

shops. This study was designed to assess the workplace protection factor (WPF) of half-facepiece cartridge respirators with paint filters in spray paintings as part of the Yale SPRAY study. Twenty-two auto body shops participated in the assessment with 30 inside-outside respirator sample pairs collected. Respirators assessed were from 3M and Survivair companies as used by shop workers. Air sampling and analysis was performed according to the NIOSH MAP draft method. The ambient air samples were collected using a 25-mm IOM sampler with a single quartz fiber filter operated at 2 l/min. The inside-respirator samples were taken using a Delrin cassette and the same filter with a probe mounted into the respirator facepiece. Filters were impregnated with 500ug MAP and field extracted with 10 ml 1x10⁻⁴ M MAP in acetonitrile. Samples were analyzed on HPLC. The monomer ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) of hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI), and oligomers ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) of HDI and isophorone diisocyanate (IPDI) were quantified and total number of isocyanate reactive groups (TRIG: $\mu\text{gNCO}/\text{m}^3$) calculated. WPF was calculated as the ambient concentration divided by the inside-respirator concentration. Results showed that geometric mean (geometric standard deviation) and range of WPF were 17.8 (5.1) and 1.0 to 204.0 for HDI monomer, 310.5 (6.9) and 6.8 to 9777.0 for HDI oligomers, 233.9 (12.2) and 1.0 to 85783.0 for IPDI oligomers, and 274.2 (6.0) and 0.6 to 5335.2 for TRIG. Average WPF of TRIG was 253.8 (6.5) for clear coating (N=25) and 403.8 (4.3) for priming (N=5) tasks without statistical significance. No significant difference was observed of WPF between respirator brands. These results provided essential data for assigning protection factors to these respirators when used in spray operations and for adjusting internal exposures to isocyanates in the SPRAY epidemiologic study of health effects.

227. AUTOMATED BREATHING AND METABOLIC SIMULATOR (ABMS) CO₂ TEST FOR POWERED AND NON-POWERED AIR-PURIFYING RESPIRATORS, AIRLINE RESPIRATORS, AND GAS MASK. E. Sinkule, N. Turner, S. Hota, NIOSH, Pittsburgh, PA.

There is currently no NIOSH certification test for CO₂ concentrations in air-purifying respirators. The Automated Breathing and Metabolic Simulator (ABMS), which simulates human metabolism, minute ventilation, and breathing waveforms, was used to characterize average inhaled CO₂ in a variety of NIOSH-approved air-purifying respirators. An ABMS CO₂ test protocol was developed to test 11 powered air-purifying respirators (PAPRs), 20 airline respirators (SARs), six gas masks, 27 P-100 air-purifying respirators (APRs), and 26 filtering-facepiece N95 respirators (N95s). The ABMS CO₂ protocol con-

sisted of the following levels of O₂ consumption, CO₂ production, and minute ventilation performed consecutively for a minimum of five minutes each: 0.5, 0.4, and 10 L/min STPD; 1.0, 0.8, and 25 L/min STPD; 1.5, 1.3, and 38 L/min STPD; 2.0, 1.9, and 62 L/min STPD; 2.5, 2.5, and 70 L/min STPD; and 3.0, 3.1, and 80 L/min STPD, respectively. The mean across all PAPR models for average inhaled CO₂ and O₂ ranged from 0.2% and 20.7%, respectively, for the lowest metabolic rate to 0.9% and 20.0%, respectively, for the greatest metabolic rate. The mean across all SARs for average inhaled CO₂ and O₂ ranged from 0.5% and 20.3%, respectively, for the lowest metabolic rate to 0.4% and 20.5%, respectively, for the greatest metabolic rate. The mean across all gas masks and APRs for average inhaled CO₂ and O₂ ranged from 2.6% and 17.5%, respectively, for the lowest metabolic rate to 0.7% and 20.4%, respectively, for the greatest metabolic rate. The mean across all N95s for average inhaled CO₂ and O₂ ranged from 3.5% and 16.8%, respectively, for the lowest metabolic rate to 2.7% and 18.6%, respectively, for the greatest metabolic rate. These data demonstrate the wide range of average inhaled CO₂ concentrations across respirator types and the utility of the ABMS in conducting CO₂ testing.

228. NIOSH CBRN RESPIRATORY PROTECTION STANDARDS UPDATE. J. Dower, L. Boord, NIOSH, Pittsburgh, PA.

Emergency response forces in the United States are required under federal regulations to provide NIOSH approved respirators appropriate for the expected hazards. NIOSH's National Personal Protective Technology Laboratory (NPPTL) is developing respirator standards for use in chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear (CBRN) terrorism events. These efforts to develop appropriate respiratory protection standards started with an assessment of potential CBRN terrorism agents and a review of national and international respiratory protection standards. Based on results of the threat assessment and standards review a comprehensive program to develop CBRN standards for each class of respiratory protection. In December 2001, NIOSH announced the first CBRN respirator standard for compressed air self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA). This standard combines a three-tier approval structure: NIOSH industrial SCBA approval, National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 1981 Standard compliance, and special performance tests against chemical warfare agents and facepiece protection levels. Continuing standards development efforts have focused on the full-facepiece air-purifying respirator standard and an air-purifying escape respirator standard. Standards concepts and future standards schedules will be discussed.

229. THE RELIANCE ON MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEETS FOR RESPIRATOR SELECTION. T. Towers, U.S. DOL/OSHA, Washington, DC.

In March of 2002 the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics published a report on the use of respiratory protection in industry, titled *Survey of Respirator Use and Practices*. Of companies surveyed, 57% reported using Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) information for guidance in respirator selection.

A 1991 GAO report, *The Accuracy of Material Safety Data Sheets* reported that the accuracy of MSDSs in four areas: health effects, first aid, personal protective equipment, and exposure limits was 11% of the sampled MSDSs. Information on personal protective equipment was judged accurate in 47% of the MSDSs, inaccurate in 22% of the MSDSs, and partially accurate in 31%.

Concerned that as many as 57% of American companies whose employees rely on respiratory protection may be ill-advised when making respirator selection decisions, a study was conducted to determine the accuracy of respiratory protection information contained in a small sample of MSDSs obtained from sources on the Internet.

One hundred MSDSs which met the following criteria were examined. MSDSs would be (1) selected from a website linked to OSHA's website, (2) for a chemical with a known exposure limit: PEL, TLV, REL, etc, and (3) dated after the publication of OSHA's Respiratory Protection Standard, 29 CFR 1910.134.

Once the MSDSs were selected, they were evaluated according to the amount and type of respiratory protection information contained therein. The MSDS information was then categorized in one of three ways: (1) Accurately recommended a respirator. May have included NIOSH approval, APF, ESLI, changeout recommendation, warning properties, IDLH, respirator type according to contaminant concentration, etc. (2) Recommended a respirator, but did not elaborate enough to aid in proper selection. (3) Did not recommend respirator when one was indicated by the NIOSH Pocket Guide; or stated that "approved respirator" should be used.

Podium 131. Industrial Hygiene Role in Terrorism Response Activities: The NIOSH Experience

Papers 295-302

295. CDC/NIOSH EMERGENCY RESPONSE: THE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER—A LOOK AT THE CHAOTIC CONDITIONS AND RESCUE WORKERS' EXPOSURES. D. Mattorano, G. Burr, K. Wallingford, E. Synder, B. Bernard, E.

Esswein, R. McCleery, B. Lushniak, NIOSH, Cincinnati, OH.

As part of a CDC first responder team, two representatives from NIOSH traveled to New York City within 24 hours of the attack. Within one week, additional NIOSH personnel were on site to collect air samples to identify occupational health risks among rescue and construction workers at "Ground Zero" and to prepare recommendations regarding respirator selection, worker exposures, blood borne pathogens, confined spaces, and handling human remains. This presentation reviews the chaotic conditions and multiplicity of local, state, and federal agencies and private organizations which were present at both "Ground Zero" and the WTC Emergency Command Center sites following September 11th, and how these impacted our ability to identify occupational health problems, track disease and injury trends, identify medical surveillance needs, and disseminate information. During our response, over 1200 air and bulk samples were collected and analyzed for asbestos, carbon monoxide (CO), chlorodifluoromethane, diesel exhaust, hydrogen sulfide, inorganic acids, mercury, metals, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, respirable and total particulate, respirable crystalline silica, and volatile organic compounds from locations on or in close proximity to the "pile." Of these, exposures to CO were the most significant problem. PBZ concentrations were above the NIOSH REL of 35 ppm and the OSHA PEL of 50 ppm. Some concentrations were above the NIOSH IDLH limit of 1200 ppm. Elevated CO levels resulted from workers using oxy-acetylene cutting torches and gasoline-powered cutting saws. Recommendations were made to ensure adequate ventilation and worker understanding of CO sources, health effects, and factors that can increase or decrease exposures when using these tools. Preliminary data from medical screening programs growing out of the disaster response indicate increased numbers of adverse health outcomes even though industrial hygiene data suggest workers' exposures, overall, were low.

296.

CDC/NIOSH EMERGENCY RESPONSE: PHYSICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH OUTCOMES FOLLOWING EXPOSURE AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER. L. Tapp, S. Baron, B. Bernard, R. Driscoll, NIOSH, Cincinnati, OH.; K. Kelly, D. Prezant, New York City Fire Department, Brooklyn, NY.; R. Herbert, S. Levin, Mt.Sinai Medical School, New York, NY.

In the 18 months since the collapse of the World Trade Center on September 11, 2001, a number of medical screening and assessment studies have been conducted which better define the type and magnitude of both physical and mental health consequences for those who had work-related exposure during and shortly after the WTC collapse. This 20-minute ses-

sion will summarize the findings from several of these studies including both rescue and recovery workers and workers who were exposed because their workplace was close to the WTC site. Data to be presented from rescue workers includes respiratory health effects and respirator use among the New York City firefighters and preliminary results from an ongoing NIOSH funded screening program of respiratory and mental health outcomes in 9000 WTC rescue, recovery, and restoration workers and volunteers conducted by Mt. Sinai Medical Center in New York City. The results of a NIOSH questionnaire survey of physical and mental health of about 1250 workers employed near the WTC site including public school workers, community college workers, office workers, and transit workers will also be presented. All of these studies have shown significant upper and lower respiratory health symptoms and/or physical examination abnormalities as well as elevated rates of post-traumatic stress disorder and depression. Many of these findings were still present many months after exposure at the WTC site had ended.

297.

THE NIOSH RESPONSE TO A BIOTERROR ATTACK: AN OVERVIEW OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BUILDINGS POTENTIALLY CONTAMINATED WITH BACILLUS ANTHRACIS. B. King, NIOSH, Cincinnati, OH.

Introduction. NIOSH industrial hygienists and engineers were essential in the national emergency response to the Bacillus anthracis bioterror attack of the fall of 2001. During October 2001 through September 2002, NIOSH scientists, in collaboration with other agencies, helped direct and/or perform characterizations of over 100 buildings in six states and the District of Columbia thought to be potentially contaminated with anthrax.

Methods. During these characterizations, sampling strategies were developed to provide direction for assessing the extent of contamination. Depending on the demands and circumstances of a particular location, flexibility in the use of these strategies was important. However, they did provide a framework around which the characterizations could be built. These strategies included a focus on sampling mail pathways, ventilation systems, and high-traffic areas. The methods of sample collection included traditional industrial hygiene methods such as surface wipe samples, surface vacuum samples, and area air samples. **Results and Conclusions.** The results from these characterizations helped provide a base of knowledge on which further action could be performed. One example includes Capitol Hill in Washington, D.C., the results of which formed the basis for an even more extensive characterization performed by the EPA during their remediation phase. Another example is the results of building

characterizations throughout Connecticut which provided supporting data for the epidemiologic investigation there. Additionally, information on the efficacy of various environmental sampling methods for anthrax was developed by NIOSH during the work at certain locations. Such sites included the Brentwood and Hamilton post office facilities in Washington, D.C., and Trenton, New Jersey, respectively. The lessons learned from the experience (including laboratory and administrative needs, sampling logistics, and cooperation between agencies) will be invaluable in responding to future attacks. Specifically, industrial hygiene practices and strategies used will be essential in directing sampling and remediation efforts in the future.

298.

EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLY LOGISTICS DURING EMERGENCY RESPONSE. D.E. Booher, NIOSH, Cincinnati, OH.

Initial provision and continued supply of appropriate materials is paramount to the success of any emergency response effort. This holds true for emergency response activities of the Hazard Evaluations and Technical Assistance Branch of NIOSH. Our role has typically involved monitoring and protecting the health and safety of responders, as well as supplying specialized sampling and analytical technical experts. Based upon the experience gained during numerous emergency responses, we have concentrated logistics preparation on (1) staging areas, (2) electrical supply, (3) provision of clean water, (4) shipping and receipt of dangerous goods and controlled substances (involved with our environmental sampling and analytical activities), and (5) a reliable source of equipment and supplies in the event of a prolonged response.

For on-site staging, a large canvas tent with cooling/heating capability provides a base of operations. High capacity electrical generators provide power for heating/cooling, recharging of equipment, and communications. Water is brought on site and stored in appropriate containers. Shipping containers for hazardous materials have been acquired and pre-cleared for all appropriate shipping regulations. In addition to establishing and continually interacting with contacts at local, regional, and national supply houses, large stock piles of equipment and supplies are maintained at our headquarters. In the near future, our response capability will be augmented with a truck/trailer mobile unit designed for nuclear, biological, and chemical emergency response. By addressing these logistic problems in advance, more effort and efficient actions can be directed to the emergency response efforts.

AIHce 2003 ABSTRACTS

*The Premier Conference
for Occupational and
Environmental Health
and Safety Professionals*

AIHce
NAVIGATING UNCHARTED TERRITORY



**American Industrial Hygiene
Conference & Expo**

Cosponsored by AIHA and ACGIH®

May 10–15, 2003, Dallas Convention Center, Dallas, Texas



Plan to present an abstract in Atlanta, Georgia!

Obtain a 2004 Call for Presentations,
Contact AIHA's Customer Services at
(703) 849-8888; fax (703) 207-3561

**MAY 8-13, 2004
ATLANTA, GA**

*All submissions will be made on-line.
Watch the AIHA homepage for the opening announcement!*

Schedule for the 2004 AIHce

October 7, 2003Deadline all AIHce submissions.
October 29, 2003PCC Meeting I.
November 24, 2003Session Arrangers Finalize Podiums
December 3, 2003PCC Meeting II.
Mid December 2003Accept/reject e-mail notice to each submitter.
January 2004AIHce Advance Program mailed.

Mark Your Calendar!

**AIHce 2004
May 8-13
Atlanta, Georgia**

For more information, contact AIHA's Customer Services at
2700 Prosperity Avenue, Suite 250, Fairfax, VA 22031
(703) 849-8888; fax (703) 207-3561

Visit the AIHce on the Internet (<http://www.aiha.org/aihce.htm>)

Submissions must be received electronically by October 7, 2003.