

THE DESIGN OF REST BREAKS FOR VIDEO DISPLAY TERMINAL  
WORK: A REVIEW OF THE RELEVANT LITERATURE

Naomi G. Swanson and Steven L. Sauter

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health,  
4676 Columbia Parkway, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226

and  
Larry J. Chapman

Department of Neurology, University of Wisconsin,  
600 Highland Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin 53792

Recent studies have demonstrated a daily accumulation of eye and musculoskeletal strain in video display terminal (VDT) workers which is not eliminated by the use of high quality workstations or conventional rest break schedules (e.g., Zwahlen et al., 1984; Schleifer and Amick, 1988). These types of observations have prompted calls for limitations on the period of continuous VDT work. For example, the Swedish National Board of Occupational Safety and Health has suggested an upper limit of 1-2 hours of continuous VDT work. While the logic for such recommendations is substantial, there has been little empirical study of the effects of increased rest breaks, or shortened work periods, in VDT work. There is, however, an extensive literature on the design of rest break schedules in light, repetitive (industrial) work. This literature has been largely ignored in discussions regarding rest break design in VDT work, but is highly relevant since the tasks studied share common stress factors with VDT work (e.g., constrained static postures and the need for continuous attention).

Presented below is a synopsis of the literature on rest breaks in light, repetitive work and of the less developed literature specifically examining rest break effects in VDT/office work. This synopsis is organized in relation to the two main considerations in the design of rest breaks in VDT/office work: i.e., break frequency/duration and content.

BREAK FREQUENCY AND DURATION

Research by the (British) Industrial Fatigue Research Board (IFRB) was the first to demonstrate the benefits of increased rest breaks. Studies conducted by this group showed that productivity increased in assembly tasks when mid-morning and mid-afternoon rest breaks were introduced into the workday (Vernon and Bedford, 1924; Wyatt, 1927; Wyatt and Fraser, 1925). More recently, a study of clerical workers by Bhatia and Murrell (1969) found that 10-minute hourly breaks were favored by the workers, and produced

greater productivity gains in comparison to more infrequent 15-minute breaks. Similar effects were shown in a VDT task by Horie et al. (1987). These findings are consistent with conventional wisdom that short, frequent breaks are preferable to longer, more infrequent breaks (Rohmert, 1973).

Additional support for hourly breaks is provided by studies of VDT work which indicate that single, mid-morning and mid-afternoon breaks may have negligible effects (Delvolve and Quennec, 1983; Schleifer and Amick, 1988; Zwahlen et al., 1984). Furthermore, a study by Floru et al. (1986) points to the efficacy of short, hourly breaks in routine VDT work. In this study, 5-minute breaks inserted after a 40-minute period of work were effective in eliminating performance decrements which normally occurred after that period.

Muscle fatigue studies suggest the need for even more frequent breaks in VDT work. VDT work is often characterized by constrained postures and static loads. Two studies (Waersted et al., 1986; Kogi, 1982) reported, respectively, sustained forces reaching 6 and 20 percent of maximum voluntary contraction (MVC) in keyboard tasks. However, data suggest that forces greater than 10 percent MVC cannot be sustained for more than 10-15 minutes without perceptions of fatigue (Bjorksten and Jonsson, 1977).

The need for short, frequent breaks in repetitive VDT work is also suggested by extrapolation from trends in VDT users' discomfort ratings over the workday. An algorithm (yet to be empirically tested) developed by Zwahlen and Adams (1987) predicts that six, 12-minute breaks will prevent musculoskeletal discomfort from exceeding the "quite-a-bit" threshold for 99 percent of the VDT population (for the repetitive task upon which the model was developed). Regarding the frequency of breaks, however, a cautionary note is in order. Too frequent breaks can interfere with work rhythms (Rohmert, 1973), and may increase costs due to disruptions in production.

It is sometimes advocated that workers be given self-discretion in the control of both break duration and frequency. However, the limited number of empirical studies in this area argue against this proposition. When breaks are self-regulated, there is a tendency to work beyond the appearance of performance decrements, or to terminate breaks before recovery is complete (Murrell, 1971; Henning, 1987).

#### BREAK CONTENT

Productivity gains have been shown when activity or task changes are substituted for rest breaks (Bennett et al., 1974; Miles and Skilbeck, 1944). More recently, attention has turned to the potential benefits of exercise during VDT work. While research in this area is limited, a number of studies suggest that exercises are valuable in reducing acute discomforts (Laporte, 1966; Lee and Humphreys, 1985; Winkel and Jorgensen, 1986) and possibly even chronic disorders (Ferguson and Duncan, 1976) associated with VDT work, or

other keyboard work.

## CONCLUSIONS

Although more research specific to VDT work and associated health outcomes is needed, the existing literature strongly suggests that frequent rest breaks would benefit both productivity and comfort in VDT work.

## REFERENCES

- Bennett, C. A., Marcellus, F. S., and Reynolds, J. F., 1974, Counteracting psychological fatigue effects by stimulus change. In: Proceedings of the 24th Human Factors Society Meeting, (Santa Monica, CA: Human Factors Society), pp. 219-221.
- Bhatia, N. and Murrell, K. F. H., 1969, An industrial experiment in organized rest pauses. Human Factors, 11, 167-174.
- Bjorksten, M. and Jonsson, B., 1977, Endurance limit of force in long-term intermittent static contractions. Scandinavian Journal of Work Environment and Health, 3, 23-27.
- Dolve, N. and Quennec, Y., 1983, Operator's activities at CRT terminals: a behavioral approach. Ergonomics, 26, 329-340.
- Ferguson, D. and Duncan, J., 1976, A trial of physiotherapy for symptoms in keyboard operating. The Australian Journal of Physiotherapy, 2, 61-72.
- Floru, R., Cail, F., and Elias, R., 1985, Psychophysiological changes during a VDU repetitive task. Ergonomics, 28, 1455-1468.
- Henning, R. A., 1987, Worker-terminated micro-breaks and perceptual-motor performance. In: Proceedings of the Fourth Mid-Central Ergonomics/Human Factors Conference, New York, (New York: Springer-Verlag), pp. 374-380.
- Horie, Y., Michiyoshi, A. and Ohkuba, T., 1987, A fundamental study on optimum hour with rest pause for VDT workers. In: Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction, Honolulu, Hawaii.
- Kogi, K., 1982, Finding appropriate work-rest rhythm for occupational strain on the basis of electromyographic and behavioral changes. In: Kyoto Symposia: Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology, edited by P. A. Buser, W. A. Cobb, and T. Okuma, (Amsterdam: Elsevier Biomedical Press).
- LaPorte, W., 1966, The influence of a gymnastic pause upon recovery following Post Office work. Ergonomics, 9, 501-506.
- Lee, K. S., and Humphreys, L. A., 1985, Physical stress reduction in microscope operators. In: Proceedings of the Human Factors Society, 29th Annual Meeting, (Santa Monica, CA: Human Factors Society), pp. 789-793.
- Miles, G. H. and Skilbeck, O., 1944, An experiment on change of work. Occupational Psychology, 18, 192-195.
- Murrell, K. F. H., 1971, Temporal factors in light work. In



- Measurement of Man at Work, edited by W. T. Singleton, J. G. Fox, and D. Whitfield, (London: Taylor and Francis).
- National Swedish Board of Occupational Safety and Health, 1985. Ordinance (AFS 1985: 12) Concerning Work with Visual Display Units (VDUs).
- Rohmert, W., 1973, Problems of determination of rest allowances. Part 2: Determining rest allowances in different human tasks. Applied Ergonomics, 4, 158-162.
- Schleifer, L. and Amick, B., 1988, Effects of computer delay and incentive pay on mood state and somatic comfort. (Manuscript in preparation.)
- Vernon, H. M. and Bedford, T., 1924, Two studies of rest pauses in industry. Part A. The influence of rest pauses on light, industrial work. IFRB Report No. 25, (London: HMSO).
- Waersted, M., Bjorklund, R., and Westgaard, R. H., 1986, Generation of muscle tension related to a demand of continuing attention. In: Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference: Work With Display Units, Stockholm, Sweden.
- Winkel, J. and Jorgensen, K., 1986, Evaluation of foot swelling and lower-limb temperatures in relation to leg activity during long-term seated office work. Ergonomics, 29, 313-328.
- Wyatt, S., 1927, Rest pauses in industry. IFRB Report No. 42, (London: HMSO).
- Wyatt, S. and Fraser, J. A., 1925, Studies in repetitive work with special reference to rest pauses. IFRB Report No. 32, (London: HMSO).
- Zwahlen, H. T. and Adams, C. C. Jr., 1987, A quantitative work-rest model for VDT work. In: Trends in Ergonomics/Human Factors IV, edited by S. S. Asfour, (Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers).
- Zwahlen, H. T., Hartman, A. L., and Kothari, N., 1984, Effects of rest breaks in continuous VDT work on visual and musculoskeletal comfort/discomfort and on performance. In Human-Computer Interaction, edited by G. Salvendy, (Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers), pp. 315-319.

# ADVANCES IN INDUSTRIAL ERGONOMICS AND SAFETY I

Proceedings of the Annual International  
Industrial Ergonomics and Safety Conference  
held in Cincinnati, Ohio, U.S.A., 5-9 June 1989

The Official Conference of the International Foundation  
for Industrial Ergonomics and Safety Research

*Volume and General Editor*

**Anil MITAL**

*Ergonomics Research Laboratory  
Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering  
University of Cincinnati  
Cincinnati, Ohio, U.S.A.*



Taylor & Francis  
London New York Philadelphia

REF  
TA  
166  
-I563  
1989  
V.1

UK

Taylor & Francis Ltd, 4 John St., London WC1N 2ET

USA

Taylor & Francis Inc., 242 Cherry St., Philadelphia. PA 19106-1906

---

Copyright © Taylor & Francis 1989

121505

*All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic electrostatic, magnetic tape, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner and publishers.*

**British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data**

**International Industrial Ergonomics and Safety Conference (1989;**

*Cincinnati, Ohio)*

**Advances in industrial ergonomics and safety 1.**

**1. Ergonomics**

**I. Title II. Mital, Anil**

**620.8'2**

**ISBN 0-85066-493-4**

**Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data**  
**is available**

*Printed in Great Britain by  
Redwood Burn Ltd., Trowbridge, Wiltshire*