

Workers' Exposures to n-Propyl Bromide at a Printed Electronics Circuit Assembly Manufacturer

Kevin W. Hanley, MSPH, CIH, REHS/RS

Belinda Johnson

Industrywide Studies Branch
Division of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluations and Field Studies
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
US Public Health Service
Department of Health and Human Services

IWSB 232.14

February 2007

Abstract

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) conducted a field study at a electronic printed circuit assembly manufacturing plant where n-propyl bromide (nPb) was used as a vapor degreasing and liquid cleaning solvent. Workers' breathing zone, and exhaled breath concentrations of nPB and isopropyl bromide (iPB) were measured on two consecutive days, as were urinary metabolite concentrations of bromide (Br) and propyl mercapturic acid (PMA).

n-Propyl bromide has been marketed to replace ozone depleting solvents 1,1,1-trichloroethane and freons®, as well as suspect carcinogens trichloroethylene and methylene chloride; chemicals commonly used in industry. Sparse data are currently available to evaluate *human* exposure to nPB. However, there is concern that nPB may be a hematological, reproductive, or neurological toxin, based on analogy to other Br-propanes, animal studies, and a few case studies.

Full-shift time weighted average (TWA) exposure to nPB collected in workers' breathing zone air samples ranged from 1.3 to 14 parts per million (ppm) and from 1.6 to 21 ppm, respectively for day 1 and day 2. All of the workers were exposed to nPB at levels below the industrial guideline of 25 ppm published by the EPA in their proposed rulemaking to accept nPB under the Clean Air Act. However, four (out of ten) TWA nPB measurements exceeded the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienist (ACGIH) Threshold Limit Value® of 10 ppm; workers' average TWA exposure for both days was 9.7 ppm. Exhaled breath concentrations of nPB ranged from 0.13 to 4.0 ppm and 0.3 to 6.6 ppm, respectively, for pre- and post-shift samples. Isopropyl bromide, a low level contaminant in nPB, was not detected in any of air or breath samples.

Average urinary Br concentrations measured before the work week began and during both workdays, as measured by 24 hour sample durations, were approximately ten times higher for all workers combined than for unexposed controls who were not employed by this company. Although the worker with the lowest exposure to nPB had urinary Br levels within or near the range measured from control specimens, the levels were still several times higher than the control average. Bromide in urine can be influenced by non-occupational factors such as diet and medications, including over the counter medications. Propyl mercapturic acid is a more specific metabolite for measuring exposure to nPB. The 24-hour average PMA concentrations for both workdays were over two orders of magnitude higher than the average PMA concentration in controls. The assembler with the lowest breathing zone concentration of nPB had the lowest PMA urinary metabolite levels but these levels were still over five and nine times higher than the average control concentrations for day 1 and day 2, respectively. Workers were observed to periodically contact nPB solvent with unprotected hands; dermal absorption of nPB may contribute to urinary metabolite concentrations in addition to inhalation exposure.

Recommendations include substitution of nPB solvents with a less toxic solvent, periodic exposure monitoring, ventilation modifications, implementation of a respiratory protection program, impermeable gloves to nPB, and routine medical examinations.

Site Survey Record
Industrywide Studies Branch
Division of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluations and Field Studies
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Report title: Workers' Exposures to n-Propyl Bromide at a Printed Electronics Circuit Assembly Manufacturer.

File number: IWSB 232.14

Company name: Pioneer Circuits, Inc.

Location: Santa Ana, CA 92704

Survey date: August 16-18, 2004

SIC: 3679

NAICS: 33-4418: Printed electronic circuit assembly

Project officer: Kevin W. Hanley, MSPH, CIH, REHS/RS
Research Industrial Hygienist
IWSB, DSHEFS, NIOSH
Cincinnati, OH

Field assistance: Belinda Johnson, Industrial Hygiene Technician
IWSB, DSHEFS, NIOSH, Cincinnati, OH

Jason Forbes, Industrial Hygiene Technicians
IHI Environmental (a Westat sub-contractor), Salt Lake City, UT

Employer contact: Mr. Mark Morimoto, Human Resources Manager
mmorimoto@pioneercircuits.com

Mr. Bryan Lee, Environmental Engineer
blee@pioneercircuits.com

Pioneer Circuits, Inc.
3000 S. Shannon Street
Santa Ana, CA 92704

Union contact: Not unionized

Analytical Services: Data Chem Laboratories
Salt Lake City, UT

Biomonitoring & Health Effects Branch, DART, NIOSH
Cincinnati, OH

Introduction

The Industrywide Studies Branch of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) conducted a field study at Pioneer Circuits, Inc., a printed electronics circuit assembly manufacturing plant in Santa Ana, California on August 16-18, 2004. At this facility, n-propyl bromide (nPb) was used as a cleaning solvent to remove oils and flux from rigid and flexible circuit assemblies. In this research study, we measured workers' breathing zone concentrations to nPB and isopropyl bromide (iPB), an impurity, with standard air sampling methods in conjunction with new methods for measuring exhaled breath and urinary metabolites.

Based on the uncertainty regarding the toxicity of nPB, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and NIOSH requested the National Toxicology Program (NTP) to evaluate the toxicity of this chemical (OSHA, 1999; NTP, 2004). The absence of nPB exposure assessment information has prompted NIOSH to conduct a multi-industry occupational exposure study to evaluate workers' industrial exposures to nPB. One objective is to evaluate a variety of industries listed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for review of nPB approval under the Clean Air Act. This study is an exposure assessment study, not a health study; as such it did not provide medical determinations. This site report describes the monitoring performed at one of these facilities which will be compiled into the larger NIOSH-IWSB study regarding occupational exposure to nPB in multiple industries.

Background

The toxicity of nPB, also named 1-bromopropane (CAS no. 106-94-5), is not fully understood as there is limited information in the published literature. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA, 1999; 2003) is evaluating nPB as an alternative to ozone-depleting solvents for vapor-degreasing and liquid cleaning of metal, precision, and electronic components as well as for use as a solvent in aerosol products and adhesives. n-Propyl bromide has been marketed to replace 1,1,1-trichloroethane, freons®, and suspect carcinogens trichloroethylene and methylene chloride, chemicals that were commonly used in industry. Very little data are currently available to evaluate *human* exposure to nPB. However, based on analogy to other brominated-propanes, animal toxicity studies, and a limited number of case studies, there is concern that nPB may be a hematological (blood), reproductive, or neurological toxin. (Refer to Attachment I for more detailed information regarding the toxicity of nPB and iPB.)

Process Description

Pioneer Circuits manufactures specialty printed electronic circuit assemblies primarily for the defense and aerospace industries. These electronic circuits are used in a large variety of military, commercial, and space program applications including aircraft, helicopters, and jet fighters; submarines; tanks; radar; communication and surveillance satellites; missiles and weapons systems; the International Space Station, Mars Rover, and other space exploration craft. Installation limitations and space optimization requirements created the need for Pioneer to engineer multi-laminate flexible and rigid-flex circuitry designs for these high technology applications.

Pioneer Circuits specializes in hand soldering of pin connectors and non-active components to meet the requirements of ANSI and NASA standards. In addition, potting of connectors (removal of excess material), encapsulation, bonding, and conformal coating of connections, assembly forming, inspection and quality assurance testing are performed at this factory. Some of these tasks require thorough cleaning necessitating the use of a vapor degreaser or liquid solvent immersion cleaning to remove oils and flux from the circuit assemblies, pin connectors, and other parts.

A nPB-based solvent containing over 92% nPB (Ensolve-Ionic®, Enviro Tech International, Inc.) is used as the vapor degreasing and liquid cleaning solvent primarily by two departments. One vapor degreaser is shared by approximately a dozen workers in the Soldering and Potting departments. The actual number of assemblers who need to use the degreaser on a given day depends on the product line and work production schedule. The production schedule for this company is dictated by customer orders. As such, the vapor degreaser is not continuously operated, rather parts are cleaned in batches on an “as-needed” basis primarily for soldering and potting activities.

A small capacity (3 gallon capacity), open-top vapor degreaser manufactured by Unique Industries (Vapor Cleen, model LP1618HF) is located in a small, ventilated room within the Potting room. This degreaser utilized a 6” refrigerated cooling coil around the top of the perimeter (16” x 48”) of the vapor chamber with 14” of freeboard height. The cooling coil condenses nPB vapor into liquid droplets on the cool surface of parts to remove surface contamination. Excess solvent drips back into the solvent sump and is recycled as the parts ascend from the vapor to condensing zones. A secondary function of the cooling coil is to control solvent vapor emissions by “capping” the heated vapor zone with a refrigerated air space, typically six to twelve inches in height. A hand actuated spray wand and nozzle was also used with this degreaser to supplement the vapor cleaning. Several large and small trays (with lids) containing Ensolve® were located on work benches in this room for liquid cleaning.

The room that contains the vapor degreaser is a small room (approximately 100 ft²) with a single door access and was dedicated for the solvent cleaning, oven processing, and soldering pots. Local exhaust ventilation was not provided for the vapor degreaser but the room was ventilated with canopy exhaust ventilation utilizing two 8” axial fans located in the ceiling above the degreaser with an abbreviated canopy skirt (i.e., ~ 6 - 8” vertical sides around the canopy plenum). Two similar canopy hoods were also located in the ceiling of the cleaning room above solder pots and solder station. No additional plenums or hoods were attached to the ventilation to aid with controlling solvent vapor emissions away from workers breathing zones.

The only personal protective equipment employees were observed to use were safety glasses and safety shoes. Respirators were not used for liquid solvent cleaning, soldering, or potting tasks, or when using the vapor degreaser. (Most soldering and potting occurred outside of the degreaser room at individual work stations in these respective areas.) Chemical resistant gloves made from Stanzoil supported neoprene (MAPA, Spontex) and industrial “pro” grade latex (Hand Plus) were available from the supply room upon request.

Evaluation Criteria

At present, occupational exposure limits (OELs) for nPB are not available from either OSHA (2006) or NIOSH (1992), and suggested manufacturers' guidelines are inconsistent, ranging from 5 to 100 parts per million (ppm) (Great Lakes Chemicals, 2005; Enviro-Tech International, 2005). The EPA initially reviewed industry-sponsored animal studies and suggested that 50 to 100 ppm should provide adequate protection, but cautioned that this was a preliminary decision since it was based on limited data with considerable uncertainty (EPA, 2000). This proposal was largely based on hepatic toxicity observed in rats, not on reproductive, hematopoietic, or neurologic effects. After reviewing industry studies (Clintrials Biorecherches1997a, 1997b; WIL Research Laboratories, 2000) and published literature, Rozman and Doull (2002) concluded that neurotoxicity is the most sensitive end point and an OEL for nPB in the range of 60 to 90 ppm should provide an adequate margin of safety.

On June 03, 2003, the EPA published a proposed rulemaking to accept nPB as a replacement solvent for ozone depleting substances for general metals, precision, and electronics cleaning, aerosol products, and adhesives (EPA, 2003). In this proposed rule, the EPA recommends an industrial exposure guideline for nPB of 25 ppm over an 8-hr work shift. The proposed rulemaking is currently being re-assessed by the EPA. Albemarle Co. (2003), one of the domestic suppliers of nPB solvents, also recommends an exposure guideline for nPB equal to 25 ppm as an 8-hour time weighted average (TWA) concentration. In 2005, ACGIH published a recommended Threshold Limit Value® (TLV) for nPB as a 10 ppm, 8-hr TWA based on suspected neurological toxicity (ACGIH, 2006). As one can see from these exposure guidelines, the OELs for nPB recommended by different organizations vary by an order of magnitude.

Following a case study of reproductive and hematological health effects in workers exposed to iPB in an electronics plant (Kim et al., 1996; Park et al., 1997), the Republic of South Korea promulgated an OEL for iPB of 1 ppm, measured as an 8-hour TWA. No other OELs are presently published for iPB. Occupational exposure criteria for two of the urinary metabolites of nPB which were analyzed at these facilities (e.g., bromide and propyl mercapturic acid) are currently unavailable.

Methods

In this research study, nPB exposures were determined with standard air sampling methods in conjunction with new methods for exhaled breath and urinary metabolites. Employees voluntarily participating in the study were informed of the study requirements and provided their written consent in accordance with Human Subjects Review Board protocol.

At this facility, workers' exposures to nPB and isopropyl bromide (iPB) were measured over two consecutive workdays using three types of monitoring: 1) air sampling in their personal breathing zones; 2) exhaled breath; and 3) urinary metabolites. Five workers voluntarily consented to participate, each of whom worked with or was expected to be in the vicinity of vapor degreasers using nPB. The workers wore two light-weight air sampling pumps on 2 consecutive days; provided exhaled breath samples before and after their work shifts; and

provided all of their urine collected over a 48-hour period, both while at and away from work. The air and breath samples were analyzed for nPB as well as iPB, a low level contaminant in nPB solvents. The urine samples were analyzed for bromide (Br) ion and propyl mercapturic acid (PMA), also called N-acetyl-S-(n-propyl)-L-cysteine.

Personal breathing zone and exhaled breath samples were collected with Anasorb carbon molecular sieve (CMS) sorbent tubes. The sorbent tubes were desorbed with 1 milliliter (ml) of carbon disulfide, and analyzed for nPB and iPB by gas chromatography with flame ionization detection (GC-FID) via NIOSH method 1025 (NIOSH, 2003a). The limit of detection (LOD) for this method is 0.5 μ g which equates to a minimum detectable concentration (MDC) of 0.0083 ppm in air using the maximum recommended air sampling volume of 12 liters and a MDC of 0.033 ppm in an exhaled breath volume of 3 liters. Qualitative evaluation of skin contact potential was conducted by visual observation of job tasks since effective quantitative skin exposure measurement methods do not exist for compounds, such as nPB, that are volatile and readily penetrate intact skin.

To obtain data on nPB metabolites excreted by humans, all of the workers' urine voids over a 48-hour period were collected, including the amount excreted while away from work. The specimens were collected as composite samples over sequential time intervals: 1) at work, 2) after work but before bedtime, and 3) upon awakening. Each sampling survey was intended to occur over a 48-hour period that started at the beginning of the work week (Monday, pre-shift), following a weekend of no exposure and end before the work shift on Wednesday. For comparison, single "spot" control samples were collected from twenty-one unexposed office workers who were not employed by this company.

Urine specimens were collected in nitric acid rinsed Nalgene® bottles [high density polyethylene (HDPE)] and immediately chilled in 10 quart coolers with gel ice that were individually supplied to each participant. Upon the end of the collection period, three-25 ml sample aliquots were dispensed into nitric acid rinsed HDPE bottles and immediately frozen on carbonic acid (dry-ice). The total urine volume for this collection period was also measured with a graduated cyclinder. In addition to Br and PMA, the specimens were also analyzed for creatinine (cr).

Bromine

Bromide (Br) ion was measured with inductively coupled plasma/mass spectrometry (ICP/MS; Varion Ultra-mass 700) using yttrium as an internal standard (Allain et al., 1990; Ichihara et al., 2004; Kawai et al., 2001). The LOD for bromine was 90 micrograms per liter (μ g/l). One ml of each sample was diluted to 10 ml with 1% nitric acid prior to analysis. Analytical standards and quality control samples were prepared using Uri-sub, a synthetic urine solution. This was necessary because background concentrations of Br may be present in pooled urine from the general population.

Propyl mercapturic acid

The urine specimens were analyzed for PMA, one of the major mercapturic acid metabolites of

nPB (Grenby and Young, 1960; Jones and Walsh, 1979). Four ml aliquots of the urine specimens were loaded onto a solid phase extraction cartridge, rinsed with three ml of a methanol-acidified water mixture (pH = 3); PMA was then extracted in four ml of acetone, dried under nitrogen, and reconstituted in one ml of methanol. Analysis was performed using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with electrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry (ESI-MS/MS) for improved sensitivity and specificity (e.g., confirmation of chemical identity).

Creatinine

Creatinine was analyzed using Sigma diagnostics test kit, procedure #555. Room temperature urine specimens were diluted by a factor of 20 (or 40 if very concentrated) and mixed with six ml of alkaline picrate. After 10-15 minutes, color analysis of the creatinine-picrate complex was performed with a spectrophotometer (Milton Roy Spectronic 20 D). A 0.2 ml aliquot of acid reagent was then added and the specimen was re-analyzed after five minutes; positive results from the second analysis were subtracted from the first measurements as it is due to interfering compounds.

Creatinine is a protein by-product excreted in urine due to the metabolism of creatine from muscle exertion. It is often used to adjust urine data due to different levels of physical activity, hydration, and urine concentrations between different individuals. The urine data in this report, however, are only presented as unadjusted concentrations, either mg/l or μ g/l for Br and PMA, respectively. Once the data are compiled from multiple sites in this study, the urine data will be adjusted ("normalized") for creatinine (mg Br/gm creatinine or μ g PMA/gm creatinine) for publication in scientific journals.

Results

Table 1 presents the time weighted average (TWA) air sampling results collected in workers' breathing zones over 2 full work shifts. Full-shift exposure to nPB ranged from 1.3 to 14 parts per million (ppm) and from 1.6 to 21 ppm, for the first and second monitoring days, respectively. Daily averages for all workers combined were 7.0 ppm on day 1, and 12 ppm on day 2. All of the workers were exposed to nPB at levels below the industrial guideline of 25 ppm published by the EPA in their proposed rulemaking to accept nPB under the Clean Air Act and recommended by several solvent distributors. However, four (out of ten) TWA measurements exceeded the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienist (ACGIH) Threshold Limit Value (TLV®) of 10 ppm, measured over an 8-hour workshift. Iso-propyl bromide was not detected in any of the air samples with a minimum detectable concentration above 0.010 ppm (for a 12 liter sample); well below 1 ppm, the only published occupational exposure limit for iPB.

Table 2 provides a summary of the exhaled breath concentrations for nPB. The nPB concentrations measured in the pre-shift breath samples ranged from 0.13 to 4.0 ppm, and from 0.3 to 6.6 in the post-shift samples. The average pre-shift breath concentrations of nPB were less than the respective post-shift average for both monitoring days (i.e., average pre-shift and post-shift concentration pairs were 0.95 and 2.3 for day 1, and 0.31 and 2.9 for day 2). Background

contamination of nPB may have, in part, contributed to the observed breath levels since nPB was detected in air samples collected simultaneously during breath sampling periods. Iso-propyl bromide was not detected in any of the breath samples with a minimum detectable concentration above 0.040 ppm for a 3 liter sample. There are no criteria to compare breath concentrations because this is a new experimental method.

The average Br concentration from urine samples collected before the work-week began was 40 milligrams per liter (mg/l) for all five assemblers (Table 3). Moreover, the 24-hour average concentrations of urinary Br for assemblers ranged from 8.6 to 67 mg/l, and the daily averages were 34 and 40 mg/l. For comparison, the average Br concentration was 4.0 mg/l in spot samples from control subjects who were not employed by this company. Hence, workers average urinary Br levels were about an order of magnitude greater than the control average.

Bromide in urine can be influenced by non-occupational factors such as diet and medications, including over the counter medications. Propyl mercapturic acid (PMA) is a more specific metabolite for measuring exposure to nPB. The data for PMA are provided in Table 4. The PMA concentrations before the work week for workers ranged from 104 to 3960 microgram per liter ($\mu\text{g/l}$), and the average was nearly 35 times higher than the average calculated from control specimens. Furthermore, the average 24-hour concentrations of PMA from all workers over both workdays were over two orders of magnitude higher than the control average. More specifically, average 24 hour PMA concentrations for assemblers were approximately 93 and 150 times greater the control subjects' average, for day 1 and day 2, respectively.

The potential for solvent splashing and dermal contact exists with vapor degreasing processes, especially when the shape of the parts could carry solvent out of the degreaser vapor zone. Chemical resistant gloves were not observed to be used in these departments and some assemblers contacted nPB solvent and recently cleaned parts with unprotected skin. Although industrial grade latex and Stanzoil-neoprene gloves were available in the supply room, these gloves are not recommended for protection against nPB since this solvent will permeate through these glove materials within 30 minutes or less.

Statistical analyses were not conducted for the data collected at this site since only five workers participated in the monitoring. Statistical analyses will be performed after all of the data collected at separate sites are pooled into larger data bases.

Conclusions

- All of the workers' full-shift TWA exposures to nPB were below the industrial guideline of 25 ppm proposed by the EPA and several solvent distributors, but several TWAs exceed the ACGIH TLV® of 10 ppm.
- Given the observed production rate, the exhaust ventilation provided for the vapor degreaser and general ventilation in the solder and potting rooms are marginally controlling nPB air emissions below 25 ppm for some assemblers. Furthermore, the exhaust ventilation canopies in the degreaser room were orientated in a manner that did

not effectively remove solvent vapors away from a worker's breathing zone. Increased work load for degreaser users may cause nPB exposure to exceed the occupational exposure guideline of 25 ppm and exceed the ACGIH TLV more frequently.

- Workers' exposures to iPB were not detected with a minimal detected concentration well below 1 ppm, the only occupational exposure limit available (published by South Korea).
- The pre- and post-shift breath monitoring showed measurable levels of nPB but not iPB, and the post-shift averages were greater than the pre-shift averages on both work days. The two assemblers' with the highest full-shift-TWAs to nPB also had the highest post shift nPB breath concentration for that day.
- Low concentrations of nPB were detected in air samples obtained during breath collection which may have contributed, in part, to the measured breath concentrations.
- Average "pre-week" concentrations of the urinary Br were ten times higher for workers than for control subjects; workers' average 24-hour Br concentrations during work days were similar to the pre-week average. Bromide in urine can be influenced by non-occupational factors such as diet and medications, including over the counter medications.
- Average 24-hour concentrations of the urinary PMA measured in worker specimens during work days were over two orders of magnitude (i.e., at least a 100 times) higher than the average PMA concentration measured in control specimens.
- Unprotected dermal contact with nPB solvents and recently degreased parts may contribute to the workers' exposures. nPB is appreciably absorbed through workers' intact skin, which contributes to their overall absorbed dose.
- The conclusions drawn are based on the data from the grouped population of workers. These data demonstrate that workers using degreasers are exposed to and are excreting nPB metabolites. However, the health significance of an individual's urine metabolite level is uncertain.

Recommendations

Human health effects from exposure to nPB are not fully understood as there are only a few reports in the published literature. The occupational exposure criteria of 25 ppm suggested by the EPA and some solvent manufacturers are largely based on limited data observed in animal toxicity studies. The ACGIH TLV of 10 ppm for nPB is based on suspected neurological toxicity. As additional scientific information becomes available, the OEL currently proposed may, in fact, be lowered. Therefore, NIOSH scientists believe it is prudent to reduce occupational exposure of nPB to the lowest feasible levels.

To reduce the risk of hazardous exposures in the work environment, industrial hygiene principles incorporate the following hierarchy of exposure control, in decreasing order of preference (and effectiveness):

- i) Eliminate a toxic substance by substituting it with a less toxic one or by process changes,
- ii) Install engineering controls to remove or reduce the airborne contaminants, preferably at the point of emission using: local exhaust ventilation; isolation of contaminant emissions away from worker positions; or by process changes,
- iii) Use of administrative controls to reduce individual exposures by altering or rotating job tasks and work schedules, thereby reducing high exposure durations, and
- iv) Use of personal protective equipment (PPE), such as respiratory protection, gloves, aprons, etc., to reduce the absorbed dose from potential exposure. Although PPE is frequently used because it is a cheaper and easier method of control, it is the least desirable because it is not always effective. NIOSH policy is that PPE should only be used when engineering controls are infeasible; during the interim period when engineering controls are being installed or repaired; or when engineering controls are not effective in reducing exposure below hazardous levels.

More specific recommendations to minimize workers' exposures to nPB at this facility are provided below:

1. Eliminate nPB based solvents if feasible by using a less toxic substitute. It is advisable to consult with technical experts and solvent distributors to evaluate if there are any suitable alternative solvents that are less toxic which perform in accordance with engineering specifications. If alternative solvents are not feasible, use nPB solvents that have the lowest iPB contamination as is possible. Based on the non-detectable iPB results, it appears that nPB solvents used at your facilities do not contain excessive iPB contamination. The ASTM (2001) standard for iPB contamination in nPB solvents is 0.10%. In the EPA proposed rulemaking (2003) to accept nPB solvents, a use restriction includes using nPB solvents with an iPB contamination not exceeding 0.05%, before blending into products. It is advisable to confirm that the nPB solvents at your site meet (and continue to meet) this criterion.
2. Employee exposures to nPB should be periodically re-evaluated. If monitoring results exceed relevant criteria, install engineering control modifications to the exhaust ventilation for the degreaser and provide an adequate make-up air ventilation system to reduce airborne nPB concentrations. The existing ventilation system could be improved by installing a slotted plenum ventilation hood adjacent to the back of the degreaser so that it removes solvent vapor away from workers' breathing zones. Furthermore, the open trays of nPB solvent used for liquid solvent cleaning should be provided with similar (slotted plenum) local exhaust ventilation. Design specifications are available in the ACGIH Industrial Ventilation Manual, 25th edition (2006) or similar industrial ventilation textbook. In addition, a routine maintenance schedule for ventilation systems is necessary to

ensure effective performance of the equipment.

3. Workers' exposure levels to nPB could be reduced further with modifications to the degreaser. These include the use of a motorized hoist set at a pre-determined speed to prevent workers from lifting parts baskets too quickly through the vapor zone. This will reduce liquid and vapor solvent carry-out and, and reduce the amount of time workers must lean over the degreaser opening. A secondary cooling coil installed above the principal coil to further contain the solvent vapors within the chamber should also reduce solvent emissions from the degreaser. The degreaser cover should also remain closed when not in use.
4. Respiratory protection should be provided for those workers who desire to use it when operating the vapor degreaser or if the controls are not effective in reducing exposures sufficiently. Only NIOSH approved air purifying respirators with organic vapor cartridges or NIOSH approved air supplied respirators should be used. The use of respiratory protection requires the implementation of a comprehensive respiratory protection program in accordance with OSHA regulations (29 CFR 1910.134) and NIOSH recommended procedures (NIOSH, 1987). A minimal acceptable program must be managed by a competent person and include: written procedures; proper selection; user training; routine cleaning and inspection; proper storage; surveillance of work conditions and worker exposures; program audits; medical determination of user fitness; and use of approved respirators.
5. n-Propyl bromide readily penetrates intact skin and common glove materials. The observed work practices coupled with high nPB metabolites (urinary Br and PMA) suggests that dermal contact and absorption of nPB is substantial for some workers using the vapor degreasers or liquid solvent cleaning. When skin contact potential with nPB or parts recently removed from the degreaser is high, appropriate gloves, arm sleeves, aprons or other PPE should be used as appropriate. Solvent manufacturers recommend use of multiple layer laminates for protection against nPB. These include, but are not limited to, Viton™, 4H (PE/EVAL)™, and Silver Shield™. Other more common glove/PPE materials [e.g., latex, nitrile, neoprene, butyl rubber, poly vinyl chloride (PVC), etc.] do not adequately prevent nPB from penetrating the PPE material for more than a few minutes to a few hours. This may include time after the glove is contaminated even though it is no longer worn by a worker.
6. Company management must maintain an awareness of the latest scientific information regarding occupational exposure guidelines for nPB as well as relevant health, safety, and environmental standards from regulatory agencies.
7. Employees potentially exposed to nPB should be provided with routine medical examinations. Reports of health effects should be referred to a health care provider who specializes in occupational or environmental medicine.

Table 1.
Summary of workers' TWA^a air sample concentrations of n-propyl bromide and isopropyl bromide for the assembly department.

**Pioneer Circuits
Santa Ana, CA**

**National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
IWSB 232.14**

Chemical	Measure	TWA Air Concentration (ppm)^b (n = 5)	
		Day 1	Day 2
nPB^c	Average	7.0	12
	Range	1.3 - 14	1.6 - 21
iPB^d	Average	—	—
	Range	ND ^e	ND

Footnotes:

a) TWA = time weighted average. It is used when multiple samples are collected over the work shift to calculate the average exposure concentration "pro-rated" for time.

Example:

$$\text{TWA} = [(\text{time}_1 \times \text{conc.}_1) + (\text{time}_2 \times \text{conc.}_2) \dots + (\text{time}_i \times \text{conc.}_i)] \div \text{total time for both sample 1 and 2}$$

plus all additional samples (i)

b) Units are in parts per million by volume; the amount of bromopropane per 1 million parts of air.

c) nPB = n-propyl bromide (also called 1-bromopropane).

d) iPB = isopropyl bromide (also called 2-bromopropane).

e) ND = non-detectable.

Table 2.
Summary of workers' breath concentrations of n-propyl bromide^a for the assembly department.

Pioneer Circuits
Santa Ana, CA

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
IWSB 232.14

Date	Measure	nPB Breath concentration (ppm)^b (n = 5)	
		Pre-shift	Post-Shift
Day 1	Average	0.95	2.9
	Range	0.52 – 1.4	0.30 – 6.1
Day 2	Average	0.31	2.3
	Range	0.13 - 0.68	0.38 - 6.6
Day 3	Average	3.0	n.a. ^c
	Range	2.2 – 4.0	n.a.

Footnotes:

- a) iPB was not detected in any of the breath samples.
- b) Units are in parts per million by volume; the amount of bromopropane in 1 million parts of breath.
- c) Not applicable. Only a "before work" breath sample (e.g. 16-hour post shift) was collected on day 3.

Table 3.
Summary of workers' bromine concentrations in urine for the assembly department.

Pioneer Circuits
Santa Ana, CA

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
IWSB 232.14

Chemical	Job	Day 1, Before Work ^a	
		Range	Average
Bromine (mg/liter) ^b	Assemblers (n = 5)	13 – 70	40
	Controls ^c	0.98 - 16	4.0

Chemical	Job	Day 1, 24-Hr. Concentration ^d		Day 2, 24-Hr. Concentration	
		Range	Average	Range	Average
Bromine (mg/liter)	Assemblers (n = 5)	8.6 – 67	34	12 – 64	40

Footnotes:

- a) Sample was collected before or near the start of the work shift, after the weekend away from work.
- b) Units are in milligrams of bromine per liter of urine.
- c) Control samples were collected from 21 office workers unexposed to nPB not employed by this company.
- d) 24-Hour concentrations were calculated from 3 combined samples of all urine specimens collected at work; after work before bedtime; and upon waking.

Table 4.
Summary of workers' propyl mercapturic acid concentrations in urine for the
assembly department.

Pioneer Circuits
Santa Ana, CA

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
IWSB 232.14

Chemical	Job	Day 1, Before Work	
		Range	Average
PMA ^a ($\mu\text{g/liter}$) ^b	Assemblers (n = 5)	104 - 3960	2090
	Controls	ND ^c - 207	59.7

Chemical	Job	Day 1, 24-Hr. Concentration		Day 2, 24-Hr. Concentration	
		Range	Average	Range	Average
PMA ($\mu\text{g/liter}$)	Assemblers (n = 5)	351 - 13300	5540	564 - 18300	8980

Footnotes:

- a) PMA = propyl mercapturic acid.
- b) Units are in micrograms of propyl mercapturic acid per liter of urine. (One microgram is one thousand times less than a milligram.)
- c) ND = non-detectable.

Attachment I

Toxicity of n-propyl bromide and isopropyl bromide

The molecular structure of bromopropanes is a simple three carbon alkane chain containing a single bromine substitution. There are two bromopropane isomers: n-propyl bromide [(nPB) also called 1-bromopropane; CAS No. 106-94-5] and isopropyl bromide [(iPB) also called 2-bromopropane; CAS No. 75-26-3]. Prior to the last several years, nPB was primarily used to manufacture pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and other chemicals typically in well controlled closed processes. An international agreement between a number of industrial nations restricts the manufacture and use of ozone depleting substances including some compounds which were widely used throughout general industry: 1,1,1-trichloroethane and chlorofluorocarbons (freons®). In an effort to develop alternatives to replace these ozone depleting solvents, nPB products have been marketed, or are being considered, for metal cleaning/degreasing, automotive degreasing, electronics cleaning, precision cleaning (e.g., plastics, optics, and medical equipment), aerosol products, adhesive solvents, paint and coating solvents, textile dry cleaning, printing inks, and asphalt blending (EPA, 2003; Dead Sea Bromine, 1999; Petroferm, 2000). Products containing potential carcinogens trichloroethylene and methylene chloride are also candidates for alternative solvents, especially since the OSHA methylene chloride standard imposes more stringent occupational exposure and medical surveillance criteria with increased compliance costs. Currently, the principal application for nPB, in terms of quantities used, is for a vapor degreasing and liquid cleaning agent as well as spray adhesive solvent (EPA, 2003). However, the need to find suitable alternative solvents could expand nPB market applications, substantially increasing the quantities manufactured.

The first reports of health effects for bromopropanes occurred in 1996 in a Korean electronics plant where iPB was used as a cleaning solvent for electronic switches (Kim et al., 1996; Park et al., 1997). An epidemiology case study of 33 workers revealed that approximately two-thirds were experiencing reproductive disorders affecting both genders (e.g., low sperm concentrations, low motility or deformed sperm in men; and amenorrhea and elevated follicle stimulating hormone in women) (Kim et al., 1996). Further, seven workers had pancytopenia (e.g., reduced blood cell counts). An exposure-health effect association was obscured in this study since breathing zone monitoring was not performed, and the significance of reported dermal contact and brief short-term exposure to very high air concentrations is unclear. Ichihara et al. (1997; 1999) conducted a similar study at a chemical plant manufacturing iPB in China. Although severe reproductive disorders were not observed, reduced sperm concentrations and motility as well as decreased hemoglobin and hematocrit were suspected by the authors to be related to iPB exposure.

Subsequent to these occupational investigations, a series of rat studies were conducted in Japan with iPB to evaluate male reproductive and female reproductive or hematopoietic toxicity. In a review of the literature, Takeuchi et al. (1997) concluded that iPB impairs: *(i)* the testes,

especially spermatogonia, (ii) ovarian function by disturbing the estrous cycle, damaging primordial follicles and oocytes, (iii) bone marrow causing pancytopenia. Neurologic effects in rats exposed to iPB were also discovered by Yu et al. (1999; 2001).

There has been incentive to use nPB in lieu of iPB because of the perception that nPB has lower toxicity. There are several reports in the published literature regarding epidemiological and toxicological studies of nPB which are contrary to this supposition. In a 2001 report, Yu et al. (2001) demonstrated peripheral and possibly central neurotoxicity in rats but did not show reproductive or hematologic effects. Several additional reports have concluded that nPB produces dose dependant estrous cycle irregularities (Yamada et al., 2003; Takeuchi et al., 2001); spermiation destruction (Takeuchi et al., 2001; Ichihara et al., 2000a); reproductive and developmental toxicity (NTP, 2002; 2004; Ichihara et al., 2005); increased liver enzymes (Lee et al., 2005); and peripheral and central neurotoxicity (Yu et al., 2001; Ichihara et al., 2000b) in rats at similar dose levels that produced these effects by iPB. Ichihara et al. (2000b) concluded that nPB appeared to be a more potent neurotoxin than iPB. This conclusion is supported by several rat studies which have shown ataxic gait and hyper-excitability of the central nervous system, particularly at higher doses (Fueta et al., 2000, 2002a, 2002b, 2004; Honma et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003).

Garner et al. (2006) published a metabolism study which investigated the disposition and excretion of nPB following intravenous, inhalation and dermal administration using mice and rats of both genders, metabolic inhibitors, and genetically altered animals. The authors concluded that metabolism and excretion were independent of route of administration. Elimination of nPB was very rapid with a half life under one hour, mostly via exhalation. Urinary excretion occurred by two principal mechanisms: dehalogenation by cytochrome P-450 and conjugation with glutathione. Minor metabolites were also observed indicating several other pathways for elimination.

Two case studies in the US have been published which describe decreased peripheral nerve functioning for three foam cushion workers using spray adhesives containing over 50% nPB (Ichihara, et al. 2002) and a worker who performed metal stripping using a degreasing solvent with approximately 95% nPB (Sclar, 1999). Presenting symptoms included numbness, weakness of lower extremities, staggering, and paresthesia or dysesthesia. The authors concluded that nPB likely caused the peripheral and central nervous system defects in these workers.

NIOSH has conducted Health Hazard Evaluations (HHEs) at two foam cushion fabricators and an aircraft seat cushion manufacturer where nPB was used as a spray adhesive solvent (NIOSH, 2003b; 2002a; 2002b). Full-shift nPB exposures at these plants identified numerous excursions exceeding 100 ppm, one recommended exposure guideline by some solvent distributors. For comparison, the 2003 proposed EPA industrial exposure guideline is 25 ppm, and the ACGIH TLV® published in 2005 is 10 ppm, measured as an eight-hour time-weighted average (TWA). At the aircraft seat cushion plant, full-shift nPB exposures ranged from 60 to 381 ppm, and 67 of 69 measurements exceeded 100 ppm (NIOSH, 2002a). Analysis of complete blood counts obtained from 43 (61%) of the aircraft cushion workers did not establish nor exonerate

abnormalities associated with nPB exposure. A reproductive health questionnaire was also administered but the results were also inconclusive.

Toraason et al. (2006) conducted genotoxic studies to assess DNA damage, *in vitro*, and from 64 workers employed at two of the above HHE foam fabricating plants NIOSH investigated using PB-based adhesives. The authors concluded that limited evidence existed at these facilities to show exposure to nPB was associated with increased DNA damage.

References

ACGIH [2006]. 2006 TLVs® and BEIs®: Threshold limit values for chemical substances and physical agents. Cincinnati, OH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists.

ACGIH [2006]. Industrial Ventilation Manual, 25th edition. Cincinnati, OH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists.

ASTM [2001]. D6368-00 Standard specification for vapor-degreasing grade and general grade normal-propyl bromide. West Conshohocken, PA: American Society for Testing Materials.

Albemarle Corp [2003]. Albemarle workplace exposure guideline for AbzolJ cleaners. Baton Rouge, LA. www.albemarle.com/abzuserman.htm, accessed June x, 2003.

Allain P., Mauras Y., Douge C., Jaunault L., Delaporte T., Beaugrand C. [1990]. Determination of iodine and bromine in plasma and urine by inductively coupled plasma spectrometry. *Analyst* 115:813-815.

Clintrials Biorecherches [1997a]. A 28-day inhalation study of a vapor formulation of ALBTA 1 in the albino rat. Bio-Research project no. 91189. Senneville, Quebec, Canada H9X 3R3. Sponsored by Albermarle Corp. Available from USEPA docket A-91-42, document X-A-4.

Clintrials Biorecherches [1997b]. A 13-week inhalation study of a vapor formulation of ALBTA 1 in the albino rat. Bio-Research project no. 91190. Senneville, Quebec, Canada H9X 3R3. Sponsored by Albermarle Corp. Available from USEPA docket A-91-42, document X-A-5.

Dead Sea Bromine [1999]. Applications of n-Propyl Bromide. www.deadseabromine.com. Accessed August 2001.

Enviro Tech International, Inc. [2005]. Material Safety Data Sheet for Ensolv®. Melrose Park, IL. www.Ensolv.com. Issue date February 17, 2005.

EPA [1999]. Protection of Stratospheric Ozone: Listing of substitutes for ozone depleting substances. Request for data and advanced notice of proposed rulemaking. 40 CFR Part 82. *Federal Register*: February 18, 1999. Vol 64, No 32, pages 8043-8048.

EPA [2000]. Protection of Stratospheric Ozone: Notice 14 for significant new alternatives policy program. 40 CFR Part 82. *Federal Register*: December 18, 2000. Vol 65, No 243, pages 78977-78989.

EPA [2003]. Protection of Stratospheric Ozone: Listing of substitutes for ozone depleting substances. n-Propyl bromide. Proposed rule. 40 CFR Part 82. *Federal Register*: June 3, 2003. Vol 68, No 106, pages 33284-33316.

Fueta Y, Ishidao T, Kasai T, Hori, H, Arashidani K. [2000]. Decreased paired-pulse inhibition in the dentate gyrus of the brain in rats exposed to 1-bromopropane vapor. *J Occup Health* 42:149-151.

Fueta Y, Ishidao T, Arashidani K, Endo Y, Hori H. [2002a]. Hyperexcitability of the hippocampal CA1 and the dentate gyrus in rats subchronically exposed to a substitute for chlorofluorocarbons, 1-bromopropane vapor. *J Occup Health* 44:156-165.

Fueta Y, Fukunaga K, Ishidao T, Hori H [2002b]. Hyperexcitability and changes in activities of Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent kinase II and mitogen-activated protein kinase in the hippocampus of rats exposed to 1-bromopropane. *Life Sc* 2:521-529.

Fueta Y, Fukuda T, Ishidao T, Hori H [2004]. Electrophysiology and immunohistochemistry in the hippocampal cal and the dentate gyrus of rats chronically exposed to 1-bromopropane, a substitute for specific chlorofluorocarbons. *Neuroscience* 124(3):593-603.

Garner C, Sumner S, Davis J, Burgess J, Yueh Y, Demeter J, Zhan Q, Valentine J, Jeffcoat A, Burka L, Mathews J [2006]. Metabolism and disposition of 1-bromopropane in rats and mice following inhalation or intravenous administration. *Toxicol App Pharmacol* e-pub February 2006.

Great Lakes Chemicals [2005]. Material Safety Data Sheet for n-propyl bromide. West Lafayette, IN. www.e1.greatlakes.com. Issue date March 7, 2005.

Grenby TH, Young L [1960]. Biochemical studies of *n*-propylmercapturic acid from *n*-propyl halides. *Biochem J* 75:28-33.

Honma, T., Suda, M., Miyagawa, M. [2003]. Inhalation of 1-bromopropane causes excitation in the central nervous system of male F344 rats. *Neurotox* 24:563-575.

Ichihara G, Yu S, Kamijima M, Ting X, Wu X, Takeuchi Y [1997]. An occupational health investigation of a factory producing 2-bromopropane in China. *J Occup Health* 39 suppl:S327.

Ichihara G, Ding X, Yu X, Wu X, Kamijima M, Peng S, Jiang X, Takeuchi Y [1999]. Occupational health survey on workers exposed to 2-bromopropane at low concentrations. *Am J Ind Med* 35:523-531.

Ichihara G, Yu X, Kitoh J, Asaeda N, Kumazawa T, Iwai H, Shibata E, Yamada T, Wang H, Xie Z, Maeda K, Tsukamura H, Takeuchi Y [2000a]. Reproductive toxicity of 1-bromopropane, a newly introduced alternative to ozone layer depleting solvents, in male rats. *Toxicol Sci* 54:416-423.

Ichihara G, Kitoh J, Yu X, Asaeda N, Iwai H, Kumazawa T, Shibata E, Yamada T, Wang H, Xie Z, Takeuchi Y [2000b]. 1-Bromopropane, an alternative to ozone layer depleting solvents, is dose-dependently neurotoxic to rats in long-term inhalation exposure. *Toxicol Sci* 55:116-123.

Ichihara G, Miller JK, Ziolkowska A, Itohara S, Takeuchi Y [2002]. Neurological disorders in three workers exposed to 1-bromopropane. *J Occup Health* 44:1-7.

Ichihara G, Li W, Ding X, Peng S, Yu X, Shibata E, Yamada T, Wang H, Itohara S, Kanno S, Sakai K, Ito H, Kanefusa K, and Takeuchi Y [2004]. A Survey on Exposure Level, Health Status, and Biomarkers in Workers Exposed to 1-Bromopropane. *Amer J Ind Med* 45:63-75.

Ichihara G [2005]. Neuro-reproductive toxicities of 1-bromopropane and 2-bromopropane. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 78:79-96.

Jones AR, Walsh DA [1979]. The oxidative metabolism of 1-bromopropane in the rat. *Xenobiotica* 9(12):763-772.

Kawai T, Takeuchi A, Miyama Y, Sakamoto K, Zhang ZW, Higashikawa K, Ikeda M [2001]. Biological monitoring of occupational exposure to 1-bromopropane by means of urinalysis for 1-bromopropane and bromide ion. *Biomarkers* 6(5):303-312.

Kim Y, Jung K, Hwang T, Kim H, Park J, Kim J, Park J, Park D, Park S, Choi K, Moon Y [1996]. Hematopoietic and Reproductive Hazards of Korean Electronic Workers Exposed to Solvents Containing 2-Bromopropane. *Scan J Work Environ Health* 22:387-391.

Lee SK, Jo SW, Jeon TW, Jun IH, Jin CH, Kim GH, Lee DJ, Kim T-O, Lee E-S, Jeong TC [2005]. Hepatotoxicity of 1-bromopropane and conjugation with glutathione in male ICR mice. *Arch Pharm Res* 28:1177-1182.

NIOSH [1987]. Guide to Industrial Respiratory Protection. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 87-116.

NIOSH [1992]. Recommendations for occupational safety and health: compendium of policy documents and statements. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 92-100.

NIOSH [2002a]. Health hazard evaluation. Custom Products, Inc. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, HETA 98-0153-2883.

NIOSH [2002b]. Health hazard evaluation. STN Cushion Company. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, HETA 2000-0410-2891.

NIOSH [2003a]. 1- and 2-Bromopropane. NIOSH analytical method no. 1025. In: NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods, 4th ed. Eller, P.M. and Cassinelli M.E., Ed. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 94-113. Issue date March 15, 2003.

NIOSH [2003b]. Health hazard evaluation. Marx Industries, Inc. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, HETA 99-0260-2906.

NTP [2002]. NTP-CERHR expert panel report on the reproductive and developmental toxicity of 1-bromopropane. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Toxicology Program, DHHS (NTP) Publication No. NTP-CERHR-1-BP-02.

NTP [2004]. NTP-CERHR expert panel report on the reproductive and developmental toxicity of 1-bromopropane. Center for the Evaluation of Risks to Human Reproduction. *Reproduc Toxicol* 18: 157-188.

OSHA [1999]. Nomination of 1-bromopropane (1-BP) and 2-bromopropane (2-BP) for testing by the National Toxicology Program. Washington, DC: Department of Labor, Directorate of Health Standards Programs, U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration, DOL (OSHA).

OSHA [2006]. Permissible exposure limits. 29 CFR 1910.1000. *Code of Federal Regulations*. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, Office of Federal Register.

OSHA [2006]. Respiratory Protection. 29 CFR 1910.134. *Code of Federal Regulations*. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, Office of Federal Register

Park YS, Kim Y, Park DW, Choi SK, Park SH, Moon YH [1997]. An outbreak of hematopoietic and reproductive disorders due to solvents containing 2-bromopropane in an electronic factory, South Korea: epidemiological survey. *J Occup Health* 39: 138-143.

Petroferm [2000]. Technical Bulletin: Lenium RV for asphalt extraction and binder recovery. <http://www.petroferm.com>. Issue date July 2000.

Rozman K.K., Doull J. [2002]. Derivation of an occupational exposure limit (OEL) for n-propyl bromide using an improved methodology. *App Occup Env Hyg* 17(10):711-716.

Sclar G [1999]. Encephalomyelo-radiculoneuropathy following exposure to an industrial solvent. *Clin Neurol and Neurosurg* 101:199–202.

Takeuchi Y, Ichihara G, Kamijima M [1997]. A review on toxicity of 2-bromopropane: mainly on its reproductive toxicity. *J Occup Health* 39:179-191.

Takeuchi T., Okda H., Nagano K., et al. [2001]. Reproductive and developmental toxicity of 1-bromopropane in rats. *Toxicol Sci* 26:222.

Toraason M, Lynch D, DeBord G, Singh N, Krieg E, Butler MA, Toennis C, Nemhauser J [2006]. DNA damage in leukocytes of workers occupationally exposed to 1-bromopropane. *Mut Res* 603:1-14.

Wang, HL; Ichihara, G; Ito, H; Kato, K; Kitoh, J; Yamada, T; Yu, X; Tsuboi, S; Moriyama, Y; Takeuchi, Y. [2003]. Dose-dependent biochemical changes in rat central nervous system after 12-week exposure to 1-bromopropane. *Neurotoxic* 24:199-206.

WIL Research Laboratories, Inc. [2000]. An inhalation two generation reproductive toxicity study of 1-bromopropane in rats. WIL-380001. Ashland, OH 44805. Sponsored by the Brominated Solvents Consortium (BSOC). Available from USEPA docket A-2001-07, document II-D-10.

Yamada T., Ichihara G., Wang H., Yu X., Maeda K., Tsukamura H., Kamijima M., Nakajima T., Takeuchi Y [2003]. Exposure to 1-bromopropane causes ovarian dysfunction in rats. *Tox Sci* 71:96-103.

Yu X, Ichihara G, Kitoh J, Xie Z, Shibata E, Kamijima M, Asaeda N, Hisanaga N, Takeuchi Y [1999]. Effect of inhalation exposure to 2-bromopropane on the nervous system in rats. *Toxicol* 135:87-93.

Yu X, Ichihara G, Kitoh J, Xie Z, Shibata E, Kamajima M, Takeuchi Y [2001]. Neurotoxicity of 2-bromopropane and 1-bromopropane, alternative solvents for chlorofluorocarbons. *Environ Res* 85(1):48-52.