

## DIFFERENTIAL PATTERNS OF EXPOSURE AND PREVALENCE OF MUSCULOSKELETAL SYMPTOMS, BY CLASS AND GENDER

LA MacDonald<sup>1</sup>, L Punnett<sup>2</sup>, RA Karasek<sup>2</sup>, M Petersen<sup>1</sup>, CF Estill<sup>1</sup> and M Haring Sweeney<sup>1</sup>

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH<sup>1</sup>  
University of Massachusetts Lowell, Department of Work Environment, Lowell, MA<sup>2</sup>

### Introduction

An epidemiologic investigation was conducted at an appliance manufacturing facility in the U.S. to examine patterns of exposure to physical and psychosocial stressors and upper extremity symptom prevalence, by class (i.e., blue- versus white-collar) and gender.

### Methods

A questionnaire was administered to 410 employees (81% participation rate) inquiring about demographics, medical history, work history, leisure activities, exposure, and symptom parameters by anatomical site. Fifty-four percent of the participants were blue-collar assembly or production support workers. Fifty percent of the blue-collar workers were male, compared with 77% of the white-collar workers (managers, engineers, clerical).

Ergonomic stressor questions were adapted from the 1995 U.S. Ergonomic Protection Standard's proposed checklist. A composite physical stressor score was computed by weighting factors by the Standard's intensity and duration criteria. Score values >5 defined high physical exposure. Measures of psychological demands and decision authority (i.e., job control) were selected from the Job Content Questionnaire. Job strain was computed from the ratio of psychological demands and decision authority, with high exposure defined by the upper quartile. Case status was defined as positive when site-specific symptoms lasted more than one week or occurred at least once per month in the past year, and symptoms developed after employment on the current job. Stratified analyses were used to examine associations.

### Results

Overall case prevalence ranged from a low of 6% for the elbow, 18% for the hand/wrist, 22% for the neck/shoulder, and 36% for any upper extremity site. Blue-collar workers had significantly higher prevalence, except for the neck/shoulder region. Excluding keyboard work,

blue-collar workers had higher exposure to all other physical stressors, and blue-collar workers were disproportionately exposed to dual loads (i.e., both high physical load and high job strain).

Within blue-collar work, those with dual loads had more neck/shoulder symptoms (45% vs 27%,  $p=0.09$ ), compared with those exposed to high physical load only. Further comparisons showed that those with dual loads (vs high physical) had higher levels of anxiety and fatigue, less job satisfaction, felt less job security, had less schedule control, experienced less co-worker support and greater group pressure, had higher mental demands, and greater postural loading on the neck. Limb motions were more rapid and steady, tasks more often externally paced, and those with dual loads had more difficulty "keeping up."

Women in blue-collar work were more often employed in assembly line operations, whereas men worked more in production support and repair. Correspondingly, women were employed in lower wage jobs, they performed more short-cycle tasks and their decision latitude (job control) was lower. Men exposed to high physical demands had higher exertional demands, such as forceful pushing / pulling and impact force. Women had a higher prevalence for the neck/shoulder (53% vs 16%) and for any UE site (58% vs 37%); this health disparity existed even among those workers exposed to dual loads.

### Conclusion

The results of this analysis indicate that blue-collar workers are disproportionately exposed to both high physical demands and job strain, and the nature of these exposures vary between women and men. These findings highlight the need for epidemiologic analyses to more often include both class and gender-specific results, permitting examinations of differences in the nature and frequency of occupational exposures and important covariates. Larger studies are needed to further examine these differences and the effect of dual exposures on the risk for musculoskeletal disorders.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE  
XIVth Triennial Congress of the  
International Ergonomics Association  
and  
44th Annual Meeting of the  
Human Factors and Ergonomics Society  
**"Ergonomics for the New Millennium"**

*July 29 through August 4, 2000*  
*San Diego, California, USA*

*Volume 5*  
**Manual Work**



Published by the  
Human Factors and Ergonomics Society  
P.O. Box 1369  
Santa Monica, CA 90406-1369 USA  
310/394-1811, FAX 310/394-2410  
E-mail: [info@hfes.org](mailto:info@hfes.org) Web: <http://hfes.org>

AF Research  
Laboratory Library

# PREFACE

In publishing the *Proceedings of the XIVth Triennial Congress of the International Ergonomics Association and 44th Annual Meeting of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society seeks to facilitate an effective and timely dissemination of technical information within its area of concern. The papers contained in this document were printed directly from unedited reproducible copy submitted by the authors, who are solely responsible for their contents.

ISBN 0-945289-13-8

Opinions expressed in proceedings articles are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the International Ergonomics Association or the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, nor should they be considered as expressions of official policy by either organization.

Copyright © 2000 by Human Factors and Ergonomics Society. All rights reserved.

Individual readers of these proceedings and nonprofit libraries acting for them are freely permitted to make fair use of the material in the book, such as to make a single copy of an article for use in teaching or research. Permission is granted to quote excerpts from articles in these proceedings in scientific works with the customary acknowledgment of the source, including the author's name and the proceedings name, year, volume, and page. Reproduction of figures and tables is likewise permitted in other articles and books, provided that the same information is printed with them and notification is given to the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society.

Reproduction or systematic or multiple reproduction of any material in these proceedings (including abstracts) is permitted only under license from the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society. In addition, the Society requires that permission be obtained from one of the authors. Address inquiries and notices to the Communications Director, Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, at the address below.

In the case of authors who are employees of the United States government or its contractors or grantees, or of the British Crown, the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society recognizes the right of these governments to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to use the author's copyrighted material for government purposes.



Human Factors and Ergonomics Society  
P.O. Box 1369  
Santa Monica, CA 90406-1369 USA  
310/394-1811, fax 310/394-2410  
info@hfes.org  
<http://hfes.org>

Authorization to make a single photocopy of any item in this book for commercial use is granted by the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, provided that the base fee of \$1.00 plus \$.60 per page is paid directly to Copyright Clearance Center, 27 Congress St., Salem, MA 01970. The fee code for users of the Transactional Reporting Service is 01711813/00 \$1.00 + \$.60.

The HFES annual meeting proceedings are indexed or abstracted in the following publications or services: Applied Mechanics Reviews, Engineering Index Annual, EI Monthly, Cambridge Scientific Abstracts, EI Bio-engineering Abstracts, EI Energy Abstracts, EMBASE/Excerpta Medica, Ergonomics Abstracts, ISI Index to Scientific & Technical Proceedings, Transportation Research Information Services (TRIS), and International Aerospace Abstracts. This publication is also available on microfilm from Bell & Howell, 300 N. Zeeb Road, Department P.R., Ann Arbor, MI 48106.

The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of the American National Standard for Information Sciences—Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI Z39.48-1984.

HFES Annual Meeting Proceedings      ISSN 1071-1813