

**CLINICAL REVIEW**

Alcohol-related head and neck cancer: Summary of the literature

Allison Marziliano PhD¹ | Sewit Teckie MD² | Michael A. Diefenbach PhD¹

¹Center for Health Innovations and Outcomes Research, Department of Medicine, Northwell Health, Manhasset, New York

²Northwell Health, Department of Radiation Medicine, Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Hempstead, New York

Correspondence

Allison Marziliano, Center for Health Innovations and Outcomes Research, Department of Medicine, Northwell Health, 600 Community Drive, Suite 403, Manhasset NY 11030.
Email: amarzilian@northwell.edu

Funding information

National Cancer Institute, Grant/Award Number: R01: 5R01CA224918-02; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Grant/Award Number: U01:5U01OH011690-02; American Cancer Society, Grant/Award Number: RSG-15-021-01-CPPB

Abstract

Alcohol drinking is a risk factor for the development of head-and-neck malignancies, including oral, pharyngeal, and laryngeal cancers, and coupled with tobacco use, accounts for 75% of oral cancers. We summarized the literature on alcohol-related head and neck cancer (HNC) and identified gaps that represent areas for future investigation. Research indicates that alcohol consumption has not only been linked to the development of primary HNCs, but also to secondary cancers with continued alcohol intake, cancer recurrences, and other poor health outcomes. Given this evidence, several organizations have called for reduction or avoidance of alcohol, particularly in HNC survivors. Despite these strong recommendations, evidence suggests that HNC survivors continue to use alcohol. There is a need to forge collaborations among clinicians, researchers, and social workers, to address this problem of alcohol consumption in the growing population of HNC survivors.

KEYWORDS

alcohol, head and neck cancer, intervention, mHealth, technology

1 | INTRODUCTION

1.1 | Overview

This manuscript provides an overview of the current state of the literature on alcohol-related head and neck cancer (HNC). The link between alcohol use and HNC and other negative outcomes has been well-documented around the world, although the exact pathways through which alcohol use leads to HNC are less clear. Despite recommendations from several national organizations for HNC survivors to reduce or eliminate alcohol use, evidence suggests that this population continues to use alcohol. In addition, we review the literature on: the multiple self-report tools used to capture alcohol use and abuse within HNC populations and beyond; the dose-response relationship between alcohol and the development of cancer; factors related to alcohol use in HNC survivors; traditional interventions and newer

technology-based interventions targeting alcohol use both within and outside of HNC; the alcohol reduction literature outside of HNC; primary prevention of alcohol use from a policy standpoint; and future directions for collaboration and research.

1.2 | Alcohol consumption and HNC around the globe

1.2.1 | United States and worldwide

It is estimated that 3.5% of cancer deaths in the United States and 4.2% of cancer deaths globally are directly attributable to alcohol intake.^{1,2} In particular, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has indicated that there is a causal relationship between alcohol and the development of many types of HNC and upper aerodigestive tract cancers,

including oral cavity, oropharyngeal, hypopharyngeal, esophageal, and laryngeal cancers.^{1,3-6} Evidence suggests that alcohol is responsible for 26.4% of all lip and oral cavity cancers, 30.5% of all other pharyngeal cancers, 21.6% of all laryngeal cancers, and 16.9% of all esophageal cancers.² Together with tobacco use, alcohol accounts for approximately 75% of oral cancers.⁷ A summary of epidemiological data concludes that, for mouth, pharynx, and larynx cancers, the percent increase in risk per daily drink is 30%, 30%, and 30%, respectively.⁸

1.2.2 | Europe

A population based study in Germany⁹ found that, in 2018, the cancer burden in Germany related to high alcohol consumption was approximately 9588 cases, or 2% of all incident cancers. The anatomical location that contributed the most to this estimate was cancer of the oral cavity and pharynx in men, with 3191 cancer cases, and a population-attributable fraction (ie, the proportion of these cancers that could be eliminated by avoiding alcohol) of 34%. Research conducted in Italy has estimated that between 25% and 50% of HNCs are attributable to the use of alcohol.¹⁰

1.2.3 | East Asia

Research from East Asian countries confirms a strong relationship between alcohol consumption and the development of HNC among Asian individuals. Of interest for Asian populations is research on the genetic polymorphisms including ALDH2 (aldehyde dehydrogenase) and ADH2 (alcohol dehydrogenase 2) which strongly affect the metabolism of alcohol and are especially common in many East Asian nations, putting these populations at particular risk of HNC due to alcohol drinking. A multicenter case control study of 921 East Asian patients with HNC and 806 control subjects indicated that HNC risks were elevated for alcohol consumption, resulting in an odds ratio = 2.29, and the combined attributable risk of tobacco and/or alcohol was 47.2% in this sample.¹¹ In another study of 811 HNC patients and 940 controls from Taiwan, alcohol was associated with increased risk for HNC, with the highest risk for hypopharyngeal cancer (89.1%) and oropharyngeal (55.7%) cancers. Alcohol explained 47.3% of HNCs in individuals with a genotype combination of slow ADH1B and slow/nonfunctional ALDH2.¹² In a study in Japan, the presence of ADH2 Arg/Arg and ALDH2 Glu/Lys were independently associated with increased risk of HNC, odds ratios of 2.67 and 1.66, respectively. The authors also found significant gene-environment interactions between the polymorphisms and alcohol drinking, ADH2 $P = .035$,

ALDH2 $P = .013$.¹³ Other evidence from Japan indicates heavy alcohol consumption accounts for 87.4% of HNC deaths.¹⁴ Research from Korea indicates smoking and alcohol use were more strongly related to larynx and hypopharynx cancers than other sites.¹⁵

1.3 | From alcohol use to HNC: how do we get there?

The pathway from alcohol consumption to the development of cancers is not entirely understood; however, research has demonstrated that alcohol permanently damages the DNA strands in the cell through acetaldehyde, a product of metabolizing alcohol. Other possible mechanisms through which alcohol leads to cancer are through nutritional deficiencies,¹⁶ genetic variations,¹⁷ and, for breast cancer in females, changes in estrogen pathways.¹⁸

1.4 | Alcohol consumption and other negative outcomes

1.4.1 | Second primary malignancies

Available data show that those who continue to consume alcohol following a primary HNC diagnosis are at increased risk of developing second primary malignancies. Based on data from a multicenter study of 13 population-based cancer registries, involving nearly 100 000 individuals with a primary HNC, about 13% of second primary tumors were alcohol-related cancers.¹⁹ In a multicenter study from the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium, consisting of over 4000 individuals with HNC, consuming greater than 1 drink per day increased the risk of second primary cancers among those with laryngeal cancer (hazard ratio: 2.11, 95% CI: 1.13-3.94).²⁰ This relationship was confirmed among individuals with cancer of the upper aerodigestive tract²¹; individuals continuing to consume alcohol postdiagnosis had a 1.3 times greater risk of developing a second primary tumor compared to those who did not use alcohol following initial diagnosis. Furthermore, consumption of more than 14 drinks per week was associated with a 50% increase in risk of developing a second primary tumor.

1.4.2 | Cancer recurrence

Available data suggest that individuals with HNC who continue to drink are not only at risk for developing a second primary tumor but are also at greater risk for cancer recurrence. In a single site, retrospective review study of

482 individuals treated for a primary HNC diagnosis, risk of recurrence was associated with consuming 8-14 alcoholic beverages per week.²² Further research is needed to elucidate the relationship between quantity of alcohol consumed and recurrence risks in survivors of HNC.

1.4.3 | Physical and psychosocial effects

Negative effects of continued alcohol consumption go beyond the development of second primary cancers and recurrences, however. Evidence suggests that HNC survivors who continue to use alcohol are at an increased risk of needing gastrostomy tube feeding in the future,²³ experiencing osteoradionecrosis of the jaw,²⁴ poor prognosis²⁵ as well as social effects such as unemployment²⁶ and work disability.²⁷ Indeed, other research²⁸ has shown that the absence of alcohol use by HNC survivors was significantly associated with better quality of life.²⁹

1.5 | Self-report tools to assess alcohol use

We identified multiple self-report tools in the literature used to capture alcohol use and abuse, some of which have been used with HNC samples but all of which could inform future research on alcohol consumption in HNC populations. These include: the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) and the AUDIT-C,^{30,31} both of which are alcohol screening tools to identify individuals who are hazardous drinkers or have active alcohol use disorders, the latter (ie, the AUDIT-C) being the shortened version of the former (ie, the AUDIT); the Tobacco, Alcohol, Prescription Medication and other Substance Use tool (TAPS),³² a brief assessment of problem use of commonly used substances, including alcohol, in the prior 90 days; the Brief DSM-5 Alcohol Use Disorder Diagnostic Assessment³³; and the Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test (MAST),^{34,35} a simple, self-scoring test that an individual can use to determine if he/she has a drinking problem.

Capturing accurate alcohol use data is a challenge, and there is mixed literature on the validity of self-report tools for accurately capturing alcohol use. Several studies indicate that self-report is a valid format for alcohol use data collection, as research has verified it is concordant with objective measures of alcohol use (eg, transdermal alcohol sensors, breath or saliva samples).³⁶⁻³⁸ Other research points to variations in validity based on the sample or the questions used. Overall, the literature shows that self-report tools—with adequately designed questions to avoid socially desirable responses—are an appropriate method of measurement in adult HNC populations.

1.6 | Dose-response relationship between alcohol use and HNC

Evidence has indicated a dose-response relationship between alcohol and the development of HNC. In one study, compared to abstainers or light drinkers, those consuming 3-4, 5-7, 8-11 or greater than 12 drinks per day had odds ratios of developing HNC of 2.1, 5.0, 12.2, and 21.1, respectively.³⁹ The pooled relative risk of developing HNC was 1.21 for those consuming less than 1 drink per day; this figure is in stark contrast to a relative risk of developing HNC of 5.24 for those consuming greater than 4 drinks per day.⁴⁰ A meta-analysis³ of the association between the amount of alcohol drinking and risk of HNC showed that heavy drinkers have a relative risk of 5.13 for developing oral cavity and pharynx cancers, compared to 1.13 for light drinkers and 1.83 for moderate drinkers. In addition, the relative risk for developing larynx cancers is 2.65 for heavy drinkers, 0.87 for light drinkers, and 1.44 for moderate drinkers.

2 | DISCUSSION

2.1 | Recommendations for alcohol consumption

Given this overwhelming evidence that alcohol consumption of varying degrees in HNC survivors is associated with the development of second primary malignancies, recurrences, and poor outcomes, several organizations have provided guidelines recommending that individuals with HNC reduce or avoid alcohol altogether. In 2016, the American Cancer Society published guidelines for HNC survivorship recommending that “primary care physicians counsel HNC survivors to achieve a dietary pattern that is high in fruits, vegetables, and whole grains, and low in saturated fats, sufficient in dietary fiber, and avoids alcohol consumption.”⁴¹ In addition, the World Cancer Research Fund recommends limiting alcohol consumption more generally, and for cancer prevention, not to drink alcohol at all.⁴² The American Institute for Cancer Research concurs, recommending abstinence from drinking alcohol to prevent cancer, and for those who do drink, limiting consumption to two standard drinks for men and one for women per day.⁴³ Finally, the American Society of Clinical Oncology recommends reduced alcohol consumption to prevent cancer.⁴⁴

2.2 | Continued alcohol-use behavior in HNC samples

Despite these strong recommendations, evidence suggests that HNC survivors continue to use alcohol throughout all

stages of the cancer trajectory, including after initial cancer diagnosis/during treatment, into early survivorship, and through long-term survivorship. A literature review on the prevalence and effects of alcohol consumption after oral cancer diagnosis indicates that between 34% and 57% of survivors of upper aerodigestive tract (HNC and esophageal cancers) continue to drink after diagnosis.⁴⁵ In a study⁴⁶ retrospectively assessing alcohol use before cancer diagnosis, compared to alcohol use immediately following a HNC diagnosis, the prevalence of alcohol use decreased by 16.7% and the proportion of risky drinkers decreased from 46.6% to 24.5%. While this is a considerable decrease, a quarter of the sample with newly diagnosed HNC continues to abuse alcohol. Other longitudinal research⁴⁷ suggests that 44.5% (n = 126) of individuals with HNC were still drinking 12 months after diagnosis, with 21.4% (n = 27) of these individuals categorized as problem drinkers (defined as those who scored 3 or more on the Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test). In another study of HNC survivors,²⁸ about 1/3 of the sample (34%) reported alcohol use at the time of the survey; respondents were, on average, 18.6 months from cancer diagnosis, with 30 individuals (51%) less than 6 months since diagnosis.

During early and long-term survivorship, a substantial proportion of HNC survivors continue to consume alcohol. In a population based study⁴⁸ of HNC survivors longer than 6 months posttreatment, there was a mere 13% drinking cessation rate; 62% of the sample considered themselves current drinkers. Of the current drinkers (n = 103), about a quarter of the sample (n = 27, 26%) reported that they currently consumed alcohol at least 4 times per week. A study of HNC survivors 5 years posttreatment reported that 38.9% continued to use alcohol.⁴⁹

2.3 | Factors related to alcohol consumption in HNC

There are several factors associated with increased alcohol use in HNC populations, including male gender, younger age, early-stage disease, fewer years of education, current smoking status and longer time since treatment.^{48,50} However, studies also suggest that HNC survivors are often unaware of the relationship between alcohol and HNC, and do not receive adequate information from their providers about the impact of alcohol on the disease course. In one study between 15% and 50% of those surveyed did not recall having received any recommendation regarding alcohol consumption.^{46,51} Thus, in addition to the less modifiable demographic factors, there may also be a relationship between being uninformed of alcohol's impact on HNC and the development of HNC.

2.4 | Interventions targeting alcohol consumption in HNC

Existing interventions have attempted to increase knowledge about alcohol as a risk factor for cancer, as well as have aimed to reduce alcohol consumption. One intervention study⁵¹ using an educational pamphlet showed that knowledge of alcohol abuse as a risk factor for cancer increased from 15% to 27% from prereading to postreading.

Few studies have aimed to reduce alcohol use specifically in the HNC population. In one study,⁵² researchers developed and tested a tailored smoking, alcohol, and depression nurse-administered intervention consisting of cognitive behavioral therapy and medications, compared to usual care, for individuals with HNC. Alcohol rates improved in both groups, but with no significant differences in percent of problem drinkers at 6-month. In a prospective randomized controlled trial of 105 individuals with HNC⁵³ focused on tobacco cessation, researchers compared a provider-delivered smoking cessation intervention with a usual-care-advice control condition; results showed that participants experienced reduced smoking but an increase in alcohol use 12 months postintervention.

2.5 | The alcohol-reduction literature outside of HNC

Given the sparse research on alcohol reduction interventions in HNC samples, and the lack of positive results from these interventions, it would behoove us, as oncologists and psycho-oncologists, to borrow and implement alcohol reduction strategies from other populations. There are a myriad of interventions to reduce alcohol use that have not been tested with HNC survivor samples but have demonstrated efficacy in other populations. Personalized Feedback interventions, for example, have been commonly used in alcohol research and involve informing individuals of their risk level, emphasizing the differences between one's risky behavior and the norm, and providing solutions to reduce risky behavior. These interventions have demonstrated efficacy in reducing alcohol use in Iraq veterans⁵⁴ and hazardous drinkers,⁵⁵ as well as reducing gambling behavior in problem gamblers.⁵⁶

2.6 | Use of technology in alcohol-reduction interventions

Advances in technology have made electronically administered interventions attractive as an alternative to interventions administered in a more traditional manner. Although not yet evaluated in HNC samples, existing literature on

electronically administered interventions indicates their utility and efficacy. A review of the current literature shows that individuals who drink are interested in using text message-based interventions⁵⁷ and smartphone-based applications⁵⁸ to reduce alcohol use. More specifically, use of an Addiction Comprehensive Health Enhancement Support System, a smartphone app, by those with alcohol dependence was high and sustained over time (94% used it in week one, 80% continued into week 16).⁵⁸

A further review indicates that electronic-based interventions are effective to reduce alcohol use in populations other than HNC. For example, Muench et al⁵⁹ found that daily automated texts can help problem drinkers reduce drinking frequency and quantity significantly more than weekly self-tracking messages. A meta-analytic review⁶⁰ of 28 electronic intervention trials for alcohol misuse and alcohol use disorders shows a small reduction in consumption (approximately 1 drink per week) in adults and college students at 6 months. More recently, a two-arm randomized controlled trial⁶¹ comparing an intervention group receiving a 6-week text message intervention and a control group that was referred to treatment as usual at the local student health care center showed that, at 3 month follow up, total weekly alcohol consumption decreased in both groups, but no significant between-group difference was seen, potentially due to lack of power and the need to refine the intervention.

In tandem, the FDA approved what it says is the first mobile app to help treat some substance use disorders. The reSET app is a form of cognitive behavioral therapy that gives individuals strategies to help them remain abstinent from using drugs and alcohol and keep up with their outpatient therapy programs. In a multisite 12-week clinical trial of 399 patients who received either standard treatment (control group) or standard treatment plus reSET (intervention group), a greater proportion of the intervention group, compared to the control group, exhibited abstinence, 40.3% vs 17.6%, respectively.⁶²

2.7 | Primary prevention of alcohol use outside of HNC

Some efforts have been devoted to primary prevention of alcohol-related HNC through preventing the use of alcohol through restrictive policy measures.⁶³ These efforts include: policies to tax alcohol,⁶³ limits on alcohol sales,⁶³ raising the minimum legal drinking age, more prominent warning labels about the harms of alcohol use, and decreasing accessibility and availability to alcohol.⁶⁴ Overall, primary prevention policies that incorporate multiple components, such as educational and environmental changes, have demonstrated greater success in reducing alcohol than educational programs alone.⁶⁴

2.8 | Conclusions and future directions for HNC populations

Our summary of the literature indicates that there is a strong need to forge collaboration among head and neck oncologists, behavioral scientists and alcohol researchers to address alcohol consumption in the growing HNC survivor population. As Mayne⁶⁵ suggests, as more people begin to survive first cancers, there is an increased need for science-based recommendations to improve survivorship. Outstanding questions remain, however, which need to be urgently addressed. First, it is unknown if there is a “safe” amount of alcohol consumption. Second, we do not know how to best counsel individuals with cancer about alcohol and many oncologists do not routinely discuss alcohol use with patients. Helping clinicians to initiate a conversation with patients may be an ideal place to start these alcohol reduction efforts. Third, interventions must be developed for HNC survivors at highest risk, as well as those in the moderate and heavy drinking level categories. Aggressive alcohol reduction education and interventions, including physicians, HNC patients and survivors, and their caregivers, need to be incorporated into HNC survivorship plans⁴⁹ and should take advantage of promising technological approaches. Finally, reward systems for abstaining from alcohol among cancer survivors need to be explored.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

ORCID

Allison Marziliano  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4762-1416>

REFERENCES

1. Nelson DE, Jarman DW, Rehm J, et al. Alcohol-attributable cancer deaths and years of potential life lost in the United States. *Am J Public Health*. 2013;103(4):641-648.
2. World Health Organization. *Global status report on alcohol and health*. Geneva 2018.
3. Bagnardi V, Rota M, Botteri E, et al. Alcohol consumption and site-specific cancer risk: a comprehensive dose-response meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer*. 2015;112(3):580-593.
4. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. In. Vol 100E. Lyon 2009.
5. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. In. Vol 96. Lyon 2007.
6. Hill C. Alcohol et risqué de cancer. *Gerontol Soc*. 2003;59-67.
7. Testino G. The burden of cancer attributable to alcohol consumption. *Maedica (Buchar)*. 2011;6(4):313-320.
8. Longnecker MP, Enger SM. Epidemiologic data on alcoholic beverage consumption and risk of cancer. *Clin Chim Acta*. 1996;246(1-2):121-141.

9. Mons U, Gredner T, Behrens G, Stock C, Brenner H. Cancers due to smoking and high alcohol consumption. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2018;115(35–36):571–577.
10. Franceschi S, Talamini R, Barra S, et al. Smoking and drinking in relation to cancers of the oral cavity, pharynx, larynx, and esophagus in northern Italy. *Cancer Res*. 1990;50(20):6502–6507.
11. Lee YA, Li S, Chen Y, et al. Tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking, betel quid chewing, and the risk of head and neck cancer in an East Asian population. *Head Neck*. 2019;41(1):92–102.
12. Huang CC, Hsiao JR, Lee WT, et al. Investigating the association between alcohol and risk of head and neck cancer in Taiwan. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):9701.
13. Hiraki A, Matsuo K, Wakai K, Suzuki T, Hasegawa Y, Tajima K. Gene-gene and gene-environment interactions between alcohol drinking habit and polymorphisms in alcohol-metabolizing enzyme genes and the risk of head and neck cancer in Japan. *Cancer Sci*. 2007;98(7):1087–1091.
14. Makimoto K, Oda H, Higuchi S. Is heavy alcohol consumption an attributable risk factor for cancer-related deaths among Japanese men? *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2000;24(3):382–385.
15. Kim KM, Kim YM, Shim YS, et al. Epidemiologic survey of head and neck cancers in Korea. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2003;18(1):80–87.
16. Boffetta P, Hashibe M. Alcohol and cancer. *Lancet Oncol*. 2006;7(2):149–156.
17. Scoccianti C, Straif K, Romieu I. Recent evidence on alcohol and cancer epidemiology. *Future Oncol*. 2013;9(9):1315–1322.
18. Cao Y, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Giovannucci EL. Light to moderate intake of alcohol, drinking patterns, and risk of cancer: results from two prospective US cohort studies. *BMJ*. 2015;351:h4238.
19. Chuang SC, Scelo G, Tonita JM, et al. Risk of second primary cancer among patients with head and neck cancers: a pooled analysis of 13 cancer registries. *Int J Cancer*. 2008;123(10):2390–2396.
20. Leoncini E, Vukovic V, Cadoni G, et al. Tumour stage and gender predict recurrence and second primary malignancies in head and neck cancer: a multicentre study within the INHANCE consortium. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2018;33(12):1205–1218.
21. Do KA, Johnson MM, Doherty DA, et al. Second primary tumors in patients with upper aerodigestive tract cancers: joint effects of smoking and alcohol (United States). *Cancer Causes Control*. 2003;14(2):131–138.
22. Cadoni G, Giraldo L, Petrelli L, et al. Prognostic factors in head and neck cancer: a 10-year retrospective analysis in a single-institution in Italy. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital*. 2017;37(6):458–466.
23. Simcock R, Simo R. Follow-up and survivorship in head and neck cancer. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)*. 2016;28(7):451–458.
24. Raguse JD, Hossamo J, Tinhofer I, et al. Patient and treatment-related risk factors for osteoradionecrosis of the jaw in patients with head and neck cancer. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol*. 2016;121(3):215–221.e211.
25. Sawabe M, Ito H, Oze A, et al. Heterogenous impact of alcohol consumption according to treatment method on survival in head and neck cancer: a prospective study. *Cancer Sci*. 2017;108:91–100.
26. Koch R, Wittekindt C, Altendorf-Hofmann A, Singer S, Guntinas-Lichius O. Employment pathways and work-related issues in head and neck cancer survivors. *Head Neck*. 2015;37(4):585–593.
27. Vartanian JG, Carvalho AL, Toyota J, Kowalski IS, Kowalski LP. Socioeconomic effects of and risk factors for disability in long-term survivors of head and neck cancer. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2006;132(1):32–35.
28. Rogers LQ, Courneya KS, Robbins KT, et al. Physical activity and quality of life in head and neck cancer survivors. *Support Care Cancer*. 2006;14(10):1012–1019.
29. Tedeschi RG, Calhoun LG. The posttraumatic growth inventory: measuring the positive legacy of trauma. *J Trauma Stress*. 1996;9(3):455–471.
30. Bradley KA, Bush KR, Epler AJ, et al. Two brief alcohol-screening tests from the alcohol use disorders identification test (AUDIT): validation in a female veterans affairs patient population. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003;163(7):821–829.
31. Bush K, Kivlahan DR, McDonnell MB, Fihn SD, Bradley KA. The AUDIT alcohol consumption questions (AUDIT-C): an effective brief screening test for problem drinking. Ambulatory care quality improvement project (ACQUIP). Alcohol use disorders identification test. *Arch Intern Med*. 1998;158(16):1789–1795.
32. McNeely J, Wu LT, Subramaniam G, et al. Performance of the tobacco, alcohol, prescription medication, and other substance use (TAPS) tool for substance use screening in primary care patients. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;165(10):690–699.
33. Hagman BT. Development and psychometric analysis of the brief DSM-5 alcohol use disorder diagnostic assessment: towards effective diagnosis in college students. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2017;31(7):797–806.
34. Selzer ML. The Michigan alcoholism screening test: the quest for a new diagnostic instrument. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1971;127(12):1653–1658.
35. Selzer ML, Vinokur A, van Rooijen L. A self-administered short Michigan alcoholism screening test (SMAST). *J Stud Alcohol*. 1975;36(1):117–126.
36. Campbell EM, Strickland JC. Reliability and validity of the brief DSM-5 alcohol use disorder diagnostic assessment: a systematic replication in a crowdsourced sample. *Addict Behav*. 2019;92:194–198.
37. Fleming KA, Bartholow BD, Hilgard J, et al. The alcohol sensitivity questionnaire: evidence for construct validity. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2016;40(4):880–888.
38. Chaikelson JS, Arbuckle TY, Lapidus S, Gold DP. Measurement of lifetime alcohol consumption. *J Stud Alcohol*. 1994;55(2):133–140.
39. Altieri A, Bosetti C, Gallus S, et al. Wine, beer and spirits and risk of oral and pharyngeal cancer: a case-control study from Italy and Switzerland. *Oral Oncol*. 2004;40(9):904–909.
40. Tramacere I, Negri E, Bagnardi V, et al. A meta-analysis of alcohol drinking and oral and pharyngeal cancers. Part 1: overall results and dose-risk relation. *Oral Oncol*. 2010;46(7):497–503.
41. Nekhlyudov L, Lacchetti C, Davis NB, et al. Head and neck cancer survivorship care guideline: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline endorsement of the American Cancer Society guideline. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35(14):1606–1621.

42. World Cancer Research Fund. Continuous Update Project Expert Report 2018.
43. Internal Agency for Research on Cancer. Alcohol and Cancer Risk. 2019. <http://www.iacr.org/reduce-your-cancer-risk/diet/alcohol-and-cancer-risk.html>. Accessed October 25, 2019.
44. LoConte NK, Brewster AM, Kaur JS, Merrill JK, Alberg AJ. Alcohol and cancer: a statement of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(1):83-93.
45. Miller PM, Day TA, Ravenel MC. Clinical implications of continued alcohol consumption after diagnosis of upper aerodigestive tract cancer. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 2006;41(2):140-142.
46. López-Pelayo H, Miquel L, Altamirano J, Blanch JL, Gual A, Lligoña A. Alcohol consumption in upper aerodigestive tract cancer: role of head and neck surgeons' recommendations. *Alcohol*. 2016;51:51-56.
47. Potash AE, Karnell LH, Christensen AJ, Vander Weg MW, Funk GF. Continued alcohol use in patients with head and neck cancer. *Head Neck*. 2010;32(7):905-912.
48. Schiller U, Inhestern J, Burger U, Singer S, Guntinas-Lichius O. Predictors of post-treatment smoking and drinking behavior of head and neck cancer survivors: results of a population-based survey. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2016;273(10):3337-3345.
49. Funk GF, Karnell LH, Christensen AJ. Long-term health-related quality of life in survivors of head and neck cancer. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2012;138(2):123-133.
50. Allison PJ. Factors associated with smoking and alcohol consumption following treatment for head and neck cancer. *Oral Oncol*. 2001;37(6):513-520.
51. Sommer L, Sommer DD, Goldstein DP, Irish JC. Patient perception of risk factors in head and neck cancer. *Head Neck*. 2009;31(3):355-360.
52. Duffy SA, Ronis DL, Valenstein M, et al. A tailored smoking, alcohol, and depression intervention for head and neck cancer patients. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2006;15(11):2203-2208.
53. Gritz ER, Carmack CL, de Moor C, et al. First year after head and neck cancer: quality of life. *J Clin Oncol*. 1999;17(1):352-360.
54. McDevitt-Murphy ME, Murphy JG, Williams JL, Monahan CJ, Bracken-Minor KL, Fields JA. Randomized controlled trial of two brief alcohol interventions for OEF/OIF veterans. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 2014;82(4):562-568.
55. Cunningham JA, Murphy M, Hendershot CS. Treatment dismantling pilot study to identify the active ingredients in personalized feedback interventions for hazardous alcohol use: randomized controlled trial. *Addict Sci Clin Pract*. 2014;10(1):1-5.
56. Cunningham JA, Hodgins DC, Toneatto T, Murphy M. A randomized controlled trial of a personalized feedback intervention for problem gamblers. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(2):e31586.
57. Kuerbis A, van Stolk-Cooke K, Muench FJ. Characteristics of online treatment seekers interested in a text messaging intervention for problem drinking: adults 51 and older versus middle-aged and younger adults. *Ment Health Addict Res*. 2017;2(2):1-13.
58. McTavish FM, Chih MY, Shah D, Gustafson DH. How patients recovering from alcoholism use a smartphone intervention. *J Dual Diagn*. 2012;8(4):294-304.
59. Muench F, van Stolk-Cooke K, Kuerbis A, et al. A randomized controlled pilot trial of different Mobile messaging interventions for problem drinking compared to weekly drink tracking. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(2):e0167900.
60. Dedert EA, McDuffie JR, Stein R, et al. Electronic interventions for alcohol misuse and alcohol use disorders: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015;163(3):205-214.
61. Thomas K, Bendtsen M, Linderoth C, Karlsson N, Bendtsen P, Müssener U. Short message service (SMS)-based intervention targeting alcohol consumption among university students: study protocol of a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. 2017;18(1):156.
62. FDA permits marketing of mobile medical application for substance use disorder [press release]. Maryland2017.
63. Frieden TR, Myers JE, Krauskopf MS, Farley TA. A public health approach to winning the war against cancer. *Oncologist*. 2008;13(12):1306-1313.
64. Kelly-Weeder S, Phillips K, Rounseville S. Effectiveness of public health programs for decreasing alcohol consumption. *Patient Intell*. 2011;2011(3):29-38.
65. Mayne ST, Cartmel B, Kirsh V, Goodwin WJ. Alcohol and tobacco use prediagnosis and postdiagnosis, and survival in a cohort of patients with early stage cancers of the oral cavity, pharynx, and larynx. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2009;18(12):3368-3374.

How to cite this article: Marziliano A, Teckie S, Diefenbach MA. Alcohol-related head and neck cancer: Summary of the literature. *Head & Neck*. 2020;42:732–738. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.26023>