

Modeling the effect of barometric pressure changes on spontaneous heating in bleederless longwall panels

Liming Yuan and A.C. Smith

Pittsburgh Research Laboratory, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Pittsburgh, PA

Abstract

Barometric pressure changes affect air density, leading to change in the mass of the gas in the gob. When the barometric pressure decreases, the volume of gas in the gob expands, while the volume of gas contracts when the barometric pressure increases, a process which causes the gob to “breathe” out and in. Although the concept of gob “breathing” is simple, its effect on spontaneous heating of coal in the gob area is not clear. In this study, computational fluid dynamic (CFD) simulations were conducted to model the spontaneous heating of coal in the longwall gob area under measured barometric pressure changes. A single longwall panel using a bleederless ventilation system was simulated. If there is no barometric pressure change, the intake airflow rate is equal to the return airflow rate. When the barometric pressure changes, these two airflow rates are no longer equal, and the difference between the two airflow rates represents the airflow rate the gob breathes in and out. The effect of inflow and outflow of gas on the potential spontaneous heating in the gob was investigated using the CFD model developed in our previous study. The effect of barometric pressure changes on the spontaneous heating was found to be dependent on the gob permeability and the coal oxidation rate. The effect of barometric pressure changes on oxygen concentrations in the gob was also examined.

Key words: Coal, Modeling and simulation, Ventilation

Introduction

Barometric pressure changes have long been known as a factor that may influence conditions in underground mines. For an underground coal mine, the barometric pressure changes can affect the gas emission not only from the active mining seam, but also from the overlying and underlying strata into the mined coal seam. The barometric pressure changes can also cause leakage into and out of a sealed area or outflow and inflow of an unsealed gob area. In the latter case, the inflow of fresh air into the sealed area or gob area may increase the possibility of a fire or explosion behind the seal or in the gob. The barometric pressure changes can be caused by weather and atmospheric heating and cooling on a daily basis. The weather-caused barometric pressure changes are created by storm fronts, which are associated with decreasing barometric pressures as they approach, followed by an increasing barometric pressure after they pass. The pressure changes caused by regular atmospheric heating and cooling are responsible for localized changes in barometric pressure, called diurnal pressure changes. These changes occur at approximately the same time each day for a given location. Generally speaking, the barometric pressure changes caused by weather are much bigger than the diurnal pressure changes.

Little research has been done to thoroughly study the effect of barometric pressure changes on the gas outflow and inflow in a sealed area or an unsealed gob area. Francart and Beiter (1997) investigated the barometric pressure influence in mine fire sealing. They compared the diurnal pressure changes with the measured oxygen concentrations behind a

seal and found that the rate of airflow is directly affected by the rate of change in the barometric pressure. They also discussed using the National Weather Service data as a tool for predicting barometric pressure changes. A computer program called Gob Assistant was developed by the U.S. Bureau of Mines to predict air flows into and out of a sealed mine area (Mining Research Contract Report, 1988). The application of this program was limited because the gas concentration was assumed to be uniform in the gob behind the seal. Fauconnier (1992) analyzed 59 major explosions in South African gold and coal mines over a period of 20 years and concluded that diurnal fluctuations in pressure do not have a major influence on the accumulation of gas in the underground workings, but that pressure drops associated with cyclonic weather systems are the major factor contributing to gas explosions in mines. Hemp (1994) measured barometric pressure over a period of 26 months to examine the manner in which the barometric pressure changes in the Highveld region of South Africa. He also described the leakage flow into and out of a sealed area based on the observed changes in barometric pressure.

When barometric pressure changes in the unsealed gob area, the absolute pressure in the gob also changes, leading to a change in air density. If the longwall face is stationary, the gob volume is fixed. The air density change results in a total mass change in the gob. With the barometric pressure decreasing, the density of gas in the gob decreases, while the density of gas increases when the barometric pressure increases, causing the gob to breathe in and out. When there is no barometric pressure change, the intake airflow is equal to the return airflow, assuming

Paper number TP-10-003. Original manuscript submitted January 2010. Revised manuscript accepted for publication May 2010. Discussion of this peer-reviewed and approved paper is invited and must be submitted to SME Publications Dept. prior to Sept. 30, 2011. Copyright 2011, Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, Inc.




Figure 1 — Layout of longwall panel and ventilation system used in simulations.

no gas inflows from strata and no gas emission inside the gob. With the barometric pressure changing, these two airflows are no longer equal, and the difference between the two airflows represents the flow rate the gob breathes in and out, designated as barometric-induced flow. The outflow and inflow caused by barometric pressure changes affect gas concentrations in the gob and thus affect a potential spontaneous heating in the gob. In this study, the CFD model developed in our previous studies for simulating the spontaneous heating of coals in the longwall gob area is used to quantify the outflow and inflow of the gob and examine the effect of barometric pressure changes on the spontaneous heating of coal in the gob area (Yuan and Smith, 2007; Smith and Yuan, 2008).

CFD modeling of spontaneous heating in the gob

In this study, an active longwall panel using a bleederless ventilation system was simulated. The layout of the panel and the ventilation system, shown in Fig. 1, are the same as was simulated in the authors’ previous study with the bleederless system (Smith and Yuan, 2008). The simulated gob area is 2,000 m long, 300 m wide and 10 m high, starting from the bottom of the coal seam. The ventilation airways are 2 m high and 5 m wide. The ventilation scheme is a simple “U”

bleederless ventilation system. In the model, all entries inby the longwall face are treated as though they are collapsed. The face is assumed stationary during the simulations.

The chemical reaction between coal and oxygen at ambient temperatures is complex and still not well understood. In this study, the chemical reaction between coal and oxygen is simplified, such that one mole of coal reacting with one mole of oxygen generates one mole carbon dioxide and 0.1 mole carbon monoxide, plus the heat of coal oxidation, based on 1988 U.S. Bureau of Mines experimental study (Smith et al., 1991). The dependence of the rate of oxidation on temperature and oxygen concentration is expressed in the form (Yuan and Smith, 2007):

$$\text{Rate} = A[\text{O}_2]^n \exp(-E/RT)$$

where the chemical reaction rate is defined as the rate of change in the concentrations of the reactants and products, *A* is the preexponential factor (K/s), *E* is the apparent activation energy (kJ/mol), *R* is the gas constant, *n* is the apparent order of reaction, *T* is the absolute temperature (°K), and [O₂] is the oxygen concentration (kmol/m³).

In the simulation, a 1-m thick rider coal seam less than 1 m above a 2-m thick main coal seam is considered. The coal source in the model is this rider coal seam, which is assumed to cave into the bottom of the gob after the main coal seam is completely mined out. An average coal particle diameter of 10 cm, with a surface-to-volume ratio of 36 m⁻¹, is used in the simulations (Yuan and Smith, 2007). Coal oxidation is an exothermic reaction and the heat generated from coal oxidation is dissipated by conduction and convection, while the oxygen and oxidation products are transported by convection and diffusion. A typical reactive bituminous coal is used in this study, with the physical and kinetic properties of this coal listed in Table 1 (Smith and Yuan, 2008).

The permeability and porosity distributions of the gob are based on geotechnical modeling of longwall mining in the

Table 1. The physical and kinetic properties of the coal layer.

Coal density	1,300	kg/m ³
Coal specific heat	1,003.2	J/kg-K
Coal conductivity	0.1998	W/m-K
Heat of reaction	300	kJ/mol-O ₂
Activation energy	73.6	kJ/mol
Pre-exponential factor	1.1×10 ⁷	K/s
Coal particle diameter	0.1	m
Initial coal temperature	300 (27)	°K (°C)




Figure 2 — Barometric pressure changes used in simulations.

Pittsburgh coal seam and the associated stress-strain changes using fast Lagrangian analysis of continua (FLAC) code (Esterhuizen and Karacan, 2007). For a Pittsburgh coal seam longwall panel, the permeability values in the gob area are estimated to vary from 3.0×10^4 to 8.5×10^5 millidarcies (md), while the porosity value varies from 0.17 to 0.41, based on the modeling result from FLAC. Around the perimeter of the gob and immediately behind the face shields, the permeability and porosity values are the largest, while near the center of the gob these values are the smallest due to compaction. The porosity profile in the gob is similar to the permeability profile. It is assumed that these permeability and porosity profiles do not change with the gob height.

A commercial CFD software, FLUENT (Ansys Inc.), was used in this study to simulate the gas flow and spontaneous heating in the longwall gob areas. The gas flow in the longwall

mine gob area was treated as laminar flow in a porous media using Darcy's law, while the gas flow in the ventilation airways was simulated as fully developed turbulent flow. Typical ventilation pressures for the bleederless ventilation system were used in the simulation. The intake airflow rate was $30 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ (64,000 cfm). Without barometric pressure change, the pressure was -0.747 kPa (-3.0 inches water gauge) at the intake inlet, -0.872 kPa (-3.5 inches water gauge) at the return outlet. The recorded typical barometric pressure variations over a 22-day period in the western Pennsylvania area, shown in Fig. 2, were used in the simulations. This figure represents the combined effects of both storm fronts and daily natural heating and cooling. The barometric pressure increase and decrease over the standard atmospheric pressure at sea level, 101.3 kPa (29.92 inHg), were superimposed to both intake inlet pressure and return outlet pressure.




Figure 3 — Barometric-induced flow for the base case.

Simulation results and discussion

A base-case CFD simulation was conducted using the barometric pressure data shown in Fig. 2. Figure 3 shows the barometric-induced flow under the barometric pressure changes. The positive value indicates the inflow, while the negative one indicates the outflow. From the beginning to Day 4, the barometric pressure decreased from 102.9 to 99.6 kPa (30.4 to 29.4 inHg), causing the maximum outflow rate of 0.26 kg/s (450 cfm). The maximum inflow rate was about 0.15 kg/s (260 cfm). The simulated maximum temperature from spontaneous heating of coals in the gob is shown in Fig. 4. To demonstrate the difference caused by the barometric pressure changes, the maximum temperature simulated without barometric pressure change is also shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that the maximum temperature change is followed closely by barometric pressure variations: the former decreased as the pressure




Figure 4 — Maximum temperatures (K) in the gob versus time, with and without barometric pressure changes.

decreased and vice versa.

As the barometric pressure decreased, the gob breathed out, and therefore less oxygen was available for coal oxidation. On the other hand, as the barometric pressure increased, the gob breathed in, and more oxygen was available for coal oxidation. Figure 5 shows the contours of oxygen concentration in the gob at 19.5 days and 22.5 days, respectively. From 19.5 days to 22.5 days, the barometric pressure increased from 99.3 to 102.7 kPa (29.33 to 30.33 inHg), causing the gob to breathe in. It is evident that at 22.5 days, higher oxygen concentration was available at the headgate corner of the gob compared to that available at 19.5 days.

To quantitatively examine the oxygen concentration variation caused by the barometric pressure changes, oxygen concentrations along a line 3 m from the gob perimeter on the headgate side are plotted in Fig. 6 for 19.5, 20.5, 21.5 and 22.5 days, respectively. It is clear that as the barometric pressure increased, more oxygen was breathed into the gob, which resulted in the higher temperature. Figure 7 shows oxygen concentrations along the 3-m line for 18, 18.5, 19 and 19.5 days, respectively. From 18 days to 19.5 days, oxygen concentration at the headgate corner decreased as the barometric pressure decreased, from 101.5 to 99.3 kPa (29.96 to 29.33 inHg). As the coal oxidation was taking place at the same time, this oxygen concentration decrease was a combined effect of both barometric pressure decrease and coal oxidation.

As demonstrated in the previous study (Smith and Yuan, 2008), the gas flow inside the gob was significantly affected by the gob permeability. To examine the effect of gob permeability on the barometric-induced flow, CFD simulation was conducted with the gob permeability increased 100 times. Figure 8 shows the barometric-induced flow for this case. The maximum outflow rate was about 1.0 kg/s (1,730 cfm), while the maximum inflow rate was about 0.90 kg/s (1,557 cfm). These maximum outflow and inflow rates were much higher than those in the base case, because of smaller resistance in the gob. The maximum temperature in the gob, with and without




Figure 5 — Oxygen concentration distribution in the gob at two different times: (a) 19.5 days and (b) 22.5 days.




Figure 6 — Oxygen concentration along the 3-m line in the gob from 19.5 to 22.5 days.




Figure 7 — Oxygen concentration along the 3-m line in the gob from 18 to 19.5 days.




Figure 8 — Barometric-induced flow in the case of permeability being increased 100 times.




Figure 9 — Maximum temperatures (K) in the gob versus time with and without barometric pressure changes: gob permeability increased 100 times.

barometric pressure changes under increased gob permeability, is shown in Fig. 9. As discussed in the previous paper (Smith and Yuan, 2008), when the gob permeability was increased 100 times, the maximum temperature from spontaneous heating reached 500° K in about 22 days without barometric pressure changes. However, with barometric pressure changes, the maximum temperature became slightly lower most of the time and did not follow the trend of barometric pressure changes. This result indicates that the effect of barometric pressure on spontaneous heating is different with increased gob permeability. Figure 10 shows the oxygen concentrations along the 3-m line for the case with the gob permeability increased 100 times at 19.5, 20.5, 21.5 and 22.5 days, respectively. As the barometric pressure increased from 19.5 days to 22.5 days, oxygen concentration at the headgate corner decreased. This decrease may be caused by a faster coal oxidation rate. Although air was breathed in during this period, more oxygen was consumed by the coal oxidation than was added by air intake. In the base case, however, oxygen consumed by coal oxidation was less than that breathed in, so oxygen concentration at the

headgate corner increased with time. This faster coal oxidation rate was supported by the higher oxygen concentration. Figure 11 shows the oxygen concentration along the 3-m line at 19.5 days for both cases. It is evident that the oxygen concentration was higher in the case of increased gob permeability compared with the base case. The higher oxygen concentration resulted in a faster coal oxidation rate, and thus higher temperature at 19.5 days, as shown in Fig. 12. At 19.5 days, the maximum temperature along the 3-m line reached nearly 350 K, while it was only about 302 K in the base case.

These simulation results indicate that the influence of barometric pressure changes on spontaneous heating was influenced by the gob permeability, which determines the outflow and inflow rates. With the higher inflow rate caused by the increased gob permeability, the coal oxidation reaction rate was faster, leading to higher temperature. The spontaneous heating then consumed more oxygen than was added by the gob inflow. The effect of barometric pressure changes on spontaneous heating was a slightly lower maximum temperature. The reason for this lower maximum temperature is that during the first decrease




Figure 10 — Oxygen concentration along the 3-m line in the gob for the case with permeability increased 100 times.




Figure 11 — Comparison of oxygen concentrations along the 3-m line in the gob for the base case and the case with permeability increased 100 times.

period (four days), the maximum temperature with the barometric pressure change became slightly lower than that without the barometric pressure change. As the barometric pressure increased after the first four days, the continuous inflow of air had no effect on the spontaneous heating, probably because enough oxygen was already available for coal oxidation. As the barometric pressure decreased, the maximum temperature also decreased slightly; however, when the barometric pressure increased again, the maximum temperature stayed the same.

To examine the effect of coal oxidation on the oxygen concentration in the gob, an additional simulation was conducted by turning off coal oxidation at 19.5 days in the case of increased gob permeability. Figure 13 shows the oxygen concentration along the 3-m line in the gob at 19.5, 20.5, 21.5 and 22.5 days, respectively. It is obvious that the oxygen concentration increased quickly at the headgate corner from 19.5 days to 22.5 days, when the gob was breathing in. Because there was no oxygen consumption by the coal oxidation, the oxygen concentration increased quickly with time, indicating

that the net effect of the barometric pressure changes also depends on the coal oxidation rate.

Conclusions

CFD simulations were conducted to investigate the potential effect of barometric pressure changes on spontaneous heating of coal in a bleederless longwall gob area. Simulation results demonstrate that under typical bleederless ventilation conditions, the maximum temperature from the spontaneous heating in the gob was affected by barometric pressure changes, although not significantly. As the barometric pressure decreased, the oxygen concentration at the headgate corner was reduced and the maximum temperature became lower, while as the barometric pressure increased, the oxygen concentration at the headgate corner was increased and the maximum temperature became higher. However, this effect became quite different when the gob permeability was increased 100 times. Under the increased gob permeability, the barometric pressure changes resulted in higher outflow and inflow rates. As the




Figure 12 — Comparison of temperatures along the 3-m line in the gob for the base case and the case with permeability increased 100 times.




Figure 13 — Oxygen concentration along the 3-m line in the gob for the case with permeability increased 100 times from 19.5 to 22.5 days: reaction turned off at 19.5 days.

barometric pressure increased, the oxygen concentration at the headgate corner continually decreased, probably because of the faster rate of coal oxidation. The maximum temperature became slightly lower than that without barometric pressure changes. The net effect of barometric pressure changes on the spontaneous heating depends on the gob permeability and the coal oxidation rate.

Because of the complexity of the problem and lack of field data for gob permeability and porosity distribution, the results reported here are valid only for the permeability and porosity data used in this study and with the longwall panel setup and ventilation conditions stated in the paper. To validate the results of this study, detailed ventilation data, gob permeability and porosity distributions, and physical and chemical characteristics (size information and kinetic properties) of coal left in the gob are needed. Cooperation with U.S. coal mines to validate our modeling on spontaneous heating in the longwall gob area is greatly needed for effective future study.

References

- Esterhuizen, G. S., and Karacan, C.Ö., 2007, "A methodology for determining gob permeability distributions and its application to reservoir modeling of coal mine longwalls," SME 2007 Annual Meeting, Denver, Preprint 07078, 6 pp.
- Fauconnier, C.J., 1992, "Fluctuations in barometric pressure as a contributory factor to gas explosions in South African mines," *Journal of the South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy*, May, pp. 31-147.
- Francart, W.J., and Beiter, D.A., 1997, "Barometric pressure influence in mine fire sealing," *Proceedings of 6th International Mine Ventilation Congress*, Pittsburgh, PA, pp. 341-342.
- Hemp, R., 1994, "The effect of changes in barometric pressure on mines in the Highveld of South Africa," *Journal of The South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy*, pp. 133-146.
- Mining Research Contract Report, 1988, "Improved ventilation of sealed mine gob," U.S. Bureau of Mines, Contract J0308029, 21 pp.
- Smith, A.C., Miron, Y., and Lazzara, C.P., 1991, "Large-scale studies of spontaneous combustion of coal," Report of Investigations 9346, U.S. Bureau of Mines, 30 pp.
- Smith, A.C., and Yuan, L., 2008, "Simulation of spontaneous heating in longwall gob area with a bleederless ventilation system," 2008 SME Annual Meeting, Feb. 24-27, Salt Lake City, UT, Preprint 08-043.
- Yuan, L., and Smith, A.C. (2007), "Computational fluid dynamics modeling of spontaneous heating in longwall gob areas", 2007 SME Annual Meeting, Feb. 25-28, 2007, Denver, Colorado, Preprint 07-101, 8 pp.