

## BEHAVIORAL TOXICITY IN SILICOTIC PATIENTS (Pilot Study)

FENGSHENG SONG\* • Jianxin Zhang† • Guanggu Yuan\* • Shizheng Wu\* • Fujun Chen‡

\*Department of Neurology, First Affiliated Hospital

†Institute of Silicosis

‡Occupational Hospital

West China University of Medical Sciences, Chengdu, China

Silicosis is the most common and most severe occupational disease in China. Most silicotic patients complain of amnesia, concentration difficulties and psychomotor slowing, etc. Up to now these problems have not drawn sufficient attention in China. In order to explore the neuropsychological impairment in silica dust-exposed workers, the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale—Revised in China (WAIS-RC)<sup>1</sup> and some of the tests on the Wechsler Memory Scale—Revised in China (WMS-RC)<sup>2</sup> were used. A summary of the use of this battery in detecting the behavioral effects of silicon on silicotic patients is given.

### SUBJECTS

Eight men and one woman exposed to silica dust were included in our study. All of these cases were exposed to silica dust for at least 4 years and had been diagnosed as silicosis by the panel of experts authored by national health ministry and Sichuan provincial government. The ages of the cases were from 41 to 68 ( $55.33 \pm 10.56$  years). All the cases had grown up in the countryside and had received 1 to 6 years ( $4 \pm 2$  years) education. Details of the study subjects are summarized in Table I.

Table I  
Details of the 9 Patients with Silicosis

Number of patient	Sex	Age (year)	Education received (year)	Duration of exposure to silica dust (year)
1	male	61	6	24
2	male	64	1	20
3	male	47	4	4
4	male	41	5	4
5	male	68	3	22
6	female	62	1	4
7	male	52	6	6
8	male	42	6	15
9	male	43	4	10
		$53.33 \pm 10.56$	$4 \pm 2$	$11.89 \pm 8.49$

**RESULTS**

The detailed results of neuropsychological tests are shown in Table II. Almost all the cases got full scores on experience and orientation subtests of WAIS-RC. Seven of nine cases (77.8%) got only zero scaled score on the test of counting from 1 to 100. And none of the cases got more than 7 scaled scores on the test of reversing from 100 to 1. No one could completely recall the short story and no one could get more than 8 scaled scores. There were more than 5 cases (55.6%)

failed to perform some subtests on WAIS-RC, with scores lower than  $\bar{X} - D$  according to age-appropriate norms.

**DISCUSSION**

Unfortunately, we haven't finished the whole controlled trial, so we cannot statistically process the data. The results suggested that patients with silicosis suffered from some impairment of short-term memory, but remote memory was spared. The poor performance on subtests of reversed counting (from

Table II  
Results of Behavior Tests on 9 Patients

Variable	Subjects								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
experience	5	4.5	4	5	4.5	4	5	5	5
orientation	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
1--100 (scaled 0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	3
100--1 score)	4	6	6	0	3	2	4	1	7
short story	6	4	6	1.5	2	2	11	5	2
digit span									
forward	6	6	7	9	8	8	7	7	6
backward	3	3	4	3	3	3	5	6	4
information	14*	11*	16	11*	8*	9*	11*	19	16
comprehension	13*	16	14	15	10*	14*	16	15	14*
arithmetic	8*	11	8*	11*	11	7*	9*	14	8*
similarity	6*	4*	9	3*	2*	2*	5*	18	8*
vocabulary	38	34	14*	36	21	21*	28*	71	38
digit symbol	32	23	19*	19*	25	10*	14*	36	27*
picture com-									
pletion	9	7*	8*	9*	5*	5*	5*	14	6*
block design	14*	28	20*	26	26	22*	24	26	18*
picture arran-									
gement	20	12	8*	18	8*	4*	16	24	4*
object as-									
sembly	10*	22	21	21*	9*	13*	25	24	29

\* less than  $\bar{X} - D$

100 to 1) and digits backward gave us a deep impression that the mental tracking ability was markedly impaired. Especially when we compare the scores of digits backward with those of digits forward, the difficulties of attention and concentration will be more obvious. All the cases achieved a forward span of 7 or more but recalled much fewer digits reversed, which meant the patient's mental tracking deficits were much more severe than verbal memory difficulties. When doing arithmetic, all the cases asked us to repeat questions for 2 to 9 times ( $4.78 \pm 2.64$ ). We think the lower scores on arithmetic are partly due to attention difficulties and short-term memory disorders.

We found the patients did serial counting from 7 to 100 very slowly and most of them got only zero scaled score. We believe this kind of operation measures speed of response rather than long-term memory. Because digit symbol test is the one most likely to be sensitive to psychomotor slowing,<sup>3,4</sup> the poor performance of this test indicates some impairment in this aspect. Although the results of other tests showed some impairment, we can't confirm their significance because of lack of controlled group.


According to this pilot study, we could conclude that exposure to silica dust can produce chronic toxic effects on human behavior, which are quite similar to those resulting from organic solvents.<sup>5,6</sup> We hope the investigation being done by us will give a more clear and definite conclusion.

## REFERENCES

1. Yiaoxian Gong. Wechsler adult intelligence scale—revised in China. Changsha, 1982.
2. Yiaoxian Gong. Wechsler memory scale—revised in China. Changsha, 1982.
3. Lezak, M.D. Neuropsychological assessment. 2nd edition. Oxford University Press, New York, NY 1983.
4. Lindström, K. Changes in psychological performance in solvent-poisoned and solvent-exposed workers. *Am. J. Ind. Med.* 1:69-84, 1980.
5. Nicola Cherry. British studies on the neuropsychological effects of solvent exposure. *Scand. J. Work, Environment & Health.* 10 (Suppl.), 10-13, 1984.
6. Lezak, M.D. Neuropsychological assessment in behavioral toxicology—Developing techniques and interpretative issues. *Scand. J. Work, Environment & Health.* 10 (Suppl.), 25-29, 1984.

*Proceedings of the VIIth International Pneumoconioses Conference* Part  
*Transactions de la VIIe Conférence Internationale sur les Pneumoconioses* Tome  
*Transaciones de la VIIa Conferencia Internacional sobre las Neumoconiosis* Parte

**II**



Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA—August 23–26, 1988  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvanie, Etats-Unis—23–26 aout 1988  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania EE. UU—23–26 de agosto de 1988



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
Public Health Service  
Centers for Disease Control  
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health



## **Sponsors**

**International Labour Office (ILO)**  
**National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)**  
**Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA)**  
**Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)**  
**Bureau of Mines (BOM)**

**November 1990**

## **DISCLAIMER**

Sponsorship of this conference and these proceedings by the sponsoring organizations does not constitute endorsement of the views expressed or recommendation for the use of any commercial product, commodity, or service mentioned.

The opinions and conclusions expressed herein are those of the authors and not the sponsoring organizations.

**DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 90-108 Part II**