



Published in final edited form as:

Am J Hematol. 2013 June ; 88(6): 492–496. doi:10.1002/ajh.23438.

Telomere length and elevated iron: The influence of phenotype and *HFE* genotype

Arch G. Mainous III^{1,*}, Robert U. Wright¹, Mary M. Hulihan², Waleed O. Twal³, Christine E. McLaren⁴, Vanessa A. Diaz¹, Gordon D. McLaren^{5,6}, W. Scott Argraves³, and Althea M. Grant²

¹Department of Family Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, South Carolina

²Division of Blood Disorders, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia

³Department of Regenerative Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, South Carolina

⁴Department of Epidemiology, University of California, Irvine, California

⁵Department of Veterans Affairs Long Beach Healthcare System, Long Beach, California

⁶Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, University of California, Irvine, California

Abstract

Elevated body iron stores are associated with morbidity and mortality due to oxidative stress. Hereditary hemochromatosis, a common condition caused by *HFE* gene mutations, can lead to excess iron storage and disease but clinical penetrance of *HFE* gene mutations is low and many people with elevated iron stores lack *HFE* mutations. We analyzed data from the Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Screening Study to assess the relationship among *HFE* genotype (individuals with either homozygous or compound heterozygous status for C282Y and/or H63D *HFE* mutations were defined as genotype positive, or G+), elevated iron phenotype (individuals exceeding gender-specific transferrin saturation and serum ferritin threshold levels were considered phenotype positive, or P+), and leukocyte telomere length, a marker of biological aging and cumulative oxidative stress. In unadjusted analyses in comparison to individuals who were G–P–, G+P– were not significantly different (OR 0.74; 95% CI 0.26–2.04), while the G+P+ (OR 2.03; 95% CI 1.15–3.56), and G–P+ (OR 2.24; 95% CI 1.5–3.29) had increased risk of short telomeres (<=25th percentile) rather than long telomeres (>=75th percentile). In analyses adjusting for age, gender, and race/ethnicity, the effect of individuals with elevated iron phenotypes having short telomeres persisted with G+P+ individuals (OR 1.94; 95% CI 1.02–3.72), and G–P+ individuals (OR 2.17; 95% CI 1.39–3.39) being significantly different from the G–P– group. In conclusion, elevated iron phenotype, but not *HFE* genotype, was associated with shortened

© 2013 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

*Correspondence to: Arch G. Mainous III, PhD, Department of Family Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, 5 Charleston Center, Suite 263, Charleston, SC 29425. mainouag@muscc.edu.

Conflict of interest: Nothing to report.

CDC disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

telomeres. Further studies will be needed to determine whether telomere length provides a marker for morbidities specifically associated with iron overload.

Introduction

Certain inherited genetic mutations are associated with hereditary hemochromatosis (HH), a condition of excess body iron [1,2]. Type 1 HH, or *HFE* hemochromatosis, is one of the most common autosomal recessive disorders in the US [3,4]. Mutations on the *HFE* gene, especially the C282Y homozygous variant found most often in populations of northern European descent, can lead to excessive dietary iron absorption and progressive accumulation of iron in the body, potentially reaching toxic levels by middle life [4,5]. Other *HFE* mutations, like H63D, and non-*HFE* HH mutations (Types 2,3, and 4 HH) are likely more common in non-northern European populations than the C282Y mutation [1,6–15]. The excess iron is deposited in multiple organs, causing oxidative tissue damage which can lead to health conditions, including cirrhosis of the liver, cancer, and cardiomyopathy [16–21]. However, once the diagnosis is made, liver and heart function can be improved with periodic phlebotomy or erythrocytapheresis to remove excess iron [22–24].

Despite the common usage of *HFE* mutation identification to assess risk of clinical iron overload in at-risk individuals with potential iron overload, not all persons with elevated body iron stores have mutations in the *HFE* gene and elevated body iron stores are still associated with an increased risk of morbidity (cancer, cardiovascular disease, inflammation, and dementia) and mortality [1,2,25–30].

Excess iron deposition is associated with biomolecular oxidative damage and mimics physiologic changes that occur with aging and leads to age-related conditions [31–35]. Telomere length has emerged as a marker for cumulative oxidative stress and biological aging, which is the key to age-related morbidity [36–38]. Shortened telomere length has been associated with shorter life span as well as a wide variety of age-related diseases and conditions, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, insulin resistance, and hypertension [39–41].

Because not everyone with *HFE* gene mutations develops elevated iron stores and not everyone with elevated body iron stores has *HFE* gene mutations, the relationship among *HFE* gene mutations, elevated body iron, and cumulative oxidative stress represented by telomere length is uncertain. The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship among *HFE* genotypes, elevated iron phenotypes, and telomere length.

Methods

This study used existing data included in the Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Screening (HEIRS) Study and computed additional assays of the linked, stored specimens. The HEIRS Study evaluated a multicenter, multiracial-ethnic sample of 101,168 primary care adults 25 years of age or older in the United States and Canada. Interview data were obtained from initial screening of all participants and a subsequent Comprehensive Clinical Exam (CCE) for C282Y homozygotes, non-C282Y homozygote participants with elevations of serum

biochemical tests of iron status, and control subjects. DNA specimens collected from each participant during the CCE were obtained from the Biologic Specimen and Data Repository Information Coordinating Center (BioLINCC) at the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute and used for the telomere assays. The data obtained in the present study were then merged with the other variables contained in the HEIRS data sets.

Details of study design and sampling methods have been published and can be found in the HEIRS Protocol [42,43].

Subjects

Of the 1,157 subject DNA specimens sent to the investigators by BioLINCC, 1,146 samples had verified telomere values, of which, 137 (12% of the original sample) were excluded due to potential risk of misclassification due to phlebotomy treatment. Individuals from the CCE who could be classified into one of four groups representing both *HFE* genotype and phenotypic expression of elevated body iron (elevated transferrin saturation (TS), and serum ferritin (SF) levels) were identified. Our final sample for analysis consisted of 1,009 subjects.

Elevated iron phenotype

Subjects' phenotype status was determined by gender-specific threshold values for serum biochemical tests for iron status. Males were considered "phenotype positive" (P+) for the elevated iron phenotype if their TS level was 50% or above and SF level was above 300 ng/mL. Females were considered "phenotype positive" (P+) for the elevated iron phenotype if their TS level was 45% or above and SF level was above 200 ng/mL. Individuals who had SF and TS levels below these gender-specific thresholds were considered "phenotype negative" (P-) for the elevated iron phenotype.

HFE genotype

Subjects were considered to be "genotype positive" (G+) for *HFE* genotype if they were homozygous or compound heterozygous for *HFE* gene mutations, expressed as C282Y/C282Y, H63D/H63D, and C282Y/H63D alleles, respectively. H63D homozygotes were considered G+ as the genotype has been associated with elevated body iron indicators in certain race-ethnicities [7–9]. Compound heterozygotes were considered G+ as the genotype has been associated with elevated body iron indicators relative to wild-type [44]. All other subjects were defined as "genotype negative" (G-).

Analysis groups

The first of four groups consisted of individuals who were G+P+. The second group consisted of individuals that were G-P+. These were individuals with either one copy of either the C282Y or H63D allele (heterozygous carriers of *HFE* mutation) or neither allele affected by the two common *HFE* gene mutations and were included in the CCE because they had both elevated TS and elevated SF.

The third group consisted of individuals who were G+P-. This group was composed of persons homozygous or compound heterozygous for the C282Y or H63D alleles with

normal TS (<50% in men and <45% in women) and SF (<300 ng/mL in men, and <200 ng/mL in women).

The fourth group consisted of individuals who were G–P–. These were individuals who had no allele mutations and normal TS and SF. They participated in the CCE as control subjects. We randomly selected individuals from all of the groups except for the G+P– group which had a small sample size. For the G+P– group, we used the specimens from all available subjects.

Telomere length via real time PCR analysis

Leukocyte telomere length was measured with a quantitative PCR-based technique (qPCR) that compares telomere repeat sequence copy number to single-copy gene (36b4) copy number in a given sample [45]. Triplicate DNA samples were amplified in parallel in 20 μ L reaction using SsoFast EvaGreen real-time PCR supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA) containing 20 ng of sample DNA. The telomere-specific reaction included 500 nM of telomere-specific primers (forward: 5'GGGTTTGGTTGGGTTTGGGTTTGGGTTTGGGTTTGGGT T3'; reverse: 5'GGCTTGCCTTACCCTTACCCTTACCCTTACCCTTACC CT3'). The 36b4 reaction included 300 nM of the forward (5'CAGCAAG TGGGAAGGTGTAATCC3') and reverse (5'CCCATTCTATCATCAACGG GTACAA3') primers. The qPCR/primer supermix (19 μ L) was aliquoted into PCR multiwell plates using an EpMotion 5070 robotic liquid handling unit (Eppendorf, Germany), and then 1 μ L of sample DNA (20 ng) was added to each well. All qPCR reactions were run using a CFX96 real-time thermal cycler (Bio-Rad). The thermal cycling profile for both amplicons began with 95°C incubation for 3 min and then 30 cycles of 10 sec at 95°C and 1 min at 58°C. The specificity of all amplifications was determined by melting curve analysis. A total of 14 study samples and 2 calibrator samples (all in triplicate) were processed per plate.

Analysis of qPCR data—Analysis of sample telomere length and 36b4 expression levels was done using the PCR Miner algorithm developed by Zhao and Fernald [46]. Values derived for telomere (T) were normalized for each sample with the corresponding expression of 36b4 gene (S) as T/S ratio.

Telomere length status was defined according to intra-sample telomere length percentiles as the bottom, or “short,” quartile (\leq 25th percentile), middle quartiles (26–74th percentile), and top, or “long,” quartile (\geq 75th percentile). The “short” quartile was considered to have more cumulative oxidative stress than the “long” quartile [37,38].

Covariates

Demographics—Age is associated with both increasing body iron stores and shorter telomeres [47,48]. For analysis, age was analyzed as a continuous variable in the logistic regression model. Subjects' race-ethnicity was categorized as either Non-Hispanic White or Other, as hemochromatosis-related genetic mutations differ by race-ethnicity [6–8,11,14,15]. Subjects' gender was categorized as either male or female, as iron stores and telomere length may be associated with gender [49,50]. Subjects' health insurance status was categorized as

either “insured” or “uninsured” and education attainment as “less than high school,” “high school,” or “more than high school.”

Statistical analysis

Analyses were conducted using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary NC). Bivariate analyses with Chi-square and Fisher’s exact test for rare outcomes were conducted to compare genotype-phenotype groups. An adjusted multivariate logistic regression model ($N = 511$) for the odds of having “Short telomere” versus “Long telomere” was generated to compare genotype-phenotype status after controlling for significant differences in covariates among groups (age, race-ethnicity, and gender). Intra-sample telomere quartiles ($< =25$ th percentile and $> =75$ th percentile) were compared to examine extremes in telomere length to detect an association between genotype-phenotype group and telomere quartile if one were present. Age was included in the model because it is linked to biological aging and telomere length [47,48]. Although education and insurance were examined to describe the individuals, they were not entered into the multivariate model because of their lack of previously identified relationship with telomere length.

An additional subgroup analysis was conducted of only the C282Y homozygotes. In this subgroup analysis, we examined elevated iron phenotype with telomere length through several strategies. First, t -tests were conducted comparing mean telomere length between individuals who had the elevated iron phenotype and those who did not have elevated iron. Second, we computed t -tests comparing individuals with SF > 1000 ng/mL with those with non-elevated SF (< 300 ng/mL in men, and < 200 ng/mL in women).

Results

Demographic characteristics of the groups under investigation are featured in Table I. Genotype-phenotype groups were significantly different by gender, race-ethnicity, and health insurance. Groups carrying the *HFE* mutations were much more likely to be Non-Hispanic White than groups without *HFE* mutations. No differences were observed between genotype-phenotype groups in regards to education status.

In a sub-analysis of the C282Y/C282Y subgroup ($n = 82$) (data not shown), although the mean telomere length was shorter in the elevated iron group (272.2) than the phenotype negative group (290.1), the relationship in this small subgroup was not statistically significant ($P = 0.44$). Similarly, among individuals with SF levels $> 1,000$ ng/mL ($n = 11$) telomere length was shorter (255.3) than those with non-elevated SF (< 300 ng/mL in men, and < 200 ng/mL in women) ($n = 29$) whose mean telomere length was 292.5 but once again this did not reach statistical significance ($P = 0.29$).

Elevated iron phenotype, but not *HFE* genotype, was associated with shorter telomeres in bivariate analyses (Table II). Elevated iron phenotype, but not hemochromatosis genotype, was associated with shorter telomeres in both crude and adjusted logistic regression models (Table III). Adjusting for age, gender, and race-ethnicity attenuated the relationship slightly but both groups with elevated iron phenotype (G+P+ and G–P+) remained significantly different from the control group (G–P–) in terms of the likelihood of having short telomeres.

Discussion

The results of this study showed that elevated serum biochemical tests of iron status were associated with shorter telomere length. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine telomere length in relation to *HFE* genotypes or elevated serum tests of iron status. The result was independent of *HFE* gene mutations and existed even after controlling for age, gender, and race-ethnicity. *HFE* mutations were not significantly associated with telomere length in this sample of adults.

Regarding *HFE* genotype, the results further support the idea of gene–environment interactions in that the *HFE* genotype appears to primarily impact health through the pathway of elevated body iron and subsequently increased oxidative stress [2]. It is unclear whether lifestyle variables may increase the propensity for phenotypic expression among these individuals. Finding interventions to minimize the expression of the genes and control iron levels is a reasonable approach to health promotion in individuals with *HFE* genotypes.

The results also indicate that individuals who did not have the *HFE* gene mutation but had elevated iron had shorter telomeres. This suggests that there are other precursors to elevated iron in the general population that need to be monitored beyond the *HFE* gene mutations. Previous research indicated that although women who used multivitamins had longer telomere length than those who didn't, women who used iron supplements had a shorter telomere length than nonusers [51]. There is some evidence that certain non-*HFE* gene mutations associated with elevated iron stores are more common in different ethnic groups [1,6–12]. It is possible that other unidentified genotypes associated with elevated iron were present in the genotype negative group and that these groups attenuated the relationship between genotype and telomere length.

Though C282Y homozygosity is associated with more severely elevated body iron stores and greater incidence of clinical iron overload than other *HFE* mutations, many populations with low or no occurrence of this mutation, especially non-northern Europeans, experience elevated body iron stores [6–15]. It is possible that other *HFE* mutations, such as H63D homozygosity, and non-*HFE* mutations, such as Types 2,3, and 4 HH (related to gene mutations on the *hepcidin*, *Tfr2*, and *Fpn* genes, respectively), are contributing to elevated iron storage in persons of non-northern European descent [1,2]. However, given that elevated body iron, even below clinical iron overload, is associated with greater morbidity, mortality, and shorter telomeres, it is important to discover environmental factors contributing to elevated iron storage in multiracial-ethnic groups [25–32].

These results in humans focusing on leukocyte telomere length are somewhat different from evidence from a rat model examining liver cell telomere length. In a rat model of iron overload examining liver cell telomere length, iron overloaded rats had significantly increased telomerase activity but no difference in telomere length [52]. As a response to iron overload, enhanced telomerase activity may be a response to iron-induced damage in specific organs.

There are several limitations to this study. First, although the H63D *HFE* gene mutation is commonly used as an indicator of hemochromatosis, its relationship to iron overload is less

clear than that of the C282Y *HFE* gene mutation [7,44]. In this study, both genotypes were evaluated together as well as separately, in relationship to elevated iron with the results suggesting that combining the two did not lead to a bias in the results. Second, this study is cross-sectional and thus allows us to only impute associations. Telomere length evaluates cumulative exposure to oxidative stress and in this study is significantly associated with currently elevated iron. Future studies will increase our knowledge regarding changes in iron levels and changes in the slope of telomere shortening. Third, we did not control for lifestyle variables and some outcome conditions like diabetes, which may be associated with both elevated iron and shorter telomere length. These variables may be in the causal pathway between elevated iron and telomere length [37,53,54].

In conclusion, this study suggests that the presence of *HFE* genotypes does not have an inherent physiologic impact on telomere length, whereas elevated body iron test results are associated with shorter telomere length. Given the consistent association observed between elevated body iron and morbidity and mortality, it is important to discover the physiological and environmental factors contributing to iron loading to prevent future disease [25–30].

Acknowledgments

Samples were provided by Biologic Specimen and Data Repository Information Coordinating Center (BioLNCC).

Contract grant sponsor: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); Contract grant number: 1U01DD000754.

Contract grant sponsor: National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI).

Contract grant sponsor: NIH/NCRR South Carolina COBRE for Cardiovascular Disease; Contract grant number: NIH/NCRR P20 RR016434.

Contract grant sponsor: South Carolina IDeA Networks of Biomedical Research Excellence (INBRE); Contract grant number: NIH/NCRR P20 RR16461.

References

1. Wallace DF, Subramaniam VN. Non- *HFE* haemochromatosis. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2007; 13:4690–4698. [PubMed: 17729390]
2. van Bokhoven MA, van Deursen CTBM, Swinkels DW. Diagnosis and management of hereditary hemochromatosis. *BMJ*. 2011; 342:218–223.
3. Steinberg KK, Cogswell ME, Chang JC. Prevalence of C282Y and H63D Mutations in the Hemochromatosis (*HFE*) Gene in the United States. *JAMA*. 2001; 285:2216–2222. [PubMed: 11325323]
4. Adams PC, Reboussin DM, Barton JC, et al. Hemochromatosis and iron-overload screening in a racially diverse population. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 352:1769–1778. [PubMed: 15858186]
5. McLaren GD, Nathanson MH, Jacobs A, et al. Regulation of intestinal iron absorption and mucosal iron kinetics in hereditary hemochromatosis. *J Lab Clin Med*. 1991; 117:390–401. [PubMed: 2019794]
6. Mili S, Risti S, Star evi - izmarevi N, et al. Low frequency of *HFE* gene mutations in Croatian patients suspected of having hereditary hemochromatosis. *Med Sci Monit*. 2011; 17:CR552–CR556. [PubMed: 21959608]
7. Dulger AC, Esen R, Mete R, et al. The prevalence of hereditary hemochromatosis in some men from the Eastern part of Turkey and the effects of H63D mutations on iron studies. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2012:1–4. [PubMed: 22718642]

8. Nieves-Santiago P, Cancel D, Canales D, et al. Presence of hemochromatosis-associated mutations in Hispanic patients with iron overload. *P R Health Sci J*. 2011; 30:135–138. [PubMed: 21932715]
9. Pedersen P, Milman N. Genetic screening for HFE hemochromatosis in 6,020 Danish men: penetrance of C282Y, H63D, and S65C variants. *Ann Hematol*. 2009; 88:775–784. [PubMed: 19159930]
10. Piperno A, Sampietro M, Pietrangelo A, et al. Heterogeneity of hemochromatosis in Italy. *Gastroenterology*. 1998; 114:996–1002. [PubMed: 9558289]
11. Hayashi H, Wakusawa S, Motonishi S, et al. Genetic background of primary iron overload syndromes in Japan. *Intern Med*. 2006; 45:1107–1111. [PubMed: 17106152]
12. Elmrghni S, Dixon RA, Williams DR. Frequencies of HFE gene mutations associated with haemochromatosis in the population of Libya living in Benghazi. *Int J Clin Exp Med*. 2011; 4:200–204. [PubMed: 21977233]
13. Pietrangelo A, Caleffi A, Corradini E. Non-HFE hepatic iron overload. *Semin Liver Dis*. 2011; 31:302–318. [PubMed: 21901660]
14. Gordeuk VR. African iron overload. *Semin Hematol*. 2002; 39:263–269. [PubMed: 12382201]
15. Aguilar-Martinez P, Picot MC, Becker F, et al. Prevalence of HFE mutations in people from North Africa living in southern France. *Br J Haematol*. 2001; 114:914–916. [PubMed: 11564085]
16. Gordeuk VR, McLaren GD, Samowitz W. Etiologies, consequences, and treatment of iron overload. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci*. 1994; 31:89–133. [PubMed: 7917009]
17. Gujja P, Rosing DR, Tripodi DJ, et al. Iron overload cardiomyopathy: Better understanding of an increasing disorder. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010; 56:1001–1012. [PubMed: 20846597]
18. ElMBERG M, Hultcrantz R, Simard JF, et al. Risk of ischaemic heart disease and cardiomyopathy in patients with haemochromatosis and in their first-degree relatives: A nationwide, population-based study. *J Intern Med*. 2012; 272:45–54. [PubMed: 22026548]
19. Fracanzani AL, Conte D, Fraquelli M, et al. Increased cancer risk in a cohort of 230 patients with hereditary hemochromatosis in comparison to matched control patients with non-iron-related chronic liver disease. *Hepatology*. 2001; 33:647–651. [PubMed: 11230745]
20. National Center for Biotechnology Information; US National Library of Medicine; National Institutes of Health. ADAM Medical Encyclopedia: Hemochromatosis/Iron Overload. Mar 4. 2012 Revised Retrieved from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmedhealth/PMH0001368/>. Accessed on Oct 31, 2012.
21. Falize L, Guillygomarch A, Perrin M, et al. Reversibility of hepatic fibrosis in treated genetic hemochromatosis: A study of 36 cases. *Hepatology*. 2006; 44:472–477. [PubMed: 16871557]
22. Dabestani A, Child JS, Henze E, et al. Primary hemochromatosis: Anatomic and physiologic characteristics of the cardiac ventricles and their response to phlebotomy. *Am J Cardiol*. 1984; 54:153–160. [PubMed: 6741807]
23. Niederau C, Fischer R, Sonnenberg A, et al. Survival and causes of death in cirrhotic and in noncirrhotic patients with primary hemochromatosis. *N Engl J Med*. 1985; 313:1256–1262. [PubMed: 4058506]
24. Rombout-Sestrienkova E, Nieman FHM, Essers BAB, et al. Erythrocytapheresis versus phlebotomy in the initial treatment of HFE hemochromatosis patients: Results from a randomized trial. *Transfusion*. 2012; 52:470–477. [PubMed: 21848963]
25. Mainous AG III, Wells BJ, Koopman RJ, et al. Iron, lipids and risk of cancer in the Framingham Offspring Cohort. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2005; 161:1115–1122. [PubMed: 15937020]
26. Mainous AG III, Diaz VA, Knoll ME, et al. Transferrin saturation and hospital length of stay and mortality in Medicare beneficiaries. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2013; 61:132–136. [PubMed: 23205743]
27. Mainous AG III, Eschenbach SL, Wells BJ, et al. Cholesterol, transferrin saturation, and the development of dementia and Alzheimer's disease: Results from an 18-year population-based cohort. *Fam Med*. 2005; 37:36–42. [PubMed: 15619154]
28. Mainous AG III, Gill JM, Carek PJ. Elevated serum transferrin saturation and mortality. *Ann Fam Med*. 2004; 2:133–138. [PubMed: 15083853]
29. Wells BJ, Mainous AG III, King DE, et al. The combined effect of transferrin saturation and low density lipoprotein on mortality. *Fam Med*. 2004; 36:324–329. [PubMed: 15129378]

30. Mainous AG III, Wells BJ, Everett CJ, et al. Association of ferritin and lipids with C-reactive protein. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004; 93:559–562. [PubMed: 14996579]
31. Pra D, Franke SIR, Henriques JAP, Fenech M. Iron and genome stability: An update. *Mutat Res.* 2012; 733:92–99. [PubMed: 22349350]
32. Xu J, Marzetti E, Seo AY, et al. The emerging role of iron dyshomeostasis in the mitochondrial decay of aging. *Mech Ageing Dev.* 2010; 131:487–493. [PubMed: 20434480]
33. Zecca L, Casella L, Albertini A, et al. Neuromelanin can protect against iron-mediated oxidative damage in system modeling iron overload of brain aging and Parkinson’s disease. *J Neurochem.* 2008; 106:1866–1875. [PubMed: 18624918]
34. Dunaief JL. Iron induced oxidative damage as a potential factor in age-related macular degeneration: The Cogan Lecture. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2006; 47:4660–4664. [PubMed: 17065470]
35. Quintana C, Bellefqih S, Laval JY, et al. Study of the localization of iron, ferritin, and hemosiderin in Alzheimer’s disease hippocampus by analytical microscopy at the subcellular level. *J Struct Biol.* 2006; 153:42–54. [PubMed: 16364657]
36. Von Zglinicki T. Role of oxidative stress in telomere length regulation and replicative senescence. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* 2000; 908:99–110. [PubMed: 10911951]
37. Shammass MA. Telomeres, lifestyle, cancer, and aging. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* 2011; 14:28–34. [PubMed: 21102320]
38. Babizhayev MA, Savelyeva EL, Moskvina SN, et al. Telomere length is a biomarker of cumulative oxidative stress, biologic age, and an independent predictor of survival and therapeutic treatment requirement associated with smoking behavior. *Am J Therapeutics.* 2011; 18:e209–e226.
39. Mainous AG III, Codd V, Diaz VA, et al. Leukocyte telomere length and coronary artery calcification. *Atherosclerosis.* 2010; 210:262–267. [PubMed: 19945703]
40. Adakalakoteswari A, Balasubramanyam M, Mohan V. Telomere shortening occurs in Asian Indian type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetic Med.* 2005; 22:1151–1156. [PubMed: 16108841]
41. Demissie S, Levy D, Benjamin EJ, et al. Insulin resistance, oxidative stress, hypertension, and leukocyte telomere length in men from the Framingham Heart Study. *Aging Cell.* 2006; 5:325–330. [PubMed: 16913878]
42. McLaren CE, Barton JC, Adams PC, et al. Hemochromatosis and iron overload screening (HEIRS) study design for an evaluation of 100,000 primary care-based adults. *Am J Med Sci.* 2003; 325:53–62. [PubMed: 12589228]
43. The Hemochromatosis and Iron Overload Study Research Investigators. Hemochromatosis and iron overload screening study design/protocol. Retrieved from https://biolincc.nhlbi.nih.gov/static/studies/heirs/Heirs_Protocol.pdf. Accessed on Oct 30, 2012.
44. Gurrin LC, Bertalli NA, Dalton GW, et al. HFE C282Y/H63D compound heterozygotes are at low risk of hemochromatosis-related morbidity. *Hepatology.* 2009; 50:94–101. [PubMed: 19554541]
45. Cawthon RM. Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2002; 30:e47. [PubMed: 12000852]
46. Zhao S, Fernald RD. Comprehensive algorithm for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. *J Comput Biol.* 2005; 12:1045–1062.
47. Frenck RW Jr, Blackburn EH, Shannon KM. The rate of telomere sequence loss in human leukocytes varies with age. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1998; 95:5607–5610. [PubMed: 9576930]
48. Montonen J, Boeing H, Steffen A. Body iron stores and risk of type 2 diabetes: Results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)-Potsdam study. *Diabetologia.* 2012; 55:2613–2621. [PubMed: 22752055]
49. Hanson EH, Imperatore G, Burke W. HFE gene and hereditary hemochromatosis: A HuGE review. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2001; 154:193–206. [PubMed: 11479183]
50. Nordfjall K, Eliasson M, Stegmayr B, et al. Telomere length is associated with obesity parameters but with a gender difference. *Obesity.* 2008; 16:2682–2689. [PubMed: 18820651]
51. Xu Q, Parks CG, DeRoo LA, et al. Multivitamin use and telomere length in women. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2009; 89:1857–1863. [PubMed: 19279081]

52. Brown KE, Mathahs MM, Broadhurst KA, et al. Increased hepatic telomerase activity in a rat model of iron overload: A role for altered thiol redox state? *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2007; 42:228–235. [PubMed: 17189828]
53. Dekker LH, Nicolaou M, van der A DL, et al. Sex Differences in the association between serum ferritin and fasting glucose in type 2 diabetes among South Asian Surinamese, African Surinamese, and Ethnic Dutch: The population-based SUNSET study. *Diabetes Care.* 2013; 36:965–971. [PubMed: 23172974]
54. Wilson JG, Lindquist JH, Grambow SC, et al. Potential role of increased iron stores in diabetes. *Am J Med Sci.* 2003; 325:332–339. [PubMed: 12811229]

TABLE I

Covariates by Genotype-Phenotype Status (%)

	Genotype+ Phenotype+	Genotype+ Phenotype-	Genotype- Phenotype+	Genotype- Phenotype-	P-value
Sample size = 1,009	110	45	397	457	-
Total (%)	10.9	4.5	39.3	45.3	-
Gender (%)					<0.001
Men	46.4	28.9	58.2	50.1	
Women	53.6	71.1	41.8	49.9	
Race-ethnicity (%)					<0.0001
White, non-Hispanic	88.2	91.1	26.7	53.6	
Other	11.8	8.9	73.3	46.4	
Education (%)					0.13
Less than HS	9.8	17.9	16.3	10.1	
High school	18.3	25.0	26.2	23.5	
More than HS	71.9	57.1	57.5	66.3	
Health insurance					<0.001
Insured	92.7	78.6	72.8	84.4	
Uninsured	7.3	21.4	27.2	15.6	

TABLE II

Genotype or Phenotype Status by Telomere Length (%)

	Short telomere (< =25th pctl)	Long telomere (> =75th pctl)	<i>P</i> -value
Sample size = 511	257	254	–
Total	50.3	49.7	–
<i>HFE</i> genotype			0.67
Positive (<i>n</i> = 82)	52.4	47.6	
Negative (<i>n</i> = 429)	49.9	50.1	
Elevated iron phenotype			<0.0001
Positive (<i>n</i> = 270)	59.6	40.4	
Negative (<i>n</i> = 241)	39.8	60.2	

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

TABLE IIILogistic Regression Predicting Short Telomere Length by Genotype Phenotype Group ($n = 511$)

Crude model	Odds ratio	95% Confidence interval
Genotype–henotype		
G+ P+ ($n = 64$)	2.03	1.15–3.56
G+ P- ($n = 18$)	0.74	0.26–2.04
G- P+ ($n = 206$)	2.24	1.5–3.29
G- P- ($n = 223$)	–	Reference
Adjusted model ^a	Odds ratio	95% Confidence interval
Genotype–henotype		
G+ P+ ($n = 64$)	1.94	1.02–3.72
G+ P- ($n = 18$)	1.27	0.42–3.89
G- P+ ($n = 206$)	2.17	1.39–3.39
G- P- ($n = 223$)	–	Reference

^a Adjusted for age group, gender, and race-ethnicity.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript