Neural control of sexually dimorphic behaviors
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Abstract

All sexually reproducing animals exhibit gender differences in behavior. Such sexual dimorphisms in behavior are most obvious in stereotyped displays that enhance reproductive success such as mating, aggression, and parental care. Sexually dimorphic behaviors are a consequence of a sexually differentiated nervous system, and recent studies in fruit flies and mice reveal novel insights into the neural mechanisms that control these behaviors. In the main, these include a diverse array of novel sex differences in the nervous system, surprisingly modular control of various stereotyped dimorphic behavioral routines, and unanticipated sensory and central modulation of mating. We start with a brief overview to provide the appropriate conceptual framework so that the advances made by the newer studies discussed subsequently can be fully appreciated. We restrict our review to reporting progress in understanding the basis of mating and aggression in fruit flies and mice.

Introduction

Sexually dimorphic behaviors such as mating and aggression are instinctual in the sense that they can be displayed without prior training or social experience. Thus, the development and function of the underlying neural circuits is hard-wired into the genome. Such hard-wiring affords the use of molecular and genetic approaches to identify and experimentally manipulate the neuronal ensembles that influence sexually dimorphic social behaviors. The stereotyped nature of these mating and aggression routines also enables quantitative and, if desired, even automated analysis of these complex social behaviors. Such analyses can routinely detect even subtle changes in the behavior of experimentally manipulated animals.
Despite developmental programming of the underlying neural circuits, the display of mating and aggression is tightly regulated by sensory cues and internal physiological regulators. In both fruit flies (Drosophila melanogaster) and mice (Mus musculus), displays of mating and aggression are controlled by specific chemosensory cues emanating from conspecifics (Figure 1). These chemosensory cues, or pheromones, are species-specific odorants that signal social and reproductive status to conspecifics [1,2]. Recent studies have revealed the chemical nature of many of these cues [3–10,11,12,13], the identities of the putative cognate receptors [5,11*,14*,15*,16*,17*,18*], and in some cases, the neural pathways that relay pheromone-evoked sensory neuron activation to the brain [19–22,23*,24].

In contrast to the commonalities in the sensory control of sexually dimorphic displays between fruit flies and mice, there are clear differences between these animals in the internal physiological regulators that influence these behaviors (Figure 2) [25]. In fruit flies, the sex determination pathway ensures cell autonomous sexual differentiation of the nervous system via the expression of sex-specific splice-forms of two putative transcription factors, fruitless (fru) and doublesex (dsx). The expression of the sex-specific forms of Fru and Dsx marks the neuronal populations thought to underlie courtship and aggression [26–29]. The male-specific forms of Fru (FruM) are necessary and sufficient for male-pattern courtship behavior and aggression [30–32]. Strikingly, the activity of FruM neurons only appears to mediate male-typical behaviors because silencing synaptic transmission in these cells results in significant deficits in dimorphic behaviors but not in behaviors common to both sexes such as walking and flying. Fruit flies mutant for male-specific Dsx (DsxM) appear to have a subtle but reproducible deficit in courtship whereas the behavioral role of the female-specific Dsx (DsxF) is unclear at present [33].

The primary role of the sex determination pathway in mice is to drive sexual differentiation of the bipotential gonads at mid-gestation. Sex steroid hormones secreted by the testes and ovaries subsequently control sexual differentiation of the nervous system. These hormones bind to cognate nuclear hormone receptors that regulate gene expression, either by directly binding to DNA or as part of transcriptional complexes. Testosterone produced by the testes binds to the androgen receptor (AR), whereas the ovarian hormones estrogen and progesterone bind to estrogen receptors (ERα, ERβ) and progesterone receptor (PR), respectively [34]. These sex hormones and their receptors are essential for the sexually dimorphic patterns of mating and aggression. The role of other receptors of these sex hormones in these behaviors is unclear. In the male brain, testosterone also functions as a prohormone for estrogen, which is synthesized by discrete neuronal populations that express the converting enzyme aromatase [35–37]. Genetic studies from many groups show that the developmental or organizational effects of testosterone on masculinizing the brain are largely mediated by estrogen and the later, activational effects of testosterone on the expression of male behaviors require both testosterone and estrogen [37–40]. Females are protected from the early masculinizing effects of estrogen by multiple mechanisms, including the fact that the ovaries are quiescent perinatally, a critical window for masculinization in which a male-specific surge of circulating testosterone is converted into estrogen in the brain [41,42]. In contrast to the sex-specific functions of Fru and Dsx in controlling behavior exclusively in one or the other sex, estrogen and its nuclear hormone
receptors control gender-typical behaviors in both sexes in mice. Moreover, sexual differentiation of the mouse brain is controlled non-autonomously by gonadal hormones, and sensory input as well as internal states such as stress can act on the brain to control secretion of these sex hormones. Common to both fruit flies and mice however is the notion that Dsx, FruM, AR, ERα, ERβ, and PR regulate the transcription of target genes to drive sexual differentiation of the brain and behavior. Although such transcriptional targets remain to be identified in the fly brain, recent studies in mice have identified many potential target genes that are also required for male or female-typical behaviors [43**].

**Sensory control of sexually dimorphic behaviors**

As mentioned earlier, sexually dimorphic behaviors such as mating and aggression are primarily triggered by pheromonal cues, and our discussion correspondingly focuses on advances in our understanding of the chemosensory control of these behaviors.

**Insights from fruit flies**

A great deal is already known about the chemosensory control of courtship and aggression in fruit flies. Previous work had identified specific olfactory or gustatory (contact-based) chemoreceptors that were required for observing the high, wild-type levels of male courtship toward females and female receptivity to male mating attempts [44–46]. One surprising finding from such studies was that some chemoreceptors function in assays of social behavior specifically to inhibit intermale courtship, suggesting the presence of evolutionary pressures to select against courtship of reproductively futile targets [47,48]. Such chemoreceptors are presumably essential in restricting courtship to female targets on food sources where flies of both sexes aggregate. These chemoreceptors also detect bitter chemorepellents such as quinine, indicating that aversive social cues may engage neural circuits generally used for avoidance. Recent studies provide evidence for a more complex role for individual pheromones or their cognate receptors in controlling courtship and aggression in flies.

The fly cuticle is coated with chemically diverse hydrocarbons that not only prevent dessication but also serve as contact-dependent pheromones [9]. These hydrocarbons and their cognate chemoreceptors regulate courtship and aggression in a complex manner. Several groups have identified chemosensory neurons expressing a Degenerin/Epithelial sodium channel class of ion channels, Pickpocket 23 (Ppk23), that is required to trigger male courtship of females and to inhibit intermale courtship [15**,16*,17*]. Strikingly, Ppk23 is required in non-overlapping male sensory neurons for their activation by specific female and male-enriched hydrocarbons and other pheromones [15**], suggesting that Ppk23 may transduce pheromone recognition by distinct chemoreceptors. It is also possible that Ppk23 functions as a co-receptor with other chemoreceptors to recognize these chemically diverse pheromones. Although there is a significant FruM-dependent sex difference in the central projections of ppk23 sensory neurons [15**,49], the role of these neurons in female flies is unclear.

The gustatory chemoreceptor Gr32a was previously shown to suppress intermale courtship, although the pheromone recognized by Gr32a was not identified [48]. A recent study
showed that Gr32a was also essential for the normal, high levels of intermale aggression [11*]. In addition, this work identified a cuticular hydrocarbon, z-7-tricosene, that elicited Gr32a-dependent intermale aggression and suppressed intermale courtship. However, electrical activation of Gr32a sensory neurons only weakly elicits intermale aggression, indicating that additional chemosensory pathways that remain to be identified also promote this behavior.

The pheromone cis-vaccenyl acetate (cVA), recognized by the chemoreceptors Or67d and Or65a as well as ppk23-expressing neurons in the foreleg, is secreted into the male genital tract and serves to increase the receptivity of the female to male mating attempts and perhaps to decrease intermale courtship [13,15**,46,50]. During copulation, cVA is transferred to the female soma where it appears to inhibit subsequent male mating attempts. In addition, cVA also promotes intermale aggression acutely, albeit in a Gr32a-dependent manner, and it has been proposed that a high density of males increases aggressivity and may promote dispersal of males to other locations [10,11*,51]. These disparate and sexually dimorphic behavioral responses to cVA pose the question as to how neural pathways emanating from cVA-responsive sensory neurons transform cVA recognition into sexually dimorphic behavioral output. An elegant series of studies tracing the connectivity of Or67d sensory neurons reveals sex differences in at least the first three synaptic relays, starting with the synapse between Or67d neurons and their projection targets [23**,24]. Although it remains to be demonstrated, these anatomical sexual dimorphisms provide a potential substrate for the control of courtship in both males and females by cVA. In addition, these neurons, including Or67d sensory neurons, also express FruM, thereby suggesting a possible molecular basis for the sexual differentiation of this neural circuit.

**Insights from mice**

In contrast to the detailed insights offered by recent studies on the sensory control of mating and aggression in fruit flies, our understanding of how specific pheromones and their cognate receptors control these behaviors in mice is rather limited. However, work in mice has elucidated several general principles whereby pheromones control mating and aggression. Mice utilize two sensory epithelia in the nose, the main olfactory epithelium (MOE) and the vomeronasal organ (VNO), to detect volatile and contact-based pheromones, respectively [1,2]. These sensory epithelia express G-protein coupled chemosensory receptors encoded by large, distinct families of genes that bear little resemblance to the fruit fly chemoreceptors. Studies using mice with genetically disabled odor-evoked signaling by the MOE or VNO show that the normal display of aggression requires the coordinate function of both epithelia [52–54]. What has emerged from such studies however is the surprising finding that male sexual behavior requires a functional MOE [52,55]. Moreover, VNO neurons function to suppress atypical displays of male sexual behavior in both sexes. Wild-type male mice only rarely attempt to mate with males whereas the vast majority will mate with females. By contrast, wild-type female mice rarely, if ever, display male-typical sexual behavior toward males although a significant minority of females do show such male-pattern mating toward females. Strikingly, male or female mice null for Trpc2, a cation channel required for odor-evoked activity in the VNO, exhibit male-pattern sexual behaviors toward conspecifics of either sex with a high probability [53,54,56]. These studies with
Trpc2 mutant females additionally show that the neural circuit for male mating is present in females, a conclusion supported by classical endocrinological studies that demonstrated activation of male sexual behavior at high frequency in adult females treated with testosterone [57]. It appears therefore that male sexual displays are non-redundantly inhibited in adult wild-type females by a functional VNO and by the absence of high, male-typical levels of testosterone.

Various small molecules and proteins found in mouse urine or other exocrine secretions have been assigned pheromonal activity [2], but the chemoreceptors that recognize these putative pheromones remain unknown for the most part. A recent study showed that trimethylamine is enriched in male urine and serves as a chemoattractant at physiological concentrations [5]. Trimethylamine is recognized by TAAR5, a member of the trace amine-associated receptor family that is expressed in a subset of MOE neurons, and mice null for TAAR5 are not attracted to trimethylamine in behavioral assays. The ethological significance of trimethylamine and TAAR5-mediated chemoattraction is unknown. It is possible that such volatile pheromones may bring conspecifics in proximity to each other, thereby facilitating social interactions regulated by other pheromones. Darcin is a major urinary protein (MUP) highly enriched in male urine that also mediates chemoattraction, albeit at shorter ranges [3, 58]. The presence of darcin promotes association with volatile cues emanating from conspecifics and also elicits a long-lasting conditioned place preference in both sexes. Thus, a single pheromone can elicit an innate behavior acutely and influence behavior in the longer term by the formation of specific memories. Although the molecular identity of the chemoreceptors that recognize darcin is unknown, previous work shows that MUPs are recognized by VNO neurons expressing the V2R class of chemoreceptors [6]. A related set of MUPs, also enriched in male urine, is necessary and sufficient to elicit intermale aggression [6]. Whether female mice recognize and respond to these intermale aggression-eliciting MUPs is presently unclear. As is the case with darcin, the identity of the V2R receptors that recognize intermale aggression-eliciting MUPs is unknown.

In addition to urine, lacrimal secretions also provide pheromonal cues. In a series of spectacular studies [7, 18**], Touhara’s group has identified a family of genes encoding small exocrine gland-secreted peptides (ESPs), some of which are found exclusively in male or female tears in a sex hormone-dependent manner. One such peptide, ESP1, is secreted by males and recognized by VNO neurons expressing the V2Rp5 chemoreceptor. ESP1 promotes female sexual receptivity, and females null for V2Rp5 show a significant reduction in sexual behavior with wild-type males. It is unclear whether male mice recognize and respond to ESP1 in a behaviorally meaningful manner.

Although these studies in mice provided insight into the regulation of social behaviors by pheromones, it was unclear why there are so many chemoreceptor-encoding genes. For example, there are ~250 distinct chemoreceptors expressed in sensory neurons in the VNO. A recent tour-de-force study by Dulac’s group addressed this issue by examining in an unbiased manner ethologically relevant sources of chemosensory cues that activate specific chemoreceptor-expressing VNO neurons [14**]. The authors used in situ hybridization to detect transcription of Egr1, an immediate early gene, in VNO neurons expressing one of 88
distinct VNO receptors of the V1R and V2R families. Remarkably, 17 of these receptors were activated solely by conspecific cues whereas 60 receptors were activated exclusively by heterospecific cues emanating from predator or non-predator species. In other words, a large majority of VNO neurons may be dedicated to recognizing individuals of other species. Of the receptors that recognized conspecific cues, the authors identified chemoreceptors that were activated exclusively by male or female-enriched pheromones as well as chemoreceptors that recognized sulfated steroids in urine that may signal endocrine state [4], but not membership of a species, of the animal. These studies open up fundamentally new avenues of investigating the role of specific chemoreceptors in the regulation of not only mating and aggression but also interactions with sympatric species, including predators. It will be interesting to determine whether a comparable fraction of fly chemoreceptors also functions to recognize heterospecific cues.

Central control of sexually dimorphic behaviors

Recent advances in fruit flies and mice provide complementary insights into the neural mechanisms that guide the display of mating and aggression. The facility of fruit fly molecular genetics has led to the identification of specific neuronal populations that control various elements of these behaviors. By contrast, reverse genetic approaches in mice have identified specific genes expressed in a sexually dimorphic manner that are essential for wild-type patterns of mating and aggression.

Insights from fruit flies

Functional studies in fruit flies have been aided by a pair of extremely informative publications that have mapped sexual dimorphisms in neurons marked by fruM [59,60]. These studies document virtually all sex differences in neuronal number and arborization for these neurons and provide a framework to study the neural circuits that control courtship and aggression. In addition, they reveal previously unappreciated, extensive sexual dimorphisms in the number and projections of fruM neurons. This map of sexual dimorphism in the fly brain has already proved invaluable in identifying the functional role of specific fruM neurons in male courtship. Several powerful studies from the groups of Baker, Dickson, and Yamamoto have focused on the role of a small, bilateral cluster of ~50 FruM-positive neurons, identified as P1 neurons, that are activated by female pheromonal cues and found exclusively in the male brain (Figure 1) [61*,62**,63*]. Remarkably, genetically targeted, electrical activation of P1 neurons elicits robust initiation of the male courtship ritual, including courtship song, even in the absence of a conspecific. The arbors of P1 neurons reside exclusively in the brain whereas the neurons that drive unilateral wing extension and vibration required for courtship song reside in the ventral nerve cord (analogous to the mouse spinal cord). Electrical activation of any one of two groups of neurons (P2b and pIP10) that reside in the brain but project to the ventral nerve cord also elicits courtship song in the absence of conspecific targets, suggesting a possible neural pathway that connects central P1 neurons with downstream neurons in the ventral nerve cord [61*,62**]. Strikingly, electrical activation of particular FruM-positive ventral nerve cord neurons (dPR1, vPR6, and vMS11) elicits specific elements of courtship song such as wing extension [62**]. Taken together, these studies nicely deconstruct the neuronal populations controlling courtship.
rituals and show that individual groups of Fru\textsuperscript{M} neurons may control specific components of a more complex courtship behavior such as singing. In future studies, it will be important to determine whether these neurons are synaptically linked or whether additional neurons that remain to be identified are interposed between these Fru\textsuperscript{M} neurons.

These studies in fruit flies have begun to elucidate the neural pathways that mediate mating and aggression. What is unclear is how Fru\textsuperscript{M} and Dsx in flies influence neurons to drive sexually dimorphic behaviors. Recent work has begun to reveal some of the transcriptional cofactors and regulatory proteins, including chromatin modifying enzymes, that coordinate sexual differentiation of the fruit fly brain in conjunction with Fru\textsuperscript{M} [64]. Nevertheless, the transcriptional targets of Fru\textsuperscript{M} (and Dsx) in the brain that regulate courtship or aggression remain unknown.

**Insights from mice**

The precision offered by fruit fly genetics in identifying specific Fru\textsuperscript{M} neuronal pools that regulate one or the other component of courtship or aggression has yet to be matched by studies in mice. Nevertheless recent work by Anderson and colleagues has identified a hypothalamic collection of neurons located within or in proximity to the ventrolateral compartment of the ventromedial nucleus (VMHvl) that is critical for the display of attack behavior in male mice (Figure 1) [65••]. In vivo recordings in freely moving male mice reveal a set of neurons whose activity increases during internmale aggression. Interspersed among these cells are neurons that are active during the early phases of mating with females as well as neurons whose activity is modulated during both mating and aggression. Optogenetic stimulation of these neurons elicits attacks toward conspecifics of either sex, and strikingly, even to an inflated glove. By contrast, pharmacogenetic silencing of these neurons inhibits internmale aggression but not sexual behaviors toward females. By rigorously localizing an aggression-eliciting center, these studies in mice very significantly extend the findings from experiments spanning almost a century that have ascribed a central role to the VMH or adjacent hypothalamic regions in modulating aggression in diverse species [66–69]. As is the case in the fruit fly, it will be interesting to delineate the connectivity of these neurons and to understand how their activity can override the onset of mating and trigger aggression. It will also be important to determine the molecular identity of these neurons, especially since the VMHvl also influences feeding and female sexual behavior [70,71]. These diverse behaviors may be regulated by molecularly separable VMHvl neurons or they may all be regulated by a single pool of neurons whose activity and function is context-dependent.

Studies in mice have revealed many sex differences in gene expression, including that of neuropeptides and biosynthetic enzymes for neurotransmitters [72], that are regulated by sex hormones. A recent study employed genome-wide expression profiling in conjunction with in situ hybridization and identified numerous, novel sex differences in gene expression patterns in the adult hypothalamus and amygdala (Figure 1) [43••]. These expression patterns highlight centers in the hypothalamus (such as the VMHvl) and amygdala previously implicated in sexually dimorphic behaviors [73]. Many individual genes are upregulated in different brain regions in the two sexes, indicating that the regulation of these dimorphic
gene expression patterns is complex. Consistent with this notion, the authors showed that many, but not all, sex differences in gene expression were regulated by adult testosterone in males. By contrast, the dimorphic expression patterns in the female brain were, with rare exceptions, independent of adult ovarian hormones, suggesting developmental pre-patterning of a dimorphic transcriptional program in females. The authors explored the relevance of these genes in sexually dimorphic behaviors using mice bearing constitutively null alleles of individual genes. Strikingly, each of four mutant strains (Brs3, Cckar, Irs4, Sytl4) examined revealed specific deficits in one or more components of mating, aggression, or maternal care such that other dimorphic behaviors were unaffected. Thus, unlike castrates or animals mutant for sex hormone receptors that exhibit global deficits in dimorphic behaviors, mice mutant for genes downstream of sex hormone signaling exhibit restricted phenotypes in these behavioral displays. In other words, components or modules of various sexually dimorphic behaviors appear to be controlled by genetically separable pathways. In future studies, it will be important to determine whether these genes function in the adult brain to control these behaviors and to understand how the neuronal populations expressing these genes influence dimorphic behavioral displays. It is likely that more sensitive gene expression profiling approaches will reveal many additional sex differences in gene expression in the mammalian brain that control other components of sexually dimorphic behaviors.

Work over the past decade from many groups indicates that sex chromosomes may influence sexually dimorphic behaviors independent of sex hormones [74,75], but the underlying genetic loci on the sex chromosomes remain to be identified. Recent work shows that many genes are imprinted, including in a sexually dimorphic manner, in the mouse brain, although the extent of such imprinting is being actively pursued [76–78]. Given that some imprinted genes have previously been shown to regulate sexually dimorphic displays [79,80], this new set of imprinted genes could provide additional molecular control of these behaviors. Yet another axis of control of sexually dimorphic behaviors is the regulation of mate choice by the neuromodulator serotonin. Male mice genetically engineered to lack serotonin in the brain attempt to mate with both males and females, with no discernible preference for females [81••]. This loss of preference does not reflect a developmental requirement for serotonin because it can be rescued by provision of serotonin precursors to adult mutant males. Whether serotonin signaling plays a similar role in females is an open question. How these sex chromosome, imprinting, and neuromodulation-based mechanisms intersect with sensory and hormonal signaling to regulate the genes and circuits underlying mating and aggression is an outstanding issue that is likely to be addressed in the near future.

**Closing remarks**

As the above discussion makes clear, these are exciting times that have yielded rapid advances in our understanding of how the brain encodes sexually dimorphic behaviors. We anticipate that studies in the near future will delineate synaptically connected neural pathways that mediate mating and aggressive behaviors. Although we have primarily discussed the sensory and central control of instinctual displays of mating and fighting, it is clear that the environmental and social context as well as past experience have a profound influence on these behaviors [82–88]. Thus we foresee that it will soon be feasible to
address mechanistically at the level of specific neurons and genes how nature and nurture interact to influence genetically hard-wired behaviors.
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Figure 1.
Sensory and central control of sexually dimorphic behaviors. Both flies and mice respond to pheromones that trigger or inhibit particular behaviors. In fruit flies, pheromones are sensed by olfactory neurons as well as by gustatory neurons. In mice, volatile pheromones are sensed by the main olfactory epithelium (MOE) and non-volatile pheromones by the vomeronasal organ (VNO). In fruit flies, various components of male courtship are controlled by specific populations of FruM neurons. Activation of P1, P2b, or pIP10 neurons elicits the initiation of courtship behavior, while FruM ventral nerve cord neurons (dPR1, vPR6, vMS11) control specific elements of courtship song. In mice, gonadal sex hormones control the sexually dimorphic expression of many genes which regulate specific components of sex-typical behaviors. The VMHvl appears to contain neurons that inhibit male mating and activate male aggression. All neuronal clusters shown are bilateral but are depicted on one side for clarity.
Figure 2.
Sex determination and differentiation of the nervous system in fruit flies and mice. Sex determination in fruit flies is cell-autonomous, and sexually dimorphic behaviors are controlled by neurons expressing sex-specific splice forms of Dsx and Fru. In mice, the sex-determination pathway directs the differentiation of the bipotential gonad into ovaries or testes. Gonadal sex steroids and their cognate receptors control the sexual differentiation of nervous system and the expression of sex-typical behaviors in the adult.