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Introduction
With 361,705 gonorrhea cases reported in 2001, gonorrhea is the second most frequently reported communicable disease in the United States. Gonorrhea rates in the United States declined 73.8% during 1975-1997. However, in 1998, the reported rate of gonococcal infections in the United States (131.9 cases per 100,000 persons) increased by 7.8% compared with the 1997 rate (122.4 cases per 100,000 persons). In 2001, the gonorrhea rate was 128.5 cases per 100,000 population which represented little change from 1998 (Figure 1).1 Gonorrhea rates remain high in the southeastern states, among minorities, and among adolescents of all racial and ethnic groups (Figures 2, 3, and 4).1-3 The health impact of gonorrhea is largely related to its role as a major cause of pelvic inflammatory disease, which frequently leads to infertility or ectopic pregnancy.4 In addition, data suggest that gonorrhea facilitates HIV transmission.5, 6

The treatment and control of gonorrhea has been complicated by the ability of Neisseria gonorrhoeae to develop resistance to antimicrobial agents. The appearance of penicillinase-producing N. gonorrhoeae (PPNG) and chromosomally mediated penicillin- and tetracycline-resistant N. gonorrhoeae (CMRNG) in the 1970s eventually led to the abandonment of these drugs as therapies for gonorrhea. The current CDC recommended primary therapies for gonorrhea are two broad-spectrum cephalosporins (ceftriaxone and cefixime), and three fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, and levofloxacin).7 However, since the 1990s, fluoroquinolone-resistant N. gonorrhoeae (QRNG) have been reported from many parts of the world, including the United States,8-11 The increased prevalence of QRNG in Asia (where prevalence in several countries exceeds 40%,12), the Pacific Islands, Hawaii, and, most recently, California, prompted CDC to recommend that fluoroquinolones not be used to treat patients with gonorrhea acquired in these areas with high QRNG prevalence.7, 11

GISP Overview
The Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project (GISP) was established in 1986 to monitor trends in antimicrobial susceptibilities of strains of N. gonorrhoeae in the United States in order to establish a rational basis for the selection of gonococcal therapies.13 GISP is a collaborative project among selected sexually transmitted diseases (STD) clinics, five regional laboratories, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (Division of STD Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention, and the Division of AIDS, STD, and TB Laboratory Research, National Center for Infectious Diseases).

In GISP, N. gonorrhoeae isolates are collected from the first 25 men with urethral gonorrhea attending STD clinics each month in 26 cities in the United States. At regional laboratories, the susceptibilities of these isolates to penicillin, tetracycline, spectinomycin, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, cefixime, and azithromycin are determined by agar dilution. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) are measured, and values are interpreted according to criteria recommended by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS).14-16
Important GISP findings have included:

- the ongoing high prevalence of resistance to penicillin and tetracycline;
- the emergence and increasing prevalence of resistance to the fluoroquinolones;\textsuperscript{8-11}
- the appearance, with low level prevalence, of decreased susceptibility to the macrolides;\textsuperscript{11}
- the absence of resistance to the broad-spectrum cephalosporins;
- the emergence of multi-drug resistant isolates (resistant to penicillin, tetracycline, and ciprofloxacin) with decreased susceptibility to cefixime;\textsuperscript{17} and
- the increasing proportion of gonorrhea cases identified in men who have sex with men.\textsuperscript{18, 19}

GISP findings contributed to the development of CDC’s STD treatment recommendations in 1993, 1998, and 2002,\textsuperscript{7, 20, 21} and stimulated further investigation of the increase in gonorrhea among men who have sex with men (MSM).\textsuperscript{18, 19}

2001 GISP Sites

DESCRIPTION OF GISP DATA
Aggregate data from all GISP sites are described and illustrated in the first part of this report. The clinic-specific data illustrate substantial geographic variation in patient characteristics and antimicrobial susceptibility of gonococcal strains; clinic-specific figures are provided in the second part of this report.

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

Age The age distribution of GISP participants compared with nationally reported male gonorrhea patients in 2001 is shown in Figure 6. In 2001, GISP had proportionally fewer 20-24 year olds and fewer <20 year olds than were reported nationally for male gonorrhea cases; otherwise the two groups had similar age distributions. GISP participants in 2001 ranged in age from 10 to 75 years.

Race/Ethnicity The race/ethnicity distribution of GISP participants compared with nationally reported male gonorrhea patients in 2001 is shown in Figure 7. White, Hispanic, and Asian males were slightly over represented in GISP while Black males were slightly under represented compared with the race/ethnicity distribution of nationally reported male gonorrhea patients in 2001.

Sexual Orientation Overall, the proportion of GISP isolates coming from MSM increased from 4.0% in 1988 to 17.2% in 2001. The proportion of GISP participants who were MSM has increased every year since 1993 (Figure 8). The interval increase between 2000 and 2001, from 13.9% to 17.2%, was the largest single year increase in the history of GISP. The number of clinics having >5% of GISP isolates from MSM rose from seven clinics in 1990 to fourteen clinics in 2000 to sixteen clinics in 2001. These sixteen clinics reported 95.2% (853/896) of the MSM in GISP in 2001. For each of the sixteen clinics, the median percentage of patients who were MSM in 2001 was 20.2% (range, 5.7% to 66.4%) (Figure 9). A 1996 study of eight of these clinics showed that in five of the eight (Honolulu,
Portland, San Diego, San Francisco, and Seattle) the proportional increases corresponded to absolute increases in numbers of MSM with gonorrhea.18

**Reason for Clinic Attendance** Most (96.7%) GISP participants in 2001 presented to the clinic as volunteers; others were gonorrhea contacts or presented for test-of-cure cultures (Figure 10). There has been little change in this distribution over time. Dysuria and/or urethral discharge was present in 97.6% of GISP participants in 2001 and 2.4% had no symptoms; these proportions have been stable over time.

**History of Gonorrhea** The percentage of GISP participants who reported a history of gonorrhea (ever) peaked at 49.9% in 1996, declined to 45.0% in 1999, increased to 47.6% in 2000, and was stable in 2001 at 47.3%. The percentage of GISP participants with a documented previous episode of gonorrhea in the last 12 months decreased from 21.5% in 1992, the first year this information was collected, to 17.2% in 1999, but then increased to 23.6% in 2000 and was 22.0% in 2001 (Figure 11).

**Antimicrobial Treatment** The antimicrobial agents given to GISP participants for gonorrhea therapy are shown in Figure 12. The proportion of GISP patients treated with cephalosporins decreased from a high of 84.7% in 1990 to 58.5% in 2001, while the proportion treated with fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin or ofloxacin) increased from none in 1988 to 38.9% in 2001. The antimicrobial agents given to GISP participants for treatment of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection are shown in Figure 13. The proportion of GISP patients treated with doxycycline or tetracycline decreased from a high of 99.4% in 1990 to 67.0% in 2001, while the proportion treated with azithromycin increased from 0.2% in 1992 (the first year of GISP that azithromycin was identified as being used for *C. trachomatis* therapy) to 30.4% in 2001.

**Susceptibility to Antimicrobial Agents**

**Antimicrobial Resistance Criteria**

Antimicrobial resistance in *N. gonorrhoeae* is defined by the criteria recommended by the National Committee on Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS):14-16

- Penicillin, MIC $\geq 2.0$ µg/ml
- Tetracycline, MIC $\geq 2.0$ µg/ml
- Spectinomycin, MIC $\geq 128.0$ µg/ml
- Ciprofloxacin, MIC 0.125 - 0.5 µg/ml (intermediate resistance)
- Ciprofloxacin, MIC $\geq 1.0$ µg/ml (resistance)
- Ceftriaxone, MIC $\geq 0.5$ µg/ml (decreased susceptibility)
- Cefixime, MIC $\geq 0.5$ µg/ml (decreased susceptibility)

NCCLS criteria for resistance to ceftriaxone, cefixime, erythromycin, and azithromycin and for susceptibility to erythromycin and azithromycin have not been established for *N. gonorrhoeae*.

**Susceptibility to Penicillin and Tetracycline**

Overall, 20.9% (1144/5472) of isolates collected in 2001 were resistant to penicillin, tetracycline, or both (Figure 14); this proportion peaked at 43.6% in 1992 and has been decreasing annually since 1998. For GISP analyses, six mutually exclusive categories of resistance are used for describing chromosomally and plasmid-mediated resistance to penicillin and tetracycline: (1) penicillinase-producing *N. gonorrhoeae* (PPNG): $\beta$-lactamase-positive and tetracycline MIC $< 16.0$ µg/ml; (2) plasmid-mediated tetracycline resistant *N. gonorrhoeae* (TRNG): $\beta$-lactamase-negative and tetracycline MIC $\geq 16.0$ µg/ml; (3) PPNG-TRNG: $\beta$-lactamase-positive and tetracycline MIC $\geq 16.0$ µg/ml; (4) chromosomally mediated penicillin-resistant *N. gonorrhoeae* (PenR): non-PPNG and penicillin MIC $\geq 2.0$ µg/ml and tetracycline MIC $< 2.0$ µg/ml; (5) chromosomally mediated tetracycline-resistant *N. gonorrhoeae* (TetR): non-PPNG and penicillin MIC $< 2.0$ µg/ml and tetracycline MIC 2.0-8.0
μg/ml; and (6) chromosomally mediated resistance to both penicillin and tetracycline (CMRNG): non-PPNG and penicillin MIC ≥2.0 μg/ml and tetracycline MIC 2.0-8.0 μg/ml. The percentage of PPNG declined annually from a peak of 11.0% in 1991 to 1.5% in 2001 (Figure 15). In contrast, the percentage of PenR isolates increased annually from 0.5% in 1988 to 5.7% in 1999 and then decreased two years in a row to 2.9% in 2001 (Figure 15). The prevalence of TRNG, which was 5.2% in 2001, has varied little since 1988 (Figure 15). TetR prevalence increased in 1995 to 11.5% and subsequently declined to 4.4% in 2001 (Figure 16). However, the prevalence of CMRNG increased from 3.0% in 1989, peaking at 8.7% in 1997, and was 6.4% in 2001. The prevalence of PPNG-TRNG isolates continues to be very low and was 0.5% in 2001.

**Susceptibility to Spectinomycin**
All isolates were susceptible to spectinomycin in 2001. There have been five spectinomycin-resistant isolates in GISP; their locations and years were: St. Louis-1988, Honolulu-1989, San Francisco-1989, Long Beach-1990, and West Palm Beach-1994.

**Susceptibility to Ceftriaxone**
The distributions of MICs to ceftriaxone in 1988 and 2001 are shown in Figure 17. Over this time period, there has been a subtle shift towards higher ceftriaxone MICs. In 2001, all isolates were susceptible to ceftriaxone. There have been four isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone in GISP; all four had MICs of 0.5 μg/ml. Their locations and years were: San Diego-1987, Cincinnati-1992 and 1993, and Philadelphia-1997.

**Susceptibility to Cefixime**
The distributions of MICs to cefixime in 1992 (the first year of cefixime susceptibility testing) and 2001 are shown in Figure 18. In 2001, there were four isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime; all had cefixime MICs of 0.5 μg/ml. One isolate was from Phoenix; the other three isolates were from Honolulu and were resistant to penicillin, tetracycline, and ciprofloxacin.17 There have been 41 isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime in GISP; their MICs have ranged from 0.5-2.0 μg/ml.

**Susceptibility to Ciprofloxacin**
The correlation of ciprofloxacin MICs of 0.125-0.5 μg/ml with treatment failure when a fluoroquinolone is used to treat a gonococcal infection is not well established. However, one study of infections with resistant strains treated with ciprofloxacin 500 mg orally showed a treatment failure rate of 45% for strains with MICs of ≥4.0 μg/ml.22 Gonococcal isolates with intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin also have intermediate resistance and resistance to other fluoroquinolones. Criteria recommended for interpreting ofloxacin MICs are: intermediate resistance, MICs 0.5-1.0 μg/ml; resistance, MICs ≥2.0 μg/ml.15

The distributions of MICs to ciprofloxacin in 1990 (the first year of ciprofloxacin susceptibility testing) and 2001 are shown in Figure 19. A total of 2.6% (140/5472) of isolates exhibited intermediate resistance or resistance to ciprofloxacin (MICs ≥0.125 μg/ml) in 2001 compared with 1.9% (104/5461) of isolates tested in 2000 (Figure 20).

**Intermediate resistance** In 2001, 1.9% (102/5472) of all GISP isolates exhibited intermediate resistance to ciprofloxacin (MICs 0.125-0.5 μg/ml). Of these isolates, 50.0% (51/102) came from San Francisco where they accounted for 17.2% (51/297) of isolates; 18.6% (19/102) came from Cincinnati where they accounted for 7.2% (19/264) of isolates tested; 6.9% (7/102) came from Seattle where they accounted for 2.8% (7/254) of isolates tested; and 5.9% (6/102) came from Phoenix where they accounted for 2.1% (6/285) of isolates tested in 2001. In 2001, isolates of N. gonorrhoeae exhibiting intermediate resistance to ciprofloxacin were also found in Anchorage (1), Atlanta (1), Honolulu (3), Long 4  GISP 2001 Surveillance Supplement
Beach (1), Miami (1), New Orleans (1), Orange County (2), Philadelphia (1), Portland (4), and San Diego (4).

Resistance  Thirty-eight isolates (0.7%; 38/5472) were resistant to ciprofloxacin (MICs ≥1.0 µg/ml) in 2001, which was nearly twice the proportion identified in 2000 (0.4%; 19/5461). Of note, 42.1% (16/38) of these ciprofloxacin-resistant isolates came from Honolulu where they accounted for 20.3% (16/79) of GISP isolates (range of MICs, 1.0-8.0 µg/ml) which was an increase from 2000 (14.3%; 6/42). Additionally, 26.3% (10/38) of the ciprofloxacin-resistant isolates were from San Francisco where they accounted for 3.4% (10/297) of GISP isolates (range of MICs 1.0-8.0 µg/ml). The remaining twelve ciprofloxacin-resistant 2001 GISP isolates came from: Long Beach where they accounted for 3.0% (3/99) of isolates; Orange County where they accounted for 2.3% (3/129) of isolates; San Diego where they accounted for 2.1% (5/235) of isolates; and Denver where they accounted for 0.3% (1/299) of isolates.

Susceptibility to Azithromycin

The correlation of azithromycin MICs ≥0.5 µg/ml with clinical treatment failure when the 2.0 gm azithromycin dose is used to treat a gonococcal infection is not known. However, clinical treatment failures have been reported with the 1.0 gm azithromycin dose for strains with MICs of 0.125-0.5 µg/ml.

The distributions of MICs to azithromycin in 1992 (the first year of azithromycin susceptibility testing) and in 2001 are shown in Figure 21. Over this time period, there has been a shift towards higher azithromycin MICs. In 1992, 0.9% (34/3928) of isolates had azithromycin MIC ≥0.5 µg/ml compared with 1.5% (82/5472) of such isolates in 2001. In 1992, there were no isolates with azithromycin MIC ≥1.0 µg/ml. In 2001, there were 15 isolates with azithromycin MIC ≥1.0 µg/ml (range, 1.0-4.0 µg/ml); these isolates by location and number are: Atlanta (4); Baltimore (2); Chicago (1); Denver (4); Honolulu (1); New Orleans (1); Orange County (1); San Francisco (1).
NON-GISP SUSCEPTIBILITY REPORTING

The Association of Public Health Laboratories and STD project areas were informally surveyed in 2001-2002 to identify cities or states that routinely performed antimicrobial susceptibility testing of \textit{N. gonorrhoeae} in 2001. Information was not available for 17 of the 65 STD project areas. In 2001, no testing outside GISP occurred in 30 STD project areas: Alabama, Arkansas, Arizona, Baltimore, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, San Francisco, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, D.C., Washington, or Wyoming. Information on testing in 2001 was available for 18 STD project areas (Table 1).

Table 1. Non-GISP antimicrobial susceptibility testing data for \textit{N. gonorrhoeae} in 18 STD project areas in 2001.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Area</th>
<th>Total # Isolates</th>
<th>Cip S</th>
<th>Cip I</th>
<th>Cip R</th>
<th>Spc S</th>
<th>Spc I</th>
<th>Spc R</th>
<th>Cfx S</th>
<th>Cfx DS</th>
<th>Cro S</th>
<th>Cro DS</th>
<th>Azi S</th>
<th>Azi DS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA Orange Co. San Diego</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>244c</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>58c</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>246b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYC</td>
<td>3144</td>
<td>3141c</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3c</td>
<td>3144</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3144</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6112</td>
<td>6033</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4460</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5168</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cip=ciprofloxacin; Spc=spectinomycin; Cfx=cefixime; Cro=ceftriaxone; Azi=azithromycin; S=susceptible; DS=decreased susceptibility; I=intermediate resistant; R=resistant. Cells containing only “-“ indicate that the antimicrobial for that column was not tested.

The testing methodology for all sites except Minnesota, Texas, and Florida was by disk diffusion; Minnesota, Texas, and Florida used the E-test method. Illinois used both methods.

\(^a\)For this table, AziDS is defined as an isolate with azithromycin disk inhibition zone size \(\leq 30\)mm or minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) \(\geq 1.0\).

\(^b\)Los Angeles, CA tested all isolates against levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin. New Jersey tested all isolates against ofloxacin and ciprofloxacin.

\(^c\)San Diego, Georgia, Michigan, and New York City tested all isolates against ofloxacin, rather than against ciprofloxacin. The resistant isolates in Michigan and San Diego were resistant to ofloxacin.
Discussion

Susceptibility data from a total of 6112 non-GISP isolates were available. Non-GISP isolates from most STD project areas do not consist of a representative or systematic sample of the gonorrhea patient population but rather a convenience sample of patients who happen to undergo culture rather than non-culture testing. In addition, in contrast to GISP, multiple non-GISP isolates from various anatomic sites may be submitted from a single patient, so the 6112 non-GISP isolates are likely to represent fewer than 6112 gonorrhea patients.

These data reveal that 0.9% (57/6099) of non-GISP isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin or ofloxacin, which is comparable to the 0.7% (38/5472) identified for GISP isolates in 2001. Fluoroquinolone-resistant isolates were identified in California (6/320; 1.9%), Hawaii (41/189; 21.7%), Illinois (1/45; 2.2%), Michigan (1/59; 1.7%), New Hampshire (1/34; 2.9%), New York City (3/3144; 0.1%), and Utah (4/78; 5.1%).
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Additional information on GISP, as well as useful resources and links, may be found on the new GISP website (http://www.cdc.gov/std/gisp/). Additional surveillance data on N. gonorrhoeae and other STDs may be found in the 2001 STD Surveillance Report (http://www.cdc.gov/std/stats/).


The current CDC recommendations for the treatment of gonorrhea may be found in the CDC Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines 2002 (http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/PDF/rr/rr5106.pdf or http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5106a1.htm).
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Figure 1. Gonorrhea - Reported rates: United States, 1970-2001 and the Healthy People year 2010 objective

Note: Healthy People 2010 (HP2010) objective for gonorrhea is 19.0 cases per 100,000 population.

Figure 2. Gonorrhea — Rates by state: United States and outlying areas, 2001

Note: The total rate of gonorrhea for the United States and outlying areas (including Guam, Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands) was 126.9 per 100,000 population. The Healthy People year 2010 objective is 19.0 per 100,000 population.
Figure 3. Gonorrhea — Rates by race and ethnicity: United States, 1981-2001 and the Healthy People year 2010 objective

Rate (per 100,000 population)

Figure 4. Gonorrhea — Age- and sex-specific rates: United States, 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Rate (per 100,000 population)</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Rate (per 100,000 population)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>563.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>307.5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>15-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>328.4</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>20-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>203.9</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>25-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>134.3</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>30-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>35-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>40-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>45-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>50-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>65+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5. Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project (GISP) – Location of participating clinics and regional laboratories: United States, 2001

Figure 6. Age distribution of GISP participants and nationally reported gonorrhea cases in men, 2001

Note: The age <20 category includes ages 10-19 for national cases, and ages 10-19 for GISP; over 98% of the GISP cases in the <20 category are ages 15-19. National cases with unknown ages were excluded.
Figure 7. Race distribution of GISP participants and nationally reported cases of gonorrhea in men, 2001

Note: The “Other” category is not used in national gonorrhea reporting. National cases with unknown race were excluded.

Figure 8. Percentage of GISP cases that occurred among men who have sex with men (MSM), 1988-2001
Figure 9. Percentage of GISP isolates from men who have sex with men in sixteen clinics, 1988, 1993, 1997, and 2001

Note: In 2001, these sixteen clinics reported 95.2% (853/896) of GISP gonorrhea cases in men who have sex with men (MSM). Clinics include: ALB=Albuquerque, NM; ANC=Anchorage, AK; ATL=Atlanta, GA; CHI=Chicago, IL; DEN=Denver, CO; HON=Honolulu, HI; LBC=Long Beach, CA; MIA=Miami, FL; MIN=Minneapolis, MN; ORA=Orange County, CA; PHI=Philadelphia, PA; PHX=Phoenix, AZ; POR=Portland, OR; SDG=San Diego, CA; SEA=Seattle, WA; and SFO=San Francisco, CA.

Figure 10. Reason for clinic attendance among GISP participants, 2001

Contact=has sexual partner with gonorrhea
Figure 11. History of gonorrhea in GISP participants, 1991-2001

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.

Figure 12. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea in GISP participants, 1988-2001

Note: “Other” includes macrolide or no drug therapy.
Figure 13. Drugs used to treat *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in GISP participants 1992-2001

For each year, “Other” accounted for only 0 - 0.9% of *C. trachomatis* treatment and erythromycin accounted for only 0.1 - 1.0% of *C. trachomatis* treatment.

Figure 14. Penicillin and tetracycline resistance among GISP isolates, 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPNG</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRNG</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPNG/TRNG</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMRNG</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TetR</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PenR</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPNG=penicillinase-producing *N. gonorrhoeae*
TRNG=plasmid-mediated tetracycline resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*
PPNG/TRNG=plasmid-mediated penicillin and tetracycline resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*
PenR=chromosomally mediated penicillin resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*
TetR=chromosomally mediated tetracycline resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*
CMRNG=chromosomally mediated penicillin and tetracycline resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*
Figure 15. Plasmid-mediated resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 1988-2001

Figure 16. Chromosomally mediated resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 1988-2001
In 1988, there was one isolate with MIC 0.25 µg/ml. In 2001, there were two isolates with MIC 0.25 µg/ml.

In 1992, there were six isolates with MIC 0.5 µg/ml, three isolates with MIC 1.0 µg/ml, and two isolates with MIC 2.0 µg/ml. In 2001, there were four isolates with MIC 0.5 µg/ml.
In 1990, there were no isolates with MIC > 0.25 µg/ml. In 2001, there were nine isolates with MIC 0.5 µg/ml, seven isolates with MIC 1.0 µg/ml, eleven isolates with MIC 2.0 µg/ml, eight isolates with MIC 4.0 µg/ml, and twelve isolates with MIC 8.0 µg/ml.

Figure 20. Percentage of GISP isolates with intermediate resistance or resistance to ciprofloxacin, 1990-2001
In 1992, there were no isolates with MIC > 0.5 μg/ml. In 2001, there were seven isolates with MIC 1.0 μg/ml, six isolates with MIC 2.0 μg/ml, and two isolates with MIC 4.0 μg/ml.
The remainder of this report provides clinic-specific figures for each of 25 of the 26 currently participating clinics. Because the Tripler Army Medical Center Sentinel Site had <50 GISP isolates for 2001 (n=2), figures for Tripler are not included. Individual figures for each clinic show demographic and clinical characteristics of the men with gonorrhea enrolled in GISP, as well as antimicrobial susceptibilities for the *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates. The number of isolates submitted by each clinic is 300 when the full sample of 25 isolates per month is obtained. However, the number of isolates submitted is lower for many clinics located in areas with low gonorrhea rates. Each page of figures is labeled with the city of the participating clinic and the actual number of isolates on which the clinic's 2001 data are based.

Definitions of terms and abbreviations used in the clinic-specific figures are given below.

**Figure D:** Contact=has sexual partner with gonorrhea  
TOC/Other=test of cure/other

**Figure G:** Azi/Ery=azithromycin/erythromycin  
Doxy/Tet=doxycycline/tetracycline

**Figure H:** PPNG=penicillinase-producing *N. gonorrhoeae*  
TRNG=plasmid-mediated tetracycline resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*  
PPNG-TRNG=plasmid-mediated penicillin and tetracycline resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*  
PenR=chromosomally mediated penicillin resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*  
TetR=chromosomally mediated tetracycline resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*  
CMRNG=chromosomally mediated penicillin and tetracycline resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*
**Figure E.** Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991 – 2001

*Data first collected in 1991.*

**Figure F.** Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

*Data first collected in 1992.*

**Figure G.** Drugs used to treat *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among GISP participants, 2001

**Figure H.** Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as ≥ 1.0 μg/mL.
No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Anchorage, Alaska – 2001 (N=53)

**Figure E.** Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

- Ever* □ □ □
- Last 12 months** ● ● ●

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

**Figure F.** Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

- Ceftriaxone 125 mg □
- Ofloxacin □
- Ceftriaxone 250 mg □
- Cefixime □
- Other □

**Figure G.** Drugs used to treat *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among GISP participants, 2001

- Doxy/Tet □
- Az/Ery □
- None/Other □

**Figure H.** Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001

- Susceptible □
- PPNG □
- TRNG □
- PenR □
- TetR □
- CMRNG □
Anchorage, Alaska — 2001 (N=53)

**Figure I.** Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988—2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

**Figure J.** Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992—2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime have been identified at this clinic.

**Figure K.** Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990—2001

![Graph showing percentage of isolates with resistance and intermediate resistance to ciprofloxacin from 1990 to 2001.]

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

**Figure L.** Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992—2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to azithromycin have been identified at this clinic.
Atlanta, Georgia – 2001  (N = 201)

**Figure E.** Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

*Data first collected in 1991.**

**Figure F.** Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

**Figure G.** Drugs used to treat *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among GISP participants, 2001

**Figure H.** Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001

*Data first collected in 1992.**

Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as > or = 1.0 ug/mL. No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001
**Figure I.** Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988—2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

**Figure J.** Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992—2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime have been identified at this clinic.

**Figure K.** Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990—2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

**Figure L.** Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992—2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as > or = 1.0 ug/mL. No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
**Figure E.** Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

**Figure F.** Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

**Figure G.** Drugs used to treat *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among GISP participants, 2001

**Figure H.** Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001
**Figure I.** Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

**Figure J.** Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

**Figure K.** Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

**Figure L.** Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.

Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as $> 1.0$ ug/mL.

No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Figure A. Age of GISP participants, in years, 2001

Figure B. Race/ethnicity of GISP participants, 2001

Figure C. Percentage of GISP participants identifying as men who have sex with men, 1988–2001

Figure D. Reason for visit among GISP participants, 2001

Chicago, Illinois – 2001 (N=272)
**Chicago, Illinois – 2001**  (N=272)

**Figure E.** Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

![Graph showing the percentage of cases over the years](image)

*Ever* ∙ ∙ ∙ Last 12 months**

*Data first collected in 1991*,
**Data first collected in 1992.**

Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

**Figure F.** Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

![Pie chart showing drug usage](image)

- 75.00%
- 2.81%
- 0.74%
- 20.22%

**Figure G.** Drugs used to treat *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among GISP participants, 2001

![Pie chart showing drug usage](image)

- 98.90%
- 0.37%
- 0.74%

**Figure H.** Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001

![Pie chart showing resistance levels](image)

- 91.91%
- 1.10%
- 2.94%
- 1.74%
- 1.47%
- 0.37%
**Figure I.** Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

**Figure J.** Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime have been identified at this clinic.

**Figure K.** Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

**Figure L.** Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as $\geq 1.0$ ug/mL.
No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Figure A. Age of GISP participants, in years, 2001

Figure B. Race/ethnicity of GISP participants, 2001

Figure C. Percentage of GISP participants identifying as men who have sex with men, 1988–2001

Figure D. Reason for visit among GISP participants, 2001

- Volunteer
- Contact
- TOC/Other
Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

- Ever*
- Last 12 months**

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

- Ofloxacin
- Ciprofloxacin
- Ceftriaxone 250 mg
- None
- Spectinomycin
- Other

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

- 96.50%
- 1.17%
- 2.33%

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001

- Susceptible
- PPNG
- TRNG
- PenR
- TetR
- CMRNG

Cincinnati, Ohio – 2001 (N=264)
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as ≥ 1.0 ug/mL.
No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988—2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992—2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime have been identified at this clinic.

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990—2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992—2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as \( \geq \) 1,0 \( \mu \)g/mL. No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Dallas, Texas – 2001  (N=297)

Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

- Ever*
- Last 12 months**

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

- Ceftriaxone 125 mg
- Cefixime
- Ciprofloxacin
- Ceftriaxone 250 mg
- None
- Other

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

- 79.46%
- 20.20%
- 0.34%

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001

- Susceptible
- PPNG
- TRNG
- PPNG/STR
- PenR
- Tetr
- CMRNG
- 5.39%
- 5.05%
- 5.05%
- 2.36%
- 0.34%
- 0.34%
- 0.34%
- 13.47%
- 73.06%
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime have been identified at this clinic.

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.

Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as $>1.0$ ug/mL.

No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as \( > \) or \( \leq 1.0 \) ug/mL.
No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Figure A. Age of GISP participants, in years, 2001

Figure B. Race/ethnicity of GISP participants, 2001

Figure C. Percentage of GISP participants identifying as men who have sex with men, 1988–2001

Figure D. Reason for visit among GISP participants, 2001

Data not collected
**Figure E.** Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

- Data first collected in 1991.
- Data first collected in 1992.
- Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

**Figure F.** Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

- Ofloxacin
- Ciprofloxacin
- Ceftriaxone 250 mg

**Figure G.** Drugs used to treat *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among GISP participants, 2001

- Doxy/Tet
- Az/Ery
- None/Other

**Figure H.** Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001

- Susceptible
- PPNG
- TRNG
- PenR
- TetR
- CMRNG
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988—2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992—2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime have been identified at this clinic.

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990—2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992—2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as > or = 1.0 µg/mL. No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Honolulu, Hawaii – 2001 (N=79)

Figure A. Age of GISP participants, in years, 2001

Figure B. Race/ethnicity of GISP participants, 2001

Figure C. Percentage of GISP participants identifying as men who have sex with men, 1988–2001

Figure D. Reason for visit among GISP participants, 2001
Honolulu, Hawaii — 2001 (N=79)

Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

*Data first collected in 1991.**Data first collected in 1992. Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001

* Susceptible  **PPNG  ***TRNG  ****PPNG/TRNG
* PenR  **TetR  ***CMRNG
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as > or = 1.0 ug/mL. No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Figure A. Age of GISP participants, in years, 2001

Figure B. Race/ethnicity of GISP participants, 2001

Figure C. Percentage of GISP participants identifying as men who have sex with men, 1988–2001

Figure D. Reason for visit among GISP participants, 2001
Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991-2001

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime have been identified at this clinic.

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

No isolates with intermediate resistance or resistance to ciprofloxacin have been identified at this clinic.

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as $\geq$ 1.0 ug/mL. No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
**Figure A.** Age of GISP participants, in years, 2001

**Figure B.** Race/ethnicity of GISP participants, 2001

**Figure C.** Percentage of GISP participants identifying as men who have sex with men, 1988–2001

**Figure D.** Reason for visit among GISP participants, 2001
Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

- Graph showing percentage of cases by year from 1991 to 2001.
- Data collected in 1991.
- Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

- Pie chart showing distribution of drugs used.
- 60.82% Ceftriaxone 125 mg
- 38.14% Ofloxacin
- 1.03% None

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

- Pie chart showing distribution of drugs used.
- 98.97% Doxy/Tet
- 1.03% Azt/Ery

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001

- Pie chart showing resistance levels.
- 82.83% Susceptible
- 7.07% PPNP
- 2.02% TRNG
- 6.06% CMRNG
Long Beach, California — 2001 (N=99)

**Figure I.** Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

**Figure J.** Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

**Figure K.** Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

**Figure L.** Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as > or = 1.0 ug/mL. No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
**Miami, Florida – 2001**

(N=234)

**Figure E.** Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

![Chart showing percent of cases over years](chart)

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

**Figure F.** Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

![Pie chart showing drug distribution](chart)

**Figure G.** Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

![Pie chart showing drug distribution](chart)

**Figure H.** Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001

![Pie chart showing resistance distribution](chart)
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime have been identified at this clinic.

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

![Graph showing intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin from 1990 to 2001.]

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to azithromycin have been identified at this clinic.
Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001
**Figure I.** Decreased susceptibility to cephraxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cephraxone have been identified at this clinic.

**Figure J.** Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime have been identified at this clinic.

**Figure K.** Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

**Figure L.** Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.

Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as > or = 1.0 ug/mL. No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Figure A. Age of GISP participants, in years, 2001

Figure B. Race/ethnicity of GISP participants, 2001

Figure C. Percentage of GISP participants identifying as men who have sex with men, 1988–2001

Figure D. Reason for visit among GISP participants, 2001
New Orleans, Louisiana – 2001 (N=126)

Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as > or = 1.0 µg/mL.
No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Orange County, California – 2001  (N=129)

**Figure E.** Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

*Data first collected in 1991.
 **Data first collected in 1992.
 Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

**Figure F.** Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

**Figure G.** Drugs used to treat *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among GISP participants, 2001

**Figure H.** Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988—2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992—2001

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990—2001

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992—2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.

Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as ≥ or = 1.0 μg/mL.

No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
**Figure E.** Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

**Figure F.** Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

**Figure G.** Drugs used to treat *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among GISP participants, 2001

**Figure H.** Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as > or = 1.0 ug/mL.
No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

![Graph showing percent of cases over time.]

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

![Pie chart showing drug usage percentages.]

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

![Pie chart showing drug usage percentages.]

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001

![Pie chart showing resistance percentages.]
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as ≥ 1.0 ug/mL. No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Portland, Oregon – 2001  (N=187)

Figure A. Age of GISP participants, in years, 2001

Figure B. Race/ethnicity of GISP participants, 2001

Figure C. Percentage of GISP participants identifying as men who have sex with men, 1988–2001

Figure D. Reason for visit among GISP participants, 2001

Volunteer  Contact  TOC/Other
**Portland, Oregon – 2001 (N=187)**

**Figure E.** Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

![Graph showing previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001.](image)

- Ever*:
- Last 12 months**

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

**Figure F.** Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

![Pie chart showing drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001.](image)

- 66.09%
- 4.60%
- 27.59%

**Figure G.** Drugs used to treat *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among GISP participants, 2001

![Pie chart showing drugs used to treat *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among GISP participants, 2001.](image)

- 97.78%
- 2.22%

**Figure H.** Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001

![Pie chart showing resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001.](image)

- Susceptible
- TRNG
- PenR
- TetR
- CMRNG

- 78.61%
- 11.76%
- 4.81%
- 1.60%
- 3.21%
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime have been identified at this clinic.

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to azithromycin have been identified at this clinic.

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.
San Diego, California — 2001  (N=235)

Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991—2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Ever*</th>
<th>Last 12 months**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

- 82.48%
- 0.43%
- 0.43%
- 8.55%
- 0.43%
- 7.69%

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

- 89.66%
- 10.34%

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001

- 83.83%
- 4.68%
- 2.98%
- 4.26%
- 3.83%
- 0.43%

- Susceptible
- PPNG
- TRNG
- PenR
- TetR
- CMRNG
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as ≥ 1.0 ug/mL.
No NCCLS criteria currently exist.

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.
Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001
San Francisco, California – 2001 (N=297)

Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime have been identified at this clinic.

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as ≥ 1.0 µg/mL.
No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Figure A. Age of GISP participants, in years, 2001

Figure B. Race/ethnicity of GISP participants, 2001

Figure C. Percentage of GISP participants identifying as men who have sex with men, 1988–2001

Figure D. Reason for visit among GISP participants, 2001
**Seattle, Washington – 2001**  (N=254)

**Figure E.** Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

**Figure F.** Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

**Figure G.** Drugs used to treat *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among GISP participants, 2001

**Figure H.** Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001
Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988—2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992—2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to cefixime have been identified at this clinic.

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990—2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992—2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as ≥ 1.0 μg/mL.
No NCCLS criteria currently exist.
Figure A. Age of GISP participants, in years, 2001

Figure B. Race/ethnicity of GISP participants, 2001

Figure C. Percentage of GISP participants identifying as men who have sex with men, 1988–2001

Figure D. Reason for visit among GISP participants, 2001
Figure E. Previous episode of gonorrhea among GISP participants, 1991–2001

*Data first collected in 1991.
**Data first collected in 1992.
Note: Data points not shown when >30% data missing.

Figure F. Drugs used to treat gonorrhea among GISP participants, 2001

Figure G. Drugs used to treat Chlamydia trachomatis infection among GISP participants, 2001

Figure H. Resistance to penicillin and tetracycline among GISP isolates, 2001
St. Louis, Missouri – 2001  (N=295)

Figure I. Decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone among GISP isolates, 1988–2001

No isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone have been identified at this clinic.

Figure K. Intermediate resistance and resistance to ciprofloxacin among GISP isolates, 1990–2001

Note: Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin first measured in 1990.

Figure J. Decreased susceptibility to cefixime among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Figure L. Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin among GISP isolates, 1992–2001

Note: Susceptibility to azithromycin first measured in 1992.
Note: Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin is defined here as >= 1.0 ug/mL.
No NCCLS criteria currently exist.