Fructose Intake and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Youth with Type 1 Diabetes: SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study
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Abstract

Aims—High consumption of dietary fructose has been shown to contribute to dyslipidemia and elevated blood pressure in adults, but there are few data in youth, particularly those at greater risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD). The aim of this study was to examine the association between fructose intake and CVD risk factors in a diverse population of youth with type 1 diabetes (T1D).

Methods—This was a cross-sectional analysis of data from the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study, including 2085 youth ages 10–22 years with T1D, of which 22% were racial/ethnic
minority and 50% were female. A semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire was used to assess intake.

**Results**—Median daily fructose consumption was 7.9% of total calories. Fructose intake was positively associated with triglycerides (p<.01), but not with total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, or blood pressure after adjustment for physical activity and socio-demographic, clinical, and dietary covariates. An increase in fructose intake of 22 grams (equivalent to a 12 oz. can of soda) was associated with a 23% higher odds of borderline/ high versus low triglycerides (p<.005).

**Conclusion**—These data suggest that children with T1D should moderate their intake of fructose, particularly those with borderline or high triglycerides.
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**Introduction**
Youth with diabetes are at an increased risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD) (1). Diabetes-associated CVD risk factors include elevated triglycerides, low HDL-cholesterol, high LDL-cholesterol, and elevated blood pressure, which persist despite improved glycemic control (2). Current dietary guidelines aimed to reduce CVD risk factors in persons with diabetes recommend lowering dietary fat and replacing saturated fat with carbohydrate. However the type of carbohydrate used as a substitute for fat in persons with diabetes may mitigate any benefit (3).

Fructose is a monosaccharide found naturally in fruits. It is also a component of added sugars found in sweetened beverages and processed snacks. In youth, fructose intake has risen sharply with the increased use of high fructose corn syrup (HFCS) as a sweetening agent (4). Nearly half of the fructose consumed by adolescents comes from sweetened beverages (5). While high fructose benefits glucose control (6), high fructose intakes could have potentially negative consequences on CVD risk factors, as high intakes of the carbohydrate are known to increase fatty acid and triglyceride synthesis (7). Diabetes may exacerbate this effect as inadequate insulinization or insulin resistance may increase triglyceride synthesis and reduce triglyceride clearance (8). Additionally, both diabetes and high fructose consumption may elevate serum uric acid (9), which is a risk factor for hypertension (10).

Studies linking dietary fructose with CVD risk factors in diabetes have focused on the adult population. Disparate findings have been reported in this regard, with some studies showing positive associations between higher fructose consumption and plasma lipids (11, 12) and blood pressure (13) and others showing no relationship (14, 15). In children with diabetes, one small, short-term feeding trial was done showing no significant effect of high dietary fructose feeding on plasma lipids (16). Confirmation of this finding is warranted.

Little is known about recent consumption patterns of fructose in children with diabetes. Further, no well-powered studies have examined whether fructose intake in children with
diabetes alters CVD risk factors. Toward this purpose, data from the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study were used to examine fructose intake in youth with type 1 diabetes (T1D) and determine whether intake of the monosaccharide is associated with serum lipids, lipoproteins, and blood pressure.

**Subjects**

The SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study is an ongoing multi-center, observational study with the goal of describing the epidemiology of childhood diabetes according to race/ethnicity, age, sex, and diabetes type. The study began ascertainment of all existing (prevalent) cases of physician diagnosed diabetes mellitus (DM) in youth <20 years of age in calendar year 2001 and all newly diagnosed (incident) cases during subsequent years. SEARCH has six centers located in Ohio, Washington, South Carolina, Colorado, Hawaii and California. A detailed description of the SEARCH study design and methods has been published elsewhere (17).

The present study was a cross-sectional analysis of data from the prevalent and 2002–2005 incident study cohort participants. Data were available on 2,586 children 10–20 years of age with T1D who participated in the SEARCH baseline exam and dietary assessment at least 3 months after their diabetes diagnosis. Participants were then excluded from analysis for the following reasons: reporting an atypical week where they ate “a lot more” or “a lot less than usual on the baseline food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) (n=112); reported dietary intake in excess of calorie cut-point (>7000 kcal/day, n=2); not having baseline blood drawn in the fasted state (n=211); having blood lipid variables otherwise unavailable (n=161); or hypertriglyceridemia (TG>400 mg/dl, n=15). After all exclusions were made, the final sample was comprised of 2,085 youth with T1D.

**Materials and Methods**

The study was reviewed and approved by the local Institutional Review Boards at each center. Parents of participants under age 18 years at the time of data collection provided written informed consent and the participant provided assent; all participants aged 18 years or older provided written informed consent. All participants in this cross-sectional sample completed an initial patient survey (online or by telephone interview) to collect information on race/ethnicity, diabetes type, and preliminary treatment information. Participants also completed an in-person visit (baseline exam) consisting of a physical examination (anthropometry, blood pressure), laboratory work (fasting blood work for HbA1c and lipids), and the administration of several questionnaires to collect information on medical history, physical activity, and dietary intake. All measures were conducted by trained, certified staff in accordance with standardized study protocols (available at www.searchfordiabetes.org). A more detailed description of the measures considered in the present study is described below.

**Assessment of Dietary Intake**

A modified Block Kid’s FFQ was used to assess dietary intake in this multi-cultural study. A description of the modified questionnaire, hereafter referred to as the SEARCH FFQ, and
validation are described elsewhere (18, 19). In brief, the SEARCH FFQ is semi-quantitative with approximately 85 food lines and weekly frequency of use categories for each line item. Portion size for each food or beverage are assessed in a manner relevant to that particular item, e.g. number of slices for bread; small, medium and large for food in bowls, number of pieces of fruit. Questionnaire items also addressed atypical intake, use of dietary supplement, use of modified food products (e.g., low fat), and frequency of eating out. The FFQ was self-administered by study participants after careful instruction by staff. If it was apparent that the subject would have difficulty completing the form, a staff member conducted the form administration as an interview. The nutrient and portion-size database for this instrument was established using the Nutrition Data Systems for Research database (version 4.05/33, 2002, Nutrition Coordinating Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN) and industry sources. Energy, macronutrients, micronutrients and food group servings were quantified. For these analyses sugars were reported as free fructose, sucrose, total fructose (free fructose + 1/2 the intake of sucrose), free fructose food subgroups (vegetables, fruit & fruit juice), and added fructose food subgroups (sweets & desserts, and sugar-sweetened beverages).

Outcomes

Blood lipids – Fasting (minimum 8 hours) blood samples were obtained under conditions of metabolic stability, defined as no episode of diabetic ketoacidosis during the previous month. Specimens were processed at the site and shipped within 24 hours to the Northwest Lipid Metabolism and Diabetes Research Laboratories in Seattle, Washington. Measurement of plasma cholesterol, triglyceride, and HDL cholesterol were performed on a Hitachi 917 autoanalyzer (Boehringer Mannheim Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). LDL cholesterol was calculated by the Friedewald equation (20). Blood pressure – Blood pressure measurements were performed using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer with 1 of 5 cuff sizes chosen on the basis of the circumference of the participant’s arm. The average of 3 blood pressure measurements was used in the analyses.

Covariates

Demographics – adolescents and a parent/guardian self-reported birth date, gender, race/ethnicity and duration of diabetes. Body mass index – Body weight and height were measured in light indoor clothing without shoes. Measurements were recorded twice and averaged. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. BMI z-scores were determined using the standard CDC approach (21). HbA1c - A1c measurement was made on whole blood samples (obtained after an 8 hour fast) with an automated nonporous ion-exchange highperformance liquid chromatography system (model G-7; Tosoh Bioscience, Montgomeryville, Pennsylvania). HbA1c assays were performed at the Northwest Lipid Metabolism and Diabetes Research Laboratories, University of Washington. Physical Activity – Participants were asked the average number of days in a typical week that they participated in physical activity for at least 20 minutes that made them sweat or breathe hard and were then categorized as physically inactive (0–2 days/week) or physically active (3–7 days/week). The question was derived from the national Youth Risk Behavior Survey (22).
Statistical Analyses

The initial analyses included a description of the bivariate relationships between fructose and other study variables. In order to make these relationships more clinically relevant, the percent of energy derived from fructose (fructose density) was used here. Characteristics of the study population were compared across quartiles of fructose density. Analysis of variance was used to assess bivariate associations between fructose density and continuous variables, and chi-square tests were used for categorical variables. Triglycerides were log-transformed to improve normality. In the regression models, adjustment for energy was done differently. As recommended by Willett, Howe and Kushi (23), the primary independent/predictor variable of interest was total fructose intake in grams per day, with additional model adjustment for total energy intake. Multiple regression models were used to assess multivariate relationships between CVD risk factors and fructose intake (g/day) with adjustment for total calories and other covariates. Analyses examining the appropriateness of using fructose as a categorical variable were performed, and model assumptions were better met with fructose as a continuous predictor. Initial models adjusted for energy intake only. Final models adjusted additionally for age, race, gender HbA1c, BMI z-score, T1D duration, physical activity, intake of other carbohydrates (total carbohydrate – total fructose), and total dietary fiber. Final models also adjusted for other dietary nutrients that were found to modify the beta coefficient >10% thus indicating confounding. These differed by outcome and are as follows: 1) intake of saturated fat, monounsaturated fat, and trans fat in the models for plasma total, LDL and HDL-cholesterol; 2) intake of trans fat in the model for log-triglycerides; and 3) intake of calcium, magnesium, potassium and sodium in the models for blood pressure. Residual plots for the adjusted model were created to examine the appropriateness of assuming a linear relationship between log-triglycerides and fructose intake, and no violations of the linearity assumption were evident. As a further sensitivity analysis, the models were examined for evidence of a possible threshold effect of fructose by including fructose as a categorical variable (quartiles) rather than continuous. No evidence of a threshold effect was found.

For regression models that provided evidence of an association between fructose intake and CVD risk outcomes, proportional odds models were fit to characterize the relationship between fructose intake and membership in established risk categories for these outcomes. The appropriateness of the proportional odds assumption was tested and found to be acceptable. Statistical analyses were performed with SAS software (version 9.1, SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). P values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Median fructose intake was 34.6 g/day (Interquartile range (IQR) = 22.7 to 51.0 g/day, mean=40.5 g/day). This intake accounted for a median fructose density of 7.9% of calories (IQR= 5.8 to 10.3%, mean=8.4%). Figure 1 shows the distribution of fructose density among participants. Median total daily energy intake was 1757 kcal (IQR=1354 to 2330 kcal, mean=1925 kcal).
Table 1 provides clinical characteristics and cardiovascular risk factors for the cohort and by quartile of fructose density (% kcal). Participants who were female or African American consumed a higher fructose density than individuals in their respective comparison groups (p<.05, p<.01 respectively). Fructose intake was significantly related to age (p<.01), with the first and fourth quartiles being slightly older than the second and third quartiles. Participants who had a longer duration of diabetes consumed a higher fructose density than those with shorter disease duration (p<.01). Those in the second and third quartiles of fructose intake engaged in more physical activity (p<.05). Higher fructose density was associated with higher intakes of dietary fiber, free fructose, sucrose, fruit/fruit juice, sweets and desserts, sugar-sweetened beverages, and other carbohydrates (p<.01 for all). No significant associations were seen between fructose density and BMI-z score, HbA1c or vegetable intake.

Fructose density was significantly associated with plasma triglycerides (p<.01). Participants in the top quartile of fructose density had higher triglycerides than those in lower three quartiles. Participants in the first and fourth quartiles of fructose density also had higher SBP and DBP (p<.01, p<.05 respectively). No significant associations were seen between fructose density and total, LDL or HDL-cholesterol.

To examine the association between fructose intake (g/day) and CVD risk factors, multiple regression models were fit adjusting only for total energy intake (kcal) (Model 1), and then for total energy intake and additional covariates (Model 2). Fructose intake was significantly positively associated with log-triglycerides in both models (p<.01 in both) (Table 2). This finding was upheld whether total fructose or free fructose was used as the independent variable in the model (data not shown for free fructose). Triglycerides were modeled on the log scale, so an increase in fructose intake of 22 grams, which is the amount of fructose in a 12 ounce can of soft drink (24) was associated with a 4% increase in triglycerides. Fructose intake was not associated with total, LDL or HDL-cholesterol or BP in either model.

In order to further quantify the relationship between fructose intake and triglycerides, a proportional odds model was used to predict membership in a triglyceride risk category by fructose intake. Risk categories based on established triglyceride cut-offs (8) were low (<1.30 mmol/L, N=1766), borderline (1.3–1.68 mmol/L, N=164), and high (>1.68 mmol/L, N=155). After controlling for covariates, fructose was found to be significantly associated with an increased triglyceride risk (Beta=0.0095, SE=.0034, p=.005). This effect size was interpreted by converting the beta coefficient into an odds ratio and determining the odds of membership in a higher versus a lower triglyceride risk category. Based on these calculations an increase in fructose intake of 22 g was associated with an odds ratio of 1.23 (CI: 1.06, 1.43). In other words, an increase in fructose intake of ~ a 12 ounce soft drink was associated with a 23 % higher odds of membership in a higher triglyceride risk group.

**Discussion**

The major new finding of the present study is that higher fructose consumption was associated with greater plasma triglyceride levels in adolescents with TID independent of many other potential confounders. An increase in fructose intake equivalent to one 12 ounce
can of soda daily was associated with 23% higher odds of borderline/high versus low triglycerides. The fructose triglyceride association in T1D youth fits with proposed mechanisms. Fructose, unlike glucose, does not stimulate insulin secretion from the pancreatic beta cells (25). Fructose is rapidly taken up by the liver, and is metabolized to dihydroxyacetone phosphate and glyceraldehyde (26). The former is used to synthesize the glycerol backbone of triglycerides, while the latter produces acetyl-CoA required for de novo lipogenesis and fatty acid synthesis. These fructose end products are also intermediates in the glycolytic pathway, and are formed in an uncontrolled fashion as fructose enters the pathway below a major point of regulation. Thus, a high postprandial influx of fructose to the liver may increase substrate for triacylglycerol synthesis and secretion, and contribute to elevated blood triglyceride concentrations (26).

Numerous studies have shown that dietary fructose can induce hyperlipidemia in rodents (27–30). For example, Herman et al (28) reported that rats fed a high fructose diet had sustained elevations in serum triglycerides. Triglycerides increased and remained elevated during fructose feeding (100 days) and fell soon after a standard chow diet was introduced. Studies in humans have been less consistent, with positive effects of high fructose intakes on plasma lipids in adults reported in some studies (10, 11); but not in others (13, 14). In our study, the positive association between fructose and triglycerides is contrary to findings reported from a feeding trial in which fructose was administered to children with T1D at a dose of 20% of calories. No adverse effects on plasma lipids were observed in this study (16). Inadequate statistical power (n=16) and short follow-up period (1 week) may have limited the ability to detect group differences in this trial. In a larger, more recent cross-sectional study of adolescents without T1D, total fructose consumption was found to be associated with increased plasma triglycerides and LDL-cholesterol and low HDL-cholesterol (31). Among healthy Swiss children, dietary fructose was found to be a significant positive predictor of small LDL-particle size (a marker for increase CVD risk) but not plasma triglycerides (32).

Recent related findings from SEARCH found higher plasma triglycerides as well as higher total and LDL cholesterol in adolescents with T1D who drank one glass or more of sugar-sweetened beverages compared to those who had none (33). Sugar sweetened beverages are a major food source of fructose in adolescents, and in our cohort, higher fructose intakes were associated with higher intakes of sweetened drinks and desserts, as well as juice and fruit. We did find that models examining triglyceride associations gave the same conclusions whether free or total fructose (free + bound to sucrose) were used; others have suggested different effects of these dietary forms of the monosaccharide on triglyceride metabolism (34). Notably, fructose intakes in our sample were negatively associated with saturated fat intake (data not shown), while soda consumption was positively related to this nutrient (33). This finding suggests that dietary fructose and sugar sweetened beverages, although related, are components of different dietary patterns, and this may account, in part, for the different effects observed on plasma lipids in our cohort.

In our sample of youth 10 years of age and older with T1D, mean intake of total fructose was 40.5 grams/day or 8.4% of total calories. This is less than the 72.8 grams/day or 12% of total calories reported nationally among 12 to 18 year olds (5). Several factors may account
for the lower reported fructose intake in this study including but not limited to differences in
dietary data collection methodologies used between studies and response to diabetes related
nutrition recommendations that discouraged use of added fructose as a sweetening agent (3).
Also, although fructose may benefit glucose control (6), many foods containing fructose,
e.g., sugar sweetened beverages and processed grain foods, are high in total carbohydrate
and may raise postprandial glycemia. Therefore, youth with T1D may avoid major food
sources of fructose in an effort to better manage their diabetes.

While studies in adults have shown associations between fructose and plasma triglycerides
generally at intakes ≥ 5% of total calories (35), in this study among adolescents with T1D,
less than 10% of participants consumed that much fructose. Therefore, adverse effects of
fructose on triglycerides may occur across a wider range of intakes than previously
observed. Elevated triglycerides track from childhood into adulthood (36) and have been
consistently associated with increased CVD, even after adjustment for established coronary
risk factors (8). These findings suggest that children and adolescents with T1D should
moderate intake of fructose, particularly those with borderline or high triglyceride levels.

Our study has several important strengths including the large sample size of youth with
T1D, the racial/ethnic and geographic diversity of the population, and the variety of
outcome and exposure measures obtained from the study sample. Limitations include the
cross-sectional nature of the measurements, which allows associations to be identified, but
not causality or directionality. FFQ usually tend to over-report dietary intake (37) and the
fact that diet intake for only the previous week was collected may not accurately reflect
usual long-term consumption of major food sources of fructose.

In summary, fructose intake in adolescents with T1D was positively associated with plasma
triglyceride levels. No evidence of a threshold effect of fructose on triglycerides was
observed in this study. While this study did not identify the specific food sources of fructose
that were problematic in this regard, these findings suggest that persons with diabetes,
especially those in high risk triglyceride groups, should moderate fructose consumption to
avoid untoward effects on plasma triglycerides.
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Figure 1.
Distribution of fructose intake (% of total calories) in adolescents with T1DM: SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth.
Table 1
Baseline demographic, clinical characteristics and outcomes of adolescents with T1D by quartile of fructose density (% kcal) (N=2,085)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Overall Mean (SD), Median (IQR) or N (%)</th>
<th>Mean (SD), Median (IQR) or N (%) by Quartile of % kcal from Fructose at Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall Mean (SD), Median (IQR) or N (%)</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; (% 0-8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in years (SD)**</td>
<td>14.7 (3)</td>
<td>14.9 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%) Female*</td>
<td>1043 (50.0%)</td>
<td>233 (44.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%) Caucasian</td>
<td>1622 (77.8%)</td>
<td>373 (71.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%) African American</td>
<td>167 (8.0%)</td>
<td>39 (7.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%) Hispanic</td>
<td>214 (10.3%)</td>
<td>81 (15.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%) Other</td>
<td>82 (3.9%)</td>
<td>29 (5.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Diabetes Duration, months (IQR)**</td>
<td>33 (12–83)</td>
<td>23 (11–70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%) participating in physical activity 3 or more days per week □ *</td>
<td>1271 (61.4%)</td>
<td>308 (59.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HbA1C % (SD) □</td>
<td>8.25 (1.69)</td>
<td>8.36 (1.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI z-score (SD) □</td>
<td>0.62 (0.89)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary Fiber (g/1000 kcal)**</td>
<td>7.15 (2.36)</td>
<td>6.60 (1.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free fructose (g)**</td>
<td>22.0 (16.8)</td>
<td>9.9 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose (g)**</td>
<td>37.0 (24.2)</td>
<td>31.5 (22.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of kcal from free fructose **</td>
<td>4.6 (2.8)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Carbohydrate (%) (%)</td>
<td>39 (6)</td>
<td>37 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servings Fruit/juice per 1000 kcal**</td>
<td>0.67 (0.52)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servings Vegetables per 1000 kcal</td>
<td>0.92 (0.73)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servings Sweets and Desserts per 1000 kcal **</td>
<td>0.98 (1.01)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servings Sugar-sweetened beverages per 1000 kcal **</td>
<td>0.44 (0.84)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD Risk Factors (Study outcomes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L) **</td>
<td>0.89 (0.54)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.55 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.59 (0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic</td>
<td>Overall Mean (SD), Median (IQR) or N (%)</td>
<td>Mean (SD), Median (IQR) or N (%) by Quartile of % kcal from Fructose at Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; (≤5.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.39 (0.32)</td>
<td>1.39 (0.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.35 (0.88)</td>
<td>4.38 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg) □ **</td>
<td>106 (10.7)</td>
<td>107.5 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg) □ *</td>
<td>67.3 (9.7)</td>
<td>68 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Other Carbohydrate % kcal = Total Carbohydrate % kcal – total fructose % kcal

* p<.05, ** p<.01 for difference across quartiles of fructose density;

† Some variables had missing data: N=2,069 for BMI; N=2, 070 for physical activity; N=2,077 for HbA1c.; N=2,056 for SBP and DBP; To convert mmol/L to mg/dl for triglycerides divide by 0.0113 and for cholesterol divide by 0.0259; SD = standard deviation; IQR = interquartile range; BMI = body mass index; CVD = cardiovascular disease; LDL = low density lipoprotein; HDL = high density lipoprotein; SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure.
Table 2

Regression effects of fructose intake (g/day) on triglycerides, cholesterol and blood pressure in adolescents with T1DM: unadjusted and adjusted for demographic, clinical, dietary and anthropometric factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>Fructose (Model 1) ( \beta ) (SE)</th>
<th>Fructose (Model 2) ( \beta ) (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log-triglycerides</td>
<td>.0018 (.0006) **</td>
<td>.0018 (.0006) **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-Cholesterol</td>
<td>.00061 (.00083)</td>
<td>-.000005 (.0012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-Cholesterol</td>
<td>-.00017 (.00038)</td>
<td>-.00036 (.00057)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-Cholesterol</td>
<td>.00124 (.00104)</td>
<td>.00027 (.00150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>-.015 (.013)</td>
<td>-.013 (.016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>.0045 (.012)</td>
<td>-.0097 (.016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Model 1 adjusted for total calories:

‡‡ Model 2 adjusted for age, race, gender HbA1c%, Body mass index (BMI) z-score, disease duration, physical activity, intake of total calories, dietary carbohydrates other than fructose, and total dietary fiber. Model 2 also controlled for other dietary nutrients that were found to modify the beta coefficient >10%. This differed by outcome and included 1) intake of saturated fat, monounsaturated fat, and trans fat for plasma total, Low density lipoprotein (LDL) and High density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol; 2) intake of trans fat for log-triglyceride; and 3) intake of calcium, magnesium, potassium and sodium for systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP):

** P<.01.