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## State-Specific Birth Rates for Teenagers — United States, 1990-1996

During the late 1980s, birth rates for teenagers in the United States increased sharply. Although rates have declined steadily since 1991 ( 1,2 ), age-, race-, ethnicity-, and state-specific rates have varied substantially. Despite recent declines, the U.S. birth rate for teenagers remains high compared with other industrialized countries. In 1996, an estimated 505,514 females aged <20 years gave birth; two thirds of births to teenagers are unintended (3). The adverse consequences of teenage childbearing include increased poverty for both mother and child. This report presents state-specific birth rates for females aged 15-19 years for 1991 and 1995 and compares race/ ethnicity-specific birth rates for U.S. females aged <20 years for 1990-1996. These findings indicate that, during 1991-1995, birth rates among teenagers declined significantly in all but five states and the District of Columbia, and declines nationwide during 1991-1996 were especially large for teenagers aged 15-17 years and for black teenagers. Recent declines in abortions and abortion rates for teenagers, coupled with the trends described in this report for birth rates for teenagers, indicate that, since 1991, pregnancy rates for teenagers also have declined.

Data for 1990-1995 (the most recent year for which state-specific data were available) were derived from the complete file of all births registered in state vital statistics offices (1,4). Data for 1996 were derived from preliminary files containing $94 \%$ of births; the preliminary data series was initiated in 1995 (2). Births were reported by mother's state of residence. Population denominators for the birth rates were obtained from the Bureau of the Census (5,6). Race/ethnicity-specific data are presented for Hispanics, non-Hispanic whites, blacks, American Indians/Alaskan Natives, and Asians/Pacific Islanders. Data for non-Hispanic blacks are not presented separately from data for all blacks because both sets of data are virtually identical ( $97 \%$ of births to blacks are to non-Hispanic females). Because preliminary data for 1996 were not available for race/ethnicity cross-classification, the most recent data for non-Hispanic white females were for 1995.

The preliminary birth rate for teenagers aged 15-19 years in 1996 was 54.7 births per 1000 females aged 15-19 years, a 4\% decline from the rate for 1995 (56.8) (Table 1). From 1986 to 1991, the rate increased $24 \%$ (from 50.2 to 62.1 ) ( 1 ); however, from 1991 to 1996, the rate declined $12 \%$. Although rates declined in all subgroups, the percentage decline was greater for teenagers in younger age groups ( $14 \%$ for those
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TABLE 1. Rate* of births for females aged <20 years, by age group and race/ethnicity - United States, 1990-1996

| Age group (yrs)/ Race/Ethnicity | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | $1996{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic§ | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | NA ${ }^{\text {I }}$ |
| Black** | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 3.7 |
| American Indian/ Alaskan Native ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Total | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 100.3 | 106.7 | 107.1 | 106.8 | 107.7 | 106.7 | 101.6 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 42.5 | 43.4 | 41.7 | 40.7 | 40.4 | 39.3 | NA |
| Black | 112.8 | 115.5 | 112.4 | 108.6 | 104.5 | 96.1 | 91.7 |
| American Indian/ Alaskan Native | 81.1 | 85.0 | 84.4 | 83.1 | 80.8 | 78.0 | 75.1 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 26.4 | 27.4 | 26.6 | 27.0 | 27.1 | 26.1 | 25.4 |
| Total | 59.9 | 62.1 | 60.7 | 59.6 | 58.9 | 56.8 | 54.7 |
| 15-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 65.9 | 70.6 | 71.4 | 71.7 | 74.0 | 72.9 | 68.9 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 23.2 | 23.6 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 22.8 | 22.0 | NA |
| Black | 82.3 | 84.1 | 81.3 | 79.8 | 76.3 | 69.7 | 64.9 |
| American Indian/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaskan Native | 48.5 | 52.7 | 53.8 | 53.7 | 51.3 | 47.8 | 47.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 16.0 | 16.1 | 15.2 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 15.4 | 15.6 |
| Total | 37.5 | 38.7 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 37.6 | 36.0 | 34.0 |
| 18-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 147.7 | 158.5 | 159.7 | 159.1 | 158.0 | 157.9 | 150.7 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 66.6 | 70.5 | 69.8 | 67.7 | 67.4 | 66.1 | NA |
| Black | 152.9 | 158.6 | 157.9 | 151.9 | 148.3 | 137.1 | 133.0 |
| American Indian/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 40.2 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.3 | 44.1 | 43.4 | 41.5 |
| Total | 88.6 | 94.4 | 94.5 | 92.1 | 91.5 | 89.1 | 86.5 |

*Per 1000 females.
†Data for 1996 are preliminary.
${ }^{\text {§ Persons of }}$ Hispanic ethnicity may be of any race.
${ }^{T}$ Not available.
** Data for non-Hispanic blacks are not presented separately from data for all blacks because both sets of data are virtually identical ( $97 \%$ of births to blacks are to non-Hispanic females).
${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Includes births to Aleuts and Eskimos.
aged 10-14 years and $12 \%$ for those aged 15-17 years) than for those who were older ( $8 \%$ for those aged $18-19$ years).

In general, birth rates during 1991-1996 declined for teenagers in all racial/ethnic groups for which 1996 rates could be computed. During this period, the rate for blacks aged $15-17$ years declined $23 \%$, compared with a decline of $16 \%$ for those aged $18-$ 19 years. From 1991 to 1995 (the most recent year for which data were available), the rate for non-Hispanic whites aged 15-17 years declined 7\%, compared with a decline
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of $6 \%$ for those aged 18-19 years. From 1995 to 1996, rates for Hispanics aged 1519 years declined $5 \%$, even though rates in this group had been stable during 19911995. During 1991-1996, rates for American Indians/Alaskan Natives and Asians/ Pacific Islanders aged 15-19 years declined $12 \%$ and $7 \%$, respectively.

From 1991 to 1995 (the most recent year for which state-specific data were available), state-specific birth rates for teenagers varied substantially (Table 2).* During this period, rates for those aged 15-19 years declined in all states and the District of Columbia, and declined significantly in most (45) states. Statistically significant percentage declines ranged from 3.6\% (Texas) to 26.9\% (Vermont) (Table 2). Rates declined $\geq 12.0 \%$ in 12 states, $10.0 \%-11.9 \%$ in nine states, $8.0 \%-9.9 \%$ in 12 states, and $<8.0 \%$ in 12 states (Figure 1).
Reported by: Reproductive Statistics Br, Div of Vital Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics, CDC.
Editorial Note: The findings in this report indicate that, from 1991 to 1996, birth rates for all U.S. teenagers declined; rates declined for all age groups and for all racial/ ethnic groups. Birth rates are used to assess the effectiveness of programs to reduce teenage pregnancy; comprehensive assessment of such trends also requires that data on legal induced abortion and fetal loss be combined with live-birth data to produce teenage pregnancy rates. From 1991 to 1992, the teenage pregnancy rate declined $3 \%$ (from 115.0 pregnancies per 1000 females aged $15-19$ years to 111.3 per 1000, respectively) ( 4,7 ), reflecting declines in both birth and abortion rates for teenagers. More recently, abortion statistics for 1993-1994 indicate a continued decline in abortions and abortion rates for teenagers (8). The declines in both birth and abortion rates for teenagers suggest a sustained decline in teenage pregnancy rates.

Teenage childbearing patterns varied substantially by race/ethnicity, possibly reflecting differences in income, education, access to health care, and health-care coverage. Rates historically have been higher for black and Hispanic teenagers than for other groups (1,2,4). Because recent declines in teenage birth rates have been greater for blacks, race-specific differences in rates have narrowed.

State-specific variations in birth rates for teenagers especially reflected differences in the racial/ethnic composition of the teenage population. Overall, rates were higher in states with higher proportions of Hispanic and/or black teenagers. For example, rates were higher in states in the South and Southwest with proportionately higher Hispanic and black populations (Table 2). The state-specific data in this report were not adjusted for these compositional differences because the race-/ethnicity-specific data are not available for 1995.

Although birth rates for teenagers were substantially higher during the early 1970s than during recent years, most teenagers giving birth during the earlier period were married; most of those giving birth during more recent periods were unmarried (1,2,4). The sustained increases in the percentage of births to unmarried teenagers slowed during the early 1990s.

Findings from the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth suggest two trends have contributed to the declines in teenage birth (and pregnancy) rates. First, the long-term increase in the proportion of teenaged women who were sexually experienced leveled
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TABLE 2. Rate* of births for females aged 15-19 years, by age group and state, and percentage change for females aged 15-19 years - United States, 1991 and 1995

| State | 1991 |  |  | 1995 |  |  | \% Change from 1991 to 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-17 | 18-19 | 15-19 | 15-17 | 18-19 | 15-19 | 15-19 |
| Alabama | 47.7 | 109.5 | 73.9 | 47.2 | 104.3 | 70.3 | -4.9 |
| Alaska | 35.3 | 111.7 | 65.4 | 29.6 | 81.2 | 50.2 | -23.3 |
| Arizona | 51.4 | 122.6 | 80.7 | 47.7 | 121.0 | 75.7 | - 6.2 |
| Arkansas | 49.4 | 122.8 | 79.8 | 47.9 | 112.0 | 73.5 | - 7.9 |
| California | 46.9 | 113.6 | 74.7 | 43.4 | 107.0 | 68.2 | - 8.7 |
| Colorado | 35.3 | 91.4 | 58.2 | 32.7 | 80.3 | 51.3 | -11.9 |
| Connecticut | 26.3 | 59.4 | 40.4 | 26.6 | 59.7 | 39.3 | $-2.8{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| Delaware | 40.3 | 87.1 | 61.1 | 39.2 | 83.4 | 57.0 | $-6.7^{\dagger}$ |
| District of Columbia | 102.8 | 125.5 | 114.4 | 78.3 | 145.7 | 106.8 | - $6.6{ }^{+}$ |
| Florida | 44.0 | 102.9 | 68.8 | 40.0 | 96.4 | 61.7 | -10.3 |
| Georgia | 50.6 | 110.9 | 76.3 | 48.3 | 106.7 | 71.1 | - 6.8 |
| Hawaii | 34.7 | 91.5 | 58.7 | 27.6 | 76.3 | 47.9 | -18.4 |
| Idaho | 29.3 | 90.8 | 53.9 | 26.7 | 82.7 | 49.0 | - 9.1 |
| Illinois | 40.6 | 99.1 | 64.8 | 38.4 | 94.0 | 59.9 | - 7.6 |
| Indiana | 35.2 | 95.2 | 60.5 | 34.7 | 92.2 | 57.5 | - 5.0 |
| lowa | 22.8 | 71.5 | 42.6 | 22.1 | 64.9 | 38.6 | - 9.3 |
| Kansas | 29.4 | 94.1 | 55.4 | 29.9 | 87.6 | 52.2 | - 5.8 |
| Kentucky | 42.6 | 105.5 | 68.9 | 38.9 | 98.2 | 62.5 | - 9.2 |
| Louisiana | 51.1 | 111.4 | 76.1 | 45.3 | 106.8 | 69.9 | - 8.1 |
| Maine | 23.8 | 70.1 | 43.5 | 19.2 | 56.7 | 33.6 | -22.7 |
| Maryland | 35.2 | 79.8 | 54.3 | 32.0 | 72.6 | 47.7 | -12.2 |
| Massachusetts | 25.2 | 52.9 | 37.8 | 21.7 | 53.5 | 34.3 | - 9.2 |
| Michigan | 35.5 | 91.1 | 59.0 | 30.1 | 79.3 | 49.2 | -16.6 |
| Minnesota | 20.7 | 61.4 | 37.3 | 19.4 | 53.8 | 32.4 | -13.1 |
| Mississippi | 60.1 | 120.4 | 85.6 | 57.7 | 115.2 | 80.6 | - 5.9 |
| Missouri | 38.7 | 100.7 | 64.5 | 32.6 | 91.9 | 55.5 | -13.9 |
| Montana | 23.6 | 83.0 | 46.7 | 22.8 | 72.1 | 41.8 | -10.6 |
| Nebraska | 23.6 | 69.2 | 42.4 | 22.0 | 61.4 | 37.6 | -11.3 |
| Nevada | 43.9 | 119.1 | 75.3 | 43.8 | 121.1 | 73.3 | - $2.6{ }^{+}$ |
| New Hampshire | 17.1 | 53.8 | 33.3 | 14.6 | 57.1 | 30.5 | - 8.4 |
| New Jersey | 26.3 | 62.9 | 41.6 | 24.4 | 59.6 | 38.0 | - 8.7 |
| New Mexico | 50.0 | 124.4 | 79.8 | 48.9 | 115.2 | 74.5 | - 6.6 |
| New York | 29.1 | 69.0 | 46.0 | 27.6 | 69.1 | 44.0 | - 4.3 |
| North Carolina | 46.2 | 101.7 | 70.5 | 41.6 | 98.1 | 64.1 | - 9.1 |
| North Dakota | 18.1 | 62.4 | 35.6 | 17.8 | 58.5 | 33.5 | - $5.9{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| Ohio | 36.2 | 93.8 | 60.5 | 32.6 | 85.7 | 53.4 | -11.8 |
| Oklahoma | 41.7 | 115.6 | 72.1 | 38.7 | 103.4 | 64.0 | -11.3 |
| Oregon | 31.3 | 90.7 | 54.9 | 30.0 | 83.6 | 50.7 | - 7.7 |
| Pennsylvania | 29.2 | 70.5 | 46.9 | 26.2 | 65.9 | 41.7 | -11.1 |
| Rhode Island | 30.1 | 63.6 | 45.4 | 26.5 | 68.9 | 43.1 | $-5.1{ }^{\text {+ }}$ |
| South Carolina | 48.0 | 105.4 | 72.9 | 43.5 | 97.1 | 65.1 | -10.7 |
| South Dakota | 26.3 | 79.2 | 47.5 | 21.4 | 70.1 | 40.5 | -14.8 |
| Tennessee | 47.8 | 112.1 | 75.2 | 42.0 | 108.1 | 67.9 | - 9.7 |
| Texas | 50.4 | 119.3 | 78.9 | 50.6 | 115.4 | 76.1 | - 3.6 |
| Utah | 27.0 | 79.8 | 48.2 | 25.2 | 67.7 | 42.4 | -12.0 |
| Vermont | 21.3 | 62.0 | 39.2 | 10.8 | 57.0 | 28.6 | -26.9 |
| Virginia | 31.8 | 81.2 | 53.5 | 30.7 | 74.8 | 48.7 | -9.1 |
| Washington | 31.0 | 86.5 | 53.7 | 28.0 | 78.1 | 47.6 | -11.3 |
| West Virginia | 32.4 | 93.2 | 57.8 | 30.5 | 85.6 | 52.7 | - 8.8 |
| Wisconsin | 24.8 | 71.2 | 43.7 | 22.6 | 62.1 | 37.8 | -13.5 |
| Wyoming | 26.4 | 98.6 | 54.2 | 24.6 | 84.5 | 47.2 | -13.0 |
| Total | 38.7 | 94.4 | 62.1 | 36.0 | 89.1 | 56.8 | - 8.5 |
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FIGURE 1. Percentage decline in teenage birth rates*, by state - United States, 1991-1995

*Per 1000 females aged 15-19 years.
after having increased during 1982-1990 (from $47 \%$ to $55 \%$ ). In addition, among sexually experienced teenagers who used any method of contraception, condom use increased substantially (3).

Recognition of the consequences of teenage pregnancy has prompted initiatives to reduce teenage pregnancy in state and local jurisdictions. Although a variety of programs have been developed to reduce the incidence of teenage pregnancy, only a limited number have been rigorously evaluated (9), and no single approach has been identified. Instead, states and local jurisdictions are being encouraged to consider a wide variety of approaches and strategies for preventing teenage pregnancy. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) is coordinating and supporting an intensive multifaceted strategy to reduce teenage pregnancy (10). Basic elements of this strategy include increasing opportunities through welfare reform (e.g., provisions promoting personal responsibility for minor parents, abstinence education, incentives for states that reduce out-of-wedlock childbearing, and strict enforcement of child support laws); supporting approaches tailored to the unique needs of individual communities (e.g., DHHS' Community Coalition Partnership Program for the Prevention of Teen Pregnancy and the Adolescent Family Life Program); building partnerships among concerned citizens from all sectors of society; sharing information about promising and successful approaches in teenage pregnancy-prevention programs; and improving data collection, research, and evaluation.
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## Use of Rollover Protective Structures Iowa, Kentucky, New York, and Ohio, 1992-1997

Agriculture has one of the highest occupational fatality rates of all industries in the United States (1). Tractors and other types of agricultural equipment account for a large proportion of these fatalities, and farm-tractor rollovers account for approximately 130 work-related deaths each year in the United States (2). Although rollover protective structures (ROPS) are effective in protecting tractor operators from fatal injuries during rollovers (3-5), most tractors in the United States are not equipped with ROPS (4-7). Beginning in 1985, tractor manufacturers in the United Sates agreed to sell only tractors with ROPS; however, many older tractors without ROPS remain in use. To determine the prevalence of the use of ROPS, beginning in 1992, the Farm Family Health and Hazard Surveillance (FFHHS) program* collected state-based data on tractor age and use of ROPS from selected states. As of August 1997, four states had completed collection and analysis of data on farm tractors. This report summarizes the results of that survey, which indicates that $80 \%-90 \%$ of tractors in use in the four states were manufactured before 1985 and that $<40 \%$ are equipped with ROPS.
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FFHHS included population-based, cross-sectional surveys of health conditions and exposures to workplace hazards among farmers in six states (California, Colorado, lowa, Kentucky, New York, and Ohio). For this report, data from four of these states were analyzed, including use of ROPS (lowa, Kentucky, New York, and Ohio), year of tractor manufacture (lowa, Kentucky, and Ohio), and the mean annual usage for these tractors (lowa). The design of the surveys varied slightly from state to state. ROPS data were collected through a combination of telephone interviews (lowa and Kentucky) and/or on-farm observational walkthroughs (Kentucky, New York, and Ohio).

Sampling frames varied by state and included all farms in the respective geographic study areas (lowa and New York), only farms operated by farmers aged $\geq 55$ years (Kentucky), and only cash grain farms (Ohio). The surveys were designed to provide prevalence estimates either for a specific geographic area within the state (New York and Ohio) or statewide (lowa and Kentucky). State-specific prevalence estimates were based on numbers of sampled farms and tractors: lowa-344 farms, 1128 tractors; Kentucky-149 farms, 282 tractors; New York-580 farms, 2513 tractors; and Ohio-315 farms, 919 tractors.

The proportions of tractors with ROPS varied inversely with the age of the tractors, and the numbers of older tractors in use at the time of the survey were substantial. Overall, the percentage of tractors equipped with ROPS was greatest in lowa (39.5\%) followed by New York (38.6\%), Ohio (34.3\%), and Kentucky (26.9\%) (Table 1). The percentage of tractors manufactured since 1985 that were equipped with ROPS ranged from $79.7 \%$ (Kentucky) to $91.5 \%$ (Ohio). However, among tractors manufactured during 1955-1964 (approximately $15 \%$ of all tractors), $<5 \%$ were equipped with ROPS, and among tractors manufactured before 1955 (approximately $13 \%$ of tractors), $<1 \%$ were equipped with ROPS.

In lowa, information was collected about the annual hours of use of tractors with and without ROPS (Table 2). Approximately 70\% of tractors without ROPS in lowa, representing an estimated 114,246 tractors statewide, were used for $>100$ hours each year. In 1995, the lowa FFHHS asked farmers about tractors they had purchased during the previous year. A total of 45 farmers reported having purchased 63 tractors with a mean age of 18 years. Of these tractors, $25(40 \%)$ were not equipped with ROPS.
Reported by: C Zwerling, MD, L Burmeister, PhD, S Reynolds, PhD, Univ of lowa, lowa City. R McKnight, ScD, S Browning, PhD, D Reed, PhD, Univ of Kentucky, Lexington. J Wilkins, DrPH, T Bean, PhD, L Mitchell, MAS, Ohio State Univ, Columbus. E Hallman, MS, Cornell Univ, Ithaca; J May, MD, New York Center for Agricultural Medicine and Health, Cooperstown; A Stark, DrPH, S Hwang, PhD, New York State Dept of Health. Div of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluations, and Field Studies, Div of Safety Research, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; Div of Unintentional Injury Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, CDC.
Editorial Note: The number of tractors in the United States equipped with ROPS has been estimated by CDC's Traumatic Injury Surveillance of Farmers (TISF) survey. TISF contains data from a random sample of farming operations across the United States and provides information on lost-time, work-related farm injuries and data about farm tractors used on these farms. Based on information for 1993, TISF indicated that the hours of tractor use, distribution of the age of tractors in use, and ROPS-use patterns were similar to those presented in this report for lowa, Kentucky, New York, and Ohio (6).
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TABLE 1. Number and percentage of all tractors and percentage of tractors with rollover protective structures (ROPS), by state and year of manufacture - lowa, Kentucky, New York, and Ohio, 1992-August 1997

| State/Year of manufacture | No.* | (\%) | \% With ROPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lowa |  |  |  |
| <1955 | 32,895 | ( 12.8) | 0.6 |
| 1955-1964 | 42,493 | ( 16.5) | 3.8 |
| 1965-1974 | 82,298 | ( 32.0) | 29.4 |
| 1975-1984 | 71,627 | ( 27.8) | 70.4 |
| $\geq 1985$ | 28,155 | ( 10.9) | 89.5 |
| Total | 257,468 | (100.0) | 39.5 |
| Kentucky |  |  |  |
| <1955 | 24,751 | ( 12.5) | 0 |
| 1955-1964 | 28,315 | ( 14.3) | 0 |
| 1965-1974 | 41,185 | ( 20.8) | 0 |
| 1975-1984 | 61,778 | ( 31.2) | 32.2 |
| $\geq 1985$ | 41,978 | ( 21.2) | 79.7 |
| Total | 198,007 | (100.0) | 26.9 |
| Ohio |  |  |  |
| <1955 | 127 | ( 13.8) | 0 |
| 1955-1964 | 131 | ( 14.3) | 3.8 |
| 1965-1974 | 277 | ( 30.1) | 17.3 |
| 1975-1984 | 278 | ( 30.3) | 68.3 |
| $\geq 1985$ | 106 | ( 11.5) | 91.5 |
| Total | 919 | (100.0) | 34.3 |
| New York ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,513 | (100.0) | 38.6 |

* lowa and Kentucky reported weighted estimates for tractors statewide; New York and Ohio reported numbers of tractors in the survey sample of counties or regions.
${ }^{\dagger}$ New York has not completed analysis of year of manufacture.
TABLE 2. Number and percentage of tractors with and without rollover protective structures (ROPS), by annual hours of use - lowa, 1992-August 1997

| Annual hours of use | Tractors with ROPS |  |  | Tractors without ROPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | 6,341 | $(\%)$ |  | No. |

In 1993, an estimated 4.8 million tractors were in use on U.S. farms (6). Of these, only $38 \%$ were equipped with a ROPS. However, $87 \%$ of the farm tractors manufactured since 1985 are reported to be equipped with ROPS, and $92 \%$ of the farm tractors manufactured since 1990 were equipped with ROPS. In comparison, for farm tractors aged $\geq 30$ years (approximately $28 \%$ of tractors on farms), $<5 \%$ are equipped with ROPS.
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The increase in installation of ROPS on tractors beginning in the mid-1980s especially reflects the 1985 American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE) voluntary standard on ROPS (8)-this standard encouraged all manufacturers of farm tractors to install ROPS on all new tractors (tractors used in orchard and vineyard operations were exempted because of limitations of vertical clearances). Most tractor manufacturers responded to the voluntary standard by developing ROPS suitable for use on all types of farm tractors currently being manufactured. In addition, most manufacturers have developed ROPS retrofits for use on many older tractor models. Retrofit kits, including safety belts, are now offered to farmers at the manufacturer's cost. The combined use of safety belts and ROPS provide tractor operators with a high level of protection by ensuring that the operator remains within the zone of protection of the ROPS in the event of a rollover.

The ASAE standard has contributed substantially to reducing the risk for tractor-rollover-associated injuries among farmers and farm workers. However, no effective national program has been implemented to encourage retrofitting ROPS on the approximately 3 million tractors without ROPS that are currently in use on farms. CDC's National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) encourage the use of ROPS and safety belts on all farm tractors in the United States, and OSHA maintains a standard that requires ASAE-approved ROPS to be placed on all farm tractors manufactured after 1976. The OSHA standard is not actively enforced on farms with <11 employees, and family farms without other employees are exempt from OSHA regulations. NIOSH can promote ROPS use but has no authority to require their use.

In September 1997, the University of lowa sponsored the Tractor Risk Abatement and Control Policy Conference in lowa City, lowa. A main focus of this conference was to identify innovative policies and programs to encourage installation of ROPS on tractors and to promote use of safety belts with ROPS.
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## Tuberculin Skin Test Survey in a Pediatric Population with High BCG Vaccination Coverage - Botswana, 1996

Tuberculosis (TB) causes more deaths worldwide than any other infectious disease: in 1995, TB caused an estimated 3 million deaths, of which 170,000 (6\%) occurred among children aged $<15$ years (1,2). Diagnosing TB in children often is difficult and relies on clinical judgement and use of algorithms that include chest radiography and the tuberculin skin test (TST). However, interpretation of TST reactivity can be complicated by many factors other than infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis. For example, previous Bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccination or exposure to nontuberculous mycobacteria can result in positive TST reactions indistinguishable from those caused by M. tuberculosis (3). In contrast, such factors as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, poor nutritional status, and recent viral or bacterial infections or vaccination with live virus can reduce response to the TST (4). To assess the use of the TST for diagnosing pediatric TB in a population with high BCG coverage, a TST survey was conducted during July-August 1996 among children aged 3-60 months in Botswana ( 1991 population: 1.3 million) (Figure 1). The findings indicate that most positive TSTs (induration $\geq 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) among children in Botswana can be attributed to TB infection rather than previous BCG vaccination and that the TST remains useful for diagnosing pediatric TB in Botswana.

The rate of TB in Botswana in 1996 was high ( 444 cases per 100,000 population) compared with that in the United States (eight per 100,000), and approximately $90 \%$ of children in Botswana are vaccinated at birth with BCG. This survey and analysis assessed the prevalence of and risk factors for a positive TST reaction (e.g., BCG vaccination, crowding, symptoms of TB, and exposure to persons with TB) and the potential associations between TST reactivity and recent measles vaccination or oral poliovirus vaccination and poor nutritional status.

A multistage cluster survey was conducted in two urban and two rural districts using a modification of the Expanded Program on Immunization method (5). The sur-

## FIGURE 1. Location of Botswana



## Tuberculin Skin Test Survey - Continued

vey protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of CDC and the Health Research Development and Ethical Committee of the Botswana Ministry of Health. After obtaining informed consent from a parent or guardian, a questionnaire was administered to the parent or guardian of eligible children aged $3-60$ months, and the child's vaccination card was reviewed, weight and height were obtained, and arms were examined for a BCG scar. Study nurses then administered $0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of RT23 tuberculin intradermally (equivalent to 5 tuberculin units of purified protein derivativestandard, Mantoux method). Induration was measured independently by two study nurses at 48-72 hours, and an average of these two readings was used in data analysis (mean inter-reader variability was $<0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Data were weighted to account for the probability of selection. Comparisons and associations between categorical variables were evaluated using the chi-square test, and prevalence rate ratios (PRRs) with $95 \%$ confidence intervals (Cls) were calculated by the Mantel-Haenszel method.

Of the 1593 households visited, an adult occupant was contacted in 1484 (93\%); at least one child aged 3-60 months was identified in 691 (47\%) of these households. An adult respondent in 620 (90\%) of the 691 households (representing 821 eligible children) agreed to allow at least one child to participate in the study. TSTs were administered to and read for 783 ( $95 \%$ ) of the 821 children. The median age of participants was 28 months; $53 \%$ were female. The TSTs for the 783 children yielded indurations of zero for 617 ( $79 \%$ ) children, $1-9 \mathrm{~mm}$ for 108 ( $14 \%$ ), $10-14 \mathrm{~mm}$ for 43 ( $5 \%$ ), and $\geq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ for 15 ( $2 \%$ ) (range: zero to 21 mm ). Of the 724 children for whom vaccination cards were available, 721 had received BCG vaccine; BCG scars were observed in 524 ( $73 \%$ ) children with documented BCG vaccination and in $34(58 \%)$ without vaccination cards.

Children with BCG scars were twice as likely as those without scars to have a TST reaction $\geq 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=1.4-2.7$ ); however, the rate of TST positivity (at the $10-\mathrm{mm}$ cutoff) did not differ significantly between those with and without BCG scars (PRR=1.6, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=0.9-2.9$ ) (Table 1). The prevalence of a positive TST was greater among children with reported contact with any person with active TB than among those without reported contact ( $\mathrm{PRR}=1.9,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=1.0-3.6$ ). In addition, the prevalence was greater among children with reported contact with a mother (PRR=5.1, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=2.1-12.4$ ) or aunt ( $\mathrm{PRR}=5.3,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=2.0-14.0$ ) with TB than among those without any reported contact. The prevalence of TST positivity increased directly with the number of reported TB contacts (chi-square test for trend $=0.03$ ). TST positivity was not associated with other factors (e.g., age, interval since BCG vaccination, nutritional status, district, household crowding, or receipt of measles or oral poliovirus vaccine during the preceding 2 months). Although nine children had received anti-TB treatment previously, laboratory confirmation of TB disease in these children was not available; of these nine, TSTs were positive for two (among those with history of TB treatment, the PRR for positive TST was $3.2,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=1.3-8.0$ ).
Reported by: D Rumisha, MPH, Communicable Diseases Section, Ministry of Health, Botswana; J Baratedi, N Chimidza, C Hirschfeld, M Reed, M Notha, J Phatshwane, M Makhoha, K Mudongo, A Mahala Oteng, LClay, V Nkwe, R Muzila, MA, T Mavunga, BOTUSA TB Project, Gaborone, Botswana. International Activities Br, Div of Tuberculosis Elimination, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention, CDC.
Editorial Note: The increasing rates of reported TB in many countries in which BCG is administered underscore the importance of judicious interpretation of TSTs in children who have received BCG (6). In the United States, this consideration is important for many health-care workers who must interpret TSTs in BCG vaccinees, even though


| Age group (mos) $\uparrow$ T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-12 | 136 | (17) | 9 | ( 7) | 1.1 | (0.4-2.6) | $>0.05$ |
| 13-24 | 190 | (24) | 16 | ( 8) | 1.4 | (0.6-3.0) | $>0.05$ |
| 25-36 | 158 | (20) | 12 | ( 8) | 1.2 | (0.5-2.8) | $>0.05$ |
| 37-48 | 152 | (20) | 12 | ( 8) | 1.3 | (0.6-2.9) | $>0.05$ |
| 49-60 | 145 | (19) | 9 | ( 6) |  | (Referent) |  |
| TB contact*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 690 | (88) | 46 | ( 7) |  | (Referent) |  |
| Any | 93 | (12) | 12 | (13) | 1.9 | (1.0-3.6) | 0.02 |
| Mother | 12 | ( 2) | 4 | (33) | 5.1 | (2.1-12.4) | <0.01 |
| Father | 3 | (<1) | 1 | (33) | 4.6 | (0.9-25.2) | >0.05 |
| Sibling | 5 | ( 1) | 0 | - |  | Undefined |  |
| Aunt | 11 | ( 1) | 4 | (36) | 5.3 | (2.0-14.0) | <0.01 |
| Grandmother | 23 | (3) | 4 | (17) | 2.5 | (1.0-6.3) | >0.05 |

*Study participants include only children who had TSTs read. Two categories (scar and age) contain information on only 781 patients because of missing data.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Prevalence rate ratio is the rate of TST positivity among children with the characteristic compared with the rate of TST positivity among children without the characteristic.
${ }^{\S}$ Confidence interval.
${ }^{\ddagger} p$ values are nonweighted; PRRs and corresponding Cls are weighted.
**Only considered "vaccinated" if this was documented on a vaccination card. If vaccination card was not available, the child was counted as "unvaccinated."
${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Cough, fever, or enlarged glands, as reported by the child's parent or guardian.
§§ Z scores, or standard deviation (SD) units, have a normal distribution and SD of $1 . \mathrm{Z}$ scores that are at least 2 SD units below the reference median indicate malnutrition. Low height-for-age indicates chronic malnutrition, whereas low weight-for-height $Z$ scores suggest acute malnutrition or illness.
Iff PRRs and corresponding Cls for age compare the rate of TST positivity among children of the specific age group with the rate of TST positivity among the children with the lowest rate of TST positivity (i.e., those aged $49-60$ months). The PRRs for age are nonweighted.
***The referent population is comprised of children for whom no TB contact was reported. PRRs for TB contact represent the ratio of the risk for TST positivity among children with the contact to the rate of TST positivity among children without any TB contact.

## Tuberculin Skin Test Survey - Continued

BCG vaccine is not administered in the United States. For example, TSTs are frequently administered to assist in contact tracing and screening efforts among foreignborn persons in the United States; in 1996, foreign-born persons accounted for $36 \%$ of all U.S. TB cases, and many of these persons had received BCG $(7,8)$.

WHO recommends BCG vaccination of infants in countries with high TB rates, and an estimated $71 \%$ of infants worldwide born in 1989 received BCG. Mean TST size in BCG-vaccinated children varies with factors including the strain and dose of BCG used, interval since vaccination, number of BCG vaccinations administered, subsequent TST placement, and age and nutritional status of the child at the time of vaccination; previous reports indicate the mean size of induration in such children may range from 3 mm to 18 mm (9). In addition, previous studies indicate that TST induration attributed to BCG cross-reactivity decreases with increasing time since BCG administration (10) and that BCG efficacy does not correlate with postvaccination TST induration (9).

The findings of this survey suggest that, in Botswana, a TST with induration $\geq 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ can be attributed to TB infection rather than previous BCG vaccination. Of 783 children studied, 617 (79\%) had zero reactivity after a TST, indicating that BCG vaccination did not result in TST induration in most study participants. The higher prevalence of positive TST reactions in children who had a reported TB contact and the direct relation between positivity and increasing number of TB contacts suggests that the positive reactions probably resulted from infection with M. tuberculosis rather than BCG vaccination. In addition, presence of a BCG scar was not associated with a positive TST, and TST size did not vary inversely with age, suggesting the continued usefulness of TST for diagnosing pediatric TB in Botswana.

Factors potentially causing false-negative TSTs in this study included HIV seropositivity, altered potency of the tuberculin agent, and malnutrition. However, in Botswana, an estimated 7\% of children aged 3-60 months are HIV-positive, which would not account for the large proportion of children with an induration of zero. In addition, the potency of the tuberculin used in the study was confirmed at the Statens Serum Institute in Copenhagen, Denmark. Finally, poor nutritional status (based on low height-for-age and weight-for-weight $Z$ scores) was not associated with TST negativity.

Although BCG vaccination can cause a TST reaction that is indistinguishable from reactivity caused by $M$. tuberculosis infection, a history of BCG vaccination is not a contraindication to skin testing (10). Factors associated with an increased probability that a positive TST reaction is caused by M. tuberculosis infection rather than BCG vaccination include 1) large reaction size; 2) history of previous contact between the reactive person and a patient with TB; 3) a family history of TB; 4) country of origin with a high prevalence of TB; and 5) longer interval between BCG vaccination and TST administration (10). Health-care workers should be encouraged to use the TST in pediatric TB diagnosis and in screening high-risk populations for tuberculous infection, even in persons who have received BCG vaccine.
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## Erratum: Vol. 46, No. 35

In the article "Update: Staphylococcus aureus with Reduced Susceptibility to Vancomycin—United States, 1997," two errors appear on page 813 in the case report for Case 2. In line $8,8 \mu / \mathrm{mL}$ should have been $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and in line 11 , the isolate was not susceptible to imipenem.

In the same issue, the erratum title on page 827 was incorrect. The title should have been "Erratum: Vol. 46, No. 33" for the article "Staphylococcus aureus with Reduced Susceptibility to Vancomycin—United States, 1997," published on page 765 of issue number 33.

FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, comparison of provisional 4-week totals ending September 6, 1997, with historical data - United States

*Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 154 -week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4 -week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

TABLE I. Summary - provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending September 6, 1997 (36th Week)

|  | Cum. 1997 |  | Cum. 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Plague | 2 |
| Brucellosis | 48 | Poliomyelitis, paralytic | - |
| Cholera | 10 | Psittacosis | 36 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | 3 | Rabies, human | 2 |
| Cryptosporidiosis* | 1,040 | Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) | 267 |
| Diphtheria | 5 | Streptococcal disease, invasive Group A | 1,045 |
| Encephalitis: California* | 47 | Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome* | 26 |
| eastern equine* | 2 | Syphilis, congenital ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 196 |
| St. Louis* | 2 | Tetanus | 29 |
| western equine* | 1 | Toxic-shock syndrome | 84 |
| Hansen Disease | 70 | Trichinosis | 6 |
| Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome* ${ }^{+\dagger}$ | 15 | Typhoid fever | 214 |
| Hemolytic uremic syndrome, post-diarrheal* HIV infection, pediatric*s | 35 173 | Yellow fever | - |

## -:no reported cases

*Not notifiable in all states.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID).
${ }^{5}$ Updated monthly to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention-Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP), last update August 26, 1997.
${ }^{4}$ Updated from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP.

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending September 6, 1997, and September 7, 1996 (36th Week)

| Reporting Area | AIDS |  | Chlamydia |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escherichia } \\ \text { coli 0157:H7 } \end{gathered}$ |  | Gonorrhea |  | Hepatitis C/NA,NB |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NETSS ${ }^{\dagger}$ | PHLIS ${ }^{\text {5 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & \text { 1997* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 39,488 | 45,513 | 298,835 | 295,131 | 1,471 | 848 | 187,335 | 216,238 | 2,133 | 2,401 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 1,740 | 1,966 | 11,729 | 11,697 | 129 | 66 | 3,962 | 4,434 | 46 | 69 |
| Maine | 42 | 31 | 658 | 635 | 11 | - | 38 | , 38 |  |  |
| N.H. | 26 | 58 | 510 | 500 | 6 | 7 | 67 | 110 | 8 | 7 |
| Vt. | 30 | 14 | 274 | 272 | 6 | 1 | 36 | 41 | 2 | 17 |
| Mass. | 604 | 995 | 4,851 | 4,565 | 74 | 55 | 1,480 | 1,475 | 29 | 39 |
| R.I. | 113 | 123 | 1,339 | 1,354 | 4 | - | 306 | 357 | 7 | 6 |
| Conn. | 925 | 745 | 4,097 | 4,371 | 28 | 3 | 2,035 | 2,413 | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 12,364 | 12,704 | 40,760 | 43,259 | 90 | 22 | 24,658 | 28,476 | 239 | 193 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 1,935 | 1,671 | N | N | 60 | - | 3,905 | 5,073 | 178 | 153 |
| N.Y. City | 6,469 | 7,052 | 21,267 | 22,351 | 8 | - | 9,519 | 10,397 | - | 3 |
| N.J. | 2,526 | 2,392 | 6,239 | 8,446 | 22 | 16 | 4,886 | 5,934 | - | - |
| Pa . | 1,434 | 1,589 | 13,254 | 12,462 | N | 6 | 6,348 | 7,072 | 61 | 37 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,905 | 3,608 | 39,843 | 58,895 | 276 | 147 | 25,166 | 39,663 | 383 | 341 |
| Ohio | 626 | 810 | 7,398 | 14,247 | 66 | 32 | 5,132 | 10,119 | 13 | 24 |
| Ind. | 411 | 459 | 6,158 | 6,483 | 49 | - | 4,053 | 4,212 | 10 | 7 |
| III. | 1,186 | 1,576 | 7,327 | 16,684 | 47 | - | 3,568 | 11,813 | 61 | 67 |
| Mich. | 499 | 566 | 12,692 | 14,129 | 114 | 82 | 9,644 | 10,180 | 299 | 243 |
| Wis. | 183 | 197 | 6,268 | 7,352 | N | 33 | 2,769 | 3,339 | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 729 | 1,047 | 16,004 | 21,717 | 330 | 221 | 7,417 | 10,437 | 113 | 66 |
| Minn. | 138 | 188 | U | 3,560 | 155 | 135 | U | 1,610 | 3 | 1 |
| Iowa | 79 | 69 | 2,857 | 2,717 | 76 | 28 | 758 | 680 | 22 | 30 |
| Mo. | 318 | 537 | 7,955 | 8,775 | 34 | 44 | 4,948 | 5,878 | 73 | 17 |
| N. Dak. | 11 | 11 | 546 | 650 | 9 | 6 | 37 | 23 | 2 | - |
| S. Dak. | 7 | 9 | 865 | 1,004 | 19 | - | 94 | 124 | - | - |
| Nebr. | 72 | 74 | 1,147 | 1,929 | 23 | $\overline{-}$ | 426 | 712 | 2 | 6 |
| Kans. | 104 | 159 | 2,634 | 3,082 | 14 | 8 | 1,154 | 1,410 | 11 | 12 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 9,404 | 11,155 | 62,789 | 33,832 | 143 | 92 | 61,358 | 63,953 | 197 | 132 |
| Del. | 175 | 212 | 1,276 | 1,148 | 3 | 4 | 819 | 1,007 | - | - |
| Md. | 1,167 | 1,320 | 4,869 | U | 13 | 6 | 9,012 | 7,355 | 11 | 2 |
| D.C. | 657 | 803 | N | N | 2 | - | 3,004 | 3,099 | - | - |
| Va . | 769 | 793 | 7,868 | 7,521 | N | 18 | 5,215 | 6,411 | 20 | 10 |
| W. Va. | 79 | 83 | 2,028 | 1,485 | N | 1 | 638 | 519 | 13 | 9 |
| N.C. | 598 | 605 | 12,590 | U | 43 | 29 | 12,258 | 12,727 | 38 | 34 |
| S.C. | 545 | 583 | 8,578 | U | 7 | 7 | 8,071 | 7,747 | 30 | 21 |
| Ga . | 1,156 | 1,641 | 9,239 | 7,947 | 34 | - | 10,513 | 13,144 | U | - |
| Fla. | 4,258 | 5,115 | 16,341 | 15,731 | 40 | 27 | 11,828 | 11,944 | 85 | 56 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 1,370 | 1,558 | 22,779 | 20,811 | 72 | 30 | 22,776 | 22,097 | 245 | 415 |
| Ky. | 234 | 269 | 4,350 | 4,626 | 21 | - | 2,769 | 2,860 | 11 | 26 |
| Tenn. | 576 | 578 | 8,723 | 9,040 | 37 | 30 | 7,453 | 7,840 | 173 | 310 |
| Ala. | 333 | 431 | 5,750 | 5,781 | 11 | - | 8,057 | 9,246 | 7 | 3 |
| Miss. | 227 | 280 | 3,956 | 1,364 | 3 | - | 4,497 | 2,151 | 54 | 76 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 4,187 | 4,568 | 40,057 | 38,043 | 45 | 8 | 25,532 | 26,411 | 293 | 249 |
| Ark. | 160 | 185 | 905 | 1,227 | 9 | 1 | 1,893 | 2,902 | 1 | 8 |
| La. | 716 | 1,077 | 6,404 | 4,962 | 6 | 3 | 6,047 | 5,187 | 145 | 141 |
| Okla. | 215 | 187 | 5,167 | 5,324 | 3 | 1 | 3,408 | 3,373 | 7 | 1 |
| Tex. | 3,096 | 3,119 | 27,581 | 26,530 | 27 | 3 | 14,184 | 14,949 | 140 | 99 |
| MOUNTAIN | 1,114 | 1,340 | 16,420 | 17,241 | 172 | 90 | 5,508 | 5,344 | 295 | 420 |
| Mont. | 33 | 23 | 697 | 849 | 21 | - | 31 | 24 | 15 | 11 |
| Idaho | 37 | 28 | 993 | 1,073 | 18 | 13 | 78 | 78 | 40 | 92 |
| Wyo. | 13 | 4 | 398 | 432 | 12 | - | 40 | 29 | 130 | 129 |
| Colo. | 278 | 360 | 1,896 | 1,533 | 68 | 42 | 1,336 | 1,116 | 28 | 41 |
| N. Mex. | 112 | 116 | 2,238 | 2,633 | 6 | 4 | 908 | 535 | 42 | 61 |
| Ariz. | 273 | 373 | 7,321 | 7,622 | N | 23 | 2,428 | 2,651 | 24 | 50 |
| Utah | 88 | 124 | 1,116 | 1,033 | 37 | - | 170 | 200 | 3 | 18 |
| Nev. | 280 | 312 | 1,761 | 2,066 | 10 | 8 | 517 | 711 | 13 | 18 |
| PACIFIC | 5,675 | 7,566 | 48,454 | 49,636 | 214 | 165 | 10,958 | 15,423 | 322 | 516 |
| Wash. | 457 | 507 | 6,150 | 6,688 | 53 | 54 | 1,298 | 1,448 | 19 | 41 |
| Oreg. | 222 | 338 | 3,328 | 3,811 | 56 | 63 | 504 | 588 | 3 | 6 |
| Calif. | 4,918 | 6,564 | 36,915 | 37,124 | 94 | 41 | 8,585 | 12,773 | 196 | 321 |
| Alaska | 36 | 23 | 1,017 | 813 | 11 | 1 | 259 | 300 | - | 2 |
| Hawaii | 42 | 134 | 1,044 | 1,200 | N | 6 | 312 | 314 | 104 | 146 |
| Guam | 2 | 4 | 31 | 267 | N | - | 3 | 46 | - | 6 |
| P.R. | 1,382 | 1,511 | U | U | 30 | U | 418 | 456 | 87 | 126 |
| V.I. | 75 | 17 | N | N | N | U | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa |  |  | - | , | N | U | - | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | 1 | - | N | N | N | U | 17 | 11 | 2 | - |
| N : Not notifiable | U: Unavailable |  | -: no reported cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Updated monthly to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention-Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention last update August 26, 1997. <br> ${ }^{\dagger}$ National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance. <br> ${ }^{\S}$ Public Health Laboratory Information System. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending September 6, 1997, and September 7, 1996 (36th Week)

| Reporting Area | Legionellosis |  | Lyme Disease |  | Malaria |  | Syphilis(Primary \& Secondary) |  | Tuberculosis |  | Rabies, Animal <br> Cum. <br> 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | Cum. 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| UNITED STATES | 580 | 614 | 5,121 | 9,595 | 1,136 | 1,057 | 5,533 | 8,163 | 11,705 | 12,972 | 5,260 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 45 | 34 | 1,236 | 2,850 | 65 | 40 | 102 | 114 | 297 | 291 | 808 |
| Maine | 2 | 2 | 8 | 25 | 1 | 7 |  |  | 11 | 17 | 143 |
| N.H. | 5 | 1 | 19 | 35 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 | 10 | 9 | 28 |
| Vt. | 10 | 4 | 6 | 19 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 4 | 1 | 95 |
| Mass. | 10 | 18 | 207 | 142 | 24 | 14 | 47 | 54 | 166 | 141 | 169 |
| R.I. | 5 | 9 | 220 | 331 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 26 | 24 | 23 |
| Conn. | 13 | N | 776 | 2,298 | 26 | 10 | 53 | 58 | 80 | 99 | 350 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 105 | 152 | 3,043 | 5,601 | 272 | 320 | 263 | 341 | 2,159 | 2,394 | 1,067 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 28 | 50 | 1,285 | 2,669 | 47 | 56 | 22 | 52 | 293 | 280 | 809 |
| N.Y. City | 4 | 10 | 30 | 279 | 141 | 194 | 63 | 100 | 1,124 | 1,245 | U |
| N.J. | 15 | 9 | 743 | 1,260 | 65 | 50 | 101 | 119 | 440 | 498 | 114 |
| Pa. | 58 | 83 | 985 | 1,393 | 19 | 20 | 77 | 70 | 302 | 371 | 144 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 175 | 193 | 59 | 338 | 98 | 132 | 446 | 1,233 | 1,120 | 1,400 | 116 |
| Ohio | 81 | 64 | 39 | 19 | 15 | 9 | 133 | 466 | 203 | 201 | 77 |
| Ind. | 30 | 38 | 17 | 19 | 12 | 12 | 103 | 156 | 100 | 119 | 10 |
| III. | 7 | 28 | 3 | 8 | 31 | 67 | 44 | 344 | 545 | 759 | 12 |
| Mich. | 49 | 32 | - | 6 | 30 | 30 | 93 | 133 | 186 | 250 | 17 |
| Wis. | 8 | 31 | U | 286 | 10 | 14 | 73 | 134 | 86 | 71 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 45 | 32 | 82 | 120 | 41 | 33 | 109 | 250 | 373 | 337 | 346 |
| Minn. | 1 | 3 | 56 | 38 | 19 | 15 | U | 31 | 99 | 77 | 37 |
| Iowa | 11 | 8 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 43 | 44 | 121 |
| Mo. | 13 | 5 | 15 | 36 | 6 | 9 | 76 | 175 | 153 | 141 | 16 |
| N. Dak. | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 8 | 6 | 55 |
| S. Dak. | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | 9 | 15 | 51 |
| Nebr. | 12 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 1 |
| Kans. | 4 | 3 | 3 | 29 | 4 | 4 | 22 | 19 | 47 | 40 | 65 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 86 | 80 | 447 | 465 | 239 | 182 | 2,291 | 2,625 | 2,252 | 2,417 | 2,162 |
| Del. | 8 | 9 | 31 | 150 | 4 | 3 | 17 | 26 | 18 | 30 | 47 |
| Md. | 17 | 17 | 306 | 193 | 67 | 55 | 636 | 473 | 221 | 200 | 389 |
| D.C. | 3 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 82 | 96 | 73 | 93 | 4 |
| Va . | 17 | 13 | 37 | 33 | 51 | 32 | 169 | 300 | 194 | 201 | 443 |
| W. Va. | N | N | 3 | 11 | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | 41 | 44 | 66 |
| N.C. | 11 | 7 | 24 | 58 | 12 | 19 | 514 | 715 | 302 | 326 | 649 |
| S.C. | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 269 | 276 | 214 | 255 | 135 |
| Ga. | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 16 | 377 | 472 | 422 | 440 | 221 |
| Fla. | 27 | 20 | 36 | 12 | 57 | 38 | 224 | 265 | 767 | 828 | 208 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 35 | 35 | 53 | 60 | 23 | 27 | 1,242 | 1,773 | 879 | 957 | 216 |
| Ky. | 5 | 3 | 7 | 21 | 4 | 7 | 100 | 97 | 120 | 161 | 23 |
| Tenn. | 24 | 17 | 29 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 543 | 586 | 304 | 325 | 130 |
| Ala. | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 323 | 393 | 299 | 300 | 63 |
| Miss. | 4 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 3 | 6 | 276 | 697 | 156 | 171 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 13 | 17 | 56 | 83 | 15 | 24 | 789 | 1,300 | 1,622 | 1,455 | 230 |
| Ark. | - | 1 | 15 | 20 | 4 | - | 71 | 184 | 134 | 132 | 27 |
| La. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 256 | 372 | 152 | 11 | 2 |
| Okla. | 3 | 5 | 12 | 13 | 3 | - | 85 | 137 | 125 | 116 | 77 |
| Tex. | 8 | 10 | 27 | 49 | - | 20 | 377 | 607 | 1,211 | 1,196 | 124 |
| MOUNTAIN | 40 | 32 | 13 | 6 | 58 | 42 | 117 | 105 | 335 | 422 | 114 |
| Mont. | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 6 | - | - | 7 | 14 | 33 |
| Idaho | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 8 | 6 | - |
| Wyo. | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 5 | 26 |
| Colo. | 14 | 7 | 4 | - | 26 | 17 | 9 | 24 | 61 | 54 | - |
| N. Mex. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 18 | 58 | 9 |
| Ariz. | 9 | 13 | 1 | - | 8 | 6 | 86 | 57 | 169 | 166 | 39 |
| Utah | 7 | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 39 | 3 |
| Nev. | 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 12 | 46 | 80 | 4 |
| PACIFIC | 36 | 39 | 132 | 72 | 325 | 257 | 174 | 422 | 2,668 | 3,299 | 201 |
| Wash. | 6 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 17 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 211 | 186 | - |
| Oreg. | - | - | 15 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 114 | 124 | 12 |
| Calif. | 29 | 30 | 111 | 45 | 287 | 216 | 159 | 406 | 2,166 | 2,804 | 167 |
| Alaska | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | 57 | 56 | 22 |
| Hawaii | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 120 | 129 | - |
| Guam | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 5 | 55 | - |
| P.R. | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | 173 | 159 | 129 | 130 | 50 |
| V.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 1 | 2 | - | - |

TABLE III. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending September 6, 1997, and September 7, 1996 (36th Week)

| Reporting Area | H. influenzae, invasive |  | Hepatitis (Viral), by type |  |  |  | Measles (Rubeola) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A |  | B |  | Indigenous |  | Imported ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & \text { 1997* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 743 | 760 | 18,644 | 18,741 | 5,847 | 6,584 | 1 | 59 | 2 | 45 | 104 | 434 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 42 | 26 | 443 | 252 | 102 | 149 | - | 11 | - | 6 | 17 | 15 |
| Maine | 4 | - | 47 | 14 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |  |
| N.H. | 5 | 10 | 22 | 10 | 9 | 9 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Vt. | 3 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Mass. | 26 | 13 | 170 | 129 | 38 | 52 | - | 10 | - | 4 | 14 | 12 |
| R.I. | 2 | 2 | 107 | 13 | 12 | 9 | - |  | - |  |  | 12 |
| Conn. | 2 | - | 88 | 80 | 32 | 66 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 91 | 158 | 1,300 | 1,293 | 857 | 1,003 | - | 14 | - | 8 | 22 | 35 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 21 | 40 | 211 | 300 | 187 | 238 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| N.Y. City | 24 | 42 | 482 | 391 | 310 | 360 | - | 5 | - | 2 | 7 | 11 |
| N.J. | 36 | 40 | 193 | 255 | 155 | 194 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 3 |
| Pa. | 10 | 36 | 414 | 347 | 205 | 211 | - | 5 | - | 3 | 8 | 12 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 121 | 129 | 1,794 | 1,760 | 626 | 763 | - | 6 | - | 3 | 9 | 17 |
| Ohio | 71 | 74 | 238 | 570 | 59 | 91 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Ind. | 13 | 7 | 209 | 227 | 72 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| III. | 26 | 35 | 419 | 503 | 157 | 239 | - | 6 | - | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| Mich. | 10 | 8 | 828 | 304 | 306 | 266 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Wis. | 1 | 5 | 100 | 156 | 32 | 67 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 41 | 34 | 1,482 | 1,591 | 318 | 341 | 1 | 10 | - | 3 | 13 | 22 |
| Minn. | 27 | 21 | 132 | 90 | 28 | 40 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 4 | 18 |
| lowa | 6 | 3 | 319 | 252 | 29 | 47 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mo. | 4 | 7 | 735 | 798 | 225 | 200 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | 10 | 75 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | 2 | 1 | 18 | 41 | 1 | 3 | - | 8 | - | - | 8 | - |
| Nebr. | 1 | 1 | 70 | 105 | 10 | 25 | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| Kans. | 1 | 1 | 198 | 230 | 22 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 127 | 140 | 1,235 | 801 | 884 | 897 | - | 1 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Del. | - | 2 | 24 | 11 | 4 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Md. | 46 | 49 | 168 | 136 | 120 | 119 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| D.C. | - | 5 | 17 | 22 | 25 | 27 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Va . | 11 | 6 | 151 | 115 | 86 | 98 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| W. Va. | 3 | 7 | 8 | 13 | 11 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 17 | 22 | 138 | 101 | 180 | 254 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| S.C. | 4 | 4 | 76 | 42 | 77 | 61 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Ga. | 24 | 31 | 266 | 86 | 94 | 8 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Fla. | 22 | 14 | 387 | 275 | 287 | 306 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 37 | 23 | 440 | 982 | 474 | 583 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Ky. | 5 | 5 | 58 | 31 | 26 | 52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | 24 | 9 | 275 | 648 | 322 | 330 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Ala. | 8 | 8 | 66 | 141 | 49 | 47 | , | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Miss. | - | 1 | 41 | 162 | 77 | 154 | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 36 | 32 | 3,847 | 3,610 | 715 | 771 | - | 3 | - | 4 | 7 | 25 |
| Ark. | 1 | - | 184 | 320 | 42 | 56 | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| La. | 8 | 3 | 150 | 109 | 97 | 84 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Okla. | 24 | 25 | 1,118 | 1,599 | 34 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tex. | 3 | 4 | 2,395 | 1,582 | 542 | 607 | - | 3 | - | 4 | 7 | 25 |
| MOUNTAIN | 76 | 39 | 3,065 | 3,026 | 638 | 796 | - | 7 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 156 |
| Mont. | , | - | 59 | 82 | 7 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Idaho | 1 | 1 | 98 | 154 | 25 | 70 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Wyo. | 3 | - | 28 | 26 | 29 | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Colo. | 12 | 11 | 309 | 319 | 119 | 95 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| N. Mex. | 8 | 9 | 234 | 289 | 195 | 282 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 16 |
| Ariz. | 29 | 12 | 1,588 | 1,203 | 145 | 182 | - | 5 | - | - | 5 | 8 |
| Utah | 3 | 6 | 439 | 667 | 71 | 68 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 118 |
| Nev. | 20 | - | 310 | 286 | 47 | 58 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| PACIFIC | 172 | 179 | 5,038 | 5,426 | 1,233 | 1,281 | - | 7 | - | 9 | 16 | 151 |
| Wash. | 3 | 2 | 366 | 334 | 49 | 64 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 38 |
| Oreg. | 30 | 24 | 260 | 639 | 76 | 80 | - | , | - | - | - | 12 |
| Calif. | 128 | 146 | 4,300 | 4,363 | 1,083 | 1,121 | - | 4 | - | 7 | 11 | 34 |
| Alaska | 4 | 5 | 25 | 33 | 17 | 8 | - |  | - | - | - | 63 |
| Hawaii | 7 | 2 | 87 | 57 | 8 | 8 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Guam | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | ${ }^{-}$ | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| P.R. | - | 1 | 219 | 159 | 1,045 | 686 | , | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| V.I. | - | - | - | 29 |  | 26 | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | - | - | - | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | 6 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 34 | 5 | U | 1 | U | - | 1 | - |
| N : Not notifiable | U: Un | ailable | $-:$ no | orted ca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending September 6, 1997, and September 7, 1996 (36th Week)

| Reporting Area | Meningococcal Disease |  | Mumps |  |  | Pertussis |  |  | Rubella |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 2,340 | 2,287 | 4 | 391 | 493 | 64 | 3,439 | 3,616 | 4 | 135 | 213 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 148 | 95 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 636 | 811 | - | 1 | 25 |
| Maine | 17 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 27 | - | - | - |
| N.H. | 13 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 80 | 72 | - | - | - |
| Vt . | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 187 | 55 | - | - | 2 |
| Mass. | 72 | 37 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 337 | 611 | - | 1 | 20 |
| R.I. | 14 | 10 | - | 5 | - | - | 12 | 25 | - | - | - |
| Conn. | 28 | 32 | - | 1 | - | - | 14 | 21 | - | - | 3 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 214 | 246 | - | 41 | 59 | - | 243 | 252 | - | 29 | 10 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 54 | 64 | - | 7 | 18 | - | 82 | 127 | - | 2 | 4 |
| N.Y. City | 39 | 37 | - | 3 | 14 | - | 56 | 22 | - | 27 | 4 |
| N.J. | 46 | 53 | - | 5 | 2 | - | 9 | 17 | - | - | 2 |
| Pa . | 75 | 92 | - | 26 | 25 | - | 96 | 86 | - | - | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 327 | 328 | 1 | 45 | 101 | 7 | 288 | 441 | - | 4 | 3 |
| Ohio | 129 | 121 | 1 | 19 | 35 | 4 | 109 | 158 | - | - | - |
| Ind. | 36 | 46 | - | 7 | 6 | - | 38 | 32 | - | - | - |
| III. | 97 | 90 | - | 9 | 19 | 3 | 51 | 98 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Mich. | 39 | 33 | - | 10 | 39 |  | 38 | 29 | - | - | 2 |
| Wis. | 26 | 38 | - | - | 2 | - | 52 | 124 | - | 3 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 174 | 188 | - | 13 | 14 | 23 | 253 | 211 | - | - | - |
| Minn. | 29 | 25 | - | 5 | 5 | 18 | 160 | 156 | - | - | - |
| Iowa | 39 | 40 | - | 6 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 10 | - | - | - |
| Mo. | 77 | 71 | - | - | 5 | 3 | 43 | 25 | - | - | - |
| N. Dak. | 2 | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | 4 | 10 | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | 8 | 17 | U | 2 | - | U | 6 | 5 | U | - | - |
| Kans. | 15 | 22 | - | - | 1 | - | 13 | 10 | - | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 414 | 356 | 3 | 55 | 80 | 13 | 335 | 366 | 4 | 69 | 91 |
| Del. | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 17 | - | - | - |
| Md. | 37 | 40 | - | 4 | 27 | 1 | 99 | 132 | 2 | 3 | - |
| D.C. | - | 5 | - | - | , | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Va . | 38 | 42 | - | 9 | 12 | - | 34 | 44 | - | 1 | 2 |
| W. Va. | 14 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 2 | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 77 | 60 | 1 | 9 | 17 | 4 | 89 | 72 | 1 | 52 | 77 |
| S.C. | 44 | 42 | - | 10 | 5 | 1 | 21 | 21 | - | 9 | 1 |
| Ga . | 77 | 106 | - | 5 | 2 | - | 9 | 17 | - | 1 | - |
| Fla. | 122 | 46 | 2 | 18 | 17 | 7 | 73 | 61 | 1 | 3 | 10 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 186 | 162 | - | 18 | 19 | 2 | 78 | 172 | - | - | 2 |
| Ky. | 38 | 21 | - | 3 | - |  | 21 | 134 | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | 71 | 47 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 30 | 15 | - | - | - |
| Ala. | 60 | 55 | - | 6 | 3 | - | 19 | 16 | - | - | 2 |
| Miss. | 17 | 39 | U | 6 | 15 | U | 8 | 7 | U | - | N |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 223 | 256 | - | 34 | 36 | 3 | 148 | 97 | - | 4 | 8 |
| Ark. | 27 | 28 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 4 | - | - |  |
| La. | 46 | 47 | - | 11 | 12 | 2 | 15 | 7 | - | - | 1 |
| Okla. | 26 | 26 | - | - | - | - | 21 | 8 | - | - | - |
| Tex. | 124 | 155 | - | 22 | 23 | - | 90 | 78 | - | 4 | 7 |
| MOUNTAIN | 139 | 136 | - | 51 | 20 | 2 | 881 | 328 | - | 5 | 6 |
| Mont. | 8 | 6 | - |  |  | - | 16 | 18 | - | - | - |
| Idaho | 8 | 20 | - | 2 | - | - | 547 | 96 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Wyo. | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 6 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 36 | 28 | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | 188 | 104 | - | - | 2 |
| N. Mex. | 23 | 21 | N | N | N | - | 66 | 43 | - | - | - |
| Ariz. | 39 | 30 | , | 31 | 1 | - | 30 | 24 | - | 4 | 1 |
| Utah | 11 | 12 | - | 7 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 10 | - | - |  |
| Nev. | 12 | 16 | - | 7 | 13 | - | 14 | 29 | - | - | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 515 | 520 | - | 126 | 163 | 14 | 577 | 938 | - | 23 | 68 |
| Wash. | 62 | 74 | - | 14 | 18 | 14 | 259 | 406 | - | 5 | 15 |
| Oreg. | 100 | 93 | N | N | N |  | 17 | 46 | - | $\overline{-}$ | 1 |
| Calif. | 346 | 344 |  | 92 | 120 | - | 276 | 459 | - | 10 | 49 |
| Alaska | 2 | 6 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 14 | 2 | - | - | , |
| Hawaii | 5 | 3 | - | 17 | 23 | - | 11 | 25 | - | 8 | 3 |
| Guam | - | 4 | U | 1 | 4 | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| P.R. | 9 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 1 | U | - | 2 | U | - | - |
| V.I. | - | , | U | - | 1 | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | U | - |  | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | U | 4 | - | U | - | - | U | - | - |

TABLE IV. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending September 6, 1997 (36th Week)

| Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\&I ${ }^{\dagger}$ Total | Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\&I ${ }^{\dagger}$ Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | >65 | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |  | All Ages | >65 | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 518 | 365 | 82 | 41 | 10 | 20 | 24 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,050 | 668 | 222 | 120 | 24 | 14 | 40 |
| Boston, Mass. | 139 | 88 | 26 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 6 | Atlanta, Ga. | 127 | 82 | 28 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 30 | 23 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 1 | Baltimore, Md. | 128 | 75 | 32 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 14 | 13 |  | - |  | 1 | 2 | Charlotte, N.C. | 84 | 50 | 20 | 10 | 4 | - | 3 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 16 | 14 | 2 |  |  |  | - | Jacksonville, Fla. | 113 | 74 | 23 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 46 | 28 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | Miami, Fla. | 108 | 70 | 24 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Lowell, Mass. | 19 | 16 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 | Norfolk, Va. | 47 | 32 | 10 | 4 | 1 | - | 4 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 |  | - | 1 | Richmond, Va. | 57 | 41 | 10 | 6 | - | - | 2 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 20 | 17 | 3 | - |  | $\overline{-}$ | 1 | Savannah, Ga. | 62 | 45 | 10 | 5 | 2 | - | 4 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 36 | 21 | 9 | 3 |  | 3 | 6 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 37 | 28 | 6 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Providence, R.I. | 68 | 52 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Tampa, Fla. | 137 | 89 | 29 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 13 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 5 | 5 |  |  |  | - |  | Washington, D.C. | 129 | 74 | 25 | 25 | 5 | - | 2 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 44 | 32 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 | Wilmington, Del. | 21 | 8 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 21 | 15 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 51 | 35 | 9 | 6 | 1 | - | - | Birmingham, Ala. | 748 137 | 498 | 152 | 68 | 27 | 8 2 | 33 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,045 | 1,399 | 386 | 193 | 40 | 27 | 93 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 65 | 38 | 16 | 8 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 45 | 36 | 3 | 3 | 3 | - | 2 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 84 | 60 | 17 | 4 | 3 | - | 3 |
| Allentown, Pa. | 25 | 15 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  | Lexington, Ky. | 73 | 44 | 16 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | Memphis, Tenn. | 155 | 107 | 28 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| Camden, N.J. | 30 | 15 | 11 | 2 | 2 | - | 4 | Mobile, Ala. | 49 | 35 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 22 | 17 | 4 | 1 |  | - |  | Montgomery, Ala. | 54 | 43 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Erie, Pa. | 29 | 21 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 3 | Nashville, Tenn. | 131 | 82 | 31 | 13 | 5 | - | 1 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 38 | 20 | 8 | 9 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York City, N.Y. | 1,021 | 708 | 188 | 98 | 16 | 11 | 41 | W.S. CENTRAL Austin, Tex. | 1,120 59 | 682 44 | 238 | 111 | 50 | 39 | 61 |
| Newark, N.J. Paterson, N.J. | 15 | 31 12 | 15 3 | 15 | - | 1 | 2 | Austin, Tex. | 59 30 | 44 16 | 13 6 | $\overline{4}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Paterson, N.J. Philadelphia, Pa. | 15 400 | 12 246 | 3 87 | 46 | 13 | 8 | 11 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 42 | 16 24 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa.§ | 400 | 246 41 | 87 9 | 46 | 13 | 8 | 10 2 | Corpus Christi, Tex. Dallas, Tex. | 148 | 67 | 24 | 34 | 20 | 3 | 2 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. | 55 23 | 41 17 | 9 5 | 3 1 | - | 2 | 2 | El Paso, Tex. | 48 | 27 | 16 | 34 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Rochester, $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{Y}$. | 119 | 83 | 23 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 11 | Ft. Worth, Tex. | 85 | 58 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 19 | 16 | 2 | 1 |  | - | 2 | Houston, Tex. | 276 | 167 | 70 | 31 | 5 | 3 | 22 |
| Scranton, Pa. | 26 | 24 | 2 | - | - | - | - | Little Rock, Ark. | 54 | 33 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 57 | 46 | 6 | 3 |  | 2 | 8 | New Orleans, La. | 93 | 51 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 12 |  |
| Trenton, N.J. | 11 | 8 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | San Antonio, Tex. | 170 | 113 | 37 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 12 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 27 | 24 | 3 | . | - | - | 1 | Shreveport, La. | 49 | 30 | 16 | 2 | 1 | - | 6 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 21 | 19 |  | - | - | - | 2 | Tulsa, Okla. | 66 | 52 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 1,770 | 1,170 | 369 | 155 | 28 | 48 | 83 | MOUNTAIN | 730 | 486 | 119 | 74 | 31 | 19 | 30 |
| Akron, Ohio | 35 | 22 | 9 | 3 | - | 1 | - | Albuquerque, N.M. | 89 | 58 | 14 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Canton, Ohio | 24 | 19 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 3 | Boise, Idaho | 39 | 25 | 6 | 5 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Chicago, III. | 429 | 250 | 97 | 58 | 15 | 9 | 11 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 68 | 50 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 107 | 73 | 26 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | Denver, Colo. | 75 | 39 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 124 | 71 | 40 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 3 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 147 | 104 | 29 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 121 | 83 | 25 | 10 | - | 3 | 7 | Ogden, Utah | 22 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 101 | 69 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 115 | 65 | 19 | 19 | 9 | 2 | 3 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 154 | 103 | 31 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 10 | Pueblo, Colo. | 22 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 38 | 28 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 78 | 56 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 40 | 30 | 7 | 3 | - | - | 4 | Tucson, Ariz. | 75 | 58 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Gary, Ind. | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 11 | PACIFIC | 1,542 | 1,073 | 268 | 134 | 38 | 29 | 104 |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 75 | 49 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 11 | Berkeley, Calif. | 188 | 14 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 138 | 93 | 28 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 8 | Fresno, Calif. | 57 | 42 | 7 | 6 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Lansing, Mich. | 38 | 27 | 8 | 3 | - | - | 2 | Glendale, Calif. | 32 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 102 | 78 | 15 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 3 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 71 | 53 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Peoria, III. | 26 | 21 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | Long Beach, Calif. | 54 | 38 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Rockford, III. | 43 | 31 | 9 | 2 |  | 1 | 4 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 455 | 325 | 81 | 32 | 10 | 7 | 22 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 46 | 34 | 7 | 3 | $\overline{-}$ | 2 | 4 | Pasadena, Calif. | 33 | 24 | 5 | 2 | 2 | - | 4 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 81 | 57 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Portland, Oreg. | 95 | 58 | 15 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 41 | 29 | 6 | 5 |  | 1 | 2 | Sacramento, Calif. | 116 | 82 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 15 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 541 | 380 | 106 | 24 | 11 | 13 | 27 | San Diego, Calif. | 89 | 59 | 15 | 12 | 2 | 3 |  |
| Des Moines, lowa | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | San Francisco, Calif. | 121 150 | 76 | 27 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 18 |
| Duluth, Minn. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | San Jose, Calif. | 150 | 112 | 25 6 | 10 3 | 2 | 1 | 11 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 25 | 19 | 3 |  |  | 3 | - | Santa Cruz, Calif. | 101 | 19 57 | 6 24 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 89 | 50 | 19 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 3 | Seattle, Wash. | 101 45 | 57 32 | 24 8 | 14 3 | 3 1 | 1 | 5 2 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 21 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Spokane, Wash. | 77 | 56 | 118 | 3 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 7 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 120 | 86 | 24 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 5 | Tacoma, Wash. | 77 | 56 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 71 | 53 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | TOTAL | 10,064 ${ }^{\text {T }}$ | 6,721 | 1,942 | 914 | 259 | 217 | 495 |
| St. Louis, Mo. St Paul, Minn | 96 | 68 | 20 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 50 | 43 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 69 | 47 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Pneumonia and influenza.
§Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
TTotal includes unknown ages.
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[^0]:    *State-specific rates for teenagers aged <15 years are excluded from this analysis because the numbers of births were too small to compute reliable rates for many states.

[^1]:    *Per 1000 females.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Not statistically significant at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^2]:    *A cooperative agreement program funded by CDC's National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health to provide descriptive health and hazard data for a sample of farms in six states.

