

# The relationship between multiple myeloma and occupational exposure to six chlorinated solvents

Laura S Gold,<sup>1,2</sup> Patricia A Stewart,<sup>3</sup> Kevin Milliken,<sup>1,2</sup> Mark Purdue,<sup>4</sup> Richard Severson,<sup>5</sup> Noah Seixas,<sup>6</sup> Aaron Blair,<sup>4</sup> Patricia Hartge,<sup>4</sup> Scott Davis,<sup>1,2</sup> Anneclaire J De Roos<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Program in Epidemiology, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington School of Public Health, Seattle, Washington, USA

<sup>3</sup>Stewart Exposure Assessments LLC, Arlington, Virginia, USA

<sup>4</sup>Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Family Medicine and Public Health Sciences, Karmanos Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, University of Washington School of Public Health, Seattle, Washington, USA

## Correspondence to

Laura Gold, 1100 Fairview Avenue N, PO Box 19024, Seattle, WA 98109, USA; goldl@u.washington.edu

Accepted 9 June 2010

Published Online First 10 September 2010

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives** Few studies have examined whether exposure to chlorinated solvents is associated with multiple myeloma. We evaluated associations between multiple myeloma and occupational exposure to six chlorinated solvents: 1,1,1-trichloroethane, trichloroethylene (TCE), methylene chloride (DCM), perchloroethylene, carbon tetrachloride and chloroform.

**Methods** In-person interviews obtained occupational histories and information on jobs with likely solvent exposure. We assigned exposure metrics of probability, frequency, intensity and confidence using job-exposure matrices modified by job-specific questionnaire information. We used logistic regression to estimate ORs and 95% CIs for associations between multiple myeloma and ever exposure to each, and any, chlorinated solvent and analysed whether associations varied by duration and cumulative exposure. We also considered all occupations that were given the lowest confidence scores as unexposed and repeated all analyses.

**Results** Risk of multiple myeloma was elevated for subjects ever exposed to 1,1,1-trichloroethane (OR (95% CI): 1.8 (1.1 to 2.9)). Ever exposure to TCE or DCM also entailed elevated, but not statistically significant, risks of multiple myeloma; these became statistically significant when occupations with low confidence scores were considered unexposed (TCE: 1.7 (1.0 to 2.7); DCM: 2.0 (1.2 to 3.2)). Increasing cumulative exposure to perchloroethylene was also associated with increasing multiple myeloma risk. We observed non-significantly increased multiple myeloma risks with exposure to chloroform; however, few subjects were exposed.

**Conclusions** Evidence from this relatively large case-control study suggests that exposures to certain chlorinated solvents may be associated with increased incidence of multiple myeloma; however, the study is limited by relatively low participation (52%) among controls.

## INTRODUCTION

Multiple myeloma is an incurable plasma cell malignancy that accounts for about 20% of deaths from haematopoietic cancers and 2% of deaths from all cancers.<sup>1</sup> While the aetiology of multiple myeloma is poorly understood, several studies have reported associations between multiple myeloma and occupations that may entail exposure to chlorinated solvents, including chemical work,<sup>2–3</sup> construction work,<sup>4–5</sup> painting,<sup>6–8</sup> metal work,<sup>8–11</sup> farm work<sup>5 12–14</sup> and hairdressing.<sup>15–17</sup>

Few studies have specifically examined whether exposure to chlorinated solvents is associated with

## What this paper adds

- ▶ The aetiology of multiple myeloma is poorly understood.
- ▶ The purpose of this research was to examine relationships between occupational exposures to chlorinated solvents and multiple myeloma.
- ▶ This research provides evidence that certain chlorinated solvents, most notably trichloroethylene, are associated with increased incidence of multiple myeloma.
- ▶ While results were less clear, exposure to 1,1,1-trichloroethane, methylene chloride, perchloroethylene, carbon tetrachloride and chloroform also conferred increased risk of multiple myeloma in our population.

increased risk of multiple myeloma. No relationship has been found between trichloroethylene (TCE) and multiple myeloma,<sup>18–24</sup> but the studies were based on small numbers of multiple myeloma cases. Two small studies found increased risks associated with exposure to 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA).<sup>21 25</sup> In a recent cohort study, a fumigant mixture composed of carbon tetrachloride and carbon disulfide was significantly associated with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance,<sup>26</sup> a benign proliferation of plasma cells that likely precedes most multiple myeloma cases.<sup>27</sup> Additionally, other lymphohaematopoietic cancers that may have similar aetiologies to multiple myeloma have been linked to occupational exposure to chlorinated solvents. For example, TCE has been associated with increased risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL).<sup>22 28</sup> Occupational exposures to carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, methylene chloride (DCM) and perchloroethylene (PCE) have not been evaluated with respect to multiple myeloma risk, but PCE has been linked to NHL<sup>21 29</sup> and carbon tetrachloride has been associated with leukaemia<sup>30 31</sup> and NHL.<sup>32</sup>

Using occupational exposure information obtained from job-specific questionnaires in a population-based case-control study, we evaluated associations between multiple myeloma and exposure to six major chlorinated solvents that were widely used occupationally during the time period in which our study subjects were working: TCA, TCE, DCM, PCE, carbon tetrachloride and chloroform.

**METHODS****Identification of cases and controls**

Multiple myeloma cases were identified through the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) cancer registries in the Seattle-Puget Sound region of Washington and the Detroit metropolitan area of Michigan. These registries identified cases by reviewing medical records at all hospitals in the region, as well as by reviewing the records of selected pathology laboratories, oncologists, radiologists and state death certificates. The frequency of these reviews enabled the identification of cases within 1–2 months of diagnosis. Cases eligible to participate in this study were 35–74 years old and were diagnosed with multiple myeloma (ICD-O-2/3 9731:9732) between 1 January 2000 and 31 March 2002. Of the 365 cases who appeared eligible to participate in this study, 64 (18%) died before they could be contacted, 28 (8%) were unable to be located and the physicians of 18 (5%) refused. Of the remaining 255 who were contacted, 74 (29%) refused to participate, leaving a total of 181 cases. Thus, of cases who were alive, able to be located and whose eligibility could be confirmed, 71% (181/255) participated. Case participation was not associated with study site, age or gender. General population controls were selected from a case–control study of NHL that was undertaken at the same time and included the same geographical areas as used for multiple myeloma case recruitment.<sup>33</sup> Controls under 65 years of age were identified using random digit dialling; controls 65–74 years of age were identified from the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services files. We selected controls for our study from among the NHL study controls who (1) had not been previously diagnosed with multiple myeloma, plasmacytoma, NHL or HIV (the latter were excluded because of the association between the virus and lymphoma development),<sup>34</sup> (2) were between 35 and 74 years of age, (3) were identified as residents of the Detroit or Seattle-Puget Sound areas between September 1998 and December 2002, and (4) spoke English. Of the 1133 potential controls who were eligible to participate in this multiple myeloma study, 52% (481/1133) participated as NHL study controls. Control participation was not associated with study site or gender, but individuals in the youngest (35–50) and oldest (65–74) age groups were less likely to have participated than those in the middle age group. Informed consent was obtained from each participant before the interview and approval was obtained from the appropriate Institutional Review Boards.

**Exposure assessment**

In-person interviews were conducted using a computer-assisted personal interview program. Surrogates were not permitted to complete interviews on behalf of study participants but were allowed to aid in recalling occupational histories, dates and frequencies of exposures. Information on all jobs held for at least 1 year between 1941 for cases and 1946 for controls and the dates of enrolment in the study was collected. Participants reported job start and stop years, job titles and employers for every job they held since age 18. The participants were also asked to describe what the employer made or what service was provided, their main duties, and how many months per year and hours per week they worked in each job. Additionally, for 20 occupations with potential solvent exposure, job-specific questionnaires developed by an industrial hygienist were administered.<sup>35</sup> These included questions on the work environment (eg, ventilation practices), job-specific tasks performed by the subject, chemicals used and other factors relevant to exposure assessment.<sup>36</sup> To minimise interview time, job-specific modules

were administered only when participants held the relevant job for at least 2 years.

All jobs were coded according to the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) system.<sup>37</sup> In addition, all jobs held by each participant, regardless of whether job-specific questionnaires were used, were assessed for exposure to each of the six chlorinated solvents; a total of 2264 jobs were reviewed. Exposure metrics of probability, frequency, intensity and confidence were assigned by modifying job–exposure matrices (JEMs) based on the subjects' answers to the work history and the job module sections of the questionnaire. The JEMs were developed by an industrial hygienist after reviewing over 600 published papers and reports on chlorinated solvents (eg, see Bakke *et al*<sup>38</sup> and Gold *et al*<sup>39</sup>). These JEMs were developed for each decade for specific (1) industries, such as the chemical or rubber industry, (2) occupations, such as auto mechanics or hair dressers and (3) tasks, such as degreasing, gluing and painting.

Exposure probability was defined as the theoretical percentage of workers reporting the same information who would have been likely to have had exposure to the solvent. Probability was scored as: 0=<1% of subjects were likely to have had exposure; 1=1% to <10% of subjects were likely to have had exposure; 2=10% to <50% of subjects were likely to have had exposure; 3=50% to <90% of subjects were likely to have had exposure; and, 4=self-reported use or ≥90% of subjects were likely to have had exposure. For jobs with probability scores of at least 1, frequency and intensity scores were also assigned. Frequency was defined as the average hours per week of exposure to a particular solvent, averaged over the job, and was categorised as: 0=<15 min/week; 1=15 min to <1 h/week; 2=1 to 10 h/week; 3=>10 to 20 h/week; and 4=>20 h/week. The intensity score was the concentration of solvent estimated to have been in the subject's breathing zone, in parts per million (ppm), during the exposure period (not necessarily for a full shift) and was coded as: 1=<1 to 10 ppm; 2=>10 to 100 ppm; 3=>100 to 200 ppm; and 4=>200 ppm. Finally, the confidence level was assigned as: 1=literature contradictory or no information was available; 2=one metric (probability, frequency or intensity) was based on the literature or self-report; 3=two metrics were based on the literature or self-report; and, 4=all three metrics were based on the literature or directly from the subject's report.

Some general rules were applied across all jobs. If the subject reported information that contradicted the appropriate JEM scores, the subject-specific data were used. If chlorinated solvent exposure was not specifically mentioned but would normally have occurred when performing reported tasks (eg, an auto mechanic reported doing tune-ups and clutch or brake work, but did not report degreasing), the subject was assessed as having been exposed and given probability, frequency and intensity scores from the JEM, but the confidence score was lowered by 1. If a subject reported working in an industry that likely involved tasks entailing chlorinated solvent exposure (eg, auto manufacturing) and the job title indicated s/he was not likely to do hands-on work in the production area, the frequency and intensity scores from the JEMs were lowered by 1. If dermal exposure was likely (eg, the subject reported degreasing with a rag), the intensity score was raised by 1. All assignments were conducted by an occupational epidemiologist (LSG) and reviewed by an industrial hygienist (PAS); both were blinded to case–control status.

We did not have information about non-occupational sources of chlorinated solvent exposure, such as use of glues or paints for hobbies, so we were not able to include these in each subject's cumulative solvent exposure. However, we did not expect these

to be major sources of chlorinated solvent exposure relative to occupational exposures.

### Statistical analysis

We used unconditional logistic regression to estimate ORs and 95% CIs for associations between the risk of multiple myeloma and having ever been exposed (defined as a probability score of at least 2 in any job) to any of the six chlorinated solvents and to each of the six chlorinated solvents. We also analysed whether the risk of multiple myeloma varied by duration, using the summed total years worked in jobs considered exposed to each chlorinated solvent. We performed analyses examining cumulative exposure over each subject's lifetime (for each exposed job, the midpoint of intensity (in ppm) × the midpoint of frequency (in hours/week) × total years worked, summed over all exposed jobs), again for jobs with a probability score of 2 or greater for a particular solvent. Finally, assuming multiple myeloma develops over a number of years and recent exposures are less relevant than those that took place in the more distant past, we conducted analyses in which potential exposures that occurred within 10 years of the reference date were considered unexposed. Each of the continuous exposure metrics (duration, cumulative and lagged cumulative exposure) was categorised into four groups according to quartiles of the control exposure distribution. Tests of trend were conducted using a linear term for the median duration and cumulative scores among controls in each category. The reference group in each model consisted of study participants who were assessed to have never been exposed (probability <2 in all jobs) to that particular chlorinated solvent.

All models included the covariates gender, age (35–50 years (referent), 51–64 years and 65–74 years), race (only white (referent), any black, any Asian and other), education (less than 12 years (referent), 12–15 years and 16 or more years) and SEER site (Seattle and Detroit). Reported *p* values are two-sided and *p* values of <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

As a sensitivity analysis, we considered all occupations that were given confidence scores of 1 as unexposed and repeated all analyses. Evidence has shown that the main source of non-differential misclassification that falsely attenuates ORs towards the null is incorrect classification of unexposed individuals as exposed in population-based case–control studies in which the prevalence of exposure is low. Incorrectly classifying an equal proportion of exposed individuals as unexposed has been reported not to have substantial effects on risk estimates.<sup>40–42</sup> We also performed an additional sub-analysis with stratification by SEER site to evaluate whether the different case-to-control ratios in Seattle and Detroit influenced our results because a greater proportion of controls than cases were from Seattle and the prevalence of industries, occupations and chlorinated solvent exposures also differed by study site. For the site-stratified results, we note associations that were present in only one site. Finally, because uses of TCA, TCE, DCM and carbon tetrachloride may have been correlated, we report the percentages of control subjects exposed to these chemicals alone and to two of these chemicals and provide an estimate of the association with multiple myeloma for subjects who were exposed to all four.

### RESULTS

One case was excluded because of missing covariate information, leaving 180 cases and 481 controls in our final study population. Cases were more likely to be male (55%) than female (45%), were frequently age 65 and older (39%), African American (28%), and most (85%) had at least 12 years of education. Overall, the distributions of sex, age, education and

race were similar between cases and controls in Seattle. In Detroit, sex and education were similarly distributed between cases and controls, but cases were more likely than controls to be in the middle versus the oldest age group and were less likely to be of white race only.

Among the most commonly held occupations, management and administrative occupations (SOCs 12, 13 and 14; *n*=190 jobs reported) tended not to entail exposure to any of the chlorinated solvents, but occupations such as mechanics and repairers (SOC 61; *n*=79 jobs reported), construction trades (SOC 64; *n*=70 jobs reported), machine operators and tenders (SOCs 75 and 76; *n*=128 jobs reported), fabricators, assemblers and hand working occupations (SOC 77; *n*=85 jobs reported) and handlers, equipment cleaners and labourers (SOC 87; *n*=84 jobs reported) generally were assessed as entailing exposure to one or more of the chlorinated solvents.

Exposure to any of the six chlorinated solvents of interest was associated with a statistically significant increased risk of multiple myeloma (OR (95% CI): 1.5 (1.0 to 2.3), data not shown). Associations between exposure to each of the six chlorinated solvents and the risk of multiple myeloma are shown in tables 1–6. Risk of multiple myeloma was significantly elevated for subjects ever exposed to TCA (OR (95% CI): 1.8 (1.1 to 2.9), table 1). However, multiple myeloma risk did not increase by exposure duration or with increased cumulative exposure; in fact, the highest increased risk was observed in the second highest categories for each. Similar results were seen when jobs with low confidence scores were considered unexposed, except the highest increased risk was seen in the first exposure categories for the cumulative and lagged cumulative scores (table 1).

Exposure to TCE in any job entailed elevated, but not statistically significant, risk of multiple myeloma (OR (95% CI): 1.4 (0.9 to 2.1), table 2) and this result was seen only in subjects from Detroit (data not shown). Multiple myeloma risk associated with TCE exposure showed increases in magnitude by increasing cumulative exposure and lagged cumulative exposure, although these trends were not statistically significant. When occupations that had low confidence scores were included in the unexposed category, the increased multiple myeloma risk associated with ever-exposure to TCE became statistically significant (OR (95% CI): 1.7 (1.0 to 2.7), table 2) and showed a trend of increasing risk with increasing duration of exposure in which the highest OR was seen in the third highest duration category. Increasing cumulative exposure to TCE was also associated with a statistically significant trend of increasing risk of multiple myeloma in the analysis with low confidence exposures recoded as unexposed and this trend remained when cumulative exposure scores were lagged by 10 years, with the highest risks in the highest categories.

Ever-exposure to DCM was associated with elevated risk of multiple myeloma that was not statistically significant (OR (95% CI): 1.5 (0.9 to 2.3), table 3). The increased multiple myeloma risk associated with DCM exposure did not show an apparent trend by increased duration or cumulative exposure. However, when occupations that had low confidence scores were included in the unexposed category, the increased multiple myeloma risks associated with ever-exposure to DCM became statistically significant (OR (95% CI): 2.0 (1.2 to 3.2), table 3) and showed a significant trend of increasing risk with increasing duration of exposure, with the highest OR in the third highest duration category. In these analyses with jobs given low confidence scores considered unexposed, there was no trend of risk with increasing cumulative or lagged cumulative exposure (table 3).

**Table 1** ORs\* and 95% CIs for multiple myeloma and occupational exposure to 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA)

	Primary analysis				Reanalysis: jobs assessed with low confidence considered unexposed		
	Controls (n=481)	Cases (n=180)	OR (95% CI)		Controls (n=481)	Cases (n=180)	OR (95% CI)
Ever exposed†	65 (14%)	36 (20%)	1.8 (1.1 to 2.9)	Ever exposed†	23 (4.8%)	17 (9.4%)	2.2 (1.1 to 4.4)
Duration				Duration			
Unexposed	416 (86%)	144 (80%)	Referent	Unexposed	458 (95%)	163 (91%)	Referent
1–3 years	16 (3.3%)	7 (3.9%)	1.6 (0.6 to 4.3)	1–5 years	9 (1.8%)	5 (2.8%)	1.8 (0.6 to 5.7)
4–8 years	16 (3.3%)	11 (6.1%)	2.3 (1.0 to 5.3)	6–16 years	3 (0.6%)	6 (3.3%)	6.7 (1.5 to 29)
9–21 years	15 (3.1%)	11 (6.1%)	1.9 (0.8 to 4.5)	17–25 years	7 (1.5%)	4 (2.2%)	1.6 (0.4 to 6.0)
22–45 years	18 (3.7%)	7 (3.9%)	1.3 (0.5 to 3.3)	26–45 years	4 (0.8%)	2 (1.1%)	1.3 (0.2 to 7.4)
			p Trend 0.17				p Trend 0.27
Cumulative exposure‡ §				Cumulative exposure‡ §			
Unexposed	416 (86%)	144 (80%)	Referent	Unexposed	458 (95%)	163 (91%)	Referent
1–53	17 (3.5%)	7 (3.9%)	1.7 (0.7 to 4.4)	1–378	5 (1.0%)	5 (2.8%)	3.7 (1.0 to 13)
54–605	16 (3.3%)	10 (5.6%)	2.2 (0.9 to 5.3)	379–1938	6 (1.3%)	2 (1.1%)	1.1 (0.2 to 5.8)
606–3750	16 (3.3%)	8 (4.4%)	1.4 (0.5 to 3.4)	1939–10012	6 (1.3%)	6 (3.3%)	3.0 (0.9 to 10)
3751–57000	16 (3.3%)	11 (6.1%)	1.9 (0.8 to 4.4)	10013–57000	6 (1.3%)	4 (2.2%)	1.5 (0.4 to 5.8)
			p Trend 0.19				p Trend 0.33
10-Year lagged cumulative exposure‡ §				10-Year lagged cumulative exposure‡ §			
Unexposed	417 (87%)	147 (82%)	Referent	Unexposed	459 (95%)	164 (91%)	Referent
1–49	16 (3.3%)	7 (3.9%)	1.8 (0.7 to 4.6)	1–303	6 (1.3%)	5 (2.8%)	3.1 (0.9 to 11)
50–342	16 (3.3%)	7 (3.9%)	1.5 (0.6 to 3.3)	304–1690	5 (1.0%)	0	NA
343–2781	16 (3.3%)	8 (4.4%)	1.3 (0.5 to 3.3)	1691–4500	6 (1.3%)	5 (2.8%)	2.3 (0.6 to 8.0)
2782–49500	16 (3.3%)	11 (6.1%)	1.8 (0.8 to 4.1)	4501–49500	5 (1.0%)	6 (3.3%)	2.8 (0.8 to 9.9)
			p Trend 0.21				p Trend 0.07

\*All models adjusted for age, race, study site, gender and years of education.

†Ever exposed defined as having at least one job with a probability score of 2 for exposure to TCA and all jobs with a probability score of <2 were considered as unexposed.

‡Some participants were unexposed in the cumulative scores but not the ever/never and duration analyses because they were exposed for <15 min per week and therefore had a frequency of 0.

§Cumulative score calculated by multiplying the midpoint of the intensity (in ppm) by the midpoint of the frequency (in hours/week) by the number of years worked in each exposed job.

Subjects ever-exposed to PCE were at slightly elevated, but not statistically significant, risk of multiple myeloma when the low confidence assessments were considered either exposed (OR

(95% CI): 1.4 (0.9 to 2.4)) or unexposed (OR (95% CI): 1.5 (0.8 to 2.9), table 4). Trends of increasing risk with increasing duration of exposure were not statistically significant, but we did

**Table 2** ORs\* and 95% CIs for multiple myeloma and occupational exposure to trichloroethylene (TCE)

	Primary analysis				Reanalysis: jobs assessed with low confidence considered unexposed		
	Controls (n=481)	Cases (n=180)	OR (95% CI)		Controls (n=481)	Cases (n=180)	OR (95% CI)
Ever exposed†	138 (29%)	66 (37%)	1.4 (0.9 to 2.1)	Ever exposed†	75 (16%)	43 (24%)	1.7 (1.0 to 2.7)
Duration				Duration			
Unexposed	343 (71%)	114 (63%)	Referent	Unexposed	406 (84%)	137 (76%)	Referent
1–4 years	32 (6.7%)	13 (7.2%)	1.4 (0.7 to 3.0)	1–4 years	23 (4.8%)	6 (3.3%)	0.9 (0.3 to 2.4)
5–11 years	35 (7.3%)	16 (8.9%)	1.3 (0.6 to 2.5)	5–7 years	15 (3.1%)	6 (3.3%)	1.3 (0.5 to 3.6)
12–29 years	36 (7.5%)	23 (13%)	1.5 (0.8 to 2.8)	8–24 years	19 (4.0%)	20 (11%)	2.5 (1.2 to 5.1)
30–51 years	35 (7.3%)	14 (7.8%)	1.2 (0.6 to 2.6)	25–47 years	18 (3.7%)	11 (6.1%)	1.9 (0.8 to 4.5)
			p Trend 0.41				p Trend 0.04
Cumulative exposure‡ §				Cumulative exposure‡ §			
Unexposed	345 (72%)	116 (64%)	Referent	Unexposed	409 (85%)	139 (77%)	Referent
1–318	35 (7.3%)	15 (8.3%)	1.3 (0.7 to 2.6)	1–471	18 (3.7%)	6 (3.3%)	1.1 (0.4 to 2.9)
319–2218	33 (6.9%)	11 (6.1%)	1.0 (0.4 to 2.1)	472–3000	20 (4.2%)	11 (6.1%)	1.6 (0.7 to 3.5)
2219–7793	34 (7.1%)	14 (7.8%)	1.3 (0.8 to 2.6)	3001–7644	16 (3.3%)	7 (3.9%)	1.5 (0.6 to 3.9)
7794–57000	34 (7.1%)	24 (13%)	1.7 (0.9 to 3.3)	7645–57000	18 (3.7%)	17 (9.4%)	2.3 (1.1 to 5.0)
			p Trend 0.10				p Trend 0.03
10-Year lagged cumulative exposure‡ §				10-Year lagged cumulative exposure‡ §			
Unexposed	345 (72%)	116 (64%)	Referent	Unexposed	409 (85%)	139 (77%)	Referent
1–311	34 (7.1%)	16 (8.9%)	1.4 (0.7 to 2.8)	1–415	18 (3.7%)	6 (3.3%)	1.1 (0.4 to 2.9)
312–2089	34 (7.1%)	11 (6.1%)	0.9 (0.4 to 2.0)	416–3000	20 (4.2%)	11 (6.1%)	1.6 (0.7 to 3.5)
2090–7285	34 (7.1%)	12 (6.7%)	1.1 (0.5 to 2.4)	3001–6592	16 (3.3%)	6 (3.3%)	1.4 (0.5 to 3.8)
7286–50000	34 (7.1%)	25 (14%)	1.8 (0.9 to 3.4)	6593–49500	18 (3.7%)	18 (10%)	2.3 (1.1 to 5.0)
			p Trend 0.08				p Trend 0.02

\*All models adjusted for age, race, study site, gender and years of education.

†Ever exposed defined as having at least one job with a probability score of 2 for exposure to TCE and all jobs with a probability score of <2 were considered as unexposed.

‡Some participants were unexposed in the cumulative scores but not the ever/never and duration analyses because they were exposed for <15 min per week and therefore had a frequency of 0.

§Cumulative score calculated by multiplying the midpoint of the intensity (in ppm) by the midpoint of the frequency (in hours/week) by the number of years worked in each exposed job.

**Table 3** ORs\* and 95% CIs for multiple myeloma and occupational exposure to methylene chloride (DCM)

	Primary analysis			Reanalysis: jobs assessed with low confidence considered unexposed		
	Controls (n = 481)	Cases (n = 180)	OR (95% CI)	Controls (n = 481)	Cases (n = 180)	OR (95% CI)
Ever exposed†	91 (19%)	47 (26%)	1.5 (0.9 to 2.3)	54 (11%)	37 (21%)	2.0 (1.2 to 3.2)
Duration						
Unexposed	390 (81%)	133 (74%)	Referent	427 (89%)	143 (79%)	Referent
1–4 years	22 (4.6%)	9 (5.0%)	1.2 (0.5 to 2.9)	15 (3.1%)	8 (4.4%)	2.0 (0.8 to 5.1)
5–11 years	19 (4.0%)	11 (6.1%)	1.8 (0.8 to 4.1)	15 (3.1%)	6 (3.3%)	1.1 (0.4 to 3.1)
12–29 years	26 (5.4%)	17 (9.4%)	1.8 (0.9 to 3.5)	11 (2.3%)	13 (7.2%)	2.7 (1.1 to 6.5)
30–51 years	24 (5.0%)	10 (5.6%)	1.1 (0.5 to 2.6)	13 (2.7%)	10 (5.6%)	2.1 (0.9 to 5.2)
			p Trend 0.35			p Trend 0.01
Cumulative exposure‡ S						
Unexposed	392 (82%)	135 (75%)	Referent	430 (89%)	144 (80%)	Referent
1–318	22 (4.6%)	7 (3.9%)	1.2 (0.5 to 2.9)	12 (2.5%)	5 (2.8%)	1.6 (0.5 to 4.7)
319–2218	22 (4.6%)	17 (9.4%)	2.2 (1.1 to 4.6)	14 (2.9%)	13 (7.2%)	2.8 (1.2 to 6.6)
2219–7793	23 (4.8%)	7 (3.9%)	0.8 (0.3 to 1.9)	13 (2.7%)	8 (4.4%)	1.6 (0.6 to 3.8)
7794–57000	22 (4.6%)	14 (7.8%)	1.6 (0.8 to 3.4)	12 (2.5%)	10 (5.6%)	2.4 (1.0 to 5.9)
			p Trend 0.27			p Trend 0.08
10-Year lagged cumulative exposure‡ S						
Unexposed	398 (83%)	138 (77%)	Referent	437 (91%)	147 (82%)	Referent
1–311	21 (4.4%)	8 (4.4%)	1.4 (0.6 to 3.3)	11 (2.3%)	4 (2.2%)	1.3 (0.4–4.4)
312–2089	20 (4.2%)	12 (6.7%)	1.6 (0.7 to 3.6)	11 (2.3%)	10 (5.6%)	2.9 (1.1–7.5)
2090–7285	22 (4.6%)	10 (5.6%)	1.2 (0.5 to 2.8)	11 (2.3%)	9 (5.0%)	1.9 (0.7–5.0)
7286–50000	20 (4.2%)	12 (6.7%)	1.5 (0.7 to 3.2)	11 (2.3%)	10 (5.6%)	2.4 (1.0–6.1)
			p Trend 0.39			p Trend 0.06

\*All models adjusted for age, race, study site, gender and years of education.

†Ever exposed defined as having at least one job with a probability score of 2 for exposure to DCM and all jobs with a probability score of &lt;2 were considered as unexposed.

‡Some participants were unexposed in the cumulative scores but not the ever/never and duration analyses because they were exposed for &lt;15 min per week and therefore had a frequency of 0.

§Cumulative score calculated by multiplying the midpoint of the intensity (in ppm) by the midpoint of the frequency (in hours/week) by the number of years worked in each exposed job.

**Table 4** ORs\* and 95% CIs for multiple myeloma and occupational exposure to perchloroethylene (PCE)

	Primary analysis				Reanalysis: jobs assessed with low confidence considered unexposed		
	Controls (n = 481)	Cases (n = 180)	OR (95% CI)		Controls (n = 481)	Cases (n = 180)	OR (95% CI)
Ever exposed†	63 (13%)	29 (16%)	1.4 (0.9 to 2.4)	Ever exposed†	28 (5.8%)	16 (8.9%)	1.5 (0.8 to 2.9)
Duration				Duration			
Unexposed	418 (87%)	151 (84%)	Referent	Unexposed	453 (94%)	164 (91%)	Referent
1–4 years	19 (4.0%)	4 (2.2%)	0.8 (0.3 to 2.5)	1–4 years	9 (1.9%)	3 (1.7%)	0.9 (0.2 to 3.5)
5–11 years	14 (2.9%)	8 (4.4%)	1.9 (0.8 to 4.9)	5–7 years	5 (1.0%)	3 (1.7%)	2.0 (0.4 to 9.2)
12–29 years	13 (2.7%)	8 (4.4%)	1.5 (0.6 to 3.9)	8–24 years	7 (1.5%)	4 (2.2%)	1.3 (0.3 to 4.6)
30–51 years	17 (3.5%)	9 (5.0%)	1.6 (0.7 to 3.9)	25–47 years	7 (1.5%)	6 (3.3%)	2.1 (0.7 to 6.8)
			p Trend 0.20				p Trend 0.18
Cumulative exposure‡ §				Cumulative exposure‡ §			
Unexposed	419 (87%)	151 (84%)	Referent	Unexposed	453 (94%)	164 (91%)	Referent
1–318	16 (3.3%)	5 (2.8%)	1.3 (0.4 to 3.8)	1–353	7 (1.5%)	1 (0.6%)	0.3 (0.04 to 3.0)
319–2218	15 (3.1%)	6 (3.3%)	1.4 (0.5 to 3.8)	354–1430	7 (1.5%)	1 (0.6%)	0.5 (0.1 to 4.4)
2219–7793	16 (3.3%)	4 (2.2%)	0.7 (0.2 to 2.1)	1431–4875	7 (1.5%)	4 (2.2%)	1.5 (0.4 to 5.4)
7794–57000	15 (3.1%)	14 (7.8%)	2.5 (1.1 to 5.4)	4876–13500	7 (1.5%)	10 (5.6%)	3.3 (1.2 to 9.5)
			p Trend 0.04				p Trend 0.02
10-Year lagged cumulative exposure‡ §				10-Year lagged cumulative exposure‡ §			
Unexposed	424 (88%)	154 (86%)	Referent	Unexposed	457 (95%)	164 (91%)	Referent
1–311	13 (2.7%)	4 (2.2%)	1.2 (0.4 to 3.8)	1–194	6 (1.3%)	1 (0.6%)	0.4 (0.04 to 3.2)
312–2089	17 (3.5%)	4 (2.2%)	0.7 (0.2 to 2.3)	195–1586	6 (1.3%)	1 (0.6%)	0.5 (0.1 to 4.7)
2090–7285	13 (2.7%)	5 (2.8%)	1.1 (0.4 to 3.3)	1587–4875	6 (1.3%)	4 (2.2%)	1.7 (0.5 to 6.5)
7286–50000	14 (2.9%)	13 (7.2%)	2.5 (1.1 to 5.6)	4876–13500	6 (1.3%)	10 (5.6%)	4.1 (1.4 to 12)
			p Trend 0.03				p Trend 0.01

\*All models adjusted for age, race, study site, gender and years of education.

†Ever exposed defined as having at least one job with a probability score of 2 for exposure to PCE and all jobs with a probability score of <2 were considered as unexposed.

‡Some participants were unexposed in the cumulative scores but not the ever/never and duration analyses because they were exposed for <15 min per week and therefore had a frequency of 0.

§Cumulative score calculated by multiplying the midpoint of the intensity (in ppm) by the midpoint of the frequency (in hours/week) by the number of years worked in each exposed job.

observe statistically significant trends for increasing cumulative and lagged cumulative exposure both when the low confidence occupations were considered exposed and unexposed. In all cumulative exposure analyses of PCE, the highest ORs were seen in the highest exposure categories (table 4).

Exposure to carbon tetrachloride was not associated with an increased risk of multiple myeloma when jobs with low confidence scores were included among the exposed (OR (95% CI): 1.1 (0.7 to 1.8), table 5), but when these jobs were included among the unexposed, we observed a non-statistically significant increase in risk of multiple myeloma (OR (95% CI): 1.6 (0.8 to 3.0)) and a trend of significantly increased risk with increasing duration of exposure that was only observed among Seattle participants (data not shown). We did not observe trends of increased risk with increasing cumulative or lagged cumulative exposure to carbon tetrachloride.

We did not observe an increased risk of multiple myeloma with exposure to chloroform when jobs with low confidence scores were considered exposed (OR (95% CI): 1.4 (0.7 to 2.8), table 6). However, exposure to chloroform was associated with elevated, but not statistically significant, risk of multiple myeloma when subjects with low confidence were considered unexposed (OR (95% CI): 2.5 (0.8 to 7.6), table 6). We did not observe significant trends with increased duration or cumulative exposure to chloroform when jobs with low confidence scores were considered exposed or unexposed, but the highest ORs were in the highest exposed categories in these analyses. However, few subjects were assessed as exposed to chloroform (n=41) and we were not able to examine site-specific trends.

Finally, we found that 16% of controls were exposed to both TCE and carbon tetrachloride (13% were exposed to TCE but not carbon tetrachloride; 2.5% were exposed to carbon tetrachloride but not TCE); 13% of controls were exposed to both TCE and DCM (16% were exposed to TCE but not DCM; 6.2%

were exposed to DCM but not TCE); 11% of controls were exposed to TCA and TCA (17% were exposed to TCE but not TCA; 2.1% were exposed to TCA but not TCE); 9.1% of controls were exposed to carbon tetrachloride and DCM (9.1% were exposed to carbon tetrachloride but not DCM; 9.8% were exposed to DCM but not carbon tetrachloride); 11% were exposed to DCM and TCA (2.5% were exposed to TCA but not DCM; 7.9% were exposed to DCM but not TCA); 6.7% were exposed to carbon tetrachloride and TCA (12% were exposed to carbon tetrachloride but not TCA; 6.9% were exposed to TCA but not carbon tetrachloride). Ever exposure to TCE, TCA, DCM and carbon tetrachloride was non-significantly associated with increased risk of multiple myeloma (OR (95% CI): 1.7 (0.8 to 3.5), data not shown).

## DISCUSSION

Evidence from this relatively large case-control study suggests that exposures to certain chlorinated solvents were associated with increased incidence of multiple myeloma. We observed elevated risk estimates for each of the six chlorinated solvents we examined; however, increased risks were not always statistically significant and we did not always observe linear exposure-response patterns. The most consistent results were observed for TCE, with multiple myeloma risk increasing with greater exposure when low confidence exposure assignments were considered unexposed. We also observed elevated risks for multiple myeloma from exposure to TCA, DCM and PCE; however, all showed non-linear exposure-response gradients, in which the highest risks were associated with moderate, rather than high, exposure in at least one analysis. While a non-linear dose-response is biologically possible, the more traditional expectation is that risks rise with increasing levels of exposure. Exposure to carbon tetrachloride was associated with elevated, but usually not statistically significant, increased risk of multiple myeloma, with a significant trend of

**Table 5** ORs\* and 95% CIs for multiple myeloma and occupational exposure to carbon tetrachloride

	Primary analysis			Reanalysis: jobs assessed with low confidence considered unexposed			
	Controls (n = 481)	Cases (n = 180)	OR (95% CI)	Controls (n = 481)	Cases (n = 180)	OR (95% CI)	
Ever exposed†	88 (18%)	33 (18%)	1.1 (0.7 to 1.8)	Ever exposed†	37 (7.7%)	17 (9.4%)	1.6 (0.8 to 3.0)
Duration				Duration			
Unexposed	393 (82%)	147 (82%)	Referent	Unexposed	444 (92%)	163 (91%)	Referent
1–2 years	14 (2.9%)	1 (0.6%)	0.2 (0.03 to 1.9)	1–4 years	18 (3.7%)	4 (2.2%)	0.8 (0.3 to 2.6)
3–4 years	26 (5.4%)	6 (3.3%)	0.7 (0.3 to 1.7)	5–7 years	5 (1.0%)	3 (1.7%)	1.7 (0.4 to 7.6)
5–11 years	26 (5.4%)	16 (8.9%)	1.7 (0.8 to 3.5)	8–24 years	10 (2.1%)	4 (2.2%)	1.1 (0.3 to 4.0)
12–44 years	22 (4.6%)	10 (5.6%)	1.4 (0.6 to 3.2)	25–42 years	4 (0.8%)	6 (3.3%)	6.9 (1.8 to 27)
			p Trend 0.30				p Trend 0.01
Cumulative exposure‡ §				Cumulative exposure‡ §			
Unexposed	399 (83%)	148 (82%)	Referent	Unexposed	449 (93%)	164 (91%)	Referent
1–204	20 (4.2%)	8 (4.4%)	1.4 (0.6 to 3.4)	1–215	8 (1.7%)	2 (1.1%)	0.9 (0.2 to 4.6)
205–1280	21 (4.4%)	5 (2.8%)	0.7 (0.2 to 1.9)	216–1500	9 (1.9%)	4 (2.2%)	1.5 (0.4 to 5.1)
1281–3750	24 (5.0%)	7 (3.9%)	0.8 (0.3 to 2.1)	1501–5625	7 (1.5%)	6 (3.3%)	3.2 (1.0 to 10)
3751–48000	17 (3.5%)	12 (6.7%)	1.9 (0.8 to 4.4)	5626–48000	8 (1.7%)	4 (2.2%)	1.3 (0.4 to 4.7)
			p Trend 0.16				p Trend 0.51
10-Year lagged cumulative exposure‡ §				10-Year lagged cumulative exposure‡ §			
Unexposed	399 (83%)	148 (82%)	Referent	Unexposed	449 (93%)	164 (91%)	Referent
1–204	20 (4.2%)	9 (5.0%)	1.6 (0.7 to 3.9)	1–215	8 (1.7%)	3 (1.7%)	1.5 (0.4 to 5.9)
205–1280	21 (4.4%)	5 (2.8%)	0.7 (0.2 to 1.8)	216–1500	9 (1.9%)	4 (2.2%)	1.4 (0.4 to 4.9)
1281–3750	24 (5.0%)	7 (3.9%)	0.8 (0.3 to 2.1)	1501–5625	7 (1.5%)	5 (2.8%)	2.6 (0.8 to 8.8)
3751–48000	17 (3.5%)	11 (6.1%)	1.7 (0.7 to 4.1)	5626–48000	8 (1.7%)	4 (2.2%)	1.3 (0.4 to 4.7)
			p Trend 0.29				p Trend 0.52

\*All models adjusted for age, race, study site, gender and years of education.

†Ever exposed defined as having at least one job with a probability score of 2 for exposure to carbon tetrachloride and all jobs with a probability score of <2 were considered as unexposed.

‡Some participants were unexposed in the cumulative scores but not the ever/never and duration analyses because they were exposed for <15 min per week and therefore had a frequency of 0.

§Cumulative score calculated by multiplying the midpoint of the intensity (in ppm) by the midpoint of the frequency (in hours/week) by the number of years worked in each exposed job.

increasing risk with greater duration of exposure when low confidence exposure assignments were considered unexposed. We also observed a suggestion that exposure to chloroform was associated with increased multiple myeloma risk; however, few subjects in our study were exposed to chloroform.

The most common task that entailed exposure to TCA, TCE and carbon tetrachloride was degreasing of metal parts. Many of the subjects who were considered as having been possibly exposed to these chemicals through degreasing were machine operators and were employed at automotive manufacturing companies in Detroit and at aircraft manufacturing companies and in the military in Seattle. Workers at auto plants in Detroit and at aeroplane plants in Seattle who were involved in finishing tasks such as packaging were assessed as possibly exposed to TCA and TCE through glues. Janitors and custodians were considered to have been possibly exposed to TCE through the use of furniture polishing and floor-stripping products.

Possible exposure to DCM and PCE likely occurred most often through the use of glues. In Detroit, subjects who worked at automotive manufacturing companies were assessed to have possibly used glues on assembly lines or when packaging products, whereas in Seattle, glues were more often associated with aircraft manufacturing companies and tyre repair at service stations. Another commonly assessed source of possible exposure to DCM and PCE was degreasing in car garages and in aircraft manufacturing plants. Janitors and cleaners were considered to have been exposed to DCM through the use of cleaning products. Although a less common source of PCE exposure than glues, work in the dry cleaning industry was associated with high intensity PCE exposure.

Although previous studies have not examined exposure to chlorinated solvents and multiple myeloma specifically, associations between occupations that might entail exposure to

chlorinated solvents and multiple myeloma have been noted. A meta-analysis of associations between chemical workers and many types of cancer found these workers were at significantly increased risk of multiple myeloma (meta-standardised incidence ratio (95% CI): 2.2 (1.3 to 3.8)),<sup>2</sup> but particular exposures that these workers had that may have led to multiple myeloma were not clear from this study. Many studies have found that occupation in agriculture was associated with increased risk of multiple myeloma,<sup>12</sup> but these jobs tended to include exposure to many potentially carcinogenic substances, and specific exposures that might increase risk of multiple myeloma have not been identified.

One mechanism through which chlorinated solvents may induce cancer is by damaging or altering DNA. TCE and carbon tetrachloride have been shown to form DNA adducts and mutations in brain, testis, pancreas, kidney, liver, lung and spleen cells in rodents.<sup>45–44</sup> DNA hypomethylation of proto-oncogenes, which is hypothesised to precede several types of cancer,<sup>45</sup> has also been associated with exposure to TCE<sup>45</sup> and chloroform.<sup>46</sup>

Solvents can also affect the immune system, which may be relevant since multiple myeloma is increased in patients experiencing immune system dysregulation, such as patients with HIV,<sup>47–49</sup> certain autoimmune diseases<sup>50</sup> and organ transplants.<sup>51–52</sup> Suppressed immunity may lead to susceptibility to viruses that could potentially cause cytogenetic transformations, leading to multiple myeloma. Stimulated immunity is associated with proliferation of plasma cells, which could in turn increase errors in DNA replication that may lead to multiple myeloma. Another hypothesis is that the breakdown of immunological responses may compromise the ability of T cells to eliminate cancer-causing cells.<sup>53</sup> Long-term exposure to occupationally relevant levels of TCE in air has been shown to

**Table 6** ORs\* and 95% CIs for multiple myeloma and occupational exposure to chloroform

	Primary analysis				Reanalysis: jobs assessed with low confidence considered unexposed		
	Controls (n=481)	Cases (n=180)	OR (95% CI)		Controls (n=481)	Cases (n=180)	OR (95% CI)
Ever exposed†	27 (5.6%)	14 (7.8%)	1.4 (0.7 to 2.8)	Ever exposed†	6 (1.3%)	7 (3.9%)	2.5 (0.8 to 7.6)
Duration				Duration			
Unexposed	454 (94%)	166 (92%)	Referent	Unexposed	475 (99%)	173 (96%)	Referent
1–4 years	9 (1.9%)	2 (1.1%)	0.4 (0.1 to 2.2)	1–3 years	2 (0.4%)	0	NA
5–7 years	6 (1.3%)	3 (1.7%)	1.8 (0.4 to 7.7)	4–10 years	1 (0.2%)	2 (1.1%)	4.5 (0.4 to 53)
8–17 years	6 (1.3%)	4 (2.2%)	2.0 (0.5 to 8.0)	11–17 years	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.6%)	1.2 (0.1 to 14)
18–45 years	6 (1.3%)	5 (2.8%)	2.1 (0.6 to 7.4)	18–40 years	1 (0.2%)	4 (2.2%)	7.5 (0.8 to 72)
			p Trend 0.16				p Trend 0.09
Cumulative exposure‡ §				Cumulative exposure‡ §			
Unexposed	454 (94%)	167 (93%)	Referent	Unexposed	475 (99%)	174 (97%)	Referent
1–18	6 (1.3%)	3 (1.7%)	1.7 (0.4 to 7.2)	1–204	1 (0.2%)	2 (1.1%)	4.1 (0.4 to 47)
19–204	7 (1.5%)	2 (1.1%)	0.9 (0.2 to 4.8)	205–1908	2 (0.4%)	0	NA
205–3223	8 (1.7%)	2 (1.1%)	0.5 (0.1 to 2.4)	1909–12750	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.6%)	0.9 (0.1 to 11)
3224–57106	6 (1.3%)	6 (3.3%)	2.6 (0.8 to 9.2)	12751–49500	1 (0.2%)	3 (1.7%)	7.7 (0.8 to 79)
			p Trend 0.12				p Trend 0.11
10-Year lagged cumulative exposure‡ §				10-Year lagged cumulative exposure‡ §			
Unexposed	455 (95%)	167 (93%)	Referent	Unexposed	475 (99%)	174 (97%)	Referent
1–21	7 (1.5%)	4 (2.2%)	2.4 (0.7 to 8.9)	1–204	1 (0.2%)	2 (1.1%)	4.1 (0.4 to 47)
22–204	5 (1.0%)	1 (0.6%)	0.5 (0.1 to 4.8)	205–1627	2 (0.4%)	0	NA
205–3223	8 (1.7%)	2 (1.1%)	0.5 (0.1 to 2.4)	1628–4500	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.6%)	0.9 (0.1 to 11)
3224–54000	6 (1.3%)	6 (3.3%)	2.7 (0.8 to 9.3)	4501–49500	1 (0.2%)	3 (1.7%)	7.9 (0.8 to 82)
			p Trend 0.12				p Trend 0.09

\*All models adjusted for age, race, study site, gender and years of education.

†Ever exposed defined as having at least one job with a probability score of 2 for exposure to chloroform and all jobs with a probability score of <2 were considered as unexposed.

‡Some participants were unexposed in the cumulative scores but not the ever/never and duration analyses because they were exposed for <15 min per week and therefore had a frequency of 0.

§Cumulative score calculated by multiplying the midpoint of the intensity (in ppm) by the midpoint of the frequency (in hours/week) by the number of years worked in each exposed job.

lead to greater numbers of activated CD4+ T cells, and higher levels of markers of increased immune response were significant at lower (0.1 and 0.5 mg/ml), but not higher (2.5 mg/ml) doses of TCE,<sup>54</sup> which suggests that carcinogenesis that is preceded by stimulated immunity may not follow a typical exposure–response pattern. These studies suggest that immune alterations may lie on the aetiological pathway between chlorinated solvent exposure and the development of multiple myeloma, but further research is necessary.

This study was the first case–control study to analyse exposure to these six chlorinated solvents in relation to incidence of multiple myeloma. The use of detailed occupational information improved assessment of solvent exposure compared to analyses based solely on job titles. However, our study had several weaknesses. Even with the additional efforts undertaken to improve exposure assessment, exposure misclassification likely occurred. Also, participation rates were relatively low among cases and controls. Although case participation was not associated with age, sex or study site, control participation did vary by age category. We were not able to assess whether non-participants were similar to participants in terms of race or socioeconomic status, which are tied to occupation and, potentially, solvent exposure. This might have resulted in selection bias if, for example, controls in certain types of jobs with likely solvent exposure were less likely to have participated in our study than cases in those jobs, although we observed no evidence that this occurred. Although every effort was made to contact multiple myeloma patients within 1–2 months of diagnosis, a substantial proportion of cases (18%) died before they could be contacted and 29% of those we did contact refused to participate, possibly because they were too ill. Our findings, therefore, might reflect relationships between chlorinated solvents and less aggressive forms of multiple myeloma. In addition, we cannot rule out the possibility of recall bias

affecting our results. However, this bias was likely minimised since the questions in the job-specific questionnaires focused on job tasks rather than specific chemical exposures.

Small numbers of participants exposed to certain chlorinated solvents increased the possibility of false positive and false negative chance findings and the potential impact that misclassification could have had on the associations. In addition, the possibility of false associations was increased by the number of associations we examined. Also, concomitant exposures likely occurred in many of the occupations that entailed exposure to chlorinated solvents, so we cannot rule out the possibility that these confounded the relationship between chlorinated solvent exposure and the risk of multiple myeloma. However, it is unlikely that these other exposures were so highly correlated with chlorinated solvent exposure that they caused substantial degrees of confounding.<sup>55</sup> Finally, another limitation of our findings is that assignments of some of the chlorinated solvents were likely correlated, especially TCE, DCM, TCA and carbon tetrachloride, which were commonly used as degreasers. In many situations, we were not able to determine which specific chlorinated solvent was used. We attempted to overcome this challenge by assigning probabilities of exposure, based on published information on the probability that that given solvent was being used in a particular decade.<sup>38–39</sup> However, it is still likely that the correlation of assignments led to some misclassification of exposure. Therefore, it is possible that not all of the solvents we examined are truly involved in multiple myeloma aetiology, but instead appeared to be associated with multiple myeloma because their uses and exposure assignments were correlated.

In summary, this research provides some evidence that certain chlorinated solvents—notably TCE—are associated with increased incidence of multiple myeloma. While results were less clear, exposure to TCA, DCM, PCE, carbon tetrachloride and chloroform may also confer increased risk of multiple myeloma.

In order to establish causality, larger studies including more multiple myeloma cases should be undertaken. Ideally, these studies would pool cases diagnosed from several sites and would obtain detailed lifestyle data in order to assess chlorinated solvent exposure even more thoroughly than this study. Additional studies of the biological effects of occupational chlorinated solvent exposure may also provide clues to possible mechanisms by which these chemicals may cause multiple myeloma.

**Funding** This research was funded in part by a grant from the National Occupational Research Agenda (NORA).

**Competing interests** None.

**Ethics approval** Approval to conduct research related to this paper was obtained from the Institutional Review Office of the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

## REFERENCES

- Bergsagel PL, Kuehl WM. Molecular pathogenesis and a consequent classification of multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;**23**:6333–8.
- Greenberg RS, Mandel JS, Pastides H, et al. A meta-analysis of cohort studies describing mortality and cancer incidence among chemical workers in the United States and western Europe. *Epidemiology* 2001;**12**:727–40.
- Massoudi BL, Talbot EO, Day RD, et al. A case-control study of hematopoietic and lymphoid neoplasms: the role of work in the chemical industry. *Am J Ind Med* 1997;**31**:21–7.
- Lee WJ, Baris D, Jarvholm B, et al. Multiple myeloma and diesel and other occupational exposures in Swedish construction workers. *Int J Cancer* 2003;**107**:134–8.
- Mester B, Nieters A, Deeg E, et al. Occupation and malignant lymphoma: a population based case control study in Germany. *Occup Environ Med* 2006;**63**:17–26.
- Bethwaite PB, Pearce N, Fraser J. Cancer risks in painters: study based on the New Zealand Cancer Registry. *Br J Ind Med* 1990;**47**:742–6.
- Lundberg I, Milatou-Smith R. Mortality and cancer incidence among Swedish paint industry workers with long-term exposure to organic solvents. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1998;**24**:270–5.
- Demers PA, Vaughan TL, Koepsell TD, et al. A case-control study of multiple myeloma and occupation. *Am J Ind Med* 1993;**23**:629–39.
- Baysson H, Laurier D, Tirmarche M, et al. Epidemiological response to a suspected excess of cancer among a group of workers exposed to multiple radiological and chemical hazards. *Occup Environ Med* 2000;**57**:188–94.
- Lopez V, Perez-Gomez B, Aragones N, et al. Occupation, exposure to chemicals, sensitizing agents, and risk of multiple myeloma in Sweden. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008;**17**:3123–7.
- Costantini AS, Miligi L, Kriebel D, et al. A multicenter case-control study in Italy on hematolymphopoietic neoplasms and occupation. *Epidemiology* 2001;**12**:78–87.
- De Roos AJ, Baris D, Weiss NS, et al. Epidemiology of multiple myeloma. In: Malpas JS, Bergsagel D, Kyle RA, et al, eds. *Multiple myeloma*. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier, Inc, 2004:117–48.
- Svec MA, Ward MH, Dosemeci M, et al. Risk of lymphatic or haematopoietic cancer mortality with occupational exposure to animals or the public. *Occup Environ Med* 2005;**62**:726–35.
- Sonoda T, Ishida T, Mori M, et al. A case-control study of multiple myeloma in Japan: association with occupational factors. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2005;**6**:33–6.
- Miligi L, Seniori CA, Crosignani P, et al. Occupational, environmental, and life-style factors associated with the risk of hematolymphopoietic malignancies in women. *Am J Ind Med* 1999;**36**:60–9.
- Guidotti S, Wright WE, Peters JM. Multiple myeloma in cosmetologists. *Am J Ind Med* 1982;**3**:169–71.
- Spinelli JJ, Gallagher RP, Band PR, et al. Multiple myeloma, leukemia, and cancer of the ovary in cosmetologists and hairdressers. *Am J Ind Med* 1984;**6**:97–102.
- Blair A, Hartge P, Stewart PA, et al. Mortality and cancer incidence of aircraft maintenance workers exposed to trichloroethylene and other organic solvents and chemicals: extended follow up. *Occup Environ Med* 1998;**55**:161–71.
- Radican L, Blair A, Stewart P, et al. Mortality of aircraft maintenance workers exposed to trichloroethylene and other hydrocarbons and chemicals: extended follow-up. *J Occup Environ Med* 2008;**50**:1306–19.
- Axelsson O, Selden A, Andersson K, et al. Updated and expanded Swedish cohort study on trichloroethylene and cancer risk. *J Occup Med* 1994;**36**:556–62.
- Anttila A, Pukkala E, Sallmen M, et al. Cancer incidence among Finnish workers exposed to halogenated hydrocarbons. *J Occup Environ Med* 1995;**37**:797–806.
- Raaschou-Nielsen O, Hansen J, McLaughlin JK, et al. Cancer risk among workers at Danish companies using trichloroethylene: a cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2003;**158**:1182–92.
- Boice JD Jr, Marano DE, Fryzek JP, et al. Mortality among aircraft manufacturing workers. *Occup Environ Med* 1999;**56**:581–97.
- Morgan RW, Kelsh MA, Zhao K, et al. Mortality of aerospace workers exposed to trichloroethylene. *Epidemiology* 1998;**9**:424–31.
- Spirtas R, Stewart PA, Lee JS, et al. Retrospective cohort mortality study of workers at an aircraft maintenance facility. I. Epidemiological results. *Br J Ind Med* 1991;**48**:515–30.
- Landgren O, Kyle RA, Hoppin JA, et al. Pesticide exposure and risk of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance in the Agricultural Health Study. *Blood* 2009;**113**:6386–91.
- Vanderkerken K, Asosingh K, Croucher P, et al. Multiple myeloma biology: lessons from the STMM models. *Immunity* 2003;**19**:196–206.
- Hansen J, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Christensen JM, et al. Cancer incidence among Danish workers exposed to trichloroethylene. *J Occup Environ Med* 2001;**43**:133–9.
- Blair A, Stewart PA, Tolbert PE, et al. Cancer and other causes of death among a cohort of dry cleaners. *Br J Ind Med* 1990;**47**:162–8.
- Kubale TL, Daniels RD, Yiin JH, et al. A nested case-control study of leukemia mortality and ionizing radiation at the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard. *Radiat Res* 2005;**164**:810–19.
- Kauppinen T, Pukkala E, Saalo A, et al. Exposure to chemical carcinogens and risk of cancer among Finnish laboratory workers. *Am J Ind Med* 2003;**44**:343–50.
- McDuffie HH, Pahwa P, McLaughlin JR, et al. Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and specific pesticide exposures in men: cross-Canada study of pesticides and health. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2001;**10**:1155–63.
- Chatterjee N, Hartge P, Cerhan JR, et al. Risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and family history of lymphatic, hematologic, and other cancers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004;**13**:1415–21.
- Noy A. Update in HIV lymphoma. *Curr Opin Oncol* 2006;**18**:449–55.
- Stewart PA, Stewart WF, Heineman EF, et al. A novel approach to data collection in a case-control study of cancer and occupational exposures. *Int J Epidemiol* 1996;**25**:744–52.
- Stewart PA, Stewart WF, Siemiatycki J, et al. Questionnaires for collecting detailed occupational information for community-based case control studies. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 1998;**59**:39–44.
- Office of Management and Budget. *Standard occupational classification manual*. Washington DC: Government Printing Office, 1980.
- Bakke B, Stewart PA, Waters MA. Uses of and exposure to trichloroethylene in U.S. industry: a systematic literature review. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2007;**4**:375–90.
- Gold LS, De Roos AJ, Waters M, et al. Systematic literature review of uses and levels of occupational exposure to tetrachloroethylene. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2008;**5**:807–39.
- Dosemeci M, Stewart P. Recommendations for reducing the effects of exposure misclassification on relative risk estimates. *Occup Hyg* 1996;**3**:169–76.
- Stewart WF, Correa-Villasenor A. False positive exposure errors and low exposure prevalence in community-based case-control studies. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 1991;**6**:534–40.
- McGuire V, Nelson LM, Koepsell TD, et al. Assessment of occupational exposures in community-based case-control studies. *Annu Rev Public Health* 1998;**19**:35–53.
- Motohashi N, Nagashima H, Molnar J. Trichloroethylene. II. Mechanism of carcinogenicity of trichloroethylene. *In Vivo* 1999;**13**:215–19.
- Eastmond DA. Evaluating genotoxicity data to identify a mode of action and its application in estimating cancer risk at low doses: a case study involving carbon tetrachloride. *Environ Mol Mutagen* 2008;**49**:132–41.
- Tao L, Li Y, Kramer PM, et al. Hypomethylation of DNA and the insulin-like growth factor-II gene in dichloroacetic and trichloroacetic acid-promoted mouse liver tumors. *Toxicology* 2004;**196**:127–36.
- Pereira MA, Kramer PM, Conran PB, et al. Effect of chloroform on dichloroacetic acid and trichloroacetic acid-induced hypomethylation and expression of the c-myc gene and on their promotion of liver and kidney tumors in mice. *Carcinogenesis* 2001;**22**:1511–19.
- Dezube BJ, Aboulafia DM, Pantanowitz L. Plasma cell disorders in HIV-infected patients: from benign gammopathy to multiple myeloma. *AIDS Read* 2004;**14**:372–9.
- Goedert JJ, Cote TR, Virgo P, et al. Spectrum of AIDS-associated malignant disorders. *Lancet* 1998;**351**:1833–9.
- Cheung MC, Pantanowitz L, Dezube BJ. AIDS-related malignancies: emerging challenges in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Oncologist* 2005;**10**:412–26.
- Duquesnoy B, Flipo RM. [Rheumatoid arthritis and neoplasms]. *Rev Rhum Ed Fr* 1994;**61**:194S–7S.
- Sun X, Peterson LC, Gong Y, et al. Post-transplant plasma cell myeloma and polymorphic lymphoproliferative disorder with monoclonal serum protein occurring in solid organ transplant recipients. *Mod Pathol* 2004;**17**:389–94.
- Caillaud S, Agodoa LY, Bohem EM, et al. Myeloma, Hodgkin disease, and lymphoid leukemia after renal transplantation: characteristics, risk factors and prognosis. *Transplantation* 2006;**81**:888–95.
- Potter M. Pathogenetic mechanisms in B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphomas in humans. *Cancer Res* 1992;**52**(19 Suppl):5522s–8s.
- Griffin JM, Gilbert KM, Lamps LW, et al. CD4(+) T-cell activation and induction of autoimmune hepatitis following trichloroethylene treatment in MRL+/+ mice. *Toxicol Sci* 2000;**57**:345–52.
- Blair A, Stewart P, Lubin JH, et al. Methodological issues regarding confounding and exposure misclassification in epidemiological studies of occupational exposures. *Am J Ind Med* 2007;**50**:199–207.