Prenatal Exposure to Air Toxics and Malignant Germ Cell Tumors in Young Children

Clinton Hall, PhD, Julia E. Heck, MPH, PhD, Beate Ritz, MD, PhD, Myles Cockburn, PhD, Loraine A. Escobedo, PhD, and Ondine S. von Ehrenstein, MSc, MPH, PhD

Objective: To assess prenatal air toxics exposure and risk for childhood germ cell tumors (GCTs) by histological subtype (yolk sac tumor and teratoma). **Methods:** In this case-control study, GCT cases less than 6 years (n=243) identified from California Cancer Registry records were matched by birth year to cancer-free population controls (n=147,100), 1984 to 2013. Routinely monitored air toxic exposures were linked to subjects' birth address. Logistic regression estimated GCT risks per interquartile range increase in exposure. **Results:** Prenatal exposure to various highly-correlated, traffic-related air toxics during the second trimester increased GCT risk, particularly 1,3-butadiene (odds ratio [OR] = 1.51; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.01, 2.26) and meta/para-xylene (OR = 1.56; 95% CI = 1.10, 2.21). Analyses by subtype indicated elevated ORs for yolk sac tumors but not teratomas. **Conclusion:** Our estimated ORs are consistent with positive associations between some prenatal traffic-related air toxics and GCT risk, notably yolk sac tumors.

Keywords: air pollutants, benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, xylene, cancer, childhood, epidemiology, pregnancy, risk factor, toxicity, vehicle emissions, yolk sac tumor

From the Department of Epidemiology, University of California, Los Angeles Fielding School of Public Health, Los Angeles, California (Dr Hall, Dr von Ehrenstein, Dr Ritz, Dr Heck); Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles Fielding School of Public Health, Los Angeles, California (Dr Ritz); Department of Community Health Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, Fielding School of Public Health, Los Angeles, California (Dr von Ehrenstein); Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California (Dr Cockburn); Spatial Sciences Institute, Dornsife College of Arts, Letters and Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California (Dr Escobedo); Leidos, Inc., San Diego, California (Dr Lati)

Disclaimer: This work was supported by the US National Institutes of Health (grants R21ES018960, R21ES019986, and R21ES025558). Dr Cockburn was supported in part by the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program (contract HHSN261201000140C, Cancer Prevention Institute of California; contract HHSN261201000035C, University of Southern California; and contract HHSN261201000034C, Public Health Institute) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)'s National Program of Cancer Registries (under U58DP003862-01, California Department of Public Health). Dr Hall was supported by the Collaborative Research Training Program in Occupational Epidemiology of the UCLA Southern California Education and Research Center, Grant Agreement Number T42OH008412 from the CDC/National Institute of Occupational Health and Safety (NIOSH). Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official view of CDC or NIOSH. Dr Heck was supported by a grant from Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation (# 17-01882). Dr Escobedo's work on this study was done while she was a postdoctoral scholar at the Spatial Sciences Institute in the Dornsife College of Arts, Letters and Sciences at the University of Southern California. Dr Hall's work on this study was done while he was a graduate student at the University of California, Los Angeles.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Supplemental digital contents are available for this article. Direct URL citation appears in the printed text and is provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Web site (www.joem.org).

Address correspondence to: Julia E. Heck, MPH, PhD, Department of Epidemiology, University of California, Los Angeles School of Public Health, 650 Charles E. Young Drive Box 951772, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772 (jeheck@ucla.edu).

Copyright © 2019 American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine

DOI: 10.1097/JOM.0000000000001609

erm cell tumors (GCTs) are a heterogeneous group of neoplasms believed to arise from primordial germ cells; while they share a similar origin, pediatric GCT histologies have differential methylation patterns, suggesting different etiologies and risk factor profiles. These tumors are rare, comprising 3.5% of all cancers in children under the age of 15. In the United States, the GCT incidence rate in children is approximately 6.0 per million. In early childhood, the two most common histologic subtypes of GCTs are teratomas and yolk sac tumors.

With the exception of cryptorchidism and race/ethnicity, there are few established risk factors for childhood GCTs^{6,7}; however, some data suggest risk factors differ by histologic subtype in young children (ie, teratomas and yolk sac tumors). In a recent study of California children, our group observed a weak but positive relationship between traffic-related air pollution exposure in pregnancy (assessed using the California LINE Source [CALINE4] dispersion model) and risk for childhood GCTs, specifically teratomas. We observed similar positive associations when assessing exposure based on measures of traffic density within 500 m of the child's residence. However, in a separate analysis of children residing in Los Angeles County, we did not detect associations with teratomas or any GCTs when using a Land Use Regression (LUR) model to assess prenatal air pollution exposure.

There are few studies on perinatal exposure to air pollution and GCT risk in children under 15 years old. A case-control study of 272 GCT cases examined exposure to engine exhausts in the perinatal period, reporting possible increased risk for male (odds ratio [OR] = 1.7, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.7 to 4.0) but not female (OR = 0.9; 95% CI = 0.4 to 1.8) children. In the same study, perinatal exposure to "industry dusts" was weakly related to increased GCT risk among female cases only (OR = 1.5, 95% CI = 0.9–2.4). A Spanish study including 120 GCT cases found no association between proximity to urban areas with traffic pollution and childhood GCT risk. Peither of these publications stratified GCTs by subtype. Some studies of young men and adults have reported that paternal exposure to metalwork and maternal exposure to hexachlorobenzene and polychlorinated biphenyls increase offspring risk for testicular cancer. 13,14

Using a cancer and birth registry-based sample of young California children, this population-based case-control study examines the relationship between prenatal exposure to ambient air toxics and risk for malignant GCTs, and includes analyses that consider histologic subtype (ie, yolk sac tumors and teratomas).

METHODS

Childhood cancer cases diagnosed less than 6 years were ascertained from California Cancer Registry records, 1988 to 2013. Cancer-free controls were frequency matched to childhood cancer cases by birth year, but otherwise randomly selected from California birth records in the main case-control study, which included all reported cancers less than 6 years as described in detail elsewhere. ¹⁵

GCT cases were classified based on the International Classification of Childhood Cancer, Version 3 (ICCC-3) codes 101-105 (n=451). Histological subtypes of GCTs were identified via the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Version 3 (ICD-O-3); yolk sac tumors (ICD-O-3 code 9071; n=181) and

malignant teratomas (ICD-O-3 codes 9080–9084 with malignant behavior code; n = 216) were the most common in our young study population. Fifty-four cases were not coded as either a teratoma or a yolk sac tumor (mixed germ cell tumors, n = 26; germinomas, n = 16; other, n = 12).

Air toxics were selected for inclusion in our study if they were listed as established or suspected carcinogens by the International Agency for Research on Cancer, or if they were primarily emitted from traffic combustion (ie, benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes [BTEX]). Air toxic exposure during pregnancy was assessed using monitoring data from the California Air Resources Board (CARB)'s Air Toxics Program, as previously described in detail. 16 The CARB air toxics monitoring program was established in 1984. In the CARB toxics monitoring network, 24-hour integrated samples of ambient air concentrations are collected approximately every 12 days. Monitors are located across the state, primarily positioned near heavily trafficked highways in industrial or agriculturally intense regions; locations are selected in order to be representative for the area. ¹⁷ Air toxics in the vicinity of our study population residences were monitored at up to 30 sites; not all toxics or criteria pollutants were collected across the entire study period (at all or any sites), and CARB monitors do not necessarily collect data on the same agents at every monitor.

Using the latitude and longitude of each monitoring station as provided by CARB, we determined the distance from each monitor to each home or zip code centroid. Prior to 1998, home location was based on zip code centroid, which was calculated using an open source geocoder with manual correction of unmatched addresses. From 1998, residential addresses at birth were geocoded based on birth certificates as available, otherwise zip code centroid was used. On the birth record, parents are asked to provide a residential address (street and house number) rather than a PO Box. For analyses using a buffer distance of 3 km, distance was determined by zipcode centroid for 58,497 records, and by exact address for 88,846 records. For analyses using a buffer distance of 4 km, distance was determined by zipcode centroid for 62,709 records, and by exact address for 92,739 records.

This study focused on risk associated with prenatal exposure to ambient air toxics because (1) we only had information on address at birth and (2) many cases have early diagnoses and there is an expectation that prenatal exposures may be of most relevance. ^{19,20} Dates of birth and gestational ages, estimated using date of last menses, were obtained from birth certificates and used to calculate developmental period-specific exposure averages for each trimester and the entire pregnancy.

Subjects were assigned air toxic exposure values according to measurements taken at the nearest monitor. We additionally explored measures generated with kriging, but saw little meaningful difference in effect estimates (unpublished data). For each air toxic, we included children who had at least one reading for each full month of pregnancy. Subjects were only included in our analyses if they were born 1984+ and lived within a specified radius around an air monitoring station. In order to balance exposure misclassification with increasing distance from a station against sample size limitations, we used a buffer distance of 3 km for analyses of all GCTs; in subgroup analyses of yolk sac tumors and teratomas, a 4 km buffer distance was implemented to increase sample size.

Unconditional logistic regression was used to estimate ORs and 95% CIs for GCT risk with each interquartile range increase in air toxics exposure, separately for each toxic and developmental period. Selection of covariates was based on the literature and associations seen in our previous analyses.^{8,21-24} Crude models controlled for the matching variable (birth year), while adjusted models additionally controlled for maternal age (continuous), maternal race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white vs. all others), and a five-level neighborhood socioeconomic status (SES) index.²⁵ We also explored adjustment for two additional socioeconomic variables: maternal years of education and source of payment for prenatal care (private insurance [including Health Maintenance Organizations and Blue Cross-Blue Shield] and other payment methods [government aid programs, worker's compensation, Title V, and self-pay])⁸; and adjusted for race/ethnicity using more detailed indicator variables for non-Hispanic whites, Hispanics of any race, and Asian/Pacific Islanders. These additional adjustments did not change estimated ORs by at least 10% and therefore were left out of final models.

Several air toxics are generated from the same sources and therefore would be correlated. Among controls, we assessed correlations across toxics measures and report Pearson correlation coefficients and descriptive statistics for each toxic across the entire

TABLE 1. Select Characteristics for all GCT Cases and Controls With an Exposure Buffer Distance of 3 km, and for Yolk Sac Tumor and Teratoma Cases and Controls With an Exposure Buffer Distance of 4 km

Characteristic	Exposure Buffer Distance: 3 km All GCTs		Exposure Buffer Distance: 4km			
	Controls (n = 147,100) n (%)	Cases (n = 243) n (%)	Controls (n = 155,191) n (%)	Yolk Sac Tumor Cases (n = 99) n (%)	Teratoma Cases (n = 125) n (%)	
						Maternal race
White	102,863 (69.9)	168 (69.1)	107,531 (69.3)	60 (60.6)	96 (76.8)	
Non-White	44,237 (30.1)	75 (30.9)	47,660 (30.7)	39 (39.4)	29 (23.2)	
Neighborhood-level SES inc	dex					
Low	41,380 (28.1)	70 (28.8)	43,143 (27.8)	29 (29.3)	37 (29.6)	
Medium-low	36,678 (24.9)	64 (26.3)	38,961 (25.1)	24 (24.2)	35 (28.0)	
Medium	28,826 (19.6)	37 (15.2)	30,562 (19.7)	14 (14.1)	15 (12.0)	
Medium-high	21,861 (14.9)	45 (18.5)	23,253 (15.0)	21 (21.2)	23 (18.4)	
High	18,143 (12.3)	27 (11.1)	19,052 (12.3)	11 (11.1)	15 (12.0)	
Missing	212 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	220 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Maternal age, $M \pm SD$	27.6 ± 0.02	27.0 ± 0.39	27.6 ± 0.02	27.7 ± 0.66	26.5 ± 0.50	
Missing	15	0	16	0	0	

GCT, germ cell tumor; km, kilometer; M, mean; SD, standard deviation; SES, socioeconomic status.

TABLE 2. ORs and 95% CIs for a One Interquartile Range Increase in Prenatal Exposure to Ambient Air Toxics and GCTs in Young California Children (1984 to 2013)

Cases (n = 243) 18 18 17 15 14 16	Controls (n = 147,100) 13,365 13,362 12,249 11,313	First Trimester OR [95% CI]* 0.76 [0.42, 1.36] 0.74 [0.40, 1.39]	Second Trimester OR [95% CI]*	Entire Pregnancy OR [95% CI]* 1.00 [0.59, 1.71]
18 18 17 15	13,365 13,362 12,249	0.76 [0.42, 1.36] 0.74 [0.40, 1.39]	1.25 [0.86, 1.81]	
18 17 15 14	13,362 12,249	0.74 [0.40, 1.39]		1 00 [0 59 1 71]
18 17 15 14	13,362 12,249	0.74 [0.40, 1.39]		1.00 [0.59, 1.71]
17 15 14	12,249		1.51.51.01.0.003	1.00 [0.07, 1.71]
15 14		0.00 50 61 1 413	1.51 [1.01, 2.26]	1.22 [0.64, 2.33]
14	11,313	0.93 [0.61, 1.41]	0.89 [0.56, 1.42]	0.92 [0.54, 1.58]
		0.84 [0.51, 1.39]	1.25 [0.95, 1.65]	1.21 [0.67, 2.17]
16	10,547	1.10 [0.67, 1.78]	1.56 [1.10, 2.21]	1.45 [0.92, 2.28]
	11,935	0.94 [0.58, 1.52]	1.35 [0.97, 1.87]	1.18 [0.77, 1.82]
16	9535	0.92 [0.59, 1.45]	1.10 [0.76, 1.60]	0.95 [0.57, 1.60]
17	12,305	0.92 [0.64, 1.32]	1.02 [0.84, 1.24]	0.96 [0.68, 1.36]
14	8081	0.58 [0.28, 1.21]	0.98 [0.68, 1.42]	0.67 [0.34, 1.34]
16	12.081			1.23 [0.72, 2.10]
72	,			1.05 [0.79, 1.39]
69				1.00 [0.74, 1.34]
72	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0.81 [0.56, 1.19]
69	43,644			0.95 [0.68, 1.33]
carbons	,		[,]	[,]
	9932	0.76 [0.42, 1.37]	1.02 [0.77, 1.35]	0.78 [0.41, 1.47]
				0.60 [0.29, 1.22]
	,			0.68 [0.33, 1.43]
	,			0.59 [0.28, 1.24]
				0.62 [0.30, 1.28]
	,			0.74 [0.39, 1.41]
13	11,007	0.50 [0.20, 1.20]	0.55 [0.75, 1.55]	0.71 [0.55, 1.11]
16	12.610	0.35 [0.15, 0.82]	0.69 [0.36, 1.33]	0.46 [0.21, 0.97]
				0.62 [0.38, 1.02]
				1.17 [0.73, 1.86]
	,			1.08 [0.76, 1.52]
				1.50 [1.12, 2.00]
				0.99 [0.58, 1.67]
				2.85 [1.14, 7.13]
				1.01 [0.75, 1.35]
				1.05 [0.71, 1.55]
				1.21 [0.65, 2.24]
				0.92 [0.73, 1.16]
				0.84 [0.64, 1.12]
				0.84 [0.64, 1.12]
				0.77 [0.44, 1.37]
	,			0.77 [0.44, 1.37]
	72 69 72	72 46,208 69 44,031 72 44,667 69 43,644 carbons 14 9932 15 11,533 15 11,067 15 11,533 15 11,067 16 12,610 15 8864 17 12,571 16 9853 16 12,610 16 9856 15 8971 15 8074 15 8996 16 9389 17 12,353 87 53,279 56 42,014 33 23,282	72	72

CI, confidence interval; GCT, germ cell tumor; km, kilometers; OR, odds ratio; PM, particulate matter. *ORs adjusted for birth year, maternal age, maternal race, and neighborhood-level socioeconomic status.

pregnancy period (for both 3 and 4km buffer distances, see Supplementary Tables 1–4, http://links.lww.com/JOM/A559). In order to identify highly correlated toxics, we employed factor analysis using principal components extraction with varimax rotation. We grouped toxics by factor, with loadings more than 0.80; factor one consisted of 14 pollutants we categorized as traffic-related air toxics and factor two was comprised of six polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Fifteen pollutants were not loaded on a factor.

All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS, Version 9.4 (Cary, NC).

RESULTS

We identified 243 GCT cases and 147,100 controls born 1984+ and residing within 3 km of air monitoring stations. In subgroup analyses, we identified 99 yolk sac tumor cases, 125 teratoma cases, and 155,191 controls born 1984+ and living within 4 km of air monitoring stations. While population characteristics have been previously described in detail, 8 we present select demographic characteristics in Table 1. Maternal non-white race/

ethnicity was more common among yolk sac tumor cases and less common among teratoma cases, but there was little difference between cases and controls with respect to maternal age and neighborhood SES.

Exposure to several traffic-related air toxics in the second trimester was associated with an elevated risk for all GCTs in offspring, particularly exposure to meta/para-xylene and 1,3-buta-diene (Table 2). Second trimester exposure to ortho-xylene, para-dichlorobenzene, and ortho-dichlorobenzene was positively associated with GCT risk in offspring. For ortho-dichlorobenzene, entire pregnancy exposure was also associated with increased GCT risk. We observed an increased risk for GCTs with exposure to formaldehyde in the first trimester and the entire pregnancy.

Exposure to BTEX and 1,3-butadiene in the second trimester was associated with an increased risk for yolk sac tumors (Table 3). ORs were similar or elevated for BTEX when assessing exposure throughout pregnancy, with the highest OR estimated for ethyl benzene. Yolk sac tumor risk was also positively associated with second trimester exposure to ortho-dichlorobenzene, para-

TABLE 3. ORs and 95% CIs for a One Interquartile Range Increase in Prenatal Exposure to Ambient Air Toxics and Yolk Sac Tumors in Young California Children (1984 to 2013)

	Yolk Sac Tumors		Exposure Window (Buffer Distance: 4km)			
	Cases	Controls	First Trimester	Second Trimester	Entire Pregnancy	
Exposure Agent	(n = 99)	(n = 155, 191)	OR [95% CI]*	OR [95% CI]*	OR [95% CI]*	
Factor 1: traffic-related air toxic	cs					
Benzene	10	21,762	1.06 [0.49, 2.30]	1.68 [1.02, 2.78]	1.76 [0.89, 3.47]	
1,3-Butadiene	10	21,770	0.97 [0.43, 2.19]	1.80 [1.02, 3.16]	2.08 [0.85, 5.07]	
Dichloromethane	9	20,308	1.07 [0.84, 1.36]	1.05 [0.83, 1.35]	1.31 [0.85, 2.02]	
Ethyl benzene	9	18,508	1.15 [0.76, 1.75]	1.41 [1.09, 1.84]	2.29 [1.17, 4.49]	
Meta/Para-xylene	8	17,007	1.06 [0.53, 2.10]	1.59 [1.02, 2.49]	1.58 [0.86, 2.90]	
Ortho-xylene	9	19,402	1.28 [0.70, 2.33]	1.77 [1.16, 2.71]	1.89 [1.08, 3.32]	
Lead	9	15,839	0.97 [0.55, 1.69]	1.19 [0.74, 1.89]	1.13 [0.59, 2.13]	
Perchloroethylene	9	20,057	1.05 [0.81, 1.36]	1.08 [0.90, 1.28]	1.17 [0.80, 1.71]	
Styrene	7	13,205	0.83 [0.37, 1.89]	1.05 [0.68, 1.63]	0.87 [0.36, 2.14]	
Toluene	9	19,602	1.36 [0.71, 2.59]	1.82 [1.10, 3.03]	2.13 [1.05, 4.31]	
Carbon monoxide	40	65,064	1.01 [0.70, 1.45]	1.09 [0.77, 1.53]	0.93 [0.60, 1.42]	
Nitric oxide	41	64,220	0.97 [0.71, 1.31]	1.00 [0.75, 1.33]	0.78 [0.51, 1.20]	
Nitrogen dioxide	42	64,729	0.77 [0.47, 1.26]	0.69 [0.42, 1.14]	0.57 [0.33, 0.99]	
Nitrogen oxides	41	63,593	0.93 [0.65, 1.35]	0.95 [0.66, 1.36]	0.73 [0.46, 1.17]	
Factor 2: polycyclic aromatic h	ydrocarbons		. , ,		. , ,	
Benzo (a)pyrene	7	16,477	0.92 [0.50, 1.67]	0.85 [0.42, 1.72]	0.90 [0.40, 2.06]	
Benzo (b)fluoranthene	9	19,188	0.67 [0.27, 1.70]	0.81 [0.40, 1.63]	0.71 [0.29, 1.70]	
Benzo (g,h,i)perylene	9	18,355	0.55 [0.19, 1.65]	0.89 [0.43, 1.85]	0.77 [0.28, 2.15]	
Benzo (k)fluoranthene	9	19,188	0.74 [0.33, 1.64]	0.83 [0.44, 1.57]	0.73 [0.31, 1.72]	
Dibenz (a,h)anthracene	9	18,355	0.71 [0.35, 1.47]	0.42 [0.09, 2.08]	0.40 [0.09, 1.67]	
Indeno (1,2,3-cd)pyrene	9	18,355	0.63 [0.23, 1.71]	0.81 [0.38, 1.72]	0.75 [0.30, 1.84]	
Toxics not loaded on a factor		ŕ	. , ,			
Acetaldehyde	9	20,708	0.26 [0.07, 0.94]	0.68 [0.27, 1.72]	0.54 [0.20, 1.46]	
Carbon tetrachloride	7	14,421	0.73 [0.21, 2.47]	0.25 [0.07, 0.83]	0.33 [0.13, 0.87]	
Chloroform	9	20,498	1.12 [0.59, 2.11]	1.33 [0.80, 2.21]	1.38 [0.78, 2.45]	
Chromium	10	16,339	1.09 [0.71, 1.66]	1.27 [0.99, 1.61]	1.20 [0.92, 1.58]	
Formaldehyde	9	20,708	1.31 [1.15, 1.50]	1.45 [0.81, 2.61]	1.60 [1.20, 2.13]	
Nickel	10	16,347	1.06 [0.56, 2.00]	1.36 [0.86, 2.14]	1.20 [0.71, 2.02]	
Ortho-dichlorobenzene	8	14,606	1.69 [0.52, 5.46]	2.50 [0.96, 6.48]	2.79 [0.71, 10.96]	
Phosphorus	8	13,249	0.85 [0.44, 1.63]	1.04 [0.73, 1.50]	0.95 [0.58, 1.55]	
Para-dichlorobenzene	8	14,645	1.11 [0.70, 1.75]	1.43 [1.07, 1.91]	1.29 [0.88, 1.89]	
Strontium	10	15,469	1.02 [0.52, 2.01]	1.42 [0.97, 2.08]	1.19 [0.57, 2.48]	
Trichloroethylene	9	20,127	0.90 [0.64, 1.27]	0.95 [0.75, 1.21]	0.95 [0.73, 1.23]	
Ozone	51	75,509	1.02 [0.72, 1.44]	0.79 [0.54, 1.15]	0.81 [0.57, 1.17]	
PM ₁₀	30	58,633	1.22 [0.82, 1.80]	0.95 [0.60, 1.49]	0.85 [0.51, 1.44]	
PM _{2.5}	17	33,394	1.49 [0.86, 2.58]	0.72 [0.34, 1.50]	0.64 [0.28, 1.49]	
Sulfur	10	16,098	1.09 [0.58, 2.04]	1.34 [0.74, 2.44]	0.98 [0.37, 2.60]	

CI, confidence interval; km, kilometers; OR, odds ratio; PM, particulate matter.

dichlorobenzene, strontium, and chromium, as well as exposure to formaldehyde in the first trimester and the entire pregnancy.

Estimated ORs for prenatal exposure to air toxics and teratomas were imprecise and no meaningful associations were detected (Supplementary Table 5, http://links.lww.com/JOM/A559).

DISCUSSION

This record linkage study suggests that ambient exposure to some traffic-related air toxics during the second trimester is associated with an increased risk for GCT development in young children, particularly yolk sac tumors. Our previous findings have been inconsistent. In one study of California children, we detected associations with GCTs when using CALINE4 air pollution modeling of carbon monoxide or traffic density to assess traffic exposure in the first trimester, but not for monitored particulate matter (less than or equal to $2.5\,\mu m$ in aerodynamic diameter; $PM_{2.5}$). The CALINE4 model focuses on local exposure to traffic emissions, while $PM_{2.5}$ has multiple sources in California, including traffic, but also construction or agricultural dust and sea salt. Consequently,

traffic density, measured PM, and CALINE4 traffic models can be poorly correlated depending on the region. ²⁶ In contrast to the current study results, our CALINE4 analysis estimated an increased risk for teratomas rather than yolk sac tumors⁹; however, carbon monoxide was not associated with GCTs overall or either subtype in the present analysis. Additionally, in a separate registry-based study of Los Angeles County children only, we observed no association with all GCTs or teratomas when using a LUR model to assess prenatal traffic-related air pollution exposure (ie, nitric oxide, nitrogen dioxide, and nitrogen oxides); we did not have the sample size to estimate associations with yolk sac tumors. ¹⁰ Similarly, null associations with nitric oxide, nitrogen dioxide, and nitrogen oxides were observed in the present study.

The air monitoring data used in this study differs from the above in that it includes more air toxics and all possible sources of ambient pollution, which include traffic in California but also other combustion sources (airplanes, boats, other machinery). In 2009, 26% of ambient butadiene arose from on-road mobile sources, with 27% from other mobile sources, 25% from natural sources, 1% from

^{*}ORs adjusted for birth year, maternal age, maternal race, and neighborhood-level socioeconomic status.

stationary sources, and 21% was generated area-wide (eg, from industry sources, refineries, wildfires, etc).¹⁷ Thus, the exposure used in the analysis presented here should not be viewed as a proxy for traffic pollution only.

Our mixed results for subtypes across studies indicate that specific toxics may be responsible for risk increases and that relevant substances or toxic mixtures might vary by subtype. Even though air monitors measure the contributions from all possible emission sources, the accuracy of measurements taken at one site in estimating exposure to persons residing within a distance of 3 to 4 km is dependent on decay, topography, and other characteristics (eg, housing, meteorology, activity patterns).

In the present report, we detected positive associations with various highly-correlated traffic-related air toxics, specifically second trimester exposure to BTEX. These toxics are suspected endocrine disruptors, and exposure in utero may be particularly relevant for childhood cancer risk due to the fetus's increased vulnerability to environmental toxicants.²⁰ Toluene exposure is associated with decreased levels of testosterone, follicle stimulating hormone, and luteinizing hormone; and exposure to benzene, ethyl benzene, and toluene are associated with the activation of oxidative stress pathways.²⁷ In humans, gonadal development begins during the sixth week of gestation and continues into the second trimester, when several androgen-dependent processes take place.²⁸ For males, the second trimester is a critical period of development because the number of Sertoli and germ cells exponentially increases and internal/external genitalia are established; disturbance of testicular development in the second trimester has been linked to adverse reproductive outcomes later in life.²⁸ The endocrine system plays a critical role in fetal gonadal development and interruption of hormonal function in developing tissues is associated with an increased risk for cryptorchidism, a strong risk factor for childhood GCTs.²⁹

The impact of endocrine disrupting chemicals on testicular development is well-documented in animal studies.²⁹ In mice, pregnancy exposure to high levels of toluene and acrolein (an environmental pollutant arising from the combustion of fuels and other sources) decreased fetal testosterone synthesis, which can lead to maldevelopment of the testes. ^{30,31} Similarly, components of air pollution (eg, diesel exhaust), are associated with retardation of gonadal cell migration and germ cell differentiation disorders in mice.³² In humans, however, the epidemiologic evidence is less clear and based on older populations (>13 years). An Italian study of 103 testicular GCT cases suggested increased risks with paternal (OR = 1.33; 95% CI = 0.65, 2.70) but not maternal (OR = 0.97;95% CI = 0.23, 4.07) exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals during preconception or pregnancy. 33 Similarly, a Canadian study of 343 testicular GCT cases found paternal preconception occupation as a metalworker (OR = 3.28; 95% CI = 1.03, 10.52) and exposure to metal products (OR = 5.77; 95% CI = 1.53, 21.77) were associated with increased testicular cancer risk in offspring. 14 Conversely, a Nordic registry-based study of 8112 testicular GCT cases found little evidence supporting an association between parental exposure to heavy metals/welding fumes and cancer risk in offspring; however, it did report a positive association with paternal exposure to high levels of chromium (OR = 1.37; 95% CI = 1.05-1.79). stated above, the literature is limited with respect to GCTs in younger children and perinatal exposure to air pollution. 11,12 Our results are not directly comparable with previous studies that did not investigate GCT subtypes; additionally, studies of populations more than 13 years include histological subtypes less frequently observed in young children (less than 6 years).

In California, race/ethnicity appears to be a more important factor than socioeconomic status in predicting exposure to air pollution.³⁵ Race/ethnicity is associated with GCT risk, particularly yolk sac tumors, with children of Asians/Pacific Islanders and Hispanics showing the highest risk for tumor development.⁸ Yet, in this study

effect estimates for all GCTs and yolk sac tumors did not change after adjustment for race/ethnicity (neither white vs non-white race/ethnicity, nor more detailed race/ethnicity variables), or adjustment for other SES indicators (whether measured by maternal education, health insurance type, or neighborhood-level SES). With respect to teratoma risk, adjustment for these variables further lowered ORs already below 1.00, though estimates remained imprecise.

Limitations of this study include exposure misclassification due to residential mobility during pregnancy, estimated to occur in 9% to 32% of families.³⁶ However, moves are typically local (less than 10 km, usually resulting in similar levels of air pollutant exposure) and most often happen during the second trimester. In this study, addresses were collected from birth certificates, but misclassification would be similar for cases and controls as residential address is likely to be recalled with equivalent accuracy. The use of zip code centroids (birth years 1984 to 1997) introduced some misclassification to estimates, but a sensitivity analysis restricting to births 1998+ did not change effect estimates by more than 10% (not shown). Most California children (more than 95%) live in large urban areas,³⁷ where the relatively smaller size of zip codes (eg, in metropolitan Los Angeles, 2 to 4km in diameter) would limit misclassification compared with exposure measurements in rural areas where zip codes are much larger. Even so, any misclassification from utilizing zip code centroids for exposure assessment is assumed non-differential and would bias estimates towards the null. Lack of information on cryptorchidism diagnoses in this population did not allow us to assess the role of this important risk factor. Small sample size for GCT subtypes limited our analysis by requiring the use of a larger buffer distance to assess exposure. Yet, our study was not affected by recall bias or selective participation due to its recordand population-based nature. Further, our study was strengthened by the relatively large number of GCT cases and the assessment of exposure to multiple air toxics over a long period of time.

In sum, although we have seen mixed results with various air pollutants and their measures by subtype and exposure assessment strategy, our group's studies on this topic do suggest some evidence for positive associations. ^{9,10} Further investigation in other populations may be warranted.

REFERENCES

- Williams LA, Mills L, Hooten AJ, et al. Differences in DNA methylation profiles by histologic subtype of paediatric germ cell tumours: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. Br J Cancer. 2018;119:864–872.
- Isaacs Jr H. Perinatal (fetal and neonatal) germ cell tumors. J Pediatr Surg. 2004;39:1003–1013.
- Linet MS, Ries LA, Smith MA, Tarone RE, Devesa SS. Cancer surveillance series: recent trends in childhood cancer incidence and mortality in the United States. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1999;91:1051–1058.
- 4. Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2011. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 2011.
- Murray MJ, Nicholson JC, Coleman N. Biology of childhood germ cell tumours, focussing on the significance of microRNAs. Andrology. 2015;3:129–139.
- Poynter JN, Amatruda JF, Ross JA. Trends in incidence and survival of pediatric and adolescent patients with germ cell tumors in the United States, 1975 to 2006. Cancer. 2010;116:4882–4891.
- Leslie SW, Villanueva CA. Cryptorchidism. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island: StatPearls Publishing; 2018.
- Hall C, Ritz B, Cockburn M, Davidson TB, Heck JE. Risk of malignant childhood germ cell tumors in relation to demographic, gestational, and perinatal characteristics. *Cancer Epidemiol*. 2017;46:42–49.
- Heck JE, Wu J, Lombardi C, et al. Childhood cancer and traffic-related air pollution exposure in pregnancy and early life. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2013;121:1385–1391.
- Ghosh JK, Heck JE, Cockburn M, Su J, Jerrett M, Ritz B. Prenatal exposure to traffic-related air pollution and risk of early childhood cancers. Am J Epidemiol. 2013;178:1233–1239.
- Chen Z, Robison L, Giller R, et al. Environmental exposure to residential pesticides, chemicals, dusts, fumes, and metals, and risk of childhood germ cell tumors. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2006;209:31–40.

- Garcia-Perez J, Morales-Piga A, Gomez-Barroso D, et al. Residential proximity to environmental pollution sources and risk of rare tumors in children. *Environ Res.* 2016;151:265–274.
- Hardell L, Bavel B, Lindstrom G, Eriksson M, Carlberg M. In utero exposure to persistent organic pollutants in relation to testicular cancer risk. *Int J Androl*. 2006;29:228–234.
- Knight JA, Marrett LD. Parental occupational exposure and the risk of testicular cancer in Ontario. J Occup Environ Med. 1997;39:333–338.
- Heck JE, Lombardi CA, Cockburn M, Meyers TJ, Wilhelm M, Ritz B. Epidemiology of rhabdoid tumors of early childhood. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2013;60:77–81.
- von Ehrenstein OS, Heck JE, Park AS, Cockburn M, Escobedo L, Ritz B. In utero and early-life exposure to ambient air toxics and childhood brain tumors: a population-based case-control study in California, USA. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2016;124:1093–1099.
- Cox P DA, Komorniczak A, Weller R. The 2009 California Almanac of Emissions and Air Quality—2009 Edition. Sacramento, CA: California Air Resources Board; 2010.
- Goldberg DW, Wilson JP, Knoblock CA, Ritz B, Cockburn MG. An effective and efficient approach for manually improving geocoded data. *Int J Health Geogr.* 2008;7:60.
- Anderson LM, Diwan BA, Fear NT, Roman E. Critical windows of exposure for children's health: cancer in human epidemiological studies and neoplasms in experimental animal models. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2000;108(Suppl):573–594.
- Selevan SG, Kimmel CA, Mendola P. Identifying critical windows of exposure for children's health. Environ Health Perspect. 2000;108(suppl):451–455.
- Poynter JN, Fonstad R, Tolar J, Spector LG, Ross JA. Incidence of intracranial germ cell tumors by race in the United States, 1992-2010. *J Neurooncol*. 2014:120:381–388.
- Stephansson O, Wahnstrom C, Pettersson A, et al. Perinatal risk factors for childhood testicular germ-cell cancer: a Nordic population-based study. Cancer Epidemiol. 2011;35:100–104.
- Contreras ZA, Hansen J, Ritz B, Olsen J, Yu F, Heck JE. Parental age and childhood cancer risk: a Danish population-based registry study. *Cancer Epidemiol*. 2017;49:202–215.
- Puumala SE, Ross JA, Wall MM, Spector LG. Pediatric germ cell tumors and parental infertility and infertility treatment: a Children's Oncology Group report. Cancer Epidemiol. 2011;35:e25-e31.

- Yost K, Perkins C, Cohen R, Morris C, Wright W. Socioeconomic status and breast cancer incidence in California for different race/ethnic groups. Cancer Causes Control. 2001;12:703

 –711.
- Wu J, Wilhelm M, Chung J, Ritz B. Comparing exposure assessment methods for traffic-related air pollution in an adverse pregnancy outcome study. *Environ Res.* 2011;111:685–692.
- 27. Bolden AL, Kwiatkowski CF, Colborn T. New look at BTEX: are ambient levels a problem? *Environ Sci Technol*. 2015;49:5261–5276.
- 28. Rey R, Josso N, Racine C. Sexual differentiation. In: De Groot LJ, Chrousos G, Dungan K, et al., editors. *Endotext*. South Dartmouth, MA: MDText.com, Inc.; 2000.
- Sweeney MF, Hasan N, Soto AM, Sonnenschein C. Environmental endocrine disruptors: effects on the human male reproductive system. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord*. 2015;16:341–357.
- Yang Y, Zhang Z, Zhang H, et al. Effects of maternal acrolein exposure during pregnancy on testicular testosterone production in fetal rats. *Mol Med Rep.* 2017;16:491–498.
- Tsukahara S, Nakajima D, Kuroda Y, Hojo R, Kageyama S, Fujimaki H. Effects of maternal toluene exposure on testosterone levels in fetal rats. *Toxicol Lett.* 2009;185:79–84.
- 32. Yoshida S, Yoshida M, Sugawara I, Takeda K. Mice strain differences in effects of fetal exposure to diesel exhaust gas on male gonadal differentiation. *Environ Sci.* 2006:13:117–123.
- Nori F, Carbone P, Giordano F, Osborn J, Figa-Talamanca I. Endocrinedisrupting chemicals and testicular cancer: a case-control study. *Arch Environ Occup Health*. 2006;61:87–95.
- Togawa K, Le Cornet C, Feychting M, et al. Parental occupational exposure to heavy metals and welding fumes and risk of testicular germ cell tumors in offspring: a registry-based Case-Control study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2016;25:1426–1434.
- Pastor M, Sadd JL, Morello-Frosch R. Waiting to inhale: the demographics of toxic air release facilities in 21st-century California. Soc Sci Quart. 2004;85:420–440.
- Bell ML, Belanger K. Review of research on residential mobility during pregnancy: consequences for assessment of prenatal environmental exposures. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2012;22:429–438.
- 37. American Community Survey: U.S. Census Bureau; 2016.