1999–2004 NHANES Study Population

| Variable | Category | Ν | Percent |
|----------------------------------|---|-------|---------|
| Conden | Male | 1,895 | 56.5 |
| Gender | Female | 1,459 | 43.5 |
| | 18–19 | 642 | 19.1 |
| | 20–24 | 522 | 15.6 |
| | 25–29 | 445 | 13.3 |
| Age | 30–34 | 466 | 13.9 |
| | 35–39 | 473 | 14.1 |
| | 40–44 | 439 | 13.1 |
| | 45–49 | 367 | 10.9 |
| | White | 1,505 | 44.9 |
| | Black | 686 | 20.5 |
| Ethnicity/Race | Hispanic | 1,077 | 32.1 |
| | Other | 86 | 2.6 |
| | <high school<="" td=""><td>804</td><td>24.0</td></high> | 804 | 24.0 |
| Education | Completed high school | 905 | 27.0 |
| | >High school | 1,645 | 49.0 |
| | Normal or underweight (<25) | 1,445 | 43.2 |
| Body Mass Index | Overweight (25.0-29.9) | 1,056 | 31.6 |
| | Obese or extremely overweight (30+) | 843 | 25.2 |
| | Mostly sitting | 602 | 18.0 |
| Daily Level of Physical Activity | Stands/walks | 1,667 | 49.7 |
| | Stairs/lifts light or heavy loads | 1,085 | 32.4 |
| | Neither vigorous or moderate | 997 | 29.7 |
| Activity Level in Previous Month | Some moderate | 712 | 21.2 |
| | Some vigorous | 1,645 | 49.1 |

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 2

Estimated VO₂max by Occupation Category

| | | | Total Sample | | | Males | | | Females | |
|--|---|----------|-----------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Occupation | Estimated Number of US Workers ^b | z | Adjusted Mean ± SE | 95% CI | z | Adjusted Mean ± SE | 95% CI | z | Adjusted Mean ± SE | 95% CI |
| All occupations | 51,325,181 | 3,354 | 40.4 ± 0.3 | 39.8, 41.0 | 1,895 | 43.8 ± 0.3 | 43.2, 44.4 | 1,459 | 35.9 ± 0.3 | 35.3, 36.6 |
| Executive, administrators, and managers | 4,853,423 | 236 | 41.0 ± 0.7 | 39.6, 42.5 | 134 | 43.9 ± 0.8 | 42.2, 45.6 | 102 | 36.8 ± 0.8 | 35.2, 38.4 |
| Management-related occupations | 1,616,183 | 89 | 39.1 ± 1.2 | 36.7, 41.6 | 37 | 42.8 ± 1.8 | 39.1, 46.5 | 52 | 36.0 ± 1.7 | 32.6, 39.3 |
| Engineers, architects, and scientists | 2,075,309 | 66 | 43.6 ± 0.9 | 41.7, 45.4 | 76 | 45.2 ± 1.0 | 43.2, 47.1 | 23 <i>a</i> | 36.0 ± 1.7 | 32.6, 39.5 |
| Health diagnosing, assessing, and treating occupations | 1,818,977 | 73 | 39.0 ± 1.4 | 36.3, 41.8 | 16^{a} | 45.0 ± 1.7 | 41.6, 48.4 | 57 | 37.0 ± 1.4 | 34.1, 39.8 |
| Teachers | 2,215,864 | 118 | 39.4 ± 1.3 | 36.8, 41.9 | 36 | 45.3 ± 2.3 | 40.8, 49.9 | 82 | 37.0 ± 1.2 | 34.5, 39.5 |
| Writers, artists, entertainers, and athletes | 1,141,704 | 62 | 41.2 ± 1.6 | 38.1, 44.3 | 39 | 44.3 ± 2.2 | 39.8, 48.8 | 23 <i>a</i> | 36.4 ± 1.4 | 33.6, 39.1 |
| Other professional specialty occupations | 1,487,826 | 73 | 39.2 ± 1.0 | 37.3, 41.1 | 36 | 43.4 ± 1.5 | 40.3, 46.5 | 37 | 34.6 ± 1.2 | 32.1, 37.0 |
| Technicians and related support occupations | 1,913,926 | 104 | 38.8 ± 1.0 | 36.7, 40.8 | 51 | 42.3 ± 1.3 | 39.7, 44.8 | 53 | 35.7 ± 1.0 | 33.7, 37.6 |
| Supervisors and proprietors, sales occupations | 1,235,651 | 68 | 40.6 ± 1.2 | 38.2, 43.0 | 40 | 41.8 ± 1.0 | 39.8, 43.8 | 28 <i>a</i> | 39.0 ± 2.4 | 34.2, 43.8 |
| Sales representatives, finance, business, and commodities except retail | 1,647,287 | 77 | 39.3 ± 1.1 | 37.1, 41.6 | 49 | 42.6 ± 1.3 | 40.1, 45.2 | 28 <i>a</i> | 32.9 ± 1.0 | 30.9, 34.9 |
| Sales workers, retail, and personal services | 2,537,065 | 237 | 39.0 ± 0.9 | 37.2, 40.7 | 91 | 44.3 ± 1.1 | 42.2, 46.5 | 146 | 35.1 ± 1.0 | 33.1, 37.1 |
| Secretaries, stenographers, and typists | 785,442 | 45 | 34.0 ± 1.1 | 31.9, 36.2 | 4^{a} | 40.9 ± 1.8 | 37.2, 44.7 | 41 | 33.4 ± 1.0 | 31.3, 35.5 |
| Information clerks | 964,964 | 58 | 37.2 ± 1.5 | 34.2, 40.3 | 13^{a} | 43.5 ± 2.1 | 39.4, 47.7 | 45 | 35.7 ± 1.7 | 32.4, 39.0 |
| Records processing occupations | 1,512,033 | 86 | 35.7 ± 0.9 | 33.9, 37.5 | 15^{a} | 38.3 ± 1.6 | 35.1, 41.4 | 71 | 35.3 ± 1.0 | 33.3, 37.3 |
| Material recording, scheduling, and distributing clerks | 728,622 | 54 | 39.0 ± 1.5 | 35.9, 42.0 | 29 <i>a</i> | 41.5 ± 1.4 | 38.7, 44.4 | 25a | 36.6 ± 2.5 | 31.5, 41.6 |
| Miscellaneous administrative support occupations | 3,429,960 | 242 | 37.2 ± 0.9 | 35.4, 38.9 | 63 | 44.3 ± 1.8 | 40.6, 48.0 | 179 | 35.0 ± 0.9 | 33.1, 36.9 |
| Private household occupations | 409,511 | 29^{a} | 36.6 ± 1.4 | 33.8, 39.3 | 2^{a} | 43.1 ± 0.0 | 43.1, 43.1 | 27a | 36.2 ± 1.4 | 33.3, 39.0 |
| Protective service occupations | 990,143 | 61 | 39.9 ± 1.1 | 37.8, 42.1 | 46 | 42.3 ± 1.0 | 40.2, 44.5 | 15 <i>a</i> | 31.6 ± 1.0 | 29.6, 33.5 |
| Waiters and waitresses | 1,087,385 | 80 | 38.9 ± 1.0 | 36.9, 40.9 | 25a | 43.2 ± 1.9 | 39.5, 47.0 | 55 | 37.2 ± 1.0 | 35.2, 39.1 |
| Cooks | 916,820 | 90 | 45.7 ± 3.6 | 38.5, 52.9 | 68 | 49.4 ± 4.5 | 40.4, 58.5 | 22 ^a | 34.0 ± 1.6 | 30.7, 37.3 |
| Miscellaneous food preparation and service occupations | 935,461 | 83 | 40.0 ± 1.5 | 36.9, 43.1 | 39 | 44.0 ± 2.2 | 39.6, 48.4 | 44 | 36.7 ± 2.0 | 32.7, 40.7 |

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Lewis et al.

| | | | Total Sample | | | Males | | | Females | |
|---|---|-------------|----------------------|------------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Occupation | Estimated Number of US Workers ^b | Z | Adjusted Mean ±SE | 95% CI | Z | Adjusted Mean ±SE | 95% CI | Z | Adjusted Mean ± SE | 95% CI |
| Health service occupations | 981,524 | 83 | 36.9 ± 0.9 | 35.1, 38.7 | 14^{a} | 41.5 ± 2.8 | 35.8, 47.2 | 69 | 35.7 ± 0.8 | 34.0, 37.4 |
| Cleaning and building service occupations | 1,043,370 | 95 | 39.0 ± 1.1 | 36.8, 41.1 | 45 | 43.3 ± 1.2 | 41.0, 45.7 | 50 | 35.1 ± 1.4 | 32.4, 37.9 |
| Personal service occupations | 1,059,154 | 80 | 39.6 ± 1.1 | 37.3, 41.9 | 28^{a} | 45.9 ± 0.9 | 44.1, 47.6 | 52 | 36.1 ± 1.5 | 33.0, 39.1 |
| Farm operators, managers, and supervisors | 236,159 | 11^{a} | 35.6 ± 2.1 | 31.5, 39.8 | в | 37.7 ± 1.8 | 34.0, 41.4 | 2^{a} | 27.4 ± 0.9 | 25.7, 29.2 |
| Farm and nursery workers | 292,619 | 36 | 43.5 ± 0.9 | 41.8, 45.3 | 25a | 46.2 ± 1.1 | 43.9, 48.5 | 11^{a} | 38.1 ± 1.9 | 34.3, 41.9 |
| Related agricultural, forestry, and fishing occupations | 741,459 | 67 | 46.7 ± 2.3 | 42.2, 51.3 | 60 | 47.8 ± 2.4 | 43.0, 52.6 | Дa | 39.7 ± 2.0 | 35.8, 43.7 |
| Vehicle and mobile equipment mechanics and repairers | 680,185 | 44 | 39.5 ± 1.1 | 37.2, 41.8 | 43 | 39.5 ± 1.2 | 37.2, 41.9 | 1^{a} | 36.0 ± 0.0 | 36.0, 36.0 |
| Other mechanics and repairers | 924,823 | 09 | 42.8 ± 1.4 | 40.0, 45.7 | 58 | 43.0 ± 1.4 | 40.1, 45.8 | 2^{a} | 29.7 ± 1.9 | 25.9, 33.5 |
| Construction trades | 3,030,737 | 210 | 44.1 ± 0.6 | 42.8, 45.3 | 206 | 44.1 ± 0.6 | 42.9, 45.3 | 4^{a} | 44.9 ± 6.4 | 32.0, 57.7 |
| Extractive and precision production occupations | 1,243,977 | 75 | 41.6 ± 1.1 | 39.4, 43.9 | 62 | 42.0 ± 1.4 | 39.1, 44.9 | 13^{a} | 40.0 ± 2.5 | 35.0, 45.0 |
| Textile, apparel, and furnishings machine operators | 205,498 | 15^{a} | 45.8 ± 3.7 | 38.3, 53.4 | 80 | 47.2 ± 5.1 | 36.9, 57.4 | γa | 44.5 ± 5.7 | 33.0, 56.0 |
| Machine operators, assorted materials | 1,190,439 | 93 | 41.1 ± 0.6 | 39.9, 42.2 | 76 | 41.1 ± 0.7 | 39.7, 42.5 | 17a | 40.8 ± 1.1 | 38.6, 43.1 |
| Fabricators, assemblers, inspectors, and samplers | 1,008,093 | 73 | 40.5 ± 1.7 | 37.1, 44.0 | 42 | 42.9 ± 1.9 | 39.1, 46.6 | 31 | 36.4 ± 2.1 | 32.2, 40.7 |
| Motor vehicle operators | 1,689,775 | 107 | 44.3 ± 1.6 | 41.2, 47.5 | 98 | 45.2 ± 1.7 | 41.8, 48.6 | <i>p</i> 6 | 33.4 ± 1.8 | 29.8, 37.0 |
| Other transportation and material moving occupations | 616,014 | 38 | 41.4 ± 0.7 | 40.1, 42.7 | 37 | 41.7 ± 0.6 | 40.4, 43.0 | 1^{a} | 33.5 ± 0.0 | 33.5, 33.5 |
| Construction laborers | 362,272 | 41 | 49.5 ± 2.2 | 45.2, 53.8 | 41 | 49.5 ± 2.2 | 45.2, 53.8 | 0 | | |
| Laborers, except construction | 323,064 | 23 <i>a</i> | 42.9 ± 1.8 | 39.3, 46.6 | 17^{a} | 43.5 ± 1.9 | 39.6, 47.5 | e^{g} | 39.6 ± 4.6 | 30.3, 48.8 |
| Freight, stock, and material movers, hand | 730,436 | 74 | 44.2 ± 1.5 | 41.2, 47.2 | 64 | 45.0 ± 1.6 | 41.9, 48.2 | 10^{a} | 38.3 ± 0.9 | 36.5, 40.0 |
| Other helpers, equipment cleaners, hand packagers, and laborers | 662,024 | 65 | 42.9 ± 1.9 | 39.1, 46.8 | 53 | 46.1 ± 2.0 | 42.1, 50.2 | 12 ^a | 30.4 ± 1.6 | 27.2, 33.7 |
| Note: | | | | | | | | | | |

J Occup Environ Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 October 1.

Note:

 a Estimates do not meet the National Center for Health Statistics standard of reliability or precision because the sample size is <30.

^b Our estimated population of US workers is lower due to the number of NHANES participants who completed the VO2max test.

Lewis et al.

Table 3

Adjusted Percentage of Workers with Low, Moderate, and High Cardiovascular Fitness by Occupation Category

| | | r | ow Cardiovascular | Fitness | Mode | erate Cardiovascula | r Fitness | Hig | gh Cardiovascular | Fitness |
|---|---|-----------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|
| Occupation | Estimated Number of US Workers ^b | Z | Adjusted % ± SE | 95% CI | Z | Adjusted % ± SE | 95% CI | Z | Adjusted % ± SE | 95% CI |
| All occupations | 51,325,181 | 677 | 16.1 ± 0.9 | 14.3, 18.0 | 1,205 | 35.5 ± 1.2 | 33.1, 38.0 | 1,472 | 48.4 ± 1.5 | 45.5, 51.4 |
| Executive, administrators, and managers* | 4,853,423 | 31 | 8.9 ± 1.7 | 6.0, 13.0 | 81 | 35.4 ± 2.9 | 29.8, 41.3 | 124 | 55.8 ± 3.3 | 49.1, 62.2 |
| Management-related occupations | 1,616,183 | 21 ^a | 19.6 ± 5.9 | 10.3, 34.1 | 28^{a} | 32.4 ± 6.0 | 21.6, 45.4 | 40 | 48.1 ± 5.3 | 37.5, 58.7 |
| Engineers, architects, and scientists | 2,075,309 | 11^{a} | 9.9 ± 3.2 | 5.0, 18.5 | 35 | 31.1 ± 7.1 | 18.8, 46.8 | 53 | 59.0 ± 7.2 | 44.1, 72.4 |
| Health diagnosing, assessing, and treating occupations* | 1,818,977 | 80 | 7.1 ± 2.7 | 3.2, 14.8 | 24 ^a | 35.6 ± 5.2 | 26.0, 46.5 | 41 | 57.3 ± 5.2 | 46.7, 67.4 |
| Teachers | 2,215,864 | 19^{a} | 10.3 ± 3.2 | 5.4, 18.8 | 39 | 32.6 ± 5.2 | 23.2, 43.7 | 09 | 57.0 ± 5.6 | 45.6, 67.8 |
| Writers, artists, entertainers, and athletes | 1,141,704 | <i>ba</i> | 12.4 ± 5.4 | 4.9, 27.8 | 26 ^a | 36.7 ± 7.7 | 22.8, 53.1 | 27a | 51.0 ± 9.2 | 33.1, 68.6 |
| Other professional specialty occupations | 1,487,826 | 11^{a} | 14.0 ± 4.1 | 7.6, 24.4 | 32 | 40.9 ± 7.1 | 27.7, 55.6 | 30 | 45.0 ± 7.6 | 30.5, 60.4 |
| Technicians and related support occupations | 1,913,926 | 26 ^a | 19.9 ± 3.9 | 13.1, 29.0 | 33 | 29.8 ± 4.8 | 21.1, 40.3 | 45 | 50.3 ± 5.6 | 39.3, 61.3 |
| Supervisors and proprietors, sales occupations | 1,235,651 | 18^{a} | 20.5 ± 4.8 | 12.4, 31.9 | 18^{d} | 30.2 ± 5.3 | 20.7, 41.7 | 32 | 49.4 ± 6.2 | 37.2, 61.7 |
| Sales representatives, finance, business, and commodities except retail | 1,647,287 | 12 ^a | 15.0 ± 5.6 | 6.8, 29.9 | 33 | 42.8 ± 7.2 | 29.3, 57.6 | 32 | 42.2 ± 6.9 | 29.3, 56.3 |
| Sales workers, retail, and personal services** | 2,537,065 | 83 | 29.5 ± 4.3 | 21.5, 38.9 | 65 | 26.7 ± 3.6 | 20.2, 34.5 | 89 | 43.8 ± 3.9 | 36.1, 51.8 |
| Secretaries, stenographers, and typists | 785,442 | 14^{a} | 27.7 ± 6.9 | 16.1, 43.4 | 19^{a} | 44.4 ± 6.7 | 31.5, 58.1 | 12^{a} | 27.9 ± 6.9 | 16.2, 43.5 |
| Information clerks | 964,964 | 11^{a} | 13.2 ± 5.4 | 5.5, 28.4 | 27^{a} | 48.0 ± 9.4 | 30.2, 66.2 | 20^{a} | 38.8 ± 9.3 | 22.4, 58.2 |
| Records processing occupations | 1,512,033 | 19^{a} | 15.5 ± 4.1 | 8.9, 25.7 | 37 | 37.8 ± 5.9 | 26.9, 50.2 | 30 | 46.6 ± 5.7 | 35.6, 58.0 |
| Material recording, scheduling, and distributing | 728,622 | 12^{a} | 18.5 ± 6.4 | 8.9, 34.7 | 22 ^a | 39.2 ± 8.2 | 24.3, 56.3 | 20^{a} | 42.3 ± 7.9 | 27.7, 58.4 |
| Miscellaneous administrative support occupations** | 3,429,960 | 72 | 23.9 ± 3.5 | 17.6, 31.6 | 88 | 36.2 ± 3.9 | 28.8, 44.4 | 82 | 39.9 ± 4.0 | 32.2, 48.0 |
| Private household occupations | 409,511 | Ъa | 19.6 ± 6.9 | 9.2, 37.1 | e^{g} | 22.0 ± 8.2 | 9.7, 42.5 | 16^{a} | 58.4 ± 10.0 | 38.1, 76.3 |
| Protective service occupations | 990,143 | 13^{a} | 20.1 ± 6.7 | 9.8, 36.8 | 27^{a} | 48.2 ± 7.5 | 33.8, 63.0 | 21 ^a | 31.6 ± 7.2 | 19.2, 47.4 |
| Waiters and waitresses* | 1,087,385 | 14^{a} | 10.8 ± 3.5 | 5.5, 20.1 | 31 | 45.0 ± 8.0 | 29.8, 61.1 | 35 | 44.2 ± 8.2 | 28.9, 60.7 |
| Cooks | 916,820 | 19 ^a | 19.1 ± 4.3 | 11.8, 29.3 | 38 | 32.5 ± 6.2 | 21.5, 46.0 | 33 | 48.4 ± 6.6 | 35.5, 61.6 |

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

| Z | |
|------|--|
| | |
| A | |
| thor | |
| Mar | |

| | | \mathbf{L}_{0} | w Cardiovascular | · Fitness | Mode | rate Cardiovascula | ır Fitness | Hig | h Cardiovascular | Fitness |
|---|---|------------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|
| Occupation | Estimated Number of US Workers ^b | Z | Adjusted % ± SE | 95% CI | Z | Adjusted % ± SE | 95% CI | Z | Adjusted % ± SE | 95% CI |
| Miscellaneous food preparation and service occupations** | 935,461 | 21 ^a | 31.4 ± 6.2 | 20.4, 44.9 | 28 ^a | 29.8 ± 7.3 | 17.4, 46.1 | 34 | 38.8 ± 6.9 | 26.2, 53.2 |
| Health service occupations | 981,524 | 21^{a} | 16.6 ± 5.1 | 8.7, 29.4 | 33 | 43.9 ± 7.0 | 30.6, 58.0 | 29^{d} | 39.6 ± 6.0 | 28.3, 52.1 |
| Cleaning and building service occupations | 1,043,370 | 18^{a} | 14.3 ± 3.8 | 8.2, 23.9 | 34 | 39.1 ± 6.2 | 27.6, 51.9 | 43 | 46.6 ± 6.5 | 34.0, 59.7 |
| Personal service occupations* | 1,059,154 | 13^{a} | 8.2 ± 2.6 | 4.2, 15.3 | 33 | 35.6 ± 7.9 | 21.6, 52.5 | 34 | 56.2 ± 7.9 | 40.2, 71.0 |
| Farm operators, managers, and supervisors | 236,159 | 2a | 26.6 ± 20.1 | 4.3, 74.3 | e^{g} | 53.7 ± 20.6 | 17.9, 86.1 | 3a | 19.7 ± 11.9 | 5.1, 52.9 |
| Farm and nursery workers | 292,619 | 4^{a} | 6.1 ± 4.3 | 1.4, 22.6 | 17^{a} | 42.4 ± 5.5 | 31.8, 53.7 | 15^{a} | 51.6 ± 6.1 | 39.5, 63.5 |
| Related agricultural, forestry, and fishing * | 741,459 | 5a | 6.6 ± 2.8 | 2.7, 15.2 | 21 ^a | 28.6 ± 9.0 | 14.1, 49.4 | 41 | 64.8 ± 8.4 | 46.6, 79.5 |
| Vehicle and mobile equipment mechanics and repairers | 680,185 | <i>b</i> a | 22.4 ± 7.7 | 10.6, 41.2 | 20^{a} | 46.5 ± 9.0 | 29.5, 64.3 | 15^{a} | 31.1 ± 8.0 | 17.5, 49.0 |
| Other mechanics and repairers | 924,823 | 10^{a} | 14.2 ± 5.5 | 6.3, 29.0 | 19^{a} | 38.7 ± 8.2 | 24.0, 55.8 | 31 | 47.1 ± 7.2 | 33.2, 61.5 |
| Construction trades | 3,030,737 | 31 | 12.9 ± 2.7 | 8.3, 19.4 | 69 | 30.5 ± 3.8 | 23.4, 38.5 | 110 | 56.7 ± 3.8 | 48.8, 64.2 |
| Extractive and precision production occupations | 1,243,977 | <i>p</i> 6 | 13.3 ± 5.3 | 5.7, 27.9 | 32 | 40.0 ± 6.0 | 28.8, 52.4 | 34 | 46.7 ± 7.2 | 32.9, 61.1 |
| Textile, apparel, and furnishings machine operators | 205,498 | 2a | 14.1 ± 10.7 | 2.7, 49.3 | 5a | 30.0 ± 16.3 | 8.2, 67.2 | 8 | 56.0 ± 17.6 | 23.2, 84.3 |
| Machine operators, assorted materials | 1,190,439 | 15 ^a | 12.2 ± 4.6 | 5.6, 24.7 | 36 | 39.8 ± 6.5 | 27.6, 53.4 | 42 | 48.0 ± 5.6 | 37.0, 59.1 |
| Fabricators, assemblers, inspectors, and samplers | 1,008,093 | 16^{a} | 25.0 ± 6.6 | 14.1, 40.5 | 22 ^a | 33.7 ± 8.4 | 19.2, 52.0 | 35 | 41.3 ± 7.5 | 27.4, 56.8 |
| Motor vehicle operators | 1,689,775 | 28^{a} | 18.8 ± 4.0 | 12.0, 28.2 | 27a | 22.9 ± 3.7 | 16.3, 31.1 | 52 | 58.3 ± 5.0 | 47.9, 68.0 |
| Other transportation and material moving occupations | 616,014 | ъб | 18.2 ± 4.0 | 11.5, 27.8 | 13^{a} | 35.7 ± 8.4 | 21.0, 53.7 | 16 ^a | 46.0 ± 8.0 | 30.9, 62.0 |
| Construction laborers | 362,272 | 4^{a} | 8.5 ± 5.0 | 2.5, 25.6 | 14^{a} | 31.7 ± 8.5 | 17.4, 50.7 | 23^{a} | 59.8 ± 8.3 | 42.5, 74.9 |
| Laborers, except construction | 323,064 | 2^{a} | 8.6 ± 6.1 | 1.9, 31.2 | 10^{a} | 52.0 ± 11.4 | 30.2, 73.1 | 11^{a} | 39.4 ± 11.2 | 20.1, 62.7 |
| Freight, stock, and material movers, hand | 730,436 | 17^{a} | 16.6 ± 5.5 | 8.2, 30.5 | 29 <i>a</i> | 39.7 ± 7.7 | 25.6, 55.7 | 28^{a} | 43.8 ± 7.5 | 29.6, 59.0 |
| Other helpers, equipment cleaners, hand packagers, and laborers | 662,024 | 11^{a} | 23.8 ± 7.6 | 11.8, 42.2 | 28 ^a | 38.2 ± 7.0 | 25.4, 53.0 | 26 ^a | 37.9 ± 6.6 | 25.7, 51.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

J Occup Environ Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 October 1.

Note:

 a^{α} Estimates do not meet the National Center for Health Statistics standard of reliability or precision because the sample size is <30 and/or the sample size is <30 and/or the sample size is <30 and has a relative standard error \geq 30%. A significantly (p<0.05) lower* or higher** prevalence of low cardiovascular fitness for an occupation category compared to all other occupations combined is denoted by age- and gender-adjusted logistic regressions. b Our estimated population of US workers is lower due to the number of NHANES participants who completed the VO2max test.

Lewis et al.