

PAPER

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)



Cite this: *Environ. Sci.: Nano*, 2017, 4, 2144

Synergistic effects of engineered nanoparticles and organics released from laser printers using nano-enabled toners: potential health implications from exposures to the emitted organic aerosol†

Marie-Cecile G. Chalbot,^{‡a} Sandra V. Pirela,^{‡b} Laura Schiffman,^{‡c} Varun Kasaraneni,^c Vinka Oyanedel-Craver,^{‡c} Dhimiter Bello,^b Vincent Castranova,^d Yong Qian,^e Treye Thomas,^f Ilias G. Kavouras^{*a} and Philip Demokritou^{*b}

Recent studies have shown that engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) are incorporated into toner powder used in printing equipment and released during their use. Thus, understanding the functional and structural composition and the potential synergistic effects of this complex aerosol and released gaseous co-pollutants is critical in assessing their potential toxicological implications and risks. In this study, toner powder and PEPs were thoroughly examined for the functional and molecular composition of the organic fraction and the concentration profile of 16 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)-priority polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) using state-of-the-art analytical methods. Results show significant differences in abundance of the non-exchangeable organic hydrogen of toner powder and PEPs, with a stronger aromatic spectral signature in PEPs. Changes in the structural composition of PEPs are indicative of radical additions and free-radical polymerization favored by catalytic reactions, resulting in formation of functionalized organic species. Particularly, accumulation of aromatic carbons with strong styrene-like molecular signatures on PEPs is associated with formation of semi-volatile heavier aromatic species (*i.e.*, PAHs). Further, the transformation of low molecular weight PAHs in the toner powder to high molecular weight PAHs in PEPs was documented and quantified. This may be a result of synergistic effects from catalytic metal/metal oxide ENPs incorporated into the toner and the presence/release of semi-volatile organic species (SVOCs). The presence of known carcinogenic PAHs on PEPs raises public health concerns and warrants further toxicological assessment.

Received 23rd June 2017,
Accepted 26th August 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7en00573c

rsc.li/es-nano

Environmental significance

It has been shown that toners used in various printing equipment contain engineered nanomaterials (ENMs), which become airborne during printing. Toxicological evidence continues to grow, confirming the bioactivity of such printer-emitted particles (PEPs). A major knowledge gap in the risk assessment of such exposures is the characterization of the complex organic chemistry of PEPs. The extensive analysis of organic species of PEPs described here indicates the synergistic effects of organics in toners and ENMs, as shown by the marked transformation of the molecular composition of PEPs. The observed change in PAH composition and the shift from low to high molecular weight PAHs in PEPs compared to toner powder would increase the toxicity potential (*e.g.*, carcinogenic, mutagenic) of PEPs, raising concerns for human health implications.

^a Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, USA. E-mail: kavouras@uab.edu

^b Department of Environmental Health, Center for Nanotechnology and Nanotoxicology, T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. E-mail: pdemokri@hsph.harvard.edu

^c Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island, USA

^d Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences/School of Pharmacy, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA

^e Pathology and Physiology Research Branch, Health Effects Laboratory Division, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA

^f U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Office of Hazard Identification and Reduction, Rockville, Maryland, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7en00573c

‡ Equally contributing first authors.

Introduction

It has been established that toner-based printing equipment, such as laser printers and photocopiers, release particles in the ultrafine/nano size range ($\text{PM}_{0.1}$, particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter less than 100 nm).^{1–4} More recently, it was established that the toners used in printing equipment, such as laser printers and photocopiers, have become nano-enabled products (NEPs) since various engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) were added to their formulation in order to enhance printing quality. Such nanoscale additives include iron, titanium, silicon, copper, manganese, sulfur, aluminum, tin, zinc, and manganese, mostly as oxides.^{1,2,5} Further, it was previously concluded by our group that such ENPs are released and become airborne during use (printing).^{1,6,7}

It has been shown that ENPs, due to their nanoscale size, may penetrate into the deepest regions of the lungs and settle in the alveoli, thus potentially translocating into the blood stream to induce harmful effects.^{8–13} For example, intratracheal instillation of silica-coated zinc oxide led to a significant inflammatory dose response evidenced by the increased presence of neutrophils and myeloperoxidase, lactate dehydrogenase and albumin in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of the exposed rats.¹²

A number of studies have focused on the exposure characterization of emissions from laser printers.¹⁴ Early on, an increase in particle number concentration of up to 3.8×10^4 particles per cm^3 with a count median diameter varying from 40–76 nm in an office during printing was documented, which suggests that the majority of measured particles have sizes less than 100 nm ($\text{PM}_{0.1}$).^{15–17} Other studies⁴ also reported that $\text{PM}_{0.1}$ was emitted from laser printers at concentrations of 1.1×10^5 to 2.2×10^6 particles per cm^3 with aerodynamic diameters in the range of 22–40 nm. In addition to laser printers, a recent industry-wide exposure assessment of 15 photocopy centers in Massachusetts confirmed high particle concentrations from 1.9×10^3 to 2.3×10^4 particles per cm^3 and levels reached as high as 2.17×10^5 particles per cm^3 .⁶ Previous publications have documented high levels of $\text{PM}_{0.1}$ concentrations of over 1.4×10^6 particles per cm^3 , which were up to 12 times higher than the background levels.⁷

More recently, our group has developed a Printer Exposure Generation System (PEGS) to systematically generate and sample airborne PEPs.² The PEGS was used to perform a thorough physicochemical and morphological assessment of PEPs for eleven widely used laser printers. The study concluded that laser printers currently in the market could emit over 10^6 particles per cm^3 . Moreover, the PM released had mean particle diameters ranging from 39 to 138 nm.² More importantly, it has been confirmed that several ENPs were incorporated into toner formulations (e.g., silica, alumina, titania, ceria, iron oxide, zinc oxide, copper oxide, and carbon black, among others) and released into the air during printing. The analyzed toner powders contained large amounts of organic carbon (OC, 42–89%), metals/metal oxides (1–33%),

and elemental carbon (EC, 0.33–12%). Similarly, the PEPs had a chemical composition comparable to that of the toner, which contained 50–90% OC, 0.001–0.5% EC and 1–3% metals. While the chemistry of the PEPs generally reflected that of their toners, considerable differences were documented, which are indicative of potential transformations taking place during consumer use (printing). However, the organic speciation of PEPs and formation mechanisms remained unknown.

As far as the evaluation of the potential toxicity of PEPs is concerned, evidence continues to grow in the published domain as summarized in a review paper¹⁴ and includes both *in vitro* and *in vivo* toxicological studies as well as human health studies. In our previous studies, it was found that human small airway epithelial cells, microvascular endothelial cells, macrophages, and lymphoblasts showed substantial changes in cell viability, production of reactive oxygen species, release of inflammatory cytokines and modifications to the DNA methylation machinery.^{18–20} Animal experimental models have also confirmed the findings stated previously, including upregulation of neutrophils, macrophages and changes in the expression of genes that are involved in both the repair process from oxidative damage and the initiation of immune responses to foreign pathogens.^{21,22} Various epidemiological studies with detailed exposure characterization data have been conducted in photocopy centers. Specifically, one group²³ observed a significant increase in inflammatory and oxidative DNA damage indicators in individuals exposed to emissions from photocopiers. Elango and colleagues²⁴ performed a more detailed assessment in copier operators in India and discovered that the workers were experiencing higher prevalence of nasal blockage, cough, excessive sputum production, and breathing difficulties in addition to elevated markers of oxidative stress and a lower albumin to globin ratio, among other important health parameters. Other investigators evaluating the health of photocopier operators in various countries have identified similar findings to those previously mentioned.^{25–27}

Here, emphasis was given to the *in situ* functional and molecular composition of the PEPs-associated organic fraction and its relationship to precursor organic compounds in toner powder. By using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, we identified the abundant functional groups and species in PEPs and toner powder to determine which organic species are present in PEPs. Further, we aimed to understand whether compounds formed during the printing process are enriched in PEPs due to interactions between gaseous and ENP by-products of the printing process. NMR spectroscopy allows for the characterization of ultra-complex and multiphase samples as a whole in a non-destructive, repeatable and consistent manner. In addition to NMR analysis, specific attention has been paid to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), which can be formed due to the elevated operating temperatures during the printing process (e.g., approximately 200 °C), and potential synergistic interactions due to the presence of catalytic ENPs, which may

result in the formation of highly mutagenic oxygenated and nitrated polycyclic aromatic compounds.²⁸ We hypothesize that the release of low molecular weight gaseous PAHs (LMPAHs) from toner powders and their co-existence with nanoscale catalytic ENPs added in the toners (*i.e.*, Fe, Al, Cu, Ti, among others) can enrich the high molecular weight PAHs (HMPAHs) of PEPs and increase their carcinogenic potential, thus creating a nano-specific mechanism of deposition of such toxins in the lung. This is a critical knowledge gap, and a detailed chemical speciation of PEPs is needed in order to identify mechanistic pathways and potential adverse health effects.

Experimental

Generation and collection of size-fractionated PEPs and toner powder

The exposure platform (PEGS) recently developed by our group was used for the generation of exposures and sampling of PEPs. Detailed descriptions of the PEP generation, collection and extraction methods used have been published elsewhere.^{2,5} Briefly, a high emitting laser printer (identified as B1 in previously published studies) was placed inside an environmental chamber and set to print single-sided monochrome documents with a 5% page coverage. PEPs were collected during the use of the laser printer and separated into different size fractions (PM_{0.1} and PM_{2.5}) on polyurethane foam substrates (PUF) and Teflon filters using the Harvard Compact Cascade Impactor, which operated at 30 l min⁻¹ according to the nominal equivalent cutoff diameters at 50% efficiency.⁵² The PUF substrates and filters were weighed pre- and post-sampling following a 48 hour stabilization process in a temperature (22 °C ± 1) and humidity (43% ± 2) controlled environmental chamber utilizing a Mettler Toledo XPE analytical microbalance. After sampling the size-fractionated PEPs, the particles were extracted from the collection filter into deionized water (DI H₂O) using an aqueous suspension methodology.^{5,53,54} Toner powder was also retrieved from the laser printer toner cartridges and transferred to clean scintillation vials for subsequent chemical analysis.

NMR spectroscopy

The collection media were ultrasonically extracted with 2 ml of ultrapure H₂O, lyophilized using a Speed-Vac system, and transferred with 400 µl of H₂O to 5.0 mm Norell NMR tubes for analysis. An aliquot of 200 µl of a HPO₄²⁻/H₂PO₄⁻ (pH 7.4), (trimethylsilyl)propionic acid-d₄ (TSP-d₄ 1 mM) and NaN₃ (3 mM) solution in D₂O/H₂O (30/70 v/v) was added. NMR spectra were obtained at 300 K using a Bruker Avance III spectrometer operating at 600.17 MHz with a proton-optimized triple resonance “inverse” 5 mm cryogenic probe CP TCI600S3 H-C/N-D-05 Z fitted with an actively shielded single axis z-gradient, and digital quadrature detection (DQD, 10 µs prescan delay) using TopSpin 3.5/PL6 software (Bruker BioSpin Corp., Billerica, MA).

1D ¹H and 2D ¹H–¹H experiments

1-D ¹H NMR spectra in H₂O/D₂O 90/10 v/v were recorded with a gradient-based *zgesgp* pulse sequence and solvent suppression with 1D excitation sculpting using 180 water-selective pulses, 1.7 s acquisition time, 10.55 µs 90° excitation pulses (p1), 1 s relaxation delay (d1), 2048 scans, 32k total data points and 0.3 Hz exponential line broadening.

Phase sensitive 2D ¹H–¹H double quantum filter correlation spectroscopy (DQF-COSY) with gradient *cosydfesgpph* pulses and solvent suppression using 1D excitation sculpting were recorded using acquisition times of 0.1420 s in F2 and 0.0355 s in F1, 10.62 µs 90° excitation pulses (p1), 2 s relaxation delay (d1), 32 scans/512 experiments, 2k total data points and F1 States-TPPI acquisition mode. The spectrum was computed to a 2048 × 1024 matrix with 1 Hz (F2) and 0.3 Hz (F1) exponential multiplication and squared shifted sine bell in both dimensions (Π/9).

Total correlation spectroscopy (TOCSY) (*dipsi2esgpph*) with homonuclear Hartman–Hahn transfer using the mixing sequence DIPSI-2 (decoupling in presence of scalar interaction, *dipsi2ph*), water suppression, excitation sculpting with gradients (ES element) and F1 States-TPPI (States–Haberhorn–Ruben method-time proportional phase incrementation) acquisition mode for indirect detection was carried out with acquisition times of 0.1310 s in F2 and 0.0327 s in F1, 10.65 µs 90° excitation pulses (p1), 1.5 s relaxation delay (d1), 60 ms mixing time (d9), 32 scans in F1 over 512 experiments in F2, a spectral width of 7812 Hz (13.01 ppm), a frequency offset of 2820 Hz (4.7 ppm) and 2k total data points. The spectrum was computed to a 2048 × 1024 matrix with 1 Hz (F2) and 0.3 Hz (F1) exponential multiplication and squared shifted sine bell in both dimensions (Π/2).

2D nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (NOESY) with water suppression using excitation sculpting with *noesyegpph* gradients was carried out with acquisition times of 0.1310 s in F2 and 0.0328 s in F1, 7 µs 90° excitation pulses (p1), 1.5 s relaxation delay (d1), 300 ms mixing time (d8), 32 scans in F2 over 512 experiments in F1, a spectral width (SW) of 7812 Hz (13.02 ppm), a frequency offset (O1) of 2821 Hz (O1P 4.7 ppm) and 2k total data points. The spectrum was computed to a 2048 × 1024 matrix with 1 Hz (F2) and 0.3 Hz (F1) exponential multiplication and squared shifted sine bell in both dimensions (Π/2).

Toner powder (~8 mg) was dissolved in 600 µL CDCl₃ (99.95% 2H) containing 0.1% v/v of trimethylsilane as an internal standard (Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany). The solubility of toner powder in D₂O was extremely limited, making ¹³C detection impractical. The change in chemical shift can be accurately assessed following a previously published method.⁵⁵ 1-D ¹H-NMR spectra of the toner powder in CDCl₃ were recorded with the conventional *zg30* pulse sequence, 2.32 s acquisition time, 8 µs 90° excitation pulses (p1), 1 ms relaxation delay (d1), 512 scans, 65k total data points (TD), and 0.3 Hz exponential line broadening.

Magnitude-mode gradient-enhanced ge-2D COSY was conducted using *cosygpppqf* gradient pulses for selection and using purge pulses before d1, using acquisition times of 0.1638 s in F2 and 0.0102 s in F1, 7 μ s 90° excitation pulses (p1), 2 s relaxation delay (d1), 128 scans/128 experiments and 2k total data points (TD). Single phase detection QF (quadrature off) was used for F1 indirect detection. The spectrum was computed to a 2048 $\times$ 1024 matrix with 1 Hz (F2) and 0.3 Hz (F1) exponential multiplication and squared shifted sine bell in both dimensions ($\Pi/9$).

Phase-cycled TOCSY with homonuclear Hartman–Hahn transfer using the mixing sequence DISPSI-2 and F1 States-TPPI (States–Haberkorn–Ruben method-time proportional phase incrementation) acquisition mode was conducted with acquisition times of 0.1420 s in F2 and 0.0177 s in F1, 5.22 μ s 90° excitation pulses (p1), 2 s relaxation delay (d1), 80 ms mixing time (d9), 64 scans over 256 experiments, a spectral width of 7211 Hz and 2k total data points. The spectrum was computed to a 2048 $\times$ 1024 matrix with 1 Hz (F2) and 0.3 Hz (F1) exponential multiplication and squared shifted sine bell in both dimensions ($\Pi/2$).

NOESY spectra were obtained with *noesygpph* gradient pulses during mixing with acquisition times of 0.1420 s in F2 and 0.0177 s in F1, 7.24 μ s 90° excitation pulses (p1), 2 s relaxation delay (d1), 300 ms mixing time (d8), 64 scans in F2 over 256 experiments in F1, a spectral width of 7812 Hz (13.02 ppm), a frequency offset (O1) of 2821 Hz (O1P 4.7 ppm), 2k total data points and F1 TPPI acquisition mode. The spectrum was computed to a 2048 $\times$ 1024 matrix with 1 Hz (F2) and 0.3 Hz (F1) exponential multiplication and squared shifted sine bell in both dimensions ($\Pi/2$).

2D ^1H – ^{13}C experiments

2D heteronuclear ^1H – ^{13}C correlation spectra of the toner powder and PEPs in CDCl_3 and $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{D}_2\text{O}$, respectively, were recorded using the same pulse sequences. The phase-sensitive ^1H – ^{13}C correlation *via* double inept transfer HSQC spectra (*hsqcetgp*) using echo-antiecho gradient selection (F1 detection mode) with decoupling during acquisition and trim pulse sequences in inept transfer was obtained under the following conditions: 64 scans in the F2 dimension over 256 experiments in the F1 dimension, 1024 data points (TD, F2), a 1J CH coupling constant of 145 Hz (CNST2), 16 dummy scans (DS); F2 (^1H) parameters: spectral width (SW) of 7812 Hz (13.02 ppm), frequency offset (O1) of 2821 Hz (O1P 4.7 ppm), acquisition time 65.5 ms, 7 μ s (10.65 μ s for PEP samples in $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{D}_2\text{O}$) 90° excitation pulses (p1), 1.5 s relaxation delay (d1); F1 (^{13}C) parameters: SW = 24902 Hz (165 ppm), O1 11318 Hz (75.0 ppm), acquisition time 5.1 ms, garp composite pulse ^{13}C decoupling program (60 μ s PCPD2).

The ^1H – ^{13}C correlation *via* heteronuclear zero and double quantum coherence HMBC spectra (heteronuclear multiple bond coherence; *hmbcgp1pndqf*) with a low pass *J*-filter to suppress one-bond correlations, no decoupling during

acquisition and gradient pulses for selection were acquired in magnitude mode (QF in dimension F1) with $aq = 149$ (F2)/2 (F1) ms and $d1 = 1.44$ s, 7 μ s (10.56 μ s for PEP samples in $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{D}_2\text{O}$) 90° excitation pulses (p1), 128 scans in the F2 dimension over 128 experiments in the F1 dimension, and 2048 data points (F2). In the F2 (^1H) dimension, the spectral width (SW) was 7812 Hz (13.02 ppm) and the frequency offset (O1) was 2821 Hz (O1P 4.7 ppm); in the F1 (^{13}C) dimension, the SW was 33204 Hz (220 ppm) with O1 of 15091 Hz (100.0 ppm). HSQC and HMBC NMR spectra were computed to a 2048 $\times$ 1024 matrix. HSQC spectra were computed with exponential line broadening of -20 Hz in F2 and 3 Hz in F1 and a square shifted sine bell ($\Pi/2$). HMBC spectra were computed with a normal sine bell function (SSB value set to 0). Gradient (1 ms length (p16), 200 μ s recovery (d16)) enhanced sequences were used for all 2D NMR spectra.

PAH analysis

Pristine toner powder and the Teflon filter containing the PEPs ($\text{PM}_{0.1}$ and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$) were spiked with a deuterated PAH internal extraction standard (acenaphthene- d_{10} , phenanthrene- d_{10} , chrysene- d_{12} and perylene- d_{12} ; Ultra Scientific, North Kingston, RI, USA) and equilibrated at room temperature for 24 hours. The samples were extracted with 15 ml 1/1 (v/v) *n*-hexane/acetone by sonication in ice water for 1 hour. The extracts were loaded into pre-conditioned Strata® SI-1 Silica (55 μm , 70 Å), a 200 mg/3 mL solid phase extraction cartridge (details in the ESI;† Phenomenex, Torrance, CA). PAHs were co-eluted with 3 ml of *n*-hexane and 6 ml of methylene chloride and concentrated under a gentle stream of nitrogen in a water bath at 35 °C. All samples were analyzed using a Shimadzu QP2010S gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer equipped with a Restek Rxi-XLB capillary column (30 m $\times$ 0.25 mm i.d. $\times$ 0.25 μm film thickness). The temperature program was: splitless injections at 280 °C, the oven temperature was increased from 60 °C to 150 °C at a rate of 25 °C min^{-1} (held 1 min), the temperature was increased to 280 °C at a rate of 15 °C min^{-1} (held 2 min), and then the temperature was increased to 320 °C at a rate of 5 °C min^{-1} and the column was held at 320 °C for 5 min.

The identification and quantification of PAHs was done using reference standards (Ultra Scientific, North Kingston, RI, USA). Also, to further differentiate the compositional differences between the toner powder and PEPs, the PAH concentration diagnostic ratios were taken into consideration. Such ratios for LMPAHs and HMPAHs are used to reconcile their presence in the atmosphere with potential emission sources.⁵⁶

Benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) equivalent toxicological concentration estimates

The benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) equivalent (e-BaP) toxicological concentration of the PEPs, which takes into consideration the TEFs of various PAHs,⁵⁷ was also calculated for all

samples. These factors were derived based on the relative toxicity of BaP, which has been examined most extensively in PAH toxicity studies and determined to be a probable (2A) or possible (2B) human carcinogen.⁵⁸ Taking these TEFs into consideration, the e-BaP concentration can be calculated as the summation of the product of individual PAH concentrations and the respective TEFs: e-BaP concentration = $\sum 16(\text{TEF} \times \text{PAH concentration})$, where $\sum 16$ refers to the summation over the 16 EPA-priority PAHs. The e-BaP toxicological concentration can be defined as the concentration of BaP that is equivalent in toxicity to a mixture of PAHs assuming there are similar toxicological mechanisms for all PAHs. It must be noted that this calculation represents only the cumulative PAH contribution to toxicity based on chemical toxicology and not on nano-material related data that do not exist in the published literature.

Results and discussion

Functional composition

The high-field 600 MHz ^1H -NMR spectroscopy with cryogenic detection of the toner powder and fine ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$) and ultrafine ($\text{PM}_{0.1}$) PEPs with solvent suppression showed a combination of convoluted and sharp resonances (Fig. 1). From higher to lower magnetic field, the spectra were composed of non-exchangeable organic hydrogen atoms attached to sp^3 -hybridized aliphatic carbon (HCCC; δ_{H} : 0.7–1.8 ppm; Fig. 1a), non-exchangeable organic hydrogen atoms attached to sp^3 -hybridized aliphatic carbon in the α -position of an allylic ($\text{HC}-\text{C}=\text{C}$), an acetate ($\text{HC}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{O}$) or an imino ($\text{HC}-\text{C}=\text{NR}_2$) group (δ_{H} : 1.8–3.2 ppm; Fig. 1b; “allylic” analogue, thereafter), non-exchangeable organic hydrogen atoms attached to oxygenated and methoxy ($\text{HC}-\text{O}$; δ_{H} : 3.3–4.5 ppm; Fig. 1c) groups, non-exchangeable organic hydrogen atoms




Fig. 1 ^1H NMR spectra (δ_{H} 0–10.5 ppm) of toner powder, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and $\text{PM}_{0.1}$ printer-emitted particles obtained with solvent suppression and exclusion regions for residual H₂O. The functional structures are indicated from right to left: (a) aliphatic carbon (HCCC); (b) “allylic-analogue” ($\text{HC}-\text{C}=\text{X}$); (c) oxygenated and methoxy ($\text{HC}-\text{O}$); (d) olefinic ($\text{R}-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-\text{R}$ and $\text{O}-\text{CH}-\text{O}$); (e) aromatic (HC_{ar}), the respective spectral intensities were scaled to 100% total integral within the entire region of the chemical shift (δ_{H} 0.7–10.5 ppm; with residual water excluded). Fo: formate, Bo: benzoate, La: lactate, Su: succinate, acetate, TPA: terephthalate.

attached to sp^2 -hybridised carbon ($R-CH=CH-R$) and anomeric protons in anhydrides ($O-CH-O$) (δ_H : 5.0–6.7 ppm; Fig. 1d) and non-exchangeable organic hydrogen atoms attached to aromatic carbon ($H-C_{ar}$; δ_H : 6.7–8.3 ppm; Fig. 1e) NMR resonances. The prevalence of convoluted resonances generated from overlapping signals with a considerable variance in abundance was higher for high-field resonances ($\delta_H < 3.0$ ppm) in toner powder and decreased rapidly for PEPs ($PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{0.1}$). Strong superimposed sharp NMR resonances were indicative of the predominance of individual chemical homologues or species. The abundances of sharp resonances were more pronounced in the aliphatic section as compared to those in the oxygenated and aromatic ranges (Fig. 1).

The concentrations of the non-exchangeable organic hydrogen in the five regions are presented in Table 1. The vast majority of carbonaceous PEP aerosols were associated with the ultrafine ($PM_{0.1}$) PEPs (54.5 mmol g^{-1} of $PM_{0.1}$ mass, 56.3 mmol g^{-1} of PEPs $PM_{2.5}$ mass). Assuming the molar H/C ratios of unfunctionalized and unsaturated aliphatics ($H/C = 2$), oxygenated aliphatics ($H/C = 1.1$) and aromatics ($H/C = 0.4$),^{29,30} and an organic carbon to organic mass conversion of 1.6,³¹ the reconstructed organic mass concentrations were 786.7 mg g^{-1} and 819.5 mg g^{-1} for PEPs $PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{0.1}$, respectively. This was in agreement with previous studies showing that total OC carbon accounted for more than 90% of released PEPs.⁵ Similarly, the reconstructed organic mass for toner powder was 384.8 mg g^{-1} . The sp^3 -hybridized aliphatic and “allylic-analogue” fractions accounted for 82.8%, 80.7% and 74.9% of the total non-exchangeable organic hydrogen and 66.1%, 55.5% and 48.0% of the reconstructed organic mass in toner powder, PEPs $PM_{2.5}$ and PEPs $PM_{0.1}$, respectively. The $HC-O$ fraction accounted for 9.1 to 12.5% of the non-exchangeable organic hydrogen and 11.5 to 17.7% of the reconstructed organic mass for toner powder, PEPs $PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{0.1}$. The $H-C_{ar}$ fraction was enriched in PEPs $PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{0.1}$ and represented 9.5–11.7% (as compared to 4.1% for toner powder) of the non-exchangeable organic hydrogen and 32.9–37.5% of the reconstructed organic mass (as compared to 16.2% for toner powder). Olefinic non-exchangeable organic hydrogen accounted for less than 1% of the total non-exchangeable organic hydrogen concentration for both size fractions of PEPs. The differences in the relative abundance

of the non-exchangeable organic hydrogen (and reconstructed organic mass) of toner powder and PEPs indicated the potential modification of the molecular composition with a stronger aromatic spectral signature in PEPs as compared to that observed for toner powder.

Structural characterization

This section describes the structural characteristics of toner powder and PEPs in qualitative terms by analyzing the 1D and 2D homo- and heteronuclear NMR spectra. This results in the identification of the types of organic species that are present and their relative abundance. A limited number of resonances were assigned to specific organic compounds using reference NMR spectra. The $^1H-^1H$ COSY, TOCSY, NOESY, and $^1H-^{13}C$ HSQC and HMBC NMR spectra are presented in the ESI.†

In the aliphatic region of PEPs (Fig. 1a and b), terminal methyl (CH_3-), methylene ($-CH_2-$), and $-CH-X$ (X : C or O) groups have been observed with aliphatic chains within the same spin system (*cf.* COSY (S1)). This was further supported by the poorly resolved cross peaks in the aliphatic and aromatic regions in the TOCSY, NOESY and HMBC NMR spectra (*cf.* TOCSY (S2), NOESY (S3) and HMBC (S5)). Resonances of sp^3 -hybridized carbon on aromatic rings (phenyl- $CH-$), oxygenated groups such as $HC_{sp^3}(O)-C_{sp^3}H$, $H-C_{sp^3}(O)-C_{sp^3}(O)H$ and/or ester derivatives ($R(=O)O-C_{sp^3}H_2-C_{sp^3}H(C_{sp^3}H_3)-R(or OR)$) with oxygen atoms >2 bonds away from protons were also observed (*cf.* COSY (S1) and HSQC (S4)). The NMR spectra of the toner powder demonstrated convoluted peaks in the aliphatic region reflecting multiple intra-aliphatic correlations (*e.g.*, $CH-CH_x-C_nH-CH_x-C$, $n = 1, 2$ and x varies depending on n) probably due to the polymer-based chemicals in the powder and non-exchangeable organic hydrogen bonded to sp^2 -hybridized carbon in α,β -oxygenated olefins ($R-CH_2-C_{sp^2}H=C_{sp^2}H-O-X$ (X : C or H)) (Fig. 1 and *cf.* COSY (S1) and TOCSY (S2)). Overall, the prevalence of functionalized aliphatic chains declined in the order [toner powder] $>$ [PEPs $PM_{2.5}$] $>$ [PEPs $PM_{0.1}$].

The tentatively identified compounds by means of authenticated spectra and reference NMR spectra included succinate ($^-(O(O=C)-CH_{2(a)}-CH_{2(b)}-(C=O)O^-)$; Su in Fig. 1; H_a and H_b , triplet at δ_H 2.40 ppm), lactate ($CH_{3(a)}-CH_{(b)}(-OH)-COO^-$; La

Table 1 Non-exchangeable organic hydrogen concentrations, in mmol g^{-1} (percent contribution in parentheses), based on 1H-NMR section integrals from printer powder and two PEP fractions

	H-C	H-C-C=	H-C-O	O-CH-O & H-C=	H-C _{ar}	Total
Toner powder						
Conc.	21.0 $\pm$ 0.4	5.5 $\pm$ 0.1	3.9 $\pm$ 0.1	0.3 $\pm$ 0.1	1.3 $\pm$ 0.1	32.0 $\pm$ 0.3
% Conc.	65.6 $\pm$ 0.2	17.2 $\pm$ 0.3	12.0 $\pm$ 0.1	1.1 $\pm$ 0.1	4.1 $\pm$ 0.1	
PEPs $PM_{2.5}$						
Conc.	36.3 $\pm$ 0.2	9.2 $\pm$ 0.1	5.2 $\pm$ 0.1	0.3 $\pm$ 0.1	5.4 $\pm$ 0.1	56.3 $\pm$ 0.3
% Conc.	64.5 $\pm$ 0.1	16.2 $\pm$ 0.1	9.1 $\pm$ 0.1	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	9.5 $\pm$ 0.1	
PEPs $PM_{0.1}$						
Conc.	33.4 $\pm$ 0.3	7.6 $\pm$ 0.3	6.8 $\pm$ 0.1	0.2 $\pm$ 0.1	6.4 $\pm$ 0.1	54.5 $\pm$ 0.2
% Conc.	61.0 $\pm$ 0.4	13.9 $\pm$ 0.3	12.5 $\pm$ 0.1	0.4 $\pm$ 0.1	11.7 $\pm$ 0.1	

in Fig. 1; H_a , doublet at δ_H 1.35 ppm and H_b , multiplet at δ_H 4.20 ppm) and glycerate ($HO-CH_{2(a)}-CH_{(b)}(-OH)-COO^-$; Gla in Fig. 1; H_a , doublet at δ_H 1.75 ppm and H_b , triplet at δ_H 2.12 ppm). The abundance of these compounds also declined in the order toner powder > PEPs $PM_{2.5}$ > PEPs $PM_{0.1}$. Lastly, it was observed that the resonance of acetate (CH_3COO^-) at δ_H 1.90 ppm was observed only in the toner powder.

Oxygenated groups ($HC-O$) were dominant in the toner powder and PEPs (both $PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{0.1}$), as shown by resonances attributed to oxygenated, alcohols, esters, ethers and others (with δ_H 3.8–4.5 ppm) and to a lesser extent methoxy ($-OCH_3$) derivatives (δ_H 3.2–3.8 ppm) (Fig. 1c). The strong abundance of aliphatic alcohols, esters and ethers was further corroborated by the coupling of $HC-O$ with sp^3 -hybridized aliphatic carbon (cf. TCOY (S2) and HMBC (S4)). The non-exchangeable organic hydrogen levels in alcohols, esters and ethers increased from 3.9 ± 0.1 mmol g^{-1} in toner powder to 5.2 ± 0.1 mmol g^{-1} in PEPs $PM_{2.5}$ and 6.8 ± 0.1 mmol g^{-1} in PEPs $PM_{0.1}$. The methoxy derivatives remained relatively stable (1.9 mmol g^{-1} in toner powder and 1.5 and 2.2 mmol g^{-1} in PEPs $PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{0.1}$, respectively). NMR resonances in the δ_H 5.4–5.6 ppm mostly in the toner powder and PEPs $PM_{2.5}$ are assigned to protons attached directly or in the α -position to sp^2 -hybridized olefinic carbon that is also bonded (within less than 4 bonds) to functionalized carbon ($HC_{olefin}=C_{olefin}H-(C=O)-X$) (cf. HSQC (S4) and HMBC (S5)). The diminishing abundance of olefinic protons in the PEPs ($PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{0.1}$) as compared to that in the toner powder (Table 1) may be indicative of allylic substitution and addition of radicals including peroxy radicals (formed from the

photolysis of other organics) and free-radical polymerization. These reactions are favored by heat/light and result in the formation of functionalized organic species,³² which is known to take place during printing.^{2,5}

The range of aromatic protons (Fig. 1e) showed extensive variability in the resonances found in toner powder and PEPs (both size fractions) and in the resonances found only in PEPs (predominantly in the $PM_{2.5}$ fraction, trace quantities in $PM_{0.1}$). However, the 2-D homonuclear and heteronuclear NMR spectra were comparable, indicating that the organic compounds found in the PEPs were structurally similar to the precursors in the toner powder (cf. COSY (S1) and HSQC (S5)). NMR resonances indicative of styrene-like aromatic protons are found at δ_H 7.05 ppm and 7.30 ppm caused by protons in *ortho*- and *meta*-positions ($H-C_{aromatic-sp^2}-C_{aromatic-sp^2}-H$) (cf. COSY (S1)). The coupling of aromatic protons with sp^3 -hybridized $-CH_2-$ and terminal $-CH_3$ (cf. NOESY (S3) and HMBC (S4)) within <4 bonds indicates aliphatic chains in the *para*-position. Terephthalate was tentatively identified with a strong single resonance at δ_H 7.97 ppm because of the magnetically equivalent protons in its aromatic ring. The $^1H-^{13}C$ NMR spectra showed coupling that is associated with terephthalate (cf. HSQC (S4) and HMBC (S5)). The resonances at δ_H > 8.00 ppm found in PEPs $PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{0.1}$ (but absent from toner powder) showed associations with styrene-like carbon and protons (cf. HSQC (S4) and HMBC (S5)). In styrene, C_2 and C_6 resonances at δ_C 113.85 ppm and C_3 and C_5 resonances at 127.53 were observed. The peak at δ_H 1.60 ppm was attributed to $CH_{2-\beta}$ of styrene and is coupled with the C_β signal at δ_C 31.05 ppm (Fig. 2; Fig. S4†). The resonance at δ_C




Fig. 2 Relative concentration patterns of $H-C_{ar}$, HCO , $H-C-C=$ and $H-C$ functional groups for thermally treated toner powder.

156.41 ppm was attributed to the C₄ carbon of the styrene aromatic ring. Substitution with an alkyl ether is responsible for the shift of the signal to higher field compared to the C₄ unsubstituted resonance at around 120–130 ppm.

The spectral differences between the toner powder and PEPs demonstrated compositional changes that lead to the accumulation of aromatic carbon with a strong styrene-like molecular signature in PEPs PM_{0.1}. In addition, a decline in the abundance of unsaturated and oxygenated homologues was observed. These trends may be associated with the thermal decomposition of the styrene-based polymeric powder material and the formation of semi-volatile heavier aromatic species, such as PAHs. Olefins and hydroxyl-like compounds are relatively reactive (compared to their saturated and carboxylic counterparts) and can be thermally oxidized or by allylic substitution lead to the formation of larger macromolecules.³³ The latter pathway may be further catalyzed by highly reactive nanomaterials present in the toner powder.³⁴ A study evaluating the emissions from photocopiers identified *n*-alkanes (e.g., tetracosane, tetracontane, octatriacontane, hexatriacontane) in both the toner (10 to 2670 ppm) and in all the sampled airborne fractions (2 to 10 ng m⁻³).¹

To determine the effect of temperature on toner powder composition, specimens of toner powder were thermally treated at 100 °C, 150 °C and 200 °C for 5 min under atmospheric pressure. Under typical operating conditions in laser printers, temperatures up to 200 °C may be applied to permanently attach the polymeric toner powder to the paper (i.e., fusion). Fig. 2 shows the functional composition of the thermally treated toner powder. The ¹H-NMR spectra of the toner powder for the five treatments are presented in Fig. S6.† For all thermally treated toner powder samples, H–C was the dominant carbon type followed by H–C_{ar}. The relative contribution of H–C_{ar} increased moderately when the temperatures were increased up to 200 °C and 300 °C, while the percent abundance of H–C decreased. The percent abundance of unsaturated and oxygenated aliphatics remains relatively

unchanged up to 200 °C. These trends were indicative of possible transformation of long aliphatic chains in the styrene-based polymeric material to aromatic carbon through pyrolytic and catalytic mechanisms related to the presence of metal/metal oxide ENPs and the high-temperature process.

PAHs

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have been of scientific interest due to their carcinogenic and mutagenic properties.³⁵ It was shown here that the mean concentration of Σ PAH increased from 7.2 ng mg⁻¹ (0.00072%) in the toner powder to 16.0 ng mg⁻¹ (0.0016%) and 67.0 ng mg⁻¹ (0.0067%) in the PM_{0.1} and PM_{2.5} PEP size fractions, respectively. The individual PAH concentrations in the toner powder and PEPs are presented in Table 2, while Fig. 3 shows the relative distribution patterns of PAHs in the toner powder and the PM_{2.5} and PM_{0.1} size fractions of PEPs. As shown, the PEPs had total PAH concentrations that are approximately 2 to 10-fold higher than those found in the toner powder. LMPAHs (two- and three-ring PAHs) including naphthalene, acenaphthylene and acenaphthene accounted for 79–96% of the total PAH concentration in the toner powder, while HMPAHs (pyrene, benzo[*a*]anthracene, chrysene, benzo[*b*]fluoranthene and benzo[*a*]pyrene) contributed less than 5% of the total PAHs. Conversely, HMPAHs (chrysene, anthracene and benzo[*a*]pyrene) were the most abundant components in PEPs. The concentration of four- and five-ring PAHs increased from 0.28 ng mg⁻¹ in the toner powder to 8.43 ng mg⁻¹ (52.8% of total PAHs) and 36.32 ng mg⁻¹ (54.2% of total PAHs) in PEPs PM_{0.1} and PM_{2.5}, respectively. The HMPAHs have lower vapor pressures and, thus, have a higher tendency to be sorbed and bound to PEP particles.^{36,37} Interestingly, a study characterizing emissions from photocopiers reported PAHs in the airborne fraction at levels two to five times higher than the blank sample, but because the PAHs were not identified in the toner powder, the authors attributed the observation to possible contamination.¹

Table 2 Mean PAHs and BaP-equivalent concentrations estimated using cancer toxic equivalency factors (TEF) for the individual PAHs in toner powder, PEPs PM_{2.5} and PEPs PM_{0.1}

Compound	TEF	Concentration (ng mg ⁻¹)			BaP equivalent concentration		
		Toner powder	PEPs PM _{0.1}	PEPs PM _{2.5}	Toner powder	PEPs PM _{0.1}	PEPs PM _{2.5}
Naphthalene	0.001	1.3	2.9	1.7	0.001	0.003	0.002
Acenaphthylene	0.001	2.2	2.8	2.9	0.002	0.003	0.003
Acenaphthene	0.001	1.8	0	1.3	0.002	0.000	0.001
Fluorene	0.001	0.7	0	2.4	0.001	0.000	0.002
Phenanthrene	0.001	0.2	0.4	6.3	0.000	0.000	0.006
Anthracene	0.001	0.4	0.6	10.6	0.000	0.001	0.011
Fluoranthene	0.001	0.3	0.9	5.5	0.000	0.001	0.006
Pyrene	0.001	0.3	0.9	2.6	0.000	0.001	0.003
Benzo[<i>a</i>]anthracene	0.1	0	1.3	7.3	0.000	0.130	0.730
Chrysene	0.01	0	3	9.6	0.000	0.030	0.096
Benzo[<i>b</i>]fluoranthene	0.1	0	0.6	5.9	0.000	0.060	0.590
Benzo[<i>k</i>]fluoranthene	0.1	0	0.2	5.1	0.000	0.020	0.510
Benzo[<i>a</i>]pyrene	1	0	2.3	5.8	0.000	2.300	5.800
Total PAH conc.		7.2	16.0	67.0			
Total TEF-equivalent conc.					0.000	2.600	7.800
% TEF-equivalent/total conc.					0%	16%	12%




Fig. 3 Relative distribution of PAHs in toner powder, PEPs PM_{2.5} and PEPs PM_{0.1} (Nap: naphthalene; Acy: acenaphthylene; Ace: acenaphthene; Flu: fluorene; Phe: phenanthrene; Ant: anthracene; Fla: fluoranthene; Pyr: pyrene; BaA: benzo[a]anthracene; Chr: chrysene; BbF: benzo[b]fluoranthene; BkF: benzo[k]fluoranthene; BaP: benzo[a]pyrene).

PAH concentration diagnostic ratios were also considered in the analysis to better understand the compositional differences between toner powder and PEPs. For LMPAHs, the mean [Phe/(Phe + Ant)] ratios were 0.38 for toner powder and 0.37–0.41 for PEPs, indicating relatively similar fingerprints. The mean [Fla/(Fla + Pyr)] ratio increased from 0.47 for toner powder to 0.50–0.67 for PEPs, giving this ratio the potential to be used in methods of fingerprinting PEPs. Since HMPAHs, except pyrene, were not detected in the toner powder, the [BaA/(BaA + Chr)] ratio was only calculated for PEPs and was found to have a mean value that ranged from 0.31 to 0.43. All diagnostic ratios were higher than those calculated for ambient PAHs released from high temperature combustion of fossil fuels,^{38,39} indicating that the fingerprint of PEPs using this method is unique from that using combustion processes.

The measurable change in the PAH speciation profile of the PEPs compared to that of the toner powder may be linked to the presence of ENPs, the majority of which are metals and metal oxides, in the toner. A similar finding has been reported on the incineration of nano-enabled thermoplastics that contained nano-sized metal oxides (*i.e.*, titanium dioxide, iron oxide) and carbon nanotubes.^{28,40–42} The authors noticed not only an overall increase in the concentration of PAHs in the released lifecycle particular matter but a distinct promotion of conversion of LMPAHs to HMPAHs compared to the pristine thermoplastic, which did not contain ENPs.^{28,41} This unmistakable change in chemical profile towards HMPAHs

during thermal degradation of thermoplastics was concluded to be due to the presence of catalytic ENPs.

We hypothesize that this same catalytic phenomenon may occur due to the additives in the toner powder, particularly because of the size and catalytic properties of the added metal/metal oxides which are also released and found in PEPs. Various metal/metal oxide ENPs similar to those found in toners and PEPs, such as iron, manganese, titania, zinc and copper, have been known to have enhanced catalytic properties due to the increased reactivity and selectivity of these metallic particles.^{41,43–46} It is also worth noting that other particle formation mechanisms involving ozone, in addition to the nanoscale metal and metal oxide particles added and released from the toners during printing, have been proposed in previous studies and may also contribute to this VOC transformation phenomenon taking place and reported in this study.^{1–4,59}

Furthermore, in order to calculate potential risks for PAHs, the toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) were applied to evaluate the lung cancer risk of PAHs, assuming a unit risk for BaP as described in the methods section. The BaP-equivalent concentrations were estimated as the product of TEFs and the concentrations for each individual PAH (Table 2). The BaP-equivalent concentrations for PEPs accounted for up to 16% of the total PAH concentration in PEPs PM_{0.1} and 12% in PEPs PM_{2.5}. The difference between the measured and BaP-equivalent concentrations of PAHs was primarily due to variations in the concentrations of

LMPAHs that did not contribute significantly to the overall carcinogenicity index, as these LMPAHs have a lower carcinogenicity potential. It is worth noting that the use of TEFs in estimating risks may seriously underestimate the carcinogenicity of PAHs because volatile PAHs can further react with oxidants to form highly mutagenic oxygenated and nitrated polycyclic aromatic compounds (O/N-PACs). Moreover, TEFs are based on the toxicity of PAHs as a chemical and do not take into account the nanoparticle nature of the chemical compound. It is well known that, in general, the toxicity of ENPs may significantly differ from that of the micron-sized particles and chemicals.^{47–49}

While it is crucial to identify the potential carcinogenic PAHs present on PEPs, detailed toxicological studies establishing a mechanism of action for these PAHs are of utter importance and are needed to assess potential health risks. It is worth noting that there are published studies on HMPAHs (e.g., BaP) such as the bioavailability and metabolism of a known comparative inhaled particle-borne carcinogen found in diesel exhaust.⁵⁰ The authors of that study concluded that subsequent to the inhalation of BaP-adsorbed diesel soot, BaP quickly desorbed from the carbonaceous core and was then adsorbed, unmetabolized, into the blood stream of the exposed dogs, where it mostly underwent phase II metabolism. The findings from that study highlighted the fact that the toxicity of particle-adsorbed BaP is mainly dictated by the ability of the PAH to be released and come into contact with the target organ/tissue. While the study only evaluated the metabolism of one PAH, it must be noted that more than 10 different PAHs were identified on the PEPs. Therefore, more toxicological studies need to be conducted in order to have a clearer understanding of the impact of PAHs from PEPs on human health, particularly their reactive metabolites since they can bind to proteins and DNA and cause mutations, cancers or other adverse effects.⁵¹ Furthermore, additional analysis for other organic species beyond PAHs using GC- or LC-MS/MS for the structural elucidation of other compounds would aid in better understanding the complex chemistry of PEPs.

Conclusions

The extensive analysis of organic species of PEPs described here is indicative of the synergistic effects of organics in toners and engineered nanomaterials, as shown by the marked transformation in the molecular composition of both the toner powder and PEPs during the printing process. Specifically, there is a stronger aromatic spectral signature in PEPs compared to that in the toner powder, which is suggestive of radical additions and free-radical polymerization that are directly associated with heat and potential catalytic effects due to the presence of nano-scale metals, resulting in the formation of functionalized organic species. Most importantly, such accumulation of aromatic carbons with a strong styrene-like molecular signature observed in the PEPs could possibly be associated with the formation of semi-volatile heavier aromatic species, such as PAHs.

The change in PAH composition and the shift from low to high molecular weight in PEPs compared to the toner powder might imply an increase in the toxicity potential (e.g., carcinogenic and mutagenic) of these PEPs, which raises concerns for human health implications. Considering that these nano-enabled toners are used extensively and exposures to the pollutants released by toner-based printing equipment are inevitable in both occupational and home/office environments, a closer toxicological assessment is warranted.

Disclaimer

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent views of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health or the Consumer Protection Safety Commission.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge funding for this study from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) and the Consumer Protection Safety Commission (CPSC) (Grant # 212-2012-M-51174), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (Grant # HL007118) and the National Science Foundation (CBET#1350789). The NMR experiments were performed at the UAB Cancer Center High-Field NMR Facility supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (Grant # 1P30 CA-13148).

References

- 1 D. Bello, J. Martin, C. Santeufemio, Q. Sun, K. Lee Bunker and M. Shafer, *et al.*, Physicochemical and morphological characterisation of nanoparticles from photocopiers: implications for environmental health, *Nanotoxicology*, 2013, 7(5), 989–1003. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/17435390.2012.689883>.
- 2 S. V. Pirela, G. Pyrgiotakis, D. Bello, T. Thomas, V. Castranova and P. Demokritou, Development and characterization of an exposure platform suitable for physico-chemical, morphological and toxicological characterization of printer-emitted particles (PEPs), *Inhalation Toxicol.*, 2014, 26(7), 400–408. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/08958378.2014.908987>.
- 3 C. W. Lee and D. J. Hsu, Measurements of fine and ultrafine particles formation in photocopy centers in Taiwan, *Atmos. Environ.*, 2007, 41(31), 6598–6609.
- 4 T. Schripp, M. Wensing, E. Uhde, T. Salthammer, C. He and L. Morawska, Evaluation of ultrafine particle emissions from laser printers using emission test chambers, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2008, 42(12), 4338–4343.
- 5 S. V. Pirela, G. A. Sotiriou, D. Bello, M. Shafer, K. L. Bunker and V. Castranova, *et al.*, Consumer exposures to laser

- printer-emitted engineered nanoparticles: A case study of life-cycle implications from nano-enabled products, *Nanotoxicology*, 2015, 9(6), 760–768. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/17435390.2014.976602>.
- 6 J. Martin, P. Demokritou, S. Woskie and D. Bello, Indoor Air Quality in Photocopy Centers, Nanoparticle Exposures at Photocopy Workstations, and the Need for Exposure Controls, *Ann. Occup. Hyg.*, 2017, 61(1), 110–122. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/annweh/article/61/1/110/2762739/Indoor-Air-Quality-in-Photocopy-Centers>.
 - 7 J. Martin, D. Bello, K. Bunker, M. Shafer, D. Christiani and S. Woskie, *et al.*, Occupational exposure to nanoparticles at commercial photocopy centers, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2015, 298, 351–360. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0304389415004707>.
 - 8 J. M. Cohen, R. Derk, L. Wang, J. Godleski, L. Kobzik and J. Brain, *et al.*, Tracking translocation of industrially relevant engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) across alveolar epithelial monolayers in vitro, *Nanotoxicology*, 2014, 8(sup1), 216–225. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/17435390.2013.879612>.
 - 9 G. Oberdörster, A. Elder and A. Rinderknecht, Nanoparticles and the Brain: Cause for Concern?, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2009, 9(8), 4996–5007. Available from: <http://openurl.ingenta.com/content/xref?genre=article&issn=1533-4880&volume=9&issue=8&page=4996>.
 - 10 J. D. Sisler, S. V. Pirela, J. Shaffer, A. L. Mihalchik, W. P. Chisholm and M. E. Andrew, *et al.*, Toxicological Assessment of CoO and La₂O₃ Metal Oxide Nanoparticles in Human Small Airway Epithelial Cells, *Toxicol. Sci.*, 2016, 150(2), 418–428. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/toxsci/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/toxsci/kfw005>.
 - 11 P. Demokritou, S. Gass, G. Pyrgiotakis, J. M. Cohen, W. Goldsmith and W. McKinney, *et al.*, An in vivo and in vitro toxicological characterisation of realistic nanoscale CeO₂ inhalation exposures, *Nanotoxicology*, 2013, 7(8), 1338–1350. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23061914>.
 - 12 N. V. Konduru, K. M. Murdaugh, G. A. Sotiriou, T. C. Donaghey, P. Demokritou and J. D. Brain, *et al.*, Bioavailability, distribution and clearance of tracheally-instilled and gavaged uncoated or silica-coated zinc oxide nanoparticles, *Part. Fibre Toxicol.*, 2014, 11(1), 44. Available from: <http://particleandfibretoxicology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12989-014-0044-6>.
 - 13 M. Geiser and W. G. Kreyling, Deposition and biokinetics of inhaled nanoparticles, *Part. Fibre Toxicol.*, 2010, 7(1), 2. Available from: <http://particleandfibretoxicology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1743-8977-7-2>.
 - 14 S. V. Pirela, J. Martin, D. Bello and P. Demokritou, Nanoparticle exposures from nano-enabled toner-based printing equipment and human health: state of science and future research needs, *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.*, 2017, 1–27. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28524743%0Ahttps://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10408444.2017.1318354>.
 - 15 E. Géhin, O. Ramalho and S. Kirchner, Size distribution and emission rate measurement of fine and ultrafine particle from indoor human activities, *Atmos. Environ.*, 2008, 42(35), 8341–8352.
 - 16 T. Salthammer, T. Schripp, E. Uhde and M. Wensing, Aerosols generated by hardcopy devices and other electrical appliances, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2012, 169, 167–174. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.01.028>.
 - 17 M. Wensing, T. Schripp, E. Uhde and T. Salthammer, Ultra-fine particles release from hardcopy devices: Sources, real-room measurements and efficiency of filter accessories, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2008, 407(1), 418–427. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.08.018>.
 - 18 S. V. Pirela, I. R. Miousse, X. Lu, V. Castranova, T. Thomas and Y. Qian, *et al.*, Effects of Laser Printer-Emitted Engineered Nanoparticles on Cytotoxicity, Chemokine Expression, Reactive Oxygen Species, DNA Methylation, and DNA Damage: A Comprehensive in Vitro Analysis in Human Small Airway Epithelial Cells, Macrophages, and Lymphoblasts, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 2015, 124(2), 210–219. Available from: <http://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/1409582>.
 - 19 J. D. Sisler, S. V. Pirela, S. Friend, M. Farcas, D. Schwegler-Berry and A. Shvedova, *et al.*, Small airway epithelial cells exposure to printer-emitted engineered nanoparticles induces cellular effects on human microvascular endothelial cells in an alveolar-capillary co-culture model, *Nanotoxicology*, 2015, 9, 769–779. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25387250>.
 - 20 X. Lu, I. R. Miousse, S. V. Pirela, S. Melnyk, I. Koturbash and P. Demokritou, Short-term exposure to engineered nanomaterials affects cellular epigenome, *Nanotoxicology*, 2015, 10(2), 1–11. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/17435390.2015.1025115>.
 - 21 X. Lu, I. R. Miousse, S. V. Pirela, J. K. Moore, S. Melnyk and I. Koturbash, *et al.*, In vivo epigenetic effects induced by engineered nanomaterials: A case study of copper oxide and laser printer-emitted engineered nanoparticles, *Nanotoxicology*, 2016, 10(5), 629–639. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/17435390.2015.1108473>.
 - 22 S. V. Pirela, X. Lu, I. Miousse, J. D. Sisler, Y. Qian and N. Guo, *et al.*, Effects of intratracheally instilled laser printer-emitted engineered nanoparticles in a mouse model: A case study of toxicological implications from nanomaterials released during consumer use, *NanoImpact*, 2016, 1, 1–8.
 - 23 M. Khatri, D. Bello, A. K. Pal, J. M. Cohen, S. Woskie and T. Gassert, *et al.*, Evaluation of cytotoxic, genotoxic and inflammatory responses of nanoparticles from photocopiers in three human cell lines, *Part. Fibre Toxicol.*, 2013, 10(1), 42. Available from: <http://particleandfibretoxicology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1743-8977-10-42>.
 - 24 N. Elango, V. Kasi, B. Vembhu and J. G. Poornima, Chronic exposure to emissions from photocopiers in copy shops causes oxidative stress and systematic inflammation among photocopier operators in India, *Environ. Health*, 2013, 12(1), 78. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3849716&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.

- 25 O. Awodele, A. J. Akindele, G. O. Adebawale and O. O. Adeyemi, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon, Haematological and Oxidative Stress Levels in Commercial Photocopier Operators in Lagos, Nigeria, *Ghana Med J.*, 2015, **49**(1), 37–43. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26339083>.
- 26 A. Karimi, S. Eslamizad, M. Mostafaei, Z. Momeni, F. Ziafati and S. Mohammadi, Restrictive Pattern of Pulmonary Symptoms among Photocopy and Printing Workers: A Retrospective Cohort Study, *J Res Health Sci.*, 2016, **16**(2), 81–84. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27497775>.
- 27 C.-Y. Yang and Y.-C. Haung, A cross-sectional study of respiratory and irritant health symptoms in photocopier workers in Taiwan, *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part A*, 2008, **71**(19), 1314–1317. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18686202>.
- 28 D. Singh, L. A. Schiffman, C. Watson-Wright, G. A. Sotiriou, V. Oyanedel-Craver and W. Wohlleben, *et al.*, Nanofiller presence enhances polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) profile on nanoparticles released during thermal decomposition of nano-enabled thermoplastics: Potential Environmental Health Implications, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **51**(9), 5222–5232. Available from: <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.est.6b06448>.
- 29 S. Fuzzi, S. Decesari, M. C. Facchini, E. Matta, M. Mircea and E. Tagliavini, A simplified model of the water soluble organic component of atmospheric aerosols, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 2001, **28**(21), 4079–4082. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2001GL013418>.
- 30 M. C. G. Chalbot, P. Chitranshi, G. Gamboa da Costa, E. Pollock and I. G. Kavouras, Characterization of water-soluble organic matter in urban aerosol by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy, *Atmos. Environ.*, 2016, **128**, 235–245. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S135223101530649X>.
- 31 B. J. Turpin and H.-J. Lim, Species Contributions to PM_{2.5} Mass Concentrations: Revisiting Common Assumptions for Estimating Organic Mass, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 2001, **35**(1), 602–610. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02786820119445>.
- 32 C. J. Hayes, J. K. Merle and C. M. Hadad, The chemistry of reactive radical intermediates in combustion and the atmosphere, In: *Advances in Physical Organic Chemistry*, 2009, pp. 79–134. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0065316008000038>.
- 33 J. De Armas, S. P. Kolis and A. H. Hoveyda, Zr-catalyzed olefin alkylations and allylic substitution reactions with electrophiles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**(25), 5977–5983. Available from: <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ja000693j>.
- 34 F. Alonso, P. Riente and M. Yus, Transfer hydrogenation of olefins catalysed by nickel nanoparticles, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, **65**(51), 10637–10643. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0040402009015890>.
- 35 K.-H. Kim, S. A. Jahan, E. Kabir and R. J. C. Brown, A review of airborne polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and their human health effects, *Environ. Int.*, 2013, **60**, 71–80. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0160412013001633>.
- 36 L. N. Soldatova, S.-A. Sansone, S. M. Stephens and N. H. Shah, Selected papers from the 13th Annual Bio-Ontologies Special Interest Group Meeting, *J. Biomed. Semantics*, 2011, **2**(Suppl 2), I1. Available from: <http://jbiomedsem.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/2041-1480-2-S2-I1>.
- 37 H. I. Abdel-Shafy and M. S. M. Mansour, A review on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Source, environmental impact, effect on human health and remediation, *Egypt. J. Pet.*, 2016, **25**(1), 107–123. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1110062114200237>.
- 38 K. Ravindra, R. Sokhi and R. Van Grieken, Atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Source attribution, emission factors and regulation, *Atmos. Environ.*, 2008, **42**(13), 2895–2921. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1352231007011351>.
- 39 V. K. Kasaraneni and V. Oyanedel-Craver, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Contamination in Soils of San Mateo Ixtatán, Guatemala: Occurrence, Sources, and Health Risk Assessment, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 2016, **45**(5), 1635. Available from: <https://dl.sciencesocieties.org/publications/jeq/abstracts/45/5/1635>.
- 40 G. A. Sotiriou, D. Singh, F. Zhang, M. C. G. Chalbot, E. Spielman-Sun and L. Hoering, *et al.*, Thermal decomposition of nano-enabled thermoplastics: Possible environmental health and safety implications, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2016, **305**, 87–95. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0304389415302028>.
- 41 E. P. Vejerano, A. L. Holder and L. C. Marr, Emissions of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins, and dibenzofurans from incineration of nanomaterials, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **47**(9), 4866–4874. Available from: <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es304895z>.
- 42 E. P. Vejerano, E. C. Leon, A. L. Holder and L. C. Marr, Characterization of particle emissions and fate of nanomaterials during incineration, *Environ. Sci.: Nano*, 2014, **1**(2), 133–143. Available from: <http://xlink.rsc.org/?DOI=C3EN00080J>.
- 43 X. Du, D. Zhang, L. Shi, R. Gao and J. Zhang, Morphology dependence of catalytic properties of Ni/CeO₂ nanostructures for carbon dioxide reforming of methane, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, **116**(18), 10009–10016. Available from: <http://pubs.acs.org.ezp-prod1.hul.harvard.edu/doi/pdf/10.1021/jp300543r>.
- 44 B. R. Cuenya, Synthesis and catalytic properties of metal nanoparticles: Size, shape, support, composition, and oxidation state effects, *Thin Solid Films*, 2010, **518**(12), 3127–3150. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S004060901000057X>.
- 45 I. P. Beletskaya and A. V. Chepurakov, Copper in cross-coupling reactions: The post-Ullmann chemistry, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **248**(21–24), 2337–2364. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0010854504002383>.

- 46 T. Chen and V. O. Rodionov, Controllable Catalysis with Nanoparticles: Bimetallic Alloy Systems and Surface Adsorbates, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, 6(6), 4025–4033. Available from: <http://pubs.acs.org.ezp-prod1.hul.harvard.edu/doi/pdf/10.1021/acscatal.6b00714>.
- 47 J. Choi, Q. Zhang, V. Reipa, N. S. Wang, M. E. Stratmeyer and V. M. Hitchins, *et al.*, Comparison of cytotoxic and inflammatory responses of photoluminescent silicon nanoparticles with silicon micron-sized particles in RAW 264.7 macrophages, *J. Appl. Toxicol.*, 2009, 29(1), 52–60. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/jat.1382>.
- 48 D. Sahu, G. M. Kannan, M. Tailang and R. Vijayaraghavan, In Vitro Cytotoxicity of Nanoparticles: A Comparison between Particle Size and Cell Type, *J. Nanosci.*, 2016, 2016, 1–9. Available from: <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jns/2016/4023852/>.
- 49 A. Richter and J. Sadowski, *Nanoscience and nanotechnology*, Central European Journal of Physics, Wiley, 2011, vol. 5, pp. 2010–2011. Available from: <http://www.springerlink.com/index/X51K18326183J172.pdf>.
- 50 P. Gerde, B. A. Muggenburg, M. Lundborg and A. R. Dahl, The rapid alveolar absorption of diesel soot-adsorbed benzo[a]pyrene: bioavailability, metabolism and dosimetry of an inhaled particle-borne carcinogen, *Carcinogenesis*, 2001, 22(5), 741–749. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/carcin/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/carcin/22.5.741>.
- 51 B. Armstrong, E. Hutchinson, J. Unwin and T. Fletcher, Lung cancer risk after exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: A review and meta-analysis, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 2004, 112(9), 970–978. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15198916>.
- 52 P. Demokritou, S. J. Lee, S. T. Ferguson and P. Koutrakis, A compact multistage (cascade) impactor for the characterization of atmospheric aerosols, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 2004, 35(3), 281–299. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0021850203004361>.
- 53 A. K. Pal, C. Y. Watson, S. V. Pirela, D. Singh, M. C. G. Chalbot and I. Kavouras, *et al.*, Linking exposures of particles released from nano-enabled products to toxicology: An integrated methodology for particle sampling, extraction, dispersion, and dosing, *Toxicol. Sci.*, 2015, 146(2), 321–333. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/toxsci/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/toxsci/kfv095>.
- 54 P. Demokritou, I. G. Kavouras, S. T. Ferguson and P. Koutrakis, Development of a High Volume Cascade Impactor for Toxicological and Chemical Characterization Studies, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 2002, 36(9), 925–933. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02786820290092113>.
- 55 G. R. Fulmer, A. J. M. Miller, N. H. Sherden, H. E. Gottlieb, A. Nudelman and B. M. Stoltz, *et al.*, NMR chemical shifts of trace impurities: Common laboratory solvents, organics, and gases in deuterated solvents relevant to the organometallic chemist, *Organometallics*, 2010, 29(9), 2176–2179. Available from: <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/om100106e>.
- 56 L. A. Schiffman and T. B. Boving, Spatial and seasonal atmospheric PAH deposition patterns and sources in Rhode Island, *Atmos. Environ.*, 2015, 120, 253–261. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1352231015303010>.
- 57 I. C. T. Nisbet and P. K. LaGoy, Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*, 1992, 16(3), 290–300. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1293646>.
- 58 H. Yu, Environmental Carcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons: Photochemistry and Phototoxicity, *J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part C: Environ. Carcinog. Ecotoxicol. Rev.*, 2002, 20(2), 149–183. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1081/GNC-120016203>.
- 59 J. Kowalska, M. G. Szewczyńska and M. Pośniak, (2014) Measurements of chlorinated volatile organic compounds emitted from office printers and photocopiers, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2015, 22, 5241–5252.