

DEPARTMENTS

- 301 Contents
- 302 The drift of things
- 303 Washington survey
- 307 Industry newswatch
- 312 Coming events & short courses
- 313 Free literature
- 314 New products
- 316 Personals
- 375 SME news
- 377 Reader service card
- 381 Employment
- 382 Membership
- 382 Classified ads
- 383 Professional services
- 394 Index of advertisers

SPECIAL ISSUE: 1986 ANNUAL REVIEW

325 EXPLORATION

Introduction	325	Missouri.....	335
Geochemistry.....	326	Montana.....	336
Geophysics.....	327	Nebraska.....	336
Alabama.....	327	New Mexico.....	336
Alaska.....	328	Ohio.....	337
Arizona.....	329	Oklahoma.....	338
California.....	330	Oregon.....	338
Colorado.....	331	Pennsylvania.....	339
Idaho.....	332	Virginia.....	340
Illinois.....	333	Washington.....	340
Indiana.....	334	Wisconsin.....	341
Maine.....	335	Wyoming.....	341
		Other states.....	343

344 MINING

Introduction	344	Legislation	348
Gold.....	346	Open pit mining.....	349
Ground control	347	Solution mining.....	349
Health and safety	347	Metal mining equipment.....	351

352 PROCESSING

Chemical processing.....	352	Flotation	357
Dewatering and tailings disposal	353	Flotation process analysis.....	358
Energy minerals processing	353	Instrumentation and control	359
Environmental control.....	355	Mill operations and expansions.....	361
Flocculation	356	Physical separation	362

363 COAL

Underground mining	363	Utilization	366
Research and development	364	Environmental issues	367
Mining equipment.....	364	Health and safety	367
		Ventilation.....	368

370 INDUSTRIAL MINERALS

Barite	370	Bromine.....	372
Bauxite and alumina	370	Cement.....	373
Boron	371	Clays.....	374

317 The Presidents Report on 1986 activities in the Society of Mining Engineers

COVER

Each May, MINING ENGINEERING reviews what has happened in the minerals industry the previous year. This year, that review begins on page 325 with the exploration section. In the June issue, we will finish profiling the industrial minerals commodities.

THANK YOU

ME editors thank the authors and contributors who made possible this annual review issue. We appreciate your help. ME readers should benefit from your efforts.

Copyright 1987 by the Society of Mining Engineers, Inc. All rights reserved. MINING ENGINEERING (ISSN 0026-5187) is published monthly by the Society of Mining Engineers, Inc., at 8307 Shaffer Parkway, Littleton, CO 80127. Telephone: 303/973-9550. Telex: 881988. Second class postage paid at Littleton, CO, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send change of addresses to Mining Engineering, 8307 Shaffer Pkwy., Box 625002, Littleton, CO 80162-5002. Article copies and back issues available on microfilm or microfiche from University Microfilms International. SME is not responsible for any statement made or opinion expressed in its publications. Member subscription rate included in dues, nonmember subscription rate \$60.00. In Europe \$67.00. Single copies \$5.00, special issues \$7.00. July issue \$125.00.

Habenicht discussed the development of a mobile roof-support device, to improve full seam extraction. And Neilan proposed design considerations for choosing mine monitoring systems.

Mine monitoring systems, along with remote controlled continuous miners, are receiving the most widespread acceptance of the recent developments in equipment technology. Automation of mining systems is receiving much interest. Witness the 2nd Annual Conference on Innovative Mining Systems and the establishment of the Center for Robotics and Manufacturing Systems at the University of Kentucky.

Computers are becoming more commonplace in the coal industry, especially in the area of engineering. This is primarily due to the availability of microcomputer software, which is flexible and cost-effective for mine site use. Mine planning systems, which previously required engineering workstations, are becoming increasingly available for microcomputers.

Ramani and Weiss discussed the analysis of longwall mining systems using interactive computer graphics. Also, note the continued success of the Annual Conferences on Computers in the Coal industries and the APCOM conferences. This suggests the power that microcomputers hold as engineering tools in the coal industry.

Computers are also becoming increasingly important operational tools. They are used in mine monitoring systems and as a means of monitoring and controlling mining equipment.

In general, the US coal mining industry is suffering from intense economic pressures. These inhibit the availability of capital for research and development efforts.

At the same time, these pressures necessitate the development of technology to compete in the world coal market. So development of new technologies and improved engineering and mine design techniques are receiving interest. But widespread implementation is slow. It appears, however, that progress will be made as we struggle to compete. ■

Research and development

F. N. Kissell,
US Bureau of Mines

The computerized niche in mining research continues to grow. Computer modeling in mining began with ventilation and quickly expanded into pillar design, subsidence, and hydrology.

One interesting program is MULSIM/BM from the US Bureau of Mines. It is a rock stress program designed to assist engineers in the layout of mine plans.

The program calculates stresses and ground deformations resulting from mining. Special features include the ability to include up to 26 material property sets, subdivide to "fine mesh" portions, and to specify extraction ratios.

The designers say the program should be "used discriminately in combination with field verification data." That is an honest caution statement that every promoter of a computer model should be using. Another Bureau program that has ready application is one that forecasts subsidence over longwall panels.

In some areas, enough modeling has been done for someone to undertake the task of comparing programs. Predicting water inflows into operating coal mines is an active CONOCO research area. And researchers in the Library, PA coal research division have undertaken this difficult task with positive results.

Computer applications dominated the second conference on innovative mining systems, held in October at Pennsylvania State University. Here, the emphasis was more on computer control of mining equipment. Drilling is the most down-to-earth application discussed, and computerized drills have just recently come into use.

Another recent mining application is control of longwall shearers. However, it is unlikely that these rather straightforward uses mean that widespread computer control of mining equipment is just over the horizon. Future advances may be in better-funded areas related to mining, such as emplacement of nuclear waste.

So-called "expert systems" rep-

resent another interesting computer application, where the computer is purported to have some characteristics of human thinking. Applications are visualized in mine ventilation, equipment control, and problem diagnosis. But, aside from a system called Prospector developed a decade ago at Stanford, virtually every mining application remains in the talking stage.

One interesting system that may soon see application is called MICA, a joint development of the Bureau and the University of Missouri. It acts as a consultant to provide advice on mica beneficiation.

In the area of coal use, there were some interesting developments in microbubble flotation, which offers better selectivity for smaller particle sizes. Research has demonstrated that, in addition to coal mineral characteristics and the reagents used, air bubble size plays a vital role in flotation.

Bechtel National has teamed up with Energy International to use a unique flotation cell developed by Bergbau Forschung in Germany. The Bergbau flotation cell is a conical vessel using a pre-aerated microbubble slurry. It has maximum bubble loading with even the finest particles.

Also, Lurgi Corp. reported on its operating experience on its dry/semi-dry circulating fluid bed process for flue gas desulfurization. Some advantages claimed are dry reagent feeding, no slurry atomizer, higher solid residence time, and ability to reduce any SO₂ amount from very high sulfur coals to legislated levels. ■

Coal mining equipment

T. W. Martin and G. R. Bockosh,
US Bureau of Mines

Underground mining equipment

Underground coal mining has seen some subtle but dramatic innovations over the last few years. Much of the innovation has been sparked by ever increasing competition throughout the United States and in world coal markets. Individual productivity has climbed steadily from nearly 9 t

**NOTICE: THIS
MATERIAL MAY
BE PROTECTED
BY COPYRIGHT
LAW (TITLE 17
U.S. CODE).**