

PNEUMATIC ACTIVE SUSPENSION DESIGN FOR HEAVY VEHICLE SEATS AND OPERATOR RIDE COMFORT

Bertrand Valero¹, Farid Amirouche¹, Alan Mayton²

¹Vehicle Technology Laboratory, University of Illinois at Chicago, Illinois, U.S.A.

²NIOSH, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

Introduction

Handling of heavy vehicles such as tractors, trucks and buses require a large roll stiffness which causes large high accelerations at the seat level during impacts. To provide comfort and minimize the energy transfer from the chassis and the seat a pneumatic active seat suspension is proposed. An active seat suspension design and control algorithm under development at the University of Illinois at Chicago, UIC, is being developed and tested. Preliminary results are presented in this paper.

The design of a passive suspension typically consists of optimizing the value of two parameters: the stiffness and the damping of the suspension. The general dynamic performance of the suspension is limited to the conditions under which these parameters were obtained. A change in the input conditions might lead to poor suspension and an amplification of the vibration transmitted to the body. The focus of this paper is a robust, semi-active suspension system with a variable controlled damping and using the body response an index measure to minimize the acceleration at the interface of the seat and operator.

A summary of existing suspensions, such as MR and ER fluids, and spring loaded and dual valve shock absorbent will be discussed to highlight the need of a semi-active pneumatic suspension system design.

Methods

A model of the proposed suspension was developed in MATLAB (Simulink) and different control strategies for the valve position in relation to the cylinder pressure tested. The effects of stiffening and softening resulting from pressure changes in the cylinder were examined. The vertical accelerations of the seat was computed for different control strategies and configurations of the suspension and compared to the response of a passive seat suspension.

A lump -mass model was created to represent the human body including the head, the upper, middle and lower torso as well as the legs. The connective forces between body segments were modeled through modal analysis techniques from previous experiments at the Vehicle Technology Laboratory. ISO standards and absorbed power were used to evaluate the different configuration of the seat suspension system in relation to the dynamic response of the operator.

Results

Initial results of the semi-active suspension system show a significant reduction in the RMS value of the acceleration of the seat. A reduction of the total absorbed power by the operator is expected to provide an insight into the control strategies adapted in the active suspension.




Figure 1 : Scheme of the general method applied in the study




Figure 2 : RMS Acceleration of the seat for different configuration of the suspension

References

1. F.M.L. Amirouche, *Computational Methods in Multibody Dynamics*. Practice Hall,
2. F.M.L. Amirouche, *Advanced Topics in Seat Suspension Design and Human Body Vibration Control*. SAE Seminars, 1998
3. F.M.L. Amirouche, L. Palkovics and J. Woodrooffe, *Optimal driver seat suspension design for heavy trucks*, International Journal of Vehicle Design, 1992

Proceedings of the
First American Conference on Human Vibration

June 5-7, 2006

Waterfront Place Hotel
Morgantown, West Virginia, U.S.A.

Ren Dong, Ph.D.
Kristine Krajnak, Ph.D.
Oliver Wirth, Ph.D.
John Wu, Ph.D.

Engineering and Control Technology Branch
Health Effects Laboratory Division
Morgantown, West Virginia, U.S.A.

Department of Health and Human Services
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

Disclaimer

Mention of any company or product does not constitute endorsement by NIOSH. In addition, citations to Web sites external to NIOSH do not constitute NIOSH endorsement of the sponsoring organizations or their programs or products. Furthermore, NIOSH is not responsible for the content of these Web sites. The findings and conclusions in this report have not been formally disseminated by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

This document is in the public domain and may be freely copied or reprinted.

ORDERING INFORMATION

To receive documents or other information about occupational safety and health topics, contact NIOSH at

NIOSH – Publications Dissemination
4676 Columbia Parkway
Cincinnati, OH 45226-1998

Telephone: 1-800-35-NIOSH (1-800-356-4674)
Fax: 513-533-8573
E-mail: pubstaft@cdc.gov

Or visit the NIOSH Web site at www.cdc.gov/niosh

DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2006-140
June, 2006