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## Infant Mortality and Low Birth Weight Among Black and White Infants United States, 1980-2000

Despite substantial reductions in U.S. infant mortality during the past several decades, black-white disparities in infant mortality rates persist. One of the Healthy People 2010 national objectives for maternal and infant health is to reduce deaths among infants aged $<1$ year to $\leq 4.5$ per 1,000 live births among all racial/ethnic groups (objective 16-1c) (1). Important determinants of racial/ethnic differences in infant mortality are low birth weight (LBW), defined as $<2500$ grams, and very low birth weight (VLBW), defined as <1500 grams. High birth weight-specific mortality rates (BWSMRs) occur at these low birth weights. Healthy People 2010 goals include reducing LBW to $5 \%$ and VLBW to $0.9 \%$ of live births (objectives 16-10a and 16-10b, respectively). To assess progress toward meeting these national objectives, CDC analyzed birth and death certificate data from the National Center for Health Statistics. This report describes trends in mortality and birth weight among black and white infants, which indicate persistent black-white disparities and underscore the need for prevention strategies that reduce preterm delivery and specific medical conditions that lead to infant death.
CDC analyzed race-specific infant mortality data for 19801999 (2) and preliminary mortality data for 2000. Trends in LBW and VLBW were calculated by using birth certificate data for 1980-2000, with 2000 being the most recent year for which data were available (3). BWSMRs were calculated from linked birth and infant death files for 1983-1991 and 1995-1999; LBW infants were divided into VLBW and moderate LBW (MLBW), defined as 1500-2499 grams. Both racespecific LBW/VLBW data and BWSMRs were calculated by using the race of the mother.
In 1980, a total of 3,612,258 live births occurred among all races $(2,936,351$ to white women and 568,080 to black women). In 2000, a total of 4,064,948 live births occurred among all races ( $3,202,932$ to white women and 619,970 to
black women). Although infant mortality declined $45.2 \%$ for all races during 1980-2000 (from 12.6 to 6.9 deaths per 1,000 live births) (Table 1), the decline was greater for whites than for blacks. During the same period, infant mortality among whites declined $47.7 \%$ (from 10.9 to 5.7), and infant mortality among blacks declined $36.9 \%$ (from 22.2 to 14.0). During 1980-2000, the black-white ratio of infant mortality increased $25.0 \%$ (from 2.0 to 2.5 ). However, the ratio remained unchanged during 1990-1998 (2.4 for all years).
During 1980-2000, the percentage of LBW infants increased $11.8 \%$ and that of VLBW infants increased $24.3 \%$ (Table 1). Although the black-white ratio of LBW births decreased $10.0 \%$ (from 2.2 to 2.0 ), the LBW rate increased $2.4 \%$ for blacks (from $12.7 \%$ to $13.0 \%$ ) and increased $14.0 \%$ for whites (from $5.7 \%$ to $6.5 \%$ ). Black LBW rates increased $6.3 \%$ during the 1980 s and decreased $2.3 \%$ during 19902000. LBW rates remained stable for whites during the 1980s but increased $14.0 \%$ during 1990-2000. VLBW rates increased 23.8\% for blacks (from 2.48\% to 3.07\%) and 26.7\% for whites (from $0.90 \%$ to $1.14 \%$ ). The VLBW black-white ratio decreased $2.5 \%$ (from 2.76 to 2.69 ) during the entire period but increased $12.7 \%$ during the 1980 s and decreased $12.4 \%$ during 1990-2000. This was due to a reversal in VLBW trends during each decade; during the 1980s VLBW increased
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$19.0 \%$ for blacks and $5.6 \%$ for whites, but during 1990-2000, VLBW increased 5.1 \% for blacks and $20.0 \%$ for whites.

Over time, BWSMRs varied by race of mother (Table 2). During 1983-1999, BWSMRs for LBW declined 36.9\% for all races (46.7\% for MLBW and 38.3\% for VLBW). Both whites and blacks had similar percentage declines in BWSMRs among infants whose birth weights were $\geq 2,500$ grams, and the black-white gap for this birth weight group increased slightly. However, for both MLBW and VLBW categories, whites had greater declines. White BWSMRs decreased 49.4\% for MLBW infants and $41.6 \%$ for VLBW infants, and black BWSMRs decreased $38.0 \%$ for MLBW infants and $28.4 \%$ for VLBW infants. The black-white ratio of BWSMRs increased $39.0 \%$ (from 1.03 to 1.43 ) for all LBW infants, increasing $22.4 \%$ (from 0.85 to 1.04 ) for MLBW infants and $22.3 \%$ (from 0.94 to 1.15 ) for VLBW infants. Accordingly, the historically lower BWSMRs among black MLBW and VLBW infants have disappeared; during the 1980s, BWSMRs were lower among VLBW black infants than among white infants, and during the 1990 s, BWSMRs were lower among VLBW white infants than among black infants. Similar reversals in BWSMRs are shown for MLBW infants in 1999.
Reported by: S Iyasu, MBBS, KTomashek, MD, Div of Reproductive Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion; W Barfield, MD, EIS Officer, CDC.
Editorial Note: The findings of this report indicate that although infant mortality has decreased among all races during the past two decades, the overall black-white gap for infant mortality has widened. The lack of progress in closing this gap is a consequence of 1) the persistence of a two- to threefold risk for LBW and VLBW among black infants compared with white infants, and 2) smaller reductions in BWSMRs over time among black VLBW births compared with white VLBW births. Although small reductions occurred in black-white disparities in LBW births and VLBW births during the 1990 s, these were attributed partly to greater increases in percentages of LBW and VLBW births among whites, rather than to large reductions in LBW and VLBW among blacks. If these trends persist, the national health objectives to eliminate racial disparities in LBW and VLBW births will not be met. In addition, increases in LBW and VLBW births will slow reductions in infant mortality.

Recent increases in LBW and VLBW births among whites probably are a result of increases in preterm delivery, changes in obstetrical practices, and induction of labor. During 19891996, the crude singleton preterm birth rate increased $8 \%$ among non-Hispanic whites but decreased $10 \%$ among nonHispanic blacks (4). In addition, increases in white LBW and VLBW births might be attributed partly to increases in multiple births from assisted reproductive therapies. During

TABLE 1. Infant mortality*, low birth weight ${ }^{\dagger}$, and very low birth weight ${ }^{\S}$ rates and ratios ${ }^{\circledR}$ among black and white births, by year United States, 1980-2000

|  | Infant mortality |  |  |  | Low birth weight |  |  |  | Very low birth weight |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Black | White | Ratio | All races | Black | White | Ratio | All races | Black | White | Ratio | All races |
| 1980 | 22.2 | 10.9 | 2.0 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 2.48 | 0.90 | 2.76 | 1.15 |
| 1981 | 20.8 | 10.3 | 2.0 | 11.9 | 12.7 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 2.52 | 0.91 | 2.74 | 1.16 |
| 1982 | 20.5 | 9.9 | 2.1 | 11.5 | 12.6 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 6.8 | 2.56 | 0.91 | 2.73 | 1.18 |
| 1983 | 20.0 | 9.6 | 2.1 | 11.2 | 12.8 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 2.60 | 0.92 | 2.74 | 1.19 |
| 1984 | 19.2 | 9.3 | 2.1 | 10.8 | 12.6 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 6.7 | 2.60 | 0.93 | 2.78 | 1.19 |
| 1985 | 19.0 | 9.2 | 2.1 | 10.6 | 12.6 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 2.71 | 0.93 | 2.72 | 1.21 |
| 1986 | 18.9 | 8.8 | 2.1 | 10.4 | 12.8 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 2.73 | 0.93 | 2.86 | 1.21 |
| 1987 | 18.8 | 8.5 | 2.2 | 10.1 | 13.0 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 6.9 | 2.79 | 0.94 | 2.90 | 1.24 |
| 1988 | 18.5 | 8.4 | 2.2 | 10.0 | 13.3 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 6.9 | 2.86 | 0.93 | 3.08 | 1.24 |
| 1989 | 18.6 | 8.1 | 2.3 | 9.8 | 13.5 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 7.0 | 2.95 | 0.95 | 3.11 | 1.28 |
| 1990 | 18.0 | 7.6 | 2.4 | 9.2 | 13.3 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 7.0 | 2.92 | 0.95 | 3.07 | 1.27 |
| 1991 | 17.6 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 8.9 | 13.6 | 5.8 | 2.3 | 7.1 | 2.96 | 0.96 | 3.08 | 1.29 |
| 1992 | 16.8 | 6.9 | 2.4 | 8.5 | 13.3 | 5.8 | 2.3 | 7.1 | 2.96 | 0.96 | 3.08 | 1.29 |
| 1993 | 16.5 | 6.8 | 2.4 | 8.4 | 13.3 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 7.2 | 2.96 | 1.01 | 2.93 | 1.33 |
| 1994 | 15.8 | 6.6 | 2.4 | 8.0 | 13.2 | 6.1 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 2.96 | 1.02 | 2.90 | 1.33 |
| 1995 | 15.1 | 6.3 | 2.4 | 7.6 | 13.1 | 6.2 | 2.1 | 7.3 | 2.97 | 1.06 | 2.80 | 1.35 |
| 1996 | 14.7 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 7.3 | 13.0 | 6.3 | 2.1 | 7.4 | 2.99 | 1.09 | 2.74 | 1.37 |
| 1997 | 14.2 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 7.2 | 13.0 | 6.5 | 2.0 | 7.5 | 3.04 | 1.13 | 2.69 | 1.42 |
| 1998 | 14.3 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 7.2 | 13.0 | 6.5 | 2.0 | 7.6 | 3.08 | 1.15 | 2.68 | 1.45 |
| 1999 | 14.6 | 5.8 | 2.5 | 7.1 | 13.1 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 7.6 | 3.14 | 1.15 | 2.73 | 1.45 |
| 2000** | 14.0 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 6.9 | 13.0 | 6.5 | 2.0 | 7.6 | 3.07 | 1.14 | 2.69 | 1.43 |

* Number of infants born alive who died within the first year of life per 1,000 live births.
$\dagger<2,500$ grams.
<1,500 grams.
${ }^{1}$ Ratio of black to white infant mortality.
** Preliminary data for infant mortality.
Source: National Center for Health Statistics. Mortality data based on race of infant as numerator and race of mother as denominator. Birth weight data based on birth certificate data and race of mother.

TABLE 2. Birth weight-specific mortality rates (BWSMRs)* for black and white low birth weight and very low birth weight infants United States, 1983-1999 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| Race ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Birth weight (grams) | Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1983 | 1985 | 1988 | 1990 | 1995 | 1999 |
| Black | $\geq 2,500$ | 6.8 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
|  | <2,500 | 95.7 | 99.4 | 91.3 | 87.0 | 79.2 | 78.1 |
|  | 1,500-2,499 | 26.6 | 25.1 | 23.2 | 22.0 | 18.2 | 16.5 |
|  | <1,500 | 378.4 | 370.5 | 333.0 | 316.0 | 285.6 | 270.8 |
|  | All weights | 19.2 | 18.6 | 17.8 | 16.9 | 14.6 | 14.0 |
| White | $\geq 2,500$ | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.3 |
|  | <2,500 | 93.3 | 88.2 | 78.7 | 71.2 | 59.7 | 54.8 |
|  | 1,500-2,499 | 31.4 | 29.0 | 25.8 | 23.5 | 18.3 | 15.9 |
|  | <1,500 | 404.0 | 385.1 | 347.2 | 307.7 | 260.6 | 236.0 |
|  | All weights | 9.3 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 6.3 | 5.8 |

*Per 1,000 live births (singleton and multiple) by birth-weight category.
${ }^{\dagger} 1983$ was the earliest year that BWSMRs were calculated; 1999 is the most recent year.
${ }^{\S}$ Race of mother.
Source: National Center for Health Statistics. Because BWSMRs are based on race of mother, they might differ slightly from total BWSMRs.

1980-1997, the rate of twin births among white mothers increased at a rate nearly twice that of black mothers (5). Although multiple gestations increase the risk for LBW and VLBW births, LBW rates are higher among singleton infants conceived with assisted reproductive technology (6). Nevertheless, blacks continue to have a two- to threefold higher risk than whites for LBW and VLBW. In 1991, >66\% of the black-white racial disparity in infant mortality occurred among VLBW infants ( 7 ). The specific causes for increased low birth
weight and preterm delivery might differ for blacks and whites. The etiology of black-white disparities in low birth weight is complex and is not explained entirely by demographic risk factors such as maternal age, education, or income (8). Factors that might contribute to the disparity include racial differences in maternal medical conditions, stress, lack of social support, bacterial vaginosis, previous preterm delivery, and maternal health experiences that might be unique to black women (9).

Of additional concern are disparate improvements in BWSMRs for blacks and whites over time. During the 1980s, BWSMRs for black VLBW infants were lower than for white VLBW infants. Although these differences are poorly understood, the relative advantage of lower BWSMRs among VLBW blacks has disappeared. Because BWSMRs are influenced by access to quality obstetric and neonatal care, particularly among VLBW births, differential access might exist for blacks compared with whites. Declines in neonatal mortality because of improvements in treatment for specific medical disorders (e.g., respiratory distress syndrome) have been greater for whites than for blacks (10).

The findings of this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, infant mortality was calculated by using the race of the infant as the numerator and the race of the mother as the denominator, and might differ slightly from total BWSMRs. This number might affect calculations of infant mortality in which the race of the mother and that of the infant are reported as different. Second, linked data from 1983 are the earliest linked birth infant death data by race of mother and were not available for 1980-1982 and 1992-1994. Finally, vital records data contain mostly demographic information and do not explain specific reasons for racial disparities in outcomes. Studies that examine quality of health-care delivery, specific maternal and neonatal interventions, and social and environmental determinants might identify the reasons for these differences.
Prevention strategies must focus on reducing LBW and VLBW births to eliminate racial disparities in infant mortality. During the last decade, these disparities have decreased, not because of reductions in LBW births among blacks but because of increases in LBW births among whites. Research should be aimed at preventing preterm delivery and associated factors (e.g., infection, medical complications of pregnancy, or poor prenatal care), and the promotion of effective and culturally sensitive intervention programs (9). Strategies to reduce black-white disparities also should address disparate reductions in specific medical conditions that lead to infant death.
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## Update: AIDS — United States, 2000

Since the implementation of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in the United States in 1996, the number of persons diagnosed with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) and the number of deaths among persons with AIDS have declined substantially (1); as a result, the number of persons living with AIDS has increased. This report describes changes in AIDS incidence, prevalence, and deaths among persons with AIDS during January 1996-December 2000. Surveillance data indicate a slowing of declines in new AIDS diagnoses, continued declines in deaths among persons with AIDS, and increases in the number of persons living with AIDS. These findings indicate that AIDS continues to place a burden on the health-care system in the United States and that access to medical and preventive services must be improved to reduce the public health impact of AIDS.
AIDS surveillance is conducted in all states, the District of Columbia, and U.S. territories; cases are reported to CDC by using a standard definition and form. In addition, most states conduct human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) surveillance (2). To estimate AIDS incidence and deaths of persons with AIDS through December 2000, CDC adjusted reported cases for reporting delays (3). The HIV-exposure categories for cases reported initially without risk were estimated from historical patterns of risk ascertainment and reclassification. AIDS prevalence was estimated by subtracting cumulative deaths from cumulative AIDS incidence (4).
AIDS incidence increased rapidly throughout the 1980s, peaked in the early 1990s, and then declined (Figure 1). The peak of new diagnoses in 1993 was associated with expansion of the AIDS surveillance case definition (5). In 1996, sharp declines in AIDS incidence were observed for the first time; during 1998-1999, declines in AIDS incidence began to level. During 1999-2000, essentially no change in AIDS incidence was observed; an estimated 40,907 new AIDS cases were diagnosed in 1999 and an estimated 41,113 in 2000. During 1996-2000, AIDS incidence declined in the West; declined
and then leveled in the South, Midwest, and U.S. territories; and declined and then increased in the Northeast. During the same period, AIDS incidence declined sharply and then slowed among whites and declined more slowly and then leveled among blacks, Hispanics, and Asians/ Pacific Islanders; during 1998-1000, incidence increased among American Indians/ Alaska Natives from 152 in 1998 to 183 in 2000 (4). AIDS incidence declined sharply and then slowed among men who have sex with men (MSM) and injection-drug users (IDUs); incidence continued to decline among MSM who also were IDUs. Among persons exposed through heterosexual contact, incidence declined slowly during 1996-1998 and then increased from 10,258 in 1999 to 11,136 in 2000 (Figure 2).
During 1996-1997, the estimated number of deaths among persons with AIDS declined 42\%; during 1998-2000, declines were smaller (5\% during 1998-1999 and $10 \%$ during 1999-2000) (Table). During 1996-2000, the number of deaths declined in the Northeast, West, and Midwest; during 1996-1999, deaths declined in the South and U.S. territories, and then leveled during 1999-2000. The number of deaths declined in all racial/ethnic groups and among MSM, male and female IDUs, and MSM/IDUs. During 1996-1998, the number of deaths among men and women with AIDS attributed to heterosexual contact declined and then leveled during 1999-2000 (Table).

AIDS prevalence has increased steadily over time; as of December 31, 2000, an estimated 337,731 persons in the United States were living with AIDS (Figure 1). Of these, an estimated 139,522 ( $41 \%$ ) were black, 127,838 (38\%) white, 65,991 (20\%) Hispanic, 2,841 (1\%) Asians/Pacific Islanders, and $1,180(<1 \%)$ American Indians/Alaska Natives. An estimated 129,333 (38\%) lived in the South, $99,482(29 \%)$ in the Northeast, $66,085(20 \%)$ in the West, 32,909 (10\%) in the Midwest, and 9,922 (3\%) in U.S. territories. Of the estimated 264,149 adult and adolescent (i.e., person aged $\geq 13$ years) males living with AIDS, approximately 151,325 ( $57 \%$ ) were MSM, 64,522 (24\%) were IDUs, and 20,528 (8\%) were MSM/IDUs; 23,333 (9\%) were reported without risk.

FIGURE 1. Estimated AIDS incidence* and deaths among persons with AIDS, by year of diagnosis or death and year-end prevalence - United States, 1981-2000


* Data were adjusted for delays in reporting of cases and deaths.

FIGURE 2. Estimated AIDS incidence* among men who have sex with men (MSM), injection drug-users (IDUs), MSM/IDUs, and persons exposed through heterosexual contact, by year of diagnosis - United States, 1981-2000


* Data were adjusted for delays in reporting; exposure categories were estimated for cases initially
exposed through heterosexual contact. Of the estimated 69,775 adult and adolescent women living with AIDS, 40,051 (57\%) were exposed through heterosexual contact, and 27,475 (39\%) were IDUs. An estimated 3,807 children aged <13 years were living with AIDS; of these, approximately $90 \%$ were infected perinatally.
Reported by: RM Klevens, JJ Neal, Div of HIVIAIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD and TB Prevention, CDC.

TABLE. Estimated number of deaths among persons with AIDS, by selected characteristics and percentage change - United States, 1996-2000

| Characteristic | 1996 | 1997 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% change } \\ \text { during } \\ \text { 1996-1997 } \end{gathered}$ | 1998 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% change } \\ \text { during } \\ \text { 1997-1998 } \end{gathered}$ | 1999 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% change } \\ \text { during } \\ \text { 1998-1999 } \end{gathered}$ | 2000 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% change } \\ \text { during } \\ 1999-2000 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 11,903 | 6,928 | -42 | 5,740 | -17 | 5,864 | 2 | 4,744 | -19 |
| Midwest | 4,030 | 2,294 | -43 | 1,932 | -16 | 1,669 | -14 | 1,539 | -8 |
| South | 13,594 | 8,299 | -39 | 7,216 | -13 | 6,850 | -5 | 6,809 | -1 |
| West | 7,092 | 3,670 | -48 | 3,168 | -14 | 2,890 | -9 | 2,347 | -19 |
| U.S. territories | 1,543 | 976 | -37 | 786 | -20 | 709 | -10 | 730 | 3 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 14,703 | 7,372 | -50 | 6,183 | -16 | 5,560 | -10 | 4,829 | -13 |
| Black | 15,983 | 10,363 | -35 | 8,977 | -13 | 8,858 | -1 | 8,156 | -8 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 7,041 | 4,174 | -41 | 3,469 | -17 | 3,358 | -3 | 3,026 | -10 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 293 | 155 | -47 | 127 | -18 | 119 | -6 | 96 | -19 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 131 | 94 | -28 | 79 | -16 | 75 | -5 | 57 | -24 |
| Exposure category§ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSM | 16,842 | 8,692 | -48 | 7,136 | -18 | 6,440 | -10 | 5,641 | -12 |
| M-IDUs | 8,648 | 5,421 | -37 | 4,682 | -14 | 4,409 | -6 | 3,847 | -13 |
| MSM/IDUs | 2,591 | 1,460 | -44 | 1,281 | -12 | 1,254 | -2 | 1,181 | -6 |
| M-HET | 2,137 | 1,494 | -30 | 1,263 | -16 | 1,311 | 4 | 1,279 | -2 |
| Male subtotal ${ }^{1 /}$ | 30,969 | 17,477 | -44 | 14,638 | -16 | 13,687 | -7 | 12,186 | -11 |
| F-IDUs | 3,301 | 2,160 | -35 | 1,954 | -10 | 2,027 | 4 | 1,823 | -10 |
| F-HET | 3,453 | 2,306 | -33 | 2,073 | -10 | 2,099 | 1 | 2,025 | -4 |
| Female subtotal ${ }^{11}$ | 7,192 | 4,690 | -35 | 4,204 | -10 | 4,294 | 2 | 3,983 | -7 |
| Pediatric | 430 | 219 | -49 | 125 | -43 | 123 | -2 | 76 | -38 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | 38,161 | 22,167 | -42 | 18,842 | -15 | 17,982 | -5 | 16,169 | -10 |

* Northeast=Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont; Midwest=Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin; South=Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia; West=Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.
${ }_{\S}^{\dagger}$ Persons of Hispanic origin might be of any race.
${ }^{\S}$ MSM=men who have sex with men; IDUs=injection-drug users; M-IDUs=male IDUs; M-HET=male heterosexuals; F-IDUs=female IDUs; F-HET=female ${ }_{q}$ heterosexuals.
${ }^{\mathbb{1}}$ Includes persons for whom data on sex, race/ethnicity, region, or exposure category are missing.

Editorial Note: During 1996-2000, AIDS incidence declined or leveled in most geographic regions and among most racial/ ethnic groups and HIV-exposure categories; incidence increased slightly among persons exposed heterosexually and among persons living in the Northeast (4). Although the number of deaths among persons with AIDS declined during 1996-2000, the magnitude of decline varied by region and exposure category; the number of deaths declined among persons with AIDS in all racial/ethnic groups.
Declines in AIDS incidence and deaths are associated primarily with the widespread use of HAART, which slows progression of HIV infection to AIDS and of AIDS to death $(1,6)$. Because effective therapy increases AIDS-free survival rates among persons living with HIV, new AIDS diagnoses increasingly represent persons who have failed HAART or have limited access to or use of HIV testing or of appropriate medical care and social services. Monitoring the entire spectrum of HIV disease, including the number of new HIV infections, progression of HIV infection to AIDS, and deaths among persons with AIDS, is critical for evaluating prevention efforts aimed at reducing the number of new HIV infections
and preventing morbidity and mortality among persons living with HIV.
As of December 2000, an estimated 340,000 persons in the United States were living with AIDS. Increasing proportions of persons living with AIDS are black or Hispanic, female, residents of the South, and persons exposed to HIV through heterosexual contact. This finding is consistent with other studies that indicate HIV and AIDS affect disproportionately subgroups that traditionally have had limited access to medical and preventive services because of poverty and social disadvantage (1). This is particularly important for interpreting trends in AIDS because access to high-quality medical services facilitates early treatment of HIV infection and can delay the onset of AIDS. Many persons in historically disadvantaged groups might lack access to or not seek adequate health-care services. An estimated one fourth of persons living with HIV in the United States are not aware of their infection and their need for services, and one third of persons who are aware of their infection are not receiving care (7). Efforts to meet the preventive service and health-care needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS are imperative to improving their
quality of life and preventing further transmission of HIV. For the United States to meet the national goal of reducing new HIV infections by half by 2005 ( 8 ), improved access to and use of HIV testing and other preventive services, access to care and comprehensive services, and improvements in HIV therapies (1) are required.
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## Diagnosis and Reporting of HIV and AIDS in States with HIV/AIDS Surveillance - United States, 1994-2000

Before advances in therapy, public health surveillance of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) provided reliable population-based information that represented trends in the incidence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. However, since 1996, highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has prolonged substantially the interval between the diagnosis of HIV infection and the development of AIDS, which has diminished the capacity of AIDS surveillance alone to monitor the underlying patterns of HIV transmission (1). As a result, CDC recommends that states conduct HIV-infection reporting in addition to AIDS surveillance (2). This report describes trends in newly diagnosed cases of HIV infection in 25 states* that conducted name-based HIV/AIDS

[^0]surveillance during 1994-2000 (3). The findings indicate that the number of diagnosed HIV infections declined in these states during 1994-1997 and remained constant during 19982000. HIV/AIDS surveillance should be conducted by all states to fully characterize persons infected with HIV who need treatment and prevention services.
Since 1994, CDC has supported uniform HIV surveillance for all 50 states and territories. The 25 states included in this analysis have collected HIV surveillance data since 1994 and submitted case reports to CDC after removal of personal identifiers (3). Cases were divided into two categories: persons in whom HIV infection was diagnosed without an AIDS diagnosis and persons in whom HIV infection was diagnosed when AIDS was diagnosed (4). Data with or without AIDS were analyzed by the earliest date of diagnosis of HIV for 19942000. HIV/AIDS data were adjusted for delays in reporting of cases and deaths. Estimates for the mode of exposure were adjusted for anticipated reclassification of cases reported originally without this information (5).
During 1994-2000, HIV infection was diagnosed in 128,813 persons in the 25 states. Of these, the initial diagnosis was HIV infection with AIDS in 33,144 (26\%) and HIV infection without AIDS in 95,699 (74\%) (Table). The number of persons in whom HIV infection without AIDS was the initial diagnosis declined $21 \%$ (from 15,945 in 1994 to 12,612 in 2000), and the number of persons in whom HIV infection with AIDS was the initial diagnosis declined $31 \%$ (from 5,760 in 1994 to 3,987 in 2000). However, during 1998-2000, the number of diagnosed cases of HIV infection with and without AIDS remained constant (Table).
During 1994-2000, the proportion of persons in whom HIV infection with AIDS was newly diagnosed remained relatively stable ( $27 \%$ in 1994 and $24 \%$ in 2000). However, the proportion of HIV-infected persons who also had AIDS varied by demographic subgroup and mode of exposure. Persons with AIDS at the time of initial HIV diagnosis were more likely to be male and older (Table). Asians/Pacific Islanders (34\%), non-Hispanic whites (29\%), and Hispanics (29\%) were more likely than non-Hispanic blacks ( $23 \%$ ) and American Indians/Alaska Natives (22\%) to have AIDS at the time of initial HIV diagnosis. Of the 128,813 HIV infections with and without AIDS, the majority of newly diagnosed HIV infections were among non-Hispanic blacks (70,990 [55\%]). When evaluated by mode of exposure, men who have sex with men (MSM) accounted for the largest proportion (15,694 [47\%]) of persons with AIDS at the time of initial HIV diagnosis, followed by persons exposed through injection-drug use (IDU) (7,913 [24\%]).
Trends in the number of persons who had HIV infection newly diagnosed with and without AIDS varied substantially

TABLE. Number and percentage of persons in whom HIV infection was diagnosed with and without AIDS, by selected characteristics - 25 states* with HIV reporting, 1994-2000

| Characteristic | HIV diagnosed without AIDS |  | HIV diagnosed with AIDS ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  | Total |  | \% diagnosed with AIDS ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | (\%) | No. | (\%) | No. | (\%) |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 68,120 | (71) | 26,687 | (81) | 94,807 | ( 74) | 28 |
| Female | 27,549 | (29) | 6,457 | (19) | 34,006 | ( 26) | 19 |
| Age group (yrs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <13 | 1,073 | ( 1) | 224 | ( 1) | 1,297 | ( 1) | 17 |
| 13-24 | 13,462 | (14) | 1,175 | ( 4) | 14,637 | ( 11) | 8 |
| 25-34 | 35,853 | (38) | 10,023 | (30) | 45,876 | ( 36) | 29 |
| 35-44 | 30,752 | (32) | 13,325 | (40) | 44,077 | ( 34) | 30 |
| 45-54 | 11,043 | (12) | 5,971 | (18) | 17,014 | (13) | 35 |
| 55-64 | 2,693 | ( 3) | 1,798 | ( 5) | 4,491 | ( 4) | 40 |
| $\geq 65$ | 792 | ( 1) | 629 | ( 2) | 1,421 | ( 1) | 44 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, non-Hispanic | 32,378 | (34) | 13,469 | (41) | 45,847 | ( 36) | 29 |
| Black, non-Hispanic | 54,590 | (57) | 16,400 | (50) | 70,990 | ( 55) | 23 |
| Hispanic§ | 6,837 | ( 7) | 2,849 | ( 9) | 9,686 | ( 8) | 29 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 411 | ( 1) | 212 | ( 1) | 623 | ( <1) | 34 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 654 | ( 1) | 188 | ( 1) | 842 | ( 1) | 22 |
| Unknown | 799 | ( 1) | 27 | (<1) | 826 | ( 1) | 3 |
| Exposure category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men who have sex with men | 39,020 | (41) | 15,694 | (47) | 54,714 | ( 43) | 29 |
| Injection-drug use | 21,514 | (23) | 7,913 | (24) | 29,427 | ( 23) | 27 |
| Men who have sex with men and inject drugs | 4,666 | ( 5) | 1,540 | ( 5) | 6,206 | ( 5) | 25 |
| Heterosexual contact | 28,223 | (30) | 7,085 | (21) | 35,308 | ( 27) | 20 |
| Other | 2,246 | ( 2) | 912 | ( 3) | 3,158 | ( 3) | 29 |
| Year of diagnosis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 15,945 | (17) | 5,760 | (17) | 21,705 | ( 17) | 27 |
| 1995 | 15,016 | (16) | 5,724 | (17) | 20,740 | ( 16) | 28 |
| 1996 | 14,102 | (15) | 5,131 | (16) | 19,232 | ( 15) | 27 |
| 1997 | 13,564 | (14) | 4,650 | (14) | 18,214 | ( 14) | 26 |
| 1998 | 12,539 | (13) | 4,060 | (12) | 16,599 | ( 13) | 25 |
| 1999 | 11,892 | (12) | 3,832 | (12) | 15,725 | (12) | 24 |
| 2000 | 12,612 | (13) | 3,987 | (12) | 16,598 | ( 13) | 24 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 95,669 | (74) | 33,144 | (26) | 128,813 | (100) | 26 |

* Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Idaho, Indiana, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. All estimates are $\dagger$ adjusted for reporting delays and no reported mode of exposure.
${ }^{\dagger}$ AIDS was diagnosed within 1 calendar month of HIV diagnosis.
${ }^{\S}$ Persons of Hispanic origin might be of any race.
${ }^{1}$ Includes persons for whom data on sex, age, race/ethnicity, region, or vital status are missing.
by age at diagnosis and mode of exposure (Figures 1 and 2). The largest decline in cases occurred among persons aged 25-44 years (from 15,809 in 1994 to 10,826 in 2000).

The number of newly diagnosed HIV infections with and without AIDS attributed to MSM and injection-drug use (IDU) declined $22 \%$ and $44 \%$, respectively (Figure 2). However, the number of diagnosed infections attributed to heterosexual contact increased $9 \%$ (from 4,905 in 1994 to 5,325 in 2000).
Reported by: MT McKenna, MD, RM Klevens, DDS, PL Fleming, PhD, JJ Neal, PhD, Div of HIVIAIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD and TB Prevention, CDC.

Editorial Note: Surveillance data on HIV and AIDS facilitates a more complete assessment of HIV transmission patterns. Surveillance data on HIV diagnoses with and without AIDS from these 25 states indicate that during 1994-2000, the number of persons in whom HIV infection was newly diagnosed declined during 1994-1997 and then stabilized during 1998-2000. The majority of the decline occurred among persons aged 25-44 years. Persons in this age group were affected more by the epidemic during the 1980s and 1990s; accordingly, these decreases probably reflect the declines from the peak incidence during the late 1980s (1). The stabilization of case counts in every age group during

FIGURE 1. Estimated number of HIV diagnoses,* by age group and year of diagnosis - 25 states, ${ }^{\dagger}$ 1994-2000

*Includes all new HIV diagnoses with and without AIDS. Adjusted for reporting delays and redistribution of exposure for cases reported without information on mode of exposure.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Idaho, Indiana, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

FIGURE 2. Estimated number of HIV diagnoses* in men who have sex with men (MSM), injection-drug users (IDUs), MSM/ IDUs and persons exposed through heterosexual contact, by year of diagnosis - 25 states, ${ }^{\dagger}$ 1994-2000

*Includes all new HIV diagnoses with and without AIDS. Adjusted for reporting delays and redistribution of exposure for cases reported without information on mode of exposure.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Idaho, Indiana, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

1999-2000 suggests that earlier declines in newly diagnosed HIV infections have abated. These trends should continue to be monitored, and surveillance systems that collect information systematically on newly diagnosed HIV infections should be expanded to other states to characterize persons needing treatment and prevention services (3).

Trends in the number of HIV diagnoses can be influenced by changes in testing patterns for HIV and increases or decreases in HIV transmission. Data from national surveys and publicly funded counseling and testing sites indicate that testing rates have remained relatively unchanged during the late 1990s (2,6). HIV-infection surveillance will be facilitated by new technologies that can identify patients infected recently (i.e., $\leq 6$ months) (7). CDC is initiating activities to integrate these new laboratory technologies into routine HIVinfection surveillance.
A total of 33 states have name-based HIV-infection reporting. An additional 14 states have instituted non-name or codebased reporting of diagnosed HIV infections. Variable combinations of information about the patient (e.g., portions of the name, birth date, sex, and race) are used to create the codes so reports about the patient can be linked to avoid duplicate reporting and to follow clinical outcomes over time. Systems that use name-based reporting of AIDS are at least $90 \%$ complete (8). On the basis of performance guidelines for HIV-infection reporting, CDC is assisting states to assess the completeness, timeliness, validity, and usefulness of nameand code-based reporting systems (2).
The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, a limited number of states were included in this analysis; $24 \%$ of all AIDS cases diagnosed in the United States during 1994-2000 occurred in the 25 states. Second, the reporting on mode of exposure has become more incomplete during the surveillance period; therefore, analysis of mode of exposure requires greater statistical adjustment. Verification of the validity of these modeled estimates can be achieved by sampling a subset of representative cases for intensive followup. Third, HIV reporting might be incomplete, and duplicate reporting of persons in whom HIV infection was first diagnosed in one state and who are then diagnosed with AIDS in another state might be occurring.
The proportion of HIV-infected persons who had HIV diagnosed at time of AIDS diagnosis remained relatively constant in these states. An estimated $25 \%(180,000-280,000$ persons) of HIV-infected persons in the United States are not aware of their serostatus (9). The majority of infections were diagnosed in non-Hispanic blacks, and a substantial number were reported in Hispanics. HIV affects disproportionately populations that traditionally have limited access to or use of medical and preventive services because of socioeconomic disadvantage and other factors ( 1 ). If HIV-testing strategies had effectively penetrated into high-risk populations (e.g., at-risk racial/ethnic minorities and young MSM) during this surveillance period, a substantially smaller proportion of the HIV diagnoses would have been identified with AIDS. A CDC
prevention strategy, Serostatus Approach to Fighting the Epidemic (SAFE), encourages counseling and testing for persons who are at high risk for HIV infection, links recently diagnosed HIV-infected persons to appropriate care, and provides persons with prevention services to facilitate the adoption of less risky behaviors (10). Greater emphasis should be placed on counseling and testing efforts in communities in which racial/ethnic minorities are at risk for HIV.
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## Weekly Update: West Nile Virus Activity - United States, July 3-9, 2002

This report summarizes West Nile virus (WNV) surveillance data reported to CDC through ArboNET and verified by states and other jurisdictions as of July 9, 2002.

During the reporting week of July 3-9, the first verified human case of WNV encephalitis in 2002 was reported from Louisiana in a man aged 78 years. During the same period,

WNV infections were reported in 31 dead crows, 75 other dead birds, seven sentinel chicken flocks, four horses, one wild bird that was caught and released, and one mosquito pool.

During 2002, in addition to the one human case of WNV encephalitis, a total of 116 dead crows and 151 other dead birds with WNV infection were reported from 18 states and the District of Columbia (Figure); 14 WNV infections in horses were reported from three states (Florida, Kentucky, and Louisiana). During 2002, WNV seroconversions were reported in 10 sentinel chicken flocks from Florida; WNV seropositivity was reported from two states (Indiana and Louisiana) in two wild birds that were caught and released; and seven WNV-positive mosquito pools were reported from three states (Illinois, New Jersey, and Ohio).

Additional information about WNV activity is available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/westnile/index.htm and http://cindi.usgs.gov/hazard/event/west_nile/west_nile.html.

FIGURE. Areas reporting West Nile virus (WNV) activity United States, 2002*


* As of July 9, 2002.


## Notice to Readers

## Resumption of Routine Schedule for Diphtheria and Tetanus Toxoids and

 Acellular Pertussis Vaccine and for Measles, Mumps, and Rubella VaccineSupplies of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis ( DTaP ) vaccine and measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine in the United States have become sufficient to permit the resumption of the routine schedule for DTaP and MMR use as recommended by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) ( $1-3$ ). However, healthcare providers should be advised that, for the next 2 months,
supply might not be adequate for the initiation of ambitious recall or special initiative programs. With increases in national inventory, more comprehensive recall programs can be established. Child care and school attendance provisions requiring children to receive a DTaP booster and a second dose of MMR vaccine at age 4-6 years can be reinstituted.

## DTaP Vaccine

Three DTaP vaccines are distributed currently in the United States: Tripedia ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Aventis Pasteur, Swiftwater, Pennsylvania), Infanrix ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (GlaxoSmithKline, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania), and DAPTACEL ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (Aventis Pasteur, Toronto, Ontario). The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved DAPTACEL ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ for use in the United States on May 14, 2002 (4).
During the DTaP vaccine shortage beginning in 2000 (5), ACIP recommended that health-care providers vaccinate infants with the initial 3 DTaP doses, if they did not have insufficient supply of DTaP to vaccinate all children in their practice. ACIP also recommended deferral of the fourth and fifth DTaP doses if supplies were still inadequate (G). Supplies are now adequate to resume the full 5 -dose schedule for DTaP vaccine ( 1,3 ).

## MMR Vaccine

A temporary shortage of MMR vaccine in the United States resulted from a voluntary interruption of manufacturing operations of Merck \& Co., Inc., the only manufacturer of this vaccine in the United States ( 7 ). During the vaccine shortage, ACIP recommended deferral of the second dose of MMR vaccine at age $4-6$ years if health-care providers were unable to obtain sufficient amounts of vaccine. The first dose at age 12-15 months was not to be delayed because of the severity of measles in young children ( 7 ). Supplies are now adequate to resume the second dose of MMR vaccine $(2,3)$.

## Vaccine Supply

Health-care providers should review the vaccination status of their patients and administer DTaP and MMR vaccines, as appropriate. For at least the next 2 months, providers should order DTaP and MMR vaccine in amounts sufficient for a $\leq 30$-day supply to ensure that current supplies can meet requests. Recall or special initiative programs can be instituted when DTaP and MMR vaccine supply improves further but should be deferred during this transition period. However, if children who need these vaccines seek medical care for other reasons, they should be administered vaccine provided no contraindications exist. Furthermore, vaccine should be offered to children who need vaccination and whose parents requested vaccination. CDC will continue to monitor DTaP and MMR vaccine supply and, if necessary, allocate vaccine. Updates regarding vaccine supply and shortages can be found at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/.
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FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals ending July 6, 2002, with historical data

 is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

TABLE I. Summary of provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending July 6, 2002 (27th Week)*

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Encephalitis: West Nile ${ }^{\dagger}$ <br> Hansen disease (leprosy) ${ }^{\dagger}$ <br> Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome ${ }^{\dagger}$ <br> Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax Botulism: | foodborne <br> infant <br> other (wound \& unspecified) | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | - |
|  |  | 8 | 10 |  | 39 | 39 |
|  |  | 32 | 51 |  | 7 | 5 |
|  |  | 9 | 6 |  | 69 | 58 |
| Brucellosis ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  | 38 | 62 | HIV infection, pediatric ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 31 | 91 |
| Chancroid |  | 29 | 22 | Plague | - | 2 |
| Cholera |  | 5 | 2 | Poliomyelitis, paralytic | - | - |
| Cyclosporiasis ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  | 76 | 61 | Psittacosis ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 12 | 7 |
| Diphtheria |  | - | 1 | Q fever ${ }^{+}$ | 17 | 11 |
| Ehrlichiosis: | human granulocytic (HGE) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 86 | 49 | Rabies, human | 1 | - |
|  | human monocytic (HME) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 44 | 41 | Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 38 | 52 |
|  | other and unspecified | 2 | 2 | Tetanus | 7 | 22 |
| Encephalitis: | California serogroup viral ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 6 | 4 | Toxic-shock syndrome | 62 | 68 |
|  | eastern equine ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 1 | - | Trichinosis | 9 | 10 |
|  | Powassan ${ }^{\dagger}$ | - | - | Tularemia ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 22 | 49 |
|  | St. Louis ${ }^{\dagger}$ western equine | - | - | Yellow fever | 1 | - |

[^1]TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 6, 2002, and July 7, 2001 $\underline{(27 t h \text { Week)* }}$

| Reporting Area | AIDS |  | Chlamydia ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  | Cryptosporidiosis |  | Escherichia coli |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0157:H7 | Shiga Toxin Positive, Serogroup non-0157 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002^{\text {B }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 16,795 | 20,471 | 366,921 | 388,713 |  |  | 998 | 1,009 | 874 | 967 | 35 | 43 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 637 | 731 | 13,287 | 11,200 | 56 | 47 | 68 | 103 | 6 | 19 |
| Maine | 19 | 20 | 742 | 636 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 12 | - | - |
| N.H. | 17 | 15 | 788 | 688 | 13 | 2 | 6 | 12 | - | 3 |
| Vt. | 6 | 10 | 332 | 309 | 13 | 13 | 2 | 4 | - | - |
| Mass. | 318 | 401 | 5,470 | 4,300 | 14 | 22 | 33 | 56 | 2 | 4 |
| R.I. | 50 | 51 | 1,427 | 1,432 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 5 | - | - |
| Conn. | 227 | 234 | 4,528 | 3,835 | 5 | 4 | 19 | 14 | 4 | 12 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 3,498 | 5,435 | 39,080 | 41,774 | 113 | 138 | 63 | 71 | - | - |
| Upstate N.Y. | 259 | 807 | 8,331 | 6,649 | 34 | 40 | 51 | 44 | - | - |
| N.Y. City | 1,838 | 3,022 | 14,691 | 15,351 | 53 | 58 | 3 | 6 | - | - |
| N.J. | 668 | 920 | 3,385 | 6,959 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 21 | - | - |
| Pa . | 733 | 686 | 12,673 | 12,815 | 19 | 33 | N | N | - | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 1,779 | 1,406 | 57,208 | 72,149 | 253 | 341 | 219 | 223 |  | 3 |
| Ohio | 316 | 234 | 11,192 | 18,678 | 67 | 55 | 46 | 55 | 1 | 2 |
| Ind. | 207 | 163 | 8,339 | 8,018 | 21 | 30 | 20 | 31 | - | - |
| III. | 815 | 670 | 15,655 | 21,527 | 38 | 38 | 65 | 59 | - | - |
| Mich. | 358 | 261 | 15,939 | 15,582 | 52 | 73 | 35 | 25 | - | 1 |
| Wis. | 83 | 78 | 6,083 | 8,344 | 75 | 145 | 53 | 53 | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 270 | 449 | 18,315 | 19,940 | 112 | 91 | 128 | 113 | 4 | 2 |
| Minn. | 56 | 81 | 4,831 | 4,053 | 51 | 32 | 43 | 42 | 3 | - |
| Iowa | 42 | 47 | 629 | 2,437 | 11 | 23 | 30 | 17 | - | - |
| Mo. | 117 | 209 | 7,359 | 7,067 | 16 | 18 | 23 | 21 | N | N |
| N. Dak. | - | 1 | 469 | 533 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | - | - |
| S. Dak. | 2 | 18 | 1,126 | 914 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| Nebr. | 23 | 47 | 589 | 1,783 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 15 | - | 1 |
| Kans. | 30 | 46 | 3,312 | 3,153 | 7 | - | 7 | 10 | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 5,478 | 6,116 | 72,268 | 74,532 | 161 | 162 | 94 | 84 | 15 | 12 |
| Del. | 96 | 115 | 1,363 | 1,491 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | - |
| Md. | 822 | 753 | 7,540 | 7,829 | 8 | 26 | 3 | 5 | - | - |
| D.C. | 266 | 460 | 1,694 | 1,750 | 3 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Va . | 350 | 541 | 8,230 | 8,987 | 4 | 9 | 24 | 21 | 1 | 2 |
| W. Va. | 41 | 47 | 1,168 | 1,214 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - |
| N.C. | 418 | 379 | 12,175 | 11,284 | 21 | 16 | 17 | 26 | - | - |
| S.C. | 433 | 338 | 6,642 | 8,132 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Ga. | 922 | 751 | 13,764 | 15,629 | 80 | 64 | 31 | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| Fla. | 2,130 | 2,732 | 19,692 | 18,216 | 40 | 35 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 4 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 768 | 954 | 25,736 | 25,717 | 71 | 19 | 42 | 49 | - | - |
| Ky. | 122 | 201 | 4,421 | 4,552 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 22 | - | - |
| Tenn. | 341 | 271 | 8,139 | 7,567 | 38 | 3 | 19 | 18 | - | - |
| Ala. | 144 | 224 | 7,932 | 7,234 | 28 | 6 | 5 | 6 | - | - |
| Miss. | 161 | 258 | 5,244 | 6,364 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 3 | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 1,834 | 2,025 | 53,197 | 55,126 | 13 | 28 | 10 | 109 | - | - |
| Ark. | 123 | 104 | 3,177 | 3,982 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | - | - |
| La. | 442 | 459 | 9,557 | 9,163 | 4 | 7 | , | 3 | - | - |
| Okla. | 95 | 106 | 4,979 | 5,606 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 13 | - | - |
| Tex. | 1,174 | 1,356 | 35,484 | 36,375 | - | 12 | - | 89 | - | - |
| MOUNTAIN | 565 | 713 | 23,705 | 22,660 | 72 | 57 | 92 | 91 | 5 | 3 |
| Mont. | 6 | 12 | 1,107 | 1,119 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 6 | - | - |
| Idaho | 10 | 15 | 1,294 | 909 | 17 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 2 |
| Wyo. | 2 | 1 | 453 | 412 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | - |
| Colo. | 108 | 153 | 7,096 | 6,304 | 19 | 17 | 31 | 34 | 1 | 1 |
| N. Mex. | 34 | 59 | 3,234 | 3,089 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 1 | - |
| Ariz. | 247 | 281 | 7,334 | 7,480 | 10 | 3 | 11 | 11 | - | - |
| Utah | 30 | 62 | 1,137 | 782 | 6 | 10 | 19 | 11 | - | - |
| Nev. | 128 | 130 | 2,050 | 2,565 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 6 | - | - |
| PACIFIC | 1,966 | 2,642 | 64,125 | 65,615 | 147 | 126 | 158 | 124 | 4 | 4 |
| Wash. | 235 | 285 | 7,251 | 7,065 | 24 | U | 16 | 29 | - | - |
| Oreg. | 181 | 110 | 3,408 | 3,684 | 21 | 14 | 44 | 21 | 4 | 4 |
| Calif. | 1,509 | 2,205 | 49,583 | 51,447 | 101 | 109 | 74 | 65 | - | - |
| Alaska | 9 | 14 | 1,775 | 1,401 | 1 | $\overline{-}$ | 4 | 2 | - | - |
| Hawaii | 32 | 28 | 2,108 | 2,018 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 7 | - | - |
| Guam | 2 | 8 | - | 213 | - | - | N | N | - | - |
| P.R. | 503 | 578 | 1,576 | 1,454 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| V.I. | 57 | 2 | 30 | 89 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Amer. Samoa | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| C.N.M.I. | 2 | U | 110 | U | - | U | - | U | - | U |

N : Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

* Incidence data for reporting year 2001 and 2002 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).
${ }^{+}$Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by C. trachomatis.
§ Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention - Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update May 26, 2002.

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 6, 2002, and July 7, 2001 $\underline{(27 t h \text { Week)* }}$

| Reporting Area | Escherichia coli |  | Giardiasis <br> Cum. <br> 2002 | Gonorrhea |  | Haemophilus influenzae, Invasive |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | All Ages, All Serotypes |  | Age < 5 Years |  |
|  | Shiga Toxin Positive, Not Serogrouped |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 15 | 4 | 6,854 | 154,660 | 175,834 | 848 | 857 | 12 | 15 |
| NEW ENGLAND | - | 1 | 723 | 3,820 | 3,010 | 61 | 54 | - | 1 |
| Maine | - | - | 80 | 54 | 70 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| N.H. | - | - | 24 | 62 | 78 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Vt. | - | 1 | 54 | 45 | 39 | 5 | 2 | - | - |
| Mass. | - | - | 346 | 1,699 | 1,275 | 28 | 33 | - | 1 |
| R.I. | - | - | 61 | 462 | 360 | 9 | 2 | - | - |
| Conn. | - | - | 158 | 1,498 | 1,188 | 13 | 16 | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | - | - | 1,533 | 17,965 | 19,367 | 152 | 121 | 3 | 3 |
| Upstate N.Y. | - | - | 516 | 4,261 | 4,100 | 68 | 39 | 2 | - |
| N.Y. City | - | - | 620 | 6,011 | 6,357 | 34 | 33 | . | - |
| N.J. | - | - | 144 | 2,829 | 2,671 | 31 | 28 | - | - |
| Pa . | - | - | 253 | 4,864 | 6,239 | 19 | 21 | 1 | 3 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 7 | 2 | 1,273 | 26,993 | 36,966 | 140 | 149 | 2 | 1 |
| Ohio | 7 | 2 | 393 | 6,106 | 10,034 | 54 | 47 | - | 1 |
| Ind. | - | - | - | 3,622 | 3,326 | 28 | 26 | 1 | - |
| III. | - | - | 296 | 8,478 | 11,592 | 43 | 50 | - | - |
| Mich. | - | - | 389 | 6,900 | 9,091 | 9 | 8 | 1 | - |
| Wis. | - | - | 195 | 1,887 | 2,923 | 6 | 18 | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | - | - | 810 | 7,486 | 8,173 | 29 | 36 | - | 1 |
| Minn. | - | - | 294 | 1,418 | 1,269 | 18 | 18 | - | - |
| Iowa | - | - | 113 | 170 | 595 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mo. | N | N | 234 | 4,266 | 4,173 | 8 | 12 | - | - |
| N. Dak. | , | N | 11 | 27 | 18 | - | 4 | - | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | 32 | 133 | 143 | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | - | - | 52 | 137 | 618 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Kans. | - | - | 74 | 1,335 | 1,357 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | - | - | 1,199 | 41,975 | 45,637 | 210 | 215 | 1 | 1 |
| Del. | - | - | 23 | 830 | 846 | - | - | - | - |
| Md. | - | - | 47 | 4,162 | 4,464 | 48 | 56 | 1 | - |
| D.C. | - | - | 20 | 1,408 | 1,507 | - | - | - | - |
| Va. | - | - | 105 | 5,190 | 4,836 | 15 | 18 | - | - |
| W. Va. | - | - | 20 | 494 | 319 | 6 | 8 | - | 1 |
| N.C. | - | - |  | 8,178 | 8,785 | 21 | 30 | - | - |
| S.C. | - | - | 30 | 3,902 | 6,096 | 11 | 4 | - | - |
| Ga. | - | - | 465 | 7,519 | 8,467 | 64 | 57 | - | - |
| Fla. | - | - | 489 | 10,292 | 10,317 | 45 | 42 | - | - |
| E.S. CENTRAL | - | 1 | 157 | 14,591 | 16,444 | 30 | 56 | 1 | - |
| Ky. | - | 1 | - | 1,751 | 1,754 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| Tenn. | - | - | 70 | 4,601 | 4,961 | 15 | 27 | - | - |
| Ala. | - | - | 87 | 5,103 | 5,631 | 8 | 25 | 1 | - |
| Miss. | - | - | - | 3,136 | 4,098 | 5 | 2 | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | - | - | 76 | 23,366 | 26,715 | 33 | 32 | 2 | 1 |
| Ark. | - | - | 63 | 1,776 | 2,497 | 1 | - | - | - |
| La. | - | - | 1 | 5,931 | 6,387 | 2 | 6 | - | - |
| Okla. | - | - | 12 | 2,158 | 2,530 | 28 | 25 | - | - |
| Tex. | - | - | . | 13,501 | 15,301 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| MOUNTAIN | 8 | - | 629 | 4,961 | 5,298 | 115 | 96 | 2 | 3 |
| Mont. |  | - | 34 | 54 | 67 |  |  | - | - |
| Idaho | - | - | 42 | 40 | 41 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Wyo. |  | - | 11 | 31 | 32 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 8 | - | 210 | 1,704 | 1,614 | 21 | 26 | - | - |
| N. Mex. | - | - | 72 | 623 | 494 | 18 | 14 |  | - |
| Ariz. | - | - | 84 | 1,785 | 2,073 | 54 | 40 | 1 | 1 |
| Utah | - | - | 111 | 107 | 66 | 14 | 5 | , | - |
| Nev. | - | - | 65 | 617 | 911 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 2 |
| PACIFIC | - | - | 454 | 13,503 | 14,224 | 78 | 98 | 1 | 4 |
| Wash. | - | - | 173 | 1,443 | 1,505 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Oreg. | - | - | 187 | 412 | 583 | 38 | 30 | - | - |
| Calif. | - | - | - | 11,044 | 11,615 | 12 | 44 | - | 4 |
| Alaska | - | - | 45 | 303 | 193 | 1 | 3 | - | - |
| Hawaii | - | - | 49 | 301 | 328 | 25 | 20 | - | - |
| Guam | - | - | - | - | 24 | - | - | - | - |
| P.R. | - | - | 7 | 237 | 336 | - | 1 | - | - |
| V.I. | - | - | - | 17 | 14 | - | , | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| C.N.M.I. | U | U | U | 10 | U | U | U | U | U |

N: Not notifiable. U:Unavailable. - : No reported cases.

* Incidence data for reporting year 2001 and 2002 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 6, 2002, and July 7, 2001 $\underline{(27 t h \text { Week)* }}$

| Reporting Area | Haemophilus influenzae, Invasive |  |  |  | Hepatitis (Viral, Acute), By Type |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age <5 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non-Serotype B |  | Unknown Serotype |  | A |  | B |  | C; Non-A, Non-B |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 134 | 146 | 11 | 16 | 4,278 | 4,582 | 3,206 | 3,528 | 1,662 | 2,155 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 7 | 10 | - | - | 178 | 248 | 107 | 68 | 18 | 26 |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | - | - |
| N.H. | - | - | - | - | 10 | 6 | 10 | 10 | - | - |
| Vt. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 6 |
| Mass. | 4 | 7 | - | - | 81 | 95 | 56 | 12 | 7 | 20 |
| R.I. | - | - | - | - | 25 | 11 | 17 | 12 | - | - |
| Conn. | 3 | 3 | - | - | 55 | 125 | 18 | 24 | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 21 | 20 | - | 2 | 530 | 597 | 719 | 693 | 803 | 615 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 8 | 6 | - | 1 | 104 | 134 | 75 | 65 | 29 | 18 |
| N.Y. City | 6 | 5 | - | - | 217 | 222 | 397 | 335 | - | - |
| N.J. | 4 | 3 | - | - | 64 | 142 | 146 | 141 | 759 | 560 |
| Pa. | 3 | 6 | - | 1 | 145 | 99 | 101 | 152 | 15 | 37 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 19 | 28 | - | 1 | 586 | 550 | 412 | 419 | 56 | 105 |
| Ohio | 5 | 8 | - | - | 180 | 129 | 54 | 59 | 6 | 7 |
| Ind. | 6 | 4 | - | 1 | 28 | 40 | 17 | 22 | - | 1 |
| III. | 7 | 11 | - | - | 161 | 171 | 37 | 54 | 8 | 8 |
| Mich. | - | - | - | - | 125 | 169 | 304 | 261 | 42 | 89 |
| Wis. | 1 | 5 | - | - | 92 | 41 | - | 23 | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 181 | 194 | 110 | 112 | 465 | 672 |
| Minn. | 2 | 1 | 1 | . | 26 | 14 | 8 | 11 |  | 2 |
| Iowa | - | - | - | - | 42 | 18 | 10 | 12 | 1 | - |
| Mo. | - | - | 2 | 2 | 50 | 43 | 62 | 65 | 456 | 664 |
| N. Dak. | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Nebr. | - | - | - | - | 5 | 26 | 14 | 13 | 6 | 3 |
| Kans. | - | - | - | - | 54 | 90 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 3 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 30 | 28 | 1 | 5 | 1,299 | 845 | 821 | 636 | 83 | 36 |
| Del. | - | - | - | - | 9 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 2 |
| Md. | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 157 | 119 | 67 | 70 | 6 | 4 |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | 48 | 21 | 10 | 8 | - | - |
| Va . | 2 | 4 | - | - | 50 | 68 | 108 | 78 | 2 | - |
| W. Va. | - | 1 | 1 | - | 10 | 7 | 13 | 16 | 1 | 6 |
| N.C. | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | 128 | 72 | 132 | 109 | 14 | 10 |
| S.C. | 4 | 1 | - | - | 42 | 31 | 40 | 13 | 4 | 4 |
| Ga. | 13 | 13 | - | - | 308 | 468 | 256 | 192 | 21 |  |
| Fla. | 7 | 4 | - | - | 547 | 55 | 188 | 138 | 31 | 10 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 8 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 146 | 190 | 181 | 230 | 101 | 133 |
| Ky. | - | - | - | 1 | 34 | 47 | 28 | 26 | 2 | 5 |
| Tenn. | 5 | 5 | - | - | 60 | 73 | 73 | 111 | 20 | 37 |
| Ala. | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 57 | 40 | 48 | 3 | 2 |
| Miss. | - | 1 | - | - | 29 | 13 | 40 | 45 | 76 | 89 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 6 | 4 | - | - | 66 | 525 | 195 | 421 | 18 | 448 |
| Ark. | - | - | - | - | 25 | 33 | 60 | 55 | 3 | 5 |
| La. | 1 | - | - | - | 15 | 57 | 14 | 64 | 11 | 102 |
| Okla. | 5 | 4 | - | - | 25 | 81 | 12 | 61 | 4 | 4 |
| Tex. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 354 | 109 | 241 | - | 337 |
| MOUNTAIN | 24 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 331 | 403 | 253 | 262 | 50 | 37 |
| Mont. | - | - |  | , | 9 | 6 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Idaho | 1 | - | - | - | 20 | 44 | 5 | 8 | - | 1 |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 10 | $-$ | 6 | 4 |
| Colo. | 2 | - | - | - | 54 | 38 | 48 | 60 | 23 | 5 |
| N. Mex. | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 18 | 42 | 68 | - | 11 |
| Ariz. | 12 | 4 | 3 | , | 174 | 210 | 94 | 85 | 3 | 9 |
| Utah | 4 | 2 |  | - | 34 | 38 | 20 | 15 | 2 | 1 |
| Nev. | 1 | - | 1 | - | 29 | 47 | 31 | 24 | 16 | 5 |
| PACIFIC | 17 | 31 | 1 | 3 | 961 | 1,030 | 408 | 687 | 68 | 83 |
| Wash. | 1 | , | , | 1 | 96 | 53 | 32 | 67 | 13 | 16 |
| Oreg. | 4 | 5 | - | , | 46 | 66 | 76 | 85 | 13 | 10 |
| Calif. | 9 | 24 | 1 | 1 | 811 | 889 | 294 | 517 | 42 | 57 |
| Alaska | 1 | 1 | - | - | 7 | 12 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| Hawaii | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 14 | - | - |
| Guam | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| P.R. | - | 1 | - | - | 55 | 94 | 39 | 140 | - | 1 |
| V.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| C.N.M.I. | - | U | - | U | - | U | 29 | U | - | U |

$\mathrm{N}:$ Not notifiable.
U:Unavailable.

* Incidence data for reporting year 2001 and 2002 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 6, 2002, and July 7, 2001 (27th Week)*

| Reporting Area | Legionellosis |  | Listeriosis |  | Lyme Disease |  | Malaria |  | Measles Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 368 | 441 | 196 | 255 | 3,082 | 4,343 | 542 | 651 | $10^{\dagger}$ | $80^{8}$ |
| NEW ENGLAND | 18 | 18 | 24 | 24 | 254 | 1,045 | 33 | 42 | - | 5 |
| Maine | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - |  |
| N.H. | 2 | 4 | 2 | - | 38 | 20 | 5 | 2 | - | - |
| Vt. | 3 | 4 | - | - | 3 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Mass. | 7 | 4 | 15 | 14 | 148 | 502 | 12 | 19 | - | 3 |
| R.I. | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 42 | 77 | 3 | 3 | - | - |
| Conn. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 23 | 442 | 11 | 15 | - | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 85 | 95 | 35 | 46 | 2,257 | 2,362 | 119 | 168 | 5 | 10 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 28 | 28 | 15 | 13 | 1,454 | 656 | 21 | 21 | - | 4 |
| N.Y. City | 18 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 75 | 37 | 74 | 104 | 5 | 2 |
| N.J. | 10 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 162 | 852 | 13 | 25 | - | 1 |
| Pa. | 29 | 51 | 7 | 13 | 566 | 817 | 11 | 18 | - | 3 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 88 | 124 | 24 | 37 | 28 | 368 | 59 | 87 | 1 | 10 |
| Ohio | 39 | 55 | 9 | 6 | 24 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 3 |
| Ind. | 8 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 12 | - | 4 |
| III. | - | 15 | 1 | 12 | - | 21 | 16 | 35 | - | 3 |
| Mich. | 29 | 25 | 8 | 13 | - | 2 | 23 | 18 | - | - |
| Wis. | 12 | 21 | 3 | 2 | U | 332 | 7 | 10 | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 23 | 28 | 8 | 6 | 69 | 75 | 41 | 19 | - | 4 |
| Minn. | 2 | 6 | - | - | 41 | 39 | 14 | 6 | - | 2 |
| Iowa | 6 | 6 | 1 | - | 7 | 12 | 2 | 3 | - | - |
| Mo. | 10 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 17 | 20 | 10 | 6 | - | 2 |
| N. Dak. | - | 1 | 1 | - | . | 2 | 1 | , | - | 2 |
| S. Dak. | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | 4 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 5 | 2 | - | - |
| Kans. | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 2 | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 88 | 60 | 32 | 29 | 377 | 367 | 150 | 137 | 1 | 4 |
| Del. | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | 51 | 47 | 1 | 1 | - |  |
| Md. | 14 | 17 | 4 | 3 | 207 | 234 | 41 | 60 | - | 3 |
| D.C. | 3 | 2 | - | - | 11 | 7 | 7 | 9 | - |  |
| Va. | 8 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 23 | 61 | 11 | 28 | - | - |
| W. Va. | N | N |  | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| N.C. | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 49 | 8 | 9 | 3 | - | - |
| S.C. | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | - | - |
| Ga. | 10 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 1 | - | 53 | 20 | - | 1 |
| Fla. | 38 | 17 | 9 | 6 | 27 | 4 | 22 | 11 | 1 | - |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 11 | 36 | 8 | 9 | 20 | 20 | 9 | 13 | - | 2 |
| Kу. | 6 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 |
| Tenn. | 1 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 6 | - | - |
| Ala. | 4 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | - | - |
| Miss. | - | 4 |  | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 3 | 15 | 3 | 23 | 2 | 54 | 3 | 45 | - | 1 |
| Ark. | - | - |  | 1 | - |  | 1 | 3 | - | - |
| La. | 1 | 6 |  | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | - |
| Okla. | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | , | - | 2 | - | , |
| Tex. | - | 6 | - | 21 | 1 | 51 | - | 37 | - | 1 |
| MOUNTAIN | 20 | 28 | 18 | 24 | 12 | 5 | 26 | 28 | - | 1 |
| Mont. | 1 | - | - |  |  | - |  | 2 | - | , |
| Idaho |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | - | 1 |
| Wyo. | 4 | 2 |  | 1 | - | 1 | , | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 4 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 3 | - | 13 | 14 | - | - |
| N. Mex. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| Ariz. | 3 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 2 | - | 5 | 3 | - | - |
| Utah | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | - | 4 | 2 | - | - |
| Nev. | 1 | 2 |  | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | - |
| PACIFIC | 32 | 37 | 44 | 57 | 63 | 47 | 102 | 112 | 3 | 43 |
| Wash. | 3 | 6 | 4 | 3 |  | 1 | 11 | 4 | - | 15 |
| Oreg. | N | N | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 8 | - | 2 |
| Calif. | 29 | 26 | 33 | 49 | 54 | 38 | 78 | 92 | 3 | 20 |
| Alaska | - | 1 |  | , | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Hawaii | - | 4 | 5 | 1 | N | N | 6 | 7 | - | 6 |
| Guam | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| P.R. | - | 2 | 1 | - | N | N | - | 3 | - | - |
| V.I. | U | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| C.N.M.I. | - | U | - | U | - | U | - | U | - | U |

N: Not notifiable.
Incidence data for reporting year 2001 and 2002 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).
${ }^{\dagger}$ Of 10 cases reported, three were indigenous and seven were imported from another country.
§ Of 80 cases reported, 37 were indigenous and 43 were imported from another country

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 6, 2002, and July 7, 2001 (27th Week)*

| Reporting Area | MeningococcalDisease |  | Mumps |  | Pertussis |  | Rabies, Animal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 927 | 1,469 | 153 | 119 | 3,127 | 2,590 | 2,717 | 3,533 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 62 | 72 | 7 | - | 321 | 246 | 400 | 319 |
| Maine | 4 | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | 22 | 36 |
| N.H. | 7 | 9 | 4 | - | 6 | 10 | 11 | 6 |
| Vt. | 4 | 4 | - | - | 54 | 24 | 60 | 37 |
| Mass. | 30 | 43 | 2 | - | 248 | 196 | 134 | 112 |
| R.I. | 4 | 2 | - | - | 4 | 2 | 29 | 30 |
| Conn. | 13 | 13 | 1 | - | 6 | 14 | 144 | 98 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 91 | 153 | 14 | 13 | 151 | 173 | 494 | 569 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 31 | 44 | 2 | 2 | 109 | 98 | 293 | 355 |
| N.Y. City | 12 | 25 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 31 | 10 | 14 |
| N.J. | 12 | 25 | 1 | - | 3 | 8 | 75 | 94 |
| Pa . | 36 | 59 | 10 | 3 | 32 | 36 | 116 | 106 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 142 | 207 | 17 | 17 | 382 | 307 | 36 | 38 |
| Ohio | 53 | 57 | 3 | 1 | 214 | 165 | 10 | 14 |
| Ind. | 23 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 23 | 7 | 1 |
| III. | 27 | 50 | 6 | 12 | 56 | 34 | 7 | 4 |
| Mich. | 27 | 48 | 6 | 2 | 32 | 28 | 12 | 13 |
| Wis. | 12 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 58 | 57 | - | 6 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 83 | 97 | 11 | 5 | 297 | 118 | 209 | 188 |
| Minn. | 20 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 99 | 31 | 16 | 18 |
| Iowa | 12 | 20 | - | - | 105 | 15 | 32 | 40 |
| Mo. | 34 | 35 | 3 | - | 58 | 52 | 20 | 14 |
| N. Dak. | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | 11 | 24 |
| S. Dak. | 2 | 4 | - | - | 5 | 3 | 32 | 26 |
| Nebr. | 10 | 10 | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | - | 4 |
| Kans. | 5 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 26 | 14 | 98 | 62 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 158 | 220 | 17 | 17 | 202 | 118 | 1,182 | 1,230 |
| Del. | 6 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 24 | 22 |
| Md. | 4 | 31 | 3 | 4 | 21 | 18 | 165 | 256 |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Va . | 27 | 27 | 3 | 2 | 88 | 12 | 258 | 222 |
| W. Va. | - | 6 | - | - | 7 | 1 | 92 | 67 |
| N.C. | 19 | 55 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 40 | 345 | 302 |
| S.C. | 14 | 22 | 2 | 1 | 26 | 21 | 41 | 67 |
| Ga. | 21 | 33 | 4 | 7 | 16 | 15 | 132 | 193 |
| Fla. | 67 | 45 | 4 | 2 | 21 | 10 | 125 | 101 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 57 | 93 | 11 | 3 | 88 | 50 | 87 | 142 |
| Ky. | 10 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 27 | 13 | 16 | 12 |
| Tenn. | 23 | 39 | 2 | - | 36 | 20 | 49 | 106 |
| Ala. | 15 | 29 | 2 | - | 18 | 14 | 22 | 24 |
| Miss. | 9 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 3 | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 54 | 229 | 11 | 9 | 725 | 236 | 58 | 717 |
| Ark. | 20 | 12 | - | - | 317 | 11 | - | - |
| La. | 17 | 56 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | - | 4 |
| Okla. | 16 | 20 | - |  | 34 | 9 | 58 | 42 |
| Tex. | 1 | 141 | 10 | 7 | 370 | 212 | - | 671 |
| MOUNTAIN | 62 | 71 | 12 | 8 | 434 | 892 | 120 | 131 |
| Mont. | 2 | 3 | - |  | 2 | 10 | 5 | 19 |
| Idaho | 3 | 7 | 1 | - | 46 | 164 | 6 | 2 |
| Wyo. | - | 4 | - | 1 | 7 | - | 13 | 20 |
| Colo. | 20 | 27 | 2 | 2 | 174 | 170 | 16 |  |
| N. Mex. | 3 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 71 | 50 | 4 | 4 |
| Ariz. | 19 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 89 | 461 | 72 | 84 |
| Utah | 4 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 27 | 26 | 2 | 1 |
| Nev. | 11 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 18 | 11 | 2 | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 218 | 327 | 53 | 47 | 527 | 450 | 131 | 199 |
| Wash. | 41 | 43 | - | 1 | 233 | 76 | - | - |
| Oreg. | 34 | 38 | N | N | 89 | 28 | 2 | - |
| Calif. | 136 | 236 | 43 | 25 | 196 | 321 | 105 | 162 |
| Alaska | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 24 | 37 |
| Hawaii | 6 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 7 | 23 | - | - |
| Guam | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| P.R. | 2 | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | 43 | 61 |
| V.I. | - | U | - | - | - | - | - | , |
| Amer. Samoa | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| C.N.M.I. | - | U | - | U | - | U | - | U |

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 6, 2002, and July 7, 2001 $\underline{(27 t h \text { Week) }}$

| Reporting Area | Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever |  | Rubella |  |  |  | Salmonellosis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rubella |  | Congenital Rubella |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 290 | 181 | 6 | 15 | 2 | - | 14,191 | 15,805 |
| NEW ENGLAND | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 849 | 1,153 |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | - | - | 69 | 106 |
| N.H. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 46 | 87 |
| Vt. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 32 | 35 |
| Mass. | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 469 | 666 |
| R.I. | - | . | - | - | - | - | 58 | 57 |
| Conn. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 175 | 202 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 16 | 11 | 3 | 6 | - | - | 1,808 | 2,155 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 4 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 646 | 486 |
| N.Y. City | 2 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | 588 | 589 |
| N.J. | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 192 | 508 |
| Pa . | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | 382 | 572 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 5 | 11 | - | 2 | - | - | 2,307 | 2,189 |
| Ohio | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 639 | 657 |
| Ind. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 185 | 192 |
| III. | - | 9 | - | 2 | - | - | 717 | 610 |
| Mich. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 415 | 376 |
| Wis. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 351 | 354 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 44 | 30 | - | 3 | - | - | 1,086 | 928 |
| Minn. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 249 | 288 |
| Iowa | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 180 | 144 |
| Mo. | 43 | 27 | - | 1 | - | - | 409 | 227 |
| N. Dak. | - |  | - | - | - | - | 25 | 15 |
| S. Dak. | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 42 | 60 |
| Nebr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51 | 65 |
| Kans. | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 130 | 129 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 175 | 77 | 1 | 3 | - | - | 3,472 | 3,339 |
| Del. | 2 | - | - |  | - | - | 28 | 35 |
| Md. | 22 | 11 | 1 | - | - | - | 352 | 341 |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 37 | 33 |
| Va . | 7 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 388 | 544 |
| W. Va. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 46 | 52 |
| N.C. | 95 | 41 | - | - | - | - | 504 | 479 |
| S.C. | 28 | 11 | - | 2 | - | - | 193 | 323 |
| Ga. | 17 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 776 | 614 |
| Fla. | 3 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 1,148 | 918 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 31 | 35 | - | - | 1 | - | 940 | 887 |
| Ky. | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 152 | 157 |
| Tenn. | 21 | 28 | - | - | 1 | - | 250 | 236 |
| Ala. | 8 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 279 | 251 |
| Miss. | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 259 | 243 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 13 | 9 | 1 | - | - | - | 559 | 1,883 |
| Ark. | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 286 | 240 |
| La. | , | 1 | - | - | - | - | 109 | 329 |
| Okla. | 13 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 162 | 138 |
| Tex. | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1,176 |
| MOUNTAIN | 5 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 981 | 966 |
| Mont. | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 43 | 39 |
| Idaho |  | 1 | - | - | - | - | 58 | 62 |
| Wyo. | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 27 | 30 |
| Colo. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 249 | 267 |
| N. Mex. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 137 | 120 |
| Ariz. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 286 | 255 |
| Utah | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 81 | 110 |
| Nev. | 1 |  | - | - | - | - | 100 | 83 |
| PACIFIC | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2,189 | 2,305 |
| Wash. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 213 | 216 |
| Oreg. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 193 | 136 |
| Calif. | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1,617 | 1,754 |
| Alaska |  | - | - | - | - | - | 35 | 23 |
| Hawaii | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 131 | 176 |
| Guam | - | - | - |  | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 10 |
| P.R. | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 85 | 465 |
| V.I. | - | - | , | - | - | - |  | - |
| Amer. Samoa | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| C.N.M.I. | - | U | U | U | - | U | 18 | U |

* Incidence data for reporting year 2001 and 2002 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 6, 2002, and July 7, 2001 $\underline{(27 \text { th Week)* }}$

| Reporting Area | Shigellosis |  | Streptococcal Disease, Invasive, Group A |  | Streptococcus pneumoniae, Drug Resistant, Invasive |  | Streptococcus pneumoniae, Invasive (<5 Years) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 6,574 | 7,681 | 2,343 | 2,216 | 1,268 | 1,749 | 125 | 261 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 121 | 127 | 117 | 152 | 8 | 83 | 1 | 30 |
| Maine | 3 | 4 | 14 | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| N.H. | 4 | 2 | 23 | N | - | - | N | N |
| Vt. |  | 3 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 1 | - |
| Mass. | 84 | 88 | 59 | 49 | N | N | N | N |
| R.I. | 7 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 5 | - | - | 2 |
| Conn. | 23 | 22 | - | 76 | - | 76 | - | 28 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 387 | 809 | 408 | 390 | 75 | 112 | 43 | 73 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 91 | 303 | 206 | 168 | 67 | 110 | 43 | 73 |
| N.Y. City | 186 | 218 | 102 | 120 | U | U | U | U |
| N.J. | 48 | 148 | 71 | 66 | N | N | N | N |
| Pa . | 62 | 140 | 29 | 36 | 8 | 2 | - | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 671 | 1,274 | 345 | 537 | 107 | 117 | 49 | 64 |
| Ohio | 331 | 625 | 142 | 137 | N | N | N | N |
| Ind. | 37 | 119 | 21 | 42 | 102 | 117 | 24 | 36 |
| III. | 179 | 258 | 4 | 176 | 2 | - | - | 28 |
| Mich. | 72 | 148 | 178 | 136 | 3 | - | N | N |
| Wis. | 52 | 124 | - | 46 | N | N | 25 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 575 | 769 | 164 | 220 | 145 | 85 | 29 | 31 |
| Minn. | 120 | 244 | 84 | 80 | 48 | 40 | 29 | 24 |
| lowa | 57 | 211 | - | - | N | N | N | N |
| Mo. | 78 | 135 | 36 | 55 | 6 | 9 | - | - |
| N. Dak. | 15 | 13 | - | 7 | 1 | 4 | - | 7 |
| S. Dak. | 148 | 84 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 3 | - | - |
| Nebr. | 104 | 38 | 13 | 27 | 23 | 9 | N | N |
| Kans. | 53 | 44 | 22 | 44 | 66 | 20 | N | N |
| S. ATLANTIC | 2,626 | 1,049 | 478 | 382 | 784 | 946 | 1 | 4 |
| Del. | 9 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | N | N |
| Md. | 443 | 54 | 79 | N | N | N | N | N |
| D.C. | 31 | 24 | 5 | 3 | 33 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Va. | 472 | 103 | 50 | 59 | N | N | N | N |
| W. Va. | 4 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 34 | 36 | - | 1 |
| N.C. | 147 | 196 | 92 | 101 | N | N | U | U |
| S.C. | 43 | 127 | 27 | 7 | 121 | 194 | N | N |
| Ga. | 874 | 138 | 124 | 130 | 250 | 277 | U | U |
| Fla. | 603 | 398 | 88 | 65 | 343 | 434 | N | N |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 628 | 747 | 66 | 48 | 90 | 173 | - | - |
| Ky. | 70 | 276 | 12 | 18 | 10 | 18 | N | N |
| Tenn. | 29 | 48 | 54 | 30 | 80 | 154 | N | N |
| Ala. | 312 | 126 | - | - | - | 1 | N | N |
| Miss. | 217 | 297 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 381 | 1,432 | 38 | 213 | 33 | 203 | 2 | 59 |
| Ark. | 101 | 360 | 5 | - | 5 | 13 | - |  |
| La. | 63 | 141 | - | - | 28 | 190 | 1 | 59 |
| Okla. | 216 | 20 | 32 | 29 | N | N | 1 |  |
| Tex. | 1 | 911 | 1 | 184 | N | N | - | - |
| MOUNTAIN | 289 | 402 | 401 | 242 | 26 | 29 | - | - |
| Mont. | 2 |  | 5 | - | - | - | , | - |
| Idaho | 2 | 18 | 5 | 4 | N | N | N | N |
| Wyo. | 3 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 5 | - | - |
| Colo. | 57 | 80 | 145 | 95 |  | - | - | - |
| N. Mex. | 56 | 60 | 65 | 51 | 18 | 22 | - | - |
| Ariz. | 132 | 184 | 173 | 82 | - | - | N | N |
| Utah | 21 | 26 | 6 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Nev. | 16 | 32 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| PACIFIC | 896 | 1,072 | 326 | 32 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Wash. | 63 | 91 | 36 | - | - | - | N | N |
| Oreg. | 47 | 56 | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Calif. | 757 | 896 | 254 | N | N | N | N | N |
| Alaska | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | N | N |
| Hawaii | 27 | 25 | 36 | 32 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Guam |  | 29 | , | 1 | - | - | - | N |
| P.R. | 2 | 12 | N | N | - | - | N | N |
| V.I. | U |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Amer. Samoa | U | U | U | U | - | - | U | U |
| C.N.M.I. | 10 | U | - | U | - | - | - | U |

N : Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. $\quad-:$ No reported cases.

* Incidence data for reporting year 2001 and 2002 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 6, 2002, and July 7, 2001 $\underline{(27 t h \text { Week)* }}$

| Reporting Area | Syphilis |  |  |  | Tuberculosis |  | Typhoid <br> Fever |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary \& Secondary |  | Congenital |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 3,083 | 2,907 | 154 | 272 | 5,436 | 6,638 | 125 | 159 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 62 | 27 | - | 3 | 203 | 241 | 10 | 8 |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | 5 | 10 | - | 1 |
| N.H. | 1 | 1 | - | - | 7 | 11 | - | 1 |
| V t. | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| Mass. | 46 | 15 | - | 2 | 103 | 117 | 8 | 5 |
| R.I. | 2 | 3 | - | - | 26 | 37 | - | - |
| Conn. | 12 | 6 | - | 1 | 62 | 62 | 2 | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 361 | 249 | 24 | 39 | 1,040 | 1,124 | 36 | 53 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 20 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 153 | 158 | 5 | 11 |
| N.Y. City | 200 | 142 | 10 | 19 | 530 | 575 | 19 | 21 |
| N.J. | 68 | 46 | 10 | 18 | 247 | 259 | 9 | 19 |
| Pa . | 73 | 51 | 1 | - | 110 | 132 | 3 | 2 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 530 | 512 | 24 | 40 | 532 | 670 | 13 | 20 |
| Ohio | 72 | 48 | - | 2 | 86 | 127 | 4 | 2 |
| Ind. | 38 | 93 | - | 5 | 54 | 47 | 2 | 2 |
| III. | 131 | 154 | 18 | 26 | 270 | 336 | 1 | 9 |
| Mich. | 281 | 200 | 6 | 4 | 116 | 124 | 3 | 4 |
| Wis. | 8 | 17 | - | 3 | 6 | 36 | 3 | 3 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 50 | 41 | - | 5 | 275 | 254 | 4 | 6 |
| Minn. | 18 | 19 | - | 1 | 119 | 108 | 3 | 2 |
| Iowa | - | 2 | - | - | 14 | 18 | - | - |
| Mo. | 16 | 9 | - | 3 | 81 | 59 | 1 | 4 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 9 | 8 | - | - |
| Nebr. | 4 | 1 | - | - | 9 | 20 | - | - |
| Kans. | 12 | 10 | - | 1 | 42 | 38 | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 804 | 1,031 | 33 | 71 | 1,052 | 1,306 | 14 | 20 |
| Del. | 8 | 9 | - | - | 7 | 9 | - | - |
| Md. | 96 | 135 | 3 | 2 | 121 | 105 | 3 | 6 |
| D.C. | 48 | 15 | 1 | 2 | - | 37 | - | - |
| Va . | 39 | 61 | 1 | 4 | 86 | 121 | - | 5 |
| W. Va. | - | - | - | - | 12 | 16 | - | - |
| N.C. | 156 | 243 | 13 | 8 | 154 | 176 | - | 1 |
| S.C. | 65 | 144 | 3 | 18 | 96 | 113 | $\bar{\square}$ | - |
| Ga. | 129 | 162 | 1 | 14 | 167 | 255 | 7 | 6 |
| Fla. | 263 | 262 | 11 | 23 | 409 | 474 | 4 | 2 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 280 | 307 | 10 | 21 | 372 | 415 | 4 | - |
| Ky. | 52 | 23 | 2 | - | 69 | 61 | 4 | - |
| Tenn. | 108 | 173 | 3 | 13 | 140 | 151 | - | - |
| Ala. | 92 | 53 | 4 | 4 | 116 | 139 | - | - |
| Miss. | 28 | 58 | 1 | 4 | 47 | 64 | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 418 | 356 | 40 | 46 | 705 | 1,071 | - | 10 |
| Ark. | 12 | 21 | 1 | 5 | 70 | 68 | - | - |
| La. | 65 | 70 | - | - | - | 65 | - | - |
| Okla. | 30 | 36 | 2 | 3 | 62 | 71 | - | - |
| Tex. | 311 | 229 | 37 | 38 | 573 | 867 | - | 10 |
| MOUNTAIN | 146 | 109 | 9 | 14 | 175 | 241 | 8 | 6 |
| Mont. | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 |
| Idaho | 3 | - | 1 | - | 8 | 3 | - | - |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Colo. | 11 | 15 | 1 | - | 23 | 63 | 4 | - |
| N. Mex. | 25 | 9 | - | 1 | 20 | 33 | - | - |
| Ariz. | 100 | 76 | 7 | 13 | 92 | 89 | - | 1 |
| Utah | 3 | 6 | - | - | 14 | 11 | 3 | - |
| Nev. | 4 | 3 | - | - | 12 | 41 | 1 | 4 |
| PACIFIC | 432 | 275 | 14 | 33 | 1,082 | 1,316 | 36 | 36 |
| Wash. | 24 | 31 | 1 | - | 118 | 119 | 3 | 3 |
| Oreg. | 5 | 7 | 1 | - | 45 | 50 | 2 | 3 |
| Calif. | 398 | 231 | 12 | 33 | 818 | 1,044 | 31 | 28 |
| Alaska | - |  |  |  | 31 | 24 | , |  |
| Hawaii | 5 | 6 | - | - | 70 | 79 | - | 2 |
| Guam | - | 2 | - | - | - | 37 | - | 2 |
| P.R. | 126 | 134 | 10 | 2 | 33 | 53 | - | - |
| V.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| C.N.M.I. | 13 | U | - | U | 27 | U | - | U |

N : Not notifiable.
U: Unavailable.

- : No reported cases.
* Incidence data for reporting year 2001 and 2002 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending July 6, 2002 (27th Week)

|  | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\& ${ }^{+}$ <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting Area | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | $\geq 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 | $\text { P\&I }{ }^{\dagger}$ Total | Reporting Area | All Ages | $\geq 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 568 | 373 | 115 | 45 | 16 | 19 | 47 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,029 | 645 | 220 | 103 | 41 | 19 | 65 |
| Boston, Mass. | 139 | 80 | 29 | 14 | 9 | 7 | 16 | Atlanta, Ga. | 142 | 84 | 34 | 17 | 7 | - | 3 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 35 | 24 | 7 | 4 | - | - |  | Baltimore, Md. | 162 | 99 | 32 | 25 | 4 | 2 | 17 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 17 | 15 | 2 |  | - | - | 1 | Charlotte, N.C. | 91 | 55 | 21 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 26 | 19 | 3 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 130 | 84 | 24 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 10 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 55 | 34 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | Miami, Fla. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| Lowell, Mass. | 29 | 24 | 5 | - | - | - | - | Norfolk, Va. | 46 | 28 | 8 | 7 | 3 | - | - |
| Lynn, Mass. | 16 | 11 | 5 | - | - | - | - | Richmond, Va. | 60 | 35 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 27 | 22 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 5 | Savannah, Ga. | 77 | 54 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 44 | 24 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 51 | 36 | 10 | 4 | 1 | - | 3 |
| Providence, R.I. | 46 | 34 | 5 | 3 | - | 4 | 3 | Tampa, Fla. | 139 | 90 | 32 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 7 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | Washington, D.C. | 110 | 65 | 27 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 36 | 20 | 12 | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | Wilmington, Del. | 21 | 15 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 41 | 33 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | E.S. CENTRAL | 506 | 335 | 119 | 29 | 17 | 6 |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 52 | 32 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 | Birmingham, Ala. | 148 | $\begin{array}{r}35 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 39 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 12 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 1,820 | 1,231 | 394 | 124 | 35 | 36 | 90 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 48 | 39 | 7 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 35 | 20 | 12 | 2 | 1 | - | 5 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 66 | 44 | 13 | 7 | 2 | - | 6 |
| Allentown, Pa. | 24 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | Lexington, Ky. | 73 | 44 | 21 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 76 | 53 | 16 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | Memphis, Tenn. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| Camden, N.J. | 26 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 2 | - | 3 | Mobile, Ala. | 70 | 51 | 14 | 4 | - | 1 | 3 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 11 | 9 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | Montgomery, Ala. | 15 | 12 | 3 | - | - | - | 4 |
| Erie, Pa. | 54 | 41 | 8 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | Nashville, Tenn. | 86 | 50 | 22 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 6 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 19 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 18 | 13 | 35 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1,119 | 684 | 243 | 110 | 53 | 29 | 55 |
| New York City, N. Y. | 979 | 655 | 222 | 71 | 18 | 13 | 35 | Austin, Tex. | 1,119 | 684 32 | 14 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| Newark, N.J. | 37 | 21 | 10 | 5 | - | 1 | 3 | Auston Rouge, La. | 24 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 17 | 12 | 5 | - | - | - | 1 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| Philadelphia, Pa. | 249 | 149 | 64 | 22 | 3 | 11 | 12 | Dallas, Tex. | 166 | 95 | 45 | 18 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa. ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | 23 | 19 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 2 | El Paso, Tex. | 130 | 91 | 23 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 10 |
| Reading, Pa. | 23 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 11 | Ft. Worth, Tex. | 86 | 53 | 20 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 99 | 73 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 11 | Houston, Tex. | 312 | 179 | 61 | 49 | 16 | 7 | 17 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 26 | 21 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 |  | 51 | 28 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Scranton, Pa. | 26 | 21 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 | New Orleans, La. | 45 | 28 | 8 | 5 | 3 4 | 3 | - |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 37 | 28 | 5 | 1 | - | 3 | 3 | San Antonio, Tex. | 151 | 95 | 38 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 8 |
| Trenton, N.J. | 20 | 15 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 |  | 26 | 20 | 5 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 17 | 15 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | Tulsa, Okla. | 71 | 46 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 22 | 19 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 3 | Tulsa, Okla. | 71 | 46 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 1,174 | 815 | 228 | 82 | 26 | 23 | 77 | MOUNTAIN | 745 | 492 | 154 | 69 | 17 | 12 | 42 |
| Akron, Ohio | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | Albuquerque, N.M. | 83 | 53 | 18 | 12 | - | - | 4 |
| Canton, Ohio | 30 | 24 | 4 | 1 | - |  | 6 | Boise, Idaho | 34 51 | 27 | 4 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Chicago, III. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 51 94 | 42 | 4 24 | 4 11 | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | Las Vegas, Nev. | 242 | 154 | 59 | 22 | 4 | 2 | 14 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 88 | 58 | 23 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 8 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 2 | 14 2 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 142 | 97 | 27 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 12 | Ogden, Utah | U | 15 $\cup$ | 4 | U | U | U | U |
| Dayton, Ohio | 110 | 77 | 25 | 4 | 4 | - | 3 | Pueblo, Colo. | 21 | 15 | 4 | U | 2 | U | U |
| Detroit, Mich. | 104 | 57 | 29 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Evansville, Ind. | 33 | 24 | 6 | 1 | - | 2 | - | Salt Lake City, Utah | 91 | 64 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 69 | 50 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | Tucson, Ariz. | 108 | 74 | 17 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| Gary, Ind. | 15 | 7 | 5 | 3 | - | - | - | PACIFIC | 1,032 | 727 | 207 | 60 | 20 | 18 | 76 |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 39 | 31 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | Berkeley, Calif. | 13 | 8 | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 197 | 128 | 41 | 16 | 6 | 6 | 14 | Fresno, Calif. | 61 | 44 | 13 | 2 | 2 | - | 7 |
| Lansing, Mich. | 21 | 17 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 |  | Glendale, Calif. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 86 | 63 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 9 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 68 | 53 | 13 | 2 | - | - | 3 |
| Peoria, III. | 27 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | Long Beach, Calif. | 68 | 49 | 14 | 5 | - | - | 9 |
| Rockford, III. | 55 | 40 | 10 | 3 | 2 | - | 3 | Los Angeles, Calif. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| South Bend, Ind. | 41 | 33 | 4 | 4 | - | - | 2 | Pasadena, Calif. | 16 | 14 | 2 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 66 | 53 | 10 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | Portland, Oreg. | 102 | 71 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 51 | 42 | 5 | 2 | 2 | - | - | Sacramento, Calif. | 150 | 112 | 32 | 4 | 2 | - | 12 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 360 | 230 | 82 | 23 | 17 | 8 | 19 | San Diego, Calif. | 131 | 78 | 33 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| Des Moines, Iowa | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | San Francisco, Calif. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| Duluth, Minn. | 31 | 20 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | 4 | San Jose, Calif. | 183 | 137 | 25 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 21 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 20 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 3 | - | - | Santa Cruz, Calif. | 15 | 11 | 1 |  | 2 | - | - |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 68 | 38 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 6 | Seattle, Wash. | 85 | 58 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 22 | 17 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | Spokane, Wash. | 65 | 39 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 2 |  |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 54 | 28 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | Tacoma, Wash. | 75 | 53 | 16 | 5 | - | 1 | 4 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 74 | 52 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | TOTAL | 8,353 | 15,532 | 1,762 | 645 | 242 | 170 | 507 |
| St. Louis, Mo. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 23 | 22 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 68 | 44 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]All $M M W R$ references are available on the Internet at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr. Use the search function to find specific articles.
Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to $M M W R$ readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in $M M W R$ were current as of the date of publication.
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Data in the weekly $M M W R$ are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the $M M W R$ Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, $M M W R$ Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone 888-232-3228.
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[^0]:    *Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Idaho, Indiana, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

[^1]:    -:No reported cases.

    * Incidence data for reporting year 2001 and 2002 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Not notifiable in all states.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP). Last update May 26, 2002.

[^2]:    : Unavailable. $\quad-:$ No reported cases.
     occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

    + Pneumonia and influenza.
    
    ๆ Total includes unknown ages.

