1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL

+ + + + +

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

+ + + + +

ADVISORY BOARD ON RADIATION AND WORKER HEALTH

+ + + + +

99th MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY JUNE 18, 2014

+ + + + +

The Advisory Board convened via teleconference at 11:00 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time, James M. Melius, Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT:

JAMES M. MELIUS, Chairman
HENRY ANDERSON, Member
JOSIE BEACH, Member
BRADLEY P. CLAWSON, Member
R. WILLIAM FIELD, Member
RICHARD LEMEN, Member
JAMES E. LOCKEY, Member
WANDA I. MUNN, Member
DAVID B. RICHARDSON, Member
GENEVIEVE S. ROESSLER, Member

NEAL R. GROSS

2

LORETTA R. VALERIO, Member
PAUL L. ZIEMER, Member
TED KATZ, Designated Federal Official

3

REGISTERED AND/OR PUBLIC COMMENT PARTICIPANTS

ADAMS, NANCY, NIOSH Contractor BARTON, BOB, SC&A HINNEFELD, STU, DCAS KOTSCH, JEFF, DOL NETON, JIM, DCAS RUTHERFORD, LaVON, DCAS STIVER, JOHN, SC&A

4

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Roll Call 6
Welcome 7
Recording Absentee Votes (Joslyn Manufacturing & Supply Co. and Nuclear Metals Inc. SEC petitions
Tasking Site Profiles reviews to Uranium Refining AWEs WG 8
Assigning New Work Groups 11
Update on Coworker Modeling 13
Special Exposure Cohort (SEC) Petition Status Update
Updates from Work Groups and Subcommittees (as necessary) 25
Plans for the July and November 2014 Board Meetings 30
Correspondence 37

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2 (11:00 a.m.)

MR. KATZ: Okay, it's 11:00. It's time to start. Let me check and see if I have my Chair. Jim Melius, Dr. Melius, are you on the line?

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes, I'm here.

MR. KATZ: Great. All right, so welcome, everyone, to the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health teleconference. Before I start with roll call I'm just going to, to make this less awkward on the phone and simpler, I'm just going to cover conflict of interest on the front end and then go through roll call.

And if I know you're on the line already I'll check you off on roll call, you don't have to speak up. But we have one session, one agenda item, in a little bit this morning, a finding to the Uranium Refining AWEs

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change

Work Group, some Site Profile Reviews. And those Site Profile Reviews include reviews for Apollo, Apollo Parks -- I'm sorry, Nuclear Materials Equipment Corporation at Apollo and Parks Township. So it includes those two sites, a Site Profile Review for those two sites as well as one for W.R. Grace.

So, for those, Dr. Melius is recusing himself for the Nuclear Materials Equipment Corp., that's NUMEC. For that reason, Dr. Lemen, if he's on the line, is also recusing himself. He has a conflict with that as well as with W.R. Grace.

MEMBER LEMEN: Dr. Lemen is on the line.

MR. KATZ: Oh, great. Nice to hear you, Dick. So we'll get that out of the way on the front end. So let's just go on then with roll call. I'll just run alphabetically.

(Roll call.)

Okay, well, we still MR. KATZ: have a quorum so we're good. And, Jim, it's your meeting. CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, thank you, Ted. Welcome, everybody. We will start off with -- Ted will report on the absentee votes from our previous meeting, the people that weren't able to attend. So, Ted, go ahead. Right, thank you. MR. KATZ: the Board Meeting in Augusta in April, we had two SECs that the Board voted on. One was Nuclear Metals to add a Class from 1980 to 1990. And the Board voted unanimously 16-0 for that, so we collected additional votes from two Members on May 20th to complete that vote. And then Joslyn was the other SEC

And then Joslyn was the other SEC action and the Board voted in the majority, 14-2 in favor of, or in support of the NIOSH position that doses could be reconstructed.

Two Members, Clawson and Lemen,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

voted in opposition to that. So the motion
passed and the letters for both of these were
transmitted to the Secretary, and I believe the
Secretarial letters are just about in motion,
is that correct, Nancy?
MS. ADAMS: The packages went to
CDC for sign-off this morning.
MR. KATZ: Okay.
MS. ADAMS: Actually, FedEx, and
the hard copy FedEx has arrived.
MR. KATZ: Okay, very good, thank
you. So that takes care of absentee votes.
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, thanks,
Ted. And now I'm going to turn over to Paul
Ziemer to Chair the meeting because the next
item is tasking the Site Profile Reviews where
I am conflicted. So, Paul.
MEMBER ZIEMER: Okay, hang on just
a minute. Okay, we have three UR AWEs that need
to be tasked for Site Profile Review. They are

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.

a

General Atomics, and SC&A delivered a report to the Board on October 2012 on General Atomics.

We also have NUMEC, which the report was delivered to us in April of 2013. And W.R. Grace, the report was delivered to us in January of 2013. So we have those three Site Profiles that need to be reviewed by the UR AWE Work Group.

Though the appropriate motion would be to task us, or to task -- well, let's see -- MR. KATZ: It's to task the Work Group.

MEMBER ZIEMER: Yes, to task the Work Group to handle those. SC&A's done the reviews, we need to ask the Work Group to review those three sites and to handle the issues that have been raised by SC&A.

Do we actually need a motion for the Work Group to do that or do we need to assign this? It wasn't clear to me.

1	MR. KATZ: I think it's fine. We
2	just decide on this. I think we just like to
3	make a public record of it.
4	MEMBER ZIEMER: Do I need a motion
5	to do that?
6	MR. KATZ: I don't think so.
7	MEMBER ZIEMER: Okay. Well, if
8	that's the case, if there's no objection from
9	Board Members, then we'll consider those three
10	items assigned to the AWE Work Group.
11	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Good, thank you,
12	Paul. I'll resume the Chair.
13	MEMBER ANDERSON: Paul, that's
14	your Work Group?
15	MEMBER ZIEMER: Well, I was hoping
16	that it was Andy's Work Group.
17	MEMBER ANDERSON: Or is it mine?
18	Yes, this
19	(Simultaneous speaking.)
20	MEMBER ANDERSON: That's why I

1	wasn't sure.
2	MEMBER ZIEMER: It would be a real
3	conflict
4	MR. KATZ: Yes, it's your Work
5	Group, it's the Uranium Refining AWEs Work
6	Group.
7	MEMBER ANDERSON: Okay.
8	MEMBER ZIEMER: It would be a real
9	conflict if I was assigning it to myself.
10	MEMBER ANDERSON: All right, I just
11	want to be sure.
12	MEMBER ZIEMER: Yes. Are you
13	objecting, Andy?
14	MEMBER ANDERSON: We can handle it.
15	MEMBER ZIEMER: Okay, thank you.
16	Okay, back to Jim.
17	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Thanks. I was
18	going to say, the Chair of that Work Group is
19	anywhere from A to Z.
20	MEMBER ANDERSON: Yes.

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: We'll start it at the top of the alphabet. Good.

And we also have, again, this is more of an announcement and what's in progress in terms of assigning the Work Groups.

We brought this up at our Augusta meeting and then I, you know, emailed to everybody to see who volunteers for two new work groups.

We have one that's assigned. I had an adequate number of volunteers, I just was working on the chair for one of them, but for Pacific Proving Grounds, Jim Lockey will Chair that Work Group and other Members will be Bill Field, Henry Anderson and Loretta Valerio. So those are assigned.

And then I should be able to assign the Ames Work Group sometime in the next couple weeks. I have to wait for somebody to come back from Europe, from vacation. I tried to get Ted

to send me over there to track him down but he wouldn't. All right, so anyway, that's progress, and thanks everybody for volunteering for that, appreciate it.

These were things we now think, given the sort of contract situation, sort of straightened out a little bit in terms of funding and so forth, so we can start moving along on some of these Site Profiles that have been out there for a while.

The next item on our Agenda is Jim Neton's going to give us an update on coworker modeling. Jim?

DR. NETON: Okay, thanks, Dr. Melius. I just thought, you know, the SEC Issues Work Group has met several times now to flesh out some of the ideas related to coworker modeling and stratification and such and that's been reported out to the Board by Dr. Melius and myself to some extent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

I just thought I'd give a brief update on the status of where NIOSH stands on this. One of the issues that came out of the Work Group was that we really don't, NIOSH does not really have a document that outlines the general criteria of how we would approach coworker modeling and the various, you know, issues associated with that.

So we worked on that and just recently, actually yesterday, we issued a draft document to the Work Group that outlines what we consider to be criteria that should be considered in these coworker modelings.

intended to cover some major areas in a generic sense such as adequacy and representativeness to the data, how fitted, distributions might be and discussion the stratification on issue, although I must admit that still remains a bit of sticky wicket.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

We're trying to figure out the best way to compare a stratified subset to the original full distribution in a meaningful way to see that it actually is beneficial to use stratification.

And we've got some ideas on that and I'm hoping to generate a report shortly on -- at least as an outline of how that's going to be analyzed. I did include a little bit of a hint in the paper that came out yesterday.

The second issue that we worked on is we reviewed this one-person one-statistic sample -- pardon me, one-person one-statistic as opposed to one-person one-sample, I think it's a better fit, the so-called OPOS concept.

The original proposal for that was to use one value in a monitored period for a worker and that would be assigned or used as the arithmetic average of all of the bioassay samples in that monitoring period.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

We thought that this was a much improved approach rather than needing the gross of distribution of all the samples, but SC&A and the Work Group correctly pointed out some issues with that and so we went back, looked at the data and now have determined that we believe a time-weighted average approach addresses many of the concerns and issues associated with the old methods.

To that extent, we put out a draft document yesterday as well to the Working Group that covers the concepts behind the time-weighted average approach and some actual real-world examples.

We're using americium bioassay data of how this might be done in a comparison of the new time-weighted approach to the old arithmetic average approach.

I think it's a pretty good improvement, we certainly look for comments,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	comments and input from both of these documents
2	from the Working Group.
3	I think that pretty much summarizes
4	what I intended to cover today. I'll be happy
5	to answer any questions if there are any.
6	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Thanks, Jim.
7	Any questions for Jim Neton?
8	MEMBER LEMEN: This is Dick Lemen,
9	I have one. Did I miss it or did you say you
10	say you just sent the document out to the
11	Working Group or are you sending it out to the
12	whole Board or is it
13	DR. NETON: No, I distributed this
14	to the Working Group, although I don't see any
15	reason why I couldn't go the full Board.
16	MEMBER LEMEN: Well, if there's no
17	objection, I would appreciate getting a copy.
18	MEMBER MUNN: Yes, so would I, Jim.
19	DR. NETON: Yes, I think then I'll
20	just send both documents out to the

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes, that would be fine, the full Board, yes.

DR. NETON: There's going to be one slight mathematical correction on one of the documents I'll make before we send it out, but, yes, I can get that out today.

MEMBER MUNN: Good.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: What our plan is

-- this is Jim Melius -- is we were trying to
schedule an SEC Work Group meeting between now
and our meeting at the end of July.

We have sort of these documents that Jim has just sort of updated us on, and there's also a coworker model on SRS that the SC&A is reviewing now, but the SRS Work Group had sort of referred that to the SEC Work Group to take a look at and to review.

So SC&A's reviewing that. I don't want to be optimistic, but we think that we should get their report plus the completed,

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.

plus through DOE review, which can take some
time, prior to the July meeting. So we are
talking about scheduling a meeting of the Work
Group towards just before the July meeting.

One of the thoughts that I had was that, given some of the timing involved and so forth, that we try to have that Work Group meet in person the afternoon before we'd be, like so Monday afternoon before the Tuesday Board Meeting in Idaho.

And so that idea I just suggested to Ted yesterday as we were trying to figure out some scheduling issues on this Work Group, so Ted needs to check out the logistics on that.

I don't know if you have an update,

Ted, or --

MR. KATZ: Yes, thanks, Jim. I don't have an update yet. I'm hopeful about that, though.

20 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Good.

MR. KATZ: And I think we might as
well, as long as we have everyone on the line
right now, just very quickly you could check
with the Members of the Work Group to see if that
would work for them to come in a little earlier
to the Board Meeting, either Sunday night or
early Monday morning, Idaho, if that works out.
Yes. So, Gen, that's a question
for Gen and Paul. Well, Paul, Paul will be at
the meeting by phone, that Board Meeting in
Idaho.
MEMBER ZIEMER: That's correct.
MEMBER ZIEMER. Inde 5 collect.
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. So
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. So
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. So MR. KATZ: And so, Josie and Gen,
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. So MR. KATZ: And so, Josie and Gen, would that work for both of you?
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. So MR. KATZ: And so, Josie and Gen, would that work for both of you? MEMBER ROESSLER: It works for me,
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. So MR. KATZ: And so, Josie and Gen, would that work for both of you? MEMBER ROESSLER: It works for me, this is Gen.

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference	Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and	personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, ha	is not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The Third Table 1 is a second of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time.	he reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change	<u>.</u>

1	you be free that afternoon, the Monday
2	afternoon?
3	MEMBER ZIEMER: Yes, I'll be free
4	on Monday afternoon, yes, to be on the phone.
5	MR. KATZ: Yeah, that's what I
6	mean. That would be great. Okay, so then
7	that's nice, then if they sort out the hotel
8	issues, we could plan on that.
9	I mean, it's better to have more
10	time than less, right?
11	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
12	MR. KATZ: Yes. Very good,
13	thanks.
14	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: And then we'll
15	update the schedule for Idaho, which includes,
16	I think, an hour or something like that to talk
17	about these issues, so we'll update the entire
18	
	Board the next day.
19	MR. KATZ: Right. And if some

1	know, you're welcome to sit in on the Work
2	Group.
3	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. Well,
4	we're selling tickets, so
5	MEMBER MUNN: Cheap, I hope.
6	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes, I think so.
7	And ask Paul who had listened to, read the
8	transcript of the other all-day meeting we had
9	earlier, I'm not sure anybody would pay for
10	having to go through that.
11	MEMBER MUNN: Yes. That's always
12	a little tougher than it sounds.
13	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes, it is, it's
14	tough. Tough subjects to talk about and
15	confusing at times. But, anyway, no, I think
16	we're making progress.
17	I've seen Jim's proposal and I think
18	it's a really good start on these issues and I
19	think we can, should be able to make progress
20	

on it, that Board Meeting.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

So, thanks. Any other questions for Jim? I'll just add also if there's updated drafts of these documents that come out between now and obviously the Board Meeting, we will get those out to you also, the entire Board, so you're not surprised when you get to the hotel and get, you know, a 200-page document to look at the night before.

Next on our Agenda is LaVon.

MR. RUTHERFORD: All right.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: LaVon has news
for us, I hear.

MR. RUTHERFORD: Yes, I actually have a little bit of news. It should be a pretty quick update, though.

We will be presenting one Evaluation Report at the July Board Meeting.
We've been waiting for a while now for a claim to support an 83.14 evaluation to modify the

existing General Atomics Class Definition.

That was a building-specific Class

Definition that a considerable time ago we recognized that it should've been all employees based on the way we've been handling things.

And so we've been waiting for a claim to modify that Class.

We recently received a claim that supported this and since we had already done most of the work while waiting for the claim we believe the evaluation will be complete a couple weeks prior to the July meeting.

I know the Board likes to have the evaluations with plenty of time to review the information, to have a good understanding of our recommendation, but I think it's pretty straightforward, the change, and I believe it will not require a significant amount of review by the Board.

Also, we did receive two new

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.

1	petitions over the last week. One of the
2	petitions is for Dow Chemical Walnut Creek
3	facility, and that's for the 1947 to '57 period.
4	And the other is for Westinghouse Bloomfield.
5	You may remember we already added a
6	Class for the Bloomfield site operational
7	period, so this petition is for the residual
8	period. Those two petitions are starting
9	through the qualification process now and I'm
10	sure at least one of those will qualify for
11	evaluation.
12	And so that's about it for new
13	petitions and current petitions.
14	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Good. Any
15	questions? I think that is good news on
16	General Atomics. We had waited a while because
17	that was a troublesome site.
18	Okay, and updates from Work Groups
19	and Subcommittees. Volunteers?

MEMBER BEACH: Yes. Jim, this is

-- Oh, go ahead.

MEMBER MUNN: No, go ahead, Josie.

MEMBER BEACH: Oh, okay. This is Josie. I've got just a couple real brief updates. We've had two Work Group meetings since our last Board Meeting.

The first one I'll talk about is Worker Outreach. We held a conference call on June 5th, it was a real simple agenda, we looked over the review of NIOSH's responsiveness to worker comments for LANL and we also talked about some options for a path forward for our Work Group. I will give a full presentation in July on Worker Outreach.

And then we also had a meeting on June 10th for Kansas City. We basically have 20 items on our matrix and the Work Group concentrated on just going through each of those matrix items so that NIOSH and the Work

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board	
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally	
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been	
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader	
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.	

1	Group and SC&A were all understanding what the
2	issues are.
3	We closed out two of those items and
4	we basically have a lot of work to do still with
5	Kansas City. So the work is ongoing with
6	additional data capture planned for in the
7	September to October timeframe.
8	MEMBER MUNN: Good.
9	MEMBER BEACH: So that's it for me.
10	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Thank you,
11	Josie. Yes, and we do have, I think, it's about
12	at least an hour set aside for the July meeting
13	for an update on the Worker Outreach, the
14	evaluation, or whatever we're calling it.
15	MEMBER BEACH: Right, thank you.
16	That sounds good.
17	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. Other
18	reports?
19	MEMBER MUNN: Yes, this is Wanda.
20	No report actually from the Subcommittee on

Procedures. We have, as you may remember,
reported at our last Board Meeting in Savannah,
but we had anticipated meeting the end of this
month, but we've had a very hard time trying to
get all of the necessary players lined up to the
right time.
So our next meeting will be held in
the end of August, August 28th to be precise.
Otherwise, no action.
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Thank
you, Wanda. Other updates?
MEMBER CLAWSON: Yes, this is Brad.
I just got information received back from NIOSH
for Fernald. They said that they're, in late
August, early September timeframe that we could
plan on to have a meeting on that, be able to
start closing out the Site Profile issues.
We had some information that they
had to research a little bit more and we're

pressing forward on Pantex and also the Nevada

1	Test Site on the Profile issues.
2	We should be ready later, around
3	September timeframe for both those, too, to be
4	able to take care of them.
5	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Hey,
6	Brad, how's the weather in Idaho?
7	MEMBER CLAWSON: You know, it's
8	absolutely marvelous. We have sunshine. I
9	was going to tell Paul he doesn't have to be
10	scared in July, really it's warm out here that
11	time of the year, there's no snow, but we are
12	going to get snow tonight in the high mountains.
13	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I thought we
14	that sounds very reassuring.
15	MEMBER CLAWSON: All right now,
16	it's Idaho. What can we say, just, it comes and
17	goes.
18	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Beautiful
19	weather, but it's going to snow tonight. Yes,
20	okay, good. Other updates?

1	MEMBER ZIEMER: Jim, this is Paul
2	Ziemer. I can give you a quick update on
3	TBD-6000.
4	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, excellent.
5	MEMBER ZIEMER: The Work Group met
6	earlier this week by phone. We're dealing with
7	the residual period for Simonds Saw and Steel,
8	or Steel and Saw, I forget which way it goes.
9	But you may recall the Board
10	provided an SEC for the active period but left
11	the residual period sort of in limbo and we've
12	dealt with that now and we'll be coming to the
13	Board at the July meeting with a recommendation
14	for the residual period and you'll be getting
15	materials on that shortly.
16	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, excellent.
17	That's on the Agenda, I believe. Thank you.
18	Any other updates? Okay, thank
19	you, and, yes, Dave's not on, I don't Ted,
20	is there anything other related to Work Groups

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change

1	announcements or anything?
2	MR. KATZ: No, I don't think so.
3	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Oh, okay, good.
4	Plans for the July and November Board Meetings.
5	Like July, Ted, what do you have for in terms
6	of updates?
7	MR. KATZ: So for July, we're still
8	trying to, because we don't do this directly
9	anymore, CDC does it and we just plead with
10	respect to hotel arrangements and so on, so
11	we're still working on that.
12	We don't have that battened down
13	yet. As soon as we get that we'll send that out
14	and people will be able to make those hotel
15	arrangements.
16	And for agenda, we have a full day
17	July 29th, including, we're hoping, a more
18	robust public comment session than what we've
19	had in the past.

NIOSH, together with the INL Work

Group are interviewing next week people and workers from INL of all different capacities along a variety of lines of sort of inquiry.

So we're hoping to have some sort of pointed matters that we can ask for public comment on during the public comment session as a way to make that more robust than it's been in the past, so we're hopeful there and you'll probably hear more as we get closer to the meeting after we get these interviews done which are occurring next week.

So that's it. So, anyway, we have a full day, morning to at least, you know, 6:30 in the evening on Tuesday, but no meeting for the 30th. So most of you could travel in on a Monday and travel out, you know, Wednesday morning.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. Providing the weather cooperates.

MR. KATZ: Exactly.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.

MR. KATZ: Avoiding horror stories and all that. And then we have, for November the only thing we might want to talk about is whether -- I don't know whether we've gotten close enough in terms of progress on work on other sites that we can think about where we want to meet in November.

One thought we'd had that we discussed before I think is that Santa Susana, would be ripe then that might be, then going to Los Angeles, which we've talked about we haven't been there in quite a while, would be one option.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Sounds good.

MEMBER BEACH: Ted, this is Josie.

Do you think we'll have a Work Group meeting for

Santa Susana before that timeframe?

MR. KATZ: Oh, I think we would need one in advance, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	MEMBER MUNN: We would hope so.
2	MR. KATZ: Yes.
3	MEMBER RICHARDSON: Ted, this is
4	David Richardson. I just wanted to, for the
5	record, let you know I'm on.
6	MR. KATZ: Oh, great. Welcome,
7	David.
8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Welcome, Dave.
9	MEMBER RICHARDSON: All right.
10	MEMBER MUNN: I'm on that Work
11	Group but I haven't heard anything from Phil
12	about
13	MEMBER BEACH: No, I haven't
14	either. This is Josie again.
15	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: We'll check with
16	Phil and sort of I think we ought to we
17	can wait till the July meeting and decide that
18	and see if there's other candidates, so I think
19	that should be the leading candidate if it makes
20	

1	MR. KATZ: Okay.
2	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. Any other
3	suggestions that people have or thoughts since
4	our last meeting?
5	MEMBER BEACH: I was curious where
6	we are with Hanford because we haven't been back
7	here for a while.
8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes, it's a
9	possibility and I actually haven't checked in
10	since Augusta, but at that time it was, there's
11	still lots of document retrieval going on.
12	There's been some interviews and it
13	wasn't clear until, if I recall right, until
14	those get done that we'll have a sense, a time
15	sense, but I'll check with Sam and Arjun and
16	update and see if that's a possibility also.
17	MR. KATZ: Okay, and the third, I
18	think the third possibility, I don't recall a
19	timeline for this either, but LaVon's on the

line, a third possibility might be Rocky Flats.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.

MR. RUTHERFORD: I can update you a little bit on that. Rocky Flats, we issued a couple of White Papers I think last week or the week before and we have another White Paper that'll be coming out probably within the next few weeks.

There are still a couple of open issues that we're waiting on documents from LANL on the neptunium for the '84 to '88 period and we identified those documents and we're just waiting for those documents to be shipped to Germantown, just to finalize our review on that.

And then we also are finalizing our interviews on the data falsification. We ran into a little bit of a snag in that the documents that were provided, or Mr. Lipsky, who was the FBI Agent involved in the raid, he provided a number of documents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Well, some of those documents had some identifications on them that brought some concern that we had our general counsel review those and our general counsel has been in contact with the FBI general counsel to make sure that those documents are okay for us to upload into our database so we can distribute to everyone to review.

So those two issues that are still open are the open issues and the data falsification in the neptunium. I mean, it's possible that we would have those complete.

Right now our current schedule has those complete well before the November meeting, but that also depends a lot on those reviews from FBI general counsel releasing those documents and us getting the documents from LANL.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Thanks, LaVon. So, what, five or six weeks we'll be in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	Idaho and we can decide there, but I think
2	I'm not sure how much more of an update on Rocky,
3	but I'll find out more about Hanford and we can
4	track down on Santa Susana and make a decision
5	when we're up in Idaho Falls.
6	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, Ted, thank
7	you.
8	MR. KATZ: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Good.
10	Correspondence, I'm not aware of any. Ted, are
11	you?
12	MR. KATZ: Hold on one moment. I
13	thought we were in receipt of some
14	correspondence that NIOSH was going to respond
15	to first, but maybe I've
16	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes, there is one
17	I think NIOSH has that's
18	MR. KATZ: Okay, right. Yes,
19	nothing
20	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I mean, it was

1	simply a question for NIOSH, not to report, but
2	
3	MR. KATZ: Right, okay.
4	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. That's it,
5	that's the only one I'm aware of.
6	Good. Any other business,
7	questions, comments from people? If not,
8	thank you all for taking the time and we'll see
9	you in Idaho Falls.
10	MR. KATZ: Thank you, everyone.
11	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Thanks. Yes,
11 12	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Thanks. Yes, bye.
12	bye.
12	bye. (Whereupon, the above-entitled
12 13 14	bye. (Whereupon, the above-entitled
12 13 14 15	bye. (Whereupon, the above-entitled
12 13 14 15 16	bye. (Whereupon, the above-entitled
12 13 14 15 16 17	bye. (Whereupon, the above-entitled

40

1

2

3

4

5

6

7