

Re: The study of the relation between maternal occupational exposure to solvents and birth defects should include oxygenated solvents (authors' response)

We appreciate the interest of Dr Garlandtézec *et al* in our article on the association between maternal occupational exposure to organic solvents (chlorinated, aromatic and Stoddard) and birth defects.¹ We reported a positive association between chlorinated solvents and neural tube defects, particularly spina bifida; we did not observe an association between solvent exposure and orofacial clefts.²

As noted in their comment, our exposure assessment did not include oxygenated solvents such as glycol ethers, which have been previously linked with an increased prevalence of some birth defects, including both oral clefts and neural tube defects. Garlandtézec *et al* suggest that our exclusion of oxygenated solvents may explain our null findings for oral clefts because women exposed to such solvents may be included in our reference group, thereby introducing bias. Although potentially a plausible explanation for our findings, we believe that bias due to the lack of assessment for oxygenated solvents is unlikely. Based on preliminary, unpublished data from an expert industrial hygienist review-based assessment for the National Birth Defects Prevention Study, only 0.4% of working women in our study population had any exposure to glycol ethers during pregnancy or the 3 months before conception. Because the prevalence of occupational exposure to glycol ethers in our study population is exceedingly rare, its omission would not result in a meaningful underestimate of our effect measure estimates. However, estimated exposure to other oxygenated solvents such as aliphatic alcohols, ketones, esters and aldehydes, in our study population is unknown.

We agree with Garlandtézec and colleagues that differences in the definition of exposure (characterised by solvent type, formulation or mixture, frequency and intensity, etc, as noted in our Discussion section) may explain apparent 'inconsistencies' in reported results across studies. We encourage further dialogue and research aimed at elucidating the true underlying relation

between exposure to distinct classes of organic solvents and birth defects.

Tania A Desrosiers,¹ Christina C Lawson,² Robert E Meyer,³ Martha A Waters,² Edwin van Wijngaarden,⁴ Peter H Langlois,⁵ Paul A Romitti,⁶ Adolfo Correa,⁷ Andrew F Olshan¹

¹Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina Gillings School of Global Public Health, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

²National Center for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

³North Carolina Birth Defects Monitoring Program, State Center for Health Statistics, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA

⁴Department of Community and Preventative Medicine, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York, USA

⁵Texas Department of State Health Services, Birth Defects Epidemiology and Surveillance Branch, Austin, Texas, USA

⁶Department of Epidemiology, University of Iowa College of Public Health, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

⁷University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, Mississippi, USA

Correspondence to Dr Tania A Desrosiers, Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina Gillings School of Global Public Health, Campus Box 7435, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA; ta_desrosiers@unc.edu

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Accepted 10 September 2012

Published Online First 9 October 2012

Occup Environ Med 2012;**69**:933–934.
doi:10.1136/oemed-2012-101096

REFERENCES

1. **Garlantézec R**, Chevrier C, Cordier R. The study of the relation between maternal occupational exposure to solvents and birth defects should include oxygenated solvents. *Occup Environ Med* 2012;**69**:933.
2. **Desrosiers TA**, Lawson CC, Meyer RE, *et al*. Maternal occupational exposure to organic solvents during early pregnancy and risks of neural tube defects and orofacial clefts. *Occup Environ Med* 2012;**69**:493–9.



Re: The study of the relation between maternal occupational exposure to solvents and birth defects should include oxygenated solvents (authors' response)

Tania A Desrosiers, Christina C Lawson, Robert E Meyer, et al.

Occup Environ Med 2012 69: 933-934 originally published online October 9, 2012
doi: 10.1136/oemed-2012-101096

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://oem.bmj.com/content/69/12/933.2.full.html>

These include:

References

This article cites 2 articles, 2 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://oem.bmj.com/content/69/12/933.2.full.html#ref-list-1>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>