

Notes from the Field

Acute Mercury Poisoning After Home Gold and Silver Smelting — Iowa, 2014

Samir Koirala, MSc^{1,2}; Kathy Leinenkugel, MPA²

In March 2014, a man, aged 59 years, who lived alone and had been using different smelting techniques viewed on the Internet to recover gold and silver from computer components, was evaluated at a local emergency department for shortness of breath, tremors, anorexia, and generalized weakness. During the smelting processes, he had used hydrogen peroxide, nitric acid, muriatic acid, and sulfuric acid purchased from local stores or Internet retailers. For protection, he wore a military gas mask of unknown type. The mask was used with filter cartridges, but their effectiveness against chemical fumes was not known.

On the day he developed symptoms, he was using a technique that he had learned by watching a documentary on cable television, which used mercury to separate gold from soil. After purchasing elemental mercury through Internet retailers, he used a frying pan to smelt gold from computer chips using mercury on his kitchen stove. Approximately 5 minutes after starting, he developed throat irritation and changed the mask filter cartridge before resuming smelting. After an additional 5 minutes, he developed shortness of breath, weakness, and tremors, at which point he sought medical care. He was admitted to the intensive care unit at the local hospital with a diagnosis of acute respiratory failure associated with chemical pneumonitis. His condition subsequently deteriorated, and he received a diagnosis of acute respiratory distress syndrome, which required intubation and mechanical ventilation until his pulmonary function recovered.

On the seventh hospital day, testing for exposure to heavy metals, including arsenic, lead, and mercury, was performed, and the patient was found to have a blood mercury level of 86 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ (testing commercial laboratory reference value: $<10 \mu\text{g}/\text{L}$). No further heavy metal testing was performed, and chelation therapy was not prescribed. After 4 weeks, the patient was discharged to a long-term-care facility on supplemental oxygen because his chemical pneumonitis was not fully resolved.

The elevated blood mercury level was reported to the Iowa Department of Public Health by the testing laboratory on the 18th hospital day. The Iowa Department of Public Health immediately initiated an investigation to identify the source of exposure and potential risk to others; however, public health officials were unable to obtain exposure information from the

acute care facility. Approximately 1 month after the exposure, public health officials interviewed the patient at the long-term-care facility; he described the smelting activities he had conducted in the home, as well as the cleanup that was being arranged by family members. The local fire department was consulted to assess the home for residual mercury contamination and the potential for risk to others.

Thirty-four days after the exposure, the fire department's hazardous materials team assessed the house using a portable mercury vapor detector; the kitchen's air mercury level was $0.8 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] reference concentration: $<0.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) (1,2). Remediation included cleaning kitchen surfaces with chemical wipes, and heating and ventilating the kitchen with a negative pressure fan venting outside until air levels of mercury were $<0.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. All contaminated materials, including furniture, clothing, air system filters, and utensils, as well as remaining liquid mercury and other chemicals, were removed and disposed of according to EPA-recommended guidelines (3). No other person was reportedly exposed.

Mercury exists as elemental mercury and is found in inorganic mercury compounds and organic forms. Adverse health effects of mercury exposure depend on the chemical form, dosage, route and duration of exposure, and age and health of the exposed person (4). The major route of elemental mercury exposure of health concern is vapor inhalation. Symptoms resulting from acute exposures include shortness of breath, chest pain and pulmonary congestion, tremors, nausea, vomiting, and weakness. Respiratory symptoms can progress to chemical pneumonitis, pulmonary edema, respiratory failure, and death (5,6). Treatment of acute mercury exposure typically consists of removal of the patient from further exposure, followed by support of respiratory and cardiovascular function. Chelation therapy should be considered for any symptomatic patient with a clear history of acute elemental mercury exposure; however, the decision to treat should be made by experienced professionals, preferably in consultation with the regional poison control center (5).

Many Internet resources describe processes to extract precious metals from various substances. These include approximately 12,000 videos that demonstrate home smelting techniques to recover gold and precious metals from computer parts, and cable television documentaries presenting gold mining processes, some using mercury to recover gold from soil. An Internet search identified approximately 200,000 websites purporting to describe how mercury can be used to extract

gold from computer parts. Among a convenience sample of 30 Internet videos viewed by one of the authors, only five mentioned potential dangers involved in handling smelting chemicals or advised smelting in open areas, or using fume hoods, gloves, or unspecified types of respirators.

Electronics recycling for precious metals recovery by persons lacking the proper training, equipment and facilities can result in exposure to and illness caused by chemicals, including mercury. Health care providers should include mercury poisoning in the differential diagnosis when assessing patients who have symptoms of heavy metal exposure and a history of smelting activities, and should report known or suspected exposures to poison control centers and local or state public health officials to ensure appropriate and timely intervention.

¹Epidemic Intelligence Service, Division of Science Education and Professional Development, CDC; ²Iowa Department of Public Health.

Corresponding author: Samir Koirala, SKoirala@cdc.gov.

References

1. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Chemical-specific health consultation for joint EPA/ATSDR national mercury cleanup policy workgroup: Action levels for elemental mercury spills. Atlanta, GA: Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry; 2012. Available at http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/emergency_response/Action_Levels_for_Elemental_Mercury_Spills_2012.pdf.
2. US Environmental Protection Agency. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) on Elemental Mercury. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency; 1999. Available at http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris/index.cfm?fuseaction=iris.showQuickView&substance_nmbr=0370.
3. US Environmental Protection Agency. Mercury response guidebook: removal. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency; 2014. Available at https://www.ert.org/products/mercury_response_guide/section5.pdf.
4. US Environmental Protection Agency. Mercury: health effects. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency; 2014. Available at <http://www.epa.gov/mercury/effects.htm>.
5. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Medical management guidelines for acute chemical exposure. Atlanta, GA: Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry; 2014. Available at <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/MMG/MMG.asp?id=106&tid=24>.
6. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Toxic substances portal: toxicological profile for mercury. Atlanta, GA: Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry; 1999. Available at <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp.asp?id=115&tid=24>.

Invasive Cancer Incidence and Survival — United States, 2012

S. Jane Henley, MSPH¹; Simple D. Singh, MD¹; Jessica King, MPH¹; Reda J. Wilson, MPH¹; Mary Elizabeth O'Neil, MPH¹; A. Blythe Ryerson, PhD¹

Many factors contribute to changes in cancer incidence, including changes in risk exposures or changes in the use of cancer screening tests (1). To monitor changes in cancer incidence and assess progress toward achieving *Healthy People 2020* objectives,* CDC analyzed data from U.S. Cancer Statistics (USCS) for 2012, the most recent data available. USCS includes high quality incidence data from CDC's National Program of Cancer Registries (NPCR) and the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program, survival data from NPCR, and mortality data from the National Vital Statistics System (2). In 2012, a total of 1,529,078 invasive cancers were reported to cancer registries in the United States (excluding Nevada), for an annual incidence rate of 440 cases per 100,000 persons. Cancer incidence rates were higher among males (483) than females (412), highest among blacks (446), and ranged by state, from 371 to 515 per 100,000 persons (355 in Puerto Rico). The proportion of persons with cancer who survived ≥ 5 years after diagnosis was 66%. The proportion was the same for males and females (66%) but lower among blacks (60%) compared with whites (66%). These cancer incidence, survival, and mortality surveillance data are continually tracked and used by states to effectively plan health care allocation and support services.

Invasive cancers are all cancers excluding in situ cancers (except in the urinary bladder) and basal and squamous cell skin cancers. Data on new cases of invasive cancer diagnosed in 2012 (the most recent year available) were obtained from population-based cancer registries affiliated with NPCR and SEER programs in each state, the District of Columbia (DC), and Puerto Rico (2). For comparability with past estimates, data for the United States were restricted to the states and

DC, and data for Puerto Rico were analyzed separately. Data from DC and all states except Nevada met USCS publication criteria for 2012[†]; consequently, incidence data in this report cover 99% of the U.S. population. Cases were first classified by anatomic site using the *International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Third Edition*.[§] Cases with hematopoietic histologies were further classified using the *World Health Organization Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues, Fourth Edition*.[¶] Breast cancers were characterized by stage at diagnosis using SEER *Summary Staging Manual 2000*^{**}; late-stage cancers included those diagnosed after they had spread regionally or metastasized.

[†] Cancer registries demonstrated that cancer incidence data were of high quality by meeting the six USCS publication criteria: 1) case ascertainment $\geq 90\%$ complete; 2) $\leq 5\%$ of cases ascertained solely on the basis of death certificate; 3) $\leq 3\%$ of cases missing information on sex; 4) $\leq 3\%$ of cases missing information on age; 5) $\leq 5\%$ of cases missing information on race; and 6) $\geq 97\%$ of registry's records passed a set of single-field and inter-field computerized edits that test the validity and logic of data components. Additional information available at <http://www.cdc.gov/uscs>.

[§] Additional information available at <http://codes.iarc.fr/>.

[¶] Additional information available at <http://www.bloodjournal.org/content/117/19/5019?ssoc-checked=true#T1>.

^{**} Additional information available at <http://seer.cancer.gov/tools/ssm>.

* As of 2015, *Healthy People 2020* objectives included improving the proportion of persons surviving ≥ 5 years after cancer diagnosis to 71.7%, reducing colorectal cancer incidence to 39.9 per 100,000 persons, reducing late-stage breast cancer incidence to 42.1 per 100,000 females, and reducing cervical cancer incidence to 7.2 per 100,000 females. Additional information available at <http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topicsobjectives2020/default.aspx>.

INSIDE

- 1359 Rabies in a Dog Imported from Egypt with a Falsified Rabies Vaccination Certificate — Virginia, 2015
- 1363 Notes from the Field: Injection Safety and Vaccine Administration Errors at an Employee Influenza Vaccination Clinic — New Jersey, 2015
- 1365 Notes from the Field: Acute Mercury Poisoning After Home Gold and Silver Smelting — Iowa, 2014
- 1367 QuickStats

Continuing Education examination available at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/cme/contd_info.html#weekly.

