

For individual use only.

Duplication or distribution prohibited by law.

Emotional Health; Social Health

Social Support May Protect Against Development of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: Findings From the Heart and Soul Study

Robert Eric Dinenberg, MD, MPH; Shannon E. McCaslin, PhD; Michael N. Bates, PhD; Beth E. Cohen, MD, MAS

Abstract

Purpose. No prospective studies have examined the association of poor social support and development of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in patients with chronic illness. This study addresses this knowledge gap.

Design. This prospective study examines the relationship of social support to the subsequent development of PTSD during a 5-year period.

Setting. San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center.

Subjects. A total of 579 participants with cardiovascular disease did not have PTSD at baseline and returned for the 5-year follow-up examination.

Measures. PTSD measured by Computerized Diagnostic Interview Schedule for DSM-IV. Social support measured by Interpersonal Support Evaluation List (ISEL).

Analysis. Unconditional ordered logistic regression analyses were performed to yield the odds ratio of developing PTSD for a one-standard-deviation change in ISEL score.

Results. Of 579 participants who did not have PTSD at baseline, approximately 6.4% ($n = 37$) developed PTSD. Higher baseline perceived social support was strongly protective against development of PTSD ($OR = .60, p = .001$). Results remained significant after adjustment for age, sex, race, income, and depression ($OR = .69, p = .04$). Of social support types examined, the “tangible” and “belonging” domains were most strongly associated with future PTSD status.

Conclusion. Social support may impact development of PTSD. Interventions that optimize social support may be part of a PTSD prevention program designed to help individuals at risk of developing PTSD. (*Am J Health Promot* 2014;28[5]:294–297.)

Key Words: Social Support, Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, Prospective Study, PTSD Prevention, Chronic Disease, Prevention Research. Manuscript format research; Research purpose: modeling/relationship testing (association testing); Study design: quantitative; Outcome measure: behavioral health; Setting: clinical/health care; Health focus: emotional health/social health; Strategy: skill building/behavior change/culture change; Target population: adults; Target population circumstances: education/income level, race/ethnicity

INTRODUCTION

Numerous studies have demonstrated strong links between posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and physical illness,^{1,2} and patients with PTSD are at greater risk for developing several chronic medical conditions, including cardiovascular disease (CVD).^{3,4} It is also recognized that patients with chronic medical conditions, including CVD, are vulnerable to the development of PTSD,^{5–7} and PTSD can lead to worse disease outcomes.^{8,9} Therefore, identifying factors that may protect patients with chronic illness from developing PTSD is important.

Previous retrospective studies have found that poor social support following traumatic events increases the risk of development of PTSD and maintenance of chronic PTSD symptoms. A meta-analysis that examined posttrauma social support as a predictor for PTSD reported a small to medium protective association ($r = -.28$).¹⁰ A previous meta-analysis on the subject reported evidence of a moderate pro-

Robert Eric Dinenberg, MD, MPH, is with the Department of Medicine, Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, and the School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley. Shannon E. McCaslin, PhD, is with the National Center for PTSD, Dissemination and Training Division, Department of Veterans Affairs, Palo Alto Health Care System, University of California, San Francisco. Michael N. Bates, PhD, is with the Divisions of Epidemiology and Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley. Beth E. Cohen, MD, MAS, is with the Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, and the Section of General Internal Medicine, Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, San Francisco, California.

Send reprint requests to Robert Eric Dinenberg, MD, MPH, Viridian Health Management, 8060 La Jolla Shores Dr, Suite 5A, La Jolla, CA 92037; edinenberg@viridianhealth.com.

This manuscript was submitted October 23, 2012; revisions were requested February 20, 2013; the manuscript was accepted for publication April 3, 2013.

Copyright © 2014 by American Journal of Health Promotion, Inc.
0890-1171/14/\$5.00 + 0
DOI: 10.4278/ajhp.121023-QUAN-511

fective relationship between social support and PTSD symptoms ($r = -0.40$).¹¹ However, no studies have focused specifically on the role of social support for patients with chronic illness. In addition, Ozer and colleagues¹⁰ noted that the retrospective nature of the measurement of social support in most of the PTSD literature was a serious limitation that should be addressed with prospective longitudinal studies. They also suggested a further study to explore particular types of social support (emotional support vs. other kinds of social support) as predictors for PTSD.

This analysis of a prospective longitudinal study examined the association between social support and development of PTSD during a 5-year period in a cohort of patients with CVD. In addition to evaluating the association with overall social support, three discrete subdomains of social support (appraisal, belonging, tangible) were separately examined.

METHOD

Participants

The Heart and Soul Study is a prospective cohort study of psychologic factors and health outcomes in adults with stable CVD. Detailed methods of the study have been previously described.^{4,12} Between September 2000 and December 2002, a total of 1024 ambulatory men and women aged 37 to 94 years with established CVD were enrolled, including 438 from two Department of Veterans Affairs medical centers (San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center and the Veterans Affairs Palo Alto Health Care System), 346 from a university medical center (University of California, San Francisco), and 238 from nine public health clinics (Community Health Network of San Francisco). To be eligible, participants needed to have a history of myocardial infarction, angiographic evidence of stenosis of 50% or greater in one or more coronary vessels, evidence of exercise-induced ischemia (by treadmill electrocardiogram or stress nuclear perfusion), or a history of coronary revascularization. Individuals unable to walk one block or those planning to leave the area within 3 years were excluded. Informed consent

was obtained from all participants and appropriate institutional review boards approved the research protocol.

Measures

PTSD was measured by using the Computerized Diagnostic Interview Schedule for DSM-IV (CDIS), a validated computer-based interview administered by trained research personnel.¹³ The CDIS has a sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 73%, compared with gold standard clinician interviews, and has been widely used in large epidemiologic studies.

Social support was measured by using a 12-item version of the Interpersonal Support Evaluation List (ISEL), with three domains of support, each evaluated with four items. The “tangible” subscale measures perceived availability of material aid (e.g., having someone to help one move to a new house if needed), the “appraisal” subscale measures perceived availability of someone to talk to about one’s problems (e.g., having someone to share one’s most private worries and fears with), and the “belonging” subscale measures perceived availability of people one can do things with (e.g., having someone to go to the movies with). The 40-item version of the ISEL scale has alpha and test-retest reliability of approximately .90. The subscales have internal consistency and test-retest reliabilities that range from .70 to .80, with moderate intercorrelation.¹⁴ The 12-item version of the ISEL has been widely used in health-related research.^{15–17} Depression was measured with the validated nine-item Patient Health Questionnaire, and sociodemographic variables and health behaviors were measured with standardized questionnaires, as previously described.¹⁷

Procedures

Of the 1024 eligible participants, 667 (80% of surviving participants) returned for the 5-year follow-up examination. Of these, 579 participants did not have PTSD at baseline and are included in these analyses. Baseline measurements of social support and other variables were compared for individuals who developed PTSD during the 5-year follow-up period vs. those who did not develop PTSD during this period.

Data Analysis

Data for the two groups (did not develop PTSD vs. developed PTSD) were summarized by using means and standard deviations for continuous measures (ISEL scores) and counts and proportions for categorical variables (PTSD outcome). p values were calculated using, as appropriate, χ^2 tests or t -tests. ISEL scores were normally distributed on a continuous scale with a wide range and so were entered in models as standard deviation units. Unconditional ordered logistic regression analyses were performed to yield the odds ratio of developing PTSD for a one-standard-deviation change in ISEL score. Stata version 11.1 (Stata-Corp, College Station, Texas) was used for data analysis.

Results for ISEL score as a predictor of PTSD were adjusted for age and sex. Interactions of ISEL score and potential moderators, such as income, race, and depression, were also evaluated. The three domains of social support (appraisal, tangible, and belonging) were highly collinear and were examined in separate models.

RESULTS

During 5 years of follow-up, 37 individuals developed PTSD (6.4%) and 542 individuals did not develop PTSD. Development of PTSD was significantly associated with younger age, white race, lower income, depression, and poorer social support (Table 1).

Participants with greater social support at baseline were significantly less likely to develop PTSD (OR = .60, $p = .001$, 95% confidence interval = .44–.82) (Table 2). Results remained significant after adjustment for age, sex, race, income, and depression. Of the three domains of social support examined, tangible and belonging domains were most strongly associated with PTSD (Table 2). There were no significant interactions between social support and the model covariates.

DISCUSSION

In this cohort of patients with CVD, patients with greater perceived levels of baseline social support were less likely to develop PTSD during 5 years of

follow-up. This prospective study adds to prior work demonstrating that social support may protect against the development of PTSD. Social support may prevent PTSD by conveying a message that one is taken care of and protected, which promotes cognitions that the world is safe, helps regulate emotions such as fear, anxiety, and mistrust, and enhances self-efficacy and control.¹⁸ Social support also decreases avoidant coping behaviors, such as passive isolation,¹⁸ which are associated with the development of PTSD.^{19,20}

Our results demonstrate that tangible and belonging support are more strongly associated with the development of PTSD than appraisal support. Persons who have high tangible support and high belonging support believe that specific actions will occur at their request and that they can be given material aid, which may increase their sense of self-efficacy and control after a traumatic event. Moreover, perception of threat severity and controllability during and immediately following traumatic events has been associated with PTSD.²¹ Belongingness to a support network and access to tangible resources may reduce one's perception of threat and influence the likelihood of an individual engaging in active coping strategies (e.g., reaching out for help).²² Together, these may contribute to a lower likelihood of developing PTSD after a potentially traumatic event.

In contrast, participants who believe they have high appraisal support may feel they have someone to confide in and may demonstrate more adaptive coping strategies, but this may not be sufficient to protect against PTSD. These findings are consistent with previous research studying the negative impact of psychosocial resource loss on the development of PTSD in the aftermath of trauma.²³ Greater access to psychosocial resources that can be called upon following trauma may serve to buffer the negative impact of exposure and contribute to recovery. This may be particularly important among individuals also managing a chronic illness, which can tax one's coping reserve or increase the need to rely on others for additional tangible support, such as assistance with physical tasks.

Future studies should examine the relationship of the degree to which

Table 1
Characteristics of Participants Who Developed PTSD vs. Participants Who Did Not Develop PTSD*

Characteristic	PTSD (n = 37)	No PTSD (n = 542)	p
Age, y	59 ± 12	67 ± 10	<0.001
Male, No. (%)	29 (78)	458 (85)	0.32
White, No. (%)	28 (76)	317 (58)	0.04
High school education, No. (%)	8 (22)	141 (26)	0.92
Annual income under \$20,000, No. (%)	23 (62)	232 (43)	0.02
Currently employed, No. (%)	6 (16)	147 (27)	0.15
Live alone, No. (%)	11 (30)	178 (33)	0.69
Married, No. (%)	13 (35)	254 (47)	0.17
Alcohol use, No. (%)	7 (19)	128 (24)	0.51
Current smoking, No. (%)	6 (16)	76 (14)	0.72
Self-reported physical activity(X/wk), No. (%)	23 (62)	378 (70)	0.33
Depression, No. (%)	14 (38)	76 (14)	<0.001
Social support			
ISEL, total score	34.1 ± 9.1	38.1 ± 6.9	<0.001
ISEL, appraisal domain score	11.9 ± 3.4	12.8 ± 2.8	0.06
ISEL, belonging domain score	10.8 ± 3.6	12.4 ± 2.7	<0.001
ISEL, tangible domain score	11.5 ± 3.1	12.9 ± 2.6	0.001

* Results presented as mean (±SD) or No. (%) as appropriate. PTSD indicates posttraumatic stress disorder; and ISEL, Interpersonal Support Evaluation List.

one is embedded in a social network (belongingness), the ways in which these networks are used at the time of or in the immediate aftermath of an event, and access to psychosocial resources that can provide tangible support (e.g., financial assistance) to differential trajectories of recovery from trauma exposure.

Several limitations of the study must be considered. Most of the participants were older men, and all participants had existing CVD. Therefore, results

may not generalize to women or to other patient populations. The limited number of participants who developed PTSD over the course of the study may have reduced our statistical power to evaluate other covariates involved in the association of social support and PTSD. We used a validated social support measure, but the questions reflect participant's perceptions of social support, and future research could evaluate more objective measures. We also lacked detailed data on the occur-

Table 2
Unadjusted and Adjusted Associations of Social Support With the Development of PTSD†

Social Support Variables	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Total ISEL score	0.60	0.44–0.82**	0.60	0.44–0.83**	0.69	0.49–0.99*
ISEL appraisal domain score	0.74	0.54–1.0	0.74	0.54–1.0	0.88	0.62–1.2
ISEL belonging domain score	0.59	0.43–0.81**	0.61	0.44–0.84**	0.69	0.49–0.98*
ISEL tangible domain score	0.60	0.44–0.82**	0.59	0.43–0.82**	0.67	0.47–0.96*

† All values are per standard deviation. Model 1: Unadjusted odds ratios for social support. Model 2: Odds ratios for social support were adjusted for age, sex, and race. Model 3: Includes additional adjustments for annual income and depression. PTSD indicates posttraumatic stress disorder; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; and ISEL, Interpersonal Support Evaluation List.

* $p < 0.05$.

** $p < 0.01$.

rence of traumatic events and therefore could not specifically examine whether social support was also associated with a reduced occurrence of trauma. Finally, as this is an observational study and not a controlled trial, we cannot establish a causal association of social support and PTSD, and it is possible that unmeasured factors are responsible for the observed association.

Despite these limitations, results of this prospective longitudinal study add to evidence for an association between greater social support and decreased development of PTSD. Results also provide rationale for controlled trials to examine the effect of interventions that optimize social support on the development of PTSD.

SO WHAT? Implications for Health Promotion Practitioners and Researchers

What is already known on this topic?

Previous retrospective studies have reported that poor social support following traumatic events increases the risk of development of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Patients with chronic medical conditions are vulnerable to the development of PTSD, and PTSD leads to worse disease outcomes.

What does this article add?

No studies have addressed the limitation of the retrospective study design through analysis of a prospective longitudinal study that focuses on the role of social support in patients with chronic illness. This study fills that knowledge gap.

What are the implications for health promotion practice or research?

Our findings have implications for clinical providers, may help guide program curriculum for social support interventions, and add to the growing body of evidence that social support may protect against development of PTSD. Interventions that optimize social support may be part of a PTSD prevention program designed to help individuals at risk.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH/NHLBI grant K23 HL 094765-01, Department of Defense/NCIRE grant DAMD17-03-1-0532, W81XWH-05-2-0094, and a grant from the Irene Perstein Foundation (Dr. Cohen). The Heart and Soul Study was funded by the Department of Veterans Affairs, Washington, D.C., the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (R01 HL079235), Bethesda, Maryland, the American Federation for Aging Research (Paul Beeson Scholars Program), New York, New York, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (Faculty Scholars Program), Princeton, New Jersey, the Ischemia Research and Education Foundation, South San Francisco, California, and the Nancy Kirwan Heart Research Fund, San Francisco, California. This work was also funded by a Veterans Affairs Clinical Science Research & Development Career Development Award (CDA-2-032-06F, principal investigator: Dr. McCaslin). We wish to thank the staff and participants of the Heart and Soul Study and Mary Whooley, MD, the principal investigator of the Heart and Soul Study. We also wish to thank Nap Hosang, MD, MPH, MBA, from the School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley Interdisciplinary Master of Public Health Program, and Gina Solomon, MD, MPH, from the University of California, San Francisco Occupational and Environmental Medicine Residency Program, for their support. The contents of this work are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of any of these funding agencies. The funding organizations were not involved in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

References

1. Boscarino JA. Posttraumatic stress disorder and mortality among US Army veterans 30 years after military service. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2006;16:248–256.
2. Spitzer C, Barnow S, Volke H, et al. Trauma, posttraumatic stress disorder, and physical illness: findings from the general population. *Psychosom Med.* 2009;71:1012–1017.
3. Kubzansky LD, Koenen KC, Spiro A, et al. Prospective study of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms and coronary heart disease in the Normative Aging Study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2007;64:109–116.
4. Cohen BE, Marmar CR, Neylan TC, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder and health-related quality of life in patients with coronary heart disease: findings from the Heart and Soul Study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2009;66:1214–1220.
5. Pedersen S. Posttraumatic stress disorder in patients with coronary artery disease: a review and evaluation of the risk. *Scand J Psychol.* 2001;42:445–451.
6. Spindler H, Pedersen S. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the wake of heart disease: prevalence, risk factors, and future research directions. *Psychosom Med.* 2005;67:715–723.
7. Tedstone J, Tarrier N. Posttraumatic stress disorder following medical illness and treatment. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2003;23:409–448.
8. Pietrzak R, Goldstein R, Southwick S, Grant B. Physical health conditions associated with posttraumatic stress disorder in US older adults: results from wave 2 of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related

Conditions. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2012;60:296–303.

9. Rauch S, Morales K, Zubritsky C, et al. Posttraumatic stress, depression, and health among older adults in primary care. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2006;14:316–324.
10. Ozer EJ, Best SR, Lipsey TL, Weiss DS. Predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder and symptoms in adults: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Bull.* 2003;129:52–73.
11. Brewin CR, Andrews B, Valentine JD. Meta-analysis of risk factors for posttraumatic stress disorder in trauma-exposed adults. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2000;68:748–766.
12. Whooley MA. Depression and cardiovascular disease: healing the broken hearted. *JAMA.* 2006;295:2874–2881.
13. Robins LN, Helzer JE, Croughan J, Ratcliff KS. National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule: its history, characteristics, and validity. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1981;38:381–389.
14. Cohen S, Hoberman H. Positive events and social supports as buffers of life change stress. *J Appl Soc Psychol.* 1983;13:99–125.
15. Hawkey LC, Thisted RA, Cacioppo JT. Loneliness predicts reduced physical activity: cross sectional and longitudinal analysis. *Health Psychol.* 2009;28:354–363.
16. Arango-Lasprilla JC, Plaza SLO, Drew A, et al. Family needs and psychosocial functioning of caregivers of individuals with spinal cord injury from Columbia, South America. *NeuroRehabilitation.* 2010;27:83–93.
17. Whooley MA, de Jonge P, Vittinghoff E, et al. Depressive symptoms, health behaviors, and risk of cardiovascular events in patients with coronary heart disease. *JAMA.* 2008;300:2379–2388.
18. Charuvastra A, Cloitre M. Social bonds and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Ann Rev Psychol.* 2008;59:301–328.
19. North CS, Spitznagel EL, Smith EM. A prospective study of coping after exposure to a mass murder episode. *Ann Clin Psychiatry.* 2001;13:81–87.
20. Silver RC, Holman EA, McIntosh DN, et al. Nationwide longitudinal study of psychological responses to September 11. *JAMA.* 2001;288:1235–1244.
21. Mott JM, Graham DP, Teng EJ. Perceived threat during deployment: risk factors and relation to Axis I disorders. *Psychol Trauma.* 2012;4:587–595.
22. Goldmann E, Calabrese JR, Prescott MR, et al. Potentially modifiable pre-, peri-, and postdeployment characteristics associated with deployment-related posttraumatic stress disorder among Ohio army national guard soldiers. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2012;22:71–78.
23. Littleton H, Kumpula M, Orcutt H. Posttraumatic symptoms following a campus shooting: the role of psychosocial resource loss. *Violence Vict.* 2011;26:461–476.

EDITOR IN CHIEF

Michael P. O'Donnell, PhD, MBA, MPH

ASSOCIATE EDITORS IN CHIEF

Jennifer DiNoia, PhD


Jennie Jacobs Kronenfeld, PhD

Kwame Owusu-Edusei Jr., PhD

Kerry J. Redican, MPH, PhD, CHES

AMERICAN JOURNAL *of* Health Promotion

The Wisdom of Practice and the Rigor of Research



"The American Journal of Health Promotion provides a forum for that rare commodity — practical and intellectual exchange between researchers and practitioners."

Kenneth E. Warner, PhD

Dean and Avedis Donabedian Distinguished University Professor of Public Health School of Public Health, University of Michigan

"The contents of the American Journal of Health Promotion are timely, relevant, and most important, written and reviewed by the most respected researchers in our field."

David R. Anderson, PhD, LP

Senior Vice President & Chief Health Officer, StayWell Health Management

onlineFirst

*Be the first
to know.*

Available exclusively to ONLINE SUBSCRIBERS



The American Journal of Health Promotion is now publishing all articles online, ahead of print. Articles are available as a PDF document for download as soon as they have completed the review process. This means you can access the very latest papers in the field of health promotion – in some cases up to a year before they appear in print.

Subscribe Online at www.HealthPromotionJournal.com

Call 800-783-9913 (US only) or 731-645-4496

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES: (Effective 1-1-14 through 12-31-14)

	INDIVIDUAL Print + Online	INSTITUTION			
		Tier 1 Print + Online Online Only	Tier 2 Print + Online Online Only	Tier 3 Print + Online Online Only	Print Only*
U.S.	\$139	\$359	\$459	\$559	\$184
Canada and Mexico	\$148	\$368	\$468	\$568	\$193
Other Countries	\$157	\$377	\$477	\$577	\$202

*Print-only subscriptions are based on location. For multi-site institutions, each site must have its own subscription.

Tier 1 — Most Employers and Corporations except Health Organizations, Libraries and Schools

Tier 2 — Health Organizations including Hospitals, Clinics, Health Promotion Providers, Insurance Companies and Voluntary Health Agencies

Tier 3 — Libraries, Colleges and Universities

**Subscribe
Today.**