

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

657 Status Report on the Childhood Immunization Initiative: National, State, and Urban Area Vaccination Coverage Levels Among Children Aged 19-35 Months - United States, 1996
665 Immunization Initiative: Reported Cases of Selected Vaccine-Preventable Diseases - United States, 1996 Acute Childhood Leukemia Columbus, Ohio
678 Notice to Readers
685 Quarterly Immunization Table

> Status Report on the Childhood Immunization Initiative:
> National, State, and Urban Area Vaccination Coverage Levels Among Children Aged 19-35 Months - United States, 1996

The Childhood Immunization Initiative (CII), a comprehensive response to undervaccination among preschool-aged children, was initiated in the United States in 1993 (1). The CII established the goal of increasing vaccination coverage levels among children aged 2 years to $\geq 90 \%$ by 1996 for the most critical doses of each vaccine routinely recommended for children* (except hepatitis B vaccine, for which the objectives were to increase coverage to $70 \%$ by 1996 and $90 \%$ by 1998). ${ }^{\dagger}$ This report presents final 1996 estimates of coverage with vaccines targeted by CII among children aged 1935 months, ${ }^{\S}$ which indicate that in 1996 all national vaccination coverage goals were exceeded for the routinely recommended vaccines.

Vaccination coverage levels are monitored by CDC's National Immunization Survey (NIS), one element of the CII (1,3-5). NIS, which was initiated in April 1994, estimates vaccination coverage among children aged 19-35 months for each of the 50 states and 28 selected urban areas (3-5).

Compared with the baseline year (1992) (as measured by the National Health Interview Survey [NHIS] [6 ]), national vaccination coverage during the most recent reporting period (January-December 1996) increased significantly for three or more doses of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine (DTP) (from $83 \%$ to $95 \%$ ), three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine (from $72 \%$ to $91 \%$ ), one or more doses of any measles-containing vaccine (MCV) (from $83 \%$ to $91 \%$ ), and three or more doses of Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) vaccine (from $28 \%$ to $92 \%$ ). Coverage with three or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine increased from $8 \%$ (CDC, unpublished data) in 1992 to $82 \%$ in 1996 (Table 1). Estimated coverage with varicella vaccine ${ }^{\|}$
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## Vaccination Coverage Levels - Continued

administered on or after the first birthday increased from 14\% for July-September 1996 to 18\% for October-December 1996.

Compared with 1992 (6), national vaccination coverage during 1996 increased significantly for the 4:3:1 series (i.e., four or more doses of DTP/diphtheria and tetanus toxoids [DT], three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one or more doses of any MCV), from $55 \%$ to $78 \%$ (Table 1). Coverage with the 4:3:1:3 series (i.e., the 4:3:1 series plus three or more doses of Hib vaccine) increased from $72 \%$ when it was first measured in 1994 (3) to $77 \%$ in 1996 (Table 1).

Overall, in 1996, a total of 30 states and 14 urban areas achieved all the 1996 ClI vaccination coverage goals (Table 2). A total of 48 states and 26 urban areas achieved the 1996 goal of $90 \%$ coverage with three or more doses of DTP; the remaining two states and two urban areas attained coverage levels within two percentage points below the goal. Thirty-eight states and 17 urban areas achieved the goal of

TABLE 1. Childhood Immunization Initiative (CII) goals and vaccination coverage levels among children aged 19-35 months, by selected vaccines - United States, 1992 and 1996

| Vaccine/Dose | $\begin{gathered} \text { CII } 1996 \\ \text { Goal } \end{gathered}$ | 1992 (NHIS*) |  | 1996 ( NIS $^{\dagger}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% | (95\% Cl ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ ) | \% | (95\% CI) |
| DTP/DTI |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 3$ Doses | 90\% | 83\% | ( $\pm 2.2 \%$ ) | 95\% | ( $\pm 0.4 \%$ ) |
| $\geq 4$ Doses | - | 59\% | ( $\pm 2.9 \%$ ) | 81\% | ( $\pm 0.7 \%$ ) |
| Poliovirus |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 3$ Doses | 90\% | 72\% | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 91\% | ( $\pm 0.5 \%$ ) |
| Hib** |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 3$ Doses | 90\% | 28\% | ( $\pm 2.6 \%$ ) | 92\% | ( $\pm 0.5 \%$ ) |
| MCV ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 1$ Doses | 90\% | 83\% | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 91\% | ( $\pm 0.5 \%$ ) |
| Hepatitis B |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 3$ Doses | 70\% | 8\%§§ | $( \pm 1.7 \%)^{\text {§§ }}$ | 82\% | ( $\pm 0.7 \%$ ) |
| Combined series |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 DTP/ 3 Polio/ 1 MCVIIf | - | 55\% | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 78\% | ( $\pm 0.8 \%$ ) |
| 4 DTP/ 3 Polio/ 1 MCV/ 3 Hib | - | - |  | 77\% | ( $\pm 0.8 \%$ ) |
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$90 \%$ coverage with three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine; 11 of the remaining 12 states and nine of the remaining 11 urban areas attained coverage of $85 \%-89 \%$. Thirty-two states and 19 urban areas achieved the goal of $90 \%$ coverage with one or more doses of any MCV; 17 of the remaining 18 states and six of the remaining nine urban areas attained coverage of $85 \%-89 \%$. Forty-one states and 19 urban areas achieved the goal of $90 \%$ coverage with three or more doses of Hib vaccine; seven of the remaining nine states and six of the remaining nine urban areas attained coverage levels of $85 \%-89 \%$. Forty-eight states and 27 urban areas achieved the goal of $70 \%$ coverage with three or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine; one of the two remaining states and the only remaining urban area attained coverages within three percentage points below the goal.

During 1996, estimated state-specific coverage levels for the 4:3:1 series ranged from $64 \%$ to $88 \%$ (median: $79 \%$ ) and, for the 4:3:1:3 series, from $63 \%$ to $87 \%$ (median: $77 \%$ ) (Table 3). Estimated coverage levels among selected large urban areas ranged from $63 \%$ to $84 \%$ (median: 79\%) for the 4:3:1 series and from $62 \%$ to $84 \%$ (median: 75\%) for the 4:3:1:3 series (Table 3).
Reported by: National Center for Health Statistics; Assessment Br, Div of Data Management, National Immunization Program, CDC.
Editorial Note: The NIS data in this report indicate that all the national coverage goals established by CII for 1996 were exceeded for the vaccines routinely recommended for children-specifically, three doses of DTP, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, one dose of any MCV, three doses of Hib vaccine, and three doses of hepatitis $B$ vaccine. In addition, this report includes the first national quarterly estimates of varicella vaccination coverage. Of the coverage estimates for all the routinely recommended vaccines, estimates for varicella (the vaccine most recently added to the pediatric schedule) were the lowest, in part, because most of the children surveyed in 1996 were aged $>18$ months before this vaccine was first recommended (7). Varicella vaccine is recommended routinely for children aged 12-18 months; however, any susceptible child can be vaccinated.

The NIS data indicate that the 1996 national vaccination coverage levels for three doses of DTP, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one dose of any MCV increased by 12, 19, and eight percentage points, respectively, over the pre-CII coverage levels. For three doses each of hepatitis B and Hib vaccines (which had been recently recommended when CII began), coverage increased from 8\% (CDC, unpublished data, 1992) to $82 \%$ for hepatitis B vaccine and from $28 \%$ to $92 \%$ for Hib vaccine. Vaccination levels among U.S. preschool-aged children were the highest ever recorded. The achievement of these goals reflects the widespread implementation of the CII strategy by multiple public- and private-sector organizations and health-care providers at national, state, and local levels (1).

The findings in this report are subject to at least one important limitation. The comparison of coverage levels for 1992 and 1996 is limited by the different survey methods of the NHIS and the NIS $(3,5,6)$. The 1992 NHIS employed an area probability sampling frame with face-to-face interviewing; in contrast, the NIS used random-digit dialing with telephone interviewing. Nonetheless, weighting adjustments of NIS data to account for nontelephone households have enabled the NIS data to be representative of all U.S. children aged 19-35 months ( $3,5,6$ ). More importantly, the NIS collected information both from children's households and from their health-care
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TABLE 2. Estimated vaccination coverage with individual vaccines routinely recommended for children aged 19-35 months*, by state and selected urban area United States, National Immunization Survey, 1996

| State/ Urban area | $\geq 3$ DTP $^{\dagger}$ |  | $\geq 4 \mathrm{DTP}^{\text {§ }}$ |  | $\geq 3$ Poliof |  | $\geq 1$ MCV** |  | $\geq 3 \mathrm{Hib}^{\dagger \dagger}$ |  | $\geq 3$ Hepatitis $B^{\text {§§ }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | (95\% CITIT) | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) |
| Alabama** | 93 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 80 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%$ ) |
| Jefferson County ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 98 | ( $\pm 1.6 \%)$ | 84 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%)$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 87 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%$ ) |
| Alaska*** | 90 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ | 76 | ( $\pm 5.2 \%$ ) | 88 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%)$ | 85 | ( $\pm 4.4 \%)$ | 84 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ |
| Arizona*** | 93 | ( $\pm 2.2 \%)$ | 75 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 2.7 \%$ ) | 86 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 80 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) |
| Maricopa County*** | 92 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | 75 | ( $\pm 5.0 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%$ ) | 87 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ | 89 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 81 | ( $\pm 4.4 \%)$ |
| Arkansas*** | 93 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | 78 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%)$ | 87 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | 86 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.4 \%)$ |
| California ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 94 | ( $\pm 1.8 \%)$ | 81 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 2.1 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 2.2 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ |
| Santa Clara ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 96 | ( $\pm 2.0 \%$ ) | 86 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) | 85 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) |
| San Diego County ${ }^{* * *}$ | 93 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%)$ | 89 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 2.7 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%$ ) |
| Los Angeles ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 4.6 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 83 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ |
| Colorado*** | 94 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%)$ | 89 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 74 | ( $\pm 5.0 \%$ ) |
| Connecticut ${ }_{\text {¢ }}{ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 99 | ( $\pm 0.9 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%$ ) | 95 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ | 96 | ( $\pm 2.0 \%$ ) | 98 | ( $\pm 1.3 \%)$ | 89 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%$ ) |
| Delaware ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 97 | ( $\pm 2.1 \%)$ | 85 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%$ ) | 95 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 85 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) |
| District of Columbia ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 98 | ( $\pm 1.9 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 4.6 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%)$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) | 84 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ |
|  | 96 | ( $\pm 1.9 \%)$ | 80 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ |
| Dade County ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 98 | ( $\pm 1.7 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) | 80 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%)$ |
| Duval County ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 96 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 79 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 4.4 \%)$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Georgia } \\ & \text { Fulton/DeKalb } \end{aligned}$ | 96 | ( $\pm 1.9 \%)$ | 85 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.2 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%$ ) | 87 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%$ ) |
| counties | 97 | ( $\pm 1.9 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%$ ) |
| Hawaii | 93 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) | 81 | ( $\pm 4.6 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | 86 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) |
| Idaho*** | 89 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%)$ | 71 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%$ ) | 86 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ | 84 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) | 86 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%$ ) | 72 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%)$ |
| Illinois*** | 94 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 79 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 78 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ |
| Chicago* | 96 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ | 79 | ( $\pm 5.2 \%$ ) | 88 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) | 75 | ( $\pm 5.5 \%)$ |
| Indiana*** | 94 | ( $\pm 2.2 \%$ ) | 77 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%$ ) | 87 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%)$ | 74 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%)$ |
| Marion County*** | 91 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 75 | ( $\pm 5.3 \%)$ | 87 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%)$ | 88 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ | 77 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ |
| lowa ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 97 | ( $\pm 2.0 \%$ ) | 84 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%)$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ | 81 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%$ ) |
| Kansas** | 92 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 78 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%$ ) | 87 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ | 88 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%)$ | 73 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%$ ) |
| Kentucky ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 95 | ( $\pm 2.7 \%$ ) | 81 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) | 86 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ |
| Louisiana*** | 95 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 89 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ | 87 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ |
| Orleans Parish*** | 94 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 74 | ( $\pm 5.8 \%)$ | 86 | ( $\pm 4.6 \%$ ) | 86 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ |
| Maine ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 98 | ( $\pm 1.3 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 2.7 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ | 95 | ( $\pm 2.1 \%$ ) | 95 | ( $\pm 2.1 \%)$ | 75 | ( $\pm 4.4 \%)$ |
| Maryland ${ }^{\dagger t \dagger}$ | 97 | $\text { ( } \pm 1.5 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 95 | ( $\pm 1.8 \%)$ | 80 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%)$ |
| Baltimore ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 95 | $\text { ( } \pm 2.7 \% \text { ) }$ | 87 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%)$ | 78 | $( \pm 5.1 \%)$ |
| Massachusetts ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 98 | ( $\pm 1.3 \%)$ | 88 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.2 \%)$ | 96 | ( $\pm 1.6 \%)$ | 96 | ( $\pm 1.7 \%$ ) | 88 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ |
| Boston ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ M ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 97 | ( $\pm 1.9 \%)$ | 88 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%)$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 97 | ( $\pm 1.9 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ |
| Michigan ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 94 88 | $( \pm 2.1 \%)$ | 78 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 90 83 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 78 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%)$ |
| Detroit ${ }^{\text {S }}$ M ${ }^{\text {dinnesota }}$ | 88 97 | $( \pm 4.2 \%)$ | 67 | ( $\pm 6.1 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ | 81 | ( $\pm 5.2 \%)$ | 69 | ( $\pm 6.0 \%$ ) |
|  | 97 | ( $\pm 1.6 \%)$ | 89 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%)$ | 95 | ( $\pm 2.1 \%)$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ | 72 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%)$ |
| Mississippi ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 96 | ( $\pm 2.1 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 78 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%)$ |
| Missouri** | 92 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%)$ | 77 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%)$ | 87 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%)$ |
| Montana*** | 95 | ( $\pm 2.4 \%)$ | 80 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 77 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ |
| Nebraska ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 96 | ( $\pm 2.0 \%$ ) | 84 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.4 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%$ ) | 78 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ |
| Nevada*** ${ }_{\text {+ }}$ | 91 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%)$ | 74 | ( $\pm 5.2 \%$ ) | 87 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) | 86 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 82 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ |
| New Hampshire ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 97 | ( $\pm 1.6 \%$ ) | 86 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%$ ) | 95 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 86 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%$ ) |

* Children in this age group were born during February 1993-May 1995.
$\dagger$ Three or more doses of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine/diphtheria and tetanus toxoids (DTP/DT).
${ }^{\S}$ Four or more doses of DTP/DT.
II Three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine.
** One or more doses of any measles-containing vaccine.
\$ई Three or more doses of Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine.
\$s Three or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine.
ITII Confidence interval.
*** Did not achieve the 1996 Childhood Immunization Initiative (CII) goals for at least one of the following: three or more doses of DTP, three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, one or more doses of MMR, or three or more doses of Hib, but achieved the 1996 goal for three or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine.
$\dagger \dagger \dagger$ Achieved the 1996 CII goals for three or more doses of DTP, three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, one or more doses of MMR, three or more doses of Hib, and three or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine.
§§§ Did not achieve the 1996 CII goals for at least one of the following: three or more doses of DTP, three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, one or more doses of MMR, or three or more doses of Hib, and did not achieve the 1996 goal for three or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine.
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TABLE 2. Estimated vaccination coverage with individual vaccines routinely recommended for children aged 19-35 months*, by state and selected urban area United States, National Immunization Survey, 1996 - Continued

| State/ Urban area | $\geq 3$ DTP $^{\dagger}$ |  | $\geq 4$ DTP§ |  | $\geq 3$ Poliof |  | $\geq 1 \mathrm{MCV} * *$ |  | $\geq 3 \mathrm{Hib}^{\dagger \dagger}$ |  | $\geq 3$ Hepatitis B ${ }^{\text {§§ }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | (95\% CITIT) | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) |
| New Jersey ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 97 | ( $\pm 2.0 \%$ ) | 82 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%)$ | 87 | ) |
| Newark*** | 95 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%)$ | 67 | ( $\pm 6.1 \%$ ) | 84 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ | 87 | ( $\pm 4.4 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ | 80 | ( $\pm 5.0 \%$ ) |
| New Mexico ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 95 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.6 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) | 80 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ |
| New York | 98 | ( $\pm 1.3 \%)$ | 86 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.0 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%)$ |
| New York City ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 97 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 85 | ( $\pm 4.6 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) | 80 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ |
| North Carolina*** | 96 | ( $\pm 2.4 \%)$ | 81 | ( $\pm 4.4 \%)$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) | 87 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%)$ |
| North Dakota ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 96 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 86 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 85 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) |
| Ohio ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 96 | ( $\pm 1.7 \%$ ) |  | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 2.4 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 2.0 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 2.0 \%$ ) | 81 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) |
| Cuyahoga County ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 96 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 4.4 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.7 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 86 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%$ ) |
| Franklin County ${ }^{\text {+ } \dagger}$ | 95 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 5.0 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) | 78 | ( $\pm 5.3 \%)$ |
| Oklahoma*** | 93 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%$ ) | 79 | ( $\pm 5.2 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ | 71 | ( $\pm 5.5 \%)$ |
| Oregon*** | 92 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) | 74 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%)$ | 87 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ | 86 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ | 89 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%$ ) | 78 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ |
| Pennsylvania ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 96 | ( $\pm 1.8 \%)$ | 83 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.2 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 2.4 \%)$ | 84 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ |
| Philadelphia** | 96 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%$ ) | 88 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ |
| Rhode Island ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 100 | ( $\pm 0.1 \%)$ | 88 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%$ ) | 96 | ( $\pm 2.1 \%)$ | 96 | ( $\pm 2.1 \%$ ) | 98 | ( $\pm 1.5 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) |
| South Carolina ${ }^{\dagger+\dagger}$ | 99 | ( $\pm 1.1 \%)$ | 87 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 97 | ( $\pm 1.5 \%)$ | 95 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 97 | ( $\pm 1.8 \%)$ | 93 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ |
| South Dakota ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 96 | ( $\pm 2.2 \%$ ) | 84 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 2.7 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%$ ) | 72 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ |
| Tennessee ${ }^{\text {t† }}$ | 95 | ( $\pm 1.6 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 1.9 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 2.0 \%$ ) | 85 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%$ ) |
| Davidson County ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 95 | ( $\pm 2.4 \%)$ | 84 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | 90 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 82 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ |
| Shelby County*** | 90 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ | 75 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%$ ) | 86 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | 85 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ | 87 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%$ ) | 84 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) |
| Texas*** | 92 | ( $\pm 2.1 \%)$ | 78 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 89 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 89 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 89 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 2.7 \%$ ) |
| Bexar County*** | 95 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 77 | ( $\pm 5.0 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | 89 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 83 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ |
| Dallas County*** | 93 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%$ ) | 78 | ( $\pm 5.4 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 88 | ( $\pm 4.4 \%)$ | 81 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ |
| El Paso County*** | 90 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ | 67 | ( $\pm 5.6 \%$ ) | 88 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%$ ) | 84 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%$ ) | 80 | ( $\pm 4.6 \%$ ) |
| Houston*** | 89 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | 73 | ( $\pm 5.8 \%$ ) | 87 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ | 84 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%)$ | 84 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ | 79 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ |
|  | 88 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ |  | ( $\pm 5.3 \%$ ) | 84 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ | 86 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) | 84 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ | 72 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ |
| Vermont ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ | 97 | ( $\pm 1.6 \%)$ | 88 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) | 95 | ( $\pm 2.0 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 95 | ( $\pm 2.2 \%$ ) | 83 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%)$ |
| Virginia ${ }^{\text {tt }}$ | 97 | ( $\pm 2.1 \%)$ | 81 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%)$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 85 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ |
| Washington ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ †† | 95 | ( $\pm 1.9 \%)$ | 81 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 2.4 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 2.4 \%)$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.1 \%$ ) | 81 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ |
| King County ${ }_{\text {W¢ }}{ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 97 | ( $\pm 1.9 \%)$ | 84 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%$ ) | 94 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ | 95 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 96 | ( $\pm 2.2 \%$ ) | 84 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) |
| West Virginia ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ ¢¢ | 96 | ( $\pm 2.4 \%)$ | 75 | ( $\pm 5.0 \%$ ) | 88 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ | 88 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | 67 | ( $\pm 5.2 \%$ ) |
| Wisconsin ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 95 | ( $\pm 1.8 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 2.3 \%)$ | 90 | ( $\pm 2.5 \%)$ | 92 | ( $\pm 2.2 \%$ ) | 79 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ |
| Milwaukee County*** | 93 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) | 77 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ | 89 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%)$ | 91 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | 87 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ | 75 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ |
| Wyoming ${ }^{\text {sss }}$ | 94 | ( $\pm 2.6 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%)$ | 89 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%$ ) | 93 | ( $\pm 2.8 \%)$ | 57 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%$ ) |
| Total | 95 | ( $\pm 0.4 \%)$ | 81 | ( $\pm 0.7 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 0.5 \%$ ) | 91 | ( $\pm 0.5 \%$ ) | 92 | ( $\pm 0.5 \%)$ | 82 | ( $\pm 0.7 \%$ ) |

[^2]
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TABLE 3. Estimated vaccination coverage with the 4:3:1 series* and the 4:3:1:3 series ${ }^{\dagger}$ among children aged 19-35 months ${ }^{\S}$, by coverage level and state and selected urban area - United States, National Immunization Survey, 1996

| Coverage level/ State/Urban area | 4:3:1 Series |  | Coverage level/ State/Urban area | 4:3:1:3 Series |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | (95\% CIII) |  | \% | (95\% CI) |
| >85\% |  |  | $\geq 85 \%$ |  |  |
| Connecticut | 88 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%$ ) | Connecticut | 87 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) |
| Maine | 87 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | Maine | 85 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%)$ |
| Massachusetts | 87 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) | Massachusetts | 86 | ( $\pm 3.2 \%$ ) |
| Boston | 84 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | Boston | 84 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%)$ |
| Minnesota | 85 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%$ ) | Rhode Island | 85 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ |
| Rhode Island | 85 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%$ ) | Vermont | 85 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ |
| South Carolina | 86 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | 75\%-84\% |  |  |
| Vermont | 86 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | Alabama | 75 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%)$ |
| 75\%-84\% |  |  | Jefferson County | 77 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%)$ |
| Alabama | 78 | $( \pm 4.0 \%)$ | California | 76 | ( $\pm 3.1 \%$ ) |
| Jefferson County | 80 | ( $\pm 4.6 \%$ ) | Los Angeles County | 79 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ |
| Arkansas | 75 | ( $\pm 5.2 \%$ ) | San Diego County | 77 | ( $\pm 4.4 \%)$ |
| California | 78 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) | Santa Clara | 79 | ( $\pm 4.4 \%$ ) |
| Los Angeles County | 81 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%$ ) | Colorado | 76 | ( $\pm 5.0 \%$ ) |
| San Diego County | 78 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ | Delaware | 80 | ( $\pm 4.6 \%)$ |
| Santa Clara | 82 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | District of Columbia | 78 | ( $\pm 5.0 \%$ ) |
| Colorado | 79 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%)$ | Florida | 77 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ |
| Delaware | 81 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%$ ) | Dade County | 76 | ( $\pm 5.2 \%)$ |
| District of Columbia | 80 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%$ ) | Duval County | 76 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%)$ |
| Florida | 78 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%$ ) | Georgia | 80 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%)$ |
| Dade County | 79 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ | Fulton/DeKalb counties | 74 | ( $\pm 5.5 \%)$ |
| Duval County | 77 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%$ ) | Hawaii | 77 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%$ ) |
| Georgia | 83 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ | Illinois | 75 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%)$ |
| Fulton/DeKalb counties | 79 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%$ ) | Chicago | 74 | ( $\pm 5.6 \%)$ |
| Hawaii | 78 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%$ ) | lowa | 80 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%)$ |
| Illinois | 76 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) | Kentucky | 76 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%)$ |
| Chicago | 76 | ( $\pm 5.4 \%)$ | Louisiana | 79 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) |
| lowa | 82 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%$ ) | Orleans Parish | 71 | ( $\pm 5.9 \%$ ) |
| Kansas | 76 | ( $\pm 4.6 \%)$ | Maryland | 78 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) |
| Kentucky | 79 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%$ ) | Baltimore | 81 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%)$ |
| Louisiana | 80 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ | Minnesota | 83 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ |
| Orleans Parish | 72 | ( $\pm 5.9 \%)$ | Mississippi | 79 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ |
| Maryland | 80 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ | Montana | 77 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ |
| Baltimore | 84 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%)$ | Nebraska | 80 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ |
| Michigan | 76 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ | New Hampshire | 83 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) |
| Detroit | 65 | ( $\pm 6.1 \%$ ) | New Jersey | 77 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%)$ |
| Mississippi | 81 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%$ ) | Newark | 62 | ( $\pm 6.2 \%$ ) |
| Missouri | 75 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%$ ) | New Mexico | 79 | ( $\pm 4.8 \%$ ) |
| Montana | 78 | ( $\pm 4.3 \%)$ | New York | 79 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%$ ) |
| Nebraska | 82 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ | New York City | 75 | ( $\pm 5.5 \%)$ |
| New Hampshire | 84 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ | North Carolina | 77 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%$ ) |
| New Jersey | 78 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%)$ | North Dakota | 81 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%)$ |
| Newark | 63 | ( $\pm 6.2 \%$ ) | Ohio | 77 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ |
| New Mexico | 80 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%$ ) | Cuyahoga County | 80 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%$ ) |
| New York | 82 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | Franklin County | 78 | ( $\pm 5.4 \%$ ) |
| New York City | 80 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%$ ) | Pennsylvania | 79 | ( $\pm 3.7 \%$ ) |
| North Carolina | 78 | ( $\pm 4.6 \%$ ) | Philadelphia | 75 | ( $\pm 5.4 \%)$ |
| North Dakota | 83 | ( $\pm 4.0 \%$ ) | South Carolina | 84 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%$ ) |
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TABLE 3. Estimated vaccination coverage with the 4:3:1 series* and the 4:3:1:3 series ${ }^{\dagger}$ among children aged 19-35 months ${ }^{\S}$, by coverage level and state and selected urban area - United States, National Immunization Survey, 1996 - Continued

| Coverage level/ State/Urban area | 4:3:1 Series |  | Coverage level/ State/Urban area | 4:3:1:3 Series |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | (95\% CIIT) |  | \% | (95\% CI) |
| Ohio | 79 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%$ ) | South Dakota | 80 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%$ ) |
| Cuyahoga County | 81 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%)$ | Tennessee | 77 | ( $\pm 3.0 \%$ ) |
| Franklin County | 81 | ( $\pm 5.2 \%$ ) | Davidson County | 77 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%)$ |
| Oklahoma | 75 | ( $\pm 5.5 \%$ ) | Shelby County | 70 | ( $\pm 5.3 \%)$ |
| Pennsylvania | 81 | ( $\pm 3.6 \%$ ) | Virginia | 77 | ( $\pm 5.0 \%$ ) |
| Philadelphia | 79 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%$ ) | Washington | 78 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%)$ |
| South Dakota | 82 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%$ ) | King County | 81 | ( $\pm 4.2 \%)$ |
| Tennessee | 79 | ( $\pm 2.9 \%)$ | Wisconsin | 76 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ |
| Davidson County | 79 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%$ ) | Milwaukee County | 70 | ( $\pm 5.2 \%)$ |
| Shelby County | 72 | ( $\pm 5.3 \%)$ | Wyoming | 77 | ( $\pm 4.5 \%)$ |
| Virginia | 78 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%$ ) | 65\%-74\% |  |  |
| Washington | 79 | ( $\pm 3.5 \%$ ) | Alaska | 69 | ( $\pm 5.5 \%)$ |
| King County | 82 | ( $\pm 4.1 \%$ ) | Arizona | 70 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%)$ |
| Wisconsin | 78 | ( $\pm 3.3 \%)$ | Maricopa County | 71 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%)$ |
| Milwaukee County | 74 | ( $\pm 5.0 \%$ ) | Arkansas | 72 | ( $\pm 5.4 \%)$ |
| Wyoming | 79 | ( $\pm 4.4 \%$ ) | Idaho | 66 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ |
| 65\%-74\% |  |  | Indiana | 70 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ |
| Alaska | 73 | ( $\pm 5.3 \%$ ) | Marion County | 72 | ( $\pm 5.4 \%)$ |
| Arizona | 72 | ( $\pm 3.8 \%$ ) | Kansas | 73 | ( $\pm 4.7 \%$ ) |
| Maricopa County | 73 | ( $\pm 5.0 \%$ ) | Michigan | 74 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%)$ |
| Idaho | 68 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ | Detroit | 63 | ( $\pm 6.1 \%)$ |
| Indiana | 73 | ( $\pm 3.9 \%$ ) | Missouri | 74 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%)$ |
| Marion County | 73 | ( $\pm 5.3 \%)$ | Nevada | 70 | ( $\pm 5.3 \%)$ |
| Nevada | 71 | ( $\pm 5.3 \%$ ) | Oklahoma | 73 | ( $\pm 5.6 \%$ ) |
| Oregon | 72 | ( $\pm 4.9 \%$ ) | Oregon | 70 | ( $\pm 5.0 \%$ ) |
| Texas | 74 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%$ ) | Texas | 72 | ( $\pm 3.4 \%)$ |
| Bexar County | 74 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%$ ) | Bexar County | 74 | ( $\pm 5.2 \%$ ) |
| Dallas County | 75 | ( $\pm 5.6 \%$ ) | Dallas County | 71 | ( $\pm 5.8 \%$ ) |
| El Paso County | 64 | ( $\pm 5.6 \%$ ) | El Paso County | 62 | ( $\pm 5.6 \%)$ |
| Houston | 71 | ( $\pm 5.8 \%$ ) | Houston | 68 | ( $\pm 5.9 \%)$ |
| West Virginia | 72 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%$ ) | West Virginia | 71 | ( $\pm 5.1 \%)$ |
| <65\% |  |  | <65\% |  |  |
| Utah | 64 | ( $\pm 5.3 \%)$ | Utah | 63 | ( $\pm 5.3 \%)$ |
| Total | 78 | ( $\pm 0.8 \%$ ) | Total | 77 | ( $\pm 0.8 \%$ ) |

* Four or more doses of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine/diphtheria and tetanus toxoids (DTP/DT), three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one or more doses of any measles-containing , vaccine (MCV).
${ }^{\dagger}$ Four or more doses of DTP/DT, three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, one or more doses of any MCV, and three or more doses of Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine.
${ }^{5}$ Children in this age group during 1996 were born during February 1993-May 1995.
${ }^{\square}$ Confidence interval.
providers, while the NHIS collected only household information in 1992 (3,5,6). A comparison of 1994 NHIS results with and without provider information (the first year both data sets were available) suggests that the 1992 coverage levels for DTP, poliovirus, and Hib vaccines were underestimated and that the 1992 estimate for MCV was an overestimate (3). However, the increases in national vaccination coverage levels from 1992 to 1996 could be accounted for only in part by the differences in methodology. Therefore, despite these limitations, real increases in vaccine coverage have occurred since implementation of the CII.

In 1996, coverage among children with the 4:3:1 and the 4:3:1:3 series remained relatively low ( $78 \%$ and $77 \%$, respectively). These findings reflect relatively
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low coverage with the fourth dose of DTP (81\%), compared with coverage with three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine ( $91 \%$ ), one or more doses of any MCV (91\%), and three or more doses of Hib vaccine ( $92 \%$ ). Based on these data, approximately 1 million children still need one or more of the recommended doses of vaccine to be fully protected.

Although national 1996 Cll coverage goals were exceeded for all individual vaccines, coverage varied substantially among states and urban areas. Many states and urban areas did not meet the 1996 CII goals for the individual vaccines, and some had not achieved even the 1995 interim goals for the individual vaccines (4). In these states and urban areas, efforts will need to be intensified to ensure the uniform protection of children throughout the United States.

Achievement of the 1996 CII goals reflects substantial progress toward controlling vaccine-preventable diseases; however, achievement of these goals cannot ensure protection of children in the future. Each of the 11,000 children born each day in the United States must receive 12-16 doses of vaccine before his or her second birthday to be fully vaccinated. The achievement of the 1996 goals demonstrates the feasibility of attaining high coverage levels but does not ensure that high coverage will be maintained. Continued achievement of vaccination coverage and disease-elimination goals will require development of a fully functional vaccine-delivery system. Important components of this system remain incomplete. These components include 1) state- and community-based computerized vaccination registries that include all children from birth and can identify children in need of vaccines and recall them for missed vaccinations (8); 2) ongoing quality-assurance and information-feedback activities (9); and 3 ) continuous education programs for parents and health-care providers. This system should facilitate achievement and maintenance of high vaccination coverage levels and achievement of low disease levels in each cohort of children born during or after the mid-1990s.
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## Status Report on the Childhood Immunization Initiative: Reported Cases of Selected Vaccine-Preventable Diseases United States, 1996

The Childhood Immunization Initiative (CII), a comprehensive response to undervaccination among preschool-aged children, was initiated in the United States in 1993 (1). The goals of the CII were to eliminate by 1996 indigenous cases of diphtheria, tetanus (among children aged <15 years), poliomyelitis, Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) invasive disease (among children aged $<5$ years), measles, and rubella (1); reduce indigenous cases of mumps to $<1600$; and increase vaccination coverage levels to $\geq 90 \%$ among children aged 2 years for the most critical doses of each vaccine routinely recommended for children (except hepatitis B vaccine). This report presents provisional 1996 data about reported cases of selected vaccine-preventable diseases.* In 1996, no cases of tetanus among children aged <15 years or of polio caused by wild poliovirus were reported in the United States; the number of reported cases of indigenously acquired mumps was substantially below the disease-reduction target; and the numbers of reported cases of diphtheria, invasive Hib disease (among children aged $<5$ years), rubella, and measles were at or near the lowest levels ever recorded and near the elimination targets.

The occurrence of notifiable diseases, including diphtheria, tetanus (among children aged <15 years), pertussis, polio caused by wild poliovirus, measles, rubella, and invasive Hib disease (among children aged <5 years), in the 50 states, New York City, and the District of Columbia is monitored by the National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System (NNDSS), supplemented by data from other surveillance systems. Cases reported to NNDSS as indigenous or unknown were classified as indigenous cases. For measles and rubella, only cases classified as confirmed or of unknown case status because of missing information are included. NNDSS reports for diphtheria and invasive disease caused by Hib are supplemented by additional data from other sources. Hib cases reported to NNDSS include cases of invasive disease caused by serotype b and unknown serotypes among children aged $<5$ years. These data are supplemented by cases reported to the National Bacterial Meningitis and Bacteremia Reporting System (NBMBRS) and by laboratory-based active surveillance, both conducted by CDC. Probable and confirmed diphtheria cases with onset in 1996 reported to CDC's National Immunization Program (NIP) but not to NNDSS are included. All 1996 data are provisional. Because of the lack of comparable baseline data for indigenously acquired cases, total cases reported to NNDSS are presented for 1988-1992.

Overall, five states achieved all six 1996 CII disease-elimination goals, 10 states achieved five goals, 23 achieved four goals, and 12 achieved three goals. The District of Columbia and New York City achieved six and two disease-elimination goals, respectively.

Polio and tetanus. Since 1979, no indigenously acquired cases of polio caused by wild poliovirus have been reported in the United States (3). Of the 36 cases of tetanus reported in 1996, none occurred among children aged <15 years.

Measles. A provisional total of 443 indigenously acquired and 65 imported cases of measles were reported to the NNDSS. Of the 440 indigenously acquired cases for which data were available, 109 ( $25 \%$ ) occurred among children aged $<5$ years and

[^4]TABLE 1. Number of cases of vaccine-preventable diseases targeted for elimination by the Childhood Immunization Initiative - United States, 1988-1992 and 1996*

[^5]| Disease | No. reported cases |  |  |  |  | No. 1996 cases |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Indigenously acquired cases | Cases among children aged $<5$ years |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |  |  |  |
| Diphtheria | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | $4^{+}$ | 4 | 0 |
| Invasive Hib disease ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | NN" | NN | NN | 1,540 | 592 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| Measles | 3,396 | 18,193 | 27,786 | 9,643 | 2,237 | 508** | 443 | 109 |
| Poliomyelitis ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Rubella | 225 | 396 | 1,125 | 1,401 | 160 | 213** | 196 | 9 |
| Tetanus ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mumps | 4,866 | 5,712 | 5,292 | 4,264 | 2,572 | 751 | 725 | 154 |
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148 ( $34 \%$ ), among persons aged $\geq 20$ years. Of the 443 cases for which data were available, 325 ( $73 \%$ ) were epidemiologically or virologically linked to imported cases. The number of indigenously acquired measles cases reported in 1996 represents a 22 -fold decline from the median number reported during the 5 years preceding initiation of the CII in 1993 (Table 1). Epidemiologic and virologic data suggest that indigenous measles transmission in the United States has been repeatedly interrupted, followed by reintroduction of imported measles virus (4). During an 8-week period in late 1996, no indigenously acquired measles cases were reported in the United States (5). Twenty states and the District of Columbia reported no indigenous cases during the year (Table 2).

Rubella. In 1996, a provisional total of 196 confirmed indigenously acquired cases of rubella were reported; of these, nine ( $5 \%$ ) occurred among children aged $<5$ years (Table 1). A total of 114 ( $58 \%$ ) cases occurred among persons of Hispanic ethnicity; of these persons, 94 ( $82 \%$ ) were aged $\geq 20$ years. In addition, several outbreaks occurred among foreign-born persons who were natives of countries without rubella vaccination programs (6). The number of cases of indigenously acquired rubella reported in 1996 represents a twofold decline from the median number of cases reported during 1988-1992 (Table 1). Twenty-eight states and the District of Columbia reported no indigenously acquired rubella in 1996 (Table 2).

Diphtheria. Although two cases of diphtheria were provisionally reported to the NNDSS in 1996, ${ }^{\dagger}$ endemic transmission of toxigenic Corynebacterium diphtheriae was detected in a Northern Plains Indian community in 1996 (7). Therefore, at least one focus of indigenous transmission persists in the United States. Of the cases reported to NIP with onset in 1996, one occurred in a person aged 15 years and the other three, among persons aged >20 years. The low number of reported cases (a median of four cases annually during 1988-1992) may reflect low incidence of disease or lack of recognition of this rare disease. Forty-seven states and the District of Columbia reported no cases of diphtheria during 1996 (Table 2).

Hib. During 1996, a total of 49 cases of Hib invasive disease and 116 cases of H . influenzae of unknown serotype among children aged $<5$ years were provisionally reported (as of July 23, 1997) to NNDSS, NBMBRS, or through active surveillance sites. In 1996, a total of 13 states and the District of Columbia reported no cases of invasive disease caused by $H$. influenzae type b or an unknown serotype among children aged $<5$ years. In five of these states, however, zero cases of invasive $H$. influenzae disease of any type in any age group were reported, suggesting reporting is incomplete. Hib disease was not consistently reported to NNDSS during 1988-1992§. However, data from the active laboratory-based surveillance system coordinated by CDC indicate a $99 \%$ decrease during 1989-1995 in the incidence of invasive Hib disease among children aged $<5$ years (8).

Mumps. In 1996, a provisional total of 725 indigenous cases of mumps were reported; 154 ( $21 \%$ ) cases occurred among children aged $<5$ years, and 380 ( $52 \%$ ) occurred among children aged 5-19 years. In contrast, during 1988-1992, a median of 453 and 3167 cases occurred among persons aged $<5$ years and $5-19$ years, respectively. In 1996, a total of 315 ( $43 \%$ ) indigenous mumps cases were classified as confirmed; 194 ( $27 \%$ ), as probable; and 216 ( $30 \%$ ), as unknown.
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TABLE 2. Number of reported cases* of selected vaccine-preventable diseases, by state - United States, 1996

| State | Measles ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Rubella ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Polio ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Diphtheriaf | Tetanus** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hi type b } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { unknown } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Alaska | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Arizona | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\$ \S}$ |
| California | 37 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Colorado | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Connecticut | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Delaware | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Georgia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Hawaii | 40 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Idaho | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Illinois | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Indiana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| lowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Kansas | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Kentucky | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Louisiana | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Maine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {§§ }}$ |
| Maryland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Massachusetts | 9 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Michigan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Minnesota | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mississippi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Missouri | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Montana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nebraska | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Nevada | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\S \S}$ |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| New Jersey | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| New Mexico | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| New York | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| New York City | 8 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |

* Includes cases reported to the National Notifiable Disease Surveillance System (NNDSS) as indigenous or of unknown case status. Data are provisonal as of July 23, 1997.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Confirmed and unknown case status only.
${ }^{\S}$ Caused by wild virus.
TIncludes two probable or confirmed cases reported to the National Immunization Program but not reported as 1996 cases to NNDSS. Cases reported to NNDSS are supplemented by data from other surveillance systems.
** Among children aged <15 years.
${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Data are not collected for indigenous versus imported cases. The total includes invasive disease caused by Haemophilus influenzae type b or an unknown serotype among children aged $<5$ years. Cases reported to NNDSS with onset dates in 1996 are supplemented by data from other surveillance systems (e.g., the National Bacterial Meningitis and Bacteremia Reporting System and laboratory-based active surveillance, both conducted by CDC's Childhood and Respiratory Diseases Branch, Division of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases).
§§No cases of Haemophilus influenzae disease (of any serotype or of unknown serotype) among any age group reported to NNDSS.

Vaccine-Preventable Diseases - Continued
TABLE 2. Number of reported cases* of selected vaccine-preventable diseases, by state - United States, 1996 - Continued

| State | Measles ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Rubella ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Polio ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Diphtheria『 | Tetanus** | Hi type b or unknown ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Carolina | 1 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| North Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\S \S}$ |
| Ohio | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Oklahoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Oregon | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Rhode Island | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| South Carolina | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Tennessee | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Texas | 24 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Utah | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Vermont | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virginia | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Washington | 36 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| West Virginia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Wisconsin | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Wyoming | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\S \S}$ |
| Total | 443 | 196 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 165 |

* Includes cases reported to the National Notifiable Disease Surveillance System (NNDSS) as indigenous or of unknown case status. Data are provisonal as of July 23, 1997.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Confirmed and unknown case status only.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Caused by wild virus.
MIncludes two probable or confirmed cases reported to the National Immunization Program but not reported as 1996 cases to NNDSS. Cases reported to NNDSS are supplemented by data from other surveillance systems.
** Among children aged $<15$ years.
${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Data are not collected for indigenous versus imported cases. The total includes invasive disease caused by Haemophilus influenzae type b or an unknown serotype among children aged $<5$ years. Cases reported to NNDSS with onset dates in 1996 are supplemented by data from other surveillance systems (e.g., the National Bacterial Meningitis and Bacteremia Reporting System and laboratory-based active surveillance, both conducted by CDC's Childhood and Respiratory Diseases Branch, Division of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases).
${ }^{\S \S}$ No cases of Haemophilus influenzae disease (of any serotype or of unknown serotype) among any age group reported to NNDSS.

Reported by: Child Vaccine Preventable Diseases Br, Epidemiology and Surveillance Div, National Immunization Program, CDC.
Editorial Note: The findings of this report document achievement in 1996 of two of the six disease-elimination goals established by the CII (tetanus among children aged $<15$ years and polio caused by wild poliovirus) and the disease-reduction goal for mumps. Factors contributing to attainment of these goals include achieving record-high vaccination coverage among preschool-aged children, increasing coverage with the second dose of measles-mumps-rubella vaccine (MMR) among schoolaged children, and decreasing risk for importation of polio worldwide. Reported incidence of the other targeted vaccine-preventable diseases remained at or near the lowest ever recorded.

Vaccine-Preventable Diseases - Continued
Despite these accomplishments in eliminating vaccine-preventable diseases, four of the six disease-elimination goals established by the CII were not achieved at the national level in 1996. The reasons for this varied among the diseases targeted for elimination. For example, the epidemiology of measles and rubella has changed from that which existed before initiation of the CII. Disease-control measures and vaccination programs targeted at preschool-aged children are necessary, but not sufficient, to eliminate transmission of these diseases. Reducing susceptibility among young adults and administration of second doses of MMR to susceptible school-aged children will be critical to assure sustained elimination of transmission. Furthermore, persons who are natives of countries without rubella vaccination programs should be considered susceptible to rubella and, therefore, should be vaccinated unless they have documentation of prior vaccination or serologic evidence of immunity. Frequent importations of measles and rubella will require improved control of these diseases in other countries to reduce the risks for exposure among the remaining susceptible persons in the United States. Some populations that object to vaccination for religious or philosophic reasons continue to remain susceptible, and outbreaks among these populations may occur unless the risk for exposure to disease is minimized by reducing the risk for importation and by maintaining high vaccination levels in general populations. Cases of invasive Hib disease continue to occur among children who are too young to be fully protected with current vaccines and schedules, children who are not vaccinated at the recommended ages, and children who are not fully protected by existing vaccines (8). Additional efforts must be directed toward characterizing potential reservoirs of infection that are not eliminated by current vaccines and strategies to develop more effective vaccination programs. In addition, the persistent circulation of diphtheria despite high levels of vaccination (7) underscores the need for improved understanding of the indigenous foci of transmission to refine control strategies.

Although CII did not establish a disease-reduction goal for pertussis, the persistent ocurrence of this disease has important public health implications. Despite increasing vaccine coverage among preschool-aged children, the number of reported cases of pertussis has continued to increase: during 1988-1992, a median of 4083 cases was reported; in 1996, a provisional total of 7796 cases was reported. Although vaccine effectiveness remains high among preschool-aged children (9), older school-aged children now account for an increasing proportion of cases. Because pertussis vaccine is not recommended for use in persons aged $\geq 7$ years, these cases cannot be prevented by current vaccines and vaccination strategies. Studies are under way to assess the effectiveness and potential impact of the use of acellular pertussis vaccines in older age groups.

As vaccination and other disease-control efforts reduce disease incidence, more accurate data are needed for monitoring further progress toward disease-elimination objectives. Surveillance indicators that will allow monitoring of diagnostic efforts are needed to ensure that the absence of reported cases reflects the true absence of disease rather than the absence of effort to detect disease. Adequate laboratory evaluation of suspected cases also is critical and should include increased completeness of serotyping of H . influenzae isolates from cases of invasive disease in children. Only $43 \%$ of reported mumps cases were confirmed; if laboratory confirmation had been sought for the remaining cases, many probably would have been ruled out as cases. Molecular typing methods can assist in characterizing the origins (indigenous or

## Vaccine-Preventable Diseases - Continued

imported) of agents; these methods have been used to demonstrate the interruption of transmission of measles virus (4) and ongoing endemic circulation of toxigenic C. diphtheriae strains (7). Similar methods are now being applied to better define the origin of circulating rubella strains in the United States.
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As part of its commemoration of CDC's 50th anniversary, MMWR is reprinting selected MMWR articles of historical importance to public health, accompanied by current editorial notes. Reprinted below are two reports, published March 19, 1976, and June 11, 1976, respectively, concerning childhood cancer in Columbus, Ohio (acute childhood leukemia), and Winchester, Virginia (Burkitt's lymphoma). They illustrate the problem of cancer case clusters in communities and the public health approaches used in response.

## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Acute Childhood Leukemia - Columbus, Ohio

From August-October 1975, 8 cases of acute leukemia were diagnosed at Columbus Children's Hospital in Columbus, Ohio, in children living in that city. During any consecutive 3-month period in 1972-1974, the greatest number of cases of acute leukemia diagnosed at this hospital in Columbus children was 4 (Figure 1).

To evaluate this cluster of illness, all cases of acute leukemia diagnosed at Columbus Children's Hospital in 1972-1975 were reviewed with respect to age, race, sex, type of leukemia, date of diagnosis, and residence in and outside of Columbus
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FIGURE 1. Acute Leukemia, Columbus Children's Hospital, 1972-1975 — by Place of Residence and Date of Diagnosis


TABLE 1. Acute Leukemia, Columbus Children's Hospital, 1972-1975 - By Age, Sex, Race, Place of Residence, and Year of Diagnosis

|  | Year of Diagnosis |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1972-1974 |  |  | 1975 |
|  | Columbus |  |  |  |
|  | Residents | Other | Columbus <br> Residents | Other |
| Total number of cases | 16 | 57 | 12 | 22 |
| Mean age at diagnosis | 4.5 | 5.4 | 7.0 | 6.5 |
| Sex: Male | 10 | 33 | 5 | 11 |
| Female | 6 | 19 | 7 | 11 |
| Race: White | 13 | 55 | 9 | 21 |
| Black | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Unknown | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Leukemic Cell Type: | 3 | 11 | 3 | 4 |
| Myelocytic | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Monocytic | 11 | 44 | 9 | 18 |
| Lymphocytic or Stem Cell |  |  |  |  |
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(Table 1). The hospital provides care for most children with leukemia in Columbus and for many such patients from surrounding areas. In the 3-year period 1972-1974, an average of 5.3 cases of acute childhood leukemia were seen each year among Columbus residents (the expected number is 6.1, based on age-specific rates from the Third National Cancer Survey [ 1 ]). Over the 4 -year period 1972-1975, 107 cases were seen, 28 among Columbus residents. Both Columbus and non-Columbus patients in 1975 were somewhat older and included relatively more females than in earlier years. Case distributions by race and leukemia cell type were not unusual.

Twelve cases were diagnosed in Columbus residents in 1975, compared with a total of 16 for all 3 preceding years. To assess the possibility of time-space clustering among Columbus cases over the entire 4 -year period a statistical analysis was performed using the procedure devised by Knox (2). No statistically significant clustering was found; 13 case-pairs were observed in which dates of diagnosis were less than 1 year apart and places of residence 1 mile or less apart, whereas 14.4 pairs were to be expected on a random basis. Inspection of the 1975 case data showed no geographic clustering and no obvious community or family interrelationships among cases. No evidence of seasonal periodicity was found on statistical testing by month of diagnosis for pooled data from all 4 years.
Reported by I Ertel, MD, W Newton, MD, Children's Hospital, Columbus, Ohio; TJ Halpin, MD, MPH, State Epidemiologist, Ohio Department of Health; Field Services Div and Cancer and Birth Defects Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.
Editorial Note: The question of time-space clustering among cases of leukemia and lymphoma has received considerable epidemiologic attention, particularly in connection with hypotheses regarding the possible viral etiology of cancer. While no evidence has been found of statistically significant time-space clustering among adult cases, several studies have suggested such a tendency among cases of childhood acute leukemia (2-5). The significance of such observations remains unclear. In the present investigation no evidence, statistical or otherwise, was found to suggest that the recent case cluster in Columbus might be due to factors other than chance. Further investigations of such clusters may be desirable, however, as a potential source of clues regarding the etiology of childhood tumors.
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## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Burkitt's Lymphoma - Winchester, Virginia

Three cases of Burkitt's lymphoma (BL) have occurred since 1971 in young boys living in one residential section of Winchester, Virginia. Onset of illness in the first 2 cases (ages 9 and 15) occurred simultaneously in August 1971 (1); the third patient (age 8) first became ill in July 1975.

The first 2 patients lived 2 houses apart and the third one-half mile away. None of the 3 patients or their families was acquainted or shared common community activities, although all 3 boys had attended the same grade school at different times. All 3 patients were Caucasian.

Manifestations of disease were similar in all 3 cases. Initial symptoms in each case involved persistent sore throat progressing to peritonsillar masses in the first 2 cases and to cervical adenopathy in the third. Histologic diagnosis of BL was made on biopsy of these masses and was confirmed on pathologic review at the National Cancer Institute. Marrow aspiration was suggestive of leukemic transformation in the third case, but not in the first 2 . In each case there was clinical evidence of central nervous system (CNS) tumor involvement. Despite intensive chemotherapy in all 3 cases, and radiation therapy in 2, disease was unremitting and led to death 1, 4, and 7 months after initial diagnosis. Autopsy findings in the first 2 cases showed widespread tumor involving the CNS, as well as cervical and abdominal lymph nodes. In the third case, autopsy showed residual tumor only at the original site of occurrence (the neck). Serum antibody against Epstein Barr virus (EBV) was present at low titers in 2 cases.

Epidemiologic investigation revealed no clues to suggest a possible common etiology for these cases beyond their closeness in time and place of occurrence. Although the summers of 1971 and 1975 had somewhat greater rainfall than other years, no evidence was found to link the cases to increased mosquito or other insect exposures. No unusual local patterns of leukemia, lymphoma, or infectious mononucleosis incidence were found, and no other persons with childhood cancer were identified as having been in contact with the patients or being in their particular neighborhood.
Reported by N McWilliams, MD, Medical College of Virginia; W Hatfield, MD, Lord Fairfax Health District; R Jackson, MD, State Epidemiologist, Virginia State Dept of Health; and Cancer and Birth Defects Div and Field Services Div, Bur of Epidemiology, CDC.
Editorial Note: Burkitt's lymphoma is extraordinarily rare outside certain parts of central Africa and New Guinea where it constitutes the most common tumor of childhood (2). Epidemiologic surveys of childhood cancer in the metropolitan areas of Atlanta, Georgia, and Houston, Texas, suggest that the annual incidence of BL in the United States is in the range of about 1 case per million children, or an expected incidence of about 1 case every 200 years for a town the size of Winchester. In this context, the occurrence of 3 cases over 5 years in one neighborhood is distinctly unusual.

The etiologic significance of such case clustering is not clear. While early studies of African BL suggested that time-space case clustering was a common feature of the disease (3), more recent epidemiologic work has cast doubt on the idea (4). Current evidence suggests that the etiology of African BL may be related to EBV infection in a host whose immunologic state has been severely affected by constant and severe
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malarial infections (5). The present cases, however, provide no obvious clinical or epidemiologic clues regarding their particular etiology.
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Editorial Note-1997: Public concern can quickly rise when persons perceive an excess of cancer in their local community. Such situations are not infrequent. Each deserves prompt public health attention to address community concerns and to explore possible etiologic clues. However, it is difficult to know when field investigations are needed and how far they should proceed. The problem is neither new nor unique to cancer because similar concerns arise about other chronic or noninfectious diseases. Cases can cluster simply by chance, and random case distributions probably account for most community case clusters. It is possible that certain clusters may have community-based causes, possibly resulting from particular patterns of infectious disease occurrence or from environmental exposures. Although methodologic problems greatly limit the exploration of such possibilities, carefully designed field investigations in selected situations should be considered (1,2).

Reports of such investigations about cancer clusters have appeared in MMWR. The two reports reprinted in this issue illustrate the diversity of analytic approaches that have been used, as well as the uncertainty of conclusions. Both of these investigations involved collaborative efforts between CDC (the Chronic Diseases Division and the Field Services Division) and local/state medical and public health authorities. In the case of acute childhood leukemia in Columbus, Ohio (3), concern was for disease frequency in the city as a whole after eight cases were diagnosed during a 3 -month period-twice the maximum number seen before. However, after further investigation, no features were observed that distinguished these cases from others, and a statistical analysis of time-space closeness indicated no evidence of unusual clustering.

The appearance of Burkitt's tumor in Winchester, Virginia, presented a different problem (4). This particular cancer is rare outside central Africa and New Guinea, and the two initial cases occurred in children whose diagnoses were simultaneous and who lived only two doors apart. The third patient, diagnosed 4 years later, lived nearby. Although no other links were found among the three cases, the rareness of the tumor, the time-space closeness of the first two cases, and the recurrent pattern suggested by the third case required consideration of alternate explanations to that of a chance event.

Interest in cancer case clusters has been evident in the medical literature since the late 19th century. Leukemia has received particular attention, perhaps because clusters suggest infectious disease outbreaks and because white cell elevations are asso-
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ciated with infection. The focus on leukemia intensified in the 1960s when tumor virologists, after demonstrating that leukemia viruses exist in other species, initiated an extensive search for such viruses in humans. During the 1960s and 1970s, CDC played a prominent role in that search by working closely with the National Cancer Institute in field investigations of leukemia case clusters. One of the earliest of these investigations involved eight cases of childhood leukemia in the Chicago suburb of Niles, Illinois (5). Seven of those cases were associated with one particular school where a parallel pattern of rheumatic-like illness had simultaneously appeared. The neighborhood was newly created, a situation later recalled when observations concerning a childhood leukemia cluster in the United Kingdom (UK) suggested that risk might be heightened in newly established communities (6).

Epidemiologic work continued at CDC into the 1980s, with several field investigations conducted each year in cooperation with various local and state health departments (7). Most studies involved time-space clusters of childhood leukemia cases in residential communities; however, some work involved adult leukemias, cancers of other types in both adults and children, multiple-case families, associations between human and animal cancers (i.e., pets and farm animals), and cancers occurring within acquaintance networks (e.g., former school mates). In addition, occasional situations were studied in which case clusters involved congenital malformations or chronic neurologic disease. Over time, emphasis gradually moved away from infectious disease hypotheses and increasingly focused on environmental exposures (e.g., hazardous waste sites, water pollution, and ionizing radiation).

In recent years, interest has been revived about clusters of childhood leukemia in residential communities. Much of the impetus has come from studies in the UK where a cluster of five cases occurred in a small town affecting children of men employed at a nearby nuclear fuel-reprocessing plant. Extensive epidemiologic studies confirmed this local increase in incidence and suggested a possible relation to increased paternal exposure to low levels of ionizing radiation in the workplace before the children were conceived (8).

Although studies in other human and experimental settings have not confirmed this association, nationwide studies of childhood leukemia in the UK have suggested that risk may be increased in newly settled towns, such as the one near the nuclear reprocessing plant. This observation has led to the hypothesis that infectious disease patterns among children in such new towns may be less stable than in more settled communities and may, on occasion, be reflected in unusual patterns of childhood leukemia as a rare sequel to certain infections (6). Again, this concept recalls the earlier Niles, Illinois, experience.

Despite frequent attention over the years to individual cancer case clusters and despite the various hypotheses generated, there has been no instance yet where a biologic cause for clustering has been convincingly demonstrated. In the rare instance when causation has been proven from studies of small groups of cases (9), virtually all have involved rare tumors in occupational settings where exposures were high and where reasonable evidence existed to establish individual exposure levels. The most striking example involved vinyl chloride monomer exposures causing hepatic angiosarcomas in vinyl chloride polymerization workers (10,11). No comparable set of data can be cited for exposures hypothesized in residential settings.
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There are clear reasons why community cluster studies are generally inconclusive. When known carcinogens are in question (either chemicals or ionizing radiation), exposures are usually very low. Many situations, however, focus on exposures, such as nonionizing radiation, for which firm evidence of carcinogenicity is lacking at any dose. Studies also are limited by the long and irregular latency of cancer, the clinical nonspecificity of cancer cases (e.g., our inability to assign specific causes to individual cases), and the relative rareness of disease at any one point in time, resulting in very small numbers on which to base epidemiologic analyses. Nonetheless, public health departments must respond in some manner when cancer case clusters come to their attention. The first step is to respond quickly and openly so that communication with community residents is effective and concerns are not neglected. The second step is to confirm the accuracy of reported diagnoses and to compare the number of observed cases with the number to be expected. Often investigations need go no further. If more work is needed, however, the next step is either to interview affected patients or their families in search of common life features or to design and conduct a more formal epidemiologic study, usually a case-control design. Here the work may not be worth the effort unless a reasonable etiologic hypothesis exists or community concern is exceptionally high. The methodologic difficulties become all too apparent, especially the weakness of such studies, limited as they are by very small numbers. Environmental studies also should be approached with caution because of their weakness in establishing clear case-exposure relations and because of their great expense.

Despite these analytic limitations, cancer case clustering deserves research attention. With the possibility of molecular marker techniques, which in the future may help determine the etiologies of individual cancer cases, it may be possible to conduct useful studies of selected clusters, whether the hypotheses are infectious or environmental. Still, the rarity of nonchance clusters must always be considered. Area-wide statistical techniques designed to measure the degree to which cases may cluster in time and space have failed to document any consistent tendency, except perhaps in childhood cancers (12-14). If further knowledge is to develop in this difficult area of public health practice, it is among childhood cancers that work should focus (15).
1997 Editorial Note by Clark W Heath, Jr, MD, Vice President for Epidemiology and Surveillance Research, American Cancer Society, Atlanta, Georgia, and former Director, Division of Chronic Diseases, Center for Disease Control. Glyn G Caldwell, MD, Clinical Coordinator, Indiana Medical Review Organization, Indianapolis, and former Chief, Cancer Branch, Bureau of Epidemiology, Center for Disease Control.
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## Notice to Readers

## Availability of Case Definitions for Infectious Conditions under Public Health Surveillance on Internet

The 1997 MMWR Recommendations and Reports, Case Definitions for Infectious Conditions under Public Health Surveillance (1), is now available on the Internet. This document provides updated uniform criteria for state health department personnel to use when reporting notifiable diseases to CDC. The reported numbers of cases of selected notifiable diseases are printed each week in Tables I-III of MMWR.

Since May 2, 1997, the complete document has been available as a .pdf file (Adobe Acrobat portable document format) from CDC's MMWR World-Wide Web (WWW) page and from CDC's file transfer protocol server at ftp.cdc.gov/. In response to high demand from state and local health departments, case definitions for specific conditions are now accessible individually from the Case Definitions for Infectious Conditions under Public Health Surveillance WWW site at http://www.cdc.gov/epo/mmwr/ other/case_def/about.html. To facilitate analysis of historical surveillance data, the original case definitions from the 1990 publication Case Definitions for Public Health Surveillance (2) and intermediate revisions are linked at this website to their 1997 revised versions. Revisions to these case definitions will be added to this WWW site when approved by the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists.
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FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, comparison of provisional 4-week totals ending July 19, 1997, with historical data - United States

*Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 154 -week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4 -week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

## TABLE I. Summary - provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending July 19, 1997 (29th Week)

|  | Cum. 1997 |  | Cum. 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Plague | 2 |
| Brucellosis | 31 | Poliomyelitis, paralytic | - |
| Cholera | 4 | Psittacosis | 21 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | 2 | Rabies, human | 2 |
| Cryptosporidiosis* | 687 | Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) | 144 |
| Diphtheria | 5 | Streptococcal disease, invasive Group A | 921 |
| Encephalitis: California* | 4 | Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome* | 22 |
| eastern equine* | - | Syphilis, congenital ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | 125 |
| St. Louis* | 1 | Tetanus | 23 |
| western equine* | 1 | Toxic-shock syndrome | 66 |
| Hansen Disease | 53 | Trichinosis | 3 |
| Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome* ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 9 | Typhoid fever | 153 |
| Hemolytic uremic syndrome, post-diarrheal* HIV infection, pediatric*s | 22 131 | Yellow fever | - |

## -:no reported cases

*Not notifiable in all states.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID).
${ }^{5}$ Updated monthly to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention-Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP), last update June 24, 1997.
${ }^{4}$ Updated from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP.

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 19, 1997, and July 20, 1996 (29th Week)

| Reporting Area | AIDS |  | Chlamydia |  | Escherichia coli 0157:H7 |  | Gonorrhea |  | Hepatitis C/NA,NB |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NETSS ${ }^{\dagger}$ | PHLIS ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & \text { 1997* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 30,463 | 36,705 | 227,922 | 220,211 | 881 | 392 | 142,924 | 163,129 | 1,683 | 1,985 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 1,277 | 1,554 | 9,112 | 8,919 | 79 | 34 | 3,076 | 3,455 | 32 | 52 |
| Maine | 28 | 22 | 548 | U | 8 | - | 31 | 24 |  | - |
| N.H. | 17 | 50 | 401 | 400 | 5 | 3 | 59 | 81 | 6 | 5 |
| Vt. | 23 | 14 | 214 | 240 | 4 | 1 | 27 | 34 | - | 15 |
| Mass. | 467 | 739 | 3,937 | 3,602 | 50 | 30 | 1,247 | 1,173 | 22 | 28 |
| R.I. | 85 | 94 | 1,069 | 1,120 | 1 | - | 243 | 283 | 4 | 4 |
| Conn. | 657 | 635 | 2,943 | 3,557 | 11 | - | 1,469 | 1,860 | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 9,745 | 9,666 | 31,243 | 36,640 | 52 | 14 | 18,421 | 22,847 | 191 | 169 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 1,645 | 1,166 | N | N | 34 | 4 | 3,011 | 3,989 | 148 | 136 |
| N.Y. City | 4,978 | 5,303 | 16,336 | 19,856 | 8 | - | 7,287 | 8,912 |  | 3 |
| N.J. | 1,973 | 1,937 | 4,656 | 6,985 | 10 | 8 | 3,274 | 4,389 | - | - |
| Pa . | 1,149 | 1,260 | 10,251 | 9,799 | N | 2 | 4,849 | 5,557 | 43 | 30 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,041 | 2,936 | 32,622 | 48,084 | 175 | 43 | 20,205 | 31,562 | 308 | 289 |
| Ohio | 396 | 662 | 6,786 | 11,333 | 41 | 17 | 4,604 | 8,051 | 10 | 14 |
| Ind. | 361 | 390 | 4,673 | 5,251 | 32 | 10 | 3,129 | 3,455 | 9 | 7 |
| III. | 765 | 1,205 | 6,043 | 13,503 | 40 | - | 2,950 | 9,125 | 40 | 58 |
| Mich. | 386 | 521 | 10,372 | 12,089 | 62 | 6 | 7,484 | 8,286 | 249 | 210 |
| Wis. | 133 | 158 | 4,748 | 5,908 | N | 10 | 2,038 | 2,645 | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 565 | 838 | 12,988 | 16,775 | 159 | 86 | 6,207 | 7,762 | 96 | 53 |
| Minn. | 101 | 168 | U | 2,702 | 74 | 48 | U | 1,099 | 3 | - |
| Iowa | 70 | 57 | 2,515 | 1,980 | 24 | 9 | 686 | 504 | 19 | 25 |
| Mo. | 237 | 398 | 6,233 | 7,214 | 24 | 22 | 4,156 | 4,638 | 63 | 13 |
| N. Dak. | 7 | 11 | 457 | 539 | 5 | 3 | 34 | 14 | 2 | - |
| S. Dak. | 4 | 8 | 695 | 705 | 10 | - | 77 | 100 |  |  |
| Nebr. | 61 | 55 | 1,038 | 1,109 | 14 | - | 393 | 237 | 2 | 5 |
| Kans. | 85 | 141 | 2,050 | 2,526 | 8 | 4 | 861 | 1,170 | 7 | 10 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 7,504 | 9,281 | 50,313 | 28,327 | 99 | 43 | 47,696 | 52,355 | 172 | 101 |
| Del. | 144 | 166 | 1,276 | 1,148 | 3 | 3 | 639 | 799 | - | - |
| Md. | 950 | 1,133 | 3,918 | U | 8 | 3 | 7,268 | 5,434 | 10 | 1 |
| D.C. | 538 | 617 | N | N | - | - | 1,738 | 2,474 | - |  |
| Va . | 651 | 580 | 6,178 | 5,954 | N | 18 | 4,423 | 5,205 | 18 | 8 |
| W. Va. | 57 | 66 | 1,628 | 1,131 | N | - | 503 | 384 | 11 | 7 |
| N.C. | 428 | 471 | 10,269 | U | 28 | 16 | 9,870 | 10,179 | 33 | 30 |
| S.C. | 410 | 476 | 6,934 | U | 2 | - | 6,219 | 6,151 | 27 | 15 |
| Ga . | 965 | 1,409 | 7,105 | 7,101 | 26 | - | 7,775 | 11,862 | U | - |
| Fla. | 3,361 | 4,363 | 13,005 | 12,993 | 31 | 3 | 9,261 | 9,867 | 73 | 40 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 1,022 | 1,208 | 18,169 | 16,487 | 54 | 7 | 18,046 | 17,582 | 200 | 355 |
| Ky. | 177 | 173 | 3,636 | 3,765 | 17 | - | 2,320 | 2,262 | 9 | 20 |
| Tenn. | 418 | 474 | 7,001 | 7,127 | 28 | 7 | 5,812 | 6,166 | 135 | 275 |
| Ala. | 237 | 364 | 4,541 | 4,548 | 6 | - | 6,356 | 7,293 | 6 | 2 |
| Miss. | 190 | 197 | 2,991 | 1,047 | 3 | - | 3,558 | 1,861 | 50 | 58 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 3,187 | 3,905 | 29,224 | 11,326 | 28 | 5 | 18,413 | 10,795 | 199 | 201 |
| Ark. | 120 | 169 | 676 | 970 | 4 | 1 | 1,410 | 2,329 | - | 4 |
| La. | 545 | 878 | 4,904 | 3,811 | 4 | 3 | 4,581 | 4,057 | 121 | 117 |
| Okla. | 166 | 166 | 4,071 | 4,112 | 2 | 1 | 2,555 | 2,581 | 5 | 1 |
| Tex. | 2,356 | 2,692 | 19,573 | 2,433 | 18 | - | 9,867 | 1,828 | 73 | 79 |
| MOUNTAIN | 881 | 1,174 | 13,117 | 13,829 | 106 | 63 | 4,069 | 4,316 | 219 | 352 |
| Mont. | 22 | 22 | 498 | 698 | 7 | - | 20 | 14 | 12 | 10 |
| Idaho | 28 | 23 | 790 | 856 | 13 | 8 | 59 | 58 | 28 | 87 |
| Wyo. | 13 | 3 | 309 | 358 | 5 | - | 28 | 20 | 91 | 111 |
| Colo. | 210 | 332 | 1,896 | 1,091 | 48 | 31 | 1,171 | 994 | 25 | 33 |
| N. Mex. | 79 | 111 | 1,899 | 2,258 | 5 | 4 | 653 | 462 | 33 | 42 |
| Ariz. | 227 | 339 | 5,427 | 6,147 | N | 14 | 1,607 | 2,113 | 22 | 40 |
| Utah | 68 | 106 | 935 | 823 | 25 | - | 137 | 161 | 3 | 13 |
| Nev. | 234 | 238 | 1,363 | 1,598 | 3 | 6 | 394 | 494 | 5 | 16 |
| PACIFIC | 4,241 | 6,142 | 31,134 | 39,824 | 129 | 94 | 6,791 | 12,455 | 266 | 413 |
| Wash. | 380 | 442 | 5,032 | 5,520 | 25 | 22 | 1,072 | 1,199 | 17 | 35 |
| Oreg. | 162 | 279 | 2,219 | 3,073 | 38 | 40 | 350 | 455 | 4 | 6 |
| Calif. | 3,643 | 5,285 | 22,212 | 29,669 | 61 | 27 | 4,902 | 10,288 | 159 | 255 |
| Alaska | 22 | 14 | 790 | 583 | 5 | 1 | 216 | 243 | - | 2 |
| Hawaii | 34 | 122 | 881 | 979 | N | 4 | 251 | 270 | 86 | 115 |
|  | - 2 | 4 | 31 | 228 | N | - | 3 | 39 | - | 6 |
| P.R. | 1,021 | 1,047 | U | U | 25 | U | 351 | 356 | 63 | 104 |
| V.I. | 52 | 14 | N | N | N | U | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | - | N | U | - | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | 1 | - | N | N | N | U | 16 | 11 | 2 | - |
| N : Not notifiable <br> C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands <br> *Updated monthly to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention-Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Preventio <br> last update June 24, 1997. <br> ${ }_{\S}^{\dagger}$ National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance. <br> ${ }^{\S}$ Public Health Laboratory Information System. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States,
weeks ending July 19, 1997, and July 20, 1996 (29th Week)

| Reporting Area | Legionellosis |  | Lyme Disease |  | Malaria |  | Syphilis(Primary \& Secondary) |  | Tuberculosis |  | Rabies, Animal <br> Cum. <br> 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | Cum. 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| UNITED STATES | 448 | 426 | 2,141 | 4,715 | 782 | 737 | 4,320 | 6,274 | 9,240 | 10,246 | 4,026 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 31 | 21 | 396 | 1,038 | 37 | 30 | 91 | 89 | 236 | 241 | 591 |
| Maine | 1 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 5 | - | - | 11 | 16 | 124 |
| N.H. | 3 | - | 7 | 16 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 9 | 8 | 23 |
| V t. | 4 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 90 |
| Mass. | 9 | 11 | 88 | 54 | 15 | 11 | 43 | 40 | 138 | 108 | 127 |
| R.I. | 5 | 6 | 53 | 126 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 24 | 11 |
| Conn. | 9 | N | 238 | 824 | 14 | 8 | 46 | 47 | 58 | 84 | 216 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 78 | 92 | 1,280 | 3,055 | 197 | 229 | 212 | 286 | 1,676 | 1,804 | 831 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 18 | 27 | 276 | 1,430 | 33 | 45 | 19 | 43 | 222 | 201 | 615 |
| N.Y. City | 3 | 7 | 18 | 168 | 107 | 130 | 46 | 91 | 866 | 952 | - |
| N.J. | 12 | 8 | 418 | 686 | 43 | 38 | 88 | 97 | 336 | 387 | 90 |
| Pa . | 45 | 50 | 568 | 771 | 14 | 16 | 59 | 55 | 252 | 264 | 126 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 144 | 148 | 34 | 216 | 71 | 93 | 347 | 1,057 | 1,068 | 1,074 | 88 |
| Ohio | 72 | 49 | 26 | 12 | 11 | 8 | 110 | 401 | 344 | 164 | 61 |
| Ind. | 25 | 35 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 70 | 138 | 81 | 102 | 10 |
| III. | 5 | 19 | 2 | 7 | 27 | 46 | 38 | 290 | 429 | 580 | 5 |
| Mich. | 36 | 28 | - | - | 20 | 20 | 72 | 109 | 153 | 173 | 11 |
| Wis. | 6 | 17 | U | 185 | 6 | 12 | 57 | 119 | 61 | 55 | 1 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 40 | 23 | 31 | 75 | 28 | 17 | 81 | 215 | 286 | 247 | 268 |
| Minn. | 1 | 2 | 20 | 12 | 10 | 5 | U | 25 | 76 | 64 | 26 |
| Iowa | 11 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 34 | 36 | 93 |
| Mo. | 10 | 5 | 7 | 29 | 5 | 7 | 53 | 154 | 116 | 89 | 11 |
| N. Dak. | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 6 | 3 | 38 |
| S. Dak. | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | 7 | 13 | 40 |
| Nebr. | 10 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 1 |
| Kans. | 4 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 21 | 15 | 35 | 29 | 59 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 69 | 57 | 264 | 192 | 163 | 115 | 1,811 | 2,132 | 1,796 | 1,884 | 1,722 |
| Del. | 6 | 7 | 27 | 85 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 23 | 11 | 27 | 36 |
| Md. | 17 | 7 | 186 | 48 | 46 | 31 | 493 | 370 | 170 | 161 | 315 |
| D.C. | 3 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 50 | 86 | 57 | 78 | 3 |
| Va . | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 37 | 19 | 146 | 242 | 165 | 149 | 339 |
| W. Va. | N | N | 1 | 7 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 29 | 33 | 50 |
| N.C. | 8 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 8 | 10 | 404 | 577 | 219 | 267 | 530 |
| S.C. | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 222 | 235 | 183 | 203 | 99 |
| Ga. | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 302 | 374 | 330 | 370 | 179 |
| Fla. | 20 | 16 | 15 | 5 | 37 | 22 | 176 | 223 | 632 | 596 | 171 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 26 | 26 | 39 | 41 | 16 | 18 | 978 | 1,450 | 645 | 788 | 145 |
| Ky. | 2 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 85 | 77 | 100 | 135 | 19 |
| Tenn. | 18 | 12 | 20 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 431 | 479 | 228 | 274 | 83 |
| Ala. | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 258 | 306 | 223 | 243 | 43 |
| Miss. | 4 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 204 | 588 | 94 | 136 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 7 | 4 | 25 | 49 | 7 | 14 | 606 | 640 | 1,199 | 1,218 | 171 |
| Ark. | - | 1 | 6 | 16 | 2 | - | 66 | 152 | 118 | 111 | 27 |
| La. | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 212 | 306 | - | 6 | 1 |
| Okla. | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | - | - | 67 | 108 | 104 | 95 | 66 |
| Tex. | 3 | - | 12 | 29 | - | 12 | 261 | 74 | 977 | 1,006 | 77 |
| MOUNTAIN | 26 | 26 | 9 | 4 | 44 | 31 | 87 | 75 | 295 | 353 | 74 |
| Mont. | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | - | 7 | 14 | 19 |
| Idaho | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 7 | 5 | - |
| Wyo. | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | 18 |
| Colo. | 8 | 7 | 3 | - | 23 | 14 | 4 | 22 | 57 | 49 | - |
| N. Mex. | 1 | 1 | - | - | 6 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 16 | 55 | 5 |
| Ariz. | 7 | 7 | 1 | - | 5 | 4 | 65 | 38 | 147 | 130 | 30 |
| Utah | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 13 | 34 | 3 |
| Nev. | 1 | 5 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 46 | 63 | 2 |
| PACIFIC | 27 | 29 | 63 | 45 | 219 | 190 | 107 | 330 | 2,039 | 2,637 | 136 |
| Wash. | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 112 | 146 | - |
| Oreg. | - | - | 9 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 94 | 98 | 2 |
| Calif. | 20 | 24 | 52 | 31 | 194 | 159 | 93 | 318 | 1,691 | 2,240 | 115 |
| Alaska | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 46 | 49 | 19 |
| Hawaii | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 96 | 104 | - |
| Guam | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 5 | 55 | - |
| P.R. | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 134 | 135 | 129 | 105 | 36 |
| V.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 1 | 2 | - | - |

TABLE III. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending July 19, 1997, and July 20, 1996 (29th Week)

| Reporting Area | H. influenzae, invasive |  | Hepatitis (Viral), by type |  |  |  | Measles (Rubeola) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A |  | B |  | Indigenous |  | Imported ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & \text { 1997* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | 1997 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 641 | 667 | 14,763 | 15,012 | 4,662 | 5,265 | - | 50 | 3 | 27 | 77 | 306 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 35 | 21 | 362 | 175 | 82 | 114 | - | 9 | - | 2 | 11 | 11 |
| Maine | 3 | - | 45 | 12 | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N.H. | 4 | 10 | 19 | 8 | 5 | 8 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Vt. | 3 | - | 7 | 4 | 2 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Mass. | 22 | 10 | 139 | 87 | 33 | 33 | - | 8 | - | 1 | 9 | 9 |
| R.I. | 2 | 1 | 80 | 7 | 9 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | 1 | - | 72 | 57 | 26 | 56 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 72 | 137 | 1,110 | 1,018 | 668 | 841 | - | 12 | 1 | 5 | 17 | 27 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 14 | 35 | 158 | 228 | 139 | 203 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| N.Y. City | 20 | 35 | 420 | 318 | 238 | 299 | - | 4 | - | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| N.J. | 28 | 35 | 184 | 219 | 136 | 170 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| Pa. | 10 | 32 | 348 | 253 | 155 | 169 | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 11 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 105 | 117 | 1,474 | 1,368 | 498 | 611 | - | 5 | - | 3 | 8 | 16 |
| Ohio | 60 | 66 | 207 | 498 | 48 | 71 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Ind. | 11 | 7 | 178 | 175 | 60 | 83 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| III. | 23 | 32 | 313 | 342 | 120 | 185 | - | 5 | - | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| Mich. | 10 | 7 | 690 | 237 | 253 | 217 | - | 5 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Wis. | 1 | 5 | 86 | 116 | 17 | 55 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 33 | 25 | 1,143 | 1,179 | 291 | 269 | - | 9 | - | 2 | 11 | 16 |
| Minn. | 23 | 13 | 104 | 59 | 23 | 28 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| lowa | 3 | 3 | 196 | 213 | 29 | 35 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mo. | 3 | 6 | 597 | 606 | 209 | 164 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | 10 | 28 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | 2 | 1 | 14 | 39 | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | 8 | - |
| Nebr. | 1 | 1 | 56 | 86 | 9 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kans. | 1 | 1 | 166 | 148 | 19 | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 116 | 120 | 939 | 601 | 684 | 698 | - | 2 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 5 |
| Del. | - | 1 | 16 | 7 | 4 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Md. | 46 | 41 | 149 | 108 | 102 | 89 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - |
| D.C. | 2 | 5 | 15 | 18 | 24 | 26 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Va . | 7 | 5 | 114 | 88 | 74 | 85 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| W. Va. | 3 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 17 | 18 | 113 | 76 | 134 | 195 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| S.C. | 4 | 3 | 68 | 30 | 62 | 47 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ga . | 22 | 30 | 196 | 48 | 64 | 8 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Fla. | 15 | 11 | 262 | 214 | 211 | 228 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 35 | 19 | 360 | 841 | 387 | 455 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ky. | 4 | 5 | 45 | 21 | 24 | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | 23 | 8 | 228 | 574 | 255 | 262 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ala. | 8 | 5 | 52 | 110 | 40 | 31 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Miss. | - | 1 | 35 | 136 | 68 | 119 | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 33 | 29 | 2,949 | 2,863 | 561 | 621 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 14 |
| Ark. | 1 | - | 150 | 268 | 33 | 48 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| La. | 7 | 3 | 119 | 88 | 83 | 65 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Okla. | 20 | 23 | 939 | 1,227 | 21 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tex. | 5 | 3 | 1,741 | 1,280 | 424 | 484 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 14 |
| MOUNTAIN | 66 | 33 | 2,341 | 2,437 | 503 | 636 | - | 5 | - | - | 5 | 84 |
| Mont. | - | - | 54 | 71 | 5 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Idaho | 1 | 1 | 80 | 140 | 15 | 64 | U | - | U | - | - | 1 |
| Wyo. | 2 | - | 20 | 23 | 21 | 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 9 | 7 | 254 | 220 | 98 | 69 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| N. Mex. | 8 | 8 | 188 | 267 | 168 | 215 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| Ariz. | 27 | 12 | 1,229 | 934 | 116 | 148 | - | 5 | - | - | 5 | 8 |
| Utah | 3 | 5 | 370 | 559 | 57 | 63 | - | - | - | - | - | 56 |
| Nev. | 16 | - | 146 | 223 | 23 | 45 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| PACIFIC | 146 | 166 | 4,085 | 4,530 | 988 | 1,020 | - | 5 | - | 7 | 12 | 133 |
| Wash. | 2 | 2 | 295 | 300 | 43 | 57 | - | - | - | - |  | 37 |
| Oreg. | 22 | 22 | 215 | 578 | 62 | 65 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| Calif. | 114 | 136 | 3,476 | 3,572 | 861 | 886 | - | 2 | - | 7 | 9 | 24 |
| Alaska | 2 | 4 | 23 | 28 | 14 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 63 |
| Hawaii | 6 | 2 | 76 | 52 | 8 | 8 | - | 3 | - | - | 3 | 2 |
| Guam | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | - | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| P.R. | - | 1 | 185 | 118 | 827 | 554 | - | - | U | - | - | 2 |
| V.I. | - | - |  | 25 | , | 23 | U | - | U | - | - | 2 |
| Amer. Samoa | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | , | - | - | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | 5 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 5 | U | 1 | U | - | 1 | - |
| N : Not notifiable | U: Un | ailable | -: no | orted cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending July 19, 1997, and July 20, 1996 (29th Week)

| Reporting Area | Meningococcal Disease |  | Mumps |  |  | Pertussis |  |  | Rubella |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 2,086 | 2,039 | 6 | 337 | 406 | 59 | 2,697 | 2,094 | 27 | 94 | 138 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 129 | 88 | - | 7 | 1 | 7 | 546 | 443 | - | - | 24 |
| Maine | 15 | 9 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 15 | - | - | - |
| N.H. | 13 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 64 | 20 | - | - | - |
| Vt . | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | 178 | 12 | - | - | 2 |
| Mass. | 65 | 33 | - | 2 | 1 | 4 | 275 | 391 | - | - | 20 |
| R.I. | 9 | 10 | - | 4 | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | 25 | 30 | - | 1 | - | - | 11 | 5 | - | - | 2 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 184 | 225 | - | 30 | 56 | 2 | 177 | 135 | - | 3 | 7 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 48 | 57 | - | 6 | 17 | - | 54 | 69 | - | 1 | 3 |
| N.Y. City | 34 | 35 | - | - | 13 | - | 40 | 21 | - | 2 | 2 |
| N.J. | 42 | 48 | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | 7 | - | - | 2 |
| Pa . | 60 | 85 | - | 24 | 24 | 2 | 78 | 38 | - | - | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 300 | 291 | 3 | 38 | 88 | 11 | 202 | 280 | - | 4 | 3 |
| Ohio | 116 | 107 | 1 | 18 | 28 | 7 | 85 | 89 | - | - | - |
| Ind. | 35 | 40 | - | 4 | 5 | 3 | 33 | 19 | - | - | - |
| III. | 89 | 83 | - | 7 | 17 | 1 | 30 | 63 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Mich. | 36 | 29 | 2 | 9 | 37 |  | 31 | 25 | - | - | 2 |
| Wis. | 24 | 32 | - | - | 1 | - | 23 | 84 | - | 3 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 160 | 161 | - | 13 | 7 | 9 | 164 | 83 | - | - | - |
| Minn. | 24 | 22 | - | 5 | 3 | 7 | 108 | 54 | - | - | - |
| Iowa | 37 | 32 | - | 6 | - |  | 18 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Mo. | 74 | 62 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 25 | 15 | - | - | - |
| N. Dak. | 1 | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | 6 | 14 | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Kans. | 14 | 20 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 377 | 319 | 2 | 48 | 57 | 11 | 271 | 217 | 27 | 60 | 29 |
| Del. | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | - |
| Md. | 35 | 36 | - | 4 | 19 | 2 | 82 | 75 | - | - | - |
| D.C. | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Va . | 34 | 35 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 32 | 26 | - | 1 | 2 |
| W. Va. | 14 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 69 | 54 | - | 7 | 11 | 5 | 73 | 34 | 27 | 49 | 15 |
| S.C. | 44 | 41 | - | 10 | 5 | - | 11 | 15 | - | 9 | 1 |
| Ga . | 73 | 96 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 13 | - | - | - |
| Fla. | 102 | 38 | - | 15 | 13 | 1 | 56 | 38 | - | 1 | 10 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 159 | 142 | - | 16 | 16 | 2 | 61 | 156 | - | - | 2 |
| Ky. | 37 | 20 | - | 3 |  |  | 14 | 128 | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | 61 | 43 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 24 | 14 | - | - | - |
| Ala. | 45 | 43 | - | 6 | 3 | - | 15 | 8 | - | - | 2 |
| Miss. | 16 | 36 | U | 4 | 12 | U | 8 | 6 | U | - | N |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 204 | 229 | - | 34 | 30 | 3 | 67 | 71 | - | 4 | 7 |
| Ark. | 25 | 27 | - |  | 1 | 1 | 12 | 2 | - | - |  |
| La. | 42 | 42 | - | 11 | 11 | - | 12 | 5 | - | - | 1 |
| Okla. | 23 | 23 | - | - | - | 2 | 12 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Tex. | 114 | 137 | - | 23 | 18 | - | 31 | 59 | - | 4 | 6 |
| MOUNTAIN | 120 | 119 | - | 44 | 18 | 14 | 764 | 206 | - | 5 | 6 |
| Mont. | 8 | 6 | - | - |  | 1 | 10 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Idaho | 8 | 17 | U | 2 | - | U | 520 | 65 | U | 1 | 2 |
| Wyo. | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 34 | 19 | - | 3 | 3 | 5 | 161 | 51 | - | - | 2 |
| N. Mex. | 19 | 20 | N | N | N | 3 | 38 | 33 | - | - | - |
| Ariz. | 33 | 30 | , | 30 | 1 |  | 19 | 12 | - | 4 | 1 |
| Utah | 11 | 11 | - | 6 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 10 | - | - |  |
| Nev. | 6 | 13 | - | 2 | 11 | - | 2 | 27 | - | - | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 453 | 465 | 1 | 107 | 133 | - | 445 | 503 | - | 18 | 60 |
| Wash. | 55 | 62 | , | 13 | 18 | - | 207 | 208 | - | 3 | 12 |
| Oreg. | 92 | 82 | N | N | N | - | 18 | 33 | - |  | 1 |
| Calif. | 303 | 314 | 1 | 82 | 95 | - | 211 | 248 | - | 8 | 44 |
| Alaska | 1 | 5 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 7 | - |
| Hawaii | 2 | 2 | - | 10 | 18 | - | 7 | 13 | - | 7 | 3 |
| Guam | - | 4 | U | 1 | 4 | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| P.R. | 9 | 10 | U | 5 | 1 |  | - | 2 | U | - | - |
| V.I. | - | , | U | - | 1 | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | U | - |  | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | U | 4 | - | U | - | - | U | - | - |

TABLE IV. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending
July 19, 1997 (29th Week)

| Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\&I ${ }^{\dagger}$ Total | Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\&I }{ }^{\dagger} \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | >65 | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | >65 | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 448 | 319 | 79 | 35 | 9 | 6 | 24 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,175 | 729 | 250 | 127 | 40 | 29 | 63 |
| Boston, Mass. | 146 | 90 | 34 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 5 | Atlanta, Ga. | 142 | 79 | 34 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 6 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 30 | 24 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 2 | Baltimore, Md. | 152 | 86 | 36 | 19 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 19 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  | , | Charlotte, N.C. | 82 | 48 | 19 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 38 | 30 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 1 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 135 | 93 | 27 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 8 |
| Hartford, Conn. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | Miami, Fla. | 104 | 62 | 23 | 13 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Lowell, Mass. | 22 | 18 | 3 | 1 | - |  |  | Norfolk, Va. | 49 | 31 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 18 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | Richmond, Va. | 69 | 42 | 11 | 12 | 4 |  | 6 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 13 | 11 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | Savannah, Ga. | 44 | 31 | 9 | 2 | - | 2 | 8 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 36 | 27 | 6 | 2 |  | 1 | 5 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 65 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Providence, R.I. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | Tampa, Fla. | 193 | 133 | 41 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 13 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 6 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  | Washington, D.C. | 120 | 65 | 27 | 22 | 6 | - | 4 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 37 | 29 | 3 | 4 |  | 1 | 3 | Wilmington, Del. | 20 | 14 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 28 | 21 | 9 | 1 |  |  | 2 | E.S. CENTRAL | 752 | 522 | 123 | 66 | 25 | 15 | 42 |
| Worcester, Mass. | 55 | 39 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | Birmingham, Ala. | 185 | 138 | 29 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 42 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,325 | 1,596 | 432 | 200 | 47 | 50 | 130 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 60 | 39 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 44 | 32 | 7 | 2 |  | 3 | 2 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 69 | 46 | 14 | 7 |  | 2 | 5 |
| Allentown, Pa . | 16 | 15 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | Lexington, Ky. | 69 | 39 | 17 | 11 | 2 |  | 7 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 70 | 52 | 15 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | Memphis, Tenn. | 157 | 113 | 17 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Camden, N.J. | 28 | 20 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | Mobile, Ala. | 30 | 24 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 |  |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 22 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | Montgomery, Ala. | 61 | 44 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
| Erie, Pa. | 47 | 34 | 7 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | Nashville, Tenn. | 121 | 79 | 21 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 41 | 29 | 8 | 4 | ${ }^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York City, N.Y. | 1,140 | 761 | 224 | 121 | 16 | 18 | 52 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1,459 | 941 | 293 | 135 | 50 | 40 | 84 |
| Newark, N.J. | 62 | 26 | 20 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 3 | Austin, Tex. | 90 | 57 | 15 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 11 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 32 | 17 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | Baton Rouge, La. | 27 | 22 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. | 400 | 269 | 74 | 32 | 16 | 9 | 33 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 46 | 10 | 7 |  | $10^{-}$ | - | 2 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa.§ | 87 | 65 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Dallas, Tex. | 168 | 101 | 33 | 20 | 10 | 4 | 5 |
| Reading, Pa. | 7 | 6 |  | - |  | - | - | El Paso, Tex. | 54 114 | 42 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 9 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 120 | 98 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | Ft. Worth, Tex. | 114 390 | 81 | 16 | $7{ }^{7}$ | 5 | 5 | 9 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 20 | 13 | 7 | - | - |  | 2 | Houston, Tex. | 390 | 222 | 97 | 50 | 10 | 11 | 23 |
| Scranton, Pa. | 34 | 30 | 1 | 3 | $\overline{-}$ |  | 1 | Little Rock, Ark. | 132 | 47 | 14 34 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 4 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 111 | 83 | 18 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 13 | New Orleans, La. | 132 | 162 | 34 | 18 | 4 | 7 |  |
| Trenton, N.J. | 28 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | San Antonio, Tex. | 210 | 162 | 33 |  | 1 | 7 | 14 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 16 | 11 | 2 | 2 | - |  |  | Tulsa, Okla. | 98 | 66 |  | 5 | 6 | 1 | 7 5 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | Tulsa, Okla. | 98 | 66 | 21 |  | 6 | - | 5 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,116 | 1,361 | 429 | 185 | 65 | 72 | 120 | MOUNTAIN | 869 | 564 | 167 | 93 | 24 | 20 | 43 |
| Akron, Ohio | 32 | 20 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | Albuquerque, N.M. | 104 | 64 | 22 | 16 | 2 |  | 3 |
| Canton, Ohio | 30 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | Boise, Idaho | 25 | 19 | 5 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Chicago, III. | 455 | 248 | 103 | 48 | 21 | 31 | 23 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 51 | 35 | 10 | 4 |  | 5 | 3 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 113 | 73 | 24 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 15 | Denver, Colo. | 83 | 44 | 18 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 171 | 112 | 34 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 4 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 124 | 86 | 21 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 145 | 103 | 29 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 12 | Ogden, Utah | 32 | 25 | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | 4 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 112 | 86 | 15 | 9 | - | 2 | 5 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 189 | 116 | 40 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 238 | 129 | 61 | 28 | 14 | 6 | 9 | Pueblo, Colo. | 30 | 24 | 4 | 2 | - | - | 5 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 35 | 29 |  | 3 | - | - | - | Salt Lake City, Utah | 110 | 81 | 16 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 77 | 62 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | Tucson, Ariz. | 121 | 70 | 27 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 3 |
| Gary, Ind. | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | PACIFIC | 1,869 | 1,328 | 314 | 132 | 48 | 46 | 139 |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 67 | 42 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 6 | Berkeley, Calif. | +14 | , 9 | 4 | 1 |  |  | - |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 177 | 117 | 36 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Fresno, Calif. | 76 | 53 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| Lansing, Mich. | 45 | 29 | 14 | 2 | - | - | 1 | Glendale, Calif. | 34 | 25 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 4 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 142 | 97 | 24 | 14 | 2 | 5 | 5 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 71 | 53 | 14 | - | 3 | 1 | 6 |
| Peoria, III. | 26 | 16 | 7 |  |  |  | 2 | Long Beach, Calif. | 52 | 38 | 10 | 3 | 1 |  | 10 |
| Rockford, III. | 46 | 29 | 13 | 3 | , | $\overline{-}$ | 2 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 496 | 356 | 86 | 33 | 10 | 11 | 29 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 52 | 34 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | Pasadena, Calif. | 12 | 10 | 1 | 1 | - | , | 3 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 83 | 70 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | Portland, Oreg. | 117 | 83 | 17 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 62 | 38 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Sacramento, Calif. | 159 | 113 | 26 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 15 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 632 | 441 | 114 | 39 | 24 | 11 | 29 | San Diego, Calif. | 156 | 103 | 31 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 16 |
| Des Moines, lowa | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | San Francisco, Calif. | 129 | 88 | 24 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 13 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 24 | 18 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | San Jose, Calif. | 229 | 158 | 36 | 17 | 4 | 14 | 15 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 50 | 27 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 2 | - | Santa Cruz, Calif. | 35 | 25 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 3 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 56 | 36 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Seattle, Wash. | 133 | 95 | 18 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 39 | 30 | 7 | 2 | - | - | 3 | Spokane, Wash. | 53 | 45 | 5 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 133 | 102 | 19 | 10 | 2 | - | 11 | Tacoma, Wash. | 103 | 74 | 18 | 7 | - | 4 | 8 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 86 | 61 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 5 | TOTAL | 11,645 ${ }^{\text {T }}$ | 7,801 | 2,201 | 1,012 | 332 | 289 | 674 |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 83 | 58 | 15 | 3 | 6 | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 58 | 46 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 103 | 63 | 27 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Preumonia and influenza.
${ }^{\S}$ Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
TTotal includes unknown ages.

## Quarterly Immunization Table

To track progress toward achieving the goals of the Childhood Immunization Initiative (CII), CDC publishes quarterly a tabular summary of the number of cases of nationally notifiable diseases preventable by routine childhood vaccination reported during the previous quarter and year-to-date (provisional data). In addition, the table compares provisional data with final data for the previous year and highlights the number of reported cases among children aged $<5$ years, who are the primary focus of CII. Data in the table are reported through the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS).

Number of reported cases of nationally notifiable diseases preventable by routine childhood vaccination - United States, April-June 1997 and January-June 1996 and 1997*

| Disease | No. cases, April-June 1997 | Total cases January-June |  | No. cases among children aged $<5$ years ${ }^{\dagger}$ January-June |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1996 | 1997 | 1996 | 1997 |
| Congenital rubella |  |  |  |  |  |
| syndrome | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Diphtheria | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| Haemophilus influenzae ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | 318 | 601 | 583 | 145 | 125 |
| Hepatitis $\mathrm{B}^{\text {d }}$ | 2267 | 4673 | 4252 | 29 | 133 |
| Measles | 52 | 270 | 71 | 62 | 27 |
| Mumps | 190 | 366 | 323 | 75 | 68 |
| Pertussis | 1268 | 1891 | 2452 | 845 | 1020 |
| Poliomyelitis, paralytic** | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Rubella | 55 | 127 | 64 | 11 | 8 |
| Tetanus | 12 | 14 | 21 | 0 | 0 |

* Data for 1996 are final. Data for 1997 are provisional.
${ }^{\dagger}$ 'For 1996 and 1997, age data were available for $\geq 96 \%$ of cases.
${ }^{\S}$ Invasive disease; $H$. influenzae serotype is not routinely reported to the National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System. Of 125 cases among children aged <5 years, serotype was reported for 64 cases, and of those, 25 were type b, the only serotype of $H$. influenzae preventable by vaccination.
 asymptomatic (although likely to become chronic), acute disease surveillance does not reflect the incidence of this problem in this age group or the effectiveness of hepatitis $B$ vaccination in infants.
**Five cases with onset in 1996 have been confirmed; one case with onset in 1997 is under investigation.
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[^0]:    *Diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine/diphtheria and tetanus toxoids, poliovirus vaccine, measles-mumps-rubella vaccine (MMR), Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine, and hepatitis B vaccine. As in previous reports based on National Immunization Survey data, MMR coverage is estimated by using coverage for any measles-containing vaccine.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Progress toward CII's goal of eliminating or reducing the occurrence of vaccine-preventable diseases is presented in another report in this issue of MMWR (2).
    ${ }^{\S}$ For this reporting period (January-December 1996), National Immunization Survey included children born during February 1993-May 1995 (median age: 27 months).
    ILicensed by the Food and Drug Administration in 1995 and included in the recommended vaccination schedule in July 1996.

[^1]:    * National Health Interview Survey, household data only. Children in this survey were born during February 1989-May 1991.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ National Immunization Survey, household and provider data. Children in this survey were born during February 1993-May 1995.
    § Confidence interval.
    I Diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine/diphtheria and tetanus toxoids.
    ** Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine.
    ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Any measles-containing vaccine; vaccination coverage goals are specifically for measles-mumps-rubella vaccine.
    §§CDC, unpublished data, 1992.
    ITI Four or more doses of DTP/DT, three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one or more doses of any MCV.
    ***Four or more doses of DTP/DT, three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, one or more doses of any MCV, and three or more doses of Hib.

[^2]:    ${ }^{*}$ Children in this age group were born during February 1993-May 1995.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Three or more doses of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine/diphtheria and tetanus toxoids (DTP/DT).
    ${ }^{\S}$ Four or more doses of DTP/DT.
    ${ }^{4}$ Three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine.
    ** One or more doses of any measles-containing vaccine.
    ${ }_{\S}^{\dagger \dagger}$ Three or more doses of Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine.
    ${ }^{\S \S}$ Three or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine.
    ${ }^{117}$ Confidence interval.
    *** Did not achieve the 1996 Childhood Immunization Initiative (CII) goals for at least one of the following: three or more doses of DTP, three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, one or more doses of MMR, or three or more doses of Hib, but achieved the 1996 goal for three or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine.
    ${ }^{\dagger \dagger \dagger}$ Achieved the 1996 CII goals for three or more doses of DTP, three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, one or more doses of MMR, three or more doses of Hib, and three or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine.
    ${ }^{\S \$ \S}$ Did not achieve the 1996 CII goals for at least one of the following: three or more doses of DTP, three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, one or more doses of MMR, or three or more doses of Hib, and did not achieve the 1996 goal for three or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine.

[^3]:    * Four or more doses of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine/diphtheria and tetanus toxoids (DTP/DT), three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one or more doses of any measles-containing vaccine (MCV).
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Four or more doses of DTP/DT, three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, one or more doses of any MCV,
    and three or more doses of Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Children in this age group during 1996 were born during February 1993-May 1995.
    ${ }^{\pi}$ Confidence interval.

[^4]:    *Progress toward CII's goal of increasing vaccination coverage among children aged 1935 months is presented in another report in this issue of $M M W R(2)$.

[^5]:    * Data for 1996 are provisional as of July 23, 1997
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Including two cases reported to CDC but not reported as 1996 cases to the National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System. ${ }^{\S}$ Among children aged $<5$ years; includes Haemophilus influenzae cases classified as type b or of unknown serotype.
    $\uparrow$ Not nationally notifiable.
    ** Confirmed and unknown case status only.
    ${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Indigenously acquired cases caused by wild poliovirus.
    ${ }^{\S \S}$ Among children aged <15 years.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Two additional cases with onset in 1996 were reported to NIP but have not been reported to NNDSS.
    §Invasive Hib disease became nationally notifiable in 1991.

