

THE EVALUATION OF DUST EXPOSURE TO TRUCK DRIVERS FOLLOWING THE LEAD HAUL TRUCK

Wm. Randolph Reed, NIOSH-PRL, Pittsburgh, PA
John A. Organiscak, NIOSH-PRL, Pittsburgh, PA

Abstract

Haul trucks have the potential to generate large amounts of respirable dust. This respirable dust has been shown to be a health hazard to personnel, especially if it contains a high silica content. Lack of dust sampling data from haul trucks prompted the completion of a study to quantify respirable dust concentrations. These field studies were conducted measuring instantaneous respirable dust at stationary locations from passing haul trucks at a stone quarry and a coal mine site. Fugitive dust levels from haul trucks were analyzed to characterize dust dispersion. This analysis will indicate safe following distances for haul trucks and other heavy equipment to avoid over-exposure of respirable dust from the lead haul truck.

Introduction

Almost all surface mining operations use mining haul trucks. These trucks are used to move material within or from the mining property. Past research, using the U.S. EPA's emissions factors for unpaved haul roads, has shown that haul trucks generate the majority of dust emissions from surface mining sites, approximately 78-97% of total dust emissions. (Cole and Zapert, 1995) (Amponsah-Dacosta, F and Annegarn, H.J., 1998) (Reed, et al., 2001) Observations of dust emissions from haul trucks show that if the dust emissions are uncontrolled, they can be a safety hazard by impairing the operator's visibility. Reducing the operator's visibility increases the probability for haul truck accidents. However, the greatest long-term health hazard of dust generated from hauling operations is due to inhalation of the respirable dust, which contains the dust particle size fraction whose median diameter is 4.0 micrometers (μm), and PM_{10} , which is the size fraction whose median diameter is 10 μm (PM_{10}) (Soderholm, 1989) (ISO, 1993) (Lippmann, 1995) (U.S. GPO, 2002).

Exposure to respirable dust has long been considered a health hazard at surface mining operations, especially if silica dust is present. Respirable dust containing silica has caused more than 250 deaths annually (MSHA, 1997). There is also the potential for 106,000–182,000 mine production, development, and exploration workers to be exposed to respirable dust from surface mining operations (U.S. Census Bureau, 2001) (NIOSH, 2002). The large range is dependent upon which statistical database is used; U.S. Census Bureau or Mine Safety and Health Administration database (MSHA).

PM_{10} is also thought to represent a health hazard. Many epidemiologic studies have been completed that show that PM_{10} , by itself, causes harm to humans. It has been shown that a 50 microgram/cubic meter ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) increase in the 24-hour average PM_{10} concentration was statistically significant in increasing mortality rates by 2.5-8.5% (U. S. EPA, Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter, 1996). For hospitalization due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, PM_{10} caused a statistically significant increase by 6-25% with an increase of the 24-hour average PM_{10} concentration by 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (U. S. EPA, Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter, 1996). Other studies show that children are affected by short-term PM_{10} exposure, and that increased chronic cough, chest illness, and bronchitis were associated with a 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in the 24-hour average PM_{10} concentrations (U. S. EPA, Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter, 1996). Long-term effects from PM_{10} are dependent upon the exposure to PM_{10} over the life of the worker.

An evaluation of a recent MSHA database of respirable dust samples containing silica from 1996 through 2000 shows the exposure for truck drivers and road grader operators. At surface coal mines, approximately 10% of the dust samples taken exceeded the exposure limit for silica dust for truck drivers. For road grader operators, that rate was approximately 5% (Hale, J, 2002). For stone mining operations, the number of respirable dust samples taken that exceeded the exposure limit for silica dust was approximately 5% for truck drivers. The overexposure rate was approximately

29% for road grader operators (Hale, J, 2002). At non-metal mines, the rates were approximately 5% and 14% for truck drivers and road grader operators, respectively (Hale, J, 2002), and the rates for metal mining operations were approximately 8% and 3% for truck drivers and road grader operators, respectively (Hale, J, 2002).

Of particular concern is the use of haul trucks outside of the mining industry. There are many off-road and over-the-road haul trucks that are used in the construction industry. Many of the over-the-road haul trucks enter onto quarry sites to obtain material to deliver it to construction sites, and these trucks generally use the mine site haul roads. Some of these quarry sites have a high traffic volume of over-the-road trucks. These operators can be exposed to high respirable silica dust concentrations during their time at the quarry site. Even though their time at the quarry site may be minimal, they may return several times during the day to the quarry for material thus, increasing their potential exposure to respirable silica dust.

The delivery locations are generally construction sites that also have the potential to expose the truck operators to high respirable dust concentrations, particularly at highway or road construction sites. Highway construction sites also use some of the same heavy equipment as surface mining operations. This equipment may be operated in close proximity to laborers, equipment operators, and to the general public. There may be a high probability for potential exposure of respirable dust to these personnel at these locations. However, data to substantiate this supposition is almost non-existent.

There are several dust control practices currently in use for haul trucks. These are reducing haul truck speed, watering haul roads, treating haul roads, and maintaining equipment cabs. Reducing the haul truck speed is a simple control method. The reduction in dust is attributable to the lower amount of disturbance to the haul road at lower speeds.

Watering haul roads with a water truck once an hour has been shown, through past research, to have a control efficiency of 40% for total suspended particulates (TSP). If watering is increased to once every half hour, the control efficiency for TSP increases to 55% (Rosbury and Zimmer, 1983). The control efficiency was defined as a comparison of the controlled (watered) emission rate to the uncontrolled emission rate. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) reported several test results of watering haul roads. The results ranged from a control efficiency of 74% for TSP for the 3-4 hours following the application of water at a rate of 2.08 L/m² (0.46 gallons/yd²) to a control efficiency of 95% for TSP for 0.5 hours after the application of 0.59

L/m² (0.13 gallons/yd²) (U.S. EPA, Unpaved Roads, 1998).

Treating haulroads is generally completed through the application of chemicals, and require a significant amount of road maintenance. Control efficiencies were shown to be 95% for magnesium chloride and 70% for a petroleum derivative for controlling haul truck generated dust (Olson, 1987).

Maintaining equipment cabs in good operating conditions also reduce operator exposure to respirable dust. A study conducted on dozers and drills demonstrated properly maintained cabs can attain dust reductions of 90% for drills and between 44-100% for dozers (Organiscak and Page, 1999). The variations of the dust reductions for dozers were attributed to re-entrainment of internal cab dust (Organiscak and Page, 1999). An additional study completed on haul trucks, which involved the retrofitting of a cab with a filtration/pressure air conditioning system to produce positive pressure in the cab, showed that properly maintained cabs can produce a potential 52% reduction of respirable dust (Chekan and Colinet, 2003).

Field Study

Due to a lack of data characterizing airborne dust generated from haul trucks, a field study that measured dust from haul trucks at surface mining operations was completed in the summer of 2002 by Organiscak and Reed. The study was completed at two different sites: a surface stone quarry in Virginia and a coal preparation plant waste hauling operation in Pennsylvania. Both sites had a significant number of trucks which passed by the sampling instruments. Therefore, analysis for the potential exposure of haul truck drivers to respirable dust was completed, in addition to the haul truck dust characterization. It should be noted that the stone quarry site had more trucks following closer together than the coal preparation plant site.

This study utilized seven sampling stations located at varying distances from the haul road. However, the analysis of the respirable dust exposure of truck drivers required only the review of data from the two sampling stations that were adjacent to and on opposite sides of the road. The test section of the haul road was a straight unwatered section of road approximately 100 ft in length. The test section for the Virginia site had a slight grade and was the main entrance road to the pit, while the Pennsylvania site was relatively flat and was the main haul road to the waste dump.

This study used MIE personal data RAMs, MSA Escort ELF personal sampling pumps, 10-mm Dorr-Oliver cyclones, and BGI GK2.69 cyclones at each

measurement location. Samples were collected on 37-mm filters. To measure respirable dust, Escort ELF personal sampling pumps were fitted with 10-mm Dorr-Oliver cyclones. The pumps were set to run at 1.7 liters/minute (L/min) in order to collect the respirable dust sample (Bartley et al., 1994). The MIE personal data RAMs were used to collect instantaneous respirable dust concentration measurements. They recorded dust concentrations every 2 seconds. The MIE personal data RAMs were fitted with 10-mm Dorr-Oliver cyclones and were operated at 1.7 L/min. Since the PM₁₀ size fraction is similar to thoracic fraction of dust (Lippmann, 1995), BGI GK2.69 cyclones, which measure thoracic dust, were used to represent the PM₁₀ size fraction. Thoracic dust was measured using Escort ELF personal sampling pumps fitted with the BGI GK2.69 cyclones. The sampling pumps for the thoracic portion were operated at 1.6 L/min in order to collect the PM₁₀ sample (Maynard, 1999). Total dust concentrations were measured by attaching 37-mm filters directly to the Escort ELF personal sampling pumps, set to operate at 1.7 L/min. In addition, Cascade Impactors that contained 6 stages and were connected to Escort ELF personal sampling pumps operating at 2.0 L/min were used. This flowrate allowed for the measurement of dust concentrations for the cut-off size ranges of 21.3 μm, 14.8 μm, 9.8 μm, 6.0 μm, 3.5 μm, 1.55 μm (Andersen Instruments, Inc., 2002).

The sampling stations (A and B) for this evaluation contained the previously mentioned respirable dust sampler, MIE Personal Data RAM respirable dust sampler, thoracic dust sampler, total dust sampler, and Cascade Impactor. Weather data consisting of temperature, both dry and wet bulb, and barometric pressure were recorded hourly. A wind speed and direction station was placed nearby and recorded wind speeds and directions every 30 seconds. Dust measurements were collected for approximately 6-7 hours per day.

A time study was also conducted of the haul trucks using the haul road throughout the entire time period of the study. The haul truck time entering and exiting the test section of the road, type of haul truck, and speed and direction of travel were recorded. The type of haul trucks at the Virginia stone quarry were mostly various tandem-axle over-the-road trucks, with some trailer trucks, capable of carrying 20-ton payloads. Additionally, some 50-ton off-road haul trucks were included in this study. Their average speed during the study was 7.0 meters/second (m/s) (15.6 mph). The Pennsylvania site operated 50-60-ton off-road trucks (Cat, Terex, and Payhauler) that traveled at an average speed of 7.1 m/s (15.9 mph). The road conditions were similar at both sites with average road surface material specific gravity, moisture content, and silt content being 2.86, 0.16%, and

22.3%, respectively, for the Virginia stone quarry. The Pennsylvania site's average road surface material specific gravity, moisture content, and silt content were 2.48, 0.62%, and 21.9%, respectively. The specific gravity, moisture content, and silt content were determined according to ASTM standards.

Results

Average particle size distributions of dust generated from haul trucks were calculated from cascade impactor sampler data from the two samplers located along the haul road from both sites. The average particle size distribution of the dust generated by haul trucks during this study is shown in Figure 1. Figure 1 reveals, that on average, 14.5% of the airborne dust generated from haul trucks consists of material <10 μm, and 3.5% is material <3.5 μm. The majority (85.5%) of the airborne dust consists of larger particles which do not pose a respirable threat to the truck operator, but may be a visibility hazard.




Figure 1. Average Size Distribution for Airborne Dust Generated by Haul Trucks for the Entire Sampling Period.

Instantaneous analysis of the airborne dust concentration data was completed for both sites. Figure 2 and 3 shows a typical average instantaneous respirable dust concentration curve for the airborne dust generated from haul trucks for stations A and B, respectively.




Figure 2. Average instantaneous respirable dust concentrations for Station A of the Coal Preparation Plant study.




Figure 3. Average instantaneous respirable dust concentrations for Station B of the Coal Preparation Plant study.

Stations A and B were located adjacent to the haul road and on opposite sides. These graphs were constructed from data from the coal mine preparation plant study and show the average instantaneous respirable dust concentrations with their 95% confidence intervals. The confidence intervals were calculated using:

$$CI = \bar{x} \pm \left(1.96 \times \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

where

- CI = confidence interval
- \bar{x} = sample mean
- s = sample standard deviation
- n = number of data values

The negative time intervals represent the time before the haul truck arrived at the sampling station, and the positive time intervals represent the time after the haul truck passed the sampling station. In addition, percentile plots were created from the data used to create Figures 2 and 3.

These plots present the statistics for the median and the 25th, 75th, and 90th percentiles, and are shown in Figures 4 and 5.

Prior evaluations on the instantaneous dust data for the coal preparation plant showed a linear relationship existing between the respirable and thoracic dust (Reed, 2003) (Organisak and Reed, 2004). This relationship was represented as a ratio which was shown to be 3.93, respirable dust to thoracic dust (3.93 x respirable dust concentration = thoracic dust concentration). Graphs showing the instantaneous thoracic dust calculated from the instantaneous respirable dust graphs are presented in Figures 6 and 7.




Figure 4. Percentile graph of airborne respirable dust concentrations generated from haul trucks for Station A of the Coal Preparation Plant Study.




Figure 5. Percentile graph of airborne respirable dust concentrations generated from haul trucks for Station B of the Coal Preparation Plant Study.




Figure 6. Estimated average instantaneous thoracic dust concentrations for Station A of the Coal Preparation Plant study.




Figure 7. Estimated average instantaneous thoracic dust concentrations for Station B of the Coal Preparation Plant study.

Instantaneous dust concentration data from the Virginia stone quarry were used to analyze dust concentrations with the time lag of a following haul truck. In this case, there were a numerous number of trucks passing the sampling stations with the majority of the trucks following a lead truck. Box and whisker plots are presented of the stone quarry data in Figures 8 and 9.




Figure 8. Airborne Respirable Dust Concentrations at Station A for Various Haul Truck Time Lag Intervals from the Stone Quarry Study.




Figure 9. Airborne Respirable Dust Concentrations at Station B for Various Haul Truck Time Lag Intervals from the Stone Quarry Study.

These results show the instantaneous airborne respirable dust concentration data versus time lag in seconds. These graphs present the instantaneous airborne respirable dust concentration for the following trucks at the point of passing the sampling stations, or time zero on Figures 2 and 3. Figures 10 and 11 show a “zoomed-in” version of the box and whisker plots shown in Figures 8 and 9.




Figure 10. Instantaneous respirable dust concentrations from 0.0 - 1.0 mg/m³ with time lag for Station A of the Stone Quarry Study.




Figure 11. Instantaneous respirable dust concentrations from 0.0 - 3.0 mg/m³ with time lag for Station B of the Stone Quarry Study.

The box and whisker plots show the data grouped in percentiles. The horizontal line inside the box represents the median of the data. The lower end of the box is the 25th percentile line. All data below this line represents <25% of the data. Data above this line represents >25% of the data. The upper end of the box is the 75th percentile line. Similar to the 25th percentile line, all data below this line represents <75% of the data. Data above this line represents >75% of the data. The lower “whisker” represents the 10th percentile and the upper “whisker” represents the 90th percentile.

Discussion of Results

The measured particle size distributions from the haul truck study (14.5% <10 μm and 3.5% <3.5 μm) are much less than previously determined airborne particle size distributions for road and soil dust of 52.3% <10 μm and 10.7% <2.5 μm (Chow and Watson, 1998). The mass fractions of 14.5% <10 μm and 3.5% <3.5 μm are more representative of airborne dust from haul trucks, than the mass fractions from previous research. A review of the procedure used to determine the prior mass fractions (52.3% <10 μm and 10.7% <2.5 μm) showed that bulk road samples were taken from the unpaved road, and then re-suspension of the dust was completed in the laboratory (Houck, Chow, and Ahuja, 1989) (Ahuja et al., 1989). In this field study, the sampling stations were located right on the edge of the haul road and measured the airborne dust directly from the haul trucks. Therefore, the prior mass fractions of 52.3% <10 μm and 10.7% <2.5 μm portray the potential mass fractions for airborne road dust, while the mass fractions of 14.5% <10 μm and 3.5% <3.5 μm depict a more representative description of the mass fractions of road dust that emanate from haul trucks.

Examination of the instantaneous respirable dust data as shown in Figures 2 and 3 show that the peak dust concentration occurs within 20 seconds after the truck has passed the sampling stations. Figure 2 shows the dust concentrations starting to peak before the truck arrives at the sampling station. This effect is caused by the varying wind directions that occur. Sometimes wind directions originate from the same directions that the trucks originate. This can cause the dust concentrations to precede the truck. The average peaks, within the time frame of 20 seconds, are generally within 2.0-2.5 mg/m³, showing that a driver following a truck can be potentially exposed to dust concentrations above the legal limit of 2.0 mg/m³, although these are instantaneous concentrations not 8-hour time-weighted-average concentrations. However, exposures can be significantly higher, some of the times, for the following truck driver as seen by the percentile graphs of Figures 4 and 5.

The percentile graphs show where the data lie in the spectrum of the data collection. It can be seen that, at the peaks, half of the respirable dust concentrations are above 1.76 mg/m³ for station A and 1.70 mg/m³ for station B. Twenty-five percent of the data lie between the median and the 75th percentile curves shown on Figures 4 and 5. This shows that 25% of the peaks occur within the region of 1.76-3.71 mg/m³ for station A and 1.70 - 3.55 mg/m³ for station B. It can also be seen that 25% of the peaks are greater than 3.71 mg/m³ for station A and 3.55 mg/m³ for station B. It can be concluded from these graphs that the following truck driver can be exposed to respirable dust concentrations greater than 3.55 mg/m³ for

approximately 25% of the time the driver is following the lead haul trucks.

Graphs of average instantaneous thoracic dust concentrations were created, as shown in Figures 6 and 7. These graphs were created from the average instantaneous respirable dust concentration graphs of Figures 2 and 3 by using the 3.93 respirable - thoracic ratio calculated in previous research (Reed, 2003). These two graphs show that the estimated average peak of thoracic dust is approximately 9.36 mg/m³ for station A and 9.16 mg/m³ for station B and that the following truck driver can be exposed to these high concentrations within a time frame of 0 - 20 seconds behind a haul truck. Again, these concentrations are instantaneous concentrations rather than the 24-hour time-weighted-average concentrations.

The evaluation of the data from the stone quarry in Virginia was completed in a different manner. Due to the high traffic volume, instantaneous dust concentration curves representing dust generation from individual haul trucks could not be reliably evaluated because of the interference from other trucks. In this case, the haul truck emissions were examined using dust concentrations versus time lag. Box and whisker plots were created as shown in Figures 8 and 9. Figure 8, which show the instantaneous respirable dust concentrations for Station A are lower than the concentrations for Station B (shown in Figure 9). This is due to the fact that the wind directions were predominately towards Station B. Therefore, Station B would record a higher amount of respirable dust than Station A.

It can be seen from the plots in Figures 8 and 9 that the instantaneous respirable dust concentrations are lower than the instantaneous respirable dust concentrations from the coal preparation plant study. Part of the explanation for this phenomenon is that these plots show the instantaneous dust concentrations as the following truck passes by the sampling station. It is seen from Figures 2 and 3 that the maximum average respirable dust concentrations generally occur within a time frame of 5-15 seconds behind the truck. Many of the following truck concentrations in Figures 8 and 9 did not occur at the maximum instantaneous concentration. Another explanation is that almost all the trucks at the stone quarry were over-the-road haul trucks, not off-road mine trucks as in the coal preparation plant study. It was seen from visual observation, that the off-road mine trucks generated more dust than the over-the-road haul trucks. Although, this cannot be quantified, as separation of the truck type was not completed.

The box and whisker plots do support the premise that the maximum dust exposure of the haul trucks occurs within the time frame of 0-20 seconds behind the lead haul truck (max. occurs at the 10-20 second time period). In addition, Figures 10 and 11 show a close-up view of

Figures 8 and 9, respectively. Figure 11 shows that the following truck driver can be exposed to instantaneous respirable dust concentrations in the range of 0.75-2.75 mg/m³ excluding the outliers for approximately 15% of the time that they follow 10-20 seconds behind a haul truck. Additionally, 10% of this time the respirable dust concentrations can exceed 2.75 mg/m³.

Using this information, an additional control technique can be implemented to help protect truck drivers from over-exposure. This technique consists of monitoring the locations of the haul trucks. By instituting traffic control procedures to require haul trucks to maintain more than 10-20 seconds of separation, there should be a resultant reduction in dust exposure to the haul truck operator.

In many cases, such as large open pits with many load-out locations, implementing such a policy may not be economically feasible. It may also not be feasible for road grader operators to avoid the trucks in their duty of removing material from the haul roads. In these cases, the cabs and air conditioning systems should be properly maintained so that in instances where trucks are following one another, the cabs have a proper seal. However, many cases, such as quarries, over-the-road trucks usually enter the site in groups. It would be easy enough to install traffic procedures such that trucks enter the loading area at 20-30 second time intervals, instead of back-to-back which frequently occurs. One possible method could involve the use of a traffic light that monitors the traffic nearby the weighing station. Once a truck passes the light, the light turns red stopping traffic until 20-30 seconds have passed. The light then changes to green allowing the next truck to proceed. This could also help alleviate the backup of trucks at the load-out point, which can also be a source of dust exposure.

Summary and Conclusions

In summary from respirable and PM₁₀ dust sampling conducted during the study, it was found that airborne dust generated by haul trucks consists of, on average, 14.5% < 10 μm and 3.5% < 3.5 μm. At the coal preparation plant in Pennsylvania, the instantaneous respirable concentration levels ranged anywhere from 0.001-21.50 mg/m³ within 5-15 seconds after the 50-60-ton off-road haul trucks traveling at 7.1 m/s (15.9 mph) had passed. However, the average peak instantaneous respirable concentration level was approximately 2.35 mg/m³ within the same time period. The percentile graphs showed that a truck driver may be exposed to respirable dust concentration levels above 3.55 mg/m³ for 25% of the time that the driver is following 5 to 15 seconds behind other trucks.

At the Virginia stone quarry, the instantaneous respirable concentration levels ranged anywhere from 0.01-8.03 mg/m³ within 0-20 seconds after the haul trucks had passed. Most of these were over-the-road tandem axle trucks, with some trailer trucks, capable of 20-ton payloads, traveling at 7.0 m/s (15.6 mph). The high traffic volume at this site precluded the calculation of an average peak respirable concentration level. However, the box and whisker graphs showed that a truck driver may be exposed to respirable dust concentration levels within a range of 0.75-2.75 mg/m³ for 15% of the time that the driver is following 10-20 seconds behind other trucks. Additionally, the respirable concentration levels can exceed 2.75 mg/m³ for 10% of this time.

From the results of this study, an additional control technique is proposed; one that monitors the locations of haul trucks with respect to one another. A review of Figures 2, 3, 8, and 9 show that the critical time period of following a truck is from 0-20 seconds, with maximum exposure occurring between 4-15 seconds. Implementing a policy to ensure that trucks do not follow within 20 seconds of another truck can result in a 41-52% reduction in airborne respirable dust exposure to the following truck.

By reviewing the effects of haul truck generated dust on following equipment, another method of dust control by using traffic procedures can be implemented. This knowledge will help in the understanding of dust exposure for haul truck operators and give mine operators additional insight as to how to effectively reduce it.

References

1. Ahuja, M.S.; Paskind, J.; Houck, J.E.; and Chow, J.C.; "Design of a Study for the Chemical and Size Characterization of Particulate Matter Emissions from Selected Sources in California." Transactions: Receptor Models in Air Resources Management. ed. Watson, J.G., (San Francisco, CA: Air & Waste Management Association, Feb. 1988) 322-333.
2. Amponsah-Decosta, F.; and Annegarn, H.J.; "Assessment of Fugitive Dust Emissions from an Opencast Coal Mine." Journal of the Mine Ventilation Society of South Africa. Vol. 51, No. 1, (Jan.-March 1998) 5-11.
3. Andersen Instruments, Inc.; Series 290 Marple Personal Cascade Impactors, Operating Manual. Bulletin No. 290I.M.-3-82, (Smyrna, GA: Andersen Instruments, Inc., 2002).
4. Bartley, David L.; Chen, Chih-Chieh; Song, Ruiguang; and Fischbach, Thomas J.; "Respirable Aerosol Sampler Performance Testing." American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal. Vol. 55, No. 11, (November 1994), 1036-1046.
5. Chekan, G.J., and Colinet, J.F.; "Retrofit Options for Better Dust Control - Cab Filtration, Pressurization Systems Prove Effective in Reducing Silica Dust Exposures in Older Trucks." Aggregates Manager. Vol. 8, No. 9, (December 2003), 9-12.
6. Chow, Judith C., and Watson, John G.; Guideline on Speciated Particulate Monitoring. (Research Triangle Institute, NC: U.S. EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, August 1998).
7. Cole, Clifford F., and Zapert, James G.; Air Quality Dispersion Model Validation at Three Stone Quarries. (Washington D.C.: National Stone Association, January 1995).
8. Hale, J.; NIOSH's Division of Respiratory Disease Studies informational analysis of MSHA's dust sampling database (2002).
9. Houck, J.E.; Chow, J.C.; and Ahuja, M.S.; "The Chemical and Size Characteristics of Particulate Material Originating from Geological Sources in California." Transactions: Receptor Models in Air Resources Management. ed. Watson, J.G., (San Francisco, CA: Air & Waste Management Association, Feb. 1988) 322-333.
10. International Standards Organization (ISO); Air Quality-Particle Size Fraction Definitions for Health-Related Sampling. Draft Int. Standards ISO Technical Report, ISO/TR 7708-1983 (E), (Geneva: ISO, 1993).
11. Lippmann, Morton; "Chapter 5 Size-Selective Health Hazard Sampling." Air Sampling Instruments for Evaluation of Atmospheric Contaminants, 8th Edition. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, ed. Cohen, Beverly S., and Hering, Susanne V., (Cincinnati, Ohio: ACGIH, 1995) 81-119.
12. Maynard, A.D.; "Measurement of Aerosol Penetration Through Six Personal Thoracic Samplers Under Calm Air Conditions." Journal of Aerosol Science. Vol. 30, No. 9, (Great Britain: Elsevier Science, Ltd., 1999), 1227-1242.
13. Mine Safety and Health Administration; "Labor Department Renews Push to Eliminate Silicosis." MSHA News Release. No. 97-1031, (U. S. Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration, October 1997) 2.
14. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; "MSHA Data File Downloads." Website: www.cdc.gov/niosh/mining/data/mshadata.html, (U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 2002).

15. Olson, Keith S. and Veith, David L.; "Fugitive Dust Control for Haulage Roads and Tailings." Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations 9069. (U.S. Department of the Interior, 1987).
16. Organiscak, J.A.; and Page, S.J.; "Field Assessment of Control Techniques and Long-Term Dust Variability for Surface Coal Mine Rock Drills and Bulldozers." International Journal of Surface Mining, Reclamation, & Environment. Vol. 13 No. 4, (1999) 165- 172.
17. Organiscak, J.A., and Reed, Wm.R.; "Characteristics of Fugitive Dust Generated from Unpaved Mine Haulage Roads." International Journal of Surface Mining, Reclamation, and Environment. (accepted for publication, Sept 2004).
18. Reed, Wm.R.; An Improved Model for Prediction of PM₁₀ for Surface Mining Operations. (Blacksburg, VA, Virginia Tech: Ph.D. Thesis, 2003).
19. Reed, Wm.R.; Westman, E.C.; and Haycocks, C. "An Improved Model for Estimating Particulate Emissions from Surface Mining Operations in the Eastern United States," Securing the Future, International Conference on Mining and the Environment, Proceedings, (Skellefteå, Sweden: The Swedish Mining Association, 2001) 693-702.
20. Rosbury, K.D. and Zimmer, R.A.; "Cost Effectiveness of Dust Control Used on Unpaved Haul Roads -Volume I Results, Analysis, and Conclusions." Bureau of Mines Open File Report 106-85 Vol. 1. (U.S. Department of the Interior, December 1983).
21. Soderholm, S.C.; "Proposed International Conventions for Particle Size-Selective Sampling." Annals of Occupational Hygiene. Vol. 33, No. 3., (1989) 301-320.
22. U.S. Census Bureau; 1997 Economic Census, Mining Subject Series. (U. S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, U. S. Census Bureau, 2001).
23. U.S. Government Printing Office,; Code of Federal Regulations: Title 40, Volume 3, Chapter I, Part 53, Section 43. (Website: www.ecfr.access.gpo.gov/otcqi/cfr, June 2002).
24. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; "Executive Summary." Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter. Vol. I. (Washington, D.C.: U.S. EPA, Office of Research and Development, April 1996).
25. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency; Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Volume I: Stationary Point and Area Sources, fifth edition AP-42, Section 13.2.2 Unpaved Roads. (Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S.EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Emission Factor and Inventory Group, September 1998).