



Case Studies: Workplace Exposures to the Corrosion-Inhibiting Chemicals from a Steam Humidification System

Bruce Hills , Boris Lushniak & Tom Sinks

To cite this article: Bruce Hills , Boris Lushniak & Tom Sinks (1990) Case Studies: Workplace Exposures to the Corrosion-Inhibiting Chemicals from a Steam Humidification System, Applied Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 5:10, 672-673, DOI: [10.1080/1047322X.1990.10387767](https://doi.org/10.1080/1047322X.1990.10387767)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047322X.1990.10387767>



Published online: 25 Feb 2011.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 4



View related articles [↗](#)



Citing articles: 1 View citing articles [↗](#)

Workplace Exposures to the Corrosion-Inhibiting Chemicals from a Steam Humidification System

Bruce Hills, Boris Lushniak, and Tom Sinks
Hazard Evaluation and Technical Assistance Branch
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Cincinnati, Ohio

Editor's Note: The case studies approach has long been a staple of occupational and environmental hygiene education and practice. Case studies allow us access to approaches that have been attempted by others. As such, they may or may not represent every state-of-the-art, but they do tell us more about the actual state of practice.

Every case study must have an objective, and each invariably includes observations. From these observations, an evaluation may emerge. Most case studies include recommendations. When recommendations are made, it should be remembered that they are made at a single point in time and are likely to apply at that time but may become less applicable as more effective methodologies emerge.

"Case Studies" is a regular feature of *Applied*. Dawn Tharr is the column editor. We welcome submissions from our readers of appropriate case studies.

On December 5, 1988, 70 of 84 employees working as assemblers in a Cincinnati, Ohio, electrical components manufacturing firm developed a variety of symptoms including nausea; vomiting; dizziness; and eye, nose, and throat irritation. Their symptoms occurred shortly after employees detected an odor (described as musty, pungent, ammonia-like, and radiator-like) that coincided with the introduction of steam for humidification which was derived from the plant boiler. The work area was evacuated, and 40 employees were evaluated by the company nurse. Eleven were seen at local hospitals and released. The steam was

turned off to most of the work areas 90 minutes after the first symptoms appeared. Investigators from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) were contacted three days later when the reintroduction of boiler steam for humidification resulted in the same pungent odor, causing the company to evacuate the affected areas. NIOSH investigators discovered that in mid-September two corrosion-inhibiting chemicals, diethylaminoethanol (DEAE) and cyclohexylamine (CHA), had been added to the boiler at 4 times normal strength. During the next 2.5 months, the boiler sat idle.

On December 5 when boiler steam was introduced, the risk of illness (the presence of at least two of the symptoms listed above for those persons working in the humidified area) was 4.33 (95% confidence interval 2.06–9.13) when compared with employees in another area of the plant which was not humidified by the steam. On December 9, after workers had left for the day, steam was reintroduced into the work area, and samples of boiler water and air were collected and analyzed for the amines according to NIOSH Method No. 2007.⁽¹⁾ No DEAE or CHA was detected, possibly because of dilution in the system during the preceding four days. Most boilers require fresh water daily to make up for losses from escaping steam and drained condensation. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL) for DEAE and CHA is 50 mg/m³ (10 ppm) and 40 mg/m³ (10 ppm) respectively.²

Background

DEAE and CHA become airborne in steam and can result in inhalation and dermal exposure. Significant exposure is more likely if these chemicals are added to the steam-generating system in one large dose, rather than in small continuous amounts. DEAE and CHA are both strong irritants. In one case report, a laboratory worker exposed to an estimated concentration of 480 mg/m³ (100 ppm) DEAE for less than 30 seconds became nauseated and vomited within 5 minutes.⁽³⁾ Data on human health risks of long-term, low-level airborne exposure to these amines are not available. However, DEAE may be converted to a nitrosamine, and nitrosamines are suspected of being carcinogenic in humans.⁽⁴⁾

NIOSH has investigated three other incidents of illness related to exposure to boiler steam that contained corrosion-inhibiting chemicals. In 1981, 24 employees in the office area of a production building reported skin rashes with some complaints of dry throat, headache, and chest tightness.⁽⁵⁾ NIOSH investigators concluded that the dermatitis resulted from exposure to a condensation or reaction product of DEAE added to the air handling system. In 1982, employees in a museum where DEAE had been added to a humidification system complained of eye irritation and dermatitis.⁽⁶⁾ Two air samples had DEAE concentrations of 0.05 and 0.04 mg/m³. In 1988, nurses in a Portland, Oregon, hospital had complaints of eye and upper respiratory tract irritation after the introduc-

tion of CHA and morpholine into the boiler water used to humidify the nursery and the newborn intensive care unit.⁽⁷⁾

The OSHA PEL was promulgated for the protection of industrial workers. It was not intended to provide a margin of safety for the general public.

The use of amine corrosion-inhibiting chemicals in boiler steam to humidify occupied buildings should be discontinued. At least one major supplier of corrosion-inhibiting chemicals has recognized the potential health hazards of DEAE and, in 1983, warned all of its customers against such use.⁽⁸⁾

More information may be obtained by contacting the authors at the following address: NIOSH, Hazard Evaluations and Technical Assistance Branch, 4676 Columbia Parkway, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226; 1-800-35NIOASH.

References

1. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health: NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods, 3rd ed. DHHS (NIOSH) Pub. 84-100. NTIS No. PB-85-179-018/A22. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA (1984).
2. U.S. Department of Labor: General Industry. OSHA Safety and Health Standards, 29 CFR 1910; OSHA 3112. USDOL/OSHA, Washington DC (1989).
3. Cornish, H.H.: Oral and Inhalation Toxicity of 2-Diethylaminoethanol. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 26(5):479-484 (1965).
4. Meiners, A.F.; Gadberry, H.; Carson, B.L.; et al.: Volatile Corrosion Inhibitors and Boiler Water Additives: Potential for Nitrosamine Formation. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, Report No. 560/11-80-023 (May 15, 1980).
5. McManus K.; Baker, D.: Health Hazard Evaluation—Boehringer Ingelheim, Ltd., Ridgefield, CT. Report No. HETA 81-247-958. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH (1981).
6. Fannick, N.; Lipscomb, J.; McManus, K.: Health Hazard Evaluation—Johnson Museum, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. Report No. HETA 83-020-1351. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH (1983).
7. Daniels, W.: Personal communication. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH (February 2, 1989).
8. Union Carbide Corporation: Letter to customer (September 19, 1985).

Think CHEMTOX!

Before you invest in Hazardous Chemical Database Management.

Many people purchase software expecting to save time . . . only to discover—after installation—that they have to work harder than their computer. Too late they realize their software can't generate essential reports or quickly retrieve critical information.

CHEMTOX System software is remarkably powerful. Its **Revelation DBMS** environment offers time-saving tools and versatility other database users only dream about. Find out about the range of challenges **CHEMTOX** and **MSDS ACCESS** handle daily.

CHEMTOX® Database features: ■ 6,000 regulated substances (42,000+ synonyms) and generates data sheets on each. ■ Call up information from more than 200 data fields. ■ Display options for Regulations, Toxicity, Transportation, Exposure symptoms, Emergency response, Spill/Disposal, Personnel protection, Physical properties, Analytical methods. ■ *ad hoc* queries. ■ **UPDATED QUARTERLY.**

MSDS ACCESS® software features: ■ Generates SARA304, 312 and 313 FORM R reports, and performs threshold determinations. ■ Holds personnel records including OSHA health and accident data. ■ Stores MSDS in OSHA or WHMIS formats. ■ Maintains inventories of each chemical on site. ■ Produces labels. ■ Manifest tracking. ■ Available versions: OSHA, WHMIS, NEW JERSEY.

DocuWaste™: ■ Generates the EPA Uniform Hazardous Waste Manifest, waste labels, Annual Generator's Report, and worksheets for state formats. ■ Tracks waste containers. ■ Provides disposal costs. ■ Produces status, exception and *ad hoc* reports.

MSDS Data Entry Service: ■ Overcomes the problems of entering material safety data sheets into computerized systems. ■ 99.0% minimum accuracy guaranteed. ■ Data verification available.

The **CHEMTOX** and **MSDS ACCESS** software systems run on any IBM PC or compatible computer with hard-drive memory. The efficient design of the **CHEMTOX Database** allows its use in a host of the increasingly popular laptop computers.

The
CHEMTOX®
System

The CHEMTOX® System

division of Resource Consultants, Inc.

P.O. Box 1848, Brentwood, TN 37024-1848

1-800-338-2815 in U.S. or Canada

615/373-5040 in Tennessee; 609/737-9009 in New Jersey

Circle reader action no. 123