ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The Role of Occupational Status in the Association Between Job Strain and Ambulatory Blood Pressure During Working and Nonworking Days

Nataria T. Joseph, PhD, Matthew F. Muldoon, MD, MPH, Stephen B. Manuck, PhD,
Karen A. Matthews, PhD, Leslie A. MacDonald, ScD, James Grosch, PhD,
and Thomas W. Kamarck, PhD

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The objectives of this study were to determine whether job strain is more strongly associated with higher ambulatory blood pressure (ABP) among blue-collar workers compared with white-collar workers, to examine whether this pattern generalizes across working and nonworking days and across sex, and to examine whether this pattern is accounted for by psychosocial factors or health behaviors during daily life.

Methods: A total of 480 healthy workers (mean age = 43 years, 53% female) in the Adult Health and Behavior Project–Phase 2 completed ABP monitoring during 3 working days and 1 nonworking day. Job strain was operationalized as high psychological demand (> sample median) combined with low decision latitude (<sample median; Karasek model; Job Content Questionnaire).

Results: Covariate-adjusted multilevel random coefficient regressions demonstrated that associations between job strain and systolic and diastolic ABP were stronger among blue-collar workers compared with white-collar workers ($b = 6.53$ [$F(1,464) = 3.89$, $p = .049$] and $b = 5.25$ [$F(1,464) = 6.09$, $p = .014$], respectively). This pattern did not vary by sex, but diastolic ABP findings were stronger when participants were at work. The stronger association between job strain and ABP among blue-collar workers was not accounted for by education, momentary physical activity, or substance use, but was partially accounted for by covariation between higher hostility and blue-collar status.

Conclusions: Job strain is associated with ABP among blue-collar workers. These results extend previous findings to a mixed-sex sample and nonworking days and provide, for the first time, comprehensive exploration of several behavioral and psychosocial explanations for this finding.

Key words: occupational stress, socioeconomic status, cardiovascular, ambulatory blood pressure, reserve capacity, job strain.

INTRODUCTION

Research suggests that occupational characteristics contribute to adverse health outcomes, including cardiovascular disease (CVD) (1). "Job strain" is an occupational exposure characterized by high psychological demands and low decision latitude or control over work activities (2). The job strain model predicts that high psychological demands at the workplace, whether subjective or objective, may contribute to disease risk, whereas having control over one's schedule and one's work may mitigate the pathogenic effects of psychological demands (3). Constructive debate continues regarding standardizing the measurement of the

> **ABP** = ambulatory blood pressure, **AHAB-II** = Adult Health and Behavior Project–Phase 2, **BMI** = body mass index, **CVD** = cardiovascular disease, **RCM** = reserve capacity model, **SES** = socioeconomic status, **SOC** = Standard Occupation Classification

job strain construct and conceptualization of the mechanisms by which it influences health (4). Despite these complexities, meta-analyses have found consistent associations between job strain and CVD (5). Case-control and cohort studies demonstrate that the association between job strain and CVD morbidity and mortality may be strongest among blue-collar workers (6–8). Evidence is consistent with the possibility that disproportionate cardiovascular impacts of job strain among blue-collar workers may even manifest in elevated blood pressure, a CVD risk factor, but this warrants further investigation (9).

Existing studies on the association between job strain and blood pressure are not entirely consistent, with some finding a stronger association between job strain and blood pressure among blue-collar workers (10,11), others indicating that the association between job strain and blood pressure is stronger among low occupational status workers only if they are male and only with respect to meeting hypertension criteria (12), and one finding that the association between job strain and blood pressure is not significantly stronger among blue-collar workers (13). Inconsistent findings may be due to differences in sample characteristics, measurement or formulation of job strain, blood pressure assessment methodology, and adjustment for important covariates, such as physical activity.

The most recent evidence that job strain disproportionately affects the blood pressure of lower occupational status workers is a study of working women, which found that job demand was directly associated with systolic ambulatory blood pressure (ABP) and job control inversely associated with systolic ABP only among blue-collar workers (but not others) (11). Although the job strain components were examined separately in this study rather than as a job strain variable due to power constraints, the pattern of findings suggests that the effect of job strain (the combination of high demand and low control) on ABP is strongest among blue-collar workers. One limitation of this study (11) and others in this area (10,13) is that ABP measures were collected only on workdays. No study has examined whether the differential association of job strain and ABP by occupation status is seen to the same extent on nonworking days. Demonstrating this pattern on a nonworking day would indicate that the differential ABP influence of job strain among blue-collar workers is chronic, and not just acutely experienced in the workplace context. Furthermore, although this most recent study (11) included data on location (e.g., work, home) during the workday, the authors reported insufficient power to examine whether the stronger associations between job characteristics and workday ABP among blue-collar workers generalized across workday ABPs recorded in work and home settings. Although it also improved on previous studies in men with methodology that allowed adjustments for physical activity and substance use at the time of ABP, adjustments for "momentary"

cigarette smoking were not made. Adjustments for momentary behaviors (cigarette use, physical activity, and substance use) and overall biobehavioral patterns (body mass index [BMI], general smoking status, and typical drinking habits) are important given that occupational status and job strain are associated with these momentary behaviors and biobehavioral patterns (4,5,14–16), all of which are major risk factors for momentary elevations in blood pressure during daily life (17) and chronic blood pressure elevation (18). It is noteworthy, for example, that our research team typically uses momentary assessments of posture, physical activity, and substance use as time-varying covariates, and have found each to be significantly associated with acute fluctuations in cardiovascular activity (17).

Furthermore, no prior research comprehensively examined whether individual-level socioeconomic and psychosocial factors play a role in the stronger relationship between job strain and ABP among blue-collar workers. Prior studies tested the moderating effects of education and income on job strain in models separate from occupation or did not consider education or income. Given differences in education and income across occupations, it is important to determine whether the occupation–job strain interaction reflects differences in occupational characteristics or differences in access to social capital as a function of education or income. Moreover, studies examining the combined effects of occupation and job strain typically do not examine the roles of personality and psychosocial characteristics not tied to the work environment in accounting for observed effects (5). Only one study examined the role of a personality factor, that is, Type A behavior, but did not find it to be an explanatory factor (13).

The reserve capacity model (RCM) (19,20) identifies a series of biopsychosocial pathways (e.g., higher negative emotions and cognitions and/or reduced positive resources such as optimism and social support) by which lower socioeconomic status (SES) may contribute to negative health outcomes. Given that blue-collar occupational status is one manifestation of lower SES and concomitant with other manifestations of lower SES such as lower education, the RCM model is applicable for understanding the psychosocial conditions of blue-collar workers. Informed by this model, we examined whether the differential association between job strain and ABP among blue-collar workers compared with white-collar workers may be attributed to differences in negative emotions or psychosocial resources available to cope with job strain.

In addition, with one exception (12), all studies in this area focused on sex-restricted samples. Existing findings cannot address whether the interaction between occupational status and job strain differs by sex.

The aims of the current study were to examine differential associations between job strain and ABP by occupation and to explore some of the possible mechanisms accounting

for these effects, including health behaviors and educational and psychosocial resources. In addition, our design allowed us to extend effects observed in previous studies across sex (men and women) and across context (working versus nonworking days and settings).

METHODS

Participants

Participants were drawn from the Adult Health and Behavior Project–Phase 2 (AHAB-II), a comprehensive study of psychosocial factors, behavioral and biological risk factors, and subclinical CVD. AHAB-II was approved by the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board. AHAB-II participants were recruited from the community primarily through mass mailings of recruitment letters to individuals selected from voter registration lists and other public domain lists. Participants who responded to the recruitment letter were screened for eligibility.

To be eligible to participate in AHAB-II, individuals had to be between the ages of 30 and 54 years and employed at least 25 hours per week outside of the home. Individuals were excluded from participation if they a) had a history of CVD, schizophrenia or bipolar disorder, chronic hepatitis, renal failure, major neurological disorder, chronic lung disease, or stage 2 hypertension (blood pressure ≥ 160/100 mm Hg); b) consumed >35 alcoholic drinks per week; c) used fish-oil supplements (because of requirements for another substudy); d) were prescribed insulin or glucocorticoid, antiarrhythmic, antihypertension, psychotropic, lipid-lowering, or weight-loss medications; e) had less than eighth grade reading skills; or f) were shift workers. Pregnant women were also excluded. Because the protocol included magnetic resonance imaging, remaining exclusion criteria were claustrophobia; presence of medical devices, implants, or other metal objects in or on the body that could not be removed; tattooed eyeliners; or a very large body habitus.

Participants received compensation up to $410, depending on extent of participation in visits as well as compliance with the protocol. A community sample of 494 men and women completed the ambulatory protocol between March 2008 and October 2011. Because missing data, study analyses were run on a subset of 480 participants (see the "Statistical Analyses" section).

Procedure

Participants completed institutional review board–approved informed consent forms when enrolled in AHAB-II and completed six laboratory visits at the University of Pittsburgh in approximately 4 to 8 weeks. The current study uses data obtained during Visits 1–3. Specifically, during Visit 1, participants completed a structured interview regarding demographic, occupational, and health behavior characteristics. Between Visits 2 and 3, participants completed a 4-day ABP monitoring protocol during waking hours on three working days and one nonworking day. While wearing the ABP monitor, participants completed self-report ecological momentary assessments immediately after each ABP measure to permit examination of time-varying covariates of ABP, such as physical activity and substance use. During Visit 3, participants completed questionnaires assessing job strain and other psychosocial variables.

Measures

Demographics

Participants self-reported age, race/ethnicity, sex, and years of schooling (i.e., education).

Occupational Status

Participants self-reported job title, industry, responsibilities, and relevant credentials (e.g., degrees or licenses) during a structured interview. This information was used by trained research staff to classify participants into four-level Standard Occupation Classification (SOC) codes (21) based on primary job title. In the rare case when the appropriate SOC code was unclear, code assignments were verified by consensus within the research team. SOC codes were aggregated into three broad occupation groups for the purpose of analysis: blue-collar, sales and administrative support, and white-collar. This grouping is a higher-level aggregation of the standard six-group aggregation recommended by the Standard Occupational Classification Policy Committee (21) and parallels the three occupational classes used in other studies (8). Individuals with SOC codes within the 31-0000 through 39-0000 levels (service), 45-0000 level (agricultural), 47-0000 level (construction), 49-0000 level (installation, maintenance, and repair), 51-0000 level (production), and 53-0000 level (transportation and material moving) were considered blue-collar. Individuals with SOC codes within the 41-0000 level (sales) and 43-0000 level (administrative support) were considered to be in sales or administrative support positions. Individuals with SOC codes within the 11-0000 level (managerial), 13-0000 level (business operations), and 15-0000 through 29-0000 levels (professional) were considered white-collar.

Job Strain

Job strain was assessed using the Job Content Questionnaire (22). The Job Content Questionnaire subscales used in assessing job strain are the psychological demands (five items), skill discretion (six items), and decision authority (three items) subscales. The psychological demand subscale assesses workload and intensity ("My job requires working very fast"), the skill discretion subscale assesses degree of creative challenge ("My job requires a high level of skill"), and the decision authority subscale assesses authority over daily tasks ("My job allows me to make a lot of decisions on my own"). Psychological demand was calculated as a weighted sum of the five psychological demand items and had an internal reliability of .72 (Cronbach α). Decision latitude, representing a global measure of job control, was computed from the weighted sum of the skill discretion and decision authority subscales and had internal reliability of .84 (Cronbach α). Adequate 3-year test-retest reliabilities of 0.64 have been reported for these subscales (23). Consistent with one traditional approach (24,25), participants above the sample median score of 32 on the psychological demand subscale and below the sample median score of 38 on the decision latitude subscale were categorized as experiencing job strain. All other participants were categorized as not experiencing job strain. We focused on examining job strain (dichotomous measure) rather than the interaction of job demand and job control (cross-product score) for several reasons. A meta-analysis and individual studies found that, compared with job demand or control alone, the job strain dichotomous measure is a more powerful indicator of risk for high ABP when combined with low SES (13,26). Furthermore, the job strain operationalization and demand-control interaction are conceptually and empirically different (27). The interaction is not of theoretical interest here, only the specific combination of high demand and low control, that is, job strain. The demand-control interaction determines whether these factors modify each other, but without a particular focus on those who perceive themselves as involved in high-demand, low-control work. When the cross-product term is used, statistical tests do not distinguish between those with high-demand, low-control jobs and those with low-control, high-demand jobs because they have similar cross-product term scores. Nonetheless, for the purposes of comparison, we conducted supplemental analyses examining the associations of continuous job demands, job control, and their interaction with ABP (see the "Statistical Analyses" section).

Psychosocial Variables

Per the RCM, positive psychosocial resource indicators were optimism (Life Orientation Test–Revised) (28), social support (Interpersonal Support Evaluation List) (29), job social support (22), and social network size (Social Network Index) (30). Indicators of negative emotions and cognitions

were hostility (Buss-Perry Aggression Questionnaire) (31), depressive symptomatology (Beck Depression Inventory) (32), and negative affect (Positive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded) (33).

Ambulatory Blood Pressure

ABP was assessed using a 4-day protocol (3 working days and 1 nonworking day). Participants wore the oscillometric Oscar 2 ABP monitor (SunTech Medical, Inc, Morrisville, NC) for two 2-day monitoring periods, usually one period at the beginning of the work week and one at the end of the work week (including a weekend day) with at least one nonmonitoring day in between. On each monitoring day, the cuff worn on the upper arm inflated every hour during waking hours and the monitor recorded blood pressure. Telephone calls were made to participants before and during monitoring periods to remind them of upcoming monitoring responsibilities and determine if participants had questions or technical difficulties. The Oscar 2 has been validated to the standards of several international protocols (34,35).

ABP Covariates

After each hourly ABP cuff inflation, participants completed a brief electronic diary entry on a handheld personal digital assistant (Palm Z22). Participants reported their location (home, work, vehicle, etc), posture (on feet, sitting, or lying down), temperature comfort (comfortable, too cold, or too hot), and speaking status (yes or no) at the time of ABP assessment; physical activity during the 10 minutes before ABP assessment (limited, light, moderate, or heavy); and consumption of a meal, snack, alcoholic drink, caffeine, or drug in the hour before ABP assessment (yes or no for each). In addition, each time participants smoked a cigarette, they reported this activity in a separate electronic diary form on the same device, which was used to calculate the number of cigarettes smoked each hour.

Between-Participant Covariates

BMI was calculated based on height and weight measured in the clinic (kg/m^2). Participants self-reported smoking status (nonsmoker, ex-smoker, current smoker, and other tobacco user) and number of alcoholic drinks in the month before data collection.

Statistical Analyses

For the purpose of analyses, race/ethnicity was recoded into two dummy variables (whites versus others, blacks versus others). Male sex was coded as 1 and female sex as 0. Occupational status was recoded into two dummy variables (blue-collar status versus others, sales-administrative support status versus others). Several time-varying variables were also recoded into dummy variables: location was recoded as being at work (versus at any other location), posture as standing versus others and sitting versus others, temperature comfort as too cold versus others and too hot versus others, and physical activity as light activity versus others, moderate activity versus others, and heavy activity versus others.

Preliminary Analyses

Occupational differences in demographics, BMI, health behaviors, job strain, and ABP were examined using analysis of variance and χ^2 analyses. ABP covariates were assessed for inclusion in primary systolic and diastolic ABP analyses using multilevel random coefficients regressions applied to all assessment points (PROC MIXED, SAS 9.3).

Primary Analyses

Primary ABP analyses were conducted using multilevel random coefficients regression analyses (PROC MIXED, SAS 9.3). All ABP covariates that were associated with systolic and/or diastolic ABP (p values < .100) in preliminary analyses were included in the corresponding primary ABP analyses as covariates. Age, sex, white race, black race, years of education, BMI, smoking status, and number of alcoholic drinks in the past month

were also treated as covariates. Because of a priori hypotheses that the association between job strain and ABP would be stronger among blue-collar as compared with white-collar workers, ABP analyses included dummy variables for blue-collar status and sales-administrative support status (thus, white-collar status as the reference group). Each of these occupation dummy variables (white-collar as the reference), job strain, and the multiplicative interaction term between them is entered as between-participant effects in analyses for systolic and diastolic ABP. Each primary analysis was repeated three times, once with each of the following variables entered into three-way interactions with each occupation dummy variable and job strain: workday, location, and sex. Appropriate lower order two-way interactions were included in each analysis.

Twelve participants were missing Visit 3 job strain data. Two participants were missing smoking status data (one of which was also missing BMI data). Final primary ABP analyses included 480 participants with complete data.

For comparison purposes, we also conducted supplemental analyses to examine the associations of continuous job demands, job control, and their interaction with ABP using the same covariates mentioned earlier and to examine whether occupational status further moderated this interaction.

Secondary Psychosocial Analyses

Occupational differences in psychosocial factors (psychosocial resources and negative emotions and cognitions) were examined using analyses of variance and Kruskal-Wallis tests (nonparametric one-way analyses of variance). Psychosocial factors that differed by occupation were included in subsequent analyses. Multilevel random coefficients regression analyses were used to test the interaction of each resource factor with job strain (e.g., optimism by job strain) to test the hypothesis that positive resources buffer the effects of job strain on ABP. Separate multilevel random coefficients regression analyses were used to test the interaction of each negative emotion and cognition factor with job strain (e.g., hostility by job strain) to test the hypothesis that negative emotions or cognitions exacerbate the effects of job strain on ABP. Psychosocial factor by job strain interaction terms that were significant were entered in the model along with occupation by job strain interactions to determine whether the psychosocial factor in question might account for or explain the effects of occupational status. The sample sizes for some secondary psychosocial analyses were lower than that for primary analyses and varied depending on missing data on respective psychosocial variables (N values from 468–480).

RESULTS

Mean age of participants in the analytic sample ($n = 480$) was 42.8 years and mean years of education was 16.9. Approximately 52.7% of participants were female and 17.1% were African American. The analytic sample included 326 white-collar workers, 93 sales-administrative support workers, and 61 blue-collar workers. See Table 1 for results of tests for occupational differences in demographics, BMI, health behaviors, job strain, and ABP. Twenty-three percent of the sample experienced job strain.

A total of 25,545 valid ABP assessments (an average of 53 ABP assessments per participant) were obtained, 9400 of which were completed at work. The following time-varying covariates were associated with systolic and/or diastolic ABP (p values < .100) in preliminary analyses and included in corresponding primary ABP analyses as covariates: type of day (workday versus non-workday), location (at work versus not at work), posture, physical activity, temperature, speaking, alcohol consumption, caffeine consumption,

TABLE 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Analytic Sample by Occupation ($n = 480$)

Characteristic	White-Collar ($n = 326$)	Sales/Administrative Support ($n = 93$)	Blue-Collar ($n = 61$)	χ^2
Age, M (SD), y	42.2 (7.4)	44.1 (7.0)	43.8 (7.1)	5.6
Years of education, M (SD)	17.8 (2.7)[a,b]	15.2 (2.3)[a]	14.5 (1.8)[b]	128.0[†]
BMI, M (SD), kg/m^2	26.4 (4.9)[a]	28.6 (6.0)[a]	28.1 (5.7)	12.5**
Drinks per month, M (SD)	10.9 (14.5)	11.0 (21.6)	14.9 (24.5)	2.3
Systolic ABP, M (SD)	133.0 (11.9)[a]	134.8 (11.8)	136.7 (10.1)[a]	7.2*
Diastolic ABP, M (SD)	80.4 (7.3)[a]	81.2 (7.6)	82.8 (6.7)[a]	6.3*
% Female, % (n)	50.9 (166)[a]	66.7 (62)[a,b]	41.0 (25)[b]	11.1**
% African American, % (n)	10.7 (35)[a,b]	26.9 (25)[b]	36.1 (22)[a]	31.1[†]
% Current smokers, % (n)	9.5 (31)[a]	12.9 (12)[b]	34.4 (21)[a,b]	34.5[†]
% Job strain, % (n)	16.9 (55)[a,b]	36.6 (34)[b]	36.1 (22)[a]	22.4[†]

M = mean; SD =standard deviation; BMI = body mass index; ABP = ambulatory blood pressure.

For omnibus tests: * $p < .050$, ** $p < .010$, *** $p < .001$, and [†] $p < .0001$. For Bonferroni-corrected pairwise comparisons, groups that share superscripts are significantly different from each other at $p < .050$.

drug consumption, meal consumption, snack consumption, and number of cigarettes smoked in the past hour.

Primary Results: Differential Association Between Job Strain and ABP Among Blue-Collar Versus White-Collar Workers

Systolic ABP Final Analysis

Covariates

Significant between-participant covariates associated with systolic ABP (p values < .050) were sex ($b = 6.76$), white race ($b = −8.72$), BMI ($b = 0.46$), and drinks per month ($b = 0.10$). Years of education was not associated with systolic ABP ($p = .50$). Many of the time-varying covariates were significantly associated with systolic ABP (p values < .050), with ABP being higher during workdays and moments at work and during moments of greater physical activity, standing or sitting (versus lying down), cigarette smoking, caffeine or meal consumption, no drug consumption, temperature discomfort, and speaking.[1]

Interaction Between Occupational Status and Job Strain

The interaction between blue-collar status (versus white-collar) and job strain on systolic ABP was significant ($b = 6.53$, $F(1,464) = 3.89$, $p = .049$), indicating that the association between job strain and systolic ABP was stronger among blue-collar workers compared with white-collar workers (Table 2). For blue-collar workers, the difference in mean systolic ABP between those with job strain and those without was 6.4 mm Hg ($p = .010$, with those having job strain exhibiting higher systolic ABP); this difference was .2 mm Hg and nonsignificant among white-collar

workers. The interaction between sales-administrative support status (versus white-collar) and job strain was not significant ($F(1,464) = 0.57$, $p = .45$). These results did not vary by sex, type of day, or location. See Figure 1 for illustration of systolic ABP differences by occupation and job strain.

Diastolic ABP Final Analysis

Covariates

Significant between-participant covariates associated with diastolic ABP (p values < .050) were age ($b = 0.10$), sex ($b = 2.76$), BMI ($b = 0.26$), and drinks per month ($b = 0.07$). Years of education was not associated with diastolic ABP ($p = .66$). Many of the time-varying covariates were significantly associated with diastolic ABP (p values < .050), with ABP being higher during workdays and moments of greater physical activity, standing or sitting (versus lying down), not speaking, cigarette smoking, caffeine consumption, no snack consumption, and feeling too cold.[2]

Interaction Between Occupational Status and Job Strain

The interaction between blue-collar status (versus white-collar) and job strain was significant ($b = 5.25$, $F(1,464) = 6.09$, $p = .014$), indicating that the association between job strain and diastolic ABP was stronger among blue-collar workers as compared with white-collar workers. For blue-collar workers, the difference in mean diastolic ABP between those with job strain and those without was 4.0 mm Hg (with those having job strain having higher diastolic ABP); this difference was 1.3 mm Hg and nonsignificant among white-collar workers. These results did not vary by sex or type of day but were stronger when participants

---

[1]Detailed results are available upon request.

[2]Detailed results are available upon request.

TABLE 2. Multilevel Regression-Adjusted Effects of Job Strain on ABP by Occupation

	b^a	SE	F
Systolic ABP			
Job strain	−0.15	1.60	0.01
Blue-collar (versus white-collar)	−3.02	1.96	2.39
Sales-administrative support (versus white-collar)	0.59	1.63	0.13
Job strain by blue-collar (versus white-collar)	6.53	3.31	3.89*
Job strain by sales-administrative support (versus white-collar)	2.12	2.80	0.57
Diastolic ABP			
Job strain	−1.31	1.03	1.60
Blue-collar (versus white-collar)	−1.94	1.26	2.37
Sales-administrative support (versus white-collar)	−0.17	1.05	0.02
Job strain by blue-collar (versus white-collar)	5.25	2.13	6.09*
Job strain by sales-administrative support (versus white-collar)	2.06	1.80	1.29

ABP = ambulatory blood pressure; SE = standard error; BMI = body mass index.

* $p < .050$.

[a] Adjusted for age, sex, race, education, BMI, smoking status, number of drinks in the past month, and ABP covariates.

were at work (location by blue-collar status by job strain interaction ($F(1,25000) = 5.04$, $p = .025$). Although job strain was significantly associated with diastolic ABP among blue-collar workers regardless of location (work: $b = 5.77$, $F(1,50) = 13.97$, $p < .001$; nonwork: $b = 3.48$, $F(1,50) = 4.70$, $p = .035$), the adjusted mean DBP difference due to job strain was 5.8 mm Hg for work time and 3.5 mm Hg for nonwork time. The interaction between sales-administrative support status (versus white-collar) and job strain was not significant ($F(1,464) = 1.29$, $p = .26$). These results did not vary by sex, type of day, or location. See Figure 2 for illustrations of diastolic ABP differences by occupation and job strain during work and nonwork time. Note that the pattern is strongest when participants were at work. Although blue-collar workers without job strain had lower work-time ABP than did white-collar workers without job strain, this difference

was not significant. Blue-collar workers with job strain had significantly higher work-time diastolic ABP than did white-collar workers with job strain ($p = .043$) and blue-collar workers without job strain ($p < .001$), which supports the hypothesis that the combination of blue-collar occupational status and job strain is associated with the highest risk for elevated ABP.

Results of analyses using continuous job demand and job control scores did not parallel our job strain findings (Table S1, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links. lww.com/PSYMED/A295).

Secondary Results: The Role of Psychosocial Differences

Of the occupational categories, blue-collar workers had the lowest levels of optimism, job social support, and social network size and the highest levels of hostility. None of

FIGURE 1. Systolic ABP by occupation type and job strain adjusted for age, sex, race, education, BMI, smoking status, number of drinks in the past month, and ABP covariates. Bars represent standard error. *a* denotes groups that are significantly different from each other at the $p < .050$ level. ABP = ambulatory blood pressure; BMI = body mass index.

FIGURE 2. Diastolic ABP by occupation type and job strain during work time and nonwork time adjusted for age, sex, race, education, BMI, smoking status, number of drinks in the past month, and ABP covariates. Bars represent standard error. *a* denotes groups that are significantly different from each other at the $p < .010$ level. *b* denotes groups that are significantly different from each other at the $p < .050$ level. ABP = ambulatory blood pressure; BMI = body mass index.

the interactions between optimism, job social support, or social network size, on the one hand, and job strain, on the other, were associated with ABP (results available upon request). Hostility interacted with job strain to predict both systolic ABP ($b = 0.38$, $F(1,455) = 4.10$, $p = .044$) and diastolic ABP ($b = 0.24$, $F(1,455) = 3.90$, $p = .049$), such that those with job strain and high hostility had the highest ABP. In systolic ABP analyses with both the blue collar status by job strain interaction and hostility by job strain interaction included, the interaction between blue-collar status (versus white-collar) and job strain was no longer significant ($F(1,451) = 2.82$, $p = .094$) and the hostility-by-job strain interaction approached significance ($F(1,451) = 3.11$, $p = .079$). Diastolic ABP analyses demonstrated that the blue-collar status by job strain interaction was robust to the inclusion of the hostility by job strain interaction.[3]

DISCUSSION

Findings of the current study suggest that low occupational status workers are disproportionately exposed to job strain and disproportionately vulnerable to its effects on ABP.

[3]Results did not differ when we reran all analyses using an objective measure of momentary physical activity rather than self-reported momentary physical activity. The momentary objective measure used was the average level of metabolic expenditure in the 15 minutes before ABP measurements based on Sensewear actigraphy data. Eight participants were missing these data and so were not included in this analysis.

This study is the first to demonstrate this pattern on a non-working day as well and included the most comprehensive examination of time-varying covariates and psychosocial factors as confounders of these associations. Diastolic ABP measured at work most strongly captured the effects of job strain among blue-collar workers, a pattern that is congruent with job strain being a workplace-specific exposure. However, this relationship was also observed among high strain blue-collar workers while not at work and on nonworking days, suggesting a chronic influence of job strain on cardiovascular health. It is noteworthy that this finding is robust to adjustment for health status (e.g., BMI), health behaviors (e.g., smoking status, monthly alcohol consumption), "momentary" factors (e.g., cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity), and another indicator of SES (i.e., education). The benefit of using a healthy sample is the reduction of potential confounding effects of disease and medications on ABP. Hypertensive individuals were excluded from study participation, restricting the ABP range observed. It is possible that associations would be stronger in the general working population without this exclusion. Even in this healthy sample, though, blue-collar workers had significantly higher mean ABP than did white-collar workers.

Our primary findings are plausible within a psychophysiological context. It is possible that the overall stress burden

experienced by workers in blue-collar occupations may be associated with long-term alterations in sympathoadrenal arousal and vascular adaptations (i.e., vascular hypertrophy). For example, experimental studies suggest that low-occupational-status workers have slower blood pressure recovery after stress (36). Our finding that job strain is associated with the ABP of blue-collar workers even while outside the work environment seem to demonstrate chronic rather than transient ABP elevations due to work stress. This finding contributes to the theoretical understanding of the nature of the influence of job strain.

We explored the possibility that the apparent differential sensitivity of blue-collar workers to job strain may be attributed to psychosocial characteristics and not just blue-collar status per se. Consistent with the RCM model, blue-collar workers were burdened not only with greater job strain but also with other psychosocial challenges, that is, lower optimism, job social support, social network size, and higher hostility. Of these factors, only hostility exacerbated the association between job strain and ABP in that job strain had a stronger positive association with ABP among those with high hostility levels. Given that, for systolic ABP, the blue collar status by job strain interaction was not robust to accounting for the hostility by job strain interaction, it is possible that the role of hostility in exacerbating the impact of job strain may have partially accounted for the stronger positive association between job strain and systolic ABP among blue-collar workers (as compared with white-collar workers). Socioeconomic conditions of relative deprivation among blue-collar workers may elicit cynicism, undermine trust, increase suspicion in interactions with others, and increase negative emotions such as hostility. This social vulnerability, when combined with environmental triggers such as job strain, may have downstream consequences for health. Research has demonstrated that individuals with higher hostility levels tend to perceive more hassles and interpersonal stressors and show exaggerated cardiovascular reactivity to these stressors and to job demands (37–41). Nevertheless, present results regarding the role of hostility should be interpreted with caution given that we conducted several analyses to explore psychosocial factors and only hostility was found to be promising. Future research should develop a priori hypotheses regarding the role of hostility in the influence of job strain on blue-collar workers' health. The current study contributes to our understanding of some of the mechanisms by which lower occupational status may increase susceptibility to the effects of job strain on health.

There may be other explanations for the differential effects of job strain across occupational status. Unmeasured factors, such as early life adversity or cumulative stress, may sensitize these workers to the effects of job strain. For example, one longitudinal study found that early life adversity was prospectively associated with a stronger positive association between job strain and allostatic load as an

adult (42). It is also possible that job strain perceptions are qualitatively different in different work environments, for example, a highly demanding blue-collar work environment may be demanding in a different way from a highly demanding white-collar work environment, and it may be that the demands of a white-collar work environment are less toxic for health. For example, white-collar workers (but not blue-collar workers) may experience less negative emotion when they have a higher workload (i.e., more demands) (43). Furthermore, there is suggestive evidence that blue-collar workers and white-collar workers differ in how highly their self-reports of demand and control correlate with expert ratings of demand and control in their jobs (44), so future studies may benefit from including expert ratings to determine whether current findings hold.

The finding that sex did not moderate the interaction between occupational status and job strain in accounting for ABP may seem counterintuitive given complex sex patterns in cardiovascular outcomes (45), but a recent meta-analysis found that there were no sex differences in the impact of job strain on coronary heart disease (5). Furthermore, previous studies demonstrated that occupational status and job strain (or demand and control) interact in predicting ABP in female (11) and male samples (10). Although one previous study, using sex-stratified analyses, found that the occupational status–job strain interaction had a slightly weaker association with ABP among females as compared with males (12), that study did not statistically examine the three-way interaction between sex, occupational status, and job strain, as we did in the current study. Although interesting, the lack of sex difference in our findings should be interpreted with caution given the modest number of female blue-collar workers in the sample.

The current study is not without limitations. One limitation is that the sample included only 61 blue-collar workers. Nevertheless, mean ABP and interaction estimates within the blue-collar-job strain groups had comparable standard errors to other groups, indicating that estimates in these groups are not less precise than those from other groups despite being based on fewer participants. We had a substantial number of ABP observations per participant, which increases reliability of the estimates. Another limitation is that participants did not wear ABP monitors while asleep. Sleep ABP is associated with long-term cardiovascular outcomes (46). Studies have found job strain to be associated with nighttime ABP (45), but have not examined whether this association varies by occupational status. Another limitation is the cross-sectional nature of the study. The only longitudinal study examining this occupation by job stress interaction included two time points, 3 years apart (10). Additional longitudinal studies with multiple time points and longer time courses are needed to examine whether cumulative effects of job strain on ABP vary by occupation. These studies would allow for dynamic exploration of

psychosocial mechanisms and causal attribution. A fourth limitation is insufficient power to examine whether the occupation by job strain interaction differed by race. In final adjusted models, whites had significantly lower systolic ABP than did nonwhites. These residual racial disparities are noteworthy. Race and SES effects are difficult to disentangle, so future studies should recruit more ethnically diverse samples stratified by SES to permit such analyses.

Despite limitations, this study contributes to the literature in several ways. First, it statistically examined moderation of the occupation–job strain interaction by several daily life descriptors (e.g., work versus non-worktime). To this effect, it demonstrated that blue-collar workers are more vulnerable to the effects of job strain on ABP even on non-work days but that the associations are stronger during work time. Second, our mixed-sex sample allowed for more systematic examination of the associations across sex. Third, our findings showed that disproportional vulnerability to job stress among blue-collar workers is independent of educational, behavioral, and "momentary" differences but partially confounded with differences in hostility levels. Future research should document longitudinal effects of job strain exposures, as well as further explore the mechanisms by which occupational status increases vulnerability to job strain, including but not limited to hostility.

REFERENCES

1. Brand JE, Warren JR, Carayon P, Hoonakker P. Do job characteristics mediate the relationship between SES and health? Evidence from sibling models. Soc Sci Res 2007; 36:222–53.
2. Karasek RA, Theorell T. Healthy Work: Stress, Productivity, and the Reconstruction Of Working Life, New York: Basic Books; 1990.
3. Karasek R, Baker D, Marxer F, Ahlbom A, Theorell T. Job decision latitude, job demands, and cardiovascular disease: a prospective study of Swedish men. Am J Public Health 1981; 71:694–705.
4. Smith PM, LaMontagne AD. What is needed to make research on the psychosocial work environment and health more meaningful? Reflections and missed opportunities in IPD debates. Scand J Work Environ Health 2015;41:594–6.
5. Kivimäki M, Kawachi I. Work stress as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Curr Cardiol Rep 2015;17:630.
6. Johnson JV, Hall EM, Theorell T. Combined effects of job strain and social isolation on cardiovascular disease morbidity and mortality in a random sample of the Swedish male working population. Scand J Work Environ Health 1989;15:271–9.
7. Hallqvist J, Diderichsen F, Theorell T, Reuterwall C, Ahlbom A. Is the effect of job strain on myocardial infarction risk due to interaction between high psychological demands and low decision latitude? Results from Stockholm Heart Epidemiology Program (SHEEP). Soc Sci Med 1998;46:1405–15.
8. Ferrario MM, Veronesi G, Chambless LE, Sega R, Fornari C, Bonzini M, Cesana G. The contribution of major risk factors and job strain to occupational class differences in coronary heart disease incidence: the MONICA Brianza and PAMELA population-based cohorts. Occup Environ Med 2011;68:717–22.
9. Landsbergis P, Dobson M, Koutsouras G, Schnall P. Job strain and ambulatory blood pressure: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Am J Public Health 2013;103:e61–71.
10. Landsbergis PA, Schnall PL, Warren K, Pickering TG, Schwartz JE. The effect of job strain on ambulatory blood pressure in men: does it vary by socioeconomic status? Ann N Y Acad Sci 1999;896:414–6.
11. Gallo LC, Bogart LM, Vranceanu AM, Walt LC. Job characteristics, occupational status, and ambulatory cardiovascular activity in women. Ann Behav Med 2004;28:62–73.
12. Tsutsumi A, Kayaba K, Tsutsumi K, Igarashi M; Jichi Medical School Cohort Study Group. Association between job strain and prevalence of hypertension: a cross sectional analysis in a Japanese working population with a wide range of occupations: the Jichi Medical School cohort study. Occup Environ Med 2001;58:367–73.
13. Landsbergis PA, Schnall PL, Pickering TG, Warren K, Schwartz JE. Lower socioeconomic status among men in relation to the association between job strain and blood pressure. Scand J Work Environ Health 2003;29:206–15.
14. Nyberg ST, Heikkilä K, Fransson EI, Alfredsson L, De Bacquer D, Bjorner JB, Bonenfant S, Borritz M, Burr H, Casini A, Clays E, Dragano N, Erbel R, Geuskens GA, Goldberg M, Hooftman WE, Houtman IL, Jöckel K, Kittel F, Knutsson A, Koskenvuo M, Leineweber C, Lunau T, Madsen IE, Hanson LL, Marmot MG, Nielsen ML, Nordin M, Oksanen T, Pentti J, Rugulies R, Siegrist J, Suominen S, Vahtera J, Virtanen M, Westerholm P, Westerlund H, Zins M, Ferrie JE, Theorell T, Steptoe A, Hamer M, Singh-Manoux A, Batty GD, Kivimäki M, IPD-Work Consortium. Job strain in relation to body mass index: pooled analysis of 160 000 adults from 13 cohort studies. J Intern Med 2012;272:65–73.
15. Kivimäki M, Lawlor DA, Smith GD, Kouvonen A, Virtanen M, Elovainio M, Vahtera J. Socioeconomic position, co-occurrence of behavior-related risk factors, and coronary heart disease: the Finnish Public Sector Study. Am J Public Health 2007;97:874–9.
16. Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, Casini A, Clays E, De Bacquer D, Dragano N, Erbel R, Ferrie JE, Hamer M, Jöckel K, Kittel F, Knutsson A, Ladwig K, Lunau T, Marmot MG, Nordin M, Rugulies R, Siegrist J, Steptoe A, Westerholm PJM, Westerlund H, Theorell T, Brunner EJ, Singh-Manoux A, Batty GD, Kivimäki M, IPD-Work Consortium. Job strain and cardiovascular disease risk factors: Meta-analysis of individual-participant data from 47,000 men and women. PLoS One 2013;8:e67323.
17. Kamarck TW, Janicki DL, Shiffman S, Polka DE, Muldoon MF, Liebenauera LL, Schwartz JE. Psychosocial demands and ambulatory blood pressure: a field assessment approach. Physiol Behav 2002;77:699–704.
18. Gallo LC, Matthews KA. Understanding the association between socioeconomic status and physical health: do negative emotions play a role? Psychol Bull 2003;129:10.

19. Gallo LC, de los Monteros KE, Shivpuri S. Socioeconomic status and health: what is the role of reserve capacity? Curr Dir Psychol Sci 2009;18:269–74.

20. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Standard occupational classification and coding structure. 2010. Available at: http://www.bls.gov/soc/soc_2010_class_and_coding_structure.pdf. Accessed December 1, 2012.

21. Karasek R, Brisson C, Kawakami N, Houtman I, Bongers P, Amick B. The Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ): an instrument for internationally comparative assessments of psychosocial job characteristics. J Occup Health Psychol 1998;3:322–55.

22. Schnall PL, Schwartz JE, Landsbergis PA, Warren K, Pickering TG. A longitudinal study of job strain and ambulatory blood pressure: results from a three-year follow-up. Psychosom Med 1998;60:697–706.

23. Schnall PL, Pieper C, Schwartz JE, Karasek RA, Schlussel Y, Devereux RB, Ganau A, Alderman M, Warren K, Pickering TG. The relationship between "job strain", workplace diastolic blood pressure, and left ventricular mass index. Results of a case-control study. JAMA 1990;263:1929–35.

24. Schnall PL, Schwartz JE, Landsbergis PA, Warren K, Pickering TG. Relation between job strain, alcohol, and ambulatory blood pressure. Hypertension 1992;19:488–94.

25. Kivimäki M, Nyberg ST, Batty GD, Fransson EI, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, Bjorner JB, Borritz M, Burr H, Casini A, Clays E, De Bacquer D, Dragano N, Ferrie JE, Geuskens GA, Goldberg M, Hamer M, Hooftman WE, Houtman IL, Joensuu M, Jokela M, Kittel F, Knutsson A, Koskenvuo M, Koskinen A, Kouvonen A, Kumari M, Madsen IEH, Marmot MG, Nielsen ML, Nordin M, Oksanen T, Pentti J, Rugulies R, Salo P, Siegrist J, Singh-Manoux A, Suominen SB, Väänänen A, Vahtera J, Virtanen M, Westerholm PJM, Westerlund H, Zins M, Steptoe A, Theorell T, IPD-Work Consortium. Job strain as a risk factor for coronary heart disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data. Lancet 2012;380:1491–7.

26. Bobko P. A solution to some dilemmas when testing hypotheses about ordinal interactions. J Appl Psychol 1986;71:323.

27. Scheier MF, Carver CS, Bridges MW. Distinguishing optimism from neuroticism (and trait anxiety, self-mastery, and self-esteem): a reevaluation of the Life Orientation Test. J Pers Soc Psychol 1994;67:1063–78.

28. Cohen S, Hoberman H. Positive events and social supports as buffers of life change stress. J Appl Soc Psychol 1983;13:99–125.

29. Cohen S. Social supports and physical health. In: Greene A, Cummings M, Karraker K, editors. Life-Span Developmental Psychology: Perspectives on Stress and Coping, Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum Associates; 1991.

30. Buss AH, Perry M. The aggression questionnaire. J Pers Soc Psychol 1992;63:452–9.

31. Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown GK. Manual for the Beck depression Inventory-II, San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation; 1996.

32. Watson D, Clark LA. The PANAS-X: Manual for the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule–Expanded Form, Ames: The University of Iowa; 1999.

33. Goodwin J, Bilous M, Winship S, Finn P, Jones SC. Validation of the Oscar 2 oscillometric 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitor according to the British Hypertension Society protocol. Blood Press Monit 2007;12:113–7.

34. Jones SC, Bilous M, Winship S, Finn P, Goodwin J. Validation of the OSCAR 2 oscillometric 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitor according to the International Protocol for the validation of blood pressure measuring devices. Blood Press Monit 2004;9:219–23.

35. Steptoe A, Feldman PJ, Kunz S, Owen N, Willemsen G, Marmot M. Stress responsivity and socioeconomic status: a mechanism for increased cardiovascular disease risk? Eur Heart J 2002;23:1757–63.

36. Benotsch EG, Christensen AJ, McKelvey L. Hostility, social support, and ambulatory cardiovascular activity. J Behav Med 1997;20:163–76.

37. Smith TW, Gallo LC. Hostility and cardiovascular reactivity during marital interaction. Psychosom Med 1999;61:436–45.

38. Miller SB, Dolgoy L, Friese M, Sita A. Dimensions of hostility and cardiovascular response to interpersonal stress. J Psychosom Res 1996;41:81–95.

39. Burns JW, Katkin ES. Psychological, situational, and gender predictors of cardiovascular reactivity to stress: a multivariate approach. J Behav Med 1993;16:445–65.

40. Burns JW, Hutt J, Weidner G. Effects of demand and decision latitude on cardiovascular reactivity among coronary-prone women and men. Behav Med 1993;19:122–8.

41. Westerlund H, Gustafsson PE, Theorell T, Janlert U, Hammarström A. Social adversity in adolescence increases the physiological vulnerability to job strain in adulthood: a prospective population-based study. PLoS One 2012;7:e35967.

42. Axelrod WL, Gavin JF. Stress and strain in blue-collar and white-collar management staff. J Vocat Behav 1980;17:41–9.

43. Theorell T, Hasselhorn HM. On cross-sectional questionnaire studies of relationships between psychosocial conditions at work and health—are they reliable? Int Arch Occup Environ Health 2005;78:517–22.

44. Kannel WB. The Framingham Study: historical insight on the impact of cardiovascular risk factors in men versus women. J Gend Specif Med 2002;5:27–37.

45. Hermida RC, Ayala DE, Mojón A, Fernández JR. Blunted sleep-time relative blood pressure decline increases cardiovascular risk independent of blood pressure level—the "Normotensive Non-dipper" paradox. Chronobiol Int 2013;30:87–98.

46. Clays E, Leynen F, De Bacquer D, Kornitzer M, Kittel F, Karasek R, De Backer G. High job strain and ambulatory blood pressure in middle-aged men and women from the Belgian Job Stress Study. J Occup Environ Med 2007;49:360–7.